

**To:** Susan Crichton[REDACTED]; Alwen Lyons[REDACTED]; Hugh Flemington[REDACTED]  
**Cc:** Simon Baker[REDACTED]  
**From:** Lesley J Sewell[REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wed 03/07/2013 12:43:08 PM (UTC)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Callendar square  
[image001.png](#)  
[image002.png](#)  
[image003.png](#)  
[image004.png](#)

FYI

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Simon Baker <[REDACTED]>  
**Date:** 3 July 2013 13:25:34 BST  
**To:** Lesley J Sewell <[REDACTED]>  
**Subject:** FW: Callendar square

fyi

---

**From:** Jenkins Gareth GI [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 03 July 2013 09:51  
**To:** Simon Baker  
**Cc:** Davidson James  
**Subject:** Callendar square

Simon,

I've found some details on the problem. It is described in Peak PC0126376 (and others).

It was first raised in September 2005. The fix was applied as part of S90 which was rolled out February / March 2006.

The root cause of the issue was that data (ie transactions) was not being copied correctly between counters in certain circumstances, so transactions or transfers carried out on one counter were not visible on reports produced on another counter in the same branch. The avoidance was to restart the failing counter (which happened automatically at about 3am each day), at which point all the missing transactions were successfully copied. The problem in business terms was that due to the transactions not being visible, SMPRs considered that they had not been input and so re-input the transactions thus ending up with duplicate transactions and so when the originals came through the following day, the accounts would be in a mess. In particular if a Transfer of cash / stock was accepted more than once on different counters then that would result in a Receipts / Payments mismatch when the Stock Unit was next Balanced.

We reported the problem to POL, but I don't know how much of an investigation was carried out into the scope and the number of affected branches. I was not involved in the issue at the time and only really became aware of it a part of the Misra case in 2010.

This problem was evidenced by a large number of events in the event logs. I remember searching through the event logs for the Misra case with the Defence Expert to show that there was no such pattern of events in the Misra logs.

These events were visible to our 2<sup>nd</sup> line support staff and there were instructions for them to phone the affected branch and recommend that they rebooted the affected counter if such events were seen.

Regards

## Gareth

Gareth Jenkins  
Distinguished Engineer  
Business Applications Architect  
Post Office Account

FUJITSU  
Lovelace Road, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG12 8SN

Tel:

Mobile:

email:

Web:

<http://uk.fujitsu.com>



Fujitsu is proud to partner with [Shelter](#), the housing and homeless charity

Reshaping ICT, Reshaping Business in partnership with [FT.com](#)



Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

Unless otherwise stated, this email has been sent from Fujitsu Services Limited, from Fujitsu (FTS) Limited, or from Fujitsu Telecommunications Europe Limited, together "Fujitsu".

This email is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu does not guarantee that this email has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus-free.

Fujitsu Services Limited, registered in England No 96056, registered office 22 Baker Street, London W1U 3BW.

Fujitsu (FTS) Limited, registered in England No 03808613, registered office 22 Baker Street, London W1U 3BW.

PFU Imaging Solutions Europe Limited, registered in England No 1578652, registered office Hayes Park Central, Hayes End Road, Hayes, Middlesex, UB4 8FE.

Fujitsu Telecommunications Europe Limited, registered in England No 2548187, registered office Solihull Parkway, Birmingham Business Park, Birmingham, B37 7YU.