2 (8.15 am) 2 (A. They are. 3 (C. For the purposes of the transcript, the us? 4 us? 5 (SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you. 6 MS PRICE: Please may we call Mr Pardoa. 7 (SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. 8 DAVID JOHN PAROE (affirmed) 9 Questioned by MS PRICE 9 Inquiry. 10 MS PRICE: Can you confirm your full name, please, 11 Mr Pardoe? 12 A. David John Pardoe. 12 A. David John Pardoe. 13 G. You should have in front of you in a bundle 14 a hard copy of a witness statement in your name, 15 dated 24 Cottober 2023. Have you got that? 16 dated 24 Cottober 2023. Have you got that? 17 Q. If you can turn to page 55 of that document, 18 please 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Indeed. 10 G. The account of the roise you held set out in 19 your statement is you doing your best from memory? 21 A. It is, 22 A. It is, indeed. 22 A. Yes. 30 G. Is that your signature? 23 Q. Is it in fight with a your have the that you have in the Liverpool 24 A. It is, indeed. 25 Q. Is it in fight that you first joined the Post 26 Q. Is that your signature? 27 A. It it is indeed. 28 Q. Vou then progressed to hold a number of 29 Q. Is that your signature? 30 Q. Is that your signature? 31 A. It would have been sometime in the '80s. 31 G. You should have a copy with a visible of district. One of these roles was designing and 31 G. There came a point when you were apprached by the purpose and the population of the populatio	1		Wednesday, 29 November 2023	1		best of your knowledge and belief?
4 us? 5 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you. 6 MS PRICE: Please may we call Mr Pardoo. 6 MS PRICE: Please may we we call Mr Pardoo. 7 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. 8 DAVID JOHN PARDOE (affirmed) 9 Questioned by MS PRICE 9 Inquiry. 10 MS PRICE: Can you confirm your full name, please, 11 Mr Pardoe? 12 A. David John Pardoe. 13 C. You should have in front of you in a bundle 14 a hard copy of a winess statement in your name, 15 dated 2 Coober 2023. Have you got that? 16 A. Indeed. 17 Q. If you can turn to page 55 of that document, 18 please — 19 please — 18 please — 18 please — 18 please — 18 please — 19 A. Indeed. 20 Q. — you should have in forthe you sible a signature? 21 A. It is indeed. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Is bit at your signature? 24 A. It is noted. 25 Q. Are the contents of that statement true to the 26 district. One of these roles was designing and 4 delivering training for subpostmasters, is that 18 ingill? 19 A. So that was a significant shift. It link is asy 10 A. So that was a significant shift. It link is asy 11 subpostmaster had effectively sat with the 12 culpion gaughostmaster and beauting pay of the Post Office investigation Department to take 19 a. So that a designing and 4 the Post Office investigation Department to take 19 in the progressed to hold a number of 20 district. One of these roles was designing and 4 the Post Office investigation Department to take 21 subpostmaster had effectively sat with the 22 culpion gaughostmaster and bean trained on site. 23 A. So that was a significant shift. It link it say 24 A. So that a was a significant shift. It link is asy 25 A. So that a was a significant shift. It link is asy 26 A. So that was a significant shift. It link is asy 27 A. So that was a significant shift. It link is asy 28 A. So that was a significant shift. It link is asy 29 A. So the Post Office Investigation Department. It als the post Office and they would conduct the investigation Department to take 29 the post office and the post office. 20 that add	2	(9.1	5 am)	2	A.	They are.
5 SIR WYM WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you. 5 MS PRICE: Please may we call Mr Pardoe. 6 MS PRICE: Please may we call Mr Pardoe. 7 SIR WYM WILLIAMS: Yes. 8 DAVID JOHN PARODE (affirmed) 8 Individual Sir Yes. 8 DAVID JOHN PARODE (affirmed) 9 Questioned by MS PRICE: 9 Inquiry. 10 MS PRICE: Can you confirm your full name, please, 11 Carefull Mr Pardoe. 12 A. David John Pardoe. 13 Q. You should have in front of you in a bundle 14 a hard copy of a winess statement in your name, 14 a had copy of a winess statement in your name, 15 all nideed. 16 A. Indeed. 17 Q. If you can turn to page 55 of that document, 18 please — 18 Janes — 18 A. Yes. 19 A. Indeed. 20 Q. — you should have a copy with a visible 21 signature; is that right? 22 A. It is indeed. 23 Q. Is that your signature? 24 A. It is indeed. 25 A. It is indeed. 26 A. It would have been sometime in the '80s. 27 A. It would have been sometime in the '80s. 28 A. It would have been sometime in the '80s. 39 A. Yes. 30 Q. What that that statement true to the 30 Q. What that that statement true to the 31 A. It would have been sometime in the '80s. 30 Q. What did that training cover? 31 A. It was. 31 A. It was. 32 Q. What did that training cover? 33 Q. What did that training cover? 34 A. It was. 35 Q. What did that training cover? 36 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 36 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 37 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 38 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 49 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 40 A. The contents of the service was designing and 41 delivering training for subpostmasters; is that right? 42 G. What did that training cover? 43 A. It was. 44 A. It was. 45 C. What did that training cover? 46 A. It was. 47 A. It was. 48 C. What did that training cover? 49 A. So the Post Office investigation Department to take that the part was proposed by with the remit of the past past was proposed by with the remit of the past past past past pas	3	MS	PRICE: Good morning, sir, can you see and hear	3	Q.	For the purposes of the transcript, the
6 MS PRICE: Please may we call Mr Pardoe. 7 SIR WYN WILLAMS: Yes 8 DAVID JOHN PARDOE (affirmed) 8 I will be asking questions on behalf of the Inquiry. 8 DAVID JOHN PARDOE (affirmed) 9 Questioned by MS PRICE: 9 SIstring, please, with an overview of your career with the Post Office, I understand you will a provide the provided by the	4		us?	4		reference for the statement is WITN08170100.
7 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. 7 Authors statement that you have. As you know, 8 DAVID JOHN PARDOE (affirmed) 9 Questioned by MS PRICE: 9 Inquiry. 10 MS PRICE: Can you confirm your full name, please, 11 Aradoe? 11 Aradoe? 12 A David John Pardoe. 12 A David John Pardoe. 13 Q. You should have in front of you in a bundle 14 a hard copy of a withoes statement in your name, 15 dated 24 October 2023. Have you got that? 16 A Indeed. 17 Q. If you can turn to page 55 of that document, 18 please — 19 A Indeed. 18 Q. The account of the roles you held set out in 19 A. Yes. 19 A Indeed. 10 Q. — you should have a copy with a visible 20 Q. — you should have a copy with a visible 21 signature; is that right? 21 signature; is that right? 22 A. It is indeed. 23 Q. Is that your singature? 24 A. It is indeed. 25 Q. Are the contents of that statement true to the 26 Q. Are the contents of that statement true to the 27 Q. Are the contents of that statement true to the 28 daffined have been sometime in the '80s. 29 Q. Are the contents of that statement true to the 30 Q. What did have been sometime in the '80s. 31 A. It would have been sometime in the '80s. 41 A. It would have been sometime in the '80s. 42 A. You then progressed to hold a number of 43 delivering training for subpostmasters; is that fight? 44 G. What did that training cover? 45 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 46 delivering training for subpostmasters; is that 47 G. What did that training cover? 48 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 49 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 40 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 41 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 41 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 42 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 43 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 44 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 45 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 46 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 47 A. So that was a significant shift, I thi	5	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you.	5		Thank you for coming to the Inquiry to
B AUNU JOHN PARDOE (affirmed) Wish PRICE: Can you confirm your full name, please, In Mr Pardoe? A David John Pardoe. A Cavid John Pardoe. O You should have in front of you in a bundle a hard copy of a witness statement in your name, a hard copy of a witness statement in your name, a hard copy of a witness statement in your name, a hard copy of a witness statement in your name, a hard copy of a witness statement in your name, a hard copy of a witness statement in your name, a hard copy of a witness statement in your name, a hard copy of a witness statement in your name, a hard copy of a witness statement in your name, a hard copy of a witness statement in your name, a hard copy of a witness statement in your name, a hard copy of a witness statement in your name, b dead ed Voctober 2023. Have you got that? If A Indeed. If you can turn to page 55 of that document, please — 18 memory? 19 A. Yes. 19 A Indeed. 10 C. The account of the roles you held set out in your statement is you doing your best from memory? 10 A. It is indeed. 11 work of the post of the statement is a post of the post 11 office as a Crown Office counter clerk? 12 A. It is indeed. 12 C. a you help with what year that was? You've 31 Said how old you were in the statement. Can you 42 help with which year that was? You've 33 and have been sometime in the '80s. 14 It would have been sometime in the '80s. 15 A. It would have been sometime in the '80s. 16 A. It was. 17 the post Office. 18 A. It was. 19 A. Indeed. 19 A. Indeed. 10 A. It was. 10 A. It was. 11 trialling that approach nationally on behalf of the Post Office investigation Department to take 19 A. It was. 10 A. It was. 11 the post Office investigation Department to take 12 a delivering training for subpostmasters; is that 13 delivering training for subpostmasters; is that 14 deliveri. One of these roles was designing and 15 delivering training for subpostmasters; is that 16 delivering training for subpostmasters and been trained on site, 1	6		-	6		assist it in its work and for providing the
Questioned by MS PRICE: 9 Inquiry. MS PRICE: Carry our confirm your full name, please, 10 Starting, please, with an overview of your career with the Post Office, I understand you aver in the Ard Sewing James of the Carry of a without statement in your name, 14 head relevant roles; is that right? A lavid John Pardoe. 12 have not had the benefit of seeing any written records confirming the exact dates for which you held relevant roles; is that right? A landed. 16 Q. The account of the roles you held set out in your statement in your of the roles you held set out in your statement is you doing your best from memory? If you can turn to page 55 of that document, 17 your statement is you doing your best from please — 18 memory? A resignature, is that right? 21 office as a Crown Office counter clerk? A lit is indeed. 22 A. Yes. 19 A. Ye	7	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.	7		witness statement that you have. As you know,
MS PRICE: Can you confirm' your full name, please, MF Pardoe? A David John Pardoe. 11	8		DAVID JOHN PARDOE (affirmed)	8		I will be asking questions on behalf of the
Mr Pardoe? 12 A David John Pardoe. 13 L You Should have in front of you in a bundle 14 have not had the benefit of seeing any written 15 and copy of a witness statement in your name, 16 A Indeed. 16 A Indeed. 16 A Indeed. 17 Q If you can turn to page 55 of that document, 18 please — 19 A Yes. 20 Q — you should have a copy with a visible 20 Q Is it right that you first joined the Post 21 signature; is that right? 22 A It is indeed. 23 Q Is that your signature? 24 A It is. 25 Q A re the contents of that statement true to the 26 Q Are the contents of that statement true to the 27 Q A It would have been sometime in the '80s. 29 Q A re the contents of that statement true to the 20 Q You then progressed to hold a number of 20 district. One of these roles was designing and 20 district. One of these roles was designing and 21 district. One of these roles was designing and 22 district. One of these roles was designing and 23 district. One of these roles was designing and 24 It was a significant shift, I think I say 25 A It was a significant shift, I think I say 26 A It was a significant shift, I think I say 27 A It was a significant shift, I think I say 28 A So that was a significant shift, I think I say 29 A So that was a significant shift, I think I say 20 A So that was a significant shift, I think I say 21 A It would have the remained on site. 22 A Was did that training cover? 23 A It was a significant shift, I think I say 29 A So that was a significant shift, I think I say 30 A So that was a significant shift, I think I say 31 A So that was a significant shift, I think I say 42 A It was a significant shift, I think I say 43 A So that was a significant shift, I think I say 44 A David that training cover? 45 A So that was a significant shift, I think I say 46 A So that was a significant shift, I think I say 47 A So that was a significant shift, I think I say 48 A So that was a significant shift, I think I say 49 A So that was a significant shift, I think I say 40 A So th	9		-			• •
12 A David John Pardoe. 13 Q. You should have in front of you in a bundle 13 records confirming the exact date which you had reduced to the first open of the properties of th	10	MS				
13 c. You should have in front of you in a bundle 14 a hard copy of a witness statement in your name, 15 dated 24 October 2023. Have you got that? 16 A. Indeed. 17 Q. If you can turn to page 55 of that document, 18 please - 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Indeed. 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Indeed. 10 G. The account of the roles you held set out in 19 your statement is you doing your best from 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Indeed. 10 G. The account of the roles you held set out in 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Indeed. 10 G. The statement is you doing your best from 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Indeed. 10 G. The statement is you doing your best from 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Indeed. 10 G. The statement is you doing your best from 19 A. Yes. 19 A. It is indeed. 20 Q. Is it right that you first joined the Post 21 signature; is that right? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Can you help with what year that was? You've 24 A. It is indeed. 25 Q. Are the contents of that statement true to the 26 I that your signature? 26 Q. Are the contents of that statement true to the 27 I that you were in the statement true to the 28 I that you were in the statement true to the 29 A. It would have been sometime in the '80s. 20 A. It would have been sometime in the '80s. 21 trialling that approach nationally on behalf of 22 the Post Office. 23 administrative roles within the Liverpool 24 district. One of these roles was designing and 25 delivering training for subpostmasters; is that 26 delivering training for subpostmasters; is that 27 in the Post Office investigation Department to take 28 the Post Office investigation Department to take 29 a. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 30 A. So the Post Office investigation Department at that 31 in the statement that, historically, a new 32 and the post Office investigation Department at the time, and they would be proposed to the proposed proposed that the removed the time, and they 33 and for mammary and the time, and they 34 and the ramification of that on occasion is that 35 and for the roles of the order of the proposed proposed proposed proposed proposed propos	11					-
a hard copy of a witness statement in your name, dated 24 October 2023. Have you got that? A leded. A leded. A londer lede count of the roles, is that right? Q. If you can turn to page 55 of that document. If please If please If please If your statement is you doing your best from memory? A less landed. C you should have a copy with a visible counter left. If your statement is you doing your best from memory? A less landed. C you should have a copy with a visible counter left. If your statement is you doing your best from memory? If you can turn to page 55 of that document. If your statement is you doing your best from memory? If you statement is you doing your best from memory? If you statement is you doing your best from memory? If you statement is you doing your best from memory? If you statement is you doing your best from memory? If you statement is you doing your best from memory? If you statement is you doing your best from memory? If you statement is you doing your best from memory? If you statement is you doing your best from memory? If you statement is you doing your best from memory? If you statement is you doing your best from memory? If you statement is you doing your best from memory? If you statement is you doing your best from memory? If you statement is you doing you best from memory? If you statement is you doing you sheld set out in your statement is you doing you were in the statement. Can you help with what you were approached by the Post Office. If you can you help with what year that was? You've said how old you were in the statement that. It was. If you can you help with what you were approached by the Post Office investigation Department to take the post office investigation part you were approached by the Post Office investigation Department at that that role was, can you help with the remit of the young in your you						
dated 24 October 2023. Have you got that? 15		Q.	-			-
16 A. Indeed. 17 Q. If you can turn to page 55 of that document, 18 please 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Indeed. 20 Q you should have a copy with a visible 21 signature; is that right? 22 A. It is indeed. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Is that your signature? 24 A. It is. 25 Q. Are the contents of that statement true to the 26 Q. You then progressed to hold a number of 27 Q. You then progressed to hold a number of 28 district. One of these roles was designing and 29 district. One of these roles was designing and 29 district. One of these roles was designing and 20 district. One of these roles was designing and 20 district. One of these roles was designing and 21 delivering training for subpostmasters; is that 22 district. One of these roles was designing and 23 district. One of these roles was designing and 24 district. One of these roles was designing and 25 delivering training for subpostmasters; is that 26 right? 27 A. It was. 28 C. What did that training cover? 39 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 40 In the Post Office Investigation Department at that 41 time? 42 So that was a significant shift, I think I say 41 In the statement that, historically, a new 42 In the Post Office Investigation Department at that 43 Characteristic of the post office investigation Department, 44 In the Post Office Investigation Department at that 45 It was the was a significant shift, I think I say 46 So that was a significant shift, I think I say 47 The Post Office Investigation Department at that 48 Characteristic of the post office investigation Department, 49 A. So the Dost Office Investigation Department at that 40 In the statement that, historically, a new 40 refer to them as POID at the time, and they 41 subpostmaster had effectively sat with the 42 consisted of Post Office Royal Mail Group and, 41 from memory at the time, that would have 42 consisted of Post Office, Royal Mail, of Cashco, 43 the raining requirements, and they would then 44 subpostmaster through a standard suite of 55 the get-go. So it was decided t						
17 Q. If you can turn to page 55 of that document, please 18 please 18 nemory? 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Indeed. 10 Q you should have a copy with a visible signature, is that right? 21 office as a Crown Office counter clerk? 22 A. It is indeed. 23 Q. Is that your signature? 24 A. It is. 25 Q. Are the contents of that statement true to the please of the contents of that statement true to the please of the post of the pos	15				A.	
