Ref: CR/ACD/372 **ICL Pathway Acceptance Proposal** Version: 0.4 **Acceptance Incident 372** 16/9/99 Date: **Document Title:** Acceptance Proposal for Acceptance Incident 372 **Document Type:** Acceptance Proposal This document contains the agreed Closure Plan in respect of Abstract: Acceptance Incident 372. **Status:** Issued **Distribution:** Expert: Peter Copping ICL Pathway: Library POCL: Bob Booth/Jeremy Folkes John Meagher Min Burdett Jeff Austin

**Author:** D C Hollingsworth/ J C C Dicks

**Comments to:** ICL Pathway list

Comments by:

ICL PathwayAcceptance ProposalRef: Version: 0.4Acceptance Incident 372Date: 16/9/99

### 0 Document control

## 0.1 Document history

Version	Date	Reason
0.1	23/8/99	Initial draft for comments
0.2	24/8/99	Version for Expert and Workshop 25/8/99
0.3	8/9/99	Version documenting the agreed Clearance Plan Plan.
0.4	16/9/99	Further version following Acceptance workshop action documenting the agreed Clearance Plan Plan.

## 0.2 Approval authorities

Name	Position	Signature	Date
J H Bennett	Managing Director		
J C C Dicks	Customer Requirements Director		
T P Austin	Development Director		

#### 0.3 Associated documents

Reference Vers Title Source

#### 0.4 Abbreviations

ATE Automated Targeting Engine

## **ICL Pathway**

# Acceptance Proposal Acceptance Incident 372

Ref: CR/ACD/372 Version: 0.4 Date: 16/9/99

## 0.5 Table of content

PURPOSE	4
SUMMARY	4
CRITERIA	4
POCL POSITION	4
ICL PATHWAY POSITION	5
EVIDENCE TO ENABLE THE PLAN TO BE AGREED	5
5.1.1 Report of the upgrade exercise and technical briefing	5
5.1.1 Report of the upgrade exercise and technical briefing 5.1.2 Analysis of the Incident	5 5
5.1.1 Report of the upgrade exercise and technical briefing	5 5 6
(	CRITERIAPOCL POSITION

**ICL Pathway** 

# Acceptance Proposal Acceptance Incident 372

Ref: CR/ACD/372 Version: 0.4 Date: 16/9/99

## 1. Purpose

This document sets out the ICL Pathway proposal to the Expert with respect to Acceptance Incident 372.

## 2. Summary

ICL Pathway accepts that there were some system management incidents during the CSR LT1 to LT2 system upgrade activity during the Live Trial period. Although the scale of problems was relatively modest for the number of outlets involved (299), the problems encountered, if left uncorrected, would cause difficulties in rolling out future software upgrades to a much larger live estate. Thus ICL Pathway accepted a Medium severity assessment.

Since the Acceptance Incident was raised the three important issues, that were the root cause of the incidents, have all been fixed and details have been provided to POCL. Ongoing monitoring and reporting of the systems management operations are already in place, with details provided routinely to POCL via the ICL Pathway web site.

Specific technical questions relating to the performance and scalability of the software distribution product and its method of operation have been answered in a detailed technical presentation.

Specific further commitments have been given concerning the nature of CP2116 and the content of a "substantial software download".

Other lessons learned from the upgrade exercise will be incorporated in the planning of the next software upgrade, expected to be CSR to CSR+ during 2000. POCL will be invited to participate in that exercise (as they were in the LT1 to LT2 exercise), but ICL Pathway cannot currently provide a date for this exercise since it has not yet completed the planning stage. On the basis of the measures already in place, ICL Pathway assesses the incident severity as "Low".

#### 3. Criteria

The Criterion under test is 537/1.

## 4. POCL position

Based upon the minutes of the Acceptance Board Meeting of 18 August 1999, POCL contended that:

"there is lack of evidence on resolution to enable the current plan to be agreed".

"the plan does not contain clear dates and deliverables".

POCL have also expressed the desire to see a "dress rehearsal" of the next major upgrade exercise using the (to be) developed scripts applied to a "small test estate".

ICL Pathway Acceptance Proposal
Acceptance Incident 372

Ref: CR/ACD/372 Version: 0.4 Date: 16/9/99

## 5. ICL Pathway position

### 5.1 Evidence to enable the plan to be agreed

#### 5.1.1 Report of the upgrade exercise and technical briefing

ICL Pathway has already provided a very full and detailed report on the CSR LT1 to LT2 upgrade exercise. This report includes:

- the full history of the weekend exercise
- a statement of problem areas encountered
- the decisions taken to overcome various problem as they arose
- references to ongoing operating procedures that will be improved
- recommended improvements, that will be incorporated into future system upgrade exercises

POCL has reviewed the report and raised further questions, to which ICL Pathway has also responded. ICL Pathway has also held a detailed technical briefing session for POCL with the ICL Pathway senior systems designer covering the overall system management procedures and products used.

#### 5.1.2 Analysis of the Incident

ICL Pathway has accepted that some aspects of the LT1 to LT2 upgrade identified problems in the systems management process. Three specific issues were identified:

- 1. The procedure for delivering one element of Reference Data ("Type D" Reference Data) was not properly established, resulting in this Reference Data being missing from some outlets and delaying the upgrade programme whilst in-flight rectification was undertaken
- 2. The procedure for dealing with "Error Type 221" reports from the Tivoli system management software was incorrectly specified, resulting in operations staff following an unnecessary, but safe, procedure to manually control the software upgrade on a site by site basis, rather than controlling the distribution automatically using the Automated Targeting Engine. This resulted in a somewhat extended time to complete the upgrade compared with the planned time.
- 3. A specific technical fault was identified within the Automated Targeting Engine, causing long error messages from an outlet being incorrectly handled.

