

From: Amy Prime <[redacted] GRO>
To: Simon Henderson <[redacted] GRO>
Cc: Lucy Bremner <[redacted] GRO>, Jonathan Gribben
<[redacted] GRO>, Andrew Parsons <[redacted] GRO>
Subject: RE: Letter to Freeths - KEL and PEAKs [WBODUK-AC.FID27032497]
Date: Tue, 19 Feb 2019 17:37:23 +0000
Importance: Normal
Inline-Images: image001.png; image002.png; image003.png; image382add.PNG; image10db06.PNG;
imaged9fbc6.PNG

Simon

Freeths have confirmed:

"There is no list of those KELs that were deleted beyond retrieval, i.e. those in category 2.a) in the email below, therefore the existence of such a KEL can only be surmised by it being referenced elsewhere (that is, on the assumption that any such reference has been typed correctly.)"

Many thanks

Amy

Amy Prime
Solicitor
Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP

d: [redacted]
m: [redacted]
t: [redacted]
e: [redacted] GRO

[Stay informed: sign up to our e-alerts](#)



womblebond Dickinson.com



From: Simon Henderson [mailto:[redacted] GRO]
Sent: 18 February 2019 17:06
To: Amy Prime
Cc: Lucy Bremner; Jonathan Gribben; Andrew Parsons
Subject: RE: Letter to Freeths - KEL and PEAKs [WBODUK-AC.FID27032497]

Amy

Final thought: I think the answer to this is obvious but presumably FJ do not know how many irretrievable KELs there are i.e. there is no record of the number of them?

Simon

From: Amy Prime [mailto:[GRO](#)]
Sent: 18 February 2019 16:37
To: Simon Henderson <[GRO](#)>
Cc: Lucy Bremner <[GRO](#)>; Jonathan Gribben <[GRO](#)>;
Andrew Parsons <[GRO](#)>
Subject: RE: Letter to Freeths - KEL and PEAKs [WBDUK-AC.FID27032497]

Simon

Thanks, we will add this to the Parker 3 list and amend the letter as suggested.

Many thanks

Amy

Amy Prime
Solicitor
Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP

d: [GRO](#)
m: [GRO](#)
t: [GRO](#)
e: [GRO](#)

[Stay informed: sign up to our e-alerts](#)



womblebond Dickinson.com



From: Simon Henderson [mailto:[GRO](#)]
Sent: 18 February 2019 16:19
To: Amy Prime
Cc: Lucy Bremner; Jonathan Gribben; Andrew Parsons
Subject: RE: Letter to Freeths - KEL and PEAKs [WBDUK-AC.FID27032497]

OK but we are still left with the problem that Parker 2 has not explained this. I think this needs to be added to the list of things which should be dealt with in Parker 3.

I think as well that your letter should make it clear that the non-retrievable KELs are in addition to those referred to in Parker 2.

Best

Simon

From: Amy Prime [<mailto:> GRO]
Sent: 18 February 2019 15:45
To: Simon Henderson <GRO>
Cc: Lucy Bremner <GRO>; Jonathan Gribben <GRO>;
Andrew Parsons <GRO>
Subject: RE: Letter to Freeths - KEL and PEAKs [WBDUK-AC.FID27032497]

Simon

The 1,491 deleted KELs referred to in Parker 2 are the retrievable, deleted KELs which have been disclosed. This figure does not include the non-retrievable deleted KELs. The position in our letter of 17 Jan 19 should therefore be consistent with the disclosure of documents referred to by Parker in his statement.

Whilst the existence of these non-retrievable deleted KELs will be new to Freeths, we have not withheld any documents from disclosure (since there is nothing to provide) and the documents which they have access to would be the same no matter when they were informed about this.

Kind regards

Amy

Amy Prime

Solicitor
Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP

d: GRO
m:
t:
e:

[Stay informed: sign up to our e-alerts](#)



womblebonddickinson.com



From: Simon Henderson [<mailto:> GRO]
Sent: 18 February 2019 13:52
To: Amy Prime
Cc: Lucy Bremner; Jonathan Gribben; Andrew Parsons
Subject: RE: Letter to Freeths - KEL and PEAKs [WBDUK-AC.FID27032497]

Amy

The drafting of the letter is fine.

