Wednesday, 8 November 2023 1 a statement dated 15 August 2023 running to 1 2 2 (10.00 am) 36 pages? 3 MR STEVENS: Good morning, sir, can you hear and see 3 A. Yes, the statement is 34 pages and then there's 4 4 me? two other pages of index. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, thank you. Yes. On page 34 there should be your signature? 5 5 Q. 6 MR STEVENS: Thank you. If I may call 6 A. Yes. 7 Mrs Williamson. 7 Q. Are the contents of that statement true to the 8 **TERESA MARY WILLIAMSON (affirmed)** 8 best of your knowledge and belief? 9 Questioned by MR STEVENS There's just one thing I do wish to correct. 9 A. MR STEVENS: Mrs Williamson, as you know, my name is 10 10 Q. Yes? Sam Stevens and I ask questions on behalf of the 11 When I was reading the statements and exhibits 11 12 Inquiry. Can I ask you to state your full name, last night, I realised that the person who 12 13 13 actually made the decision on prosecution, there please. My name is Teresa Mary Williamson. 14 was one for each business and I think it was the 14 Α. Q. In front of you there should be a bundle of 15 person called the National Investigation Officer 15 16 documents. 16 for the Post Office Limited. In the past, it 17 Α. Yes. 17 had been the Retail or the Area Managers but, by Q. If I can ask you -- I think it's the smaller 18 18 the time, I think, this case was being dealt 19 bundle in this case -- to turn to that and you 19 with, I think there was one person making the 20 should see in one of the first tabs a copy of 20 decisions on prosecutions within each business, 21 21 your witness statement? so I think that was not quite correct when 22 22 A. That is correct. I made that statement, but I just misremembered 23 Q. Thank you for giving that written statement to 23 that. I see. So, subject to that correction, the 24 the Inquiry and for giving oral evidence today. 24 Q. 25 Can I just check with you that that is 25 remainder of the statement is true to the best 1 of your knowledge and belief? 1 Q. You go on to say that you had a very small 2 A. Absolutely. 2 number of private prosecutions relating to 3 Q. For the purpose of the record, that statement is 3 obvious dogs. 4 WITN08680100. That statement now stands as your 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. In terms of private prosecutions, when you say evidence to the Inquiry. I am going to ask you 5 6 some questions about it. The first one is just 6 a very small number, how many are we talking: 7 a point for clarification. You're now 7 less than ten, fewer than ten? Mrs Teresa Williamson. 8 8 Δ Yeah, fewer than ten. It's one or two, I think. 9 Q. When you were prosecuting those cases, did you A. Yes. 9 Q. At the time your last name was Berridge; is that advise on charging decisions? 10 10 11 correct? 11 A. Yes. Yes, that's correct, that was my professional 12 Α. 12 Q. When you were advising on charging decisions, name as a solicitor but I now use my married 13 13 would you apply the Code for Crown Prosecutors? 14 14 A. Those were completely private prosecutions. name. Q. Starting then, with your career history. You 15 I must admit, I don't think I did, when I was 15 16 qualified as a solicitor in 1990 -doing those private prosecutions, apply the Code 16 17 for Crown Prosecutors. But all the cases 17 Α. That's correct. Q. -- and on qualification you worked for 18 I dealt with at Royal Mail as a prosecutor, 18 a solicitors firm practising criminal law? I did apply the Code for Crown Prosecutors, yes. 19 19 20 A. Yes, I did. 20 Q. We'll come to that now. You joined the Post Q. In your statement, you say that your caseload 21 Office in 1992? 21 22 was almost exclusively involved defending 22 Α. Yes. 23 individuals who had been accused of criminal 23 Q. So two years after qualifying? 24 offences. 24 25 25 A. (The witness nodded) Q. You say that your role involved prosecuting 3

- 1 cases. Was the prosecution of criminal cases
- 2 your soul area of responsibility or did you have
- 3 other casework as well?
- 4 A. I did a little bit of advice work, yes.
- 5 Q. Advising on what matters?
- 6 A. Like criminal type issues, yes.
- 7 Q. So very much within the Criminal Law Team and
- 8 focused on criminal practice?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. You say in your statement that, at the time of
- 11 joining, there were around ten lawyers in the
- 12 Criminal Law Team. Of those, how many would be
- working on prosecutions against subpostmasters
- 14 for theft, false accounting or similar offences?
- 15 A. When I first started working at the Post Office,
- 16 all lawyers in the Criminal Law Team, apart from
- 17 the Assistant Director, later called the Head of
- 18 the Department, the team leader, everyone would
- 19 prosecute a mixture of cases. So some would be
- 20 Royal Mail cases, some Post Office Limited cases
- 21 and some might be Parcelforce or cash handling
- 22 and distribution. So it was a real mix at the
- 23 beginning, although later I think it changed to
- 24 people tended to do more of one kind of case.
- 25 Q. When you said everyone except what became the
 - F
- 1 **Q.** -- in '95/'96?
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. Roughly how many lawyers and senior lawyers were
- 4 there as a proportion within the team?
- 5 A. So when I first joined the Criminal Law Team
- 6 I think I was the only lawyer. Everybody else
- 7 was a senior lawyer. And then when I became
- 8 a senior lawyer, from time to time I think we
- 9 did have article clerks came and joined us in
- 10 the team and, at some stage, also, there was
- 11 a junior lawyer came and joined us in the team.
- 12 But, sorry, I can't remember the dates.
- 13 Q. In terms of the title "senior lawyer" did that
- 14 simply reflect that you'd been at the business
- 15 for a period of time or was it a substantive
- 16 promotion?
- 17 A. It was a substantive promotion. I remember
- that, in the run-up to me becoming a senior
- 19 lawyer, I was purposely given cases, more
- 20 challenging cases, so that I could prove that
- 21 I could deal with more challenging cases on my
- 22 own.
- ${\bf 23}~~{\bf Q}.~~{\bf When~you~say~more~challenging~cases,~can~you~}$
- 24 recall what type of challenging -- or why they
- 25 were more challenging?

- 1 team leader --
- 2 A. Yeah.
- 3 Q. -- when you joined, you were referring there to
- 4 Roger Williams?
- 5 A. No, I think I was about Mike Heath, who was the
- 6 Assistant Director, the head of the Criminal Law
- 7 Team.
- 8 Q. So do you recall what Roger Williams' job title
- 9 was?
- 10 A. So he was the principal lawyer and, yeah,
- 11 I think when I first joined, he was doing
- 12 a mixture of cases, yes.
- 13 Q. Mike Heath, you said -- sorry, could you just
- 14 repeat his job title?
- 15 A. Mike Heath was the assistant director and he
- 16 oversaw, he managed the team. I don't know
- 17 whether he had any of his own caseload. He
- 18 might have had the more serious cases but
- 19 I think more managerial role, and higher level
- 20 advice work on criminal law.
- 21 Q. When you joined, your job title was lawyer.
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You became senior lawyer or promoted to senior
- 24 lawyer --
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 A. I guess either because there was more paperwork
- 2 or because financially there was more involved.
- 3 So one case I particularly remember was a case
- 4 where I think 500,000 was involved, but that was
- 5 more of a Royal Mail case. It was someone
- 6 trying to undercut the -- sort of like the Royal
- 7 Mail and the universal service provision, so
- 8 it's a more complicated case and I remember
- 9 dealing with that in the run-up to becoming
- 10 a senior lawyer. That's a case that --
- 11 evidencing that could deal with more.
- 12 Q. So in terms of your line management, you say you
- 13 reported into Roger Williams initially --
- 14 A. Initially.
- 15 Q. -- and then that became Rob Wilson later?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. Did Roger Williams and/or Rob Wilson report into
- 18 Mike Heath?
- 19 A. They did and, in fact, many ways we all reported
- 20 in to Mike Heath but they would have been the
- 21 people who would have done, say, for example, my
- 22 appraisal, they would have been the people that
- 23 I went to first if I had any issues in cases.
- 24 Q. Can you recall to whom Mike Heath reported?
- 25 A. So initially he reported to -- well, he did --

- 1 or the way throughout -- reporting to "the
- 2 solicitor" to the Post Office, and when I first
- 3 joined it was a man and, I'm really sorry,
- 4 I can't remember his name. But, after a period
- 5 of time, it was a woman called Catherine
- 6 Churchard.
- 7 Q. To what extent did the solicitor to the Post
- 8 Office have involvement with the day-to-day
- 9 running of the Criminal Law Team?
- 10 A. So Catherine Churchard?
- Q. Yes. 11
- Very little. It was Mike Heath who was in 12 A.
- 13 charge of his team. You really only had
- 14 dealings with Catherine Churchard -- in fact,
- 15 actually nice dealings, I remember when I got
- 16 pregnant I got a present, but I didn't really
- 17 have much dealings with her at all.
- 18 When you were promoted to be a senior lawyer in Q.
- 19 '95 or '96, you say at that stage the level of
- 20 supervision over your work would have been
- minimal? 21
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Can you expand on that: to what extent was it
- 24 supervised?
- 25 Α. When files came in from the Investigators, they
- 1 in the team, a senior lawyer in the team, yes.
- 2 Q. So we know that '95/'96, you said beforehand
- 3 that they started -- you had a variety of work
- 4 of all different types of cases.
- 5 A. Mm.
- 6 Q. By '95/'96, were you noticing that you were
- 7 getting more of a particular type of case and,
- 8 if so, what type?
- 9 A. So not so much '95/'96. '95/'96, I think it was
- still a wide variety of cases but it was after 10
- 11 I returned from maternity leave. Later on,
- 12 I can't remember exactly when it happened, that
- 13 we got more restricted cases, more towards the
- 14 2000s, I think.
- 15 Q. When you say more restricted with the cases,
- 16 what type of cases were you getting more
- 17 regularly at that point?
- A. I think -- I can't remember which cases I was 18
- mainly allocated to but I think I did get a fair 19
- 20 few Post Office Limited cases, yes.
- How regularly would you have a case against 21
- 22 a subpostmaster for charges of theft or false
- 23 accounting?
- 24 Α. And that's something I really can't remember.
- I really cannot remember the number of the cases 25

- 1 always went through the desk of the team leader
- 2 or had been the Assistant Director, and he would
- 3 have allocated cases or, if he had wanted to --
- I don't know whether he did or not, whether he 4
- 5 had ever had a look in cases just to see things
- 6 were going properly.
- 7 Q. You say at that time you took over a supervisory
- role. How many people did you supervise? 8
- 9 A. In total, three: two trainee solicitors, article
- 10 clerks and one junior lawyer, but at different
- 11 times.
- **Q**. The article clerks and the junior solicitors, 12
- 13 would they have their on caseload?
- A. Yes. Well, I don't think the article clerks 14
- 15 did. The junior lawyer did but I don't think
- 16 the article clerk did. I think she worked more
- 17 like one of the junior legal executives helping
- 18 with more administrative things or doing
- 19 specific tasks on the case.
- 20 Would a junior lawyer ever, for example, give
- 21 charging advice independently without
 - 22 supervision by you?
 - 23 A. So the junior lawyer, if I'd been supervising
 - 24 them at the time and I hadn't been there, they
 - 25 would have had to run it through another lawyer
 - 1 I had, the names of the defendants or the issues
 - 2 in the cases. I can only comment on what I've
- 3 seen. It's just so long ago.
- 4 Q. Well, we are going to, in due course, turn to
- 5 look at one of those. But, before we do, a few
- 6 more general questions. You refer in your
- 7 statement to there being a slight reduction in
- 8 staff numbers.
- 9 A. Mm.
- Q. We refer to ten lawyers at the start and 10
- 11 I think, by the time you left, that you say
- 12 roughly eight lawyers?
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. So are we talking simply a reduction of two
- 15

21

25

- A. In fact, when I was thinking about it last night 16
- 17 I was trying to remember the order in which
- 18 people left. So there were two rounds of
- 19 redundancies. I think at the first round of
- 20 redundancies Mike Heath left. I think also --
- I can't remember whether both Joyce Gibson and 22 Nicola Knight went or whether they went on two
- 23 separate redundancy rounds. Tony Brentnall
- 24 retired and went to Canada.
 - But I can't remember quite the order that

- 1 people left and whether some people left after
- 2 I joined the Employment Team, but all I can say,
- 3 it did reduce, but I can't remember the numbers
- 4 and when.
- 5 Q. A reduction in numbers over time. Do you recall
- 6 whether the amount of work that the Criminal Law
- 7 Team were expected to deal with, whether that
- 8 increased or decreased over the same period?
- 9 A. To me, it felt about the same.
- 10 Q. Are you aware as to whether the size of the
- 11 Investigative Team in the Security Department,
- whether that grew or shrank at the same time?
- 13 A. They also had a round of redundancies so it was
- 14 voluntary redundancies and I think a fair few
- 15 investigators went on the first round of
- 16 voluntary redundancies, yes. So it was a --
- 17 I get -- I seem to recall that both the Criminal
- 18 Law Team and the Investigations Team were
- 19 shrinking, and the business was happy with that.
- 20 Q. I want to just briefly look at the working
- 21 environment. In your statement -- we don't need
- 22 to go there but, for the record, it's firstly
- 23 paragraph 18 -- you say:
- 24 "Aside from supervision of the articled25 clerk and more junior lawyer, each member of the
 - 13
- 1 you wanted to appear that you could deal with
- 2 your own cases and didn't have to keep asking
- 3 for help. It just wasn't a collaborative team
- 4 and the reason I say that, when I moved to the
- 5 Employment Law Team, it was much more
- 6 collaborative.
- 7 Q. For example, in, say, the Criminal Law Team and
- 8 say there's number of people prosecuting
- 9 subpostmasters for, let's just say theft in this
- 10 case, and let's focus after Horizon --
- 11 A. Mm, did you say after Horizon?
- 12 **Q.** After Horizon, yes.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. The source of evidence is very similar, in that
- 15 it comes from the same computer system.
- 16 **A.** Mm.
- 17 Q. The ways of working and the practices are
- 18 similar or the same, should be the same --
- 19 **A.** Mm-hm.
- 20 Q. -- because it's in the same company. To what
- 21 extent do you think it's surprising that, when
- there were those similarities, people didn't
- 23 communicate or discuss how they approached other

- 24 cases to try to share learning?
- 25 A. It is surprising but that's how it was.

- 1 team tended to work autonomously on their cases
- 2 and there was generally very little
- 3 collaboration."
- 4 You go on to say at paragraph 13 of your
- 5 statement that the team regularly went for lunch
- 6 together but that was to have social
- 7 conversations --
- 8 **A.** Mm.
- 9 Q. -- not to talk about the cases. So this doesn't
- 10 sound like it was a case of a personality clash
- 11 within the department; is that fair?
- 12 A. Yeah.
- 13 Q. You hesitated to answer?
- 14 A. Yeah, I did hesitate, didn't I? I don't think
- 15 I was the most popular person in the team. I'll
- 16 accept that.
- 17 Q. So when you say people working autonomously, was
- 18 that that you didn't speak to other people about
- 19 your cases: were you aware of other people
- 20 speaking about their cases to each other within
- 21 the department?
- 22 A. Not really. I mean, I do recall that it was all
- very autonomous and I guess partly because we
- 24 were dealing with different cases. I think also
- 25 because I think, once you are a senior lawyer,
 - 14
- 1 Q. Do you know why?
- 2 A. Do I know why? It was just the nature of the
- 3 organisation, I think.
- 4 Q. Do you think that was caused by the way you were
- 5 managed?
- 6 A. Possibly.
- 7 Q. How would you describe the management style
- 8 of -- well, let's start with Mr Heath.
- 9 **A.** Mm.
- 10 Q. How would you describe his management style?
- 11 **A.** He was a lot more collaborative. You really
- felt that you could go in and ask him anything.
- 13 Q. Who took over from Mr Heath?
- 14 A. Rob Wilson.
- 15 Q. Rob Wilson?
- 16 **A.** Yeah.

24

- 17 Q. How did things change when Rob Wilson took over?
- 18 A. He was a quieter, more private, more reserved
- 19 person. It didn't feel quite so much an open
- door to go into his office.
- 21 Q. Do you think that more broadly affected the
- 22 level of collaboration within the team or not?
- 23 A. Certainly as far as I was concerned, yes.
- 25 helpful if the team had been more collaborative
 - 16

On reflection, do you think it would have been

- 1 or --
- 2 A. Absolutely.
- 3 Q. Why?
- 4 A. Well, I've worked in other organisations and
- 5 I've been a more senior leader in other
- 6 organisations and I realise that collaboration
- 7 and facilitation adds to the strength of a team,
- 8 and you can achieve far more when you
- 9 collaborate. But that's with the benefit of
- 10 hindsight, looking back to how things were.
- We're talking here purely within the Criminal 11
- 12 Law Team, to what extent were you aware, within
- 13 Post Office, of any sources of advice or support
- 14 for IT issues?
- So, what, if we had IT problems ourselves? 15 Α.
- 16 Q. Let me rephrase that. If a case threw up
- 17 an issue with the a computer error or an IT
- issue, were there any resources of which you 18
- 19 were aware in the Post Office itself that you
- 20 could use for assistance or to discuss the
- 21 matter with?
- 22 A. Okay, so if it had come up in one of my Post
- 23 Office cases, I'd have gone back to the
- 24 Investigator and asked him to get a statement
- 25 from the relevant person, yes.

- 1 -- and to act with independence and integrity?
- 2 Α. Absolutely.
- Q. To what extent, if at all, did you find it was 3
- 4 more difficult to comply with those duties as
- 5 an in-house solicitor?
- 6 A. I thought it was easier, actually. It's very
- 7 hard as a defence solicitor sometimes, when
- 8 clients were trying to get you to -- they might
- 9 tell you one thing and then they wanted to put
- 10 forward a different case. In some ways, it was
- 11 much more challenging as a defence solicitor
- 12 because I trusted that, within the Post Office,
- 13 if I ask for evidence to be obtained, that it
- 14 would be obtained. I trusted that if there was
- 15 unused material to be disclosed, that that would
- 16 be provided to me by the Investigator.
- 17 So I thought, at the time, until I read the 18 article in the Computer Weekly, that it was
- 19 easier.
- 20 Q. Well, let's just go out of order a bit because
- 21 you've raised this. You say that's what you
- 22 thought at the time?
- 23 A. Yeah.
- 24 What are your reflections now?
- Looking back, knowing what I know now since 25 Α.

- Q. So you would have left that for the Investigator 1
- 2 and you yourself wouldn't have approached --
- 3 A. Absolutely because it was the Investigators who
 - gathered the evidence, and then the Criminal Law
- Team advised on the evidence, and then the 5
- 6 National Investigation Manager made the final
- 7 decision on whether there should be
- 8 a prosecutor. So there was a very clear
- 9 delineation between who did what.
- 10 Q. I want to move now to look at the slight
- 11 difference in role you had because before you
- were in private practice in a solicitor's 12
- 13 firm --
- 14 A. Yeah.
- 15 Q. -- and one thing is you went from mostly defence
- 16 to solely prosecution?
- 17 A.
- 18 Secondly, you became an in-house solicitor --
- 19 Α. Yeah.
- 20 Q. -- where your employer was also your client?
- 21 A. Yeah

1

- 22 Presumably as an in-house solicitor, you
- 23 accepted you still had your ordinary duties to
- 24 the court --
- 25 A. 100 per cent, yes.

18

- I read the article in Computer Weekly and also
- 2 reading the judgments in the Bates case and the
- 3 Hamilton case, I realise that there was a lot of
- 4 material that wasn't disclosed to me and things
- 5 that I should have known about I didn't know
- 6 about. And that makes me angry and sad.
- 7 Q. Can you explain why that makes you angry?
- 8 Because we should have been told these things.
- 9 So, for example, I understand there were
- 10 discussions at board level about the reliability
- 11 of the Horizon system, and that was not filtered
- 12 down to the Criminal Law Team.
- 13 Q. If it had been filtered down, speaking purely
- 14 for yourself, what do you think you would have
- 15 done differently?
- A. Well, if I'd known that the system was not 16
- 17 operating properly, I would have insisted that
- the relevant statements were obtained from 18 19
- Fujitsu to explain how the system, what was 20 working, or if it wasn't working properly, in
- 21 what respects it wasn't working properly.
- Q. That statement, would you have done anything in 23 respect of documentation?
- 24 In what sense?

22

25 Q. Sorry, so you would have obtained statements

- 1 from Fujitsu. Would you have looked for any or
- 2 asked the investigators to look for any further
- 3 documents relating --
- 4 A. Absolutely, yes. Definitely.
- 5 Q. Did you ever feel at any point under pressure
- 6 from Investigators to pursue a prosecution?
- 7 A. The main one I can think of was a case prior to
- 8 Horizon where there was an issue in relation to
- 9 unused material, and I sent off the Investigator
- 10 to go and search a big warehouse looking for
- paid orders. There was pushback from him in 11
- 12 that case and, in fact, we did actually have to
- 13 withdraw that case. So that's the case that
- 14 I remember where there was the biggest pushback
- 15 but that's a pre-Horizon case.
- 16 Q. From what you said, that was withdrawn, that
- 17 case?
- That case had to be withdrawn and, if I'd ever 18 A.
- 19 had any Horizon cases where there was that sort
- 20 of issue, where I felt there was unused material
- 21 available that was not being disclosed and there
- 22 was either a refusal to disclose it or I was
- 23 being told it couldn't be found and they weren't
- 24 going to withdraw the case, I wouldn't have
- 25 stood for that.

- 1 Gosh, I've got to -- what type of case are you
- 2 talking about? There were so many different
- 3 types of cases.
- 4 Q. If it was a subpostmaster who is alleged to have
- 5 stolen cash from the Post Office, a similar case
- 6 to R v Brennan, which we'll come to shortly,
- 7 that type of case.
- 8 A. Okay. So I guess the starting point would
- 9 probably be -- usually it's an audit had taken
- 10 place at the sub post office or the branch post
- 11 office -- and I am really trying to remember
- 12 a long way back now. It's really hard to
- 13 remember. There would often be paperwork,
- 14 statements and exhibits from the DSS Paid Order
- 15 Unit in Lisahally; there would be statements
- 16 from the officers, the Investigating Officers;
- 17 there would be the record of tape recorded 18 interview; if the person had voluntarily agreed
- 19 to be searched, whether anything had been found
- 20 on them; if anything had been found anywhere in
- 21 the office in an untoward place.
- 22 Q. In terms of accounting records? Oh, accounting records.
- 24 The cash account by the --

23 Α.

Yeah, there'd be a cash account from the -- for 25 A.

- Q. Staying on the subject of acting as an in-house 1
- 2 solicitor, I want to talk about instructions.
- 3 That phrase can be used in two ways. Firstly,
- 4 a lay client can give instructions to
- 5 a solicitor and, secondly, a solicitor can pass
- 6 on those instructions to counsel?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. As a solicitor in the Criminal Law Team, did you
- 9 ever provide instructions to act where you made
- 10 the decision on the behalf of the Post Office as
- 11 a lay client?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. We've already covered in your evidence,
- 14 actually, who made the charging decisions, so
- 15 I don't need to take you to there. We can move
- 16 on instead to some of the processes. When you
- 17 joined, and focusing purely on cases against
- 18 subpostmasters here for theft and false
- 19 accounting, obviously when you joined, such
- 20 cases wouldn't have relied on Horizon data
- 21 because that didn't come until later.
- 22 A.
- 23 Q. Can you just summarise briefly what accounting
- 24 data was used in those cases prior to the
- 25 introduction of Horizon?

22

- 1 the sub post office, and with the -- the
- 2 documents that used to get sent off to Paid
- 3 Order Unit in Lisahally, I'm trying to remember
- 4 what kind of documentation went with it.
- 5 I can't remember the name of the form but I'm
- 6 sure there was a form, probably a handwritten
- 7 form that went with the documents to Lisahally.
- 8 Q. To what extent did the investigation focus on,
- pre-Horizon, trying to establish where alleged 9
- 10 stolen funds had gone, so whether to the
- 11 subpostmaster or otherwise?
- 12 Well, I think in all cases, pre and post,
- there'd be -- if the -- the problem was that 13
- 14 people couldn't be seared unless the police were
- 15 involved, unless they voluntarily agreed to. So
- 16 if they voluntarily agreed to be searched or the
- 17 police were involved, the person might be
- 18 searched, also their handbag or something like
- 19 that. There was often questions asked about
- 20 their accounts, their bank accounts, or things 21 like that. It just depended on the case. It's
- 22 such a general question, it's really hard to
- 23 answer.

25

- 24 Q. Let me ask one last general question though,
 - which may be hard to answer but we'll see.

- 1 Before the implementation of Horizon, if 2 a discrepancy had been identified leading to 3 an investigation, can you recall to what extent, 4 if at all, or with what regularity, 5 subpostmasters would say that any alleged 6 discrepancy wasn't due to dishonesty but due to
 - A. I think it happened before Horizon and it happened after Horizon.
- 10 Do you recall if there was a change in frequency Q. of those issues being raised? 11

errors in the accounting documentation?

No, I can't recall. 12 A.

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13 Q. Can we turn to your witness statement, please. 14 It's page 7, paragraph 19 -- sorry, the wrong 15 page.

> Page 6 -- thank you -- paragraph 19, you set out or summarise ten steps that you say were typically involved in a prosecution -- or your involvement, I should say, sorry. The first is reviewing the case file, and the final sentence says:

"On reviewing the file, my focus would have been on considering the strength of the evidence in the case in accordance with the evidential test in the Code for Crown Prosecutors which was

25

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. But you drafted the opinion on why a prosecution 3 is or is not appropriate in accordance with the 4 Code for Crown Prosecutors, with a particular 5 focus on whether there is a realistic prospect 6 of conviction.
- 7 A.
- 8 Q. Why was there a particular focus on that aspect, 9 on the first stage?
- A. Because, if the criminal lawyer didn't advise 10 there was sufficient evidence to afford 11 12 a realistic prospect of conviction, unless 13 further evidence was obtained, the case would 14 fall, then it wouldn't go any further.
- 15 Q.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"If I advised that there was a realistic prospect of conviction, I would have also included my opinion on the likelihood of success in this advice, along with the relevant charges and a summary of facts to be served on the defence. If I advised that there was not a reasonable prospect of conviction, the case would have been brought to a close at this

You say you were only providing an opinion.

1 used by the Criminal Team to assess whether 2 a case met the threshold or prosecution or not."

