

Assessment Control Page

Assessment Type	Internal	Assessment Reference	GHQ/POA/090304
Area	POA	Processes Assessed	Various (see assessment scope)
Contact(s)	Jan Holmes	Process Owner(s)	Various (see assessment scope)
Planned Date	09/03/04	Lead Assessor	Alan Clapson
Start Date	09/03/04	Full Report Title	

Assessment Summary**1. Objectives of Assessment**

This Fujitsu Services Internal Assessment focused on key business functions performed in the Customer Services unit of the Post Office Account (POA) and considered, through the assessment of local processes and working practice:

- The compliance of those functions with relevant aspects of the ISO 9001:2000 standard.
- The compliance of those functions with relevant Fujitsu Services Corporate Policies and Processes
- Any areas suitable for promotion as good business practice across Fujitsu Services.

In addition, every opportunity was taken to give advice and guidance on ISO 9001 and corporate process deployment.

2. Scope of Assessment

This Fujitsu Services Internal Assessment was conducted over 1 days, within the BRA01 offices, and involved the following members of staff :

Function / Role	Interviewee
Operations & Support Services Management	Peter Burden
Systems Support Centre	Mik Peach
Reference Data	Dave Wilcox / Aileen Davis
Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery	Tony Wicks

3. Management Summary

During this Assessment a total of **2 Observations** were raised.

In summary, the main findings, and recommendations where appropriate, were as follows:

- ...Management review, analysis and corrective action in POA Customer Service has been maintained at a good level since the last Internal Assessment and Customer Focus is maintained through an established system of peer level forums.

- ...It was recognised that opportunities now exist to move to being more pro-active in using the good level of statistical data gathered and trend analysis performed to implement more preventive than corrective actions.
- ...The SCC was seen to be well managed and continually meeting its SLTs. Effective call measurement, trend analysis, potential root causes of increasing call volumes and proposed preventive actions have been documented by the SSC Manager. This is a potential opportunity where preventive action may avoid possible SLT failure in the future.
- ...The POA organisation has moved on since previous visits, with many staff having moved into Core Services. The interfaces between POA and Core was seen to be well maintained and formally reviewed as part of monthly Ops reviews.
- ...Underlying issues over the use of draft documentation and guidance in the live environment are still being observed, despite having been raised in previous assessment reports.
- ...The level of documentation associated with the Business Continuity & Disaster Recovery area is very high. Attempts have been made to reduce the document control overheads by combining test plans and reports into annual documents. However this has introduced an issue over version control (see section 4.4 of Assessment Commentary). It was recommended that the POA Quality Manager assist the BC Manager in achieving the desired savings in overheads, without losing document controls.

4. Assessment Commentary

4.1 Operations & Support Management

Assessment Criteria : Strategic direction & Cascade of Objectives, KPI Measurement, Analysis & Corrective Action, Internal Comms, Customer Comms, Local Process review & improvement, Interfaces with other FS Units, ISO 9001 sections 4,5,6,8.

- Customer Services top management were last assessed in 2001 when it was reported that their management processes were in good order. It was encouraging to see that this still being maintained.
- The Ops and Support Services Manager was well aware of POA strategic direction and CS's role in it. Common, "strategic" objectives were seen to be cascaded through the organisation within staff's personal objectives. While not all were measured on these, it ensured that all could see how their personal objectives mapped onto the Business Unit's.
- Management review, both internally (eg. monthly reports, team meetings, Core Services (Ops) Reviews, etc) and with the customer (eg. Service Review Book, Service Management Forum, Operational Forums, etc) is comprehensive and records readily available.
- Customer Satisfaction is gauged via a top level CSIP and a tailored Monthly Scorecard. Management objectives reflect a target of moving from 7 to 8.
- Reports and forum output show evidence that identified issues are being addressed and corrective actions pursued, but there is a recognised opportunity for the unit to become more pro-active in recognising trends and moving more to preventive, rather than corrective, action (see SSC section for example).

4.2 System Support Centre

Assessment Criteria : Cascade of Key Objectives, Management Review, Perf + & Staff Competencies, Key Performance Measurement, Analysis & Action, Resource Management, Incident Management, Sharing Lessons Learnt, Record Control, Continual Improvement, ISO 9001 sections 4.2, 5.4, 5.6, 6, 7.1, 7.2, 7.3.6, 7.5, 8.

- As above, both the SSC Manager's objectives and those sampled of his staff, contained reference to common POA objectives, as well as personal ones.
- The unit is primarily call driven. The current PinICL system is about to be replaced with a new, POA developed, PEAK system. The justification for the new development included the rejection of use of Peregrine on the basis of cost and level of tailoring required. The assessor will raise this with corporate Peregrine owner as the system is company preferred system and therefore should be a viable option to all units.
- A high level of call stats are generated from the current system and comprehensive analysis was seen to take place. A baseline feed to the stats system is the daily SLT (Service Level

Targets) report which identifies all SLT misses, the responsible unit and the penalty incurred. While the SSC are consistently meeting the SLTs related to their area, stats show that call volumes are constantly rising (doubled in last 12 months). Analysis by the SSC Manager indicates that this is partly due to the number of new functional releases being introduced, at customer demand, in preference to maintenance / bug fix releases. The new functional releases inevitable result in a rise in new calls, which compound those still being raised on problems that already have a corrective action waiting to be implemented (aprox. 300 bugs outstanding at the time of this assessment). A justification paper for more SSC staff also identifies that they are now supporting some 70 products, compared with an original 40 and that the SSC is running at around 3 heads worth of overtime in order to maintain their current level of service. While it is a POA business decision as to whether the SSC proposals are implemented, this is an example of where effective analysis of available data might be used to instigate preventive action before service levels potentially decline.

