

From: Jenkins Gareth GI
Sent: Tue 27/07/2010 12:05:00 PM (UTC)
To: Thomas Penny; GRO
Subject: | RE: REGINA v SEEMA MISRA - GUILDFORD CROWN COURT - TRIAL

Penny,

I've not heard anything yet from Charles.

However I'll try and explain my view as to these requests.

1. Access to system in Midlands: This was not something we discussed in detail. It would appear that Charles is aware of a Post Office which is having issues similar to those which have resulted in prosecution, but that there are currently no prosecutions in place. He would like to monitor exactly what happens in this branch (probably with a video camera) and compare that with the system logs (hoping to find a mismatch!). However the Postmaster is covered by confidentiality agreements, which mean that this cannot be done without permission of POL. I assume that this is a request to be able to do this. I'm also assuming that help would be required from Fujitsu in retrieving logs from the system to compare with the video. NB this probably needs to be done quickly before migrating to HNG-X. Charles's first report refers to such a branch I believe. I've no idea where this postmaster would stand in respect of any problems that they have had but which are not yet visible to POL, or in respect of what they have already said to Charles. (But that's their problem and not ours!)
2. Access to Chesterfield: Charles is still of the opinion that understanding how P&BA operate and in particular how they identify errors and process them as Transaction Corrections may help his case. I've pointed out that anything in this area that could affect the accounts is already visible to him in the Horizon logs and so I don't see that this is likely to be of help to him. However he would still like to pursue this line of exploration.
3. System Change Requests: This is what I mentioned last week. Basically he was asking to look at all system faults so as to see how he could blame things on those. I suggested that as we kept all testing and Live faults in the same system and that there were around 200,000 of them, then this wasn't going to get him far. He then suggested looking at system changes and would like to see all changes that have happened to the system. Again, I don't think this will help and I don't know how practical it is for RM to provide that. I think all we can do is ask the question.

My view is that Charles is "fishing" and I don't personally support any of these requests. However they seem harmless – other than wasting a lot of people's time – and hence money.

Does that cover things? I'm in a meeting 2:30 to 4pm today, but could pop up either before or after it.

Regards

Gareth

Gareth Jenkins
Distinguished Engineer
Applications Architect
Royal Mail Group Account

FUJITSU

Lovelace Road, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG12 8SN

Tel: GRO Internal: GRO
Mobile: GRO Internal: GRO
email: Gareth.Jenkins@GRO
Web: http://uk.fujitsu.com



Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

This e-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu Services does not guarantee that this e-mail has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus-free.

From: Thomas Penny
Sent: 27 July 2010 11:34
To: Jenkins Gareth GI
Subject: FW: REGINA v SEEMA MISRA - GUILDFORD CROWN COURT - TRIAL

Gareth

Please see email string. Do you have any time today?

Penny

Penny Thomas
Security Analyst, Customer Services

Fujitsu Services Retail & Royal Mail Group Account
Lovelace Road, Bracknell, Berks RG12 8SN

Tel: GRO
Mob: GRO
Fax: GRO
E-Mail: penny.thomas@fujitsu.com
Web: <http://uk.fujitsu.com>

Fujitsu Services Limited, Registered in England no 96056, Registered Office 22, Baker Street, London W1U 3BW

This E-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu Services does not guarantee that this E-mail has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus-free.

From: John Longman GRO
Sent: 27 July 2010 11:25
To: Thomas Penny
Subject: FW: REGINA v SEEMA MISRA - GUILDFORD CROWN COURT - TRIAL

Penny

As discussed.

Could you ask Gareth to explain in more detail as to how the three points raised by Izzy Hogg below came about. I would specifically like to know more details of the system in the midlands that is referred to in her first point.

Regards

Jon Longman

From: Marilyn Benjamin **On Behalf Of** Jarnail A Singh
Sent: 27 July 2010 10:42
To: John Longman; Warwick Tatford
Subject: REGINA v SEEMA MISRA - GUILDFORD CROWN COURT - TRIAL

I enclose a copy of an E-Mail received from Issy Hogg, the Defence Solicitors of the 22nd July 2010, the content of which is self explanatory. Could you please be kind enough to let me have your urgent instructions as to the access and information she is requesting in respect of the system in the Midlands and the operation at Chesterfield and the error logs. I will contact Gareth Jenkins to find out what transpired at the meeting with Charles McLachlan.

I await to hear from you.

Jarnail Singh
Senior Lawyer
Criminal Law Division

Tel No. [GRO]

From: Issy Hogg [GRO]
Sent: 22 July 2010 07:30
To: Jarnail A Singh
Subject: Misra

Jarnail,

As a result of the meeting that took place between Charles McLachlan and Gareth Jenkins as directed by the judge, we now need to have:-

- access to the system in the Midlands where it appears there are live, reproducible errors.
- access to the operations at Chesterfield to understand how reconciliation and transaction corrections are dealt with.
- access to the system change requests, known error log and new release documentation to understand what problems have had to be fixed.

Please can you contact me with regard to these issues. Please can you respond via hannah.ivory [GRO]

[GRO] : hannah.ivory [GRO]
[GRO] Hannah will ensure
all your emails are responded to.

Regards,

Issy

Issy Hogg

Coomber Rich Solicitors
Yard House, Basingstoke, RG21 7NX
SRA 308901

Tel. [GRO]

Mobile. [GRO]

Email. issy.hogg [GRO] issy.hogg [GRO]

[GRO]

This e-mail is confidential and intended solely for the use by the individual to whom it was addressed. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any using, printing, dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail or any part of it is strictly prohibited. Please delete all physical and electronic copies you may have. Any views expressed in this message may be those of the individual sender and may not necessarily represent the views of Coomber Rich Solicitors.

(See attached file: C.htm)

***** Royal Mail Group Limited registered in England and Wales registered number 4138203 registered office 3rd Floor, 100 Victoria Embankment, London, EC4Y 0HQ This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and then delete this email from your system. *****