In addition potential improvements were noted to several operational activities during the LT1 to LT2 upgrade exercise and these will be fed into the planning activity for the next software upgrade, although this has not yet reached a formally planned state.

### 5.2 Clearance plan

The agreed Clearance Plan is based upon:

Ref: CR/ACD/372

16/9/99

Version: 0.4

Date:

#### **ICL Pathway**

# Acceptance Proposal Acceptance Incident 372

1. Actions to correct the three specific faults identified above.

These actions have all been completed and details made available to POCL.

 The provision of additional technical details to POCL in response to comments on the LT1 to LT2 upgrade report, specifically on the use of the Tivoli software and the capabilities of the ATE.

This has been completed in the form of a detailed presentation to POCL staff by the ICL Pathway senior designer responsible for systems management.

3. The provision of ongoing monitoring of the software distribution process, using the ATE, with regular statistics provided on the ICL Pathway web site of software distribution to the live estate (software details, start date, 95%, 99% and 100% complete dates).

This action has been completed with the exception of adding details on the 99% completion date. ICL Pathway offers to provide this within one month. In addition if POCL wish to view the use of the ATE in this situation ICL Pathway has offered to provide this. Systems management capability is an ongoing agenda item at the monthly Service Review Forum meetings where any questions or issues arising from this ongoing monitoring / reporting can be raised for discussion and resolution.

4. The provision for joint input by POCL and ICL Pathway into the next upgrade plan (expected to be CSR to CSR+ during the first half of year 2000), allowing POCL to have assurance that any concerns arising from the last upgrade exercise have been addressed within the plans for the next.

This undertaking has been provided. The dates and details for this exercise are beyond the current planning window but planning is expected to start towards the end of this year or early next year. (Note that each upgrade situation is individually planned according to the volume of software to be distributed, the size of the live estate, the hours of operation of the outlets involved, etc.)

- Although ICL Pathway believes the above actions are comprehensive, should POCL have residual concerns as to the capability of the systems management service to cope with a substantial upgrade activity, ICL Pathway has also proposed that CSR+ will initially be distributed to an agreed subset of live offices prior to full roll-out. This offers the opportunity to review software distribution capability in a live trial environment prior to commitment to the entire live estate. At the time of writing, this particular exercise lies beyond the current planning window (and hence cannot be assigned a specific date) but would form part of the agreed CSR+ introduction plan.
- 6. .dll file checking.

ICL Pathway has developed a Systems Management task that checks the structure of .dll files in prescribed system directories for content integrity. This task can be executed on a one shot basis at a particular outlet, or can be

Ref: CR/ACD/372

16/9/99

Version: 0.4

Date:

#### **ICL Pathway**

# Acceptance Proposal Acceptance Incident 372

consolidated with other systems maintenance activities in the regular overnight script (this also reloads the Riposte desktop, for example).

CP 2116 is being implemented to provide this regular overnight capability.

ICL Pathway will continue to run the .dll contents check on potentially affected files within the overnight activities until an agreed closure criterion is achieved. This will be either:

- diagnosis and fix of the underlying corruption problem, or:
- a substantial software download has occurred followed by a clear period of 3 months running with no detected .dll file corruptions.

Pathway confirms that .exe and .ocx files are also checked per CP 2116.

7. ATE (Automated Targeting Engine) functioning

The incident that occurred during the LT2 upgrade has been tested and fixed (incorrect handling of long error messages). The fault was recorded and cleared through the OSD fault notification process (PinICL is used for Pathway related incidents). Further details have been supplied by OSD on the nature of the fault and the resolution undertaken:

Messages in the Tivoli Log that exceed 512 bytes caused an error in ATE due to a string size allocated not being long enough. The change required was to terminate the log messages to a maximum of 512 bytes. Testing was performed by simulating a Tivoli log with a message greater then 512 bytes and was implemented with a new release of ATE.

As noted previously the ATE is in regular usage. ICL Pathway continues to demonstrate its usage during normal operational management of the live estate. Consistent with this usage, ICL Pathway will consolidate distributions representative of the LT2 distribution during the next three months live operation. (Representative in this sense is taken to include elements of both Riposte and the Pathway counter applications.)

These distributions will be:

- 1. Riposte Peripheral Server (update #20). The characteristics of this upgrade are that it involves complex interaction between parts of ICL Pathway, and is defined by three Release Notes with detailed interdependencies.
- 2. Consolidated EPOSS/Counter Applications Upgrade. This is distinguished by its relative size, several Mbs.

Appropriate supporting documentation will be supplied, including interdependencies, activity logs and statements of how any exceptions were handled.

ICL Pathway Acceptance Proposal Ref: CR/ACD/372

Acceptance Incident 372 Version: 0.4
Date: 16/9/99

#### 5.2.1 Clearance plan summary

All important Clearance plan activities have been completed.

Ongoing monitoring of software distribution activities is already provided (with a further minor extension planned) and an appropriate review forum identified for resolution of any matters arising.

The principle of POCL involvement in the planning of such software upgrade exercises is already established and will continue into future exercises such as the CSR+ upgrade. This can include further assurance of software distribution capability within a live trial context.