please — 18 memory? A Yes. 19 A. Indeed. 20 Q you should have a copy with a visible 20 Q. Is lit right that you first joined the Post 21 signature; is that right? 21 Office as a Crown Office counter clerk? 22 A. It is indeed. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Is that your signature? 23 Q. Is that your signature? 24 A. It is. 24 said how old you were in the statement. Can you help with what year that was? You've 34 A. It is. 25 all how old you were in the statement. Can you help with which year that was, roughly? 2					Q.	-
19 A. Yes. 19 A. Indeed. 20 Qyou should have a copy with a visible 20 Q. Is it right that you first joined the Post 21 signature; is that right? 22 A. It is incleed. 23 Q. Is that your signature? 24 A. It is. 25 Q. Are the contents of that statement true to the 26 Q. You then progressed to hold a number of 27 Q. You then progressed to hold a number of 28 administrative roles within the Liverpool 39 district. One of these roles was designing and 40 district. One of these roles was designing and 41 district. One of these roles was designing and 42 district. One of these roles was designing and 43 lt was. 44 lt was. 45 Q. What did that training cover? 46 A. It was. 47 the A. It was. 48 Q. What did that training cover? 49 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 40 in the statement that, historically, a new 41 to utgoing subpostmaster had effectively sat with the 41 outgoing subpostmaster had effectively sat with the 42 outgoing subpostmaster had effectively sat with the 43 own bad habits were being picked up right from 44 the get-go. So it was decided that there would 55 the get-go. So it was decided that there would 56 the pest Office investigation Department, where were performent and they 56 and performent that the statement that, historically, a new 57 the post Office investigation Department, 58 the pest-go. So it was decided that there would 59 A. So the Post Office Investigation Department, 50 the pest-go. So it was decided that there would 51 the get-go. So it was decided that there would 52 training requirements, and they would conduct the first suppostmaster through a standard suite of 59 training requirements, and they would then 50 So that was a suppostmaster through a standard suite of 50 Training requirements, and they would then 51 trainers, who would then take the new 52 To So thath, from memory, there'd be a week 54 To What was the role you were offered when you were 59 or so spent in a training decility and then 50 The same proceded by the POID? 51 there would be at least one week		Q.				
20 Q you should have a copy with a visible signature; is that right? 21 A. It is indeed. 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Is that your signature? 24 A. It is. 25 Q. Can you help with what year that was? You've said how old you were in the statement. Can you help with what year that was? You've said how old you were in the statement. Can you help with which year that was, roughly? 1 A. It would have been sometime in the '80s. 1 trialling that approach nationally on behalf of the Post Office. 2 Q. You then progressed to hold a number of 2 the Post Office. 3 administrative roles within the Liverpool 3 Q. There came a point when you were approached by delivering training for subpostmasters; is that delivering training for subpostmasters; is that right? 4 A. It was. 5 Q. What did that training cover? 5 A. It was. 6 Q. What did that training cover? 7 A. It was. 7 The Post Office Investigation Department to take delivering training for subpostmasters; is that time? 9 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 9 A. So the Post Office Investigation Department, in the statement that, historically, a new 10 we'd refer to them as POID at the time, and they subpostmaster had effectively sat with the 11 had group-wide remit. So they would conduct outgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site. 12 investigations impacting Royal Mail Group and, from memory at the time, that would have consisted of Post Office, Royal Mail Group and, from memory at the time, that would have consisted of Post Office, classroom-driven training by skilled 16 had remification of that on occasion is that 50 post post postmaster had been trained on site. 19 Office and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who were or so spent in a training facility and then 19 post-post post postmaster through a standard suite of 18 Office and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who were or so spent in a training facility and then 20 post postmaster through a standard suite of 18 Office and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who were or so spent in a training facility and then 20 post			·			
signature; is that right? 2 A. It is indeed. 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Is that your signature? 4 A. It is. 2 A. Yes. 5 Q. Are the contents of that statement true to the 5 D. Are the contents of that statement true to the 6 D. You then progressed to hold a number of 7 A. It would have been sometime in the '80s. 8 D. You then progressed to hold a number of 8 D. You then progressed to hold a number of 9 D. You then progressed to hold a number of 9 D. You then progressed to hold a number of 9 D. You then progressed to hold a number of 9 D. You then progressed to hold a number of 9 D. You then progressed to hold a number of 9 D. You then progressed to hold a number of 9 D. There came a point when you were approached by the Post Office. 9 D. There came a point when you were approached by the Post Office Investigation Department to take the right? 9 D. What did that training cover? 9 D. What did that training cover? 9 D. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 10 In the statement that, historically, a new 11 In the statement that, historically, a new 12 outgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site. 13 And the ramification of that on occasion is that 14 some bad habits were being picked up right from 15 the get-go. So it was decided that there would 16 be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled 17 trainers, who would then take the new 18 subpostmaster through a standard suite of training requirements, and they would conduct the full range of training requirements, and they would then that by onsite training. 19 Less of think, from memory, there'd be a week 10 Office and they would conduct the full range of investigation activities on behalf of those business units. 10 Office and they would conduct the full range of investigation activities on behalf of those business units. 10 Office and they would conduct the full range of investigation activities on behalf of those business units. 11 Listing that you help with what year that was proached by two gentlemen that a laready knew we	19					
22 A. It is indeed. 23 Q. Is that your signature? 24 A. It is. 25 Q. Are the contents of that statement true to the 26 Q. Are the contents of that statement true to the 27 Q. You then progressed to hold a number of 38 administrative roles within the Liverpool 49 district. One of these roles was designing and 40 delivering training for subpostmasters; is that 41 fight? 42 A. It was. 43 Q. What did that training cover? 44 It was. 45 Q. What did that training cover? 46 A. It was. 47 A. It was. 48 Q. What did that training cover? 49 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 40 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 41 a subpostmaster and been trained on site. 49 A. So that was conduct the fectively sat with the 40 cutgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site. 40 cutgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site. 41 some bad habits were being picked up right from 42 trainers, who would then take the new 43 subpostmaster through a standard suite of training requirements, and they would conduct through a subpostmaster through a standard suite of training requirements, and they would then take the new 41 subpostmaster through a standard suite of training requirements, and they would then take the new 44 trainers, who would then take the new 45 trainers, who would then take the new 46 training requirements, and they would then 47 subpostmaster through a standard suite of training requirements, and they would conduct through a standard suite of training requirements, and they would then 46 subpostmaster through a standard suite of training requirements, and they would then 47 so be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled 48 of the remification of that on occasion is that the new 49 consisted of Post Office, and they would conduct the full range of training requirements, and they would then 49 subpostmaster through a standard suite of training requirements, and they would conduct the full range of training requirements, and they would then 40 subpostmaster through a standard suite of the remifica	20	Q.			Q.	
23 Q. Is that your signature? 24 A. It is. 25 Q. Are the contents of that statement true to the 26 Q. You then progressed to hold a number of 27 administrative roles within the Liverpool 38 administrative roles within the Liverpool 49 district. One of these roles was designing and 40 delivering training for subpostmasters; is that 40 right? 40 A. It was. 41 that role was, can you help with what year that was, roughly? 42 district. One of these roles was designing and 43 the Post Office Investigation Department to take 44 district. One of these roles was designing and 45 delivering training for subpostmasters; is that 46 right? 4 A. It was. 4 C. What did that training cover? 5 A. It was. 5 Os that was a significant shift, I think I say 4 in the statement that, historically, a new 5 outgoing subpostmaster had effectively sat with the 5 outgoing subpostmaster had effectively sat with the 5 up specific role. Before you explain what 6 time? 9 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 9 A. So the Post Office Investigation Department at that 9 time? 9 A. So the was a significant shift, I think I say 10 we'd refer to them as POID at the time, and they 11 subpostmaster had effectively sat with the 11 had group-wide remit. So they would conduct 12 outgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site. 12 investigations impacting Royal Mail Group and, 13 And the ramification of that on occasion is that 14 some bad habits were being picked up right from 14 consisted of Post Office, Royal Mail, of Cashco, 15 the get-go. So it was decided that there would 16 be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled 16 and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who were 17 trainers, who would then take the new 17 obviously the parcel-carrying arm of the Post Office, 18 and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who were 19 training requirements, and they would then 19 training requirements, and they would then 20 supplement that by onsite training. 20 businesses units. 21 Q. What was the role you were offered when you were 22 first approached by						
24	22	Α.			A.	
25 Q. Are the contents of that statement true to the 1 A. It would have been sometime in the '80s. 2 Q. You then progressed to hold a number of 2 the Post Office. 3 administrative roles within the Liverpool 4 district. One of these roles was designing and 5 delivering training for subpostmasters; is that 6 right? 6 that role was, can you help with the remit of 7 A. It was. 7 the Post Office Investigation Department to take 8 Q. What did that training cover? 9 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 10 in the statement that, historically, a new 11 subpostmaster had effectively sat with the 12 outgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site. 13 And the ramification of that on occasion is that 14 some bad habits were being picked up right from 15 who were the cash-carrying arm of Post Office, 16 be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled 17 trainers, who would then take the new 18 subpostmaster through a standard suite of 19 training requirements, and they would then 20 supplement that by onsite training. 21 So I think, from memory, there'd be a week 22 or so spent in a training facility and then 23 there would be at least one week spent working 24 each day at branch with the subpostmaster, and 25 help with which year that was, roughly? 26 the post Office and they would remit. So they lowed to take the remembers of POID. I think 26 the would be at least one week spent working 27 there would be at least one week spent working 28 there would be at least one week spent working 29 there would be at least one week spent working 20 supplement that by onsite training. 21 Largary New were emembers of POID. I think 25 livas one of two trainers who were actually					Q.	
1 A. It would have been sometime in the '80s. 1 trialling that approach nationally on behalf of the Post Office. 3 administrative roles within the Liverpool 4 district. One of these roles was designing and 5 delivering training for subpostmasters; is that 6 right? 7 A. It was. 7 the Post Office Investigation Department to take 8 Q. What did that training cover? 9 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 10 in the statement that, historically, a new 11 we'd refer to them as POID at the time, and they 12 subpostmaster had effectively sat with the 13 And the ramification of that on occasion is that 14 some bad habits were being picked up right from 15 the get-go. So it was decided that there would 16 be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled 17 trialling that approach nationally on behalf of 18 the Post Office Investigation Department to take 19 training requirements, and they would then 10 who were the cash-carrying arm of Post Office, 10 subpostmaster that the new 11 consisted of Post Office, Royal Mail, of Cashco, 12 trialing requirements, and they would then 19 training requirements, and they would then 19 unvestigation activities on behalf of those 20 supplement that by onsite training. 21 So I think, from memory, there'd be a week 22 or so spent in a training facility and then 23 A. Yeah, I was approached by two gentlemen that 24 each day at branch with the subpostmaster, and 25 I already knew were members of POID. I think 26 investigation activities on behalf interviewed me for a counter						
1 A. It would have been sometime in the '80s. 2 Q. You then progressed to hold a number of 3 administrative roles within the Liverpool 4 district. One of these roles was designing and 5 delivering training for subpostmasters; is that 6 right? 6 that role was, can you help with the remit of 7 A. It was. 7 the Post Office Investigation Department to take 8 Q. What did that training cover? 9 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 10 in the statement that, historically, a new 11 subpostmaster had effectively sat with the 12 outgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site. 13 And the ramification of that on occasion is that 14 some bad habits were being picked up right from 15 the get-go. So it was decided that there would 16 be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled 17 training requirements, and they would then 18 subpostmaster through a standard suite of 19 training requirements, and they would then 20 supplement that by onsite training. 21 So I think, from memory, there'd be a week 21 Q. What was the role you were offered when you were 22 or so spent in a training facility and then 23 there would be at least one week spent working 24 each day at branch with the subpostmaster, and 25 I laready knew were members of POID. I think 26 I laready knew were members of POID. I think 27 I laready knew were members of POID. I think 28 I laready knew were members of POID. I think 29 I laready knew were members of POID. I think 20 I was one of two trainers who were actually	25	Q.		25		
2Q.You then progressed to hold a number of administrative roles within the Liverpool3Q.There came a point when you were approached by the Post Office Investigation Department to take4district. One of these roles was designing and delivering training for subpostmasters; is that right?4the Post Office Investigation Department to take5up a specific role. Before you explain what that role was, can you help with the remit of that role was, can you help with the remit of the Post Office Investigation Department at that the Post Office Investigation Department at that time?7A.It was.7the Post Office Investigation Department at that time?9A.So that was a significant shift, I think I say9A.So the Post Office Investigation Department,10in the statement that, historically, a new10we'd refer to them as POID at the time, and they11subpostmaster had effectively sat with the11had group-wide remit. So they would conduct12outgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site.12investigations impacting Royal Mail Group and,13And the ramification of that on occasion is that13from memory at the time, that would have14some bad habits were being picked up right from14consisted of Post Office, Royal Mail, of Cashco,15the get-go. So it was decided that there would15who were the cash-carrying arm of Post Office,16be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled16and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who were17training requi			·			_
administrative roles within the Liverpool 3 Q. There came a point when you were approached by district. One of these roles was designing and 4 the Post Office Investigation Department to take delivering training for subpostmasters; is that 5 up a specific role. Before you explain what right? 6 that role was, can you help with the remit of the Post Office Investigation Department at that time? A. It was. 7 the Post Office Investigation Department at that time? A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 9 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 9 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 9 A. So the Post Office Investigation Department, in the statement that, historically, a new 10 we'd refer to them as POID at the time, and they outgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site. 11 had group-wide remit. So they would conduct outgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site. 12 investigations impacting Royal Mail Group and, from memory at the time, that would have consisted of Post Office, Royal Mail, of Cashco, the get-go. So it was decided that there would 15 who were the cash-carrying arm of Post Office, be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled 16 and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who were trainers, who would then take the new 17 obviously the parcel-carrying arm of the Post supplement that by onsite training. 20 business units. 20 supplement that by onsite training. 20 business units. 21 So I think, from memory, there'd be a week or so spent in a training facility and then 22 first approached by the POID? 23 there would be at least one week spent working 23 A. Yeah, I was approached by two gentlemen that 4 largedy knew were members of POID. I think is one of two trainers who were actually 25 lives were members of POID. I think is one of two trainers who were actually is ronically one had interviewed me for a counter	1	A.	It would have been sometime in the '80s.	1		trialling that approach nationally on behalf of
district. One of these roles was designing and district. One of these roles was designing and delivering training for subpostmasters; is that delivering training for subpostmasters; is that limits right? A. It was. Q. What did that training cover? A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say limits subpostmaster that historically, a new limits subpostmaster and been trained on site. limits subpostmaster and been trained on site. limits some bad habits were being picked up right from limits get-go. So it was decided that there would be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled limits subpostmaster through a standard suite of limits subpostmaster through a	2	Q.	You then progressed to hold a number of	2		the Post Office.
delivering training for subpostmasters; is that right? A. It was. What did that training cover? A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say in the statement that, historically, a new subpostmaster had effectively sat with the coutgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site. And the ramification of that on occasion is that some bad habits were being picked up right from the get-go. So it was decided that there would be be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled trainers, who would then take the new trainers, who would then take the new subpostmaster through a standard suite of training requirements, and they would then So I think, from memory, there'd be a week or so spent in a training facility and then So I was one of two trainers who were actually so I was one of two trainers who were embers of POID. I think I was one of two trainers who were members of POID. I think I was one of two trainers who were encausing with the subpostmaster, and	3		administrative roles within the Liverpool	3	Q.	There came a point when you were approached by
