

Memo

30th May 2007

Investigation Team Post Office Limited

c.c. Graham Brander
Press Office

REGINA v JOSEPHINE HAMILTON
WINCHESTER CROWN COURT
TRIAL – 10TH SEPTEMBER 2007
CASE NO: POLTD/0506/0685

The above case was heard on the 25th May 2007. The prosecution was represented by Tom Bradford, Anita Saran represented the Defendant.


As you know the Defence had required the matter to be listed for Mention. This was in essence because they had received a great deal of correspondence from the Defendant. They believed this should have been served by the prosecution.

Prior to the hearing the Defence requested that the prosecution serve the material upon them. The prosecution's view is that no assurance could be given without an indication as to what the material is.

When the matter was heard the Court adjourned the case for the prosecution to be given an opportunity to consider the matter and for the prosecution to take a view as to whether the material was evidence to be relied upon or unused material. The case has been adjourned until the 10th September 2007.

After the hearing it was suggested by the Defence that the material should be shown to the prosecution and thereafter the Investigator should be given an opportunity to examine and determine what the material is. I am writing to the Defence regarding this.

J A McFarlane
Principal Lawyer
Criminal Law Division

juliet.mcfarlane@

Ref: CRM/253367/JMcF



The Real Network

Legal Services
3rd Floor
6a Eccleston Street
Victoria
LONDON SW1W 9LT

Tel:
Fax:
Pos:

www.royalmail.com