
From: Belinda Crowe[/O=MMS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BELINDA CROWE79B93F11-569F-4526-A078-F5B4958A8917220]
Sent: Tue 11/11/2014 8:30:22 AM (UTC)
To: Jarnail Singh [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]
Cc: Rodric Williams [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]; Brooks, Victoria [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]; Durston, Joe [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]; Belinda Crowe [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]; Martin Smith [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]; Kathryn Alexander [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]; Shirley Hailstones [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]; Parsons, Andrew [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: M052 - further disclosure [BD-4A.FID20472253]

Hi Jarnail

The Working Group, including POL, agreed that SS should be provided with documents relevant to the prosecution in certain cases.

Everyone agreed that there are likely to be few documents available and that the documents should not include privileged material or other material which may be in a committal bundle (which, as I understand may not be available/relevant in many cases). Whilst the committal bundle may be the best source of information there may be other documents which we would need to consider disclosing.

I suspect that in reality what you are suggesting will not be greatly different from what we may consider we need to disclose but we cannot refuse to disclose information, for example, which may already have been provided to the applicant at the time of the prosecution or that we would disclose in the event of a SAR being made.

I suggest what we do is consider this on a case by case basis when BD has completed its review of the documents and reach a view on any individual documents as a query arises.

The process Andy is undergoing – that is to review the file, index the documents available and that could be disclosed and we can all review (including CK) and agree what is appropriate for disclosure.

Best wishes

Belinda

Belinda Crowe
148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

[REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED] Postline: [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]

From: Jarnail Singh
Sent: 10 November 2014 16:32
To: Parsons, Andrew; Martin Smith; Belinda Crowe; Kathryn Alexander; Shirley Hailstones
Cc: Rodric Williams; Brooks, Victoria; Durston, Joel

Subject: RE: M052 - further disclosure [BD-4A.FID20472253]

Andy

I understand there are 12 such cases. Disclosure which is sought is papers which would in any case be served on the defence solicitors. Which is the committal bundle consisting of witness statements and exhibits .To safe guard POLs position Disclosure in these cases should be restricted to the committal bundle only.

Regards.

Jarnail

Jarnail Singh | Criminal Lawyer



148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ



GRO

Postline:

GRO



GRO

Mobex:



GRO



Post Office stories



@postofficenews



From: Parsons, Andrew

GRO

Sent: 10 November 2014 13:54

To: Jarnail Singh; Martin Smith; Belinda Crowe; Kathryn Alexander; Shirley Hailstones

Cc: Rodric Williams; Brooks, Victoria; Durston, Joel

Subject: RE: M052 - further disclosure [BD-4A.FID20472253]

All

I've discussed with Martin – the approach we are taking is as follows:

1. Kath / Shirley / CK to send prosecution papers to BD.
2. BD to review to identify documents that could be disclosed to SS (ie. anything that is not legal advice and does not relate to internal Post Office investigation steps).
3. BD to index those documents and recommend which ones should be disclosed (ie. those that are relevant to the case)
4. Kath / Shirley to comment on whether the docs have any material effect on the case.
5. CK to comment on whether disclosure is ok from a criminal perspective.
6. Me / Belinda to action actual disclosure of any docs to SS.

Kind regards

Andy

Andrew Parsons
Managing Associate

GRO

Direct:
Mobile:
Fax:

GRO

Follow Bond Dickinson:



www.bonddickinson.com

From: Jarnail Singh **GRO**
Sent: 10 November 2014 11:39
To: Martin Smith; Parsons, Andrew; Belinda Crowe; 'paul.lorraine' **GRO**; Kathryn Alexander
Cc: Rodric Williams; Brooks, Victoria; Durston, Joel
Subject: RE: M052 - further disclosure [BD-4A.FID25887221]

Dear All

I agree a robust stance should be taken by POL in relation to such requests by second sight.

Jarnail

Jarnail Singh | Criminal Lawyer



148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ



GRO

Postline **GRO**



GRO

Mobex:



GRO



[Post Office stories](#)



[@postofficenews](#)



From: Martin Smith **GRO**
Sent: 07 November 2014 10:42
To: 'Parsons, Andrew'; Belinda Crowe; 'paul.lorraine' **GRO**; Kathryn Alexander; Jarnail Singh
Cc: Rodric Williams; Brooks, Victoria; Durston, Joel
Subject: RE: M052 - further disclosure [BD-4A.FID25887221]

Andy,

From a criminal perspective, we would advise as a general rule against the disclosure of any documents from a criminal file which have not previously been disclosed to the defendant during the course of the original proceedings. To do otherwise may well enable the Defendant or Second Sight to attempt to criticise the way in which the prosecution was conducted or how the prosecution policy was applied. Clearly such arguments in a public arena would be uncomfortable for POL.