right? A. It was. 7 the Post Office Investigation Department at that the variety of the Post Office Investigation Department at that the variety of the Post Office Investigation Department at that the variety of the Post Office Investigation Department at that the variety of the Post Office Investigation Department, the post Office Investigation Department, in the statement that, historically, a new to we'd refer to them as POID at the time, and they subpostmaster had effectively sat with the toutgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site. 12 outgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site. 13 from memory at the time, that would have consisted of Post Office, Royal Mail Group and, from memory at the time, that would have consisted of Post Office, Royal Mail, of Cashco, the get-go. So it was decided that there would to who were the cash-carrying arm of Post Office, be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled to and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who were trainers, who would then take the new to obviously the parcel-carrying arm of the Post subpostmaster through a standard suite of training requirements, and they would then the subpostmaster through a standard suite of training requirements, and they would then the subpostmaster through a training. 20 So I think, from memory, there'd be a week or so spent in a training facility and then the cash day at branch with the subpostmaster, and they would be at least one week spent working there would be at least one week spent working there would be at least one week spent working the parcel force work the policy of th	4		district. One of these roles was designing and	4		the Post Office Investigation Department to take
7A. It was.7the Post Office Investigation Department at that8Q. What did that training cover?8time?9A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say9A. So the Post Office Investigation Department,10in the statement that, historically, a new10we'd refer to them as POID at the time, and they11subpostmaster had effectively sat with the11had group-wide remit. So they would conduct12outgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site.12investigations impacting Royal Mail Group and,13And the ramification of that on occasion is that13from memory at the time, that would have14some bad habits were being picked up right from14consisted of Post Office, Royal Mail, of Cashco,15the get-go. So it was decided that there would15who were the cash-carrying arm of Post Office,16be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled16and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who were17trainers, who would then take the new17obviously the parcel-carrying arm of the Post18subpostmaster through a standard suite of18Office and they would conduct the full range of19training requirements, and they would then19investigation activities on behalf of those20supplement that by onsite training.20business units.21So I think, from memory, there'd be a week21Q. What was the role you were offered when you were22or so spent in a training facility and then22fi	5		delivering training for subpostmasters; is that	5		up a specific role. Before you explain what
8 Q. What did that training cover? 8 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say 9 A. So the Post Office Investigation Department, 10 in the statement that, historically, a new 10 we'd refer to them as POID at the time, and they 11 subpostmaster had effectively sat with the 11 had group-wide remit. So they would conduct 12 outgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site. 12 investigations impacting Royal Mail Group and, 13 And the ramification of that on occasion is that 13 from memory at the time, that would have 14 some bad habits were being picked up right from 14 consisted of Post Office, Royal Mail, of Cashco, 15 the get-go. So it was decided that there would 15 who were the cash-carrying arm of Post Office, 16 be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled 16 and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who were 17 trainers, who would then take the new 17 obviously the parcel-carrying arm of the Post 18 subpostmaster through a standard suite of 19 training requirements, and they would then 19 investigation activities on behalf of those 20 supplement that by onsite training. 20 business units. 21 So I think, from memory, there'd be a week 21 Q. What was the role you were offered when you were 22 or so spent in a training facility and then 22 first approached by the POID? 23 there would be at least one week spent working 24 each day at branch with the subpostmaster, and 25 I was one of two trainers who were actually 26 ironically one had interviewed me for a counter	6		right?	6		that role was, can you help with the remit of
9 A. So that was a significant shift, I think I say in the statement that, historically, a new 10 we'd refer to them as POID at the time, and they subpostmaster had effectively sat with the 11 had group-wide remit. So they would conduct outgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site. 12 investigations impacting Royal Mail Group and, And the ramification of that on occasion is that 13 from memory at the time, that would have some bad habits were being picked up right from 14 consisted of Post Office, Royal Mail, of Cashco, the get-go. So it was decided that there would 15 who were the cash-carrying arm of Post Office, be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled 16 and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who were trainers, who would then take the new 17 obviously the parcel-carrying arm of the Post Subpostmaster through a standard suite of 18 Office and they would conduct the full range of training requirements, and they would then 19 investigation activities on behalf of those supplement that by onsite training. 20 business units. 21 So I think, from memory, there'd be a week or so spent in a training facility and then 22 first approached by the POID? 23 there would be at least one week spent working 23 A. Yeah, I was approached by two gentlemen that I already knew were members of POID. I think ironically one had interviewed me for a counter	7	A.	It was.	7		the Post Office Investigation Department at that
in the statement that, historically, a new 10 we'd refer to them as POID at the time, and they subpostmaster had effectively sat with the 11 had group-wide remit. So they would conduct 12 outgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site. 13 And the ramification of that on occasion is that 13 from memory at the time, that would have some bad habits were being picked up right from 14 consisted of Post Office, Royal Mail, of Cashco, 15 the get-go. So it was decided that there would 15 who were the cash-carrying arm of Post Office, 16 be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled 16 and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who were 17 trainers, who would then take the new 18 subpostmaster through a standard suite of 19 training requirements, and they would then 19 investigation activities on behalf of those 20 supplement that by onsite training. 20 business units. 21 So I think, from memory, there'd be a week 22 or so spent in a training facility and then 23 there would be at least one week spent working 24 each day at branch with the subpostmaster, and 25 I was one of two trainers who were actually 26 worldwide remit. So they would conduct 27 investigations impacting Royal Mail Group and, 28 investigations impacting Royal Mail Group and, 29 investigations impacting Royal Mail Group and, 20 office, 21 and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who we're fore to she to give the parcel-carrying arm of Post Office, 29 and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who we're obviously the parcel-carrying arm of the Post Office, 20 and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who we're obviously the parcel-carrying arm of the Post Office, 20 business units. 21 So I think, from memory, there'd be a week 21 Q. What was the role you were offered when you were 22 first approached by the POID? 23 there would be at least one week spent working 24 lalready knew were members of POID. I think 25 ironically one had interviewed me for a counter	8	Q.	What did that training cover?	8		time?
subpostmaster had effectively sat with the outgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site. And the ramification of that on occasion is that 13 from memory at the time, that would have some bad habits were being picked up right from 14 consisted of Post Office, Royal Mail, of Cashco, the get-go. So it was decided that there would 15 who were the cash-carrying arm of Post Office, be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled 16 and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who were trainers, who would then take the new 17 obviously the parcel-carrying arm of the Post Office and they would conduct the full range of investigation activities on behalf of those supplement that by onsite training. 20 business units. So I think, from memory, there'd be a week 21 Q. What was the role you were offered when you were or so spent in a training facility and then 22 first approached by the POID? there would be at least one week spent working 23 A. Yeah, I was approached by two gentlemen that I already knew were members of POID. I think ironically one had interviewed me for a counter	9	A.	So that was a significant shift, I think I say	9	A.	So the Post Office Investigation Department,
outgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site. 12 investigations impacting Royal Mail Group and, from memory at the time, that would have some bad habits were being picked up right from the get-go. So it was decided that there would be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled trainers, who would then take the new trainers, who would then take the new subpostmaster through a standard suite of training requirements, and they would then supplement that by onsite training. So I think, from memory, there'd be a week or so spent in a training facility and then subpostmaster, and they would be at least one week spent working the subpostmaster would be at least one week spent working l was one of two trainers who were actually investigations impacting Royal Mail Group and, from memory at the time, that would have consisted of Post Office, Royal Mail, of Cashco, the from memory at the time, that would have consisted of Post Office, Royal Mail, of Cashco, the time, that would have consisted of Post Office, Royal Mail, of Cashco, the time, that would have consisted of Post Office, Royal Mail, of Cashco, the time, that would have consisted of Post Office, Royal Mail, of Cashco, who were the cash-carrying arm of Post Office, and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who were deprive Worldwide, as well, who were obviously the parcel-carrying arm of Post Office, and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, in the time, that would have consisted of Post Office, and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, in the time, that would have consisted of Post Office, and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, and Parcelforce Worldwide, aswell, and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, and Parcelforce Worldwi	10		in the statement that, historically, a new	10		we'd refer to them as POID at the time, and they
And the ramification of that on occasion is that some bad habits were being picked up right from the get-go. So it was decided that there would be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled trainers, who would then take the new subpostmaster through a standard suite of training requirements, and they would then supplement that by onsite training. So I think, from memory, there'd be a week or so spent in a training facility and then subpostmaster, and the get-go. So it was decided that there would the get-go. So it was decided that there would the get-go. So it was decided that there would the get-go. So it was decided that there would the get-go. So it was decided that there would the get-go. So it was decided that there would the get-go. So it was decided that there would the get-go. So it was decided that there would the get-go. So it was decided that there would the get-go. So it was decided that there would the get-go. So it was decided that there would the get-go. So it was decided that there would the get-go. So it was decided that there would the get-go. So it was decided that there would the get-go. So it was decided that there would the get-go. So it was decided that there would the get-go. So it was decided that there would the post Office, Royal Mail, of Cashco, who were the cash-carrying arm of Post Office, and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who were the cash-carrying arm of Post Office, and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who were members of PolD. I think ironically one had interviewed me for a counter	11		subpostmaster had effectively sat with the	11		had group-wide remit. So they would conduct
some bad habits were being picked up right from the get-go. So it was decided that there would be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled trainers, who would then take the new training requirements, and they would then supplement that by onsite training. So I think, from memory, there'd be a week or so spent in a training facility and then there would be at least one week spent working the get-go. So it was decided that there would the post obviously the parcel-carrying arm of the Post obviously the parcel-carrying arm of the Post Office and they would conduct the full range of investigation activities on behalf of those business units. Q. What was the role you were offered when you were first approached by the POID? A. Yeah, I was approached by two gentlemen that I already knew were members of POID. I think ironically one had interviewed me for a counter	12		outgoing subpostmaster and been trained on site.	12		investigations impacting Royal Mail Group and,
the get-go. So it was decided that there would be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled trainers, who would then take the new training requirements, and they would then supplement that by onsite training. So I think, from memory, there'd be a week or so spent in a training facility and then there would be at least one week spent working the pet-go. So it was decided that there would the cash-carrying arm of Post Office, and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who were obviously the parcel-carrying arm of the Post Office and they would conduct the full range of investigation activities on behalf of those business units. Q. What was the role you were offered when you were first approached by the POID? A. Yeah, I was approached by two gentlemen that I already knew were members of POID. I think I was one of two trainers who were actually ironically one had interviewed me for a counter			And the ramification of that on occasion is that			from memory at the time, that would have
be bespoke, classroom-driven training by skilled trainers, who would then take the new trainers, who would then take the new subpostmaster through a standard suite of training requirements, and they would then supplement that by onsite training. So I think, from memory, there'd be a week or so spent in a training facility and then cross spent in a training facility and then there would be at least one week spent working there would be at least one week spent working there would be at beast one were actually be and Parcelforce Worldwide, as well, who were obviously the parcel-carrying arm of the Post			some bad habits were being picked up right from			
trainers, who would then take the new 17 obviously the parcel-carrying arm of the Post 18 subpostmaster through a standard suite of 19 training requirements, and they would then 20 supplement that by onsite training. 21 So I think, from memory, there'd be a week 22 or so spent in a training facility and then 23 there would be at least one week spent working 24 each day at branch with the subpostmaster, and 25 I was one of two trainers who were actually 17 obviously the parcel-carrying arm of the Post Office and they would conduct the full range of investigation activities on behalf of those business units. 20 What was the role you were offered when you were first approached by the POID? A. Yeah, I was approached by two gentlemen that I already knew were members of POID. I think ironically one had interviewed me for a counter						
subpostmaster through a standard suite of training requirements, and they would then 19 investigation activities on behalf of those supplement that by onsite training. 20 business units. So I think, from memory, there'd be a week 21 Q. What was the role you were offered when you were or so spent in a training facility and then 22 first approached by the POID? there would be at least one week spent working 23 A. Yeah, I was approached by two gentlemen that each day at branch with the subpostmaster, and 1 already knew were members of POID. I think I was one of two trainers who were actually 25 ironically one had interviewed me for a counter						
training requirements, and they would then 19 investigation activities on behalf of those 20 supplement that by onsite training. 20 business units. 21 So I think, from memory, there'd be a week 22 or so spent in a training facility and then 23 there would be at least one week spent working 24 each day at branch with the subpostmaster, and 25 I was one of two trainers who were actually 19 investigation activities on behalf of those business units. 20 What was the role you were offered when you were first approached by the POID? A. Yeah, I was approached by two gentlemen that I already knew were members of POID. I think ironically one had interviewed me for a counter						
supplement that by onsite training. So I think, from memory, there'd be a week or so spent in a training facility and then there would be at least one week spent working there would be at least one week spent working leach day at branch with the subpostmaster, and I was one of two trainers who were actually business units. What was the role you were offered when you were first approached by the POID? A. Yeah, I was approached by two gentlemen that I already knew were members of POID. I think ironically one had interviewed me for a counter			•			
So I think, from memory, there'd be a week 21 Q. What was the role you were offered when you were or so spent in a training facility and then 22 first approached by the POID? there would be at least one week spent working 23 A. Yeah, I was approached by two gentlemen that each day at branch with the subpostmaster, and 1 already knew were members of POID. I think I was one of two trainers who were actually 25 ironically one had interviewed me for a counter			•			-
or so spent in a training facility and then 22 first approached by the POID? 23 there would be at least one week spent working 24 each day at branch with the subpostmaster, and 25 I was one of two trainers who were actually 26 first approached by the POID? 27 A. Yeah, I was approached by two gentlemen that 28 I already knew were members of POID. I think 29 ironically one had interviewed me for a counter					_	
there would be at least one week spent working 23 A. Yeah, I was approached by two gentlemen that 24 each day at branch with the subpostmaster, and 25 I was one of two trainers who were actually 26 I was one of two trainers who were actually 27 A. Yeah, I was approached by two gentlemen that 28 I already knew were members of POID. I think 29 ironically one had interviewed me for a counter			-		Q.	
24 each day at branch with the subpostmaster, and 24 I already knew were members of POID. I think 25 I was one of two trainers who were actually 25 ironically one had interviewed me for a counter						
25 I was one of two trainers who were actually 25 ironically one had interviewed me for a counter					A.	
						-
3	25		was one of two trainers who were actually 3	25		ironically one had interviewed me for a counter 4

