Dargie Tomoko

From:

Business Assurance

Sent:

Thursday, April 11, 2002 12:07 PM

To:

Business Assurance; Colley Justine; Lush Paul; Nagai Atsushi; Payne Sue; Coombs

Martin; Stanley Kate; Foley Liam; Muchow Stephen; Jarman Andrew; Lenton-Smith Colin;

Underhill Clive; Hosford Ann, Henfrey Neil Neal Carys; Frost Gina; Imanishi Hodaka

Cc: Subject:

RE: Post Office Group BNB Review

Please disregard the presentation slides sent through earlier on and replace them with the enclosed.

Regards

Business Assurance



Business Opp Review

11Apr02.pp...

-----Original Message-----

From:

Business Assurance

Sent:

10 April 2002 17:27

Sent: To:

Colley Justine; Lush Paul; Nagai Atsushi; Payne Sue; Coombs Martin; Stanley Kate; Foley Liam; Muchow Stephen; Jarman

Andrew; Lenton-Smith Colin; Underhill Clive; Hosford Ann; Henfrey Neil

Cc:

Neal Carys; Frost Gina; Imanishi Hodaka

Subject:

Post Office Group BNB Review

<< File: Business Opp Review 10Apr02.ppt >>

Please find enclosed the documentation for the Post Office Group BNB due to take place at 16:30 on 11/04/02.

Regards

Business Assurance



Bid/No Bid Review

- >Working Together
- >Siebel Reference LLFO019



Objectives of Review

- Qualify the opportunity.
- Decision to bid.
- > Approve bid budget.
- Agree the sales strategy
- Set critical success factors for the opportunity.
- > Ensure that the resource is available for bidding.



Background

- Post Office Ltd was established as a part of Consignia plc in October 2001
 - > 17 500 Post Offices, 38K counters the UK's largest retail network
 - The Horizon project, historically run by ICL Pathway, brought automation to every UK Post Office in the largest non military IT project ever undertaken in Europe
 - Post Office Ltd are currently suffering severe commercial losses as a result of declining revenue streams (e.g. benefit payments)
 - Dual role Post Office as a community service (particularly in rural areas), Post Office as a commercially driven organisation

> The Opportunity

- The current Horizon contract worth approximately £1bn, due to expire in 2005
- Post Office want to reduce IT costs now prime opportunity to renegotiate the current contract into something more attractive, which could include:
 - Substantially increase current term beyond 2005
 - > Increase scope, potentially to include outsourcing of back office systems
 - > Renegotiate contract terms to simplify contract and service management
- Develop Strategic Partner role with Post Office new era for Fujitsu Services



Customer Requirements

- ➤ The biggest business driver in Post Office at present is to reduce cost, in particular IT costs which are currently running at £200M p.a., 16% of revenue
- A more open approach to architecture to increase flexibility of solution and reduce time to market for new products
- Ability to introduce 3rd party products more easily
- Strategic collaboration with Escher leading software supplier in the Post Office market
- Move to collaborative working Fujitsu Services and Post Office Ltd working more effectively together



Value Proposition

Fujitsu Services will do :

- Reduce annual Horizon cost to Post Office by £30m (approx. 20%) in 2002/2003, ways this could be achieved include:
 - > Restructuring finance by extending contract over a longer term
 - Implementing more effective ways of working which reduce overheads
 - > Implementing new SLAs that cost less
 - Less burdensome terms and conditions
 - Redesigning architecture to reduce operational cost (medium term)
- Fujitsu Services must implement a new contract with the customer with broader scope of services, for example
 - Ongoing operation and maintenance of the Horizon solution
 - Incorporate Post Office Back Office System outsourcing
 - Development of Banking business
 - Possible technology refresh
 - > Joint architecture/programme management
- The value of the base contract is likely to be in the region of £600m over 6-7 years
- This negotiation to conclude Heads of Agreement is due to be completed in June 2002
- > There is a need to reach understanding via Heads of Agreement before Consignia scopes a group-wide outsourcing ITT, at the end of June 2002 (which could absorb Horizon)
 Bid Review Process – Bid/No Bid

Sales Strategy

- ➤ ICL Pathway has become Fujitsu Services new style of relationship with more open, collaborative working
- Steering Group and working level programme
- New faces from Post Office and Fujitsu Services
- Agreed programme of joint activities "Working Together":
 - Architecture
 - Services
 - Relationship
 - Commercial
 - Finance
 - Outsourcing
 - Project and Programme Management
- Positive examples of the new style of relationship for example Mails project



Competition

| Competitor | Strengths | Weaknesses |
|------------|---|---|
| IBM | Post Office see them as a reliable and capable supplier; experienced in outsourcing contracts | Have just lost Network Banking bid to ICL |
| EDS | Systems consulting – largest US player; major IT supplier to UK government | Recently announced contract win – provision of Universal Banking services |
| Parity | Strong relationship with Post Office – won highest Consignia strategic supplier award in 2001 | Positioned as consultants, limited delivery experience in Post office |