We remain concerned that Second Sight are pushing for the disclosure of files and advise that a robust stance be taken by POL in relation to all such requests.

Please do not hesitate to call me to discuss this in more detail should you so wish.

Kind regards,

Martin.

Martin Smith



Birmingham | Derby | Leeds | Leicester | London | Milton Keynes | Nottingham | Sheffield |
Tyneside

www.cartwrightking.co.uk

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This e-mail is confidential and may contain legally privileged information. If you have received it in error please notify us immediately by return email, do not copy it or its contents to anyone else and delete it. Thank you for your cooperation.

A list of directors is available at each office. Cartwright King is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority No: 312459. VAT Registration No: 737837295

We cannot guarantee that this e-mail and any attachments are virus-free, but you should please check.

From: Parsons, Andrew [REDACTED] **GRO**
Sent: 07 November 2014 09:58
To: Belinda Crowe; 'paul.lorraine' [REDACTED] Kathryn Alexander; Martin Smith; Jarnail Singh
Cc: Rodric Williams; Brooks, Victoria; Durston, Joel
Subject: RE: M052 - further disclosure [BD-4A.FID25887221]

Belinda

Thanks – just to confirm...

There is only one statement about the actions of the Applicant's husband – the statement from Lisa Allen and this has already been disclosed with the POIR so there's nothing we can do about this now. Noted however for other cases.

Kind regards
Andy

Andrew Parsons
Managing Associate

GRO

Direct:
Mobile:
Fax:

GRO

Follow Bond Dickinson:



www.bonddickinson.com

From: Belinda Crowe **GRO**
Sent: 06 November 2014 19:13
To: Parsons, Andrew; 'paul.lorraine' **GRO**; Kathryn Alexander; 'martin.smith' **GRO**
Jarnail Singh
Cc: Rodric Williams; Brooks, Victoria; Durston, Joel; Belinda Crowe
Subject: Re: M052 - further disclosure [BD-4A.FID25887221]

Thanks Andy

My only comment would be whether the statements about the behaviour of the applicant's family members actually add anything.

They are not in the category of docs that SS want or need and are not relevant to the conviction.

I am not sure whether the applicant has ever seen them but I do not think this information should be provided unless there is a good reason for us to provide it.

Best wishes

Belinda

GRO

From: Parsons, Andrew **GRO**
Sent: Thursday, November 06, 2014 05:12 PM
To: Loraine, Paul **GRO**; Kathryn Alexander; **GRO**; Jarnail Singh
Cc: Belinda Crowe; Rodric Williams; Brooks, Victoria **GRO**; Durston, Joel **GRO**
Subject: M052 - further disclosure [BD-4A.FID25887221]

All

SS have requested disclosure of all documents from Post Office's prosecution file in the above case. We have re-reviewed the prosecution files and those "non-privileged" documents (ie. anything that is not legal advice and does not relate to internal Post Office investigation steps) that could be disclosed are attached.

Paul / Kath - I'd be grateful if you could confirm whether any of the documents to be disclosed have any material impact on our POIR.

Martin / Jarnail - I'd be grateful if you could confirm whether you have any objection to these additional documents being released to SS.

Belinda - we can then take a final view on if, what and how to release any documents to SS.

Password is the same as used for the POIR.

Kind regards
Andy

Andrew Parsons
Managing Associate

GRO

Direct: [REDACTED]
Mobile: [REDACTED]
Fax: [REDACTED]

GRO

Follow Bond Dickinson:



www.bonddickinson.com

Please consider the environment! Do you need to print this email?

The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. [belinda.crowe](#) [REDACTED] **GRO** [REDACTED] only is authorised to access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not [belinda.crowe](#) [REDACTED] **GRO** [REDACTED] please notify [andrew.parsons](#) [REDACTED] **GRO** [REDACTED] as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this communication or attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. Bond Dickinson LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses and you should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment.

Content of this email which does not relate to the official business of Bond Dickinson LLP, is neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email is sent for and on behalf of Bond Dickinson LLP which is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC317661. Our registered office is St Ann's Wharf, 112 Quayside, Newcastle Upon Tyne, NE1 3DX, where a list of members' names is open to inspection. We use the term partner to refer to a member of the LLP, or an employee or consultant who is of equivalent standing. Our VAT registration number is GB123393627.

Bond Dickinson LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.

POL00325950
POL00325950