shortage a year or so before that, and they approached me, and they outlined that there was a branch in inner city Liverpool, that it was a sub office branch or a modified branch, I think it was referred to at the time, run by a subpostmaster, that was having a series of significant losses and suspected benefit book payment fraud.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

20

They knew the subpostmaster was not involved and they asked if, effectively -- and it sounds a little bit grandiose -- if, effectively, I'd go undercover in that branch, run that branch on behalf of the subpostmaster whilst at the same time being the conduit for them to perform covert surveillance.

And this was the day when the covert surveillance couldn't be done remotely, couldn't be done by IP cameras, it was simply a pinhole camera in a false ceiling, connected to a video recorder and my remit was to run the branch on a day-to-day basis and to change the video tapes and to meet one or other of the two gentlemen, early morning in branch, to hand over videotape product from the previous two or three days.

25 Q. You were then offered the role of the

1 for the POID interface role?

- 2 A. None whatsoever, and I've -- if I can go on,
- 3 I feel I say in the statement that I didn't feel
- 4 disadvantaged by that. It was purely -- I'd
- 5 have a report from an audit function from
- 6 a Regional Manager, an Area Manager and I would
- 7 report that into POID. It was as simple as
- 8 that; it was more administrative.
- 9 Q. Is it right that there came a point when you
- 10 were encouraged to become more involved in
- 11 investigations being submitted to the POID?
- 12 A. This is where I'm really going to have to search
- 13 back into my memory. There came a time when
- 14 I had elements of formal training, with a view
- 15 that, rather than just simply piecemeal hand
- 16 this investigation product over to POID, that we
- 17 would start to support as second officers.

18 I never fully understood the politics behind 19

- that. I suspect that's some way above my pay grade but you're right: there was certainly
- 21 a time when we were being encouraged to sit
 - closer with POID and that would involve
- 22 23 performing second officer type activity for
- 24 interviews under caution.
- 25 You say at paragraph 11 of your statement that Q.

7

Investigation Liaison Officer; is that right? 1

2 A. That's right.

7

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

5

- 3 Q. That was in around 1991?
- It would have been, yes. 4
- 5 What did the role involve?
- 6 So I've referenced POID as being the -
 - obviously the group-wide body that would drive
- 8 investigations. So, for each business unit,
- 9 there needed to be some form of conduit to take
- 10 suspected cases of dishonesty and report those
- 11 cases into POID. And that liaison role, that's
 - exactly what that did. So it would take prima
- 13 facie cases concerning potential staff
- 14 dishonesty, it would put those into a reporting
- 15 format, submit with that outline of evidence,
- 16 and submit that for consideration for further
- 17 investigation into POID.

So it would not have been performing first officer, certainly, would not even have been performing second officer type activity; it was purely an administrative role that would be the conduit between the Liverpool district, as I remember at the time, and National POID or certainly the Liverpool branch of POID.

25 Q. Is it right that there was no formal training

- 1 this was the start of your formal training. Can
- 2 you recall even roughly what year this would
- 3 have been?
- 4 A. I think we'd be coming towards the mid-1990s,
 - I would have thought for that, potentially
- 6 coming into the late 1990s, that would seem to
- 7 ring a bell with me.
- 8 Q. Is it right that this training was
- 9 classroom-based training delivered by Royal Mail
- 10 Group?
- A. It was indeed. I do recall that, yes. 11
- 12 Q. You say at paragraph 11 of your statement that
- 13 you had access to other materials; is that
- 14 right?
- 15 A.
- 16 Q. You also say at paragraph 11 that you were very
- 17 heavily mentored by an experienced and far more
- 18 senior Investigation Manager in this role?
- 19 A. Absolutely, yes.
- 20 Q. You then became an Assistant Investigator in the
- 21 newly formed Regional Security Department; is
- 22 that right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 Q. Again, roughly speaking, how many years after
- 25 taking on the second officer role did you become

1 an Assistant Investigator? 2 A. It would have been a matter of years. It 3 certainly wasn't a short few months; it would 4 have been a matter of years. 5 At this stage, you underwent further training; Q. 6 is that right? 7 A. Absolutely. 8 Q. You say that included classroom training as well 9 as electronic and paper handouts; is that right? 10 A. From memory, yes. Q. You also have a recollection of meeting members 11 of the Criminal Law Team in your training; is 12 13 that right? A. Yes, in Croydon, Impact House, as they were 14 15 based at the time, yes. 16 Q. You say at paragraph 21.2 of your statement that 17 you recall training sessions from both in and 18 out of house lawyers as well as training staff 19 from the Post Office Investigation Department; 20 is that right? 21 A. Yes, it is. 22 Q. Can you recall roughly how long you were 23 an Assistant Investigator before you became 24 a substantive lead Investigator?

to that, please, it's page 9, and scrolling down, please, to (iii), you say: "The investigator role was regionally based.

25 Α.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

My training detailed above was supplemented by significant levels of supervision and general oversight. In this role I was performing the role of lead investigator and discharging activity from interviews under caution through to case paper and committal preparation. To the best of my knowledge all my tenure in this capacity was pre-Horizon."

It would have been two, three years, something

12 Is it right, then, that you stopped 13 conducting investigations yourself before the 14 introduction of the Horizon system in the year 15

A. Yes, it is. I certainly recall that to the 16 17 extent, if I may add, that I think I was deployed doing other activity when formal 18 19 Horizon training was being undertaken and I had 20 to have, effectively, a supplementary oversight 21 course to that.

22 Q. From the position of being a Regional Lead 23 Investigator, is it right that you then became 24 an Investigation Team leader, also regionally?

25 I do wonder if that wasn't superseded by another Α.

11

like that. 1

2 Q. You deal with becoming a lead Investigator at 3 paragraph 16 of your statement. Could we have 4 that on screen, please, it is page 6 of WITN08170100. You say here: 5

6 "Again, I would need reference to Post 7 Office HR details, but I then became 8 a substantive lead investigator. This move was 9 supported by additional training and my lead 10 work (first officer interviews, file preparation 11 and so on) was highly supervised to the extent 12 that even grammatical errors within reports 13 would be returned for correction -- that was the 14 standard of supervision. Even after this 15 promotion to lead investigator I remained in 16 supervision for many, many months and certainly 17 longer than for any professional role I have 18 held subsequently. I don't think this was any 19 reflection on my ability to progress at pace, it 20 was just the way it was. There was 21 a recognition that the role was unique and 22 required a high level of interpersonal skill and 23 confidence to deploy effectively."

> You deal further with this role at paragraph 21(iii) your statement. Could we turn

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

14

21

22

23

24

25

15 16 your statement. Scrolling down a little, 17 18 19 20

"I don't recall me holding National Investigator role (I thought this was a title afforded to a former line manager Mr Tony Utting). There was a period when I was responsible for leading a small team of Investigators, again a regional role. This role was leading on complex investigations and supporting a team to ensure effective casework

role, albeit there was a period obviously when I became an Investigation Team leader.

Q. Can you recall what that other role might have been in the interim?

A. I know that there was a role where I was

seconded, I think it was for around about 12 months, on the creation of Post Office Limited. So that was project management work, working from London. As I say, I don't know if that came before or after -- I apologise, I don't know if that came before or after the

team leader role. Certainly, yes, became

a substantive Investigation Team Leader.

You deal with the team leader role and the national roles you held at paragraph 21(iv) of

please, you say:

1		delivery. Again, this was mainly pre-Horizon	1		I certainly held a role with that title, yes.
2		and was interspaced with a period supporting	2	Q.	Looking, please, to the last section towards the
3		Tony Utting as National Investigation Manager	3		bottom of the page, "Role of Assurance", to the
4		for Post Office Limited; I also supported	4		last bullet point, this is:
5		Mr Utting at this time with the draft of policy	5		"To provide assurance to the National
6		documents and also was seconded for a 12-month	6		Internal Crime Manager in own areas of
7		period as a project head to the creation of Post	7		accountability."
8		Office Limited from Post Office Counters."	8		Is this the role you were referring to in
9	A.	Correct.	9		your statement when you say you supported Tony
10	Q.	In terms of the role you held supporting Tony	10		Utting as a National Investigation Manager?
11		Utting with the draft of policy documents, there	11	A.	It is, I've clearly used the wrong title.
12		is a document which has been provided to you	12		Apologies, yes.
13		relatively recently which may assist with dating	13	Q.	So do you think it is this role that involved
14		this role. Could we have that on screen,	14		you supporting Mr Utting with the draft of
15		please. The reference is POL00166569. This	15		policy documents?
16		document is undated but it appears to set out	16	A.	As required, yes.
17		the roles and responsibilities of a role you	17	Q.	At the top of this document, please, the "Scope
18		held at one point, that of Internal Crime Policy	18		of Area" is set out. The first thing listed is
19		and Standards Manager. Do you recognise this	19		"MI access/flows/adequacy". Can you help with
20		document now?	20		what "MI" is an acronym for?
21	A.	I do, yes.	21	A.	Management information.
22	Q.	Did you hold this role, Internal Crime Policy	22	Q.	Then the second bullet point there, "Legal
23		and Standards Manager?	23		Services (Criminal Law) conduit" and "Internal
24	A.	I did. I wonder how much of the substantive	24		crime risks and issues". Under the "Role of
25		role actually undertook those deliverables but 13	25		User for Own Operations Teams", that first 14
4		handing thouse this.	4		has a shared in a 2
1		heading, there's this: "Ensure that MI flows and sources are	1	٨	branch trading?
2		identified that facilitate the identification	2	Α.	I'm assuming it is but, again, I have no
3					recollection that I deployed any element of that.
4		and prosecution of internal crime."	4 5	Q.	
5 6		Second bullet point:	6	Q.	of Horizon
7		"Establish the adequacy of MI flows in the support of the above."	7	A.	Yes.
		• •	8		trying to date this document.
8 9		Thirdly: "Ensure that MI access is identified to	9	Q. A.	Yes.
10		enable data retrieval in line with demands	10	Q.	The Inquiry understands branch trading to have
11		above."	11	Q.	been introduced in around 2005. Does that fit
12		Pausing there, can you help with what data	12		with your recollection of things?
13		was to be retrieved?	13	A.	
14	Α.	I sorry, I simply, reading that, I don't	14	Α.	be slightly earlier but, if that's the case,
15	Α.	recall that. I think that's an element of the	15		that's the case.
16		role that was clearly there within the design of	16	Q.	
17		the role that just didn't come through to	17	Œ.	to establish the legal status of the branch
18		fruition. I don't recall at that stage having	18		trading statement. Can you recall why there was
19		a substantive role where I'd be the conduit for	19		a need to establish the legal status of the
20		MI access at all. Sorry.	20		branch trading statement?
21	^	•	21	۸	_
22	Q.	The next bullet point says this: "Through Legal Services (Criminal Law Team)	21	Α.	And, again, that is an element of the role that I do not think came through to fruition. I do
23		establish the legal status of the Branch Trading	23		not recall a piece of work in fact, I don't
24		Statement."	23		know why that would even sit with that type of
25		Is this a reference to the introduction of	25		policy and standards role.
20		15	20		16

1	Q.	Were you given any kind of briefing for this	1	Α.	No, I can't.
2		role?	2	Q.	Looking at the next bullet point please:
3	A.	Well, of course there would have been a job	3		"Through Legal Services (Criminal Law Team)
4		description. There would have been a remit to	4		research alternative criminal charges to
5		that role. But, after the passage of so much	5		accompany change in status of Cash Account to
6		time, I can't say with conviction the precise	6		Trading Statement."
7		elements of the role and its deliverables.	7		Why was there a need to research alternative
8	Q.	In the context of branch trading statements,	8		criminal charges to accompany the change in
9		were events at Marine Drive Post Office,	9		status of cash account to trading statement?
10		relating to a subpostmaster called Lee	10	Α.	I've absolutely no clue. I've not a clue.
11		Castleton, raised with you by anyone, either in	11		Again, I wouldn't know why that would sit with
12		a briefing when you took up the role or at any	12		a policy standards role, it seems completely
13		point before or after you took up this role?	13		outside of that. You know, that should sit
14	A.	From memory, no.	14		firmly with the Criminal Law Team.
15	Q.	To the extent that you can recall, was the focus	15	0	Can you recall there being any discussion of
16	ų.	on the branch trading statement as a result of	16	Œ.	alternative criminal charges?
17		a desire to ensure that the branch trading	17	A.	
		_			
18		statement had the status of an unimpeachable	18	Q.	The next bullet point says this:
19		record of the cash and stock which an Auditor	19		"Comment on trading and communication needs
20		should find when they audited a branch?	20		to operational investigators and other
21	Α.	I don't recall it ever being outlined to me in	21		identified stakeholders."
22	_	that context unfortunately, no.	22		Was this referring to training and
23	Q.	Can you recall what the Criminal Law Team's role	23		communication required because of the
24		was in relation to establishing the legal status	24		introduction of branch trading, or more
25		of the branch trading statement?	25		generally?
		17			18
1	A.	More generally, from recollection.	1		"training & comms requirements (for
2	Q.	Did you provide any comment on what the training	2		operational investigators & other
3		and communication needs for operational	3		stakeholders)."
4		investigators were	4		Then the last section deals with assurance.
5	A.	I would assume that I did during that period,	5		We've touched on that last bullet point already.
6		yes.	6		Could we have paragraph 33 of Mr Pardoe's
7	Q.	The last bullet point here:	7		statement on screen, please. It is page 15 of
8		"Identify and comment on internal crime risk	8		WITN08170100. You say at paragraph 33:
9		issues within scope."	9		"Very early in my Security career I worked
10		Can you recall if there were any new crime	10		with Tony Utting as National Investigation
11		risk issues which you identified on taking up	11		Manager. I held for a very brief period
12		this role?	12		a policy and standards role. I do not recognise
13	Α.	The only thing that springs to mind is if this	13		any of my work from this period in the supplied
14		was towards the cessation of the benefit book as	14		documents. This would certainly have been
15		a method of payment which, from memory, would	15		a pre-Horizon role. Any of my work from that
16		have been around about 2005, then there would	16		time I suspect would predate materials available
17		have been quite a significant tranche of work to	17		to be given to the Inquiry."
18		risk assure the withdrawal of that Post Office	18		Having seen the roles and responsibilities
19		product.	19		document since making your statement, I think
20	Q.	Going to the next section, please, the "Role of	20		you now accept that the policy and standards
20 21	₩.	Planning". It says here you were to:	21		role was, in fact, a role you held after the
22		"Feed into the Planning Team information on:	22		rollout of the Horizon system?
22		"issues	23	٨	•
23 24		risks			Absolutely, yes. Although you could not recognise any of your
-4		6/1611	24	w.	Annough you could not recounise any or your

"concerns

19

policy drafting work in the documents supplied

1	to you by the Inquiry, can you recall what type
2	of policy documents you worked on?