- The SSC website was seen to be very well structured and user friendly. It is the hub for the unit's change control systems, it's Known Error Log (KEL) and customer / systems background information. Examples taken from the Operational Change Proposal (OCP) system were seen to be well documented, controlled and effectively approved using a digital signature function.
- It was noted that, due to the scope of their support role, SSC staff are able to access any part of customer data, including that deemed secure. Unit processes demand that authorisation be obtained from the manager, or nominated team leaders, before accessing such data but there are no "physical" restrictions stopping staff access. A full audit trail of all access to customer data is available via secure server records, but these are only checked on request. On the theme of pro-activity and prevention, it may be appropriate to introduce a process of routine analysis of the server logs and sampling of reasons for access.
- SSC staff are associated with TSS3 to 5 role descriptions within the Service Delivery Professional Community. At a working level, the manager maintains a unit skills matrix which cross references the experience, training and skills of each member of staff against the elements of the POA deliverables that the SSC support. This was regarded as a good method of ensuring general community and business related competencies were maintained. Development needs identified on this matrix were seen to be referenced in staff's development needs within Perf + records. Staff are encouraged to maintain their skills records on the Corporate Skill Database, but this is mandated or monitored by management.
- Several key suppliers are used in providing support to the PO (eg. Eicon, Metron, Utimaco, Interstage, QAS). While their costs come out of the SSC budget and the SSC Manager is involved with establishing the support contracts with them, due to their contribution to development and subsequent 4th line support role, their main POA interface is the Development unit. It was therefore recommended that supplier management within the Development unit be included in the next internal assessment.

4.3 Reference Data

Assessment Criteria : Cascade of Key Objectives, Local Procedures, Customer Interface, Control of Customer Property, Security Considerations, Performance Measures (analysis & action), Interface with other Units, ISO 9001 sections 4.2, 5.4.1, 6.3, 6.4, 7.2, 7.5, 8.

- Changes to Reference Data supporting the PO systems is passed to POA via the Ref Data Management Centre (RDMC) system, which resides on the customer's network but to which the unit has access. A prompting tool has been introduced to avoid POA having to check for new requests.
- Requests follow an 8 stage cycle through RDMC which covers receipt to implementation and includes POA validation and customer approval for release. RDMC is the key record repository with movement between stages, by appropriately authorised users (audit trail recorded in system) seen as authorisation to proceed.
- Reference systems are used by POA to validate the changes and the customer to verify, prior to release (by the delivery team within Data centres, once change reaches stage 8 in RDMC).
- The level of testing performed on a change is, to a degree, governed by the nature of the change, as indicated by the prefix on the RDMC reference number. Description of these prefixes is held in the local procedure, CSPRD/108, part of which is the "OBC Naming Conventions". This is obviously an important reference document, and was seen to be pinned up beside staff's desks. However, the copies seen were still draft versions ("2.3 - Draft"). It

was recommended that, given its importance to the smooth running of the Ref Data process, this document, and any other key local guidance, be reviewed and made definitive as soon as possible.

- While the stages of the requested changes are tracked via the RDMC, more detail regarding the workflow of individual changes is maintained within the Ref Data Change Catalogue (RDCC). This internal POA system is used to manage resources and internal activity associated with each change, data to be tested having been transferred from the RDMC, via floppy, to the local system.
- The manager of the Ref Data Team was not aware of any contractual targets associated with his area. SLTs are set for timely distribution of changes once they are delivered to the Delivery Team, but there are none relating to processing the changes prior to that. However, performance data is captured in a monthly RDORD (Ref Data Ops Review Forum) report and this is reviewed with the customer every 2 months. A top level objective is that no release is late (could have serious impact on PO trading). Lead times for issue of changes have been agreed with the customer and the RD Team generally have releases ready for delivery in advance of required dates. This is a key measure within the RDORD report, demonstrating that, regardless of lack of contractual requirement, the team is generally exceeding customer expectation.

4.4 Business Continuity & Disaster Standby

Assessment Criteria : Cascade of Key Objectives, Local Procedures, Customer Interface, Interface with other Units, Contractual Requirements, KPIs, Business Continuity Master Policy, Risk Assessment, Testing (resourcing), Continual Improvement, ISO 9001 sections 4.2, 5.4, 6.3, 6.4, 8.

