

From: martin smith
Sent: 16 December 2013 14:18
To: Simon Clarke
Subject: FW: Risk to POL on Prosecution

FYI

Martin Smith

GRO
Direct: **GRO**

From: Jarnail A Singh **GRO**
Sent: 16 December 2013 09:54
To: martin smith
Subject: RE: Risk to POL on Prosecution

Martin

As per conversation In para 4 iii the note says?

iii. That protection would not be available to an organisation which conducted its prosecutions „in-house“, that is, in POL’s case, using lawyers employed directly by POL.

Am I right in interpreting this as meaning that as Post Office does not conduct its prosecutions in house - that is, it uses Cartwright King rather than directly employed lawyers - any claim for malicious prosecution would properly stand against Cartwright King and not POL, provided we follow our normal processes as described in the advice.





I realise the danger of trying to paraphrase the advice for the purpose of clarification but I found the wording in 4 iii (that is, couching the point in terms of the protection 'not' being available) left me grappling with negatives so I want make sure I am absolutely clear.


Can clarify this please.

Thanks

Jarnail

Jarnail Singh I Criminal Lawyer

 148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ
 **GRO** Postline: **GRO**
 Mobex:
 **GRO**




 Post Office stories
 @postofficenews




From: Jarnail A Singh
Sent: 13 December 2013 10:12
To: 'martin smith'
Subject: RE: Risk to POL on Prosecution

Thanks Martin
ASAP. Please .

Jarnail Singh | Criminal Lawyer

 148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ
 **GRO** Postline: **GRO**
 Mobex:
 **GRO**
 Post Office stories
 @postofficenews



From: martin smith **GRO**
Sent: 12 December 2013 09:07
To: Jarnail A Singh
Subject: RE: Risk to POL on Prosecution

Jarnail,

We will put together a note covering the points which arise.

Kind regards,

Martin.

Martin Smith

GRO

GRO
Direct: **GRO**


From: Jarnail A Singh **GRO**
Sent: 11 December 2013 11:03
To: martin smith
Subject: Risk to POL on Prosecution

Martin

As criminal specialist Lawyers please look at the attached document. Your advice, observations and comment are required of number of risks to POL arising out of prosecution activities.

Regards

Jarnail Singh | Criminal Lawyer



148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

GRO Postline: **GRO**
Mobex:

GRO

Post Office stories
[@postofficenews](#)

POST OFFICE

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.