- 3 A. I think, logically, there'd have been documents
- 4 around case file construction, documents of that
- 5 nature. I can't be more specific,
- 6 unfortunately.
- 7 Q. Accepting that it is difficult to recall exact
- 8 dates now, can you recall whether you took up
- 9 the role of Senior Security Manager before or
- 10 after you held the policy and standards role?
- A. I think that would have been a more senior role, 11
- so logically that would have been after the 12
- 13 policy and standards role.
- Q. So assuming that you held the policy and 14
- standards role at the point when branch trading 15
- 16 was introduced in around 2005, would that mean
- 17 you took up the role of Senior Security
- 18 Manager --
- 19 A. It would.
- 20 Q. -- after that?
- 21 A. It would.

- 22 You address at paragraph 22 of your statement
- 23 the varied positions you held when you were
- 24 a Senior Security Manager, which included
- 25 Commercial Security Manager and Fraud Strand or
- 1 lain Murphy. I then think that the role handed
- 2 over to, potentially, Andy Haywood and then
- 3 onwards from that, it's certainly about '10,
- 4 '11, going into '12, where I probably lack some
- 5 clarity around succession.
- 6 Q. Is it right, therefore, that you think you moved
- 7 to the crime, intelligence and administrative
- 8 function titled Grapevine in 2010?
- 9 A. I think it may have been later than that. If
 - I may, the piece that throws me is that there is
- 11 clearly, within the papers, a prosecution
- 12 decision made by myself, there is then
- 13 a prosecution report addressed to Iain Murphy
- 14 but then the decision precedes lain Murphy, so
- 15 I'm confused there about the exact time that
- 16 lain would have been in post and why it appears
- 17 I've ebbed and flowed out of that post.
- Q. If we can have paragraph 20 on screen, please, 18
- 19 it's page 8. Scrolling down a bit, please,
- 20 about halfway down this paragraph. You refer to
- 21 Mr Murphy here and you say, in relation to the
- 22 Senior Security Manager in leading the Fraud
- 23 Strand, you think Mr Murphy held the position
- 24 throughout 2010. So what do you think you were
- 25 doing in 2010?

- 1 Security Operations Manager, the title, it
- 2 seems, varying depending on the terminology used
- 3 to describe this strand of the Security Team; is
- 4 that right?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. Is it right that it was in this role as a Senior
- 7 Security Manager leading the Fraud or Security
- 8 Operations strand of the Security Team, that you
- 9 made decisions on prosecutions as the nominated
- 10 representative?
- A. I believe so, yes. 11
- 12 A position that we've also heard referred to as
- 13 the designated prosecuting authority?
- 14 Correct.
- 15 Q. You say that your training continued when you
- 16 held these roles, and you qualified as
- 17 a Proceeds of Crime Act Senior Appropriate
- 18 Officer; is that right?
- 19 A. It is.
- 20 Q. At paragraph 20 of your statement, you suggest
- 21 that lain Murphy was the Fraud Strand leader for
- 22 a period throughout 2010 and you think he was
- 23 your successor; is that right?
- 24 A. I do and, from recollection, this is where the
- 25 waters become muddied, so there would have been

- 1 That's the bit I'm really struggling to
- 2 recollect.
- 3 Q. You say in this paragraph that you think you
- 4 returned to Security Operations for a period in
- 5 2011; is that right?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 But you say you had certainly taken up the
- 8 substantive Grapevine Senior Security Manager
- 9 role by 2012?
- A. Correct. 10
- 11 Q. We'll come on to the detail of that role in due
- 12 course. Finally, for around nine months before
- 13 you left the Post Office in late 2014, you say
- 14 you were removed from your role, and you deal
- 15 with this at paragraph 22(v) of your statement.
- 16 It's page 12, please. 17
 - You say:

18 "Around 9 months or so before I left in late 19 2014, I was effectively being removed from my role and asked to design a structure that would 20 21 again support a reduction in headcount as well 22 as accommodate my departure. I wasn't exactly 23 placed on gardening leave, but my operational 24 career with Post Office was at an end and I was

more or less omitted from all other activity." 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Restructuring and repeated reductions in headcount feature in a number of places in your statement. Could we go back, please, to page 6 of the statement, to paragraph 17. Here you say this:

"There followed a further series of team restructures, building moves and boundary moves. The function throughout the years if not decades always struck me as an easy target to drive headcount reduction. Indeed, later in my career I was performing the role of consultation manager for a long serving colleague who had been subject to redundancy. I was to follow a party line around change being a business necessity ... when the colleague abruptly stopped me and proceeded to produce a piece of paper and recount the 14 restructures they had been personally impacted by since joining the Post Office -- the majority in the Security field. That was the regularity of structure change."

You address this theme further at paragraph 30 of your statement. Could we go to that, please. It's page 14. You say here:

"Function changes could be significant.

25

support to counter and evidence suspected employee theft."

This retrograde step had a direct impact on subpostmasters who experienced an apparent loss in branch and suspected their staff of theft, didn't it?

7 A. Absolutely.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

- 8 Q. Was it a step taken to save money?
- 9 A. Yes, it was.
- 10 Q. To your knowledge, where there was a shortfall
 11 in a subpostmaster's branch and the evidence
 12 pointed to theft on the part of a member of
 13 a subpostmaster's staff, was it the Post
 14 Office's approach to seek to recover the
 15 shortfall under the subpostmaster's contract?
- 16 A. On every occasion.
- 17 Q. Before the resourcing change you address at
 18 paragraph 43, would the Post Office have
 19 prosecuted the staff member and sought to
 20 recover the money from them directly through the
 21 criminal courts?
- A. From memory, yes. So the logical steps that
 would be taken would be an out-of-hours onsite
 meeting with the subpostmaster, a review of the
 trading accounts, at which that stage would be

I seem to recall organisational charts with 80 or so colleagues, quickly depleted over the years. There were several significant changes that led to sizeable redundancies, strand renaming and functional job titles changing."

Do you think that the depletion of numbers within the Security team had a detrimental impact on the adequacy and sufficiency of investigations.

10 A. Without a shadow of a doubt.

11 **Q.** One example you give in your statement of 12 resourcing changes impacting upon the scope of 13 investigation work is at paragraph 43. Could we 14 have that on screen, please. It's page 18. You 15 say:

"There was also a period when resources would have been applied to suspected thefts from SPMR assistants. By this I mean cash thefts and not simply fraud against customers and/or clients. This ceased when I was new in role and ended with the transition of Districts to Regions that I mention earlier in the statement. On an aside, I do think that was a retrograde step as it left SPMRs isolated and with the unenviable task of attempting to engender police

paper based, daily and weekly trading accounts and then, logically, there would ordinarily be -- a covert camera would be installed at branch.

When we referred to the stage when I was the liaison officer, that would be another element of my role. I would view the ensuing video product, as and when theft was identified -- and I must add, in the majority of cases, theft was identified -- and that product would be passed into the Post Office Investigation Department for their direct investigation.

- Q. Was a material factor in the resourcing change
 a view within the Post Office that it was easier
 to recovery money from a subpostmaster under
 their contract than to go after the thief
 directly?
- A. I think element, yes, but I think the primary
 driver, which has already been touched on, is
 that that is undoubtedly a resource-hungry
 approach and a decision was taken some way above
 my pay grade that that was no longer a suitable
 application of investigation resource.
- Q. Were you or your colleagues ever consulted aboutbusiness restructures or reductions in

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1		headcount?
2	A.	So if I can just break that down. In terms of
3		consultation, we would be very much handed the
4		fait accompli. So I tended to take a lead on
5		the majority of the restructures once I reached
6		a suitable grade. You would be told that there
7		would be a number of hours and an associated
8		financial cost that had to be lost from the
9		function, and I would go away and work with
10		other strand leads and with the Head of Security
11		about repopulating a function that would achieve
12		those cost reduction demands.
13	Q.	Did you ever raise concerns about the impact of
14		restructuring or headcount reductions with your

15 seniors?

16 Α. Absolutely.

17 Can you elaborate on that?

18 There was a step taken on every occasion that it 19 was better to put your hand up and volunteer to 20 lose resource, rather than wait for the business 21 to come after you and demand that that resource 22 be left -- be reduced. So we would always be on 23 the front foot of almost offering up resource. 24 And, to my mind, there was no correlation 25 between the resource we were offering up and the

demands on the function in terms of the numbers of cases that were being raised and the demands on individual Investigators. And I think both myself and other senior security personnel had some real concerns about the functions that were being lost and the increased demands that were being placed on Investigators, particularly as

Obviously, there were other functions of the Security family that were being impacted but certainly on the operational Investigators. Because it just -- it followed no logic, you know. This was not a function that was experienced in less caseload. There were some significant changes going on for subpostmasters during this time. UK Plc were changing in the way that they were customers of the Post Office, so that gone were the days when customers would queue up every quarter to pay a phone bill or a gas bill, or queue up every six months to pay a car tax.

The result of that is that, in my opinion and fact, is that subpostmasters' salaries were reducing year on year. That increased the financial demand. Logically, that could

1 increase the demand on an investigation function 2 because of wrongdoing. So there's a complete 3 anathema there that that then would then lead to 4 a function that's reducing in size because 5 obviously activity would have to cease. 6 Q. What was the response of those you raised

7 concerns with? Α. We marched on regardless and we lost the heads

8 9 accordingly.

10 Q. I'm sorry, can you say that last bit again?

We marched on regardless and we lost the heads 11 12 accordingly.

13 Q. What was it that led to you being removed from your role nine months before your departure?

14 A. I said removal: so this is not a physical 15 removal. This was just -- you just sense and 16 you know that you are being omitted from future 17 plans, from future strategic development. So 18 19 I'd still be within the workplace but it's just 20 a sense, isn't it? You just know that, I guess, 21 you know, my light was about to extinguish and 22 that I was -- by that stage I'd had a leaving 23 date from the business and that I knew that

24

25

Q.

this plan for you had been formed?

2 I think it was common practice, to be honest. 3 I'd seen it before with other peers, that once, 4 if you want, they were out of favour, that there 5 would be almost a discarding of them, they were 6 no longer seen to be useful and that it was just 7 a matter of time until they exited the business 8 and the function just continued.

9 Q. Do you know why you were out of favour?

10 A. I think we use an expression, don't we, about falling out of love. I was incredibly proud of 11 my Post Office career. I was proud of the 12 13 things that I'd achieved, the way that I'd 14 developed personally and professionally.

> I became tired with the prevailing culture. I became more conscious of the impact that the culture was having on both my peers' direct reports and direct reports to direct reports. I became more sensitive as an individual, in that the decisions that we were quite coldly making were having significant personal impacts on individuals, and I think it's probably fair to say that it's a culture I wanted to step away

With hindsight -- and hindsight's always 32

Did you get any impression of why it was that 31

I would be leaving that business imminently.

1		20:20 it's probably a decision that I should
2		have taken some years previously.
3	Q.	You also say at paragraph 20 of your statement
4		that you have an issue with several prosecution
5		decision-making activities and subsequent
6		inputs, which you address later in your
7		statement. We'll come on to those parts of your
8		statement in more detail in due course but,
9		broadly speaking, do these relate, in the main,
10		to the messaging of the Post Office around the
11		reliability of the Horizon system?

12 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

13 Q. No. What do the concerns or did the concerns
14 with the prosecution decision-making activities
15 and subsequent inputs relate to?

16 A. I think it's a -- sorry, if there's any
 17 confusion. I think it refers to the continuity
 18 of my involvement once the decision had been
 19 made.

20 Q. Can you explain that a little more?

A. Absolutely. So, yes, I could have been in that
post. Again, we come to that 2010/11 when
a decision has been made. Again, without
a definitive suite of organisational charts,
I don't know when I would have left that role.

33

which I guess is somewhat distasteful, but we'd -- irrespective of the support that we'd give an individual. So this was all -- if you'd look at the Investigator, this was always a role that it was accepted there would be a significant lead-in time to perform competently. But there would be an expression that would be used if an individual was "tackle shy", and what that would translate to is that an individual could not quite get over that hurdle of making introductions to a suspect, sitting them down, performing a competent interview, if that whole part of the process just filled them with so much turmoil because of what they were facing, that they just couldn't perform the role effectively.

I know of at least two, if not three individuals, who, probably even after the probationary period, we had to draw a line in the sand, almost, with one of them, for their own benefit, to say "This clearly is not working, you're full -- you know, the anxiety that you're exhibiting, you know, even with the thought of sitting somebody down and interviewing them is so much so that, you know,

So a decision could be made, there then could,
say, be a request for further evidence or there
could be a twist and turn in that
decision-making process. I may not have been in
post then to actually see that through to
fruition.

Q. You refer at paragraph 19 of your statement to

7 **Q.** You refer at paragraph 19 of your statement to having worked with some individuals at the Post
9 Office who were highly capable and committed to doing their role to the best of their ability,
11 and others who were less so and would be managed accordingly. Can you recall any examples of an individual being less capable and committed to their role?

15 If I can ask you to pause there.
 16 (Pause for fire alarm)
 17 Our apologies for that interruption.

My question before the alarm was: can you recall any examples of an individual being less capable and committed to their role, with the effect that they were managed?

22 **A.** Absolutely, yes.

Q. Would you share those with us or an example withus?

25 **A.** Absolutely. So we used to use an expression,

34

1 the view is taken that the role is not going to 2 be for you". And that happen on at least two 3 occasions, if not three. 4 Q. Could we have on screen, please, paragraph 13 of 5 Mr Pardoe's statement, this page 4. So this is 6 going back to the period in time in relation to 7 your training that you received when you were 8 an Assistant Investigator, so the bottom of 9 paragraph 12 before there, and at paragraph 13 10 you say this about your training: 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"This period saw me receive significantly more training both formally and informally. It's difficult to recall the timeline, but at various stages in an operational role I received classroom investigative training, internally and externally delivered courses around search awareness (using Metropolitan Police facilities), interviewing course with Lancashire Constabulary, PACE training, RIPA awareness and CPIA awareness. Elements such as PACE, RIPA, HRA and CPIA were supplemented with various materials that were intended for retention.

PACE and CPIA Codes of Practice would have been available within every interview kit. I still recall having the codes in my investigation kit.