3 A. Mm.

4 Q. Do you recall what, if any, guidance there was available to you when you joined the Post Office 5 6 on applying the Code for Crown Prosecutors?

7 A. I just remember that we always applied the Code for Crown Prosecutors and we all had a copy of 8 9 the Code for Crown Prosecutors, and I always 10 used it when I was thinking about cases.

11 Q. What was your understanding of the evidential 12 stage of the Full Code Test?

13 A. Right. So the starting point: is there 14 sufficient evidence to afford a realistic 15 prospect of conviction? So that is whether 16 there'd be more than a 50 per cent chance of 17 success, so there'd be enough evidence on each 18 of the individual aspects of a crime, so the 19 actus reus and the mens rea. to afford 20 a realistic prospect of conviction.

21 Q. Could we turn the page now, please, to step 3 22 and this is where you're talking about drafting 23 a written advice to a Regional or Area Manager. 24 We spoke on how that may be someone different at 25 different points.

26

A. Mm.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 To what extent would you have offered an opinion 3 on the public interest aspect of the test?

4 A. So that came second. So there were -- so, first 5 of all, we'd advise whether there's sufficient 6 evidence to afford a realistic prospect of 7 conviction. Then, if there was sufficient 8 evidence to afford a realistic prospect of 9 conviction, you would possibly advise on the 10 likelihood of success. So whether there was 11 a low prospect of success, so more than 12 50 per cent but not particularly high, or 13 a moderate or a high prospect of success.

> And then, in relevant cases, say for example, you know, maybe it was a really old accused person who was maybe, I don't know, 85, something like that, and it was a maybe a small amount that had been stolen, then you might be advising whether it was in the public interest to prosecute such an old person, or if it's a very young person who maybe was working as an assistant -- or maybe a young postman, maybe, who had stolen some mail but maybe they'd only stolen one letter and they were very young, they'd only just started, again, that might be

27

in the public interest for not prosecuting. 1 factors discussed there that might tend away 1 2 2 Q. It sounds like there what you're describing is from recommending a prosecution. 3 you would proffer advice if it appeared, on the 3 Q. To what extent -- just trying to clarify this 4 face of the case, that there were --4 point on the prospects of success, of say one 5 that's 55 per cent and one that's 70 per cent, A. 5 6 Q. -- countervailing factors --6 to what extent did the variation in prospect of 7 A. Yeah. 7 success there factor in to the public interest Q. -- that suggested that a prosecution wasn't in 8 8 stage of the test, as you applied it? 9 the public interest? 9 A. Less so. 10 Α. Yes. 10 Q. Please can we bring up a document. It's Q. So was the default position that you wouldn't 11 POL00030659. 11 12 advise on the public interest unless, on the It's a document titled "Post Office Internal 12 13 face of the case, there was such countervailing 13 Prosecution Policy (Dishonesty)", and it's dated factors? 14 December 1997. Under heading 2, it says: 14 A. Yes, I think that's correct, yes. 15 "There is no single statement of current 15 16 Q. In the case of a subpostmaster accused 16 policy but it can be summed up as normally to 17 dishonesty, of theft, what, aside from the 17 prosecute all breaches of the criminal law by employees which affect the Post Office and which 18 factors you set out there, were there any others 18 19 that you may take into consideration which would 19 involve dishonesty." 20 tend or point away from a prosecution in the 20 Was that a fair reflection of -- do you 21 21 public interest? think that's an accurate reflection of what the 22 A. I think I would often, if it's one where I felt 22 policy was up to December '97? 23 a bit uncomfortable about recommending 23 A. I'm struggling to read with the glare. Can you 24 prosecution, I would read through the Code for 24 point me to the paper version, please? 25 Crown Prosecutors and see whether there were any 25 Q. Of course, yes. It should be in the bundle, the 1 smaller -- no, sorry the larger bundle at E4, it 1 Q. -- do you know if that's similar to how other 2 would be right at the back of that. I think the 2 people in the team worked or not? 3 last document within it. 3 A. I think when I was there in 2002/2003, that 4 A. Thank you. That's better. Right: 4 would have been the way people would have worked 5 "There is no single statement of current 5 and, if they didn't, I'd have been shocked and 6 policy ..." 6 disappointed with them. 7 (The witness read to herself) 7 Q. The point that there was no single statement of My reading of that is that the Code for 8 8 current policy before, was it -- from your 9 Crown Prosecutors is still overarching. So if 9 evidence, is it that -- the sort of single 10 there was insufficient evidence to afford 10 policy, in practice, was simply to apply the Code for Crown Prosecutors? 11 a realistic prospect of conviction it wouldn't 11 12 be prosecuted. It was only if there was 12 A. Certainly in the Criminal Law Team, when we were 13 sufficient evidence to afford a realistic 13 advising on the evidence, it would have been to 14 prospect of conviction, then you were moving 14 apply the Code for Crown Prosecutors. This 15 onto the next stage, would you look at this. 15 document, I think -- I'm not quite sure who the 16 So I -- because this is a document not 16 audience for the document was meant to be but my 17 written by a lawyer; this is a document written 17 reading of it was that it was almost like trying 18 by the Head of Investigations, Andrew Wilson, 18 to find more cases where the public interest 19 who is not a lawyer. So he's not articulating 19 would have applied, so it's more about not 20 the Code for Crown Prosecutors, but I would 20 prosecuting everybody. 21 always have read this through the lens of the 21 So, for example about -- there's a lot of 22 Code for Crown Prosecutors. So that would, in 22 talk about Royal Mail cases and wilful delay.

23

24 **Q**.

25

A. Yes.

effect, trump this document.

Do you think the way you worked --

31

I think it's much more aimed at that and having

fewer prosecutions rather than more

prosecutions.

23

24

- Do you have any recollection of what led to this 1 2 policy being --
- 3 A. No, that's what I don't know so I don't know why
- 4 it was drafted. Because it's a Security and
- 5 Investigations Team document, Andrew Wilson.
- 6 I know he says that lawyers have reviewed it.
- 7 I suspect that would have been Mike Heath, the
- 8 Assistant Director. But I don't know who the
- 9 target audience was for but I don't think the
- 10 target audience was necessarily so much lawyers
- as people within the business. Maybe, I don't 11
- 12 know, possibly, the people making the decision
- 13 whether to prosecute or not. But I don't know.
- 14 I'm guessing.
- Q. 15 As I say, for the purposes of your practice, you
- 16 were led by the Code for Crown Prosecutors?
- 17 Absolutely. That came first, always.
- 18 Your evidence earlier was that you didn't speak
- 19 much about practice with -- or collaborate with
- 20 other people so whilst you don't -- you've no
- 21 reason to believe that other prosecutors --
- 22 sorry, I'll rephrase that -- other lawyers in
- 23 the Criminal Law Team used this document rather
- 24 than the Code, you don't have firsthand
- 25 knowledge of that?

33

- 1 prepared by the subpostmaster --
- 2 A. (The witness nodded)
- 3 Q. -- and the record of transactions, so the data
- 4 from which the cash account was drawn, that was
- 5 created and kept by the subpostmaster as well;
- 6 do you agree with that?
- 7 Or, say, for example, if it was in the branch
- 8 office, different people might have prepared
- 9 different bits of it. I think that's what
- 10 happened in -- no, that's a Horizon case, no.
- 11 But it might have been assistants prepared
- 12 certain transaction records, yeah.
- 13 Q. Yeah, but for a subpostmaster in an agency
- 14 branch --
- On their own. 15 Α.
- -- on their own, they maintain a record of 16 Q.
- 17 transactions and they're responsible for
- 18 creating the accounting documents?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Q. So if there's any queries about the integrity of
- 21 the record of transactions or how the cash
- 22 account was put together -- I appreciate there's
- 23 the privilege against self-incrimination, but
- 24 question -- the subpostmaster could be asked
- 25 questions about how the transactions were kept

- A. I don't have firsthand knowledge and that 1
- 2 doesn't sound right. You know, it was always
- the Code for Crown Prosecutors came first and we 3
 - all had copies of it. So I can't see why that,
- in anyone's mind, would trump the Code for Crown 5
- 6 Prosecutors.
- 7 Q. That document can come down, thank you.
- 8 Moving, then, to the introduction of
- 9 Horizon, you say in your statement that you
- 10 likely became aware of Horizon as something has
- 11 been introduced in either the late '90s or early
- 12 2000s A.

13

- 14 You say you didn't give it a lot of thought at
- the time and you also say that -- we don't need 15
- 16 to go there but at page 12, at the top of your
- 17 statement, you say:

Mm-hm.

- "I knew it was a computerised bookkeeping 18
- 19 system designed to assist subpostmasters with
- 20 the processing of various payments and also
- 21 balancing on a weekly basis."
- 22 That broad understanding, can you recall
- 23 where that came from?
- 24 A. Sorry, no.
- 25 Q. Previously, the cash account before Horizon was

- 1 and how the cash account was created?
- 2 Δ Yes.
- Q. With Horizon, Horizon stored the transactions 3
- itself --4
- 5 Yes Α.
- 6 Q. -- and Horizon created the new cash account?
- 7 Yes.
- 8 Q. So do you accept that the provenance of the
- 9 data, the accounting data upon which
- 10 prosecutions against subpostmasters for theft,
- 11 the provenance of the data on which those were
- 12 based, fundamentally changed with the
- introduction of Horizon? 13
- 14 Α. Yes

19

- 15 Q. The integrity of that data depended on the
- 16 computer or Horizon rather than the
- 17 subpostmaster?
- A. (The witness nodded) 18
 - I'm not answering, I'm thinking. Could you
- 20 ask me the question again because my
- 21 concentration has gone.
- 22 The integrity of the data depended on the
- 23 computer, namely Horizon, rather than how the
- 24 SPM stored the records or totted up the account?
- 25 A. Yes.

- So with that substantial change, do you recall 1 Q. 2 any discussion within the Criminal Law Team 3 about how the introduction of Horizon would
- 4 affect prosecutions to subpostmasters when
- 5 Horizon was introduced?
- 6 Α. There probably was but I cannot remember any 7 specifics.
- 8 **Q.** As a lawyer, had you worked -- prior to Horizon,
- 9 had you worked on any cases which involved
- 10 relying on data produced by a computer to prove
- a fact? 11
- I remember a defence case where I had, involving 12 Α.
- 13 a bookie -- bookies.
- 14 Q. So do you recall now the terms of the now
- repealed Section 69 of the Police and Criminal 15
- 16 Evidence Act?
- 17 A. Please remind me. It's a long time ago.
- Q. So Section 69 -- I'm paraphrasing here, but set 18
- 19 out that, in order for a document produced by
- 20 a computer to be admitted as evidence of a fact
- 21 stated therein, the prosecution had to prove,
- 22 amongst other things, that there were no
- 23 reasonable grounds for believing that the
- 24 statement was inaccurate because of improper use
- 25 of the computer, or that at all material times

"I recall that at the time the system was 2 being rolled out, there was a general message 3 within the organisation that it was a sophisticated and high-quality technology."

Do you remember where that message came

6

7 A.

1

4

5

- 8 Q. The Inquiry has heard a significant amount of
- 9 evidence about the difficulties faced in the
- 10 rollout of the Horizon IT System from 1999 and
- 2000 and onwards. Were you aware of any of 11
- 12 those difficulties?
- 13 Α. No.

18

19

- 14 Q. You say in your statement that you always
- 15 assumed that Horizon was reliable and was never 16
 - given any reason to doubt the accuracy of the
- 17 technology. You say, paragraph 28:

"I did not ever think to question the accuracy of the technology to properly

20 understand the mechanics of the system."

21 What was the basis for your reassurance, 22 your assurance that the system was accurate?

23 A. I can't remember now. I guess because

24 I personally don't think I'd had any cases where

25 there were any issues with the system working

- the computer was operating properly --1
- 2 A. Mm.
- 3 Q. -- or there was another exception for it, if it
- wasn't operating properly. Were you aware that 4
- the Post Office made submissions to the Law 5
- 6 Commission on the proposal to repeal Section 69?
- 7 A. When was that? What year?
- Q. It would have been in the -- I think it's -- the 8
- 9 late '90s and I'll get a specific date for you
- 10 but it's the late '90s.
- 11 A. Late '90s?
- 12 Q. Mm.
- 13 I don't know. I can't remember, I'm sorry.
- 14 Would you remember if you'd been involved with
- 15
- 16 A. I really don't know. Sorry.
- 17 Q. Do you accept that, if the Post Office had been
- 18 aware of any concerns as to the integrity of
- 19 Horizon data, that should have been disclosed to
- 20 subpostmasters in cases where the data relied on
- 21 was generated by Horizon?
- 22 A. Absolutely.
- 23 Q. Could we please bring up page 12 of your
- statement. Looking at the end of paragraph 26, 24
- 25 you say:

38

- 1 properly and I wasn't aware of any cases others
- 2 might have had. So I just assumed that it was
- 3 working properly.
- 4 Q. Can you recall anyone in the Criminal Law Team
- 5 standing back and saying "Well, hang on, our
- 6 prosecutions are now going to rely on data from
- 7 this system; we need to satisfy ourselves that
- 8 it's accurate and that it produces reliable
- data"? 9
- A. No, I don't remember that. 10
- 11 Q. Why do you think no one asked that question
- 12 within the team?
- 13 A. I really don't know. I've got no recollection
- 14 of that
- 15 Q. We've discussed that the introduction of Horizon
- would lead to significant changes to the way in 16
- 17 which prosecutions were brought. Can you recall
- 18 any change -- so I asked you earlier about
- 19 whether there was a discussion of how
- 20 prosecutions would change.
- 21 Mm-hm
- 22 In practice, do you recall any change in the way
- 23 to which prosecutions were brought against
- 24 subpostmasters for theft or false accounting,
- 25 such as the type of evidence that was relied on?

39

- 1 A. There were different schedules that would have
- 2 been run off the Horizon system that became part
- 3 of the evidence, yes.
- 4 Q. Do you recall ever being involved in a case
- 5 post-Horizon where the defendant alleged that
- 6 the data was inaccurate -- sorry, the data
- 7 produced by Horizon was inaccurate?
- 8 A. No, I don't recall that.
- 9 Q. Were you aware at all of Post Office's
- 10 contractual rights to data or information held
- 11 by initially ICL Pathway or Fujitsu to support
- 12 prosecutions?
- 13 A. I do know that the Post Office had the right to
- 14 get that information and the reason I know that,
- 15 when the agreement was being negotiated, I did
- 16 have a very small dealing, I think through one
- 17 of my colleagues in the corporate or commercial
- 18 team, of strongly recommending that there should
- 19 be a clause within the agreement, saying that
- 20 Post Office Legal Services or the Investigators
- 21 could get access to statements and data without
- 22 having to pay lots of additional money.
- 23 So I saw a very small part of -- I think it
- 24 was either a draft agreement or a service level
- 25 agreement about that. But I didn't see the
 - 41
- 1 advised on prosecutions later, was your work in
- 2 understanding that you could have obtained such
- 3 that from Fujitsu if necessary?
- 4 A. Absolutely, 100 per cent.
- 5 Q. Was that common knowledge within the Criminal
- 6 Law Team.
- 7 A. I think so, yeah. I'd be surprised if it
- 8 wasn't.
- 9 Q. To what type of data did you understand you
- 10 could access?
- 11 A. I got them to draft it very broadly, so that
- 12 there was anything that could ever come up in
- 13 the course of a criminal prosecution. I wasn't
- 14 thinking about any particular type of data.
- 15 I just wanted to -- the Post Office had
- 16 an open-ended way of doing this, because
- 17 I couldn't probably conceive the kind of cases
- 18 at that stage that would come up in.
- 19 Q. The Inquiry has heard evidence about audit data
- 20 called ARQ data?
- 21 **A.** Mm.
- 22 Q. Were you aware of that type of data at the time
- you were involved in prosecution?
- 24 A. No, I only found out about ARQ data either
- 25 through reading the *Computer Weekly* article in 43

- 1 whole agreement because it was dealt very much
- 2 within who needed to know what and that was
- 3 through a colleague in the Company and
- 4 Commercial Team.
- 5 Q. Can you recall the name of that colleague?
- 6 **A**. No
- 7 Q. Do you recall roughly when that was?
- 8 A. It would have been about the time that the
- 9 agreement was being -- when it was being
- 10 negotiated.
- 11 Q. You were, at that point, a senior lawyer?
- 12 A. I was, yeah.
- 13 Q. Were you the only person consulted in respect of
- 14 those contractual matters in the team?
- 15 A. I don't know. But I was the person who was
- 16 asked about that particular clause.
- 17 Q. Your advice, as you said, was to ensure that
- 18 data could be obtained --
- 19 A. Yeah.
- 20 Q. -- without significant cost?
- 21 A. Absolutely.
- 22 Q. Did you see the final version of the agreed
- 23 clause?
- 24 A. I don't know if I did or not.
- 25 Q. So when you came to prosecute cases or when you
 - 4
- 1 2009 or one of the cases, either the Bates case
- 2 or the Hamilton case.
- 3 Q. So presumably you didn't obviously use this data
- 4 in any of the prosecutions in which you were
- 5 involved?
- 6 A. No, because I didn't know it existed until 2009.
- 7 Q. Why do you think -- as a lawyer who'd advised on
 - to what types of data the Post Office should be
- 9 entitled, can you explain or proffer a reason as
- 10 to why you weren't aware of the available of ARQ
- 11 data?

- 12 A. I didn't I so I knew the types of data that --
- 13 I just said everything. I requested it broadly
- 14 because I didn't know what the data was and
- 15 I just wanted it to be belt and braces, that we
- 16 could get anything we needed, even though
- 17 I didn't know what type of things it might be
- 18 needed for. That's just being careful, trying
- 19 to get the best for your organisation.
- 20 $\,$ **Q**. I want to just quickly deal with training. You
- 21 say that there was training available for
- 22 lawyers in the Criminal Law Team but that you
- 23 weren't initially able to attend it?
- 24 **A.** Mm.
- 25 Q. Do you remember who in your team was responsible

1 for overseeing your training or professional 2 development? 3 A. I guess two things. I think, in relation to 4 getting your -- I think it was CPD points in 5 those days, you were personally responsible for 6 ensuring you had all your CPD points or more. 7 In relation to your sort of like personal 8 development in a more general way, which could 9 be wider than just getting your CPD points, that 10 was between you and your team leader, your 11 manager, and that's something that would be 12 looked at at appraisal time. 13 Q. We know in your case you didn't have the Horizon 14 training? A. Yeah. 15 16 But can you recall whether or not that training 17 was intended to be compulsory for members of the Criminal Law Team? 18 19 A. I think it's one of those things that was 20 intended to be compulsory, if you were there, 21 but, if you were not there for any reason, 22 obviously it couldn't be compulsory. And the 23 Post Office wasn't the kind of horrible employer 24 that said, if that training was on that date but 25 your child was sick or it's a day you're meant 1 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: So, well, I make it 11.01 so just 2 after 11.15, Mr Stevens, yes. 3 MR STEVENS: Thank you, sir. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine. 4 5 (11.01 am) (A short break) 6 7 (11.17 am) MR STEVENS: Good morning, sir, can you see and hear 8 9 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, thank you. 10 MR STEVENS: Thank you. I said we were going to go 11 12 on to the case study, there's just one point I want to clarify. In your evidence this 13 14 morning, or earlier this morning, you said, 15 "I understand there were discussions at board 16 level about the reliability of Horizon"? 17 A. Mm-hm. Q. Can I ask, when did you become aware of that? 18 A. I think I found that out through one of the 19 20 cases. I think it was either the Bates case or 21 the Hamilton case, the transcripts. 22 Q. But just to confirm, your evidence is at the

23

24

25

time?

Definitely at the time I did not know.

Q. I want to turn, then, to the case study of

47

1 to be looking after your child, that you had to 2 attend on that day. I just hoped that it would 3 be reorganised later but I don't remember 4 attending it. Q. Do you consider it problematic to have received 5 6 no training on Horizon but to then advise on 7 prosecutions in which Horizon data was the 8 source of evidence? 9 A. If I'd had any opportunity to attend the Horizon 10 training, I would have. But I can't remember 11 why I couldn't. I remember feeling peeved that 12 I couldn't because it wasn't on a day I could. 13 But I'd have wanted to and I was never the kind 14 of person who avoided training. In fact, I even 15 went on courses on Saturdays. So I'm the kind 16 of person who loves training and sees the 17 benefit of it. So, if I could, I would and, 18 sadly, I couldn't for whatever reason. I can't 19 remember. 20 MR STEVENS: Sir, I think that's a good time to 21 pause, actually, before we move on to the case 22 23 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, by all means. Is 15 minutes 24 sufficient for everyone? 25 MR STEVENS: Yes, sir, thank you. 1 R v Brennan. Lisa Brennan is a Core Participant 2 in these proceedings and the Inquiry is 3 examining it as -- this prosecution as a case 4 study. It's the first time that the Inquiry 5 will look at this case study and I want to turn 6 first to the judgment of the Court of Appeal 7 Criminal Division in the case of R v Hamilton, 8 when Ms Brennan's conviction was quashed. 9

Please can I turn to POL00113278. It's in your 10 bundle at B36. Please can we turn to page 59, 11 paragraph 286. 12

Α.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13 Q. To introduce the case, I'm going to read 14 a substantial portion of this, it says:

"On 4 September 2003, in the Crown Court at Liverpool before His Honour Judge Phipps and a jury, Lisa Brennan (who had become a [Post Office] counter clerk when she was 16 years old) was convicted on 27 counts of theft representing a shortfall of £3,482.40. She was acquitted on five further counts. On 6 September 2003, she was sentenced to six months' imprisonment suspended for two years. On 11 May 2004, her appeal against conviction (on the basis of inconsistent verdicts) was dismissed. As

a result of the proceedings against her, she was forced to file for bankruptcy.

"[Post Office] decided to pursue criminal charges against Ms Brennan in relation to events in 2001 -- close in time to the rollout of Horizon. According to the limited available documentation, the prosecution case was that when she paid out cash for allowance and benefit vouchers, she removed more cash than was permitted by the voucher and kept the difference herself. The evidence of theft depended on the difference between the amount Horizon showed had been entered onto the system and the lesser amount of the voucher

"Ms Brennan admitted the discrepancies. She said that they were errors on her part because of problems at home and pressures of work. She denied theft and said she did not know what had happened to the money.

"[Post Office] accepts that this was an unexplained shortfall case and that evidence from Horizon was essential to Ms Brennan's case. Her explanation was that she must have made keystroke errors when entering voucher amounts onto Horizon. The prosecution did not consider

has been overturned, and I hope she gets the
compensation she deserves. But I really didn't
know that the system was unreliable at the time
that I had any part in her prosecution. But I'm
still really sorry.

Q. I want to start by looking at some of the evidence that was before the court and, if we could bring up your witness statement at paragraph 38, please. It's page 18. So you set out at paragraph 38 what you received as a case file to do, I think, step 1, the initial review. You refer to -- we don't need to go to all these -- but the memorandum by Steve Bradshaw. As we are introducing this case, can you just explain who Steve Bradshaw was?

16 A. So Steve Bradshaw was the investigating officer17 in the case.

18 Q. We then have Steve Bradshaw's summary of
19 investigation, an antecedents form, and then we
20 have summaries of the interview and there's two
21 references there to which we'll turn in
22 a moment. If we can go over the page, please,
23 you say:

"It was not uncommon for further summaries of the interviews to be created on the request

whether a bug, error or defect could have affected this process. There is nothing to indicate that any ARQ data was obtained at the time of the criminal proceedings. There was no evidence to corroborate the Horizon evidence. The issue at trial was dishonesty but there was insufficient proof of an appropriation."

It goes on to say that the Post Office had conceded that the prosecution was unfair for Ground 1 abuse but the Court of Appeal Criminal Division found that it was also an affront to justice, Ground 2 abuse, and public interest required the Court of Appeal to mark the latter conclusion. So the appeal was -- sorry, the conviction was quashed on the basis of both Ground 1 and Ground 2 abuses.

Before I turn to look at the case, is there anything you -- any reflections you have or thoughts you had arising from the decision in *Hamilton*.

A. I think the decision was right. I'd like to
 take this opportunity to apologise to Lisa
 Brennan for being any part in the prosecution of
 her and for the harm it clearly has caused her,
 and that I'm really pleased that her conviction

of either the prosecution or the defence.
An administrator in the Security and
Investigations Team would typically listen to
the tape and produce a new summary clarifying
the point in question. [You] cannot recall
exactly which version of these documents [you]

exactly which version of these documents [you]
would have reviewed in this file", and you refer
to the documents we'll turn to in a moment.

You go on to say that a full transcript may have been available but it's unlikely to have been provided with the case file at the time.

12 A. Correct.

13 Q. I want to start first with the full
14 transcript -- or, as it's been put in there, the
15 full transcript. Can we please bring up
16 POL00047320, and that's tab B1 in your bundle.
17 At the top we see this is the "Record of Tape
18 Recorded Interview". It runs to 25 pages. This
19 is the document I understand you say was the

A. I think so, yes. It looks like full transcript.
Q. In metadata that has been provided by the Post
Office, so data that -- as to how it's saved on
an electronic document, it states that this

full transcript?

25 document name was "tape transcript.1", with the

9

- 1 date of the document being 18 June 2002. So
- 2 some -- if that is accurate, some five days
- 3 after the interview.
- 4 A. That sounds correct. I can't argue with that.
- 5 Q. Can we bring up, please, POL00047322, and that's
- 6 B2 in your bundle. This document at the top
- 7 says, "Summary Record of Tape Recorded
- 8 Interview". Are you familiar with this --
- 9 you're familiar with this type of document?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Can you just explain in summary terms how you --11
- how the summary record of a tape recorded 12
- 13 interview would fit into your work in reviewing
- the case? 14
- A. I would review whichever version of the document 15
- 16 was in the file when the Investigator sent it to
- 17 me, and what I can't say is which version of the
- 18 interview was in the file when it came to me.
- 19 Q. This document runs to 12 pages.
- 20 A. Mm-hm.

