

We always seek to improve our services to our clients

Page 1 of 3

Steve Bradshaw

From: martin smith [REDACTED] GRO
Sent: 05 September 2012 09:36
To: Steve Bradshaw
Cc: Jarnail A Singh; [REDACTED] GRO
Subject: Khayyam Ishaq - Bradford Crown Court - 4th September 2012

Steve,

Please find below a copy of the attendance note of Sarah Porter of Counsel.

Would you be able to check please that the witnesses can attend the trial listed for hearing on 25th Feb 2013 with a time estimate of 3 days. We have seven days to apply to the court if that date is inconvenient.

Please could you arrange to let me have a disc containing the Horizon data for the period 14/9/10 to 9/2/11 so that I may serve this on the defence. The Court has directed that it should be served by 5/10/12.

Sarah has also asked that the call log be obtained.

Sarah took a robust stance in relation to Horizon. It will remain to be seen whether allegations of malfunction are particularised. However she has asked that progress be checked in relation to the compilation of the database.

I will call you later this week to discuss the specific requests in the Defence Case Statement.

Kind regards,

Martin.

From: Sarah Porter
Sent: 04 September 2012 14:24
To: Cyndi Kenny; Rachael Panter; martin smith
Subject: 024676CRI05Z-SP52012090411043056

Cartwright King S U L I C I T O R S		Crown Court Attendance
R v Khayyam Ishaq		CK Case No(s): 24676
Judge: HHJ Rose		Date of Hearing: 4 th Sept 12
Prosecution Counsel: SP		Staff attending:
Defence Counsel: Georgina Coade		Type of hearing: PCMH
TIMES and NOTES:		
Preparation before leaving: (planning meeting, papers read etc;)		

Conferences:		
Hearing: (note time jury retired)		
Waiting: (Record, but do not include, time of lunch adjournment)		
Travel:		
Mileage, parking, fares: £		
Target Time: (FROM start prep before leaving TO return)	units	Total units:
RECORD: Advice given / Important instructions received / Comments Summary of Hearing / Directions made / Actions required.		
NG plea W's as per letter encl DS - Liaquat, Smith, Bradshaw,		
Def to instruct a forensic a/c and therefore need data covering the operational period of the indictment. Court has directed that this be served by 5 th October. Def to serve expert report by 13 th Nov. Prosec response and opening note/case summary by 2 nd Jan 13. I/V to be summarised by 11 th Jan 13.		
A trial has been fixed for 25 th Feb 13 t/e 3 days. 7 days liberty to apply to move if W's unavail as did not have Febs DTAs		
I have made it clear that our stance is that Horizon works and is irrelevant in this case because he now accepts making the reversals and we say in doing this he was acting dishonestly to cover his tracks. Any particular problems with the system must be fully particularised before any further disclosure made. Judge has indicated that the ball is in the def's Court.		
Can we get a log of any calls def may have made to the helpline?		
Re: specific requests		
(i) only such material as undermines our case or assists the def in light of the DS should be served.		
(ii), (iii), (iv), (v) I understand that the Post Office are compiling some sort of database in relation to this, can the progress of this be checked - but until DS further particularised does not require disclosure. See HB's advice in Wylie (case ref:21392).		
(vi) as above		
(vii) Bradshaw can deal with this		
(viii) Not necessary to comply but could serve as matter of courtesy		

We always seek to improve our services to our clients

Page 3 of 3

74

Other cases in court attended on same day:

\\\ck1\\templates\$\\CRI\\CRI.05Z