35

4		la nua Part Office consent la las studied and	4	to to make the control of the contro
1		In my Post Office career, I also studied and	1	interviews. Logically, y
2		passed my Proceeds of Crime Act Senior	2	up-to-date copy of PAC
3		Appropriate Officer. This was a pass fail	3	Codes of Practice. You
4		one-week course delivered by the National Police	4	Police Almanac, as wel
5		Improvement Agency."	5	be a variety of blank te
6		The training you describe here, with the	6	useful for any particular
7		exception of your POCA training, was this all	7	along with the Codes o
8		training you received as an Investigator	8	Almanac.
9		pre-Horizon?). How was this kit used?
10	Α.	From memory, yes, and, logically, it would have		. Everywhere with you.
11	_	been.	11	doing an operational in
12	Q.	So at the time you were an Investigator, you	12	have resulted in an inte
13		recall there being copies of the PACE and CPIA	13	would use a two-tape N
14		Codes of Practice available in an interview kit;	14	well as the tape record
15		is that right?	15	carry I think the vern
16	A.	So CPIA, you need to refresh my memory, is that	16	kit", you would carry thi
17	_	'97, is it?	17	traditionally, it would ha
18	Q.	'96.	18	boot of Investigators' ca
19	A.	'96, then, yes, there would have been.	19	various operational act
20	Q.	What was an interview kit?). When you were a Seni
21	A.	So an interview kit would be a ring binder,	21	Investigators still provid
22		similar to the one I've got in front of me, you	22	PACE and CPIA Codes
23		would have witness statements in there, you'd	23	kit?
24		have bank authority disclosures blanks in there.		. Absolutely. So if there'
25		You'd have the introduction to tape recorded 37	25	that, that would have b
1		Investigators and then new hires to the function	1 A	. I was aware of them, yo
2		traditionally would have been given that through	2	recall what format they
3		their formal training.	3	available to the Investig
4	Q.	You refer at paragraph 35 of your statement to		Do you think they were
5	α.	a suite of policies and procedural standards,	5	Investigators or not?
6		listing the legislation and codes you refer to		. I couldn't answer that w
7		at paragraph 13 here. Where was this suite of	7	unfortunately.
8		policies and procedural standards held and how		Both when you were ar
9		would Investigators access this material, so	9	were a Senior Security
10		both when you were an Investigator and later,	10	method for updating In
11		when you were a Senior Security Manager?	11	in the law or applicable
12	Α.	I think, from memory, it was electronic.	12 A	
13	Q.	Was there an electronic repository for training	13	were still part of the Gr
14	Œ.	materials which Investigators could access in	14	that would have been a
15		the same way as that suite of policy documents	15	at later stages, I seem
16		could be?	16	have come through the
17	Α.	I seem to recall that there was.		. You deal in a little more
18	Q.	You don't mention the Attorney General's	18	training you had in inte
19	₩.	Guidelines on disclosure at paragraph 13 of your	19	paragraph 62 of your s
20		statement, which may be explained because you're	20	clear there that the cou
۷_		Statement, windin may be explained because you're	20	orear arere arat are cou

22

23

24

25

referring there to training pre-Horizon, so

yourself, were you aware of the Attorney

General's Guidelines on Disclosure?

pre-2000, but when you were in the roles you

held after you stopped conducting investigations

39

ou'd have the most CE, you'd have the CPIA u may carry with you the II, in there. So there'd emplates that could be r investigation activity of Practice and Police Where did you take it? So if you were going out vestigation that could erview under caution, we Neal tape recorder and, as ler with you, you would acular was the "tackle is kit with you. So, ave been kept in the ars, ready to be used on tivities. ior Security Manager, were ded with copies of the s of Practice and an interview 'd been any change to een given to existing 38 es, but I'm struggling to would have been gators. available to vith absolute accuracy, n Investigator and when you Manager, was there any vestigators about changes codes or guidance? earlier stages when we oup, I seem to recall a group function, and then to recall that would Criminal Law Team. e detail with the rviews and search at statement, and you make ırses you attended 21 personally and reference in your statement may 22 well have subsequently been made obsolete or 23 replaced by other training interventions.

> investigative interviewing was done under 40

In particular, your training on

24

Lancashire Constabulary and your search course was led by the group trainers at the Met Police training college, using their search houses; is that right? A. It is right, yes. Q. Do you know whether that external provided training continued after the year 2000, so when you were in other roles? A. I'm fairly sure that the relationship with Lancs Constab didn't continue. That was particularly looking at a piece model for investigative interviews. I'm fairly sure that didn't continue. The search awareness piece using Met Police facilities, I'm not sure about that one. I think there may have been -- it was a key area for Investigators. I think there may have been a replacement to that one. I'm unsure whether that continued to use Met facilities. Q. You undertook some further academic learning

yourself, didn't you, culminating in the

Q. Is it right that that was completed with the

management?

A. That's correct.

completion of an MSc in security and risk

submission in September 2000 of an academic

stolen money to travel the world watching
Formula One. Subpostmaster who had stolen
money, travelled Europe with a Michelin Guide to
Fine Dining. So they were, at the top tranche
highly, highly, acquisitive.

I dealt with a sub office assistant who was on the equivalent of minimum wage who was renting a Georgian manor house with a swimming pool. I still remember that with some clarity. I remember disclosing that pre-interview with his legal representative and his legal repactually put his head in the hands because I disclosed the estate agent's details to where his client was renting. So that's very much at the top tier

The second category I've already touched on these, and these were absolute victims of us, as customers of the Post Office changing. My father was a subpostmaster, it's within the statement, he'd been a subpostmaster since the 1950s. I remember talking to my father before he passed -- and that would have been in the 2000s -- and he would tell me that in the 1960s, '70s, '80s, he enjoyed financial pay parity at a level that his professional acquaintances,

study on the reasons why subpostmasters committed offences, including theft?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. Was the study based on your experiences as5 an Investigator with the Post Office?

A. So it was partially that, and it was also
 through legitimised access to historic
 investigation reports and précis of interviews.

 $\,$ **Q.** What were the key themes covered in your study?

A. So the study looked at verbalisation and
 rationalisation, and it proposed that there were
 three main types of offenders that the Post
 Office were dealing with during that period.
 Would you like me to go through those, or?

15 Q. If you'd like to, yes.

A. Absolutely. So number 1, I think I detailed
within the dissertation, were highly
acquisitive, so these would include
subpostmasters who had direct links with
organised crime groups, or were being influenced
by organised crime groups. I go into some
details around that.

I particularly recall dealing with a subpostmaster who had stolen money to buy a Ferrari. I dealt with a subpostmaster who had

doctors, dentists, lawyers, were also enjoying.
That level of remuneration was completely
changing. Postmasters were paid a form of
commission, the more transactions that were
conducted, the higher their salary would be and,
year on year, salaries were dropping.

So that second tranche of individuals that I was actually talking about during the dissertation were purely forced into an offending cycle because they were seeing the Post Office salary reduce year on year.

And the Post Office, not too subtly, went away from saying to potential subpostmasters "Become a postmaster and have a fantastic standard of living, based solely on Post Office salary". They shifted towards saying, rather, "Become a postmaster because it's a fantastic opportunity to drive additional footfall into what should be your buoyant retail business". They are two completely different propositions.

And around about this period leading up to the dissertation, we were dealing with a number of subpostmasters -- I go on in my statement to say that we were dealing with repeat subpostmasters, at the same site on some

occasions, who were just simply victims of a dwindling Post Office remuneration without the benefit of a buoyant retail side to prop up the viability of that business.

So that was very much the second tranche.

At that time, there had been a number of rudimentary audit models that were being deployed, really basic models that had something I like a return rate of around about 70, 80 per cent. I was running one myself in North West/North Wales.

So there was no machine learning or Al in these models, these were simple models, saying to an Area Manager "Report back if you go into a sub post office and the retail side is depleted of stock", "Report if you're going into a CTN" -- confection, tobacco, newsagents -- "with a sub post office and there's no daily newspapers", because the newspaper suppliers put you on stop very quickly if you fail to pay your newspaper bills. And the return rate on that was absolutely staggering, that was an incredibly busy time for the Post Office.

That was tranche number 2.

Tranche number 3 would be individuals who

see dawn on that individual that their downfall was wholly attributed to either theft by family member, theft by assistant, and you'd left that family at the end of the day in complete and utter turmoil.

And out of everything I dealt with within my Post Office career, that's the one thing that changed me as an individual, and probably not for the better, because I'd walk away from a situation and you'd just say "How can anybody willingly, knowingly, place an individual in this type of insidious position?" And I remember we dealt with -- it was quite ironic, we dealt with a whole series of cases where it was the younger son, youngest, and he would just absolutely, for want of a better word, pillage the Post Office: the older son would want to take all the blame for whatever reason; and it would -- there were just -- it was such a difficult series of investigations to deal with.

I say, I think, in the statement from memory -- I can't locate a copy of the dissertation, unfortunately -- but that was certainly a significant element of the three you would interview under caution, who would admit readily their involvement in falsification of, at the time, paper-based accounts but would deny wholeheartedly being responsible for the thefts. And I always found those the most difficult out of the three to deal with. I had very little sympathy or empathy with that top tier. If you're stealing money to buy a Ferrari or a Porsche, you deserve everything that's coming your way. I had sympathy with the second tranche, who were victims of a change in UK Plc and changing model of remuneration.

But that third bit was incredibly difficult to deal with because it felt like, if I was to believe the account, and on many occasions I did believe the account, it became evident that, as you were dealing with that individual, you'd go through the mechanics of the tape recorded interview and our average interview duration would be around about 45 minutes, and they tended, as ironic as it sounds to be quite cordial and, if you were sat down after that interview, and you'd have refreshments whatever, you'd outline the next steps around suspension, transfer of office, you would slowly start to

tranches.

I then break it down -- just to come to an end -- I break it down to a difference between male and female offending as well, at the time. We found very little females who were in that top tranche, where the thefts were purely just for greed. We found more in categories 2 and 3, and that's a brief summary of the dissertation.

10 Q. The title of your study was "There must be some
 11 mistake". Is it fair to say that your study was
 12 proceeding on the premise that there was no
 13 mistake?

A. Absolutely. Absolutely, yes. I think it was more -- well, it was actually -- somebody actually said that to me, so when we sat down and they were logically asking about next steps, and you would go through, quite legitimately -- there'd be nothing outside of PACE, outside of a captured recorded interview, you'd go through the logical next steps. So "The logic is you'll be suspended from duty, you'll be asked to offer your premises to an interim subpostmaster, the arrangements between you and them as regards rent is a private matter between you and them",

- so on and so on, and an individual actually said 1 2 to me, "There's just got to be some mistake". 3 Q. You say in your statement at paragraph 22(iii)
- 4 that training continued when you were a Senior
- 5 Security Manager. What training did you receive
- 6 when you were a Senior Security Manager in
- addition to the POCA training? 7
- 8 A. Can I see that paragraph for context, please?
- 9 Q. Yes. It is page 11 of the document on screen --
- 10 A. Thank you.
- Q. -- and subparagraph (iii). 11
- A. Thank you. I've got that one, thank you. So, 12
- 13 save for the POCA Senior Appropriate Officer,
- 14 I think there was some additional training on
- 15 evidence in court. I think I probably just
- 16 tagged along to that one because I had team
- 17 leaders who were attending and a number of
- 18 Investigators.
- 19 It's fair to say that there would have been
- 20 less formal operational training at that time
- 21 because, at that time, I'd moved away from
- 22 day-to-day operational investigation activity.
- 23 Q. At paragraph 63 of your statement, you say that
- 24 all Investigators would have received
- 25 instruction in the use of Horizon. Did you
- 1
- 2
- 3 A. Yeah, so that would have been Investigators
- 4
- 5 applicable to myself.
- 6 Q.
- 7 Investigators?
- 8 Α. Me personally?
- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 A. Not from recollection, no.
- Q. Did you attend the trained provided to 11
- 12 Investigators?
- 13 Α.
- 14 time Post Office had a bespoke training facility
- 15 just outside Rugby. I certainly seem to recall
- 16 going there. It may not have been for the full
- 17 duration but, certainly, those training courses
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 week
- 22
- 24
- supplemented with significant levels of

1 receive instruction or training in the use of

2 Horizon?

11

12

13

14

15

16

24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

25

3 A. I did indeed, and I think I've referred to 4 earlier, I know, for whatever reason, I absented 5 the formal training session, but I seem to

6 recall, on a one-to-one basis, we had a number 7 of counter training schools across the UK. I'm

8 not sure whether I didn't actually go to Chester 9 to actually have some one-to-one training just

10 to gain a brief overview.

We'd also at that time as well -- yes, we would, so during the various bouts of CWU industrial action, as Senior Managers we would be expected to work at Crown branch offices. So I'd have undertaken Horizon activities then, firsthand dealing with customers.

17 You also say at paragraph 63 that you would have 18 envisaged case file construction training for 19 Investigators, including obtaining evidence from 20 third parties, but you say you don't recall any 21 specific reference to Horizon data. Just to be 22 clear, are you talking about your recollection 23 of the training you received or the training

that was provided to Investigators operating

25 under your lead?

50

supervision in terms of operational activity and

Again, could I see that part of the statement?

Q. Yes, it's page 25.

- within the function. That would not have been
- Did you ever provide any training to

- Again, from recollection, yes, I think at that
 - - which were residential in nature, members of the

51

- senior security group would drop into that
- training at various times within that training
- Q. Scrolling down a little, please, to
- 23 paragraph 64. You say here that:
- "Formal training would have been
- 25

case paper construction and submission. This was a role that traditionally had a longer lead in time given the uniqueness of activity

5 undertaken."

> We've looked at an earlier paragraph of your statement where you stress that you had a high

level of supervision when you started

investigatory roles. Was that the case for 9

10 Investigators operating under your lead 11 throughout the time you were in Security

12 Operations?

- 13 I think probably a lot of my experiences were by
- 14 virtue of the person who was being mentored at
- 15 the time. I can't imagine many of the new
- 16 Investigators would see a written report
- 17 underlined in red and thrown across the room to
- 18 them. I think it was probably just by virtue of
- 19 different era, a different type of personality
- 20 that I was being mentored with at the time, who
- 21 certainly wouldn't hold back from niceties of
- 22 giving you a constructive sandwich, in terms of 23 feedback. The casework literally would be
- 24 physically thrown back at you and you were told
 - to sort out if there were grammatical issues

1 within the paperwork, or anything of a similar 2 ilk. 3 But I nevertheless -- remove the differences 4 in decades to one side, I would expect that new 5 incumbents would have had a decent level of 6 supervision. It was always recognised, if you 7 weren't coming into the function from 8 an investigative or policing background, this 9 was not a function that you were expected to be 10 up on your feet in a short few months. It was a completely unique role and there was some 11 12 cognisance and allowance given for that fact. 13 MS PRICE: Sir, I've finished one topic and I wonder 14 whether that might be a convenient moment for 15 the morning break, just a short 15-minute break, 16 if we may, please. 17 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, sure. MS PRICE: I don't know what your watch says, sir, 18 19 the clock here is a little fast on counsel's 20

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, it's actually 10.26 21 22 according to me, so 10.40? 23 MS PRICE: Yes, sir, thank you.

24 (10.27 am)

7

8

9

22

23

24

25

25 (A short break) 53

1 something instinctively that you were aware of, 2 if a case had been produced where there was that 3 prima facie evidence of wrongdoing, then it 4 would go up to Criminal Law Team for the advice 5 as regards the prospect of realistic prospect of 6 conviction, in terms of prosecution.

Q. Were you aware of any discussions within the Security Team in the context of action against Post Office employees or agents about the deterrent effect of prosecution? 10

Α. Absolutely, yes. 11

12 **Q.** What was the substance of those discussions?

13 Α. So there was a period in the function where the 14 function started to rely quite heavily on 15 academic underpinnings. If you look at the 16 conditions that exist to entailing what's 17 referred to as a motivated offender, one of 18 those things that needs to be in presence is 19 the -- what's called the absence of a capable 20 guardian, and that can be a physical individual, 21 an entity that stops you from doing something.

> Likewise, it was recognised that a policy that was unwavering in its approach towards prosecution could act as that capable guardian but then, hopefully, would influence correctly

1 (10.41 am)

MS PRICE: Hello, sir. Can you see and hear us?

3 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you, yes.