2

12

- 21 Q. Again, in metadata provided by the Post Office,
- 22 it states that the file title is "Summary Tape
- 23 Transcript.1.doc" with a date of 19 June 2002.
- 24 So if that's accurate, does it seem fair to say
- 25 that a transcript was made initially on 18 June
- 1 versions of the summary of tape record
 - interview. I don't know which one was prepared
- 3 first and in which order. All I can say is that
- 4 I would have looked at the one that was in the
- 5 file when I came to advise on the file, but
- 6 I can give you no explanations why -- which ones
- 7 were prepared first and why. I haven't got
- 8 enough information to help you on that.
- 9 That document can come down for the time being.
- 10 If we assume the timeline is accurate, that
- 11 timeline is accurate, and we have a summary of
- interview done on 19 June and then there's 13 an amended summary done on 22 July in the run-up
- 14 to the trial, can you think of any reason why
- 15 there would be a need for an amended transcript
- 16 of interview in the run-up to trial -- sorry,
- 17 amended summary of interview in the run-up to
- 18 trial?
- 19 Usually how it worked was you'd have the shorter Α.
- 20 summary of tape record interview when you first
- 21 have the file and then, frequently, the lawyer
- 22 in the Criminal Law Team or certainly myself, if
- 23 I felt that there was not something covered in
- 24 the summary, I might go back to the investigator
- 25 and say "Elaborate on this point". Sometimes it

55

- 1 and then this summary record was produced
- 2 shortly afterwards?
- 3 A. I really don't know. I think the only person
 - who can answer that question would probably be
- 5 Steve Bradshaw.
- 6 Q. That was going to be my next question: it would
- 7 be Steve Bradshaw who produced this?
- 8 A. I think so, or someone in their admin team.
 - I can't remember now whether the Investigation
- 10 Officer provided -- prepared any versions of the
- summary or whether it was all done by one of 11
- their admin people. I really don't know what 12
- 13 was happening at that time.
- 14 Q. Please can we bring up POL00047521 and that's
- 15 B31 in your bundle. This is another "Summary
- 16 Record of Tape Recorded Interview", the other
- 17 one to which you've referred. It's shorter, at
- 18 10 pages; do you agree?
- 19 **A.** I can count -- 1, 2 -- 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10.
- 20 Yes, it's a 10-page summary, yes.
- 21 Q. The metadata provided by the Post Office states
- 22 that the file title is "amended.summary of tape
- 23 transcript 1", with a date of 22 July 2003?
- 24 A. If you tell me, yes. This means nothing to me.
- 25 All I can say is I can see the three different
 - 54
- 1 might be prosecution counsel would ask for
- 2 fuller versions and sometimes it came from the
- 3 defence. But it was not uncommon for there to
- 4 be different versions and I really don't know --
- 5 I can't talk about the timeline as to the
- 6 production of these summaries. I think you
- 7 really have to ask Steve Bradshaw that.
- 8 Q. Please could we look at POL00047502. It's B19
- in your bundle. This is a list of exhibits. If 9
- 10 we could go to the bottom, please. Thank you.
- 11 Number 37, SB/12, so that's an exhibit to
- 12 Stephen Bradshaw's statement; would you agree?
- 13 A.
- 14 Q. It says, "Typed copy of interview".
- 15 A.
- Q. Do you know -- well, firstly can you recollect 16
- 17 which -- whether a summary would have been put
- 18 forward to court or the full transcript would
- have been put forward? 19
- 20 A. I really don't know. If I could see the full
- 21 bundle of exhibits, I'd be able to help you on
- 22 that. I don't know whether Steve Bradshaw's
- 23 statement helps. Does it say how many pages
- 24 were in his summary?
- 25 Q. We can -- if you -- if we take down that

1 document. Unfortunately, we're going to have to 2 bring up two documents at the same time. It's 3 POL00047506, and that's B23 in your bundle, and 4 if, at the same time, we could have POL00047507. 5 Just bear with us a moment while those documents 6 are shown. 7

I'll introduce this document whilst we're waiting for the second page. This is an unsigned statement of Stephen Bradshaw, dated 3 March. We see on the right, that's the first page of it. We see on left there's another page. It appears to run together, so we see Ms Brennan explained the procedure, if an error was made, the reversal process to rectify this mistake was also explained. She did clarify if she'd paid out the amount indicated on the Horizon screen or the amount of the voucher.

At the very bottom of the -- in your bundle B24, on our screens the left-hand side, POL00047507, it says that a typed copy of the interview is produced as exhibit TB/12. So that's what --

- 23 A. Exhibit SB/12. Yes, that's his exhibit, yes.
- 24 Exhibit, yes. So you asked to see the 25 statement?

57

- 1 Q. Would you be concerned if there was a material 2 discrepancy between the summary prepared by 3 an Investigator and the full transcript?
- 4 A. Definitely.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 5 Q. Can we look at both of the summaries. I want to 6 just compare the summaries first. So if we can 7 again have two documents up at the same time, it's POL00047521 and POL00047322, and it's B31 8 9 and B2 in your bundle. If we can, please, turn 10 to page 7 on the one ending 21, please.
- A. Is that B2 or --11
- Q. I'm so sorry. Yes, if you can turn to page 7 12 in -- it might be easier actually, if you remove 13 14 the document from the second tab and held it 15 next to -- in B2.
- A. So you want me to have B31 out of the file, yes? 16
- 17 Q. B31 and B2. So you can compare them together.
- 18 If you can't see them on the screen in front of 19 you.
- 20 A. Yeah, happy with that, and page 7 of which one?
- Q. So the document ending 21, if you could turn to 21
- 22 page 7 of that, please and the document ending
- 23 22, please could we turn to page 8. So in the
- 24 document ending 21, which is on the right of our
- 25 screens in the hearing, if we could highlight

Yes, thank you, yes. 1

- 2 Q. So we can see that.
- 3 A. So he's not saying how many pages and which version of the summary he is producing. 4
- Q. In terms of normal practice in the Post Office, 5 6 what would you expect to be relied on the -- or
- 7 filed in court, the full transcript or
- 8 a summary?

10

- 9 A. It depends whether the defence had agreed
 - a summary of tape recorded interview and, if
- 11 that was agreed and a transcript wasn't
- 12 necessary -- because sometimes there are things
- 13 in a full transcript that both sides don't want
- 14 mentioned. So it really would depend on the
- 15 case. But in terms of fairness, if the
- 16 defendant wanted the whole transcript to be put
- 17 in the exhibit bundle, that's what I'd expect
- 18 and, if I felt that was relevant, that's what
- 19 I'd expect.
- 20 Q. So when you looked at these summaries, or when
- 21 you had the charging decision to make, how often
- 22 would you yourself request the full interview
- 23 transcript, rather than the summary?
- 24 A. I really can't remember how many times I did,
- 25 but I'm sure there were occasions that I did.

- 1 the time counter tapes at 31.00, please. Thank 2 you. Now, this is from the amended document and
- we see it says, "SB" is Mr Bradshaw: 3
- 4 "But don't you think a clerk with 13 years' experience it's a bit ... No, there's
- 6 an explanation. I'll show you this ... it's
- 7 a printout ... you know the pensions go through 8 Lisahally", and it goes on.
- In 31.00, on 22 on the left, we see there's 9
- 10 more text there.
- Yes. 11 Δ.

5

- Q. "But don't you think a clerk with 13 years' 12
- 13 experience, it's a bit ... No, there's
- 14 an explanation. I don't think it's just being
- 15 careless and pressing the wrong key twice. It's
- 16 happening too often. I'll show you this ...
- 17 it's a printout ... you know the pensions go
- 18 through Lisahally to be checked and [sealed off]
- 19 ..."

24

25

- 20 If we look at 32.00, again, on 22 -- sorry, 21 on the left side of our screens, 22, at point in
- 22 time 32.00. Mr Bradshaw is reported as saying:
- 23 "It's not careless."
 - Over the page, in the amended version at 21, the reference to Mr Bradshaw saying, "It's not

60

1		careless" isn't there; do you accept that?	1	A.	Only if it was going from the shorter summary to
2	A.	I can see they are two different versions, yeah.	2		the longer summary. I would not have approved
3	Q.	If we can turn into we're there, sorry. It	3		it going the other way from the longer summary
4		says actually, we can leave that there,	4		to the shorter summary. That's not the way it
5		actually.	5		usually worked. It was usually the officer
6		Can I ask you this: why would those	6		trying to get away with doing the shortest
7		references to well, can you think of a reason	7		summary as possible, and Legal Services coming
8		why those references to Mr Bradshaw saying "It's	8		back and saying there's much more that should go
9		not careless" be excluded from the amended	9		in here.
10		interview script?	10		But because I haven't got all my advices and
11	A.	I really can't answer for the different versions	11		all the paperwork, I can't see whether it was me
12		of the tape recorded interview produced by Steve	12		who picked him up on that or whether there was
13		Bradshaw or the Investigation Team and I don't	13		some kind of quality and control within the
14		know which ones that I would have seen and when,	14		Investigation Team. I really can't answer that.
15		nor can I tell from what I've seen today which	15		I don't know.
16		one would have been in the bundle of exhibits.	16	Q.	We'll move on, then, from that. Can we leave up
17		But, personally, I would have expected the full	17		POL00047322, that's B2, and can we also bring up
18		version to be in the bundle of exhibits.	18		POL00047320, which is B1, in your bundle.
19	Q.	Do you think you would have had any involvement	19		Thank you. So just for the record, in the
20		in sorry, you can't answer whether you would	20		hearing room we have POL00047322 on the left and
21		have given you were involved in these actual	21		the POL00047320 on the right. On POL00047322,
22		amendments?	22		the left document, please can we turn to page 2.
23	A.	I really don't know.	23		At 9.00, it says:
24	Q.	If you'd been approached and asked to approve	24		"It was explained to Ms Brennan why we were
25		those amendments, would you have? 61	25		at the office. She was asked to explain how she 62
1		would pay out a pension and allowance voucher.	1		"Bin it and get the book back off them."
2		She demonstrated that she had the knowledge to	2		Mr Bradshaw goes on to say well, he gives
3		pay out correctly and she could explain the	3		an explanation of a procedure. Ms Brennan
4		procedure when rectifying any mistakes."	4		questions that and says:
5		Please can we go to page 7 on the document	5		"What do you mean?"
6		on the right, POL00047320 actually, sorry,	6		Mr Bradshaw says:
7		page 6, if we can start there. Thank you.	7		"Reversals. Do you know how to do
8		It starts at 9.00 with a discussion of	8		a reversal?"
9		annual leave and Mr Bradshaw's recorded as	9		If we go over the page, please. Ms Brennan
10		saying:	10		says:
11		"As I said to you earlier we want to talk	11		"Oh yeah. What do you mean, if you're
12		about some pension and allowance discrepancies.	12		checking the dockets and the dockets are wrong."
13		Because other people have to listen to the tape	13		Mr Bradshaw:
14		can you just go through how you would pay	14		"Yeah, to see if the dockets are wrong.
15		a normal pension voucher out."	15		When you check your dockets and you find that
16		If you can go over the page, please. Thank	16		one is wrong, the wrong amount"
17		you. At 11.00, Mr Bradshaw says:	17		Ms Brennan says, "Yeah."
18		"Say when you've done this you've made	18		Mr Bradshaw:
19		a mistake and you [don't] know you've put the	19		" that you paid and you haven't got
20		wrong amount in, you may have put 2 dockets	20		it's gone in the machine, how would you correct
21		instead of 1 and you're paying out the	21		that so you"
22		machine's showing £200 but you've only got £100	22		Ms Brennan said:
23		but the machine's telling you to pay £200, is	23		"Er go to reversals."
24		there a way of correcting that mistake?"	24		Mr Bradshaw says:
25		There's a reply:	25		"Do you know how to do a reversal?
		63			64

1		Ms Brennan's reply is:	1		that was the one that was put before the courts.
2		"I think so, yeah. I presume I do, if	2		I'm pretty sure it would have been.
3		I didn't, I'd just ask someone."	3	Q.	Assuming the chronology, which I said earlier,
4		Mr Bradshaw:	4		that there's a full transcript on 18 June,
5		"Yeah. Have you ever done one?"	5		longer summary on 19 June 2002, and then
6		Ms Brennan:	6		an amended summary on 22 July 2003, in the
7		"I dunno. Probably."	7		run-up to trial, if that chronology is right,
8		Do you think the summary fairly reflects	8		does that tell you about which one may have bee
9		what is said in the interview when it's	9		more likely to
0		summarised by saying that Ms Brennan	10	A.	
1		demonstrated that she had the knowledge to pay	11		questions. I really don't know. The only
2		out correctly and she could explain the	12		person who would know is Steve Bradshaw.
3		procedure when rectifying any mistakes?	13	Q.	·
4	A.	No, no.	14	٠.	Please can we look at your witness statement at
5	Q.	Why wasn't that picked up on?	15		paragraph 39 onwards page 19, sorry.
6	A.	As I keep saying, I don't know which version of	16		That's perfect, thank you. Let's actually
7	Λ.	the summary that I had before me when I gave the	17		look at paragraph 40. You say:
		various advices and I don't know which version	18		"On review of the file, I would have noticed
8					·
9		of the summary made it into the exhibit-bundle.	19		that the only direct evidence of a pension fraud
20		I really don't know which versions I've seen and	20		was contained in the Horizon data discrepancies.
21		which versions I saw at which stage, and which	21		As explained above, I had no reason to doubt the
22		versions made it into the exhibit bundle.	22		accuracy of these discrepancies."
23		I really can't say. I don't know.	23		You go on to say:
24		This 20 what, 25/26 version is obviously	24		" initially, I did not think there was
25		the better version and I would have hoped that 65	25		enough evidence to support the explanation for 66
1		these discrepancies being that Ms Brennan had	1		defendant raising it as an issue. I don't think
2		intentionally carried out a fraud."	2		I'd had any cases where it had been an issue, so
3		You set out your concerns in a memorandum to	3		I believed it was working properly.
4		Stephen Bradshaw	4		Ms Brennan didn't raise it as an issue in
5	A.	Yes.	5		her interview because, if she had, I would have
6	Q.	which we don't need to turn to because you	6		requested that. In any event, when it came to
7		say in your witness evidence at 41 that, in the	7		my advice of I think it was 13 November, I'm
8		memorandum, you did not request any details	8		pretty sure that I did ask for a statement
9		about whether Horizon was operating accurately:	9		saying whether the system was operating properl
0		" because I assumed it was and Ms Brennan	10		and I've asked repeatedly for a copy of that
1		had not questioned the accuracy of the data in	11		memorandum and it's not been disclosed to me.
2		her interview. If she had, or if I had any	12	Q.	Well, let's look at that. It starts I think
3		reason to doubt the Horizon system, I would have	13		it starts at paragraph 58 of your statement,
4		asked for the accuracy of the data to be checked	14		page 25, please. You say:
5		in addition to the other points raised."	15		"My advice would have included any further
6		Is it fair to say, then, in order for Post	16		steps that could be carried out by investigators
7		Office or in a case you were advising on, in	17		to improve the likelihood of conviction. For
8		order for you to advise the Post Office to	18		example, it appears, from question 20.3 of the
9		investigate the accuracy or reliability of	19		Request, that I requested a witness statement
20		Horizon, it was up to the subpostmaster to raise	20		confirming the accuracy of the Horizon data."
21		whether the data was accurate or not?	21		You say something similar at paragraph 70,
22	Α.	If I had a whiff that the system was not working	22		page 29. You say:
23	, 7.	reliably, I would have requested that evidence.	23		"In his memorandum [you're referring to
24		One of the ways I might have got a whiff there	24		Mr Bradshaw here] dated 14 March 2003, Steve
25		was a potential issue would have been the	25		Bradshaw says 'Concerning point 4 of your memory
		67			68

1 dated 13 November 2002. I have spoken to Sonia 2 Cassidy at Lisahally in Northern Ireland. She 3 informs me that this type of statement [is] not 4 normally done and the matter has been discussed 5 previously with Colin Justice'. I believe he is 6 essentially saying that it has not been possible 7 to have a witness statement drafted by 8 a representative of the Department of Social 9 Security ... confirming the accuracy of the 10 Horizon data, as I requested in my 13 November 11 2002 memorandum." 12

The Department of Social Security, their involvement, as I understand it but tell me if I'm wrong, is that they would take the data from Horizon and compare it to vouchers that had been sent to them, pension vouchers, and notice if there was a discrepancy, and that's what started the investigation.

19 A.

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 20 Q. But they were not responsible for the Horizon IT System; that was Fujitsu. 21
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. So do you accept that this point here,
- 24 requesting a witness statement from the
- 25 Department of Social Security, wasn't relevant

1 So I would love to see my memo of 2 13 November. I would also love to see my 3 instructions to counsel to advise on the 4 evidence and I would also love to see any 5 attendance notes about the conference with 6 counsel up in Liverpool, which I didn't attend.

- 7 Q. We know that there wasn't evidence led on the 8 integrity of the Horizon data?
- 9 A. Yeah.
- Q. Your evidence is that you'd no reason to believe 10 that there was --11
- 12 A. Any problems.
- -- any problems with it. You say that 13
- 14 Ms Brennan didn't raise any issues with it.
- 15 A. Mm.
- Q. You've referred to this example here with 16
- 17 Lisahally about whether -- you know, approaching
- 18 the Department of Social Security. Is your
- 19 evidence that you think you likely would have
- 20 sought further evidence on the accuracy of the
- Horizon? 21
- 22 A. If counsel thought it was necessary.
- 23 So your evidence is that you would have asked
- 24 counsel's advice?
- 25 A. Yes, definitely. Because what I did, I know

1 to the accuracy of the Horizon data or its 2 reliability?

3 A. Firstly, I'm not sure whether I just said,

4 broadly, a statement confirming the accuracy of

the Horizon data and any respects in which it 5 6 was not working properly. I don't know whether

7 I said that or whether I specifically asked for

8

a statement from Lisahally. I know that there

9 is this reference here that Sonia Cassidy,

10 having had a conversation with Colin Justice,

11 that's reported back by Steve Bradshaw.

12 But the way I looked at it was something 13 that I would have got advice from counsel on 14 because I'm pretty sure that post-committal, 15 when I did my instructions to counsel to advise 16 on the evidence in the case, if there was any 17 evidence that I had asked the investigating 18 officer for that he hadn't submitted, I would 19 have tried to get a second opinion from counsel 20 to say, "Do we need a statement confirming the 21 accuracy of the evidence?" And I don't know, 22 because I can't see my instructions to counsel 23 or even see my memo from 13 November, whether 24 there was any conversation as to the best place

70

1 that I always did, when I was doing my

to get that statement.

2 instructions to counsel to advise on the

3 evidence, I would look at my original advice to

4 the Investigating Officer and I would see

5 whether he'd ticked all the boxes that I'd asked

6 him to. If he hadn't ticked all the boxes that

7 I'd asked him to and it was something that

8 I still personally thought was necessary, I'd

9 get a second opinion from counsel so then

10 I could come back to the Officer and say, "Well, 11

counsel agrees with me, we do need this

12 statement so please go and get it".

13 Q. We have in incomplete document base, we know

14 that.

25

15 A. We do.

Q. On the documents you've seen, can you point to 16

17 anything where you say, to an Investigator or

18 otherwise, "We need evidence on the accuracy of

the Horizon IT System"? 19

20 A. No, because I haven't been given the complete

21 set of documents and my solicitors have asked

22 for further documentation and specifically asked

23 for these kinds of things --

24 Q.

25 A. -- and they've not been disclosed.

- 1 Q. But your evidence is you think --
- 2 A. I'm pretty sure because that's how I worked.
- 3 I'm a real belt-and-braces girl and I'm the kind
- 4 of person who would check what I originally
- 5 asked for, what the investigator has provided
- 6 and, if there's any question mark, any doubt,
- 7 I would have got a second opinion from counsel,
- 8 and I do remember that's how I worked. I'm
- 9 quite systematic and meticulous.
- 10 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Just so I'm clear about this,
- 11 Mrs Williamson, the way I read your paragraph 58
- is that, although the documentation before you,
- 13 as everyone accepts, is incomplete, your
- 14 conclusion is that you did ask for a statement
- 15 "confirming the accuracy of the Horizon data".
- 16 A. Yes, sir, but I don't know whether I asked for
- 17 it from Fujitsu or from Lisahally when
- 18 I initially advised on 13 November.
- 19 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: But I'd be right in thinking that
- 20 you did ask for that evidence --
- 21 A. Definitely.
- 22 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: -- and, so far as we can tell, it
- 23 was not forthcoming; is that fair?
- 24 A. It looks like it wasn't forthcoming because
- 25 there is this comment on that memo from Steve
 - 73
- 1 particularly all the ones with Northern Ireland
- 2 addresses and postcodes. And if you look at the
- 3 list of exhibits, a lot of the exhibits in the
- 4 cases are Lisahally producing -- I think they
- 5 call them 205A schedules. So if you look at all
- 6 those -- certainly all the 205A schedules are
- 7 produced by Lisahally. I think the P2311(b)s
- 8 may also be produced by Lisahally. I'm just
- 9 looking at the initials of the witnesses.
- 10 **Q.** We can bring it up on screen it's POL00047502.
- 11 A. Yes, so looking at all those P2311(b)s, they're
- 12 all produced by Lisahally. Mainly, by it looks
- 13 like someone called Gerard Moran, and someone
- 14 with a GO initial who I can't find on the
- 15 witness list. Then VL, Valerie (unclear), again
- she's a civil servant and I'm pretty sure she's
- 17 from Lisahally.
- 18 Q. So your point you're making is there's number of19 witnesses who were from Lisahally dealing with
- 20 other matters --
- 21 A. Yes, who were producing, in effect, schedules
- 22 that they've printed off from the Horizon
- 23 system. Yes, I think all the first 23 documents
- 24 on the list of exhibits are all things that
- 25 Lisahally witnesses produced.

- 1 Bradshaw referring to a comment --
- 2 a conversation between Sonia Cassidy and Colin
- 3 Justice, to which I wasn't party to, but I would
- 4 have --
- 5 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Can I just --
- 6 A. Sorry.
- 7 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: On your evidence you have reached
- 8 the conclusion that you did ask for the evidence
- 9 and your conclusion is, based on what you have
- seen, that it probably wasn't provided. Now, we
- 11 can ask Mr Bradshaw about this as well. But
- 12 have I fairly summarised your evidence?
- 13 A. I think so, yes.
- 14 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine. Thank you.
- 15 MR STEVENS: There's one further document I'd like
- 16 to take you to on this issue. It's in the
- 17 witness list. It's in your bundle at B21. It's
- 18 POL00047504, and page 2, please. Thank you.
- 19 Witness 10 is Denise Johnston, Paid Order Unit
- 20 28 Temple Road, Lisahally, County Derry.
- So it seems that you did eventually obtain
- 22 some evidence from Lisahally?
- 23 A. Lots of evidence from Lisahally because a lot of
- 24 these witnesses are from Lisahally, all these
- 25 civil servants, they are from Lisahally,

- Q. Thank you. That document can come down. Thank
- 2 you.

1

5

- 3 Very briefly on disclosure, we only have
- 4 draft disclosure statements in this case. You
 - say you would have had a role to play in
- 6 reviewing those.
- 7 **A.** Yes
- 8 Q. Can you summarise what that was, please?
- 9 A. So usually there were to be the schedule of
- 10 non-sensitive unused material, a schedule of
- 11 sensitive unused material, and the Investigating
- 12 Officer's report on the unused material. All
- those would have been sent to me at the time the
- 14 committal papers were being prepared.
- So what I would have done is, my first jobwould have been looking at the committal papers,
- 17 looking at the statements and the exhibits,
- 18 firstly to satisfy myself that there was a case
- 19 to answer, to go to the Crown Court. Then,
- 20 having looked at that, I would then look through
- 21 the schedules that the officer had prepared to
- 22 make sure he had included everything on it that
- 23 I was aware of. So everything that wasn't
- 24 already a statement or an exhibit, and I would
- also, to make sure he'd done his job properly,

I would also read through the investigation officer's file to see whether there's any references or any documents that have not been listed as exhibits or statements or unused material.

And then, if there was anything I was aware of, I'd go back to the officer and say, "Well, you know, it's not listed, can I have a copy of it?" and make sure it gets listed on the right schedule.

And then my next job at committal would be is there anything that undermines the prosecution case or, with reference to the interview, anything that may assist the defence?

Q. At the time, did you think it likely that Post
 Office held or had access to documents that
 would tend to support or disprove the -- sorry,
 support or undermine the integrity and
 reliability of the Horizon IT System?

20 A. No, I didn't, otherwise I would have asked for21 them.

Q. We discussed earlier your involvement in the
 advising on the contract, so that the Post
 Office had access to Fujitsu data.

25 A. Mm.

1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

77

important or it was an option to get a statement

search as that.

A. I wouldn't have asked for it if I didn't think it was necessary.

Q. So why didn't you do the same for documentation?

A. Which documentation?

Q. Documents -- a documentation relevant to the accuracy of the Horizon IT System?

A. Because I did -- I'm pretty sure I would have

9 A. Because I did -- I'm pretty sure I would have asked counsel whether we needed a statement from 10 11 anyone else to prove the accuracy of the system 12 and I really -- because I haven't got the rest 13 of the documents and I wasn't at the conference 14 with counsel, I can only assume it wasn't 15 thought necessary in this particular case. **Q**. So that's a statement -- whether a statement was necessary to prove it, but, in terms of Post

16 Q. So that's a statement -- whether a statement was
17 necessary to prove it, but, in terms of Post
18 Office and understanding the documents to which
19 it had access, which may support or undermine
20 the integrity of the Horizon IT System, what, if
21 any, queries did you make as to what Post Office
22 or Fujitsu held in respect of that
23 documentation?

24 A. If you're asking me with the benefit of hindsight, with all the documents that I now

Q. You presumably thought that Fujitsu would have
 had documentation relevant to the integrity of
 the Horizon system?

4 A. If necessary, yes, yes. So that's why
5 I originally asked for a statement about the -6 whether the system was operating properly.
7 I don't know whether I asked for it from the
8 right place but that's evidence that could have
9 been obtained if necessary.

Q. Did you make any enquiries as to whether there
 were documents held by Fujitsu or Post Office
 that tended to support or undermine the
 integrity of the Horizon IT System?

A. No, because I didn't think it was necessary in
 this case and I thought that's something that
 the Investigation Officer would do, because they

gather the evidence, we advised on the evidence on the basis of the material that they disclosed

to us and the information that we had, and then, obviously, the Investigation Manager, National Investigation Manager, advised whether there

22 should be a prosecution.