However, if I have understood this properly we are now telling Freeths for the first time that there is a further category of KELs namely those that were deleted and are non-retrievable. This is likely to cover, as I

understand the evidence, the period 2000-2008. Is that right? If so, that is obviously a significant amount of the time covered by Horizon. In WBD's letter dated 17/1/19 reference is made to paras 61.6 and 61.9 of Parker 2. Here he says that there are 113 deactivated Legacy Horizon KELs and 1,491 deleted KELs. In arriving at these figures, has he included those KELs which we now know are non-retrievable? If so, then I think it might be worth confirming this in this letter. If not, however, it will follow that we are now telling them about the existence of entirely new KELs and we need to consider how best to present this. The impression given by 10.2 of the 17/1 letter is that we were providing all 1,491 deleted KELs referred to in Parker 2 61.9.

I think this should be bottomed out before this letter is sent.

Best

Simon

From: Amy Prime [[mailto:](#) GRO]
Sent: 18 February 2019 11:19
To: Simon Henderson <GRO>
Cc: Lucy Bremner <GRO>; Jonathan Gribben <GRO>;
Andrew Parsons <GRO>
Subject: Letter to Freeths - KEL and PEAKs [WBDUK-AC.FID27032497]

Dear Simon

In Coyne 2, there are a number of references to Peaks and KELs which have not been disclosed. FJ have looked into these for us and provided confirmation as to whether they can locate the documents and if so, provided us with a copy of them. Please find attached a draft letter to Freeths which gives disclosure of these documents.

Peaks

FJ have managed to locate some of the Peaks referred to by Coyne in an archive of PinICL (the predecessor to PEAK). Matthew (FJ) has confirmed:

There are two Access databases that contain some details of archived PinICLs.

Our [FJ's] understanding is that they appear to be incomplete both in terms of a) not containing all of the original information that was stored against those PinICL details that they do contain, and b) not containing between them all of the PinICLs that existed.

KEL

Matthew has also confirmed (see attached email) that there are different types of deleted KELs: (1) non-retrievable and (2) deleted but saved. We have given disclosure of the Category (2) documents on 17 January 2019, and the KELs not disclosed but referred to by Coyne are believed to fall within category (1) and therefore we cannot give disclosure of these.

Any comments on the letter would be welcomed.

Kind regards

Amy

Amy Prime

Solicitor

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP



[Stay informed: sign up to our e-alerts](#)



womblebond Dickinson.com



Please consider the environment! Do you need to print this email?

The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. [shenderson](#) [GRO](#) only is authorised to access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not [shenderson](#) [GRO](#), please notify [amy.prime](#) [GRO](#) as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this communication or attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful. Information about how we use personal data is in our [Privacy Policy](#) on our website.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses and you should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment.

Content of this email which does not relate to the official business of Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP, is neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email is sent by Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP which is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC317661. Our registered office is 4 More London Riverside, London, SE1 2AU, where a list of members' names is open to inspection. We use the term partner to refer to a member of the LLP or an employee or consultant who is of equivalent standing. Our VAT registration number is GB123393627.

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP is a member of Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited, which consists of independent and autonomous law firms providing services in the US, the UK, and elsewhere around the world. Each Womble Bond Dickinson entity is a separate legal entity and is not responsible for the acts or omissions of, nor can bind or obligate, another Womble Bond Dickinson entity. Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited does not practice law. Please see www.womblebond Dickinson.com/legal notices for further details.

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority

Simon Henderson

4 Pump Court, Temple, London, EC4Y 7AN | www.4pumpcourt.com



| [View Profile](#)

Follow us on twitter [@4PumpCourt](#)
Connect with us on [LinkedIn](#)

Pump Court International | www.pumpcourtinternational.com

Terms of Work: Barristers at 4 Pump Court carry out services on 4 Pump Court Terms, which are available on our website, unless otherwise agreed in writing. | [View Terms](#)

Privacy: Your attention is drawn to our Privacy Notice in relation to any personal data that we may obtain and/or otherwise process about you. | [View Privacy Notice](#)

Notice of Confidentiality and Privilege: This email (including attachments) is confidential to the addressee, and may be subject to legal professional privilege. If you are not the addressee, you must not copy, distribute or otherwise use it or any information contained in this email. Please delete it, and destroy all copies