MS PRICE: Mr Pardoe, in terms of policy, when you 4 5 first started as an Investigator, were you given 6 a copy of any documents setting out the Post

7 Office Prosecution Policy?

8 A. I suspect I must have been. I don't have that 9 detail of recollection but, logically, I must 10 have been given that policy. If I may, I think 11 that was a group policy at the time. So yes,

I would have been given that policy. 12

13 Q. Did anyone cover what the prosecution policy was 14 in your initial training or set out a rationale

15

16 A. Again, initial training, I don't recall, 17 unfortunately, that level of detail. I'd be 18 surprised if it wasn't touched upon but I don't 19 recall the specifics, sorry.

20 Q. Later, when you were a Senior Security Manager, 21 do you recall any discussion of the prosecution 22 policy and the rationale for it or were you

23 given any briefing about that?

24 I think, by that stage, I'd have been in the 25 function for some time. It would have just been

1 those individuals who may be tempted to commit 2 wrongdoing against the business. And I think 3 it's probably fair to say that that was being 4 recognised, during that time, as being something 5 that was important to set the scene, set the

6 standard and, as I say, act, as that 7 policy-driven capable guardian.

8 Q. To what extent was this a relevant factor for 9 those making decisions, including you, on

10 whether or not to prosecute?

19

20

21

22

11 A. I don't know if that actual element would be 12 a relevant factor and I know I cover it in the 13 statement. From my perspective, offering that 14 sign-off towards prosecution, wrongly or 15 rightly, I suspect for me -- and I suspect other 16 signatories in the period as well -- was almost 17 a fait accompli, dependent on what the Criminal 18 Law Team were actually advising.

I never felt in a position, certainly not professionally, to go into absolute battle with Criminal Law Team around a decision that they'd made in terms of prosecution.

23 Q. At any point that you had involvement in Post 24 Office investigations and prosecutions, did you 25 understand that the Post Office was unusual, in 56

1		that it was the alleged victim of the crimes
2		which it investigated, it investigated such
3		suspected crimes itself and it decided whether
4		to prosecute such suspected crimes itself?
5	A.	Absolutely, and I think, if I recall, that was
6		covered at various iterations of formal training
7		that would have been given to Investigators.
8	Q.	So it was victim, investigator and prosecutor?
9	A.	Correct.
10	Q.	At the time, were you conscious of the risks
11		that may arise on account of the Post Office
12		being simultaneously victim, investigator and
13		prosecutor?
14	A.	With honesty, not at the time, but obviously
15		I am now.
16	Q.	When you look at it now, do you see the risk
17		that might attach in particular to the Post
18		Office's disclosure obligations as a prosecutor
19		or its use of expert evidence?
20	A.	Absolutely.
21	Q.	Were these risks ever discussed within the
22		Security Team, as far as you're aware?
23	A.	Never.
24	Q.	Were they acknowledged in any Post Office or
25		Royal Mail policy that you have seen?
		57
1		you got cally deal with that two of case. No
1		you actually deal with that type of case. No,
2	^	there was nothing. Did you consider, at the time you worked within
4	Q.	the Security Team, the extent to which
5		prosecutions served the Post Office's broader
6		commercial interests, such as the reduction of
7		debt and the increase of income?
8	Α.	Not so much that, no. But, certainly, to go
9	Α.	back to the earlier point, that whole concept of
10		capable guardian, ie something that exists that
11		hopefully drives individuals to keep, as we saw
12		at the time, onto the straight and narrow, I saw
13		that as being more paramount than a focus on
14		actually balancing recovery versus loss in that
15		commercial way.
16	Q.	The Inquiry has heard evidence that in late 2005
17	ų.	
		you put Paul Whitaker and Mick Matthews forward for a new role for the Security Team, that of
18 19		Financial Investigator; is that right?
20	Α.	Paul Whitaker?
20		Paul Whitaker and Mick Matthews. It was
4 I	w.	i aui vviillanci aliu iviich ivialliicws. Il was

1	Α.	Not to my knowledge, no.
2	Q.	Were any particular steps taken, to your
3		knowledge, in recognition of these risks by way
4		of training for Investigators, first of all?
5	Α.	In terms of sorry, can you just expand on
6		that, please?
7	Q.	Were any steps taken, to your knowledge, in
8	Œ.	recognition of these risks in training for
9		Investigators?
10	A.	Not to my knowledge, no, other than obviously
11		going through the mechanics of case preparation.
12		Were any particular steps taken in your training
13		in relation to being a prosecution decision
14		maker?
15		So I recall being given guidance during a period
16		when I was acting for one of the security leads,
17		so I wouldn't have been promoted substantively
18		to the level of signing those off. I remember
19		acting for a period and I also remember
20		discussions around responsibilities with one or
21		other of the principal lawyers within the
22		Criminal Law Team at the time.
23		But, certainly, there was no nothing
24		I could point to that said this was a formal
25		training brief or formal <i>aide memoire</i> about how 58
1	Α.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously
1 2	A.	
	A.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously
2	A.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall
2	A.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role.
2 3 4	A. Q.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role. Thought at the time there would have been Ged
2 3 4 5		I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role. Thought at the time there would have been Ged Harbinson
2 3 4 5 6		I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role. Thought at the time there would have been Ged Harbinson Apologies, this is entirely my fault: Ged
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role. Thought at the time there would have been Ged Harbinson Apologies, this is entirely my fault: Ged Harbinson?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role. Thought at the time there would have been Ged Harbinson Apologies, this is entirely my fault: Ged Harbinson? Yeah, absolutely, yeah. Mr Harbinson, yes,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role. Thought at the time there would have been Ged Harbinson Apologies, this is entirely my fault: Ged Harbinson? Yeah, absolutely, yeah. Mr Harbinson, yes, definitely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role. Thought at the time there would have been Ged Harbinson Apologies, this is entirely my fault: Ged Harbinson? Yeah, absolutely, yeah. Mr Harbinson, yes, definitely. Mr Harbinson provided evidence that this new
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role. Thought at the time there would have been Ged Harbinson Apologies, this is entirely my fault: Ged Harbinson? Yeah, absolutely, yeah. Mr Harbinson, yes, definitely. Mr Harbinson provided evidence that this new role was campaigned for by you; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role. Thought at the time there would have been Ged Harbinson Apologies, this is entirely my fault: Ged Harbinson? Yeah, absolutely, yeah. Mr Harbinson, yes, definitely. Mr Harbinson provided evidence that this new role was campaigned for by you; is that right? No, I wouldn't there's absolutely no way in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role. Thought at the time there would have been Ged Harbinson Apologies, this is entirely my fault: Ged Harbinson? Yeah, absolutely, yeah. Mr Harbinson, yes, definitely. Mr Harbinson provided evidence that this new role was campaigned for by you; is that right? No, I wouldn't there's absolutely no way in my wildest dreams I'd have had that amount of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role. Thought at the time there would have been Ged Harbinson Apologies, this is entirely my fault: Ged Harbinson? Yeah, absolutely, yeah. Mr Harbinson, yes, definitely. Mr Harbinson provided evidence that this new role was campaigned for by you; is that right? No, I wouldn't there's absolutely no way in my wildest dreams I'd have had that amount of influence within the business to actually design
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role. Thought at the time there would have been Ged Harbinson Apologies, this is entirely my fault: Ged Harbinson? Yeah, absolutely, yeah. Mr Harbinson, yes, definitely. Mr Harbinson provided evidence that this new role was campaigned for by you; is that right? No, I wouldn't there's absolutely no way in my wildest dreams I'd have had that amount of influence within the business to actually design and to populate a role like that. Absolutely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role. Thought at the time there would have been Ged Harbinson Apologies, this is entirely my fault: Ged Harbinson? Yeah, absolutely, yeah. Mr Harbinson, yes, definitely. Mr Harbinson provided evidence that this new role was campaigned for by you; is that right? No, I wouldn't there's absolutely no way in my wildest dreams I'd have had that amount of influence within the business to actually design and to populate a role like that. Absolutely not, no. There would have been I thought
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role. Thought at the time there would have been Ged Harbinson Apologies, this is entirely my fault: Ged Harbinson? Yeah, absolutely, yeah. Mr Harbinson, yes, definitely. Mr Harbinson provided evidence that this new role was campaigned for by you; is that right? No, I wouldn't there's absolutely no way in my wildest dreams I'd have had that amount of influence within the business to actually design and to populate a role like that. Absolutely not, no. There would have been I thought those functions existed within Group.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role. Thought at the time there would have been Ged Harbinson Apologies, this is entirely my fault: Ged Harbinson? Yeah, absolutely, yeah. Mr Harbinson, yes, definitely. Mr Harbinson provided evidence that this new role was campaigned for by you; is that right? No, I wouldn't there's absolutely no way in my wildest dreams I'd have had that amount of influence within the business to actually design and to populate a role like that. Absolutely not, no. There would have been I thought those functions existed within Group. There would have been perhaps a role that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role. Thought at the time there would have been Ged Harbinson Apologies, this is entirely my fault: Ged Harbinson? Yeah, absolutely, yeah. Mr Harbinson, yes, definitely. Mr Harbinson provided evidence that this new role was campaigned for by you; is that right? No, I wouldn't there's absolutely no way in my wildest dreams I'd have had that amount of influence within the business to actually design and to populate a role like that. Absolutely not, no. There would have been I thought those functions existed within Group. There would have been perhaps a role that I had in the design of a Post Office structure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role. Thought at the time there would have been Ged Harbinson Apologies, this is entirely my fault: Ged Harbinson? Yeah, absolutely, yeah. Mr Harbinson, yes, definitely. Mr Harbinson provided evidence that this new role was campaigned for by you; is that right? No, I wouldn't there's absolutely no way in my wildest dreams I'd have had that amount of influence within the business to actually design and to populate a role like that. Absolutely not, no. There would have been I thought those functions existed within Group. There would have been perhaps a role that I had in the design of a Post Office structure that had to map over those roles from Group to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	I don't actually recall that; I mean, obviously I know the individuals. I don't recall Mr Whitaker being put forward for that role. Thought at the time there would have been Ged Harbinson Apologies, this is entirely my fault: Ged Harbinson? Yeah, absolutely, yeah. Mr Harbinson, yes, definitely. Mr Harbinson provided evidence that this new role was campaigned for by you; is that right? No, I wouldn't there's absolutely no way in my wildest dreams I'd have had that amount of influence within the business to actually design and to populate a role like that. Absolutely not, no. There would have been I thought those functions existed within Group. There would have been perhaps a role that I had in the design of a Post Office structure that had to map over those roles from Group to a self-sufficiency within Post Office Limited,

or canvass for those roles to exist within the

60

25

Mr Whitaker's evidence that you had put him and

Security Team, the Financial Investigator role,

Mr Matthews forward for a new role in the

in around late 2005?

22

23

24

1		Post Office, certainly not.	1		overarching responsibility for the strategy
2	Q.	•	2		around recovery and you say here you recall
3	٠.	of financial investigation?	3		conversations with him around calculations
4	A.	I do.	4		concerning recoverable amounts in defendants'
5		Was the intention to recoup losses through the	5		benefit.
6	-	Proceeds of Crime Act?	6		You recall a specific challenge raised by
7	Α.	It was.	7		the National Federation of SubPostmasters around
8	Q.		8		the calculation of the recoverable amount in
9		prosecution decisions, the business desire to	9		a particular case. Can you help with what it
10		recover losses was something you were aware of	10		was that was being challenged?
11		and involved, as a Senior Appropriate Officer;	11	A.	I seem to recall that the calculation that was
12		is that right?	12		being applied had resulted in confiscation of
13	A.	It was.	13		an amount some way in excess of the Post Office
14	Q.	Does it remain your position that commercial	14		loss.
15		considerations were not something you were	15	Q.	You refer at paragraph 34 of your statement to
16		conscious of when taking prosecution decisions?	16		three Royal Mail Group policies which would have
17	A.	I never saw those as being paramount.	17		come across your desk, as you put it, and,
18	Q.	Do you see here a potential for a conflict of	18		whilst you do not specifically recall them, you
19		interest arising?	19		say would have been applicable to your team.
20	A.	Yes, most definitely.	20		One of these is the December 2007 Royal Mail
21	Q.	While we are on the topic of financial	21		Group Limited Criminal Investigation and
22		investigation and recovery, you say in your	22		Prosecution Policy.
23		statement at paragraph 27 if we can have that	23		Could we have that on screen, please. The
24		on screen, please, that's page 13, about halfway	24		reference is POL00030578. This is, as you have
25		down that John Scott, Head of Security, had	25		noted in your statement, a Royal Mail Group
		61			62
1		policy. The "Purpose" is set out at the top, it	1		"The conduct, course and progress of
2		describes the:	2		an investigation will be a matter for the
3		" Royal Mail Group Limited response to	3		investigators as long as it is within the law,
4		crime and suspected crime against the	4		rules and priorities of the business.
5		organisation."	5		Investigators will ultimately report to the
6		If we can look, please, at point 4 on page 4	6		Director of Security with regard to the conduct
7		of this document, under "Deployment", it says:	7		of criminal investigations."
8		"This Policy is published on the Royal Mail	8		So this document here was not purporting to
9		intranet site as policy number S2."	9		give any guidance to Investigators about how
10		When you worked within the Security Team,	10		they should conduct an investigation, was it?
11		did you have access to the Royal Mail intranet	11	A.	Correct.
12		site?	12	Q.	•
13	A.	I don't recall that, sorry.	13		policy, so, for example, under 3.2.2, please, on
14	Q.	You don't recall whether you did or you don't	14		page 2, you can see there:
15	_	recall having access?	15		"Evidence will be gathered and retained in
16	Α.	I don't recall whether I had access to the Royal	16		accordance with the Criminal Procedure and
17	_	Mail intranet site.	17		Investigations Act 1996 Codes of Practice."
18	Q.	You say you don't specifically recall this	18		Under 3.2.8 on "Casework" this is page 3,
19		document. Do you think you read it at the time	19		and under "Casework":
20		that it applied to the work of your team?	20		"Investigations leading to potential
21		I would have been surprised if I hadn't.	21		prosecution will be reported in accordance with
	Α.				
22		Taking some of the principles set out in this	22		the Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act
22 23		Taking some of the principles set out in this policy and starting with paragraph 3.1.4, that's	23		1996 [and the] Code of Practice."
22 23 24		Taking some of the principles set out in this policy and starting with paragraph 3.1.4, that's back a page, please and again, and again	23 24		1996 [and the] Code of Practice." There's no explanation in this document, is
22 23		Taking some of the principles set out in this policy and starting with paragraph 3.1.4, that's	23		1996 [and the] Code of Practice."

- 1 by the Act and the Code, is there?
- 2 A. Absolutely none whatsoever.

says:

Q. There is some suggestion the detail might be
 contained elsewhere, going back to the section
 on deployment, that's page 4, section 4. It

"Investigation Procedures and Standards relating to this policy are included in the induction and ongoing training courses and material provided to investigators. Any changes to the procedures and standards are notified to investigators via investigation circulars and communications."

There is also a specific section applicable to the Post Office, paragraph 3.2.12, just above section 4, which applies to "Post Office Limited Investigation":

"While adhering to legislative and group policy requirements, the POL Investigation Team have additional and, in some cases, alternative Procedures and Standards, and supporting documentation with which Post Office Limited colleagues will comply. Post Office Limited Investigation Team will maintain a separate casework procedure and database."

