

**Thomas Penny**



**From:** Jones David M  
**Sent:** 08 February 2010 12:00  
**To:** jarnail.a.singh [GRO]  
**Cc:** Thomas Penny; Jenkins Gareth GI  
**Subject:** FW: REGINA v SEEMA MISRA GUILDFORD CROWN COURT TRIAL - 15TH MARCH 2010

FYI

David

David M Jones, Head of Legal  
UK Private Sector Division

FUJITSU

Mob: [GRO]

E-mail: [GRO]

-----Original Message-----

From: Thomas Penny  
Sent: 08 February 2010 11:20  
To: Jones David M; Jenkins Gareth GI  
Subject: FW: REGINA v SEEMA MISRA GUILDFORD CROWN COURT TRIAL - 15TH MARCH 2010

David

We could have transaction data available by the end of this week/early next week for the suggested timeframes; we need an ARQ request from Jane Owens to proceed.

Penny

-----Original Message-----

From: marilyn.benjamin [GRO] [GRO] On Behalf  
Of jarnail.a.singh [GRO]  
Sent: 08 February 2010 10:53  
To: Jones David M  
Cc: Jenkins Gareth GI; Thomas Penny  
Subject: REGINA v SEEMA MISRA GUILDFORD CROWN COURT TRIAL - 15TH MARCH 2010

URGENT

David,

Thank you. I would be grateful if Mr Jenkins is asked the following:-

1 In relation to the Eleanor Nixon statement, the information we received that although Maureen Lyme states she cannot remember specific Offices she has stated that pre August 2005 Offices were using a separate system for debit / credit card transactions called Streamline. Offices would put debit / credit cards through this system and produce a receipt, which could be keyed into the Horizon terminals.

Some Postmaster / Clerks would key in the information into Horizon as cash, instead of debit / credit cards resulting in a shortage in Offices. If Post Offices contacted Chesterfield at the time any Branch discrepancies were dealt with, and sorted out with the individual Post Offices.

Therefore the problems were results of incorrect account produce and not a problem with the Horizon system. No information is available for any Correction Notices pre August 2005. So the Nixon statement is unlikely to have any relevance for our present case of Misra.

20 The areas where Mr Jenkins says for POL to respond should be deleted from his statement.

Mr Jenkins needs to comment on the third interim report received from the Defence Expert.

The quality of training of SPMs is obviously outside Mr Jenkins expertise. However I wonder if he might be prepared to comment on how it might be possible to examine the Horizon data to investigate mistakes.

We are keen that the Defence are given suggestions as to how they can efficiently test their theories against the Horizon data. We do not want them to say that they will not have time before the Trial. We anticipate that it would not be very difficult to test their theories against a short but represented span of data example from the months when Mrs Misra has admitted to false accounting. (15th November 2006 to 14th December 2006, 16th May 2007 to 16th June 2007, 14th November 2007 to 15th December 2007 and 9th January 2008. It would be helpful if Mr Jenkins could consider practical and efficient ways in which the Defence might be able to test their theories.

I also refer you to my E-Mail to you of Friday 5th February 2010 at 15.39 paragraphs 2, 3 and 4.

Thank you in anticipation of your help.

Kind regards.

Jarnail A Singh  
Senior Lawyer  
Criminal Law Team

Tel.No: **GRO**  
Fax.No:

\*\*\*\*\*  
Royal Mail Group Limited registered in England and Wales registered number 4138203 registered office 3rd Floor, 100 Victoria Embankment, London, EC4Y 0HQ

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and then delete this email from your system.

\*\*\*\*\*