Q. Your evidence earlier in respect of getting
 a statement on the accuracy of the system, my
 understanding of that is you thought it was

know exist which I didn't know existed until
 2009, obviously I should have requested such
 a statement. But, at that time, back in

4 2002/2003, I didn't know that such -- well, (1) 5 I didn't know the system was unreliable and (2)

6 I didn't know all these various documents
7 existed and could be produced because, if I did,

8 I would have asked for them.

9 I really didn't know. It's one of those10 things where you don't know what you don't know.

11 **Q.** I took you earlier to Mr Bradshaw's draftstatement.

10 1 14

13 **A.** Mm.

22

23

24

25

14 Q. There was a brief question on that. To what
15 extent, if at all, would you have been involved
16 in drafting those statements?

17 A. No, the Investigation Officer drafted his own
 18 statements and he did the statements of the
 19 witnesses together with the witness.

Q. Please can we turn to paragraph 81 of yourstatement. It's page 32. You say that:

"It is also clear that there were more structural problems with the working culture at the Post Office that prevented the open and transparent sharing of information. It was very

79

1	hie	erarchical and there was limited communication	1	that there were people high up in the Post
2	be	tween the different strata of the	2	Office who did know things. And again, that was
3	org	ganisation. Even the Criminal Law Team	3	not shared with the Criminal Law Team.
4	ad	opted a culture in which we typically kept our	4	Then I think about people within Legal
5	wo	ork to ourselves and did not communicate openly	5	Services as a whole. So, say, for example,
6	as	a wider team. I think this undoubtedly	6	people in the Company and Commercial Teams would
7	pla	ayed a part in ensuring that the issues with	7	not have shared information, unless it was
8		orizon were obstructed for so long."	8	necessary, with people in the Criminal Law Team.
9		You refer there to the more structural	9	And then right at the bottom, in Legal Services,
10	pro	oblems with the working culture at the Post	10	were the Criminal Law Team because, as usual,
11	Off	fice, could you just expand on what those	11	criminal lawyers are kind of looked down on by
12		ructural problems were?	12	other lawyers within the department.
13		xay, so I'll start at the top, so say, for	13	Very little was shared with us, unless they
14		ample, the Post Office Board. The Post Office	14	wanted our help on say, for example, me helping
15		pard, from what I've read in the various	15	them with that little section of the agreement
16		dgments, clearly knew there were problems with	16	about being able to get statements and not being
17		e Horizon system, and that information was not	17	charged too much.
18		ared further down to the ordinary lawyers	18	And then, with our own team, it just wasn't
19		thin the Criminal Law Team.	19	something that happened. We didn't sit down and
20		Then you look at other people high up within	20	have discussions on cases and general issues.
21	the	e Post Office, some of whom you may have heard	21	It's something that did happen in the Employment
22		om giving evidence I don't know because	22	Law Team. We used to have a sort of like
23		aven't been reading the evidence but I can	23	a weekly one-to-one on a Monday morning where
24		e from the various transcripts and summaries,	24	people did raise cases and did raise issues, but
25		d also from the 2009 <i>Computer Weekly</i> article, 81	25	that's not something I remember happening in the 82
1	Cri	iminal Law Team. It was very different.	1 (1)	2.17 pm)
1 2		iminal Law Team. It was very different. EVENS: Thank you, sir. That concludes my	1 (1 :	• •
	MR STE	iminal Law Team. It was very different. EVENS: Thank you, sir. That concludes my estions. Unless you have any questions first,	·	2.17 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am on Friday, 10 November)
2	MR STE	EVENS: Thank you, sir. That concludes my	2	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2	MR STE	estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have	2 3	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4	MR STE qu I ca an	estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have	2 3 4	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5	MR STE qui l ca and SIR WY	estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have y. ('N WILLIAMS: No, I don't have any.	2 3 4 5	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6	que la canal sir wy MR STE	estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have	2 3 4 5 6	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7	que la canal sir wy MR STE	evens: Thank you, sir. That concludes my estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have y. 'N WILLIAMS: No, I don't have any. EVENS: It's a nil return in here, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR STE qu l ca an; SIR WY MR STE SIR WY	evens: Thank you, sir. That concludes my estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have y. 'N WILLIAMS: No, I don't have any. EVENS: It's a nil return in here, sir. 'N WILLIAMS: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR STE qui I ca an; SIR WY MR STE SIR WY	estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have y. 'N WILLIAMS: No, I don't have any. EVENS: It's a nil return in here, sir. 'N WILLIAMS: Right. Well, I'd like to thank you very much, as Williamson, for first of all making	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	quille qu	estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have y. YN WILLIAMS: No, I don't have any. EVENS: It's a nil return in here, sir. YN WILLIAMS: Right. Well, I'd like to thank you very much,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR STE qu l ca an SIR WY MR STE SIR WY Out	estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have y. 'N WILLIAMS: No, I don't have any. EVENS: It's a nil return in here, sir. 'N WILLIAMS: Right. Well, I'd like to thank you very much, as Williamson, for first of all making detailed statement and, indeed, for pointing to that documentation in relation to aspects of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR STE qu l ca an SIR WY MR STE SIR WY Out	evens: Thank you, sir. That concludes my estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have y. 'N WILLIAMS: No, I don't have any. EVENS: It's a nil return in here, sir. 'N WILLIAMS: Right. Well, I'd like to thank you very much, rs Williamson, for first of all making detailed statement and, indeed, for pointing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR STE qui l ca an; SIR WY MR STE SIR WY out you	estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have y. 'N WILLIAMS: No, I don't have any. EVENS: It's a nil return in here, sir. 'N WILLIAMS: Right. Well, I'd like to thank you very much, rs Williamson, for first of all making detailed statement and, indeed, for pointing at that documentation in relation to aspects of ur evidence is incomplete. I'm not saying at we will find that additional documentation,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR STE qui l ca an SIR WY MR STE SIR WY Mr a c ou you tha	evens: Thank you, sir. That concludes my estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have y. 'N WILLIAMS: No, I don't have any. EVENS: It's a nil return in here, sir. 'N WILLIAMS: Right. Well, I'd like to thank you very much, rs Williamson, for first of all making detailed statement and, indeed, for pointing that documentation in relation to aspects of ur evidence is incomplete. I'm not saying at we will find that additional documentation, the we'll certainly do our best to try to locate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR STE qui l ca an SIR WY MR STE SIR WY Mr a c ou you tha	estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have y. YN WILLIAMS: No, I don't have any. EVENS: It's a nil return in here, sir. YN WILLIAMS: Right. Well, I'd like to thank you very much, rs Williamson, for first of all making detailed statement and, indeed, for pointing that documentation in relation to aspects of ur evidence is incomplete. I'm not saying at we will find that additional documentation, it we'll certainly do our best to try to locate few can, even at this late stage.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR STE qui l ca any SIR WY MR STE SIR WY frida cour you that but it if	estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have y. IN WILLIAMS: No, I don't have any. EVENS: It's a nil return in here, sir. IN WILLIAMS: Right. Well, I'd like to thank you very much, so Williamson, for first of all making detailed statement and, indeed, for pointing that documentation in relation to aspects of our evidence is incomplete. I'm not saying at we will find that additional documentation, the we'll certainly do our best to try to locate of we can, even at this late stage. I'd like to thank you too for answering all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR STE qui l ca any SIR WY MR STE SIR WY frida cour you that but it if	estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have y. 'N WILLIAMS: No, I don't have any. EVENS: It's a nil return in here, sir. 'N WILLIAMS: Right. Well, I'd like to thank you very much, s Williamson, for first of all making detailed statement and, indeed, for pointing t that documentation in relation to aspects of ur evidence is incomplete. I'm not saying at we will find that additional documentation, it we'll certainly do our best to try to locate f we can, even at this late stage. I'd like to thank you too for answering all a questions that you have this morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR STE qui l ca an SIR WY MR STE SIR WY fra cou you that bu it if	evens: Thank you, sir. That concludes my estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have y. 'N WILLIAMS: No, I don't have any. EVENS: It's a nil return in here, sir. 'N WILLIAMS: Right. Well, I'd like to thank you very much, rs Williamson, for first of all making detailed statement and, indeed, for pointing that documentation in relation to aspects of ur evidence is incomplete. I'm not saying at we will find that additional documentation, the we'll certainly do our best to try to locate if we can, even at this late stage. I'd like to thank you too for answering all the questions that you have this morning. So I think that concludes today's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR STE qui l ca an SIR WY MR STE SIR WY Mr a c ou you tha bui it if	evens: Thank you, sir. That concludes my estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have y. IN WILLIAMS: No, I don't have any. EVENS: It's a nil return in here, sir. IN WILLIAMS: Right. Well, I'd like to thank you very much, so Williamson, for first of all making detailed statement and, indeed, for pointing at that documentation in relation to aspects of our evidence is incomplete. I'm not saying at we will find that additional documentation, at we'll certainly do our best to try to locate for we can, even at this late stage. I'd like to thank you too for answering all the questions that you have this morning. So I think that concludes today's proceedings, yes, Mr Stevens?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR STE qu I ca an SIR WY MR STE SIR WY fr a c ou you tha bu it if	estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have y. IN WILLIAMS: No, I don't have any. EVENS: It's a nil return in here, sir. IN WILLIAMS: Right. Well, I'd like to thank you very much, so Williamson, for first of all making detailed statement and, indeed, for pointing at that documentation in relation to aspects of the ur evidence is incomplete. I'm not saying at we will find that additional documentation, at we'll certainly do our best to try to locate for we can, even at this late stage. I'd like to thank you too for answering all the questions that you have this morning. So I think that concludes today's poceedings, yes, Mr Stevens? EVENS: That's correct, sir, and we're back on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR STE qui l ca any SIR WY MR STE SIR WY Mr a c ou you tha bu it if the prc MR STE	estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have y. IN WILLIAMS: No, I don't have any. EVENS: It's a nil return in here, sir. IN WILLIAMS: Right. Well, I'd like to thank you very much, so Williamson, for first of all making detailed statement and, indeed, for pointing that documentation in relation to aspects of the ur evidence is incomplete. I'm not saying at we will find that additional documentation, the we'll certainly do our best to try to locate for we can, even at this late stage. I'd like to thank you too for answering all the questions that you have this morning. So I think that concludes today's poceedings, yes, Mr Stevens? EVENS: That's correct, sir, and we're back on itiday at 10.00.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR STE qui l ca an SIR WY MR STE SIR WY Mr a c ou you tha bu it if the pro MR STE	estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have y. IN WILLIAMS: No, I don't have any. EVENS: It's a nil return in here, sir. IN WILLIAMS: Right. Well, I'd like to thank you very much, so Williamson, for first of all making detailed statement and, indeed, for pointing at that documentation in relation to aspects of the ur evidence is incomplete. I'm not saying at we will find that additional documentation, at we'll certainly do our best to try to locate for we can, even at this late stage. I'd like to thank you too for answering all the questions that you have this morning. So I think that concludes today's poceedings, yes, Mr Stevens? EVENS: That's correct, sir, and we're back on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR STE qu l ca any SIR WY MR STE SIR WY Mr a c ou tha bu it if the pro MR STE Fri SIR WY eve	evens: Thank you, sir. That concludes my estions. Unless you have any questions first, an see if any of the Core Participants have y. 'N WILLIAMS: No, I don't have any. EVENS: It's a nil return in here, sir. 'N WILLIAMS: Right. Well, I'd like to thank you very much, rs Williamson, for first of all making detailed statement and, indeed, for pointing at that documentation in relation to aspects of the ur evidence is incomplete. I'm not saying at we will find that additional documentation, at we'll certainly do our best to try to locate if we can, even at this late stage. I'd like to thank you too for answering all the questions that you have this morning. So I think that concludes today's poceedings, yes, Mr Stevens? EVENS: That's correct, sir, and we're back on iday at 10.00. 'N WILLIAMS: All right then. So I'll see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am

= =	
TERESA MARY WILLIAMSON (affirmed)	1
Questioned by MR STEVENS	1

	19 June 2002 [1]	5		affirmed [2] 1/8 85/2
MR STEVENS: [13]	- 53/23 1990 [1] 3/16	50 per cent [1] 28/12	11/23 22/19 22/23 23/22 23/23 25/7	afford [7] 26/14 26/19 27/11 28/6 28/8
1/3 1/6 1/10 46/20 46/25 47/3 47/8 47/1	1992 [1] 4/21	500,000 [1] 8/4 55 per cent [1] 30/5	35/18 36/9 40/24	31/10 31/13
74/15 83/2 83/7 83/2	1 1007 [1] 30/1/	58 [2] 68/13 73/11	accounts [2] 24/20 24/20	affront [1] 50/11 after [11] 4/23 9/4
83/25 SIR WYN WILLIAMS		59 [1] 48/10	accuracy [17] 39/16	11/10 13/1 15/10
[14] 1/5 46/23 47/1	<u> </u>	6	39/19 66/22 67/11	15/11 15/12 25/9 46/1
47/4 47/10 73/10	20 [1] 65/24 20.3 [1] 68/18	6 September 2003 [1]	67/14 67/19 68/20 69/9 70/1 70/4 70/21	47/2 53/3 afterwards [1] 54/2
73/19 73/22 74/5 74/ 74/14 83/6 83/8 83/2	200 [2] 63/22 63/23	48/21 69 [3] 37/15 37/18	71/20 72/18 73/15	again [7] 28/25 36/20
14/14 03/0 03/0 03/2	2 2000 [1] 39/11 2000s [2] 11/14	38/6	78/24 79/8 79/11	53/21 59/7 60/20 75/15 82/2
'90s [4] 34/11 38/9	34/12	7	accurate [8] 30/21 39/22 40/8 53/2 53/24	l .
38/10 38/11	2001 [1] 49/5	70 [1] 68/21	55/10 55/11 67/21	11/21 22/17 35/23
'95 [6] 7/1 9/19 11/2	2002 [5] 53/1 53/23 66/5 69/1 69/11	70 per cent [1] 30/5	accurately [1] 67/9 accused [3] 3/23	36/10 40/23 48/24 49/1 49/4
11/6 11/9 11/9 '95/'96 [5] 7/1 11/2	2002/2003 [2] 32/3	8	28/16 29/16	agency [1] 35/13
11/6 11/9 11/9	80/4	8 November 2023 [1]	achieve [1] 17/8	ago [2] 12/3 37/17
'96 [6] 7/1 9/19 11/2	2003 [7] 32/3 48/15 48/21 54/23 66/6	1/1 81 [1] 80/20	acquitted [1] 48/20 act [3] 19/1 22/9	agree [3] 35/6 54/18 56/12
11/6 11/9 11/9 '97 [1] 30/22	68/24 80/4	85 [1] 28/16	37/16	agreed [6] 23/18
'Concerning [1]	2004 [1] 48/23 2009 [4] 44/1 44/6	9	acting [1] 22/1	24/15 24/16 42/22
68/25	80/2 81/25	9.00 [2] 62/23 63/8	actual [1] 61/21 actually [11] 2/13	58/9 58/11 agreement [7] 41/15
1	2023 [2] 1/1 2/1	A	9/15 19/6 21/12 22/14	41/19 41/24 41/25
10 [4] 54/18 54/19	205A [2] 75/5 75/6 21 [4] 59/10 59/21	able [3] 44/23 56/21	46/21 59/13 61/4 61/5 63/6 66/16	42/1 42/9 82/15 agrees [1] 72/11
74/19 84/3 10.00 [3] 1/2 83/22	59/24 60/24	82/16	actus [1] 26/19	aimed [1] 32/23
84/2	22 [4] 59/23 60/9	about [43] 3/6 6/5	addition [1] 67/15	all [41] 4/17 5/16
100 [2] 18/25 63/22	60/20 60/21 1 22 July [1] 55/13	12/16 13/9 14/9 14/18 14/20 20/5 20/6 20/10	additional [2] 41/22 83/14	8/19 9/17 11/4 13/2 14/22 19/3 24/12 25/4
100 per cent [1] 43/4 11 May 2004 [1]	22 July 2003 [2]	22/2 23/2 24/19 26/10	addresses [1] 75/2	26/8 28/5 30/17 34/4
48/23	54/23 66/6	26/22 29/23 32/19	adds [1] 17/7	37/25 41/9 45/6 46/23
11.00 [1] 63/17	23 [1] 75/23 25 [1] 68/14	32/21 32/22 33/19 35/20 35/25 37/3 39/9	adjourned [1] 84/2 admin [2] 54/8 54/12	51/12 54/11 54/25 55/3 62/10 62/11 72/5
11.01 [2] 47/1 47/5 11.15 [1] 47/2	25 pages [1] 52/18	40/18 41/25 42/8	administrative [1]	72/6 74/24 75/1 75/5
11.17 [1] 47/7	25/26 [1] 65/24 26 [2] 38/24 65/24	42/16 43/14 43/19 43/24 47/16 56/5	10/18	75/6 75/11 75/12
12 [5] 34/16 38/23 56/11 57/21 57/23	27 [1] 48/19	63/12 66/8 67/9 71/5	administrator [1] 52/2	75/23 75/24 76/12 79/25 80/6 80/15
12 pages [1] 53/19	28 [2] 39/17 74/20	71/17 73/10 74/11	admit [1] 4/15	83/10 83/17 83/23
12.17 [1] 84/1	286 [1] 48/11 29 [1] 68/22	78/5 82/4 82/16 above [1] 66/21	admitted [2] 37/20 49/15	alleged [4] 23/4 24/9 25/5 41/5
13 [3] 14/4 60/4 60/12		Absolutely [9] 3/2	adopted [1] 81/4	allocated [2] 10/3
13 November [5]	3 March [1] 57/10	17/2 18/3 19/2 21/4	advice [13] 5/4 6/20	11/19
68/7 69/10 70/23 71/ 73/18	2 3,482.40 [1] 48/20	33/17 38/22 42/21 43/4	10/21 17/13 26/23 27/19 29/3 42/17 68/7	allowance [3] 49/8 63/1 63/12
13 November 2002	31.00 [2] 60/1 60/9	abuse [2] 50/10	68/15 70/13 71/24	almost [2] 3/22 32/17
[1] 69/1	32 [1] 80/21 32.00 [2] 60/20 60/22	50/12	72/3	along [1] 27/19
14 March 2003 [1] 68/24	34 [1] 2/5	abuses [1] 50/16 accept [5] 14/16 36/8	advices [2] 62/10 65/18	already [2] 22/13 76/24
15 August [1] 2/1	34 pages [1] 2/3	38/17 61/1 69/23	advise [11] 4/10	also [20] 7/10 12/20
15 minutes [1] 46/23	36 pages [1] 2/2 37 [1] 56/11	accepted [1] 18/23 accepts [2] 49/20	27/10 28/5 28/9 29/12 46/6 55/5 67/18 70/15	1
16 [1] 48/18 18 [2] 13/23 51/9	38 [2] 51/9 51/10	73/13	71/3 72/2	34/15 34/20 50/11
18 June [2] 53/25	39 [1] 66/15	access [5] 41/21	advised [8] 18/5	57/15 62/17 71/2 71/4
66/4	4	43/10 77/16 77/24 79/19	27/16 27/21 43/1 44/7 73/18 78/17 78/21	75/8 76/25 77/1 80/22 81/25
18 June 2002 [1] 53/1	4 September 2003 [1]	accordance [2]	advising [6] 4/12 5/5	although [2] 5/23
19 [3] 25/14 25/16	48/15 40 [1] 66/17	25/24 27/3 According [1] 49/6	28/19 32/13 67/17	73/12
66/15 19 June [2] 55/12	41 [1] 67/7	account [8] 23/24	77/23 affect [2] 30/18 37/4	always [8] 10/1 26/7 26/9 31/21 33/17 34/2
66/5		23/25 34/25 35/4	affected [2] 16/21	39/14 72/1
		35/22 36/1 36/6 36/24	50/2	am [6] 1/2 3/5 23/11

(23) MR STEVENS: - am

20/13 23/19 23/20 appears [2] 57/12 asked [27] 17/24 **back [14]** 17/10 68/18 21/2 24/19 35/24 17/23 19/25 23/12 25/2 25/23 27/23 am... [3] 47/5 47/7 applied [3] 26/7 30/8 40/11 40/18 42/16 31/2 40/5 55/24 62/8 28/18 32/4 32/5 32/13 84/2 32/19 57/24 61/24 62/25 64/1 70/11 72/10 77/7 33/7 34/11 35/11 38/8 amended [7] 55/13 apply [5] 4/13 4/16 67/14 68/10 70/7 80/3 83/21 38/14 38/17 38/19 55/15 55/17 60/2 4/19 32/10 32/14 70/17 71/23 72/5 72/7 balancing [1] 34/21 41/2 42/8 49/13 51/1 60/24 61/9 66/6 72/21 72/22 73/5 52/10 52/11 52/14 applying [1] 26/6 bank [1] 24/20 amended.summary 73/16 77/20 78/5 78/7 52/22 56/17 56/19 appraisal [2] 8/22 bankruptcy [1] 49/2 **[1]** 54/22 79/3 79/10 80/8 base [1] 72/13 61/16 61/24 66/2 66/8 45/12 amendments [2] based [2] 36/12 74/9 67/25 68/2 68/11 69/4 appreciate [1] 35/22 asking [2] 15/2 79/24 61/22 61/25 aspect [2] 27/8 28/3 basis [5] 34/21 39/21 69/6 69/15 72/20 approached [3] amongst [1] 37/22 15/23 18/2 61/24 72/25 76/13 76/16 aspects [2] 26/18 48/24 50/15 78/18 amount [9] 13/6 Bates [3] 20/2 44/1 83/12 77/3 78/9 80/15 81/23 approaching [1] 28/18 39/8 49/12 47/20 71/17 assess [1] 26/1 **before [13]** 12/5 49/14 57/16 57/17 appropriate [1] 27/3 assist [2] 34/19 **be [75]** 1/15 2/5 5/12 18/11 25/1 25/8 32/8 63/20 64/16 77/14 5/19 5/21 9/18 15/18 34/25 46/21 48/16 appropriation [1] amounts [1] 49/24 18/7 19/13 19/14 50/17 51/7 65/17 66/1 50/7 assistance [1] 17/20 **Andrew [2]** 31/18 assistant [6] 5/17 6/6 approve [1] 61/24 19/15 19/16 21/18 73/12 33/5 21/23 22/3 23/9 23/13 beforehand [1] 11/2 approved [1] 62/2 6/15 10/2 28/22 33/8 angry [2] 20/6 20/7 are [23] 2/7 4/6 12/4 **assistants** [1] 35/11 23/15 23/17 23/19 **beginning [1]** 5/23 annual [1] 63/9 12/14 13/10 14/25 assume [2] 55/10 23/25 24/13 24/14 behalf [2] 1/11 22/10 another [4] 10/25 15/17 19/24 23/1 40/6 79/14 24/16 24/17 24/25 being [20] 2/18 12/7 38/3 54/15 57/11 51/14 53/8 57/6 58/12 assumed [3] 39/15 26/16 26/17 26/24 21/21 21/23 25/11 answer [9] 14/13 61/2 64/12 64/14 40/2 67/10 27/20 28/18 28/25 33/2 39/2 41/4 41/15 24/23 24/25 54/4 74/24 74/25 75/4 75/6 **Assuming [1]** 66/3 30/16 30/25 31/2 42/9 42/9 44/18 50/23 61/11 61/20 62/14 75/24 82/11 53/1 55/9 60/14 67/1 31/12 32/16 35/24 assurance [1] 39/22 66/10 76/19 area [3] 2/17 5/2 37/20 41/19 42/18 76/14 82/16 82/16 at [113] answering [2] 36/19 attend [4] 44/23 46/2 26/23 43/7 44/8 44/15 44/17 belief [2] 2/8 3/1 83/17 argue [1] 53/4 46/9 71/6 45/9 45/11 45/17 believe [3] 33/21 antecedents [1] attendance [1] 71/5 45/20 45/22 46/1 46/3 69/5 71/10 arising [1] 50/19 51/19 around [1] 5/11 51/25 54/4 54/6 54/7 attending [1] 46/4 believed [1] 68/3 any [61] 6/17 8/23 **ARQ [4]** 43/20 43/24 audience [3] 32/16 55/15 56/1 56/4 56/21 believing [1] 37/23 17/13 17/18 21/1 21/2 58/6 58/16 59/1 59/13 belt [2] 44/15 73/3 44/10 50/3 33/9 33/10 21/5 21/19 25/5 26/4 article [9] 7/9 10/9 audit [2] 23/9 43/19 60/18 61/9 61/18 benefit [4] 17/9 46/17 27/14 29/18 29/25 10/12 10/14 10/16 August [1] 2/1 67/14 68/16 73/19 49/8 79/24 33/1 35/20 37/2 37/6 75/8 76/9 77/11 78/22 Berridge [1] 3/10 19/18 20/1 43/25 autonomous [1] 37/9 38/18 39/11 81/25 14/23 80/7 best [5] 2/8 2/25 39/16 39/24 39/25 articled [1] 13/24 44/19 70/24 83/15 autonomously [2] bear [1] 57/5 40/1 40/18 40/22 articulating [1] 31/19 14/1 14/17 became [7] 5/25 6/23 better [2] 31/4 65/25 43/14 44/4 45/21 46/9 **as [61]** 1/10 3/4 3/13 available [6] 21/21 7/7 8/15 18/18 34/10 **between [6]** 18/9 50/3 50/18 50/23 51/4 26/5 44/10 44/21 49/6 41/2 3/16 4/18 5/3 7/4 45/10 49/12 59/2 74/2 54/10 55/14 61/19 13/10 16/23 16/23 52/10 because [43] 8/1 8/2 81/2 63/4 65/13 67/8 67/12 18/22 19/4 19/7 19/11 avoided [1] 46/14 14/23 14/25 15/20 **big [1]** 21/10 68/2 68/6 68/15 70/5 22/1 22/8 22/10 28/21 **aware [15]** 13/10 18/3 18/11 19/12 biggest [1] 21/14 70/16 70/24 71/4 30/8 30/16 33/11 14/19 17/12 17/19 19/20 20/8 22/21 **Bin [1]** 64/1 71/12 71/13 71/14 bit [5] 5/4 19/20 33/15 34/10 35/5 37/8 34/10 38/4 38/18 27/10 31/16 33/4 73/6 73/6 77/2 77/3 29/23 60/5 60/13 37/20 38/18 40/25 39/11 40/1 41/9 43/22 36/20 37/24 39/23 78/10 79/21 83/3 83/4 42/17 44/7 44/9 48/3 44/10 47/18 76/23 42/1 43/16 44/6 44/14 bits [1] 35/9 83/5 83/6 48/3 48/25 51/10 46/12 49/16 58/12 board [4] 20/10 anyone [2] 40/4 51/14 52/14 52/23 62/10 63/13 67/6 away [3] 29/20 30/1 47/15 81/14 81/15 79/11 56/5 57/21 60/22 62/7 62/6 67/10 68/5 70/14 book [1] 64/1 anyone's [1] 34/5 63/9 63/11 65/16 70/22 71/25 72/20 bookie [1] 37/13 anything [11] 16/12 66/21 68/1 68/4 69/10 73/2 73/24 74/23 **bookies [1]** 37/13 20/22 23/19 23/20 **B1 [2]** 52/16 62/18 69/13 70/24 73/13 78/14 78/16 79/9 bookkeeping [1] 43/12 44/16 50/18 **B19** [1] 56/8 73/22 74/11 77/4 79/12 80/7 81/22 34/18 72/17 77/6 77/12 78/10 79/2 79/21 81/6 **B2 [6]** 53/6 59/9 82/10 **both** [5] 12/21 13/17 77/14 59/11 59/15 59/17 50/15 58/13 59/5 82/5 82/10 become [2] 47/18 anywhere [1] 23/20 62/17 aside [2] 13/24 29/17 48/17 bottom [3] 56/10 **apart [1]** 5/16 **B21 [1]** 74/17 ask [18] 1/11 1/12 57/18 82/9 **becoming [2]** 7/18 apologise [1] 50/22 **B23 [1]** 57/3 1/18 3/5 16/12 19/13 8/9 boxes [2] 72/5 72/6 appeal [5] 48/6 48/24 **B24 [1]** 57/19 24/24 36/20 47/18 been [58] 2/17 3/23 braces [2] 44/15 73/3 50/10 50/13 50/14 **B31 [4]** 54/15 59/8 56/1 56/7 61/6 65/3 7/14 8/20 8/22 9/20 **Bradshaw [26]** 51/13 appear [1] 15/1 59/16 59/17 68/8 73/14 73/20 74/8 10/2 10/23 10/24 51/15 51/16 54/5 54/7 **appeared** [1] 29/3 **B36 [1]** 48/10 74/11 16/24 16/25 17/5 20/8 56/7 57/9 60/3 60/22

