

Memo



The Real Network

Legal Services
3rd Floor
6a Eccleston Street
Victoria
LONDON SW1W 9LT

Tel: **GRO**
Fax: **GRO**
Postline: **GRO**
www.royalmail.com

17th May 2011

Post Office Security

c.c. Dave Pardoe

ROYAL MAIL GROUP LIMITED v JOAN FRANCIS BAILEY
HOWEY SUB POST OFFICE
CASE NO: POLTD/1011/0164

Thank you for your E-Mail of the 10th May 2011. I apologise for the delay in response as I had been out of the Office. I also refer you to my advice of the 3rd May 2011.

In my opinion the evidence is sufficient to afford a realistic prospect of conviction of the above named offender on a charge of fraud under the Fraud Act 2006.

Whilst not excusing Mrs Bailey but I agree that this case is such that a caution would be appropriate. In reaching this decision I have taken into account the following:-

- 1 This appears to be an offence which occurred over a short period of time.
- 2 From the outset Mrs Bailey has made full and frank admissions and also the nature of the offence involved.
- 3 The **GRO** and the **GRO** and the unfortunate **GRO** in that Mrs Bailey **GRO** **GRO** She also said that due to stress of the current problem with the Post Office Branch her husband is also undergoing psychiatric treatment, she explained of the **GRO** **GRO** **GRO** and stated the **GRO** **GRO**

Mrs Bailey stated that she intends to repay the loss and indeed she is in the process of selling her house and that is why I recommend that we obtain an undertaking from her Conveyancing Solicitor that on the sale of the house from the net proceeds the outstanding sum of £13,044.23 will be paid to Post Office Limited.

Memo

Cont...

It is only on the receipt of this undertaking from her Solicitors that we proceed to caution her for the offence I have recommended in my advice of the 3rd May 2011.

If you wish to discuss any aspect of the above please do not hesitate to contact me.

Jarnail Singh
Senior Lawyer
Criminal Law Division

GRO

Ref: CRM/266896/JSX