- The manager interviewed is responsible for Business Continuity, Disaster Recovery, Major Business Continuity Incident and Problem management. This assessment focused mainly on the business continuity (BC) aspects of his role.
- Key documentation associated with this area include: Risk Assessments, BC Framework Document, BC Plans, BC Test Schedule, Test Scripts and Test Reports. Paper samples were viewed on the day but it was also seen that masters were kept in PVCS.
- It is a contractual requirements that POA provide a BC service and customer business critical systems are the subject of a contract schedule. The BC Manager interviewed does not have direct access to the schedule but critical systems are listed in the BC Framework Document, and this is approved by the customer prior to issue.
- An annual schedule of tests was seen to be in place and being actioned. It was also stated that this schedule is used to provide operational units with early warning of planned tests and to ensure resources are available.
- Based on Risk Analysis and history of service elements, tests will either be walkthrough, in the development environment or in the live environment. At least annually a campus outage is simulated and continuity plans tested.
- BC Plans for each service element are produced and supported by test scripts detailing differing disaster scenarios. Results of running the tests are recorded in Test Reports and Observations raised where corrective / improvement actions are identified. Plans and Reports are copied to the customer and POA management. The BC Manager is in the sign-off loop for all new service developments and can therefore amend BC plans as appropriate.
- Apart from approval and visibility of documents, the customer is sometimes involved with actual testing. A 2 monthly Business Continuity Forum is held between POA and the customer.
- The BC area has limited resources and attempts have been made to reduce the document management overheads by merging plans and reports into higher level, annual document. The BC 2003 Operational Test Plan and Report (CS/PLA/078 & CS/REP/151) were both still in draft (v 0.2) at the time of this assessment. It was stated that the reason for this was that the test scripts used for individual service elements were constantly being amended and it was therefore impractical to issue the document as definitive. However, this creates a situation where tests are being performed from a draft document, with the inherent risk that operators will use the wrong version. In addition, it was stated that test operators would often work from the previous, individual, versions of test scripts, instead of those now embedded in the annual documents.
- It was recommended that either the top level annual documents have the initial scripts embedded in them, made definitive and all subsequent changes generate a new version (eg.

1.1, etc) and a document history be maintained. Or that the individual scripts and reports be the definitive, controlled, documents and not merged into an annual summary until the end of the year.

- As this was the first Internal Assessment visit to this area of Customer Services and the scope of responsibilities exceeded the time available, it is recommended that the following areas be covered by Local Assessment or at the next Internal Assessment during 2004:
 - Performance & Local Process effectiveness measurement
 - Disaster Recovery Processes
 - Problem Management activity (MBCI handling)
 - Coverage of the BC Forum
 - Process of test observation analysis and corrective action cycle.

5. Observations & Non-conformances

The following Observations and Non-conformances were raised during the course of this assessment :-

Observation Details

Reference / Sequence	1	Date of Observation	09/03/04	
Category	Observation	Standard / Section	ISO 9001	8.5.3
Corporate Process	Manage Calls & Incidents	Local Process		
Unit	CS	Country	UK	
Location	BRA01	Division	POA	
Interviewee	Mik Peach	Interviewee's Role	SSC Manager	
Area Contact	Jan Holmes	Assessor's Name	Alan Clapson	

Observation

Effective measurement and analysis has resulted in an awareness of continually rising call levels within the SSC. Further analysis has identified the potential root cause of functional releases being implemented in advance of maintenance (bug fix) releases. The SSC manager has produced papers on the potential problem and solutions but there is little evidence that preventive action is planned to address underlying issues, or resourcing, before SLTs start to be missed.

See section 4.2 of Assessment Commentary for further detail.

Notes

--

Corrective Action Details**Corrective Action To Be Taken**

--

Actionee		Reviewing Manager	
Forecast Completion Date		Actual Completion Date	
Verified By		Date Verified	

Observation Details

Reference / Sequence	2	Date of Observation	09/03/04	
Category	Observation	Standard / Section	ISO 9001	4.2
Corporate Process	Document Standard	Local Process		
Unit	CS	Country	UK	
Location	BRA01	Division	POA	
Interviewee	Dave Wilcox / Tony Wicks	Interviewee's Role	Ref Data Mng / Business Continuity Mng	
Area Contact	Jan Holmes	Assessor's Name	Alan Clapson	

Observation

Key documents in the Reference Data team and the Business Continuity were in draft status, although already in use within the units. Examples seen included:
 OBC Naming Conventions – Draft 2.3, in Reference Data area
 Business Continuity 2003 Operational Test Plan – CS/PLA/078 – Draft 0.2 – 19/12/03
 Business Continuity 2003 Operational Test Report – CS/REP/151 – Draft 0.2 – 19/12/03

Notes

It was recommended that all key documentation in use in these areas be checked for document status. Also that the general approach to documenting business continuity test scripts and reports be reviewed in terms of achieving savings in documentation overheads, while maintaining document control.

See sections 4.3 & 4.4 of Assessment Commentary for further details.

Corrective Action Details**Corrective Action To Be Taken**

Actionee		Reviewing Manager	
Forecast Completion Date		Actual Completion Date	
Verified By		Date Verified	