60/12 61/17 62/10 21/24 23/1 23/5 23/7 15/23 81/5 В **charging [5]** 4/10 63/22 63/23 69/13 24/21 25/20 25/24 4/12 10/21 22/14 communication [1] Bradshaw... [17] 69/20 70/12 73/1 26/2 27/13 27/22 29/4 58/21 81/1 60/25 61/8 61/13 73/16 73/19 74/3 29/13 29/16 35/10 check [3] 1/25 64/15 company [3] 15/20 63/17 64/2 64/6 64/13 74/11 78/8 79/17 80/3 37/12 41/4 44/1 44/2 73/4 42/3 82/6 64/18 64/24 65/4 81/23 82/24 83/15 45/13 46/21 47/12 compare [3] 59/6 checked [2] 60/18 66/12 67/4 68/24 47/20 47/21 47/25 Bye [1] 83/24 67/14 59/17 69/15 68/25 70/11 74/1 48/3 48/5 48/7 48/13 checking [1] 64/12 compensation [1] 74/11 49/7 49/21 49/22 child [2] 45/25 46/1 51/2 Bradshaw's [5] call [2] 1/6 75/5 50/17 51/10 51/14 chronology [2] 66/3 complete [1] 72/20 51/18 56/12 56/22 called [5] 2/15 5/17 51/17 52/11 53/14 66/7 completely [1] 4/14 63/9 80/11 9/5 43/20 75/13 58/15 67/17 70/16 Churchard [3] 9/6 complicated [1] 8/8 branch [3] 23/10 came [13] 7/9 7/11 76/4 76/18 77/13 9/10 9/14 comply [1] 19/4 35/7 35/14 9/25 28/4 33/17 34/3 78/15 79/15 civil [2] 74/25 75/16 compulsory [3] breaches [1] 30/17 34/23 39/5 42/25 caseload [3] 3/21 clarification [1] 3/7 45/17 45/20 45/22 **break [1]** 47/6 53/18 55/5 56/2 68/6 6/17 10/13 **clarify [3]** 30/3 47/13 **computer [12]** 15/15 Brennan [19] 23/6 can [84] 1/3 1/5 1/12 cases [55] 4/9 4/17 17/17 19/18 20/1 57/15 48/1 48/1 48/17 49/4 1/18 1/25 7/23 8/24 5/1 5/1 5/19 5/20 5/20 clarifying [1] 52/4 36/16 36/23 37/10 49/15 50/23 57/13 9/23 12/2 13/2 17/8 6/12 6/18 7/19 7/20 37/20 37/25 38/1 **clash [1]** 14/10 62/24 64/3 64/9 64/17 20/7 21/7 22/3 22/4 clause [3] 41/19 43/25 81/25 7/21 7/23 8/23 10/3 64/22 65/6 65/10 67/1 22/5 22/15 22/23 25/3 10/5 11/4 11/10 11/13 42/16 42/23 computerised [1] 67/10 68/4 71/14 25/13 30/10 30/16 11/15 11/16 11/18 clear [3] 18/8 73/10 34/18 Brennan's [3] 48/8 30/23 34/7 34/22 40/4 11/20 11/25 12/2 14/1 80/22 conceded [1] 50/9 49/22 65/1 40/17 42/5 44/9 45/16 14/9 14/19 14/20 clearly [2] 50/24 conceive [1] 43/17 **Brentnall** [1] 12/23 47/8 47/10 47/18 48/9 14/24 15/2 15/24 81/16 concentration [1] **brief [1]** 80/14 48/10 51/14 51/22 clerk [5] 10/16 13/25 17/23 21/19 22/17 36/21 **briefly [3]** 13/20 52/15 53/5 53/11 54/4 22/20 22/24 23/3 48/18 60/4 60/12 **concerned** [2] 16/23 22/23 76/3 54/14 54/19 54/25 24/12 26/10 28/14 **clerks [4]** 7/9 10/10 59/1 bring [9] 30/10 38/23 54/25 55/3 55/6 55/9 32/18 32/22 37/9 10/12 10/14 concerns [2] 38/18 51/8 52/15 53/5 54/14 55/14 56/16 56/25 38/20 39/24 40/1 client [3] 18/20 22/4 67/3 57/2 62/17 75/10 58/2 59/5 59/6 59/9 42/25 43/17 44/1 22/11 **concludes [2]** 83/2 broad [1] 34/22 59/12 59/17 61/2 61/3 47/20 68/2 75/4 82/20 clients [1] 19/8 83/19 broadly [4] 16/21 61/4 61/6 61/7 61/15 82/24 close [2] 27/23 49/5 **conclusion [4]** 50/14 43/11 44/13 70/4 62/16 62/17 62/22 casework [1] 5/3 Code [19] 4/13 4/16 73/14 74/8 74/9 brought [3] 27/23 63/5 63/7 63/14 63/16 cash [11] 5/21 23/5 4/19 25/25 26/6 26/7 conference [2] 71/5 40/17 40/23 66/14 72/16 73/22 23/24 23/25 34/25 26/9 26/12 27/4 29/24 79/13 bug [1] 50/1 74/5 74/11 75/10 76/1 35/4 35/21 36/1 36/6 31/8 31/20 31/22 confirm [1] 47/22 bundle [20] 1/15 1/19 76/8 77/8 79/14 80/20 49/8 49/9 32/11 32/14 33/16 **confirming [5]** 68/20 30/25 31/1 48/10 81/23 83/4 83/16 Cassidy [3] 69/2 70/9 33/24 34/3 34/5 69/9 70/4 70/20 73/15 52/16 53/6 54/15 56/9 can't [28] 7/12 9/4 74/2 Colin [3] 69/5 70/10 **consider [2]** 46/5 56/21 57/3 57/18 11/12 11/18 11/24 **Catherine** [3] 9/5 74/2 49/25 58/17 59/9 61/16 12/21 12/25 13/3 24/5 9/10 9/14 collaborate [2] 17/9 consideration [1] 61/18 62/18 65/19 25/12 34/4 38/13 caused [2] 16/4 33/19 29/19 65/22 74/17 39/23 46/10 46/18 50/24 collaboration [3] considering [1] business [5] 2/14 53/4 53/17 54/9 56/5 cent [6] 18/25 26/16 14/3 16/22 17/6 25/23 2/20 7/14 13/19 33/11 58/24 59/18 61/11 28/12 30/5 30/5 43/4 consulted [1] 42/13 collaborative [4] but [83] 2/17 2/22 61/20 62/11 62/14 certain [1] 35/12 15/3 15/6 16/11 16/25 contained [1] 66/20 3/13 4/17 6/18 7/12 65/23 70/22 75/14 contents [1] 2/7 certainly [5] 16/23 **colleague** [2] 42/3 8/4 8/20 9/4 9/16 Canada [1] 12/24 32/12 55/22 75/6 42/5 contract [1] 77/23 10/10 10/15 11/10 cannot [4] 11/25 37/6 83/15 colleagues [1] 41/17 contractual [2] 41/10 11/19 12/5 12/25 13/2 52/5 66/10 challenging [6] 7/20 come [10] 4/20 17/22 42/14 13/3 13/22 14/6 15/25 career [1] 3/15 7/21 7/23 7/24 7/25 22/21 23/6 34/7 43/12 control [1] 62/13 17/9 21/15 24/5 24/25 careful [1] 44/18 43/18 55/9 72/10 76/1 conversation [3] 19/11 25/6 27/2 28/12 28/23 careless [4] 60/15 70/10 70/24 74/2 chance [1] 26/16 comes [1] 15/15 30/16 31/20 32/16 60/23 61/1 61/9 change [6] 16/17 coming [1] 62/7 conversations [1] 33/8 33/9 33/13 34/16 carried [2] 67/2 25/10 37/1 40/18 comment [3] 12/2 14/7 35/11 35/13 35/23 68/16 40/20 40/22 73/25 74/1 convicted [1] 48/19 37/6 37/18 38/10 case [69] 1/19 2/18 conviction [15] 26/15 changed [2] 5/23 commercial [3] 41/25 42/15 44/22 5/24 8/3 8/3 8/5 8/8 41/17 42/4 82/6 36/12 26/20 27/6 27/12 45/16 45/21 45/24 8/10 10/19 11/7 11/21 changes [1] 40/16 Commission [1] 38/6 27/17 27/22 28/7 28/9 46/3 46/6 46/10 46/13 14/10 15/10 17/16 31/11 31/14 48/8 charge [1] 9/13 **committal [4]** 70/14 47/22 50/6 50/10 51/2 19/10 20/2 20/3 21/7 charged [1] 82/17 76/14 76/16 77/11 48/24 50/15 50/25 51/4 51/13 52/10 55/5 21/12 21/13 21/13 charges [3] 11/22 common [1] 43/5 68/17 56/3 58/15 58/25 60/4 21/15 21/17 21/18 27/19 49/4 communicate [2] copies [1] 34/4

C copy [6] 1/20 26/8 56/14 57/20 68/10 77/8 Core [2] 48/1 83/4 corporate [1] 41/17 correct [11] 1/22 2/9 2/21 3/11 3/12 3/17 29/15 52/12 53/4 64/20 83/21 correcting [1] 63/24 correction [1] 2/24 **correctly [2]** 63/3 65/12 corroborate [1] 50/5 cost [1] 42/20 could [36] 6/13 7/20 7/21 8/11 15/1 16/12 17/20 26/21 35/24 36/19 38/23 41/21 42/18 43/2 43/10 43/12 44/16 45/8 46/12 46/17 50/1 51/8 56/8 56/10 56/20 57/4 59/21 59/23 59/25 63/3 65/12 68/16 72/10 78/8 80/7 81/11 couldn't [7] 21/23 24/14 43/17 45/22 46/11 46/12 46/18 counsel [15] 22/6 56/1 70/13 70/15 70/19 70/22 71/3 71/6 71/22 72/2 72/9 72/11 73/7 79/10 79/14 counsel's [1] 71/24 count [1] 54/19 counter [2] 48/18 60/1 countervailing [2] 29/6 29/13 counts [2] 48/19 48/21 County [1] 74/20 course [3] 12/4 30/25 43/13 courses [1] 46/15 court [9] 18/24 48/6 48/15 50/10 50/13 51/7 56/18 58/7 76/19 courts [1] 66/1 **covered** [2] 22/13 55/23 **CPD [3]** 45/4 45/6 45/9 created [4] 35/5 36/1 36/6 51/25 creating [1] 35/18 crime [1] 26/18 criminal [43] 3/19 3/23 5/1 5/6 5/7 5/8 5/12 5/16 6/6 6/20 7/5 9/9 13/6 13/17 15/7

17/11 18/4 20/12 22/8 19/7 19/11 27/21 26/1 27/10 30/17 37/12 52/1 56/3 58/9 32/12 33/23 37/2 77/14 37/15 40/4 43/5 43/13 defendant [3] 41/5 44/22 45/18 48/7 49/3 58/16 68/1 50/4 50/10 55/22 81/3 defendants [1] 12/1 81/19 82/3 82/8 82/10 defending [1] 3/22 82/11 83/1 Crown [19] 4/13 4/17 4/19 25/25 26/6 26/8 73/21 26/9 27/4 29/25 31/9 31/20 31/22 32/11 32/14 33/16 34/3 34/5 48/15 76/19 **culture [3]** 80/23 81/4 81/10 current [3] 30/15 31/5 32/8 D data [45] 22/20 22/24 35/3 36/9 36/9 36/11 36/15 36/22 37/10 38/19 38/20 40/6 40/9 41/6 41/6 41/10 41/21 42/18 43/9 43/14 43/19 43/20 43/22 16/10 43/24 44/3 44/8 44/11 44/12 44/14 46/7 50/3 52/23 66/13 66/20 67/11 67/14 67/21 68/20 69/10 69/14 70/1 70/5 71/8 73/15 77/24 date [5] 38/9 45/24 53/1 53/23 54/23 dated [5] 2/1 30/13 57/9 68/24 69/1 dates [1] 7/12 day [5] 9/8 9/8 45/25 46/2 46/12 days [2] 45/5 53/2 deal [5] 7/21 8/11 13/7 15/1 44/20 dealing [4] 8/9 14/24 41/16 75/19 dealings [3] 9/14 9/15 9/17 dealt [3] 2/18 4/18 42/1 December [2] 30/14 30/22 December '97 [1] 30/22 decided [1] 49/3 decision [7] 2/13 18/7 22/10 33/12 50/19 50/21 58/21

decisions [4] 2/20

decreased [1] 13/8

4/10 4/12 22/14

default [1] 29/11

defence [9] 18/15

defect [1] 50/1

definitely [5] 21/4 47/24 59/4 71/25 delay [1] 32/22 delineation [1] 18/9 demonstrated [2] 63/2 65/11 denied [1] 49/18 **Denise [1]** 74/19 **department** [9] 5/18 13/11 14/11 14/21 69/8 69/12 69/25 71/18 82/12 depend [1] 58/14 depended [4] 24/21 36/15 36/22 49/11 depends [1] 58/9 **Derry [1]** 74/20 describe [2] 16/7 describing [1] 29/2 **deserves [1]** 51/2 designed [1] 34/19 desk [1] 10/1 detailed [1] 83/11 **details** [1] 67/8 development [2] 45/2 45/8 did [62] 3/20 4/9 4/15 4/19 5/2 5/4 7/9 7/13 8/17 8/19 8/25 9/7 10/4 10/8 10/15 10/15 50/6 10/16 11/19 13/3 14/14 15/11 16/17 18/9 19/3 21/5 21/12 22/8 24/8 30/6 39/18 41/15 42/22 42/24 43/9 47/18 47/24 49/18 49/25 57/15 58/24 58/25 66/24 67/8 68/8 70/15 71/25 72/1 73/14 73/20 74/8 74/21 77/15 78/10 79/9 79/21 80/7 80/18 81/5 82/2 82/21 82/24 82/24 didn't [34] 9/16 14/14 14/18 15/2 15/22 16/19 20/5 22/21 27/10 32/5 33/18 34/14 41/25 44/3 44/6 44/12 44/14 44/17 45/13 51/2 65/3 68/4 71/6 71/14 77/20 78/14 79/3 79/5 80/1 80/4 80/5 80/6 80/9 82/19

didn't I [1] 14/14 **difference [3]** 18/11 49/10 49/12 different [16] 10/10 11/4 14/24 19/10 23/2 26/24 26/25 35/8 35/9 41/1 54/25 56/4 61/2 61/11 81/2 83/1 differently [1] 20/15 **difficult [1]** 19/4 difficulties [2] 39/9 39/12 direct [1] 66/19 director [5] 5/17 6/6 6/15 10/2 33/8 disappointed [1] 32/6 disclose [1] 21/22 disclosed [7] 19/15 20/4 21/21 38/19 68/11 72/25 78/18 **disclosure** [2] 76/3 76/4 discrepancies [5] 49/15 63/12 66/20 66/22 67/1 discrepancy [4] 25/2 25/6 59/2 69/17 discuss [2] 15/23 17/20 discussed [4] 30/1 40/15 69/4 77/22 discussion [3] 37/2 40/19 63/8 discussions [3] 20/10 47/15 82/20 dishonesty [5] 25/6 29/17 30/13 30/19 dismissed [1] 48/25 disprove [1] 77/17 distribution [1] 5/22 **Division** [2] 48/7 50/11 do [54] 2/9 5/24 6/8 12/5 13/5 14/22 15/21 16/1 16/2 16/4 16/21 16/24 20/14 25/10 26/4 30/20 31/24 32/1 33/1 35/6 36/8 37/1 37/14 38/17 39/5 40/11 40/22 41/4 41/13 42/7 44/7 44/25 67/13 73/6 46/5 51/11 54/18 64/7 64/7 64/11 64/25 70/20 72/11 72/15 dockets [5] 63/20 64/12 64/12 64/14 document [33] 30/10 30/12 31/3 31/16

32/16 33/5 33/23 34/7 37/19 52/19 52/24 52/25 53/1 53/6 53/9 53/15 53/19 55/9 57/1 57/7 59/14 59/21 59/22 59/24 60/2 62/22 63/5 72/13 74/15 76/1 documentation [13] 20/23 24/4 25/7 49/7 72/22 73/12 78/2 79/5 79/6 79/7 79/23 83/12 83/14 documents [21] 1/16 21/3 24/2 24/7 35/18 52/6 52/8 57/2 57/5 59/7 72/16 72/21 75/23 77/3 77/16 78/11 79/7 79/13 79/18 79/25 80/6 does [3] 53/24 56/23 66/8 doesn't [2] 14/9 34/2 dogs [1] 4/3 doing [6] 4/16 6/11 10/18 43/16 62/6 72/1 don't [58] 4/15 6/16 10/4 10/14 10/15 13/21 14/14 22/15 28/16 33/3 33/3 33/8 33/9 33/11 33/13 33/20 33/24 34/1 34/15 38/13 38/16 39/24 40/10 40/13 41/8 42/15 42/24 46/3 51/12 54/3 54/12 55/2 56/4 56/20 56/22 58/13 60/4 60/12 60/14 61/13 61/23 62/15 63/19 65/16 65/18 65/20 65/23 66/11 67/6 68/1 70/6 70/21 73/16 78/7 80/10 80/10 81/22 83/6 done [11] 8/21 20/15 20/22 54/11 55/12 55/13 63/18 65/5 69/4 76/15 76/25 door [1] 16/20 doubt [4] 39/16 66/21 down [9] 20/12 20/13 56/16 61/1 61/19 64/5 34/7 55/9 56/25 76/1 81/18 82/11 82/19 64/25 65/2 65/8 69/23 draft [4] 41/24 43/11 76/4 80/11 73/8 78/16 79/5 83/15 drafted [4] 27/2 33/4 69/7 80/17 drafting [2] 26/22 80/16 drawn [1] 35/4 **DSS [1]** 23/14 (26) copy - DSS

31/17 31/23 32/15

D 5/25 46/24 73/13 **explained** [4] 57/13 83/10 girl [1] 73/3 due [3] 12/4 25/6 give [4] 10/20 22/4 83/24 57/15 62/24 66/21 firsthand [2] 33/24 25/6 34/14 55/6 **everything [3]** 44/13 explanation [5] 49/23 34/1 dunno [1] 65/7 76/22 76/23 60/6 60/14 64/3 66/25 **firstly [5]** 13/22 22/3 given [4] 7/19 39/16 duties [2] 18/23 19/4 61/21 72/20 evidence [62] 1/24 **explanations [1]** 55/6 56/16 70/3 76/18 extent [11] 9/7 9/23 gives [1] 64/2 3/5 15/14 18/4 18/5 fit [1] 53/13 15/21 17/12 19/3 24/8 **five [2]** 48/21 53/2 19/13 22/13 25/23 giving [3] 1/23 1/24 E4 [1] 31/1 26/14 26/17 27/11 25/3 28/2 30/3 30/6 focus [5] 15/10 24/8 81/22 each [5] 2/14 2/20 27/13 28/6 28/8 31/10 80/15 25/22 27/5 27/8 glare [1] 30/23 13/25 14/20 26/17 31/13 32/9 32/13 go [28] 4/1 13/22 focused [1] 5/8 earlier [8] 33/18 33/18 37/16 37/20 14/4 16/12 16/20 focusing [1] 22/17 40/18 47/14 63/11 39/9 40/25 41/3 43/19 **face [2]** 29/4 29/13 forced [1] 49/2 19/20 21/10 27/14 66/3 77/22 78/23 46/8 47/13 47/22 faced [1] 39/9 form [4] 24/5 24/6 34/16 47/11 51/12 80/11 facilitation [1] 17/7 49/11 49/21 50/5 50/5 24/7 51/19 51/22 52/9 55/24 early [1] 34/11 fact [7] 8/19 9/14 51/7 66/19 66/25 67/7 56/10 60/7 60/17 62/8 forthcoming [2] easier [3] 19/6 19/19 12/16 21/12 37/11 67/23 70/16 70/17 63/5 63/14 63/16 64/9 73/23 73/24 59/13 70/21 71/4 71/7 71/10 37/20 46/14 forward [3] 19/10 64/23 66/23 72/12 effect [2] 31/23 75/21 factor [1] 30/7 71/19 71/20 71/23 56/18 56/19 75/14 76/19 77/7 eight [1] 12/12 72/3 72/18 73/1 73/20 factors [4] 29/6 found [6] 21/23 23/19 goes [3] 50/8 60/8 either [8] 8/1 21/22 29/14 29/18 30/1 74/7 74/8 74/12 74/22 23/20 43/24 47/19 64/2 34/11 41/24 43/24 facts [1] 27/20 74/23 78/8 78/17 50/11 going [11] 3/5 10/6 44/1 47/20 52/1 fair [7] 11/19 13/14 78/17 78/23 81/22 fraud [2] 66/19 67/2 12/4 21/24 40/6 47/11 **Elaborate [1]** 55/25 14/11 30/20 53/24 81/23 83/13 frequency [1] 25/10 48/13 54/6 57/1 62/1 electronic [1] 52/24 evidencing [1] 8/11 67/16 73/23 **frequently [1]** 55/21 62/3 else [2] 7/6 79/11 fairly [2] 65/8 74/12 Friday [2] 83/22 84/3 gone [4] 17/23 24/10 **evidential** [2] 25/24 **employees [1]** 30/18 fairness [1] 58/15 front [2] 1/15 59/18 36/21 64/20 26/11 **employer [2]** 18/20 fall [1] 27/14 exactly [2] 11/12 Fujitsu [10] 20/19 good [3] 1/3 46/20 45/23 false [4] 5/14 11/22 52/6 21/1 41/11 43/3 69/21 47/8 Employment [3] 13/2 22/18 40/24 **examining** [1] 48/3 73/17 77/24 78/1 Gosh [1] 23/1 15/5 82/21 familiar [2] 53/8 53/9 78/11 79/22 example [12] 8/21 got [14] 9/15 9/16 end [1] 38/24 far [3] 16/23 17/8 10/20 15/7 20/9 28/15 full [15] 1/12 26/12 11/13 23/1 40/13 ended [1] 43/16 43/11 55/7 62/10 73/22 32/21 35/7 68/18 52/9 52/13 52/15 ending [4] 59/10 feel [2] 16/19 21/5 71/16 81/14 82/5 52/20 52/21 56/18 63/22 64/19 67/24 59/21 59/22 59/24 feeling [1] 46/11 82/14 56/20 58/7 58/13 70/13 73/7 79/12 enough [3] 26/17 felt [6] 13/9 16/12 58/22 59/3 61/17 66/4 except [1] 5/25 grew [1] 13/12 55/8 66/25 21/20 29/22 55/23 **exception** [1] 38/3 fuller [1] 56/2 **Ground [4]** 50/10 enquiries [1] 78/10 58/18 fundamentally [1] 50/12 50/16 50/16 **excluded** [1] 61/9 ensure [1] 42/17 few [3] 11/20 12/5 36/12 exclusively [1] 3/22 grounds [1] 37/23 **ensuring [2]** 45/6 13/14 guess [5] 8/1 14/23 **executives** [1] 10/17 funds [1] 24/10 81/7 fewer [3] 4/7 4/8 **exhibit** [9] 56/11 further [10] 21/2 23/8 39/23 45/3 entered [1] 49/13 32/24 57/21 57/23 57/23 27/13 27/14 48/21 guessing [1] 33/14 entering [1] 49/24 file [16] 25/20 25/22 57/24 58/17 65/19 51/24 68/15 71/20 guidance [1] 26/4 **entitled [1]** 44/9 49/2 51/11 52/7 52/11 72/22 74/15 81/18 65/22 76/24 environment [1] Н 53/16 53/18 53/22 exhibit-bundle [1] 13/21 G 54/22 55/5 55/5 55/21 had [77] 2/17 3/23 65/19 **Er [1]** 64/23 59/16 66/18 77/2 gather [1] 78/17 4/1 6/17 6/18 8/23 exhibits [11] 2/11 error [3] 17/17 50/1 9/13 10/2 10/3 10/5 filed [1] 58/7 gathered [1] 18/4 23/14 56/9 56/21 57/13 gave [1] 65/17 10/5 10/25 11/3 12/1 files [1] 9/25 61/16 61/18 75/3 75/3 errors [3] 25/7 49/16 general [6] 12/6 13/13 16/25 17/15 filtered [2] 20/11 75/24 76/17 77/4 49/24 20/13 24/22 24/24 39/2 45/8 17/22 18/11 18/23 exist [1] 80/1 essential [1] 49/22 final [3] 18/6 25/20 20/13 21/18 21/19 existed [3] 44/6 80/1 82/20 essentially [1] 69/6 42/22 generally [1] 14/2 23/9 23/18 23/19 80/7 **establish** [1] 24/9 financially [1] 8/2 generated [1] 38/21 23/20 24/10 25/2 26/8 expand [2] 9/23 even [5] 44/16 46/14 28/18 28/23 34/4 37/8 find [5] 19/3 32/18 **Gerard [1]** 75/13 81/11 70/23 81/3 83/16 get [18] 11/19 13/17 37/9 37/12 37/21 expect [3] 58/6 58/17 64/15 75/14 83/14 event [1] 68/6 17/24 19/8 24/2 38/9 38/17 39/24 40/2 Fine [2] 47/4 74/14 58/19 events [1] 49/4 firm [2] 3/19 18/13 41/14 41/21 44/16 41/13 43/15 45/6 46/1 **expected** [2] 13/7 **eventually [1]** 74/21 first [26] 1/20 3/6 44/19 62/6 64/1 70/19 46/9 48/17 49/12 61/17 ever [9] 10/5 10/20 5/15 6/11 7/5 8/23 9/2 70/25 72/9 72/12 79/1 49/18 50/8 50/19 51/4 experience [2] 60/5 21/5 21/18 22/9 39/18 12/19 13/15 25/19 82/16 58/9 58/21 61/19 63/2 60/13 41/4 43/12 65/5 gets [2] 51/1 77/9 27/9 28/4 33/17 34/3 65/11 65/17 66/21 explain [8] 20/7 everybody [2] 7/6 48/4 48/6 52/13 55/3 getting [5] 11/7 11/16 67/1 67/11 67/12 20/19 44/9 51/15 32/20 55/7 55/20 57/10 59/6 45/4 45/9 78/23 67/12 67/22 68/2 68/2 53/11 62/25 63/3

