

---

**From:** Jarnail A Singh [/O=MMS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JARNAIL.A.SINGH6CEADABD-67E9-4ECA-94F2-005716658847]  
**Sent:** Thur 07/06/2012 9:48:20 AM (UTC)  
**To:** Susan Crichton GRO  
**Subject:** RE: Yetminster

Susan As a prosecutor post office prosecution limited must be seen to exercise its judgement in all cases which give rise to potential criminal proceeding to promote effective 'consistent and fair decision making .If not a third party examination of our cases by say Director of public prosecution may result in withdrawal of our ability to prosecute. Decision not to prosecute can not be kept secret "everybody will find out what we are doing" this may open post office to criticism and undermine faith in horizon .This U turn will be exploited by potential third party sub postmaster's alliance It may send a green light for defendants to get hold of their member of parliament and result in copulation. We need to send a message that "Post offices can not be used as a bank". We hold a robust stance, any wrongdoing will be investigated, prosecuted and money recovered.  
Jarnail Singh

**From:** Susan Crichton  
**Sent:** 07 June 2012 08:55  
**To:** Jarnail A Singh  
**Subject:** Yetminster

Jarnail – if we decide not to go ahead with criminal prosecution are there any risks for POL?

Susan Crichton  
Legal and Compliance Director  
Post Office Limited  
148 Old Street  
London  
EC1V 9HQ

Telephone GRO