Differentiators

- Fujitsu Services already have proven capability current Horizon contract
- Current Horizon contract not due to expire until 2005
- Fujitsu Services develop and support the current infrastructure uniquely placed to develop new services
- Fujitsu Services acknowledged as strategic supplier (Consignia annual supplier awards) 2002
- > Strength of the global Fujitsu brand R&D capability
- Potential exclusive Teaming Agreement with Escher for UK Post Office



Key Decision Makers

| Name | Buyer Role | Fujitsu Services Contact |
|---|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| John Roberts, CEO | | Richard Christou/David Courtley |
| David Mills, Group MD, Post Office Ltd | Key decision maker | David Courtley |
| Alan Barrie, Post Office Ltd Executive Director | Key Decision Maker | Paul Lush, Liam Foley, Stephen Muchow |
| Peter Corbett, Post Office Ltd Finance Director | Financial Control | Liam Foley and Colin Lenton- Smith |
| Basil Larkins, Strategic Alliances Director | Key user | Liam Foley |
| Gordon Steele, Customer Services Director | Key User | Liam Foley |
| David Miller, Operations Director | Key User | Stephen Muchow, Liam Foley |
| Mike Wells, Post Office Ltd IS Director | Key Decision Maker | Liam Foley/Clive Underhill |



Procurement Process and Timetable

| Milestone | Owner | Date |
|--------------------|-------|-----------|
| Heads of Agreement | Joint | June 2002 |
| Contract | Joint | Sept 2002 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | · |
| | | |



Proposed Solution (1/2)

Solution will address:

- > Managed Service to operate, support and maintain counter network
- Application support and enhancement
- > Joint programme and architectural change management
- Incremental development projects
- > Technical Refresh option
- Transition from current contract
- > IPR ownership
- Scope extended to cover Back Office systems

Anticipated areas of risk

- Fujitsu (Pathway) & Post Office cultural change needed for success will not happen
- Openness will be used to introduce competition for every development opportunity
- Cost savings not realistic
- Getting to "open architecture" cannot be priced



Proposed Solution (2/2)

- Internal and external supplier details (reference Alliances Programme as appropriate)
 - Contract, project management and design authority: LPD
 - Operational Services for base contract Fujitsu Services Core Services
 - Application Management (Back Office) Fujitsu Services Core Services
 - > Data Network Energis
 - Middleware, GUI & applications Escher



Financial Proposal (early view)

- ➤ Total Contract Value £560M over 7 years
- Costs

£420M

> Margin

25%

> Profit

£140M

- Cash flow (consider Flexible Finance)
 - Positive for managed service and new development projects
 - Impact of architecture change and contract transition is TBA
- Current Contract Value Forecast £419.3M (2002-2005)
- Margin Forecast £72.7M (2002- 2005)



Bid Plan/Costs

- > 6 "Strands" agreed for joint working:
 - > Architecture
 - Services
 - Relationship
 - > Commercial
 - Finance
 - Outsourcing
- > Detailed plans are being developed in each Strand
- ➤ Aim is to reach Heads of Agreement in June for a replacement contract agreed by end-September
- ➤ Budget £350K



Bid Team (1/2)

| Key Role | Name |
|----------------------|---------------------|
| Business Owner | Stephen Muchow |
| Client Manager | Liam Foley |
| Bid Manager | Clive Underhill |
| Relationship Manager | Ann Hosford |
| Technical Design | Graham Brown |
| Authority | |
| Finance | Bryony Carter/Kevin |
| | Balloch |
| Commercial | Colin Lenton-Smith |
| Legal | Chris Jay |
| Risk | Simon Robertson |



Bid Team (2/2)

- > The following additional bid resource requirements have been identified:
 - Service Consultant or Service Development Manager
 - > Identify and specify managed services and SLAs
 - Liaison with Service Managers
 - Produce service transition plans
 - Programme Office Manager
 - Develop organisational model for new joint Programme Office. (Fujitsu Services/Post Office/Escher)
 - > Specify processes for joint architecture and programme management
 - > Identify dependencies on Post Office and Escher
 - Development Manager
 - > Develop plans and costs for architectural change
 - AM Consultant or AM Business Manager
 - Specify the AM service for Back Office systems
 - Identify 3rd party management requirements
 - > Develop transition plans for take-on



CSLC Status

- Scores by Sales Stage
 - > Stage 1 Plan

Score

Unanswered

Gateways

Requirements

Risk

Explanation

- > Customer Requirements are not yet sufficiently detailed
- Risk analysis yet to be carried out



Approval Checklist

- ➤ Confirm approvals/authorities obtained
 - ➤ List areas where approval is required and has been obtained against Commercial Policy for Authorisation of New Business; and
 - ➤ CSLC approval authorities : Sales, Business Division, Operations, Project Management/Service Delivery, Finance, Commercial, Technical (as appropriate)