65/12

everyone [5] 5/18

75/23 76/15 83/3

Gibson [1] 12/21

58/3 58/21 58/24 7/5 9/2 Н helps [1] 56/23 I saw [2] 41/23 65/21 her [11] 9/17 48/23 62/25 63/14 64/7 I found [1] 47/19 I say [2] 15/4 33/15 had... [12] 68/5 69/15 64/20 64/25 73/2 73/8 I gave [1] 65/17 49/1 49/16 49/23 I see [1] 2/24 70/10 70/17 76/5 50/24 50/24 50/25 I get [1] 13/17 I seem [1] 13/17 76/21 76/22 77/16 51/4 67/12 68/5 **I got [3]** 9/15 9/16 I sent [1] 21/9 77/24 78/2 78/19 I advised [2] 27/16 here [9] 17/11 22/18 43/11 I should [3] 20/5 79/19 37/18 62/9 68/24 27/21 I guess [5] 8/1 14/23 25/19 80/2 hadn't [3] 10/24 69/23 70/9 71/16 83/7 | l always [2] 26/9 72/1 | 23/8 39/23 45/3 Iso [1] 44/12 70/18 72/6 herself [2] 31/7 49/11 | I am [2] $3/\overline{5} 23/11$ I specifically [1] 70/7 I had [8] 8/23 12/1 **Hamilton [5]** 20/3 I appreciate [1] 37/12 51/4 66/21 hesitate [1] 14/14 I still [1] 72/8 44/2 47/21 48/7 50/20 35/22 hesitated [1] 14/13 67/12 67/22 70/17 I suspect [1] 33/7 hand [1] 57/19 lask [4] 1/11 1/12 hierarchical [1] 81/1 I hadn't [1] 10/24 I tell [1] 61/15 handbag [1] 24/18 19/13 61/6 high [5] 28/12 28/13 I have [1] 69/1 I think [60] 1/18 2/14 handling [1] 5/21 l asked [3] 40/18 39/4 81/20 82/1 I haven't [5] 55/7 2/18 2/19 2/21 4/8 handwritten [1] 24/6 73/16 78/7 high-quality [1] 39/4 62/10 72/20 79/12 5/23 6/5 6/11 6/19 7/6 hang [1] 40/5 I assumed [1] 67/10 higher [1] 6/19 81/23 7/8 8/4 10/16 11/9 happen [1] 82/21 highlight [1] 59/25 I became [1] 7/7 11/14 11/18 11/19 I hope [1] 51/1 happened [6] 11/12 I believe [1] 69/5 him [6] 16/12 17/24 I initially [1] 73/18 12/11 12/19 12/20 25/8 25/9 35/10 49/19 I believed [1] 68/3 21/11 62/12 72/6 72/7 I joined [1] 13/2 13/14 14/24 14/25 82/19 hindsight [2] 17/10 I came [1] 55/5 I just [8] 2/22 26/7 16/3 24/12 25/8 29/15 happening [3] 54/13 I can [13] 1/18 12/2 29/22 31/2 32/3 32/15 79/25 40/2 43/15 44/13 60/16 82/25 13/2 21/7 54/19 54/25 44/15 46/2 70/3 his [12] 6/14 6/17 9/4 32/23 35/9 38/8 41/16 **happy [2]** 13/19 54/25 55/3 55/6 61/2 9/13 16/10 16/20 I keep [1] 65/16 41/23 43/7 45/3 45/4 59/20 79/14 81/23 83/4 48/16 56/24 57/23 I knew [2] 34/18 45/19 46/20 47/19 hard [4] 19/7 23/12 68/23 76/25 80/17 I can't [19] 7/12 9/4 47/20 50/21 51/11 44/12 24/22 24/25 11/12 11/18 12/21 I know [6] 16/2 19/25 52/21 54/3 54/8 56/6 history [1] 3/15 harm [1] 50/24 24/5 25/12 34/4 38/13 33/6 41/14 70/8 71/25 **hm** [**5**] 15/19 34/13 65/2 68/7 68/12 74/13 has [10] 34/10 36/21 39/23 46/10 46/18 40/21 47/17 53/20 I looked [1] 70/12 75/4 75/7 75/23 81/6 39/8 43/19 50/24 51/1 53/4 53/17 54/9 56/5 home [1] 49/17 I made [1] 2/22 82/4 83/19 52/22 69/4 69/6 73/5 62/11 70/22 75/14 **Honour [1]** 48/16 I make [1] 47/1 I thought [3] 19/6 have [134] hope [1] 51/1 I cannot [1] 37/6 I may [1] 1/6 19/17 78/15 haven't [6] 55/7 I could [5] 7/21 46/12 I mean [1] 14/22 hoped [2] 46/2 65/25 I took [1] 80/11 62/10 64/19 72/20 46/17 56/20 72/10 Horizon [68] 15/10 I might [2] 55/24 I trusted [2] 19/12 79/12 81/23 I couldn't [4] 43/17 15/11 15/12 20/11 67/24 19/14 having [4] 32/23 46/11 46/12 46/18 21/8 21/15 21/19 I moved [1] 15/4 I turn [2] 48/9 50/17 41/22 70/10 76/20 I dealt [1] 4/18 22/20 22/25 24/9 25/1 I must [1] 4/15 I understand [3] 20/9 he [22] 6/10 6/11 I did [16] 3/20 4/15 25/8 25/9 34/9 34/10 I now [1] 79/25 47/15 52/19 6/15 6/16 6/17 6/17 4/19 5/4 11/19 14/14 34/25 35/10 36/3 36/3 I want [10] 13/20 I now use [1] 3/13 8/25 8/25 10/2 10/3 39/18 41/15 42/24 36/6 36/13 36/16 I only [1] 43/24 18/10 22/2 44/20 10/4 10/4 16/11 16/18 47/24 58/24 66/24 36/23 37/3 37/5 37/8 47/13 47/25 48/5 51/6 **I originally [2]** 73/4 33/6 58/4 64/2 69/5 70/15 71/25 79/9 80/7 78/5 38/19 38/21 39/10 52/13 59/5 70/18 72/6 76/22 39/15 40/15 41/2 41/5 I didn't [16] 9/16 20/5 I particularly [1] 8/3 I was [19] 2/11 4/15 80/18 41/7 45/13 46/6 46/7 41/25 44/6 44/12 I personally [1] 39/24 6/5 7/6 7/19 11/18 he'd [2] 72/5 76/25 46/9 47/16 49/6 49/12 44/14 44/17 65/3 71/6 | I presume [1] 65/2 12/16 12/17 14/15 he's [2] 31/19 58/3 77/20 78/14 79/3 80/1 read [3] 19/17 20/1 49/22 49/25 50/5 16/23 21/22 26/10 head [3] 5/17 6/6 80/4 80/5 80/6 57/17 66/13 66/20 32/3 42/12 42/15 73/11 31/18 I do [5] 2/9 14/22 67/9 67/13 67/20 I realise [2] 17/6 20/3 46/13 72/1 76/23 77/6 heading [1] 30/14 41/13 65/2 73/8 68/20 69/10 69/15 I realised [1] 2/12 I wasn't [4] 40/1 hear [2] 1/3 47/8 I don't [31] 4/15 6/16 69/20 70/1 70/5 71/8 I really [19] 11/24 43/13 74/3 79/13 heard [3] 39/8 43/19 10/4 10/14 10/15 11/25 38/16 40/13 71/21 72/19 73/15 I went [1] 8/23 81/21 14/14 22/15 28/16 75/22 77/19 78/3 51/2 54/3 54/12 56/4 I worked [2] 73/2 hearing [3] 59/25 33/3 33/3 33/8 33/9 78/13 79/8 79/20 81/8 56/20 58/24 61/11 73/8 62/20 84/2 81/17 34/1 38/13 40/10 41/8 61/23 62/14 65/20 I would [31] 20/17 **Heath [11]** 6/5 6/13 42/15 42/24 46/3 55/2 horrible [1] 45/23 65/23 66/10 66/11 27/17 29/22 29/24 6/15 8/18 8/20 8/24 56/22 61/13 62/15 house [4] 18/18 79/12 80/9 31/20 46/10 46/17 9/12 12/20 16/8 16/13 65/16 65/18 65/23 18/22 19/5 22/1 53/15 55/4 61/17 62/2 I recall [1] 39/1 33/7 68/1 70/6 70/21 78/7 65/25 66/18 67/13 how [35] 4/6 5/12 7/3 I remember [7] 7/17 held [5] 41/10 59/14 83/6 10/8 11/21 15/23 8/8 9/15 21/14 37/12 67/23 68/5 70/13 77/16 78/11 79/22 I dunno [1] 65/7 15/25 16/7 16/10 70/18 71/1 71/4 72/3 46/11 82/25 help [4] 15/3 55/8 I even [1] 46/14 16/17 17/10 20/19 I requested [2] 44/13 72/4 73/7 74/3 76/15 56/21 82/14 I fairly [1] 74/12 26/24 32/1 35/21 76/20 76/24 77/1 69/10 helpful [1] 16/25 I felt [4] 21/20 29/22 35/25 36/1 36/23 37/3 I returned [1] 11/11 77/20 79/9 80/8 helping [2] 10/17 55/23 58/18 I said [4] 47/11 63/11 40/19 52/23 53/11 I wouldn't [2] 21/24 82/14 I first [4] 5/15 6/11 53/12 55/19 56/23 66/3 70/7 79/3

38/10 38/11 83/16 Inquiry [7] 1/12 1/24 involve [1] 30/19 jury [1] 48/17 3/5 39/8 43/19 48/2 involved [14] 3/22 just [42] 1/25 2/9 later [7] 5/17 5/23 I'd [23] 10/23 17/23 48/4 4/25 8/2 8/4 24/15 2/22 3/6 6/13 10/5 8/15 11/11 22/21 43/1 20/16 21/18 32/5 insisted [1] 20/17 24/17 25/18 37/9 12/3 13/20 15/3 15/9 46/3 39/24 43/7 46/9 46/13 instead [2] 22/16 38/14 41/4 43/23 44/5 16/2 19/20 22/23 latter [1] 50/13 50/21 56/21 58/17 61/21 80/15 24/21 26/7 28/25 30/3 law [33] 3/19 5/7 5/12 63/21 58/19 65/3 68/2 72/5 instructions [8] 22/2 involvement [5] 9/8 40/2 43/15 44/13 5/16 6/6 6/20 7/5 9/9 72/7 72/8 73/19 74/15 22/4 22/6 22/9 70/15 13/6 13/18 15/5 15/7 25/19 61/19 69/13 44/15 44/18 44/20 77/7 83/9 83/17 70/22 71/3 72/2 77/22 45/9 46/2 47/1 47/12 17/12 18/4 20/12 22/8 **I'II [8]** 14/15 33/22 47/22 51/14 53/11 30/17 32/12 33/23 insufficient [2] 31/10 involving [1] 37/12 38/9 57/7 60/6 60/16 Ireland [2] 69/2 75/1 50/7 57/5 59/6 60/14 62/19 37/2 38/5 40/4 43/6 81/13 83/23 is [86] 63/14 65/3 70/3 73/10 44/22 45/18 55/22 integrity [10] 19/1 l'm [31] 9/3 24/3 24/5 74/5 75/8 81/11 82/18 35/20 36/15 36/22 isn't [1] 61/1 81/3 81/19 82/3 82/8 30/23 32/15 33/14 38/18 71/8 77/18 78/2 issue [10] 17/17 justice [3] 50/12 82/10 82/22 83/1 36/19 36/19 37/18 17/18 21/8 21/20 50/6 70/10 74/3 78/13 79/20 lawyer [27] 6/10 6/21 38/13 46/15 48/13 intended [2] 45/17 67/25 68/1 68/2 68/4 Justice' [1] 69/5 6/23 6/24 7/6 7/7 7/8 50/25 51/4 58/25 7/11 7/13 7/19 8/10 45/20 59/12 66/2 66/10 68/7 K intentionally [1] 67/2 issues [10] 5/6 8/23 9/18 10/10 10/15 69/14 70/3 70/14 73/2 keep [2] 15/2 65/16 interest [9] 28/3 12/1 17/14 25/11 10/20 10/23 10/25 73/3 73/3 73/8 73/10 28/19 29/1 29/9 29/12 39/25 71/14 81/7 kept [4] 35/5 35/25 11/1 13/25 14/25 75/8 75/16 79/9 83/13 49/10 81/4 29/21 30/7 32/18 82/20 82/24 27/10 31/17 31/19 l've [9] 12/2 17/4 17/5 key [1] 60/15 50/12 it [205] 37/8 42/11 44/7 55/21 23/1 40/13 61/15 keystroke [1] 49/24 Internal [1] 30/12 it's [60] 1/18 4/8 8/8 lawvers [13] 5/11 65/20 68/10 81/15 interview [23] 23/18 12/3 13/22 15/20 kind [9] 5/24 24/4 5/16 7/3 7/3 12/10 ICL [1] 41/11 51/20 52/18 53/3 53/8 15/21 19/6 23/9 23/12 43/17 45/23 46/13 12/12 33/6 33/10 identified [1] 25/2 24/21 24/22 25/14 46/15 62/13 73/3 53/13 53/18 54/16 33/22 44/22 81/18 if [108] 82/11 55/2 55/12 55/16 28/20 29/22 30/10 82/11 82/12 implementation [1] kinds [1] 72/23 55/17 55/20 56/14 30/12 30/13 32/19 lay [2] 22/4 22/11 25/1 32/23 33/4 37/17 38/8 knew [3] 34/18 44/12 57/21 58/10 58/22 lead [1] 40/16 important [1] 79/1 81/16 61/10 61/12 65/9 38/10 40/8 45/19 leader [5] 5/18 6/1 imprisonment [1] Knight [1] 12/22 10/1 17/5 45/10 67/12 68/5 77/14 45/25 48/4 48/9 51/9 48/22 know [74] 1/10 6/16 **interviews** [1] 51/25 52/10 52/14 52/23 leading [1] 25/2 improper [1] 37/24 54/17 54/20 56/8 57/2 10/4 11/2 16/1 16/2 into [8] 8/13 8/17 learning [1] 15/24 improve [1] 68/17 16/20 29/19 53/13 19/25 20/5 28/15 59/8 59/8 60/5 60/6 leave [4] 11/11 61/4 **inaccurate [3]** 37/24 28/16 32/1 33/3 33/3 61/3 65/19 65/22 60/13 60/14 60/15 62/16 63/9 41/6 41/7 33/6 33/8 33/12 33/13 led [3] 33/1 33/16 60/17 60/23 60/25 introduce [2] 48/13 included [3] 27/18 34/2 38/13 38/16 61/8 64/20 65/9 68/11 57/7 71/7 68/15 76/22 40/13 41/13 41/14 left [12] 12/11 12/18 74/16 74/17 74/17 **introduced [2]** 34/11 incomplete [3] 72/13 42/2 42/15 42/24 44/6 12/20 13/1 13/1 18/1 75/10 77/8 80/9 80/21 37/5 73/13 83/13 44/14 44/17 45/13 introducing [1] 51/14 82/21 83/7 57/11 57/19 60/9 inconsistent [1] 47/24 49/18 51/3 54/3 introduction [5] its [1] 70/1 60/21 62/20 62/22 48/25 54/12 55/2 56/4 56/16 left-hand [1] 57/19 22/25 34/8 36/13 37/3 itself [2] 17/19 36/4 increased [1] 13/8 40/15 56/20 56/22 60/7 legal [5] 10/17 41/20 incrimination [1] 60/17 61/14 61/23 62/7 82/4 82/9 investigate [1] 67/19 35/23 job [6] 6/8 6/14 6/21 62/15 63/19 64/7 lens [1] 31/21 investigating [5] indeed [1] 83/11 76/15 76/25 77/11 64/25 65/16 65/18 23/16 51/16 70/17 less [2] 4/7 30/9 independence [1] Johnston [1] 74/19 65/20 65/23 66/11 72/4 76/11 lesser [1] 49/13 19/1 joined [12] 4/20 6/3 66/12 70/6 70/8 70/21 investigation [14] Let [2] 17/16 24/24 independently [1] 6/11 6/21 7/5 7/9 7/11 71/7 71/17 71/25 2/15 18/6 24/8 25/3 **let's [7]** 15/9 15/10 10/21 9/3 13/2 22/17 22/19 72/13 73/16 77/8 78/7 51/19 54/9 61/13 16/8 19/20 66/13 index [1] 2/4 26/5 80/1 80/1 80/4 80/5 62/14 69/18 77/1 66/16 68/12 indicate [1] 50/3 joining [1] 5/11 80/6 80/9 80/10 80/10 78/16 78/20 78/21 letter [1] 28/24 indicated [1] 57/16 Joyce [1] 12/21 81/22 82/2 80/17 level [6] 6/19 9/19 individual [1] 26/18 Judge [1] 48/16 knowing [1] 19/25 16/22 20/10 41/24 Investigations [4] individuals [1] 3/23 judgment [1] 48/6 knowledge [7] 2/8 13/18 31/18 33/5 52/3 47/16 **information** [7] 41/10 judgments [2] 20/2 3/1 33/25 34/1 43/5 like [18] 5/6 8/6 Investigative [1] 41/14 55/8 78/19 81/16 63/2 65/11 10/17 14/10 24/18 13/11 80/25 81/17 82/7 July [3] 54/23 55/13 known [2] 20/5 20/16 24/21 28/17 29/2 investigator [9] informs [1] 69/3 17/24 18/1 19/16 21/9 66/6 32/17 45/7 50/21 initial [2] 51/11 75/14 June [6] 53/1 53/23 53/16 55/24 59/3 52/21 73/24 74/15 initially [8] 8/13 8/14 larger [1] 31/1 53/25 55/12 66/4 66/5 72/17 73/5 75/13 82/22 83/9 8/25 41/11 44/23 last [5] 2/12 3/10 junior [8] 7/11 10/10 investigators [7] 83/17 53/25 66/24 73/18 12/16 24/24 31/3 10/12 10/15 10/17 9/25 13/15 18/3 21/2 likelihood [3] 27/18 initials [1] 75/9 late [5] 34/11 38/9 10/20 10/23 13/25 21/6 41/20 68/16 28/10 68/17

49/23 53/25 57/14 mechanics [1] 39/20 32/23 32/24 45/6 45/8 N 63/18 65/19 65/22 member [1] 13/25 49/9 60/10 62/8 66/9 likely [4] 34/10 66/9 name [10] 1/10 1/12 mail [6] 4/18 5/20 8/5 members [1] 45/17 80/22 81/9 71/19 77/15 1/14 3/10 3/13 3/14 8/7 28/23 32/22 memo [4] 68/25 morning [6] 1/3 47/8 9/4 24/5 42/5 52/25 limited [5] 2/16 5/20 main [1] 21/7 70/23 71/1 73/25 47/14 47/14 82/23 11/20 49/6 81/1 namely [1] 36/23 mainly [2] 11/19 memorandum [6] 83/18 names [1] 12/1 line [1] 8/12 51/13 67/3 67/8 68/11 75/12 most [1] 14/15 Lisa [3] 48/1 48/17 National [3] 2/15 maintain [1] 35/16 68/23 69/11 mostly [1] 18/15 50/22 18/6 78/20 make [7] 47/1 58/21 mens [1] 26/19 move [4] 18/10 22/15 Lisa Brennan [2] nature [1] 16/2 76/22 76/25 77/9 mens rea [1] 26/19 46/21 62/16 48/1 48/17 necessarily [1] 33/10 78/10 79/21 mentioned [1] 58/14 moved [1] 15/4 **Lisahally [21]** 23/15 **necessary [11]** 43/3 makes [2] 20/6 20/7 message [2] 39/2 moving [2] 31/14 24/3 24/7 60/8 60/18 58/12 71/22 72/8 78/4 making [4] 2/19 39/5 34/8 69/2 70/8 71/17 73/17 78/9 78/14 79/4 79/15 33/12 75/18 83/10 MR [21] 1/9 16/8 met [1] 26/2 74/20 74/22 74/23 79/17 82/8 man [1] 9/3 16/13 47/2 60/3 60/22 metadata [3] 52/22 74/24 74/25 75/4 75/7 need [10] 13/21 managed [2] 6/16 53/21 54/21 60/25 61/8 63/9 63/17 75/8 75/12 75/17 22/15 34/15 40/7 64/2 64/6 64/13 64/18 16/5 meticulous [1] 73/9 75/19 75/25 51/12 55/15 67/6 might [15] 5/21 6/18 64/24 65/4 68/24 management [3] list [5] 56/9 74/17 70/20 72/11 72/18 8/12 16/7 16/10 19/8 24/17 28/18 74/11 80/11 83/20 75/3 75/15 75/24 needed [4] 42/2 manager [5] 18/6 28/25 30/1 35/8 35/11 85/4 44/16 44/18 79/10 listed [3] 77/4 77/8 40/2 44/17 55/24 56/1 26/23 45/11 78/20 Mr Bradshaw [13] 77/9 negotiated [2] 41/15 60/3 60/22 60/25 61/8 78/21 59/13 67/24 listen [2] 52/3 63/13 42/10 managerial [1] 6/19 Mike [9] 6/5 6/13 63/17 64/2 64/6 64/13 little [5] 5/4 9/12 14/2 never [2] 39/15 46/13 Managers [1] 2/17 6/15 8/18 8/20 8/24 64/18 64/24 65/4 82/13 82/15 new [2] 36/6 52/4 many [9] 4/6 5/12 7/3 9/12 12/20 33/7 68/24 74/11 Liverpool [2] 48/16 next [4] 31/15 54/6 8/19 10/8 23/2 56/23 mind [1] 34/5 Mr Bradshaw's [2] 59/15 77/11 71/6 58/3 58/24 minimal [1] 9/21 63/9 80/11 locate [1] 83/15 nice [1] 9/15 **March [2]** 57/10 minutes [1] 46/23 Mr Heath [2] 16/8 long [4] 12/3 23/12 Nicola [1] 12/22 68/24 misremembered [1] 16/13 37/17 81/8 night [2] 2/12 12/16 mark [2] 50/13 73/6 **MR STEVENS [4]** 1/9 2/22 longer [3] 62/2 62/3 nil [1] 83/7 married [1] 3/13 mistake [3] 57/15 47/2 83/20 85/4 66/5 no [36] 6/5 22/12 **MARY [3]** 1/8 1/14 63/19 63/24 Mrs [5] 1/7 1/10 3/8 look [20] 10/5 12/5 25/12 30/15 31/1 31/5 85/2 73/11 83/10 mistakes [2] 63/4 13/20 18/10 21/2 32/7 33/3 33/20 34/24 material [11] 19/15 65/13 **Mrs Teresa [1]** 3/8 31/15 48/5 50/17 56/8 35/10 35/10 37/22 20/4 21/9 21/20 37/25 mix [1] 5/22 Mrs Williamson [4] 39/7 39/13 40/10 59/5 60/20 66/13 59/1 76/10 76/11 mixture [2] 5/19 6/12 1/7 1/10 73/11 83/10 66/14 66/17 68/12 40/11 40/13 41/8 42/6 76/12 77/5 78/18 Mm [21] 11/5 12/9 Ms [17] 48/8 49/4 72/3 75/2 75/5 76/20 43/24 44/6 46/6 50/4 14/8 15/11 15/16 49/15 49/22 57/13 maternity [1] 11/11 81/20 55/6 60/5 60/13 65/14 matter [2] 17/21 69/4 15/19 16/9 26/3 27/7 62/24 64/3 64/9 64/17 looked [7] 21/1 45/12 65/14 66/21 71/10 28/1 34/13 38/2 38/12 64/22 65/1 65/6 65/10 matters [3] 5/5 42/14 72/20 77/20 78/14 55/4 58/20 70/12 75/20 40/21 43/21 44/24 67/1 67/10 68/4 71/14 76/20 82/11 80/17 83/6 may [12] 1/6 24/25 47/17 53/20 71/15 Ms Brennan [13] looking [10] 17/10 nodded [3] 3/25 35/2 26/24 29/19 48/23 77/25 80/13 49/4 49/15 57/13 19/25 21/10 38/24 36/18 62/24 64/3 64/9 64/22 52/9 63/20 66/8 75/8 **Mm-hm [5]** 15/19 46/1 51/6 75/9 75/11 non [1] 76/10 77/14 79/19 81/21 34/13 40/21 47/17 65/6 65/10 67/1 67/10 76/16 76/17 non-sensitive [1] maybe [8] 28/15 53/20 68/4 71/14 looks [3] 52/21 73/24 76/10 Ms Brennan's [3] 28/16 28/17 28/21 moderate [1] 28/13 nor [1] 61/15 75/12 28/22 28/22 28/23 moment [3] 51/22 48/8 49/22 65/1 **lot [6]** 16/11 20/3 normal [2] 58/5 52/8 57/5 much [13] 5/7 9/17 32/21 34/14 74/23 63/15 me [26] 1/4 7/18 13/9 11/9 15/5 16/19 19/11 Monday [1] 82/23 normally [2] 30/16 17/16 19/16 20/4 20/6 money [2] 41/22 32/23 33/10 33/19 lots [2] 41/22 74/23 69/4 24/24 30/24 36/20 49/19 42/1 62/8 82/17 83/9 love [3] 71/1 71/2 Northern [2] 69/2 37/17 47/9 53/17 must [2] 4/15 49/23 months' [1] 48/22 71/4 75/1 53/18 54/24 54/24 Moran [1] 75/13 my [30] 1/10 1/14 **loves [1]** 46/16 not [60] 2/21 10/4 59/16 62/11 65/17 more [45] 5/24 6/18 3/12 3/13 7/21 8/21 **low [1]** 28/11 11/9 14/9 14/22 16/22 68/11 69/3 69/13 6/19 7/19 7/21 7/23 17/22 25/22 27/18 20/11 20/16 21/21 lunch [1] 14/5 7/25 8/1 8/2 8/5 8/8 31/8 32/16 36/20 72/11 76/13 79/24 26/2 27/3 27/21 28/12 82/14 8/11 10/16 10/18 11/7 41/17 54/6 62/10 68/7 M 29/1 31/16 31/19 11/13 11/13 11/15 68/15 69/10 70/15 mean [3] 14/22 64/5 machine [1] 64/20 31/19 32/2 32/15 11/16 12/6 13/25 15/5 70/22 70/23 71/1 71/2 64/11 machine's [2] 63/22 32/19 33/13 36/19 72/1 72/3 72/21 76/15 means [2] 46/23 16/11 16/18 16/18 63/23 39/18 42/24 45/16 54/24 16/21 16/25 17/5 17/8 77/11 78/24 83/2 made [12] 2/13 2/22 45/21 47/24 49/18 19/4 19/11 26/16 meant [2] 32/16 myself [2] 55/22 18/6 22/9 22/14 38/5 49/25 51/24 55/23 45/25 28/11 32/18 32/19 76/18

