

Message

From: Susan Crichton [IMCEAEX-
_O=MMS_OU=EXCHANGE+20ADMINISTRATIVE+20GROUP+20+28FYDIBOHF23SPDLT+29_CN=RECIPIENTS_CN=SUSAN+20CRICHTONCE
DC28-49AB-8F0F-BE4237A4AD4F@C72A47.ingest.local]
on Susan Crichton <IMCEAEX-
behalf _O=MMS_OU=EXCHANGE+20ADMINISTRATIVE+20GROUP+20+28FYDIBOHF23SPDLT+29_CN=RECIPIENTS_CN=SUSAN+20CRICHTONCE
of DC28-49AB-8F0F-BE4237A4AD4F@C72A47.ingest.local> [IMCEAEX-
_O=MMS_OU=EXCHANGE+20ADMINISTRATIVE+20GROUP+20+28FYDIBOHF23SPDLT+29_CN=RECIPIENTS_CN=SUSAN+20CRICHTONCE
DC28-49AB-8F0F-BE4237A4AD4F@C72A47.ingest.local]
Sent: 13/04/2012 11:25:54
To: Alwen Lyons [GRO]; Theresa Iles [GRO]
Subject: Re: PRINTED RE: Oliver Letwin IN CONFIDANCE

Alwen - its ok am sorting ..

From: Alwen Lyons
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 01:25 PM
To: Susan Crichton; Theresa Iles
Subject: Re: PRINTED RE: Oliver Letwin IN CONFIDANCE

According to the team yesterday it has gone but she doesn't know yet. I also send through a piece from rod explaining what he had seen happen in the case. Paula got this too
Thanks Alwen

Sent from Blackberry

From: Susan Crichton
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 12:59 PM
To: Theresa Iles
Cc: Alwen Lyons
Subject: Re: PRINTED RE: Oliver Letwin IN CONFIDANCE

Let me check with the team

From: Theresa Iles
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2012 12:57 PM
To: Susan Crichton
Cc: Alwen Lyons
Subject: RE: PRINTED RE: Oliver Letwin IN CONFIDANCE

Susan

Paula has said you mentioned that this had gone to prosecution and she needs to know if this is the case before she sends the letter to Oliver Letwin. I believe Alwen was checking but Paula has heard back.

Thanks
Theresa

From: Alwen Lyons
Sent: 12 April 2012 18:08
To: Rod Ismay; Paula Vennells; Susan Crichton
Subject: PRINTED RE: Oliver Letwin IN CONFIDANCE

Thanks Rod

Paula/Susan please see Rod's email below as background to the Oliver Letwin case

Alwen Lyons

Company Secretary

Post Office Ltd

148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

Tel: **GRO** / Mobile: **GRO** / Mobex: **GRO**
GRO

From: Rod Ismay
Sent: 12 April 2012 17:52
To: Alwen Lyons
Subject: RE: Oliver Letwin IN CONFIDANCE

Alwen

I am presently unable to confirm whether this case is undergoing Prosecution, however, I set out below a short overview of the facts as we understand them.

Miss Merritt ran Yetminster branch and an Outreach branch. She had a trend of balancing issues at both branches which ultimately led to audit.

At the audit, Miss Merritt offered the auditor a cheque for £9,500 before the audit commenced, explaining that she had removed cash of that value the previous night after declaring her cash balance on Horizon. She said she had meant to put the cheque through Horizon the night before but hadn't done so. She indicated that she had needed the money for "something personal" at home and had been unable to get to her bank. She thought she was allowed to use Post Office cash as a cheque had been put in to cover it. She told the auditor to expect to find a shortage of £9,500 and indeed they did.

A further shortage of £3,500 was also found at her outreach branch.

The cheque for £9,500 bounced but has subsequently been repaid. Post Office continues to seek recovery of the £3,500 and presently is receiving £1 a month.

In Miss Merritt's handwritten letter of 21 October 2011 she suggests she had a £2,000 deficit in February and that following guidance from the helpdesk this doubled to £4,000. We have reviewed balancing records in Credence (a copy of events in Horizon) and we cannot see such values in that period. We have also reviewed a copy of the Helpdesk call log and there is no event like this recorded in that log around that time.

Miss Merritt, and the auditors report, refer to a lack of branch to branch remittance bar code labels to facilitate transferring cash between her branches, nevertheless the fact is both branches were short at audit regardless of whether there was a bar code issue.

Miss Merritt has said she felt singled out unfairly for audit when nearby branches are not performing. Post Office's rationale for performing an audit of her branch was based on her balancing history and appears vindicated in the discovery of cash shortages.

Mr Letwin has referred to Miss Merritt quoting transactions being done after she had been excluded from the system. There is no evidence of this of the nature she alleges, however, events that would have been done are the balance following audit and the remittance of the remaining cash back to the cash centre.

Happy to assist with any further questions. I am off tomorrow (Friday) but am in 148 on Monday.

Thanks, Rod