80/24 81/11 81/14 Ν ordinary [2] 18/23 page **59** [1] 48/10 pause [1] 46/21 81/14 81/21 82/2 81/18 page 6 [2] 25/16 63/7 pay [6] 41/22 63/1 not... [29] 56/3 58/3 Office's [1] 41/9 organisation [4] 16/3 page 7 [6] 25/14 63/3 63/14 63/23 60/23 60/25 61/9 62/2 officer [11] 2/15 39/3 44/19 81/3 59/10 59/12 59/20 65/11 62/4 66/24 67/8 67/11 51/16 54/10 62/5 organisations [2] 59/22 63/5 paying [1] 63/21 67/21 67/22 68/11 70/18 72/4 72/10 17/4 17/6 page 8 [1] 59/23 payments [1] 34/20 69/3 69/6 69/20 70/3 76/21 77/7 78/16 pages [8] 2/2 2/3 2/4 original [1] 72/3 **peeved [1]** 46/11 70/6 72/25 73/23 77/3 80/17 originally [2] 73/4 52/18 53/19 54/18 pension [5] 63/1 77/8 81/5 81/17 82/3 officer's [2] 76/12 56/23 58/3 63/12 63/15 66/19 78/5 82/7 82/16 82/25 other [20] 2/4 5/3 77/2 paid [7] 21/11 23/14 69/16 83/13 officers [2] 23/16 14/18 14/19 14/20 24/2 49/8 57/16 64/19 pensions [2] 60/7 **notes [1]** 71/5 15/23 17/4 17/5 32/1 60/17 23/16 74/19 **nothing [2]** 50/2 often [5] 23/13 24/19 33/20 33/21 33/22 paper [1] 30/24 people [27] 5/24 8/21 54/24 37/22 54/16 62/3 papers [2] 76/14 8/22 10/8 12/18 13/1 29/22 58/21 60/16 notice [1] 69/16 Oh [2] 23/23 64/11 63/13 67/15 75/20 76/16 13/1 14/17 14/18 noticed [1] 66/18 Okay [4] 15/13 17/22 81/20 82/12 14/19 15/8 15/22 paperwork [3] 8/1 **noticing** [1] 11/6 24/14 32/2 32/4 33/11 23/8 81/13 others [2] 29/18 40/1 23/13 62/11 **November [8]** 1/1 old [3] 28/15 28/20 otherwise [3] 24/11 paragraph [15] 13/23 33/12 33/20 35/8 68/7 69/1 69/10 70/23 54/12 63/13 81/20 48/18 72/18 77/20 14/4 25/14 25/16 71/2 73/18 84/3 our [8] 40/5 57/19 38/24 39/17 48/11 82/1 82/4 82/6 82/8 on [158] **now [17]** 3/4 3/7 3/13 59/24 60/21 81/4 once [1] 14/25 51/9 51/10 66/15 82/24 4/20 18/10 19/24 one [42] 1/20 2/9 82/14 82/18 83/15 66/17 68/13 68/21 per [6] 18/25 26/16 19/25 23/12 26/21 2/14 2/19 3/6 4/8 5/24 ourselves [3] 17/15 73/11 80/20 28/12 30/5 30/5 43/4 37/14 37/14 39/23 8/3 10/10 10/17 12/5 40/7 81/5 perfect [1] 66/16 paragraph 13 [1] 40/6 54/9 60/2 74/10 17/22 18/15 19/9 21/7 out [20] 19/20 25/17 period [3] 7/15 9/4 14/4 79/25 29/18 37/19 39/2 24/24 28/24 29/22 13/8 paragraph 18 [1] number [6] 4/2 4/6 30/4 30/5 40/11 41/16 43/24 47/19 49/8 13/23 permitted [1] 49/10 11/25 15/8 56/11 51/10 57/16 59/16 44/1 45/19 47/12 paragraph 19 [2] person [18] 2/12 75/18 47/19 54/11 54/17 63/1 63/3 63/15 63/21 25/14 25/16 2/15 2/19 14/15 16/19 Number 37 [1] 56/11 65/12 67/2 67/3 68/16 paragraph 26 [1] 55/2 55/4 59/10 59/20 17/25 23/18 24/17 numbers [3] 12/8 61/16 64/16 65/5 66/1 83/12 38/24 28/16 28/20 28/21 13/3 13/5 66/8 67/24 74/15 80/9 over [10] 9/20 10/7 42/13 42/15 46/14 paragraph 28 [1] 82/23 82/23 13/5 13/8 16/13 16/17 39/17 46/16 54/3 66/12 73/4 O ones [3] 55/6 61/14 51/22 60/24 63/16 paragraph 286 [1] personal [1] 45/7 obstructed [1] 81/8 75/1 64/9 48/11 personality [1] 14/10 obtain [1] 74/21 only [16] 7/6 9/13 overarching [1] 31/9 paragraph 38 [2] personally [4] 39/24 obtained [9] 19/13 oversaw [1] 6/16 45/5 61/17 72/8 12/2 27/25 28/23 51/9 51/10 19/14 20/18 20/25 28/25 31/12 42/13 **Phipps [1]** 48/16 overseeing [1] 45/1 paragraph 39 [1] 27/13 42/18 43/2 50/3 43/24 54/3 62/1 63/22 phrase [1] 22/3 **overturned** [1] 51/1 66/15 78/9 own [7] 6/17 7/22 66/11 66/19 76/3 paragraph 40 [1] picked [2] 62/12 obvious [1] 4/3 79/14 15/2 35/15 35/16 66/17 65/15 obviously [6] 22/19 onto [3] 31/15 49/13 80/17 82/18 place [4] 23/10 23/21 paragraph 58 [2] 44/3 45/22 65/24 70/24 78/8 49/25 68/13 73/11 78/20 80/2 onwards [2] 39/11 **play [1]** 76/5 paragraph 70 [1] occasions [1] 58/25 **P2311 [2]** 75/7 75/11 played [1] 81/7 68/21 66/15 off [6] 21/9 24/2 41/2 open [3] 16/19 43/16 page [30] 2/5 25/14 please [31] 1/13 paragraph 81 [1] 60/18 64/1 75/22 25/15 25/16 26/21 25/13 26/21 30/10 80/24 80/20 offences [2] 3/24 34/16 38/23 48/10 30/24 37/17 38/23 openly [1] 81/5 paraphrasing [1] 5/14 51/9 51/22 54/20 57/8 operating [6] 20/17 37/18 48/9 48/10 51/9 51/22 offered [1] 28/2 38/1 38/4 67/9 68/9 57/11 57/12 59/10 52/15 53/5 54/14 56/8 Parcelforce [1] 5/21 office [51] 2/16 4/21 59/12 59/20 59/22 78/6 part [6] 41/2 41/23 56/10 59/9 59/10 5/15 5/20 9/2 9/8 59/23 60/24 62/22 opinion [7] 27/2 49/16 50/23 51/4 81/7 59/22 59/23 60/1 11/20 16/20 17/13 63/5 63/7 63/16 64/9 62/22 63/5 63/16 64/9 27/18 27/25 28/2 Participant [1] 48/1 17/19 17/23 19/12 66/15 68/14 68/22 66/14 68/14 72/12 70/19 72/9 73/7 Participants [1] 83/4 22/10 23/5 23/10 74/18 80/21 74/18 76/8 80/20 opportunity [2] 46/9 particular [6] 11/7 23/11 23/21 24/1 26/5 page 12 [2] 34/16 27/4 27/8 42/16 43/14 pleased [1] 50/25 50/22 30/12 30/18 35/8 38/5 38/23 option [1] 79/1 79/15 **pm [1]** 84/1 38/17 41/13 41/20 point [18] 3/7 11/17 page 18 [1] 51/9 or [113] particularly [3] 8/3 43/15 44/8 45/23 page 19 [1] 66/15 21/5 23/8 26/13 29/20 oral [1] 1/24 28/12 75/1 48/18 49/3 49/20 50/8 page 2 [2] 62/22 30/4 30/24 32/7 42/11 order [10] 12/17 partly [1] 14/23 52/23 53/21 54/21 74/18 47/12 52/5 55/25 12/25 19/20 23/14 **party [1]** 74/3 58/5 62/25 67/17 page 29 [1] 68/22 24/3 37/19 55/3 67/16 60/21 68/25 69/23 pass [1] 22/5 67/18 77/16 77/24 page 32 [1] 80/21 72/16 75/18 67/18 74/19 past [1] 2/16 78/11 79/18 79/21 page 34 [1] 2/5 orders [1] 21/11 Pathway [1] 41/11 pointing [1] 83/11

pre [3] 21/15 24/9 38/1 38/4 39/19 40/1 44/9 45/21 46/18 P 66/1 24/12 40/3 68/3 68/9 70/6 55/14 61/7 66/21 points [5] 26/25 45/4 Q pre-Horizon [1] 24/9 76/25 78/6 67/13 71/10 45/6 45/9 67/15 pregnant [1] 9/16 proportion [1] 7/4 qualification [1] 3/18 reasonable [2] 27/22 POL00030659 [1] qualified [1] 3/16 prepared [9] 35/1 proposal [1] 38/6 37/23 30/11 35/8 35/11 54/10 55/2 prosecute [5] 5/19 qualifying [1] 4/23 reassurance [1] POL00047320 [4] 55/7 59/2 76/14 76/21 28/20 30/17 33/13 quality [2] 39/4 62/13 39/21 52/16 62/18 62/21 quashed [2] 48/8 recall [23] 6/8 7/24 present [1] 9/16 42/25 63/6 50/15 pressing [1] 60/15 prosecuted [1] 31/12 8/24 13/5 13/17 14/22 POL00047322 [5] queries [2] 35/20 25/3 25/10 25/12 26/4 **pressure** [1] 21/5 prosecuting [5] 4/9 53/5 59/8 62/17 62/20 pressures [1] 49/17 79/21 4/25 15/8 29/1 32/20 34/22 37/1 37/14 39/1 62/21 question [12] 24/22 40/4 40/17 40/22 41/4 presumably [3] prosecution [25] POL00047502 [2] 24/24 35/24 36/20 18/22 44/3 78/1 2/13 5/1 18/16 21/6 41/8 42/5 42/7 45/16 56/8 75/10 39/18 40/11 52/5 54/4 25/18 26/2 27/2 29/8 52/5 presume [1] 65/2 POL00047504 [1] 54/6 68/18 73/6 80/14 received [2] 46/5 pretty [6] 66/2 68/8 29/20 29/24 30/2 74/18 questioned [3] 1/9 70/14 73/2 75/16 79/9 30/13 37/21 43/13 51/10 POL00047506 [1] 67/11 85/4 43/23 48/3 49/7 49/25 prevented [1] 80/24 recollect [1] 56/16 57/3 questions [10] 1/11 **previously [2]** 34/25 50/9 50/23 51/4 52/1 recollection [2] 33/1 POL00047507 [2] 3/6 12/6 24/19 35/25 56/1 77/13 78/22 40/13 69/5 57/4 57/20 64/4 66/11 83/3 83/3 principal [1] 6/10 prosecutions [18] recommending [3] POL00047521 [2] 2/20 4/2 4/5 4/14 4/16 83/18 printed [1] 75/22 29/23 30/2 41/18 54/14 59/8 quickly [1] 44/20 printout [2] 60/7 5/13 32/24 32/25 record [14] 3/3 13/22 POL00113278 [1] quieter [1] 16/18 60/17 36/10 37/4 40/6 40/17 23/17 35/3 35/16 48/9 quite [5] 2/21 12/25 prior [3] 21/7 22/24 40/20 40/23 41/12 35/21 52/17 53/7 police [3] 24/14 43/1 44/4 46/7 16/19 32/15 73/9 53/12 54/1 54/16 55/1 37/8 24/17 37/15 private [6] 4/2 4/5 prosecutor [2] 4/18 55/20 62/19 R **policy [7]** 30/13 4/14 4/16 16/18 18/12 18/8 recorded [8] 23/17 30/16 30/22 31/6 32/8 raise [5] 67/20 68/4 52/18 53/7 53/12 privilege [1] 35/23 prosecutors [18] 32/10 33/2 71/14 82/24 82/24 probably [7] 23/9 4/13 4/17 4/19 25/25 54/16 58/10 61/12 popular [1] 14/15 raised [3] 19/21 24/6 37/6 43/17 54/4 26/6 26/8 26/9 27/4 63/9 portion [1] 48/14 25/11 67/15 65/7 74/10 29/25 31/9 31/20 records [4] 23/22 position [1] 29/11 raising [1] 68/1 problem [1] 24/13 31/22 32/11 32/14 23/23 35/12 36/24 possible [2] 62/7 33/16 33/21 34/3 34/6 rather [5] 32/24 problematic [1] 46/5 rectify [1] 57/14 69/6 33/23 36/16 36/23 **problems [8]** 17/15 prospect [13] 26/15 rectifying [2] 63/4 possibly [3] 16/6 58/23 49/17 71/12 71/13 26/20 27/5 27/12 65/13 28/9 33/12 27/17 27/22 28/6 28/8 rea [1] 26/19 80/23 81/10 81/12 reduce [1] 13/3 post [51] 2/16 4/20 reached [1] 74/7 28/11 28/13 30/6 81/16 reduction [3] 12/7 5/15 5/20 9/2 9/7 read [10] 19/17 20/1 **procedure [4]** 57/13 31/11 31/14 12/14 13/5 11/20 17/13 17/19 29/24 30/23 31/7 63/4 64/3 65/13 prospects [1] 30/4 redundancies [5] 17/22 19/12 22/10 31/21 48/13 73/11 **prove [5]** 7/20 37/10 12/19 12/20 13/13 proceedings [4] 48/2 23/5 23/10 23/10 24/1 77/1 81/15 49/1 50/4 83/20 37/21 79/11 79/17 13/14 13/16 24/12 26/5 30/12 reading [6] 2/11 20/2 process [2] 50/2 provenance [2] 36/8 redundancy [1] 30/18 38/5 38/17 41/5 31/8 32/17 43/25 36/11 12/23 57/14 41/9 41/13 41/20 81/23 **processes [1]** 22/16 provide [1] 22/9 refer [5] 12/6 12/10 43/15 44/8 45/23 real [2] 5/22 73/3 processing [1] 34/20 51/12 52/7 81/9 **provided [8]** 19/16 48/17 49/3 49/20 50/8 52/11 52/22 53/21 realise [2] 17/6 20/3 produce [1] 52/4 **reference [3]** 60/25 52/22 53/21 54/21 realised [1] 2/12 **produced [12]** 37/10 54/10 54/21 73/5 70/9 77/13 58/5 67/16 67/18 realistic [9] 26/14 37/19 41/7 54/1 54/7 74/10 references [4] 51/21 70/14 77/15 77/23 26/20 27/5 27/12 57/21 61/12 75/7 75/8 **providing [1]** 27/25 61/7 61/8 77/3 78/11 79/17 79/21 27/16 28/6 28/8 31/11 75/12 75/25 80/7 provision [1] 8/7 referred [2] 54/17 80/24 81/10 81/14 31/13 public [9] 28/3 28/19 produces [1] 40/8 71/16 81/14 81/21 82/1 really [32] 9/3 9/13 **producing [3]** 58/4 29/1 29/9 29/12 29/21 referring [3] 6/3 post-committal [1] 9/16 11/24 11/25 30/7 32/18 50/12 75/4 75/21 68/23 74/1 70/14 14/22 16/11 23/11 production [1] 56/6 purely [3] 17/11 reflect [1] 7/14 post-Horizon [1] 23/12 24/22 28/15 professional [2] 3/12 20/13 22/17 reflection [3] 16/24 41/5 38/16 40/13 50/25 30/20 30/21 45/1 purpose [1] 3/3 postcodes [1] 75/2 proffer [2] 29/3 44/9 51/2 51/5 54/3 54/12 **purposely [1]** 7/19 reflections [2] 19/24 postman [1] 28/22 56/4 56/7 56/20 58/14 **purposes [1]** 33/15 promoted [2] 6/23 50/18 potential [1] 67/25 58/24 61/11 61/23 pursue [2] 21/6 49/3 9/18 reflects [1] 65/8 practice [7] 5/8 18/12 62/14 65/20 65/23 pushback [2] 21/11 refusal [1] 21/22 **promotion** [2] 7/16 32/10 33/15 33/19 66/10 66/11 79/12 7/17 21/14 **Regional [1]** 26/23 40/22 58/5 80/9 proof [1] 50/7 put [9] 19/9 35/22 regularity [1] 25/4 practices [1] 15/17 reason [12] 15/4 52/14 56/17 56/19 properly [14] 10/6 regularly [3] 11/17 practising [1] 3/19 33/21 39/16 41/14 20/17 20/20 20/21 58/16 63/19 63/20 11/21 14/5

R	responsibility [1] 5/2	70/3 70/7	section [4] 37/15	shorter [4] 54/17
	responsible [4]	Sam [1] 1/11	37/18 38/6 82/15	55/19 62/1 62/4
relating [2] 4/2 21/3	25/17 11/25 15/5	same [11] 13/8 13/9	Section 69 [3] 37/15	shortest [1] 62/6
relation [5] 21/8 45/3				
45/7 49/4 83/12	69/20	13/12 15/15 15/18	37/18 38/6	shortfall [2] 48/20
relevant [8] 17/25	rest [1] 79/12	15/18 15/20 57/2 57/4		49/21
	restricted [2] 11/13	59/7 79/5	33/4 52/2 69/9 69/12	shortly [2] 23/6 54/2
20/18 27/19 28/14	11/15	satisfy [2] 40/7 76/18		should [15] 1/15 1/20
58/18 69/25 78/2 79/7				
reliability [5] 20/10	result [1] 49/1	Saturdays [1] 46/15	see [34] 1/3 1/20	2/5 15/18 18/7 20/5
47/16 67/19 70/2	Retail [1] 2/17	saved [1] 52/23	2/24 10/5 24/25 29/25	
	retired [1] 12/24	saw [2] 41/23 65/21	34/4 41/25 42/22 47/8	38/19 41/18 44/8 62/8
77/19	return [1] 83/7	say [69] 3/21 4/1 4/5	52/17 54/25 56/20	78/22 80/2
reliable [2] 39/15		4/25 5/10 7/23 8/12	57/10 57/11 57/12	show [2] 60/6 60/16
40/8	returned [1] 11/11			
reliably [1] 67/23	reus [1] 26/19	8/21 9/19 10/7 11/15	57/24 58/2 59/18 60/3	
	reversal [3] 57/14	12/11 13/2 13/23 14/4	60/9 61/2 62/11 64/14	showing [1] 63/22
reliance [1] 66/13	64/8 64/25	14/17 15/4 15/7 15/8	70/22 70/23 71/1 71/2	shown [1] 57/6
relied [4] 22/20 38/20	reversals [2] 64/7	15/9 15/11 19/21 25/5		shrank [1] 13/12
40/25 58/6				
rely [1] 40/6	64/23	25/17 25/19 27/15	83/4 83/23	shrinking [1] 13/19
	review [3] 51/11	27/25 28/14 30/4	seem [2] 13/17 53/24	sick [1] 45/25
relying [1] 37/10	53/15 66/18	33/15 34/9 34/14	seems [1] 74/21	side [2] 57/19 60/21
remainder [1] 2/25	reviewed [2] 33/6	34/15 34/17 35/7	seen [6] 12/3 61/14	sides [1] 58/13
remember [36] 7/12				
7/17 8/3 8/8 9/4 9/15	52/7	38/25 39/14 39/17	61/15 65/20 72/16	signature [1] 2/5
11/12 11/18 11/24	reviewing [4] 25/20	44/21 50/8 51/23 52/9	74/10	significant [3] 39/8
	25/22 53/13 76/6	52/19 53/17 53/24	sees [1] 46/16	40/16 42/20
11/25 12/17 12/21	right [17] 26/13 31/2	54/25 55/3 55/25	self [1] 35/23	similar [6] 5/14 15/14
12/25 13/3 21/14				
23/11 23/13 24/3 24/5	31/4 34/2 41/13 50/21	56/23 63/18 64/2	self-incrimination [1]	15/18 23/5 32/1 68/21
26/7 37/6 37/12 38/13	57/10 59/24 62/21	65/23 66/17 66/23	35/23	similarities [1] 15/22
	63/6 66/7 73/19 77/9	67/7 67/16 68/14	senior [13] 6/23 6/23	simply [3] 7/14 12/14
38/14 39/5 39/23	78/8 82/9 83/8 83/23	68/21 68/22 70/20	7/3 7/7 7/8 7/13 7/18	32/10
40/10 44/25 46/3				
46/10 46/11 46/19	rights [1] 41/10	71/13 72/10 72/17	8/10 9/18 11/1 14/25	since [1] 19/25
54/9 58/24 73/8 82/25	Road [1] 74/20	76/5 77/7 80/21 81/13	17/5 42/11	single [4] 30/15 31/5
	Rob [5] 8/15 8/17	82/5 82/14	sense [1] 20/24	32/7 32/9
remind [1] 37/17	16/14 16/15 16/17	saying [13] 40/5	sensitive [2] 76/10	sir [10] 1/3 46/20
remove [1] 59/13		41/19 58/3 60/22	76/11	46/25 47/3 47/8 73/16
removed [1] 49/9	Roger [4] 6/4 6/8			
reorganised [1] 46/3	8/13 8/17	60/25 61/8 62/8 63/10		83/2 83/7 83/21 83/25
	role [5] 4/25 6/19	65/10 65/16 68/9 69/6	53/16 69/16 76/13	sit [1] 82/19
repeal [1] 38/6	10/8 18/11 76/5	83/13	sentence [1] 25/20	six [1] 48/22
repealed [1] 37/15	rolled [1] 39/2	says [17] 25/21	sentenced [1] 48/22	size [1] 13/10
repeat [1] 6/14				
repeatedly [1] 68/10	rollout [2] 39/10 49/5			slight [2] 12/7 18/10
rephrase [2] 17/16	room [1] 62/20	56/14 57/20 60/3 61/4	September [2] 48/15	small [5] 4/1 4/6
	roughly [3] 7/3 12/12	62/23 63/17 64/4 64/6	48/21	28/17 41/16 41/23
33/22	42/7	64/10 64/17 64/24	serious [1] 6/18	smaller [2] 1/18 31/1
reply [2] 63/25 65/1				
report [2] 8/17 76/12	round [3] 12/19	68/25	servant [1] 75/16	so [131]
reported [6] 8/13	13/13 13/15	SB [3] 56/11 57/23	servants [1] 74/25	social [5] 14/6 69/8
	rounds [2] 12/18	60/3	served [1] 27/20	69/12 69/25 71/18
8/19 8/24 8/25 60/22	12/23	SB/12 [2] 56/11	service [2] 8/7 41/24	solely [1] 18/16
70/11	Royal [5] 4/18 5/20	57/23	Services [4] 41/20	solicitor [13] 3/13
reporting [1] 9/1				
representative [1]	8/5 8/6 32/22	schedule [3] 76/9	62/7 82/5 82/9	3/16 9/2 9/7 18/18
69/8	run [9] 7/18 8/9 10/25		set [6] 25/16 29/18	18/22 19/5 19/7 19/11
	41/2 55/13 55/16	schedules [5] 41/1	37/18 51/9 67/3 72/21	22/2 22/5 22/5 22/8
representing [1]	55/17 57/12 66/7	75/5 75/6 75/21 76/21		solicitor's [1] 18/12
48/19	run-up [6] 7/18 8/9	screen [3] 57/17	shared [4] 81/18 82/3	
request [4] 51/25				
58/22 67/8 68/19	55/13 55/16 55/17	59/18 75/10	82/7 82/13	10/9 10/12 72/21
	66/7	screens [3] 57/19	sharing [1] 80/25	some [16] 3/6 5/19
requested [6] 44/13	running [2] 2/1 9/9	59/25 60/21	she [24] 10/16 48/18	5/20 5/21 7/10 13/1
67/23 68/6 68/19	runs [2] 52/18 53/19	script [1] 61/10	48/20 48/21 49/1 49/8	
69/10 80/2				l .
requesting [1] 69/24	S	sealed [1] 60/18	49/9 49/15 49/17	51/6 53/2 53/2 62/13
required [1] 50/13		search [2] 21/10 79/2		63/12 74/22 81/21
	sad [1] 20/6	searched [3] 23/19	57/15 62/25 62/25	someone [6] 8/5
reserved [1] 16/18	sadly [1] 46/18	24/16 24/18	63/2 63/2 63/3 65/11	26/24 54/8 65/3 75/13
resources [1] 17/18	said [17] 5/25 6/13	seared [1] 24/14	65/12 67/12 68/5 69/2	
respect [4] 20/23	11/2 21/16 42/17			
42/13 78/23 79/22	1	second [6] 28/4 57/8		something [13]
	44/40 45/04 47/44	=0/4 4 =0//0 == -:-		
	44/13 45/24 47/11	59/14 70/19 72/9 73/7		11/24 24/18 28/17
respects [2] 20/21	44/13 45/24 47/11 47/14 49/16 49/18	59/14 70/19 72/9 73/7 secondly [2] 18/18	she's [2] 75/16 75/16 shocked [1] 32/5	11/24 24/18 28/17 34/10 45/11 55/23
		secondly [2] 18/18	shocked [1] 32/5	34/10 45/11 55/23
respects [2] 20/21	47/14 49/16 49/18	secondly [2] 18/18		

(33) relating - something

57/25 66/14 68/8 28/20 29/13 40/25 32/22 56/5 63/11 83/17 83/25 S 68/13 68/19 69/3 69/7 43/2 80/2 80/4 talking [5] 4/6 12/14 that [366] something... [4] 69/24 70/4 70/8 70/20 sufficient [6] 26/14 that I [6] 7/20 58/25 17/11 23/2 26/22 78/15 82/19 82/21 70/25 72/12 73/14 27/11 28/5 28/7 31/13 tape [14] 23/17 52/4 61/14 65/17 68/8 82/25 76/24 78/5 78/24 79/1 46/24 52/17 52/25 53/7 68/19 **sometimes [4]** 19/7 79/10 79/16 79/16 suggested [1] 29/8 53/12 53/22 54/16 that's [45] 3/12 3/17 55/25 56/2 58/12 80/3 80/12 80/21 summaries [7] 51/20 | 54/22 55/1 55/20 8/10 11/24 15/25 17/9 **Sonia [3]** 69/1 70/9 19/21 21/13 21/15 58/10 61/12 63/13 83/11 51/24 56/6 58/20 59/5 74/2 statements [13] 2/11 59/6 81/24 tapes [1] 60/1 29/15 30/5 30/5 30/21 sophisticated [1] 20/18 20/25 23/14 target [2] 33/9 33/10 31/4 32/1 33/3 35/9 **summarise [3]** 22/23 39/4 tasks [1] 10/19 23/15 41/21 76/4 25/17 76/8 35/10 44/18 45/11 sorry [24] 6/13 7/12 76/17 77/4 80/16 46/20 52/16 53/5 summarised [2] **TB [1]** 57/21 9/3 20/25 25/14 25/19 80/18 80/18 82/16 65/10 74/12 **TB/12 [1]** 57/21 53/24 54/14 56/11 31/1 33/22 34/24 states [3] 52/24 summary [34] 27/20 team [64] 5/7 5/12 57/3 57/10 57/22 38/13 38/16 41/6 53/22 54/21 51/18 52/4 53/7 53/11 5/16 5/18 6/1 6/7 6/16 57/23 58/17 58/18 50/14 51/5 55/16 Staying [1] 22/1 53/12 53/22 54/1 7/4 7/5 7/10 7/11 9/9 62/4 62/17 66/16 59/12 60/20 61/3 54/11 54/15 54/20 9/13 10/1 11/1 11/1 69/17 70/11 73/2 73/8 step [2] 26/21 51/11 61/20 63/6 66/10 Stephen [3] 56/12 55/1 55/11 55/13 13/2 13/7 13/11 13/18 78/4 78/8 78/15 79/16 66/15 74/6 77/17 57/9 67/4 55/17 55/20 55/24 13/18 14/1 14/5 14/15 82/25 83/21 sort [5] 8/6 21/19 steps [2] 25/17 68/16 56/17 56/24 58/4 58/8 15/3 15/5 15/7 16/22 theft [10] 5/14 11/22 32/9 45/7 82/22 58/10 58/23 59/2 62/1 16/25 17/7 17/12 18/5 15/9 22/18 29/17 Steve [13] 51/13 sought [1] 71/20 51/15 51/16 51/18 62/2 62/3 62/4 62/7 20/12 22/8 26/1 32/2 36/10 40/24 48/19 soul [1] 5/2 54/5 54/7 56/7 56/22 65/8 65/17 65/19 66/5 32/12 33/5 33/23 37/2 49/11 49/18 sound [2] 14/10 34/2 40/4 40/12 41/18 42/4 their [11] 10/13 14/1 61/12 66/12 68/24 66/6 **sounds [2]** 29/2 53/4 70/11 73/25 summed [1] 30/16 42/14 43/6 44/22 14/20 24/18 24/20 source [2] 15/14 46/8 44/25 45/10 45/18 **STEVENS** [5] 1/9 24/20 35/15 35/16 **supervise [1]** 10/8 **sources [1]** 17/13 1/11 47/2 83/20 85/4 52/3 54/8 55/22 61/13 54/8 54/12 69/12 supervised [1] 9/24 **speak [2]** 14/18 62/14 81/3 81/6 81/19 them [12] 10/24 still [5] 11/10 18/23 supervising [1] 33/18 31/9 51/5 72/8 10/23 82/3 82/8 82/10 82/18 23/20 32/6 43/11 speaking [2] 14/20 82/22 83/1 59/17 59/18 64/1 still a [1] 11/10 supervision [3] 9/20 20/13 stolen [5] 23/5 24/10 10/22 13/24 Teams [1] 82/6 69/16 75/5 77/21 80/8 **specific [2]** 10/19 supervisory [1] 10/7 28/18 28/23 28/24 technology [3] 39/4 82/15 38/9 stood [1] 21/25 39/17 39/19 then [37] 2/3 3/15 7/7 **support [7]** 17/13 specifically [2] 70/7 41/11 66/25 77/17 8/15 18/4 18/5 19/9 stored [2] 36/3 36/24 tell [6] 19/9 54/24 72/22 strata [1] 81/2 77/18 78/12 79/19 61/15 66/8 69/13 27/14 28/7 28/14 **specifics** [1] 37/7 sure [13] 24/6 32/15 28/18 31/14 34/8 46/6 strength [2] 17/7 73/22 **SPM [1]** 36/24 25/23 58/25 66/2 68/8 70/3 telling [1] 63/23 47/25 51/18 51/19 **spoke [1]** 26/24 70/14 73/2 75/16 54/1 55/12 55/21 **strongly [1]** 41/18 **Temple [1]** 74/20 spoken [1] 69/1 76/22 76/25 77/9 79/9 ten [6] 4/7 4/7 4/8 62/16 66/5 66/13 **structural** [3] 80/23 **staff [1]** 12/8 67/16 72/9 75/15 81/9 81/12 **surprised** [1] 43/7 5/11 12/10 25/17 stage [10] 7/10 9/19 **struggling [1]** 30/23 surprising [2] 15/21 tend [3] 29/20 30/1 76/19 76/20 77/6 26/12 27/9 27/24 30/8 study [5] 46/22 47/12 15/25 77/17 77/11 78/19 81/20 31/15 43/18 65/21 47/25 48/4 48/5 suspect [1] 33/7 tended [3] 5/24 14/1 82/4 82/9 82/18 83/23 83/16 style [2] 16/7 16/10 suspended [1] 48/23 78/12 83/24 standing [1] 40/5 sub [2] 23/10 24/1 system [30] 15/15 **TERESA [4]** 1/8 1/14 there [114] **stands** [1] 3/4 there'd [4] 23/25 subject [2] 2/24 22/1 20/11 20/16 20/19 3/8 85/2 start [6] 12/10 16/8 terms [9] 4/5 7/13 submissions [1] 38/5 34/19 39/1 39/10 24/13 26/16 26/17 51/6 52/13 63/7 81/13 **submitted** [1] 70/18 39/20 39/22 39/25 8/12 23/22 37/14 there's [21] 2/3 2/9 **started [4]** 5/15 11/3 subpostmaster [10] 40/7 41/2 49/13 51/3 53/11 58/5 58/15 15/8 28/5 32/21 35/20 28/25 69/17 35/22 47/12 51/20 11/22 23/4 24/11 67/13 67/22 68/9 79/17 **starting [3]** 3/15 23/8 29/16 35/1 35/5 35/13 69/21 72/19 75/23 test [4] 25/25 26/12 55/12 57/11 60/5 60/9 26/13 77/19 78/3 78/6 78/13 28/3 30/8 35/24 36/17 67/20 60/13 62/8 63/25 66/4 starts [3] 63/8 68/12 78/24 79/8 79/11 73/6 74/15 75/18 77/2 subpostmasters [9] text [1] 60/10 68/13 5/13 15/9 22/18 25/5 79/20 80/5 81/17 than [12] 4/7 4/7 4/8 therein [1] 37/21 **state [1]** 1/12 34/19 36/10 37/4 systematic [1] 73/9 26/16 28/11 32/24 these [14] 20/8 48/2 **stated [1]** 37/21 38/20 40/24 33/24 36/16 36/23 51/13 52/6 56/6 58/20 statement [54] 1/21 45/9 49/9 58/23 61/21 66/10 66/22 substantial [2] 37/1 1/23 2/1 2/3 2/7 2/22 tab [2] 52/16 59/14 48/14 thank [25] 1/5 1/6 67/1 72/23 74/24 2/25 3/3 3/4 3/21 5/10 1/23 25/16 31/4 34/7 tabs [1] 1/20 74/24 80/6 substantive [2] 7/15 12/7 13/21 14/5 17/24 take [6] 22/15 29/19 46/25 47/3 47/10 they [28] 7/24 8/19 7/17 20/22 25/13 30/15 50/22 56/25 69/14 success [7] 26/17 47/11 56/10 58/1 60/1 8/20 8/22 9/25 10/13 31/5 32/7 34/9 34/17 74/16 27/18 28/10 28/11 62/19 63/7 63/16 10/24 11/3 12/22 37/24 38/24 39/14 taken [1] 23/9 66/16 74/14 74/18 13/13 15/23 19/8 19/9 28/13 30/4 30/7 51/8 56/12 56/23 57/9 talk [5] 14/9 22/2 such [8] 22/19 24/22 76/1 76/1 83/2 83/9 21/23 24/15 24/16

Г		T	I	r 1
T	10/25 29/24 31/21	true [2] 2/7 2/25	unsigned [1] 57/9	W
41 5401 00/04	- 41/16 42/3 43/25	trump [2] 31/23 34/5	until [5] 19/17 22/21	
they [12] 28/24	47/10 60/7 60/18	trusted [2] 19/12	44/6 80/1 84/2	waiting [1] 57/8
32/5 35/16 49/16 61	63/14 76/20 77/1	19/14		want [13] 13/20
69/14 69/20 74/25			untoward [1] 23/21	18/10 22/2 44/20
75/4 78/16 78/18	throughout [1] 9/1	try [2] 15/24 83/15	unused [7] 19/15	47/13 47/25 48/5 51/6
1	ticked [2] 72/5 72/6	trying [10] 8/6 12/17	21/9 21/20 76/10	
82/13	time [38] 2/18 3/10	19/8 23/11 24/3 24/9	76/11 76/12 77/4	52/13 58/13 59/5
they'd [2] 28/23	5/10 7/8 7/8 7/15 9/5	30/3 32/17 44/18 62/6		59/16 63/11
28/25				wanted [8] 10/3 15/1
they're [2] 35/17	10/7 10/24 12/11 13/5		17/16 17/22 30/10	19/9 43/15 44/15
75/11	13/12 19/17 19/22	25/13 26/21 47/25	30/16 30/22 36/24	46/13 58/16 82/14
	34/15 37/17 39/1 42/8	48/5 48/9 48/10 50/17	38/23 43/12 43/18	
they've [2] 72/25	43/22 45/12 46/20	51/21 52/8 59/9 59/12	51/8 52/15 53/5 54/14	warehouse [1] 21/10
75/22	47/23 47/24 48/4 49/5		55/13 55/16 55/17	was [268]
thing [3] 2/9 18/15				wasn't [23] 15/3 20/4
19/9	50/4 51/3 52/11 54/13		57/2 59/7 62/12 62/16	20/20 20/21 25/6 29/8
things [16] 10/5	55/9 57/2 57/4 59/7	twice [1] 60/15	62/17 65/15 66/7	38/4 40/1 43/8 43/13
	60/1 60/22 76/13	two [14] 2/4 4/8 4/23	67/20 71/6 75/10	I I
10/18 16/17 17/10	77/15 80/3	10/9 12/14 12/18	81/20 82/1	45/23 46/12 58/11
20/4 20/8 24/20 37/2	timeline [3] 55/10	12/22 22/3 45/3 48/23		65/15 69/25 71/7
44/17 45/3 45/19				73/24 74/3 74/10
58/12 72/23 75/24	55/11 56/5	51/20 57/2 59/7 61/2	us [5] 7/9 7/11 57/5	76/23 79/13 79/14
80/10 82/2	times [3] 10/11 37/25		78/19 82/13	82/18
	58/24	48/23	use [4] 3/13 17/20	
think [91]	title [6] 6/8 6/14 6/21	type [14] 5/6 7/24	37/24 44/3	way [14] 9/1 16/4
thinking [5] 12/16	7/13 53/22 54/22	11/7 11/8 11/16 23/1	used [7] 22/3 22/24	23/12 31/24 32/4
26/10 36/19 43/14				40/16 40/22 43/16
73/19	titled [1] 30/12	23/7 40/25 43/9 43/14		45/8 62/3 62/4 63/24
this [67] 1/19 2/18	today [2] 1/24 61/15	43/22 44/17 53/9 69/3		70/12 73/11
14/9 15/9 19/21 26/2	today's [1] 83/19	typed [2] 56/14 57/20	usual [1] 82/10	ways [5] 8/19 15/17
1	together [5] 14/6	types [4] 11/4 23/3	usually [5] 23/9	
27/19 27/23 30/3	35/22 57/12 59/17	44/8 44/12	55/19 62/5 62/5 76/9	19/10 22/3 67/24
31/15 31/16 31/17	80/19	typically [3] 25/18		we [95]
31/21 31/23 32/14			V	we'd [1] 28/5
33/1 33/23 40/7 43/1	6 told [2] 20/8 21/23	52/3 81/4	Valoria (41, 75/45	we'll [7] 4/20 23/6
44/3 47/13 47/14 48	Tony [1] 12/23	U	Valerie [1] 75/15	24/25 51/21 52/8
48/5 48/14 49/20 50	12 100 [3] 60/16 82/17		variation [1] 30/6	62/16 83/15
50/22 51/14 52/7	² 83/17	unclear [1] 75/15	variety [2] 11/3 11/10	we're [5] 17/11 57/1
	took [4] 10/7 16/13	uncomfortable [1]	various [5] 34/20	
52/17 52/18 52/24	16/17 90/11	29/23	65/18 80/6 81/15	57/7 61/3 83/21
53/6 53/8 53/9 53/19	ton [4] 34/16 52/17	uncommon [2] 51/24	81/24	We've [2] 22/13
54/1 54/7 54/15 54/2	top [4] 34/16 52/17 53/6 81/13	56/3	verdicts [1] 48/25	40/15
- 55/25 56/0 57/7 57/9	00/0 01/10			Wednesday [1] 1/1
57/14 60/2 60/6 60/1	6 total [1] 10/9	under [2] 21/5 30/14		weekly [6] 19/18 20/1
61/6 63/18 65/24 69	gitotted [1] 00/24	undercut [1] 8/6	42/22 52/6 53/15	34/21 43/25 81/25
69/23 70/9 71/16	towards [1] 11/13	undermine [3] 77/18	53/17 58/4 60/24	82/23
1	trainee [1] 10/9	78/12 79/19	61/18 65/16 65/18	
72/11 73/10 73/25	training [10] 44/20	undermines [1]	65/24 65/25	well [21] 5/3 8/25
74/11 74/16 76/4	44/21 45/1 45/14	77/12	versions [9] 54/10	10/14 12/4 16/8 17/4
78/15 79/15 81/6	45/16 45/24 46/6	understand [6] 20/9	55/1 56/2 56/4 61/2	19/20 20/16 24/12
83/16 83/18		39/20 43/9 47/15	61/11 65/20 65/21	35/5 40/5 47/1 56/16
those [26] 4/9 4/14	46/10 46/14 46/16			61/7 64/2 68/12 72/10
4/16 5/12 12/5 15/22	transaction [1] 35/12	52/19 69/13	65/22	74/11 77/7 80/4 83/9
19/4 22/6 22/24 25/1	1 transactions [5] 35/3	understanding [5]	very [21] 4/1 4/6 5/7	went [11] 8/23 10/1
	['] 35/17 35/21 35/25	26/11 34/22 43/2	9/12 14/2 14/23 15/14	
36/11 39/12 42/14	36/3	78/25 79/18	18/8 19/6 28/21 28/24	12/22 12/22 12/24
45/5 45/19 57/5 61/6	1 4 man a mint [4C] 52/0	undoubtedly [1] 81/6	41/16 41/23 42/1	13/15 14/5 18/15 24/4
61/8 61/25 75/6 75/1	FO/14 FO/45 FO/20	unexplained [1]	43/11 57/18 76/3	24/7 46/15
76/6 76/13 80/9 80/1	n i	49/21	80/25 82/13 83/1 83/9	were [79] 4/9 4/12
81/11	52/21 53/25 54/23			4/14 5/11 6/3 7/3 7/25
though [2] 24/24	55/15 56/18 58/7	unfair [1] 50/9	VL [1] 75/15	9/18 10/6 11/6 11/6
44/16	58/11 58/13 58/16	Unfortunately [1]	voluntarily [3] 23/18	11/16 12/18 13/7
	58/23 59/3 66/4	57/1	24/15 24/16	13/18 14/19 14/24
thought [10] 19/6	transcript.1 [1] 52/25	Unit [3] 23/15 24/3	voluntary [2] 13/14	
19/17 19/22 34/14	Transcript 1 doc [1]	74/19	13/16	15/22 16/4 17/10
71/22 72/8 78/1 78/1	5 53/23	universal [1] 8/7	voucher [6] 49/10	17/12 17/18 17/19
78/25 79/15		unless [7] 24/14	49/14 49/24 57/17	18/12 19/8 20/9 20/18
thoughts [1] 50/19	transcripts [2] 47/21	24/15 27/12 29/12	63/1 63/15	23/2 24/14 24/17
three [2] 10/9 54/25	81/24			25/17 27/25 28/4
threshold [1] 26/2	transparent [1] 80/25		vouchers [3] 49/9	28/24 29/4 29/18
threw [1] 17/16	trial [5] 50/6 55/14	unlikely [1] 52/10	69/15 69/16	29/25 31/14 32/12
	55/16 55/18 66/7	unreliable [2] 51/3		33/16 35/25 36/11
through [13] 10/1	tried [1] 70/19	80/5		33/10 33/23 30/11

W whether [49] 6/17 Wilson [7] 8/15 8/17 year [1] 38/7 years [3] 4/23 48/18 10/4 10/4 12/21 12/22 16/14 16/15 16/17 were... [**37**] 37/22 13/1 13/6 13/7 13/10 31/18 33/5 48/23 38/4 39/11 39/25 13/12 18/7 23/19 wish [1] 2/9 years' [2] 60/4 60/12 40/17 40/23 41/1 41/9 24/10 26/1 26/15 27/5 withdraw [2] 21/13 yes [79] 1/5 1/17 2/3 42/11 42/13 43/22 2/5 2/6 2/10 3/9 3/12 28/5 28/10 28/19 21/24 43/23 44/4 45/5 45/20 29/25 33/13 40/19 3/20 4/4 4/11 4/19 withdrawn [2] 21/16 45/21 47/11 47/15 45/16 50/1 54/9 54/11 4/22 4/24 5/4 5/6 5/9 21/18 49/16 55/7 56/24 56/17 56/22 58/9 within [22] 2/20 5/7 6/12 6/22 6/25 8/16 58/25 61/21 62/24 61/20 62/11 62/12 7/4 14/11 14/20 16/22 9/11 9/22 10/14 11/1 67/17 69/20 75/19 67/9 67/21 68/9 70/3 17/11 17/12 19/12 11/20 13/16 15/12 75/21 76/9 76/14 70/6 70/7 70/23 71/17 31/3 33/11 37/2 39/3 16/23 17/25 18/25 78/11 80/22 81/8 40/12 41/19 42/2 43/5 72/5 73/16 77/2 78/6 21/4 27/1 29/10 29/15 81/12 81/16 82/1 78/7 78/10 78/21 62/13 81/19 81/20 29/15 30/25 31/25 82/10 79/10 79/16 82/4 82/12 35/19 36/2 36/5 36/7 weren't [3] 21/23 which [49] 11/18 without [3] 10/21 36/14 36/25 41/3 44/10 44/23 12/17 17/18 23/6 46/23 46/25 47/2 41/21 42/20 what [72] 5/5 5/25 WITN08680100 [1] 24/25 25/25 29/19 47/10 48/12 52/21 6/8 7/24 9/7 9/23 11/8 30/18 30/18 35/4 36/9 53/10 54/20 54/20 11/16 12/2 15/20 36/11 37/9 40/17 54/24 56/13 56/15 witness [16] 1/21 17/12 17/15 18/9 19/3 40/23 44/4 45/8 46/7 3/25 25/13 31/7 35/2 57/23 57/23 57/24 19/21 19/24 19/25 51/21 52/6 53/17 36/18 51/8 66/14 67/7 58/1 58/1 59/12 59/16 20/14 20/19 20/21 54/17 55/2 55/3 55/6 68/19 69/7 69/24 60/11 67/5 69/19 20/24 21/16 22/23 56/17 58/3 59/20 74/17 74/19 75/15 69/22 71/25 72/24 23/1 24/4 24/8 25/3 59/24 61/14 61/15 80/19 73/16 74/13 75/11 25/4 26/4 26/11 28/2 62/18 65/16 65/18 75/21 75/23 76/7 78/4 witnesses [5] 74/24 29/2 29/17 30/3 30/6 65/20 65/21 65/21 75/9 75/19 75/25 78/4 83/20 30/21 33/1 33/3 35/9 65/21 66/3 66/8 67/6 80/19 you [357] 38/7 39/21 42/2 43/9 70/5 71/6 74/3 79/6 woman [1] 9/5 **vou'd [5]** 7/14 38/14 44/8 44/14 44/17 79/18 79/19 80/1 81/4 55/19 61/24 71/10 work [10] 5/4 6/20 49/18 51/10 53/17 9/20 11/3 13/6 14/1 whichever [1] 53/15 you're [10] 3/7 26/22 54/12 57/22 58/6 whiff [2] 67/22 67/24 43/1 49/17 53/13 81/5 29/2 45/25 53/9 63/21 58/17 58/18 61/15 worked [12] 3/18 64/11 68/23 75/18 while [1] 57/5 64/5 64/11 65/9 65/24 10/16 17/4 31/24 32/2 whilst [2] 33/20 57/7 79/24 69/17 71/25 73/4 73/5 who [32] 2/12 3/23 32/4 37/8 37/9 55/19 you've [9] 19/21 74/9 76/8 76/15 79/20 6/5 8/21 9/12 16/13 62/5 73/2 73/8 33/20 54/17 63/18 79/21 80/10 80/14 18/3 18/9 22/14 23/4 working [16] 5/13 63/18 63/19 63/22 81/11 81/15 28/16 28/21 28/23 5/15 13/20 14/17 71/16 72/16 whatever [1] 46/18 31/19 32/15 33/8 42/2 15/17 20/20 20/20 young [3] 28/21 when [63] 2/11 2/21 42/15 44/25 46/14 20/21 28/21 39/25 28/22 28/24 4/5 4/9 4/12 4/15 5/15 46/16 48/17 51/15 40/3 67/22 68/3 70/6 your [86] 5/25 6/3 6/11 6/21 7/5 54/4 54/7 62/12 66/12 80/23 81/10 vourself [3] 18/2 7/7 7/23 9/2 9/15 9/18 73/4 75/14 75/19 20/14 58/22 would [126] 9/25 11/12 11/15 75/21 82/2 wouldn't [7] 18/2 12/16 13/4 14/17 15/4 who'd [1] 44/7 21/24 22/20 27/14 15/21 16/17 17/8 19/7 whole [3] 42/1 58/16 29/11 31/11 79/3 22/16 22/19 26/5 written [4] 1/23 26/23 82/5 26/10 32/3 32/12 37/4 whom [2] 8/24 81/21 31/17 31/17 38/7 41/15 42/7 42/9 why [22] 7/24 16/1 wrong [8] 25/14 42/25 42/25 47/18 16/2 17/3 20/7 27/2 60/15 63/20 64/12 48/8 48/18 49/8 49/24 27/8 33/3 34/4 40/11 64/14 64/16 64/16 53/16 53/18 55/5 44/7 44/10 46/11 55/6 69/14 55/20 58/20 58/20 55/7 55/14 61/6 61/8 61/14 63/4 63/18 62/24 65/15 78/4 79/5 64/15 65/9 65/13 yeah [32] 4/8 6/2 wide [1] 11/10 65/17 68/6 70/15 72/1 6/10 7/2 12/13 14/12 wider [2] 45/9 81/6 73/17 14/14 16/16 18/14 wilful [1] 32/22 where [21] 8/4 18/20 18/17 18/19 18/21 will [2] 48/5 83/14 21/8 21/14 21/19 Williams [3] 6/4 8/13 19/23 22/7 22/22 21/20 22/9 24/9 26/22 23/25 29/5 29/7 35/12 8/17 29/22 32/18 34/23 35/13 42/12 42/19 Williams' [1] 6/8 37/12 38/20 39/5 43/7 45/15 59/20 61/2 Williamson [8] 1/7 39/24 41/5 68/2 72/17 64/11 64/14 64/17 1/8 1/10 1/14 3/8 80/10 82/23 65/2 65/5 71/9 73/11 83/10 85/2