- Q. Do you think, looking back, that this might have
 reflected a mindset that, if there was, on the
 face of things, a loss, a subpostmaster or
 employee was guilty of theft, fraud or false
 accounting?
- A. I think there was an element of groupthink inthat, yes, I do.
- 8 Q. Moving then to paragraph 3.2.9 on page 3,9 "Prosecution":

"Suspect offenders will be prosecuted where there is sufficient evidence and it is in the public interest in accordance with the Code for Crown Prosecutors. Decisions to prosecute in non-Crown Prosecution Service cases will be taken by nominated representatives in the business with consideration to the advice provided by the Royal Mail Group Criminal Law Team."

In relation to responsibility for deciding whether to prosecute an individual or not, we can see the position, as set out here, is that the decision would be taken by the nominated representatives in the business, consideration to the advice from the Criminal Law Team. This is in 2007.

Do you recall there being a separate casework procedure and database?

- 3 A. Not specifically, no.
- 4 Q. Do you recall there being a database?
- 5 A. No.

Q. In relation to the prosecution policy, page 2
 again, please, at 3.1.6, the heading is
 "Prosecuting Criminals":

"This policy supports the Code of Business Standards in normally prosecuting those who commit theft or fraud and where appropriate offences under the Postal Services Act 2000 Sections 83 and 84."

Stopping there, the heading here, and what follows, rather assumes that it has already been established that the person suspected of committing a crime is guilty of it, doesn't it?

- 18 A. It does.
- 19 Q. They are a criminal, those that commit theft or20 fraud?
- 21 A. It's clumsy. It does.
- Q. Was this the way that those being prosecuted bythe Post Office were spoken about by those
- 24 within the Security Team?
- 25 A. On occasion, yes.

1 As far as you can recall, does the position 2 set out here in the policy accurately reflect 3 who was, in fact, making decisions on 4 prosecutions in 2007?

- 5 A. In terms of nominated representatives, yes, it6 would have done.
- Q. This document is the first prosecution policy
 document the Inquiry has seen which sets out
 with any specificity who will take the
 prosecution decision. Could we have on screen,
 please, paragraph 55 of Mr Pardoe's statement.
 That is page 22 of WITN08170100.

Under the heading here "Decisions about prosecution and criminal enforcement proceedings", you say this at 55:

"There was a period when I dealt with prosecution decisions, both as a conduit between the Post Office Investigation Department and line managers making the decision and then as a period myself as the nominated representative. I'm unsure why the switch was made between line manager and Security as a nominated representative, but it occurred."

When you refer to line managers taking prosecution decisions, who were you referring

to? 1 2 A. So there would have been a time, and it would 3 really be going back to the earliest days of my career within the Security function, where at 4 5 a local district level, line managers within the 6 district would make a recommendation on the back 7 of an investigation conducted by the Post Office 8 Investigation Department and an advice offered 9 by the Criminal Law Team about whether 10 prosecution would be signed off. It was dealt

with on such a local level. I certainly

remember that.

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That was outside of the security line. That was dealt with dependent on seniority, maybe not line manager. It may be more accurate with hindsight to read line manager's line manager but I certainly recall, in my earliest days, decisions being made by an out of the Security function line manager.

- 20 Q. Can you recall when the switch was made?
- 21 **A.** So we moved from districts, we moved to regions.
- 22 I suspect that, even then, a regional
- 23 representative was signing off the prosecution
- 24 element. So we'd probably be coming into the
- 25 start of the 2000, probably maybe even coming

made in the face of supporting advice from the Criminal Law Team. CLT would have seen all the facts appertaining to the case, including audit reports, Investigator report, supporting evidence and interview précis. My expectation was that the CLT, as an integral part of Post Office Security, would have made all the due considerations in line with Code for Crown Prosecutors and applied each necessary test in reaching their decision. I took a stance that the decision will have been checked by CLT for fairness and objectivity. I saw my role to confirm their decision and place the case into prosecution status via the Investigation Team leader."

Moving over the page, please, to paragraph 59. You say:

"In terms of test around the correctness of prosecution and charging decisions, then I firmly expected this to have been considered at the CLT stage. If they had felt that public interest factors tended against prosecution then my expectation was that this would have been clearly stated. I am genuinely not attempting to be evasive but I fail to see what additional

71

into the date of that policy document. 1

- 2 Q. Do you recall the reason for the change?
- 3 I was never apprised as to the reason, no.
 - Never

4

5 **Q.** At paragraph 57, going over the page, please, 6 you deal here with a document which the Inquiry understands to date to around 2012. Unless you 7 8 wish to go to it, we needn't have that up on q screen but the document reference for the

10 transcript is POL00104929.

11 This document suggests that it was the Head of Security who would make the prosecution 12 13 decision. Is it right that you don't recall 14 this being the case while you were in a Security 15 Operations role?

- 16 A. Correct and, indeed, from the evidence that I've 17 seen through the Inquiry, that was not the case.
- 18 It was -- I think I say there, it was at the
- 19 latter end of my career.
- 20 Q. As to the process you would follow when you were 21 in the prosecution decision-making role, you 22 deal with this in general terms at paragraph 56, 23 going back a page, please.
- 24 You say this:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25 "A decision to prosecute would only ever be

input I was providing around correctness when a principal or senior lawyer had carefully made the charging decision."

Then at 60:

"The question around charges was solely within CLT; whilst towards the ending of my tenure I'm aware that investigators would recommend charges when submitting papers into the CLT, the ultimate recommendations sat with CLT. Again, in terms of prosecution decision then my expectation was that all elements had been considered by CLT in reaching a decision."

Do you recall ever declining to provide your authority when the Criminal Law Team's advice was that the prosecution test was met?

- A. Not by authority, although I do reference in the 16 17 statement I recall with some clarity when a case 18 came into the district, early days of my career, 19 with a prosecution consensus from the Criminal 20 Law Team, and that was returned as being not
- 21 agreed with, yes.
- 22 Q. What was the reason for not agreeing?
- 23 Ironically, it was a case I had some detailed 24 knowledge of. I know that I'd supported POID in
- 25 the case. It was an individual who took over 72

the running of a post office in, I guess, one of the less salubrious areas of the northwest of England. For whatever reason, the local community had taken almost an immediate dislike to him

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He'd been subject to quite serious physical assault on a number of occasions when going about his day-to-day life in the community. His backyard to the Post Office had actually, effectively, been booby trapped, in so much, as if he'd have opened the rear gate into his backyard, two large concrete blocks would have dropped onto whoever opened the gate. That was spotted, fortunately, by his partner as she was taking a child of probably no more than one or two years of age in a pram out into the backyard. You could only imagine if that hadn't been spotted what the ramifications could have been

It's fair to say that individual probably lost their head, which is understandable. They moved their infant child and wife away from the premises, incurred additional rental demands on their income as a result of that, went into complete state of disarray, and I apprised

73

as a prosecution decision maker, you had a different and distinct role to that of the Investigators in your strand of the Security Team?

- 4 5 A. Yes, I was conscious of that. I would still --6 I have no truck with taking responsibility for 7 decisions that I was making during that period. 8 I still thought that I was, in effect, rubber 9 stamping and placing back into an operational 10 casework environment a decision that had been 11 made by a highly qualified, on occasion, 12 principal lawyer within the Criminal Law Team 13 and, sat here now, that may sound a weak 14 approach but I think, if you compare and 15 contrast five/six years of legal training, plus 16 principal law status within the Group and then 17 Post Office Criminal Law Team, and you compare 18 that to my own experience, I think it's probably 19 understandable why I'd take that viewpoint.
- Q. Looking back now, do you think it was
 appropriate for a non-legally-qualified Post
 Office employee to be the sign-off for
 a decision as significant to the lives of
 individuals, and to the business, as
 a prosecution?

for the district of the facts to that, even to
they'd been detailed comprehensively within the
Post Office Investigation Department report and,
between us, it was decided that it served no
useful interest to place that subpostmaster into
prosecution for the false accounts that had been
completed to cover the fact that monies had been

the -- it was, at the time, the Head of Services

stolen to support his wife and child as theylived away from the premises.

11 Q. Would you have seen the advice of the Criminal
12 Law Team where the advice was that the
13 prosecution test was not met?

14 **A.** Yes.

Q. Was there ever a case where you pushed back
 against the advice that the test for prosecution
 was not met?

18 A. I don't recall when not met no. I think it's
19 probably fair to say that the vast majority of
20 cases that went forward, where there was felt to
21 be a *prima facie* case to answer, probably came
22 back with a prosecution decision from the
23 Criminal Law Team.

Q. Looking back now, do you think you were
 conscious -- truly, actively conscious -- that,
 74

1 So if I just -- almost replaying that through my 2 mind. So a Criminal Law Team lawyer would make 3 the overview in the first instance. Should that 4 then have sat with somebody else who was 5 suitably legally qualified to effectively rubber 6 stamp that decision? I think probably the 7 element that's missing, with respect, there is 8 that element of true independence. I couldn't 9 sit here and argue or debate that I was 10 an independent oversight in that whole process; 11 of course I wasn't. I was heavily employed --12 heavily invested in the function.

13 So I think what was missing there, again, 14 with respect, would be an element of true 15 independence to that process.

16 Q. How did you satisfy yourself that there was
17 a genuine loss to the business in cases where
18 you were being asked to approve the prosecution,
19 or did that not enter your mind as something

that was a question for you?

21

22 my desk at some stage. I think there was 23 a period when casework may have been performed 24 electronically but, nevertheless, I would see 25 the Investigator's report, and that would be

76

So the case papers would obviously come across

a structured report, and part of the demands of that report is that it would outline the most current loss, known loss to the business.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

I'd read through that report. So I'd be fully aware that we were stating there was a loss to the business at that stage, and that's even before it found its way to the Criminal Law Team. So when the advice came back from the Criminal Law Team with whatever charges were felt to be appropriate, I'd have been aware that certainly the Post Office was stating that there was a particular loss attached to that case.

- 12 13 Q. Did you ever give consideration to whether 14 a shortfall might have been caused by a user 15 error stemming from inadequate training on the 16 Horizon system?
- 17 A. No, and I think what there was, there was almost 18 a mentality, not just from myself but probably 19 from an Investigator perspective as well, that 20 the admission to false accounting, that was 21 almost stated and that's what should have been 22 reported. So I think there was probably less 23 focus given to the root cause than there was to 24 actually submitting a set of case papers that 25 outlined the fact that there was that admission

1 at some stage, I'd have been aware of those. 2 Q. This document in both versions sets out the need

3 for two separate reports: one the conduct 4 report, to go to the discipline manager, and 5 another the legal report, to go to the Criminal 6 Law Team. Sensitive information should only be 7 included in the legal report, not the conduct 8 report, and there are also paragraphs dealing 9 with both operational and procedural failures 10 identified during an investigation. Is that

11 a fair summary of the nature of the document?

12 A. Absolutely, yes. 13 Q. If we could have paragraph 68, please, on 14 screen, this is page 26. You've addressed your 15 understanding of the document at some length in 16 this paragraph. Without going through line by 17 line and taking it fairly shortly, is it right 18 that you never read this document as being 19 applicable to bugs, errors or defects in the 20 Horizon system?

21 A. Absolutely.

22 Q. You provide an example of what the instructions 23 contained within the document might apply to. 24 In short, is it an example of weakness in 25 a product which, if known about by unscrupulous

79

1 to false accounting, and the standard way would 2 be you'd detail the date when the first account 3 was prepared falsely, one in the middle, and 4 then the last account was prepared falsely.

5 So I think that was probably something that 6 was occurring at the time, yes.

- 7 Q. So were you simply taking it as a given that 8 there was a loss?
- 9 A. Yes.

10 Q. You say at paragraph 40 of your statement that 11 you recall there being a template around the construction of case files to standardise 12

13 casework; is that right?

14 A. Correct, yes.

15 You then deal at paragraph 67 to 76 with 16 a number of casework compliance documents. One 17 document which the Inquiry sent you for the 18 purposes of preparing your statement was 19 a document entitled "Casework Management", and 20 there are two versions of that: one dated March 21 2001 dated October 2002. Is it right that you 22 do not specifically recall receiving either

version of this document before they were

25 Yes, but again, logic would dictate that they --

provided to you by the Inquiry?

1 people, might be exploited to commit fraud?

2 A. Correct.

23

24

3 You say at paragraph 71 of your statement -- and 4 that's page 27 over the page -- that it was also 5 your understanding that:

6 "... every single document and Investigator 7 comment on organisational weaknesses should have 8 been subject to review by the [Criminal Law 9 Team] and the disclosure test applied 10 accordingly."

11 You say that you recall the Criminal Law 12 Team specifically advising you that this would be the case; is that right? 13

14 Α. It is.

18

15 Q. Who was it who advised you of this? Can you 16 recall?

17 A. Yeah, Rob Wilson.

19 emails from 2011 and 2012, from David Posnett, 20 relating to casework compliance. Is it right 21 that you recall something of the introduction of 22 compliance checks in 2011?

Q. The Inquiry has provided you with a number of

A. It is. I recall the concept yes, I do. 23

24 Q. Can we have the first of the emails from David Posnett on screen, please. This is document 25

7

17

8

9

10

16

reference POL00118096. Looking, please, at the email starting about halfway down the page from David Posnett to a number of Security Team recipients, including you, it's dated 23 May 2011, and the subject is "Casework Compliance". The email reads as follows:

"All

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

"Most of you are aware that case files submitting for legal advice will become subject to compliance checks. This process is due to commence in June and is designed to raise standards of files submitted (including their contents -- reports, taped summaries, appendix enclosures, recoveries, stakeholders, etc) and ensure there is a consistent approach across the team. It is also probably an opportune time given that we have recently recruited new people to the team.

"I've associated relevant documents that feed into the compliance process. Please familiarise yourself with these documents."

A number of compliance documents were attached to Mr Posnett's email in a zip file. Presumably, given the instruction in Mr Posnett's email to "familiarise yourself with

It's completely unacceptable.

Q. You say at paragraph 76 of your statement -- and could we have that on screen, please, that is page 29 of Mr Pardoe's statement -- you say here:

"We did instruct Investigators to record identification codes. My recollection was that these were required to complete any submission into Police to have details of subsequent convictions ended onto the PNC. These were referred to as NIB type details. I certainly don't recall the horrendous language on the form or the obsolete country names."

Do you recall there being a specific form used by the Post Office as a non-police agency to notify the police of criminal proceedings? A. I think I probably recall a number of variations of that form. I would have referred -- I think "NIB type details" would have been appertaining to when I was an Operational Investigator.

I think that they changed then to NPA details.

21 22 Q. Could we have on screen please document 23 reference POL00118374. This is a blank copy of 24 the form NPA01; do you recognise that now?

25 A. I do indeed. 1 the documents attached", would you have read

2 them all when you received this email?

3 A. I think it would probably be fair to say, at

best, they would have been scan read.

5 I wouldn't have expected them to go into them in

6 the same level of detail and I recognise

obviously all the names there in the "to" box.

8 I wouldn't expect to go into the same level of

9 detail as, say, an existing team leader but,

10 yes, I'd have given it the due attention of

11 opening those and just scanning them.

12 One of the documents which Mr Posnett asked Q. 13 recipients of his email to familiarise 14 themselves with was the Identification Codes 15 document, and this is a document which you

16 comment on at paragraph 75 of your statement.

The Inquiry is familiar with this document 18 and I do not intend to display it on screen.

19 But do you know the document I'm referring to?

20 A. It's absolutely abhorrent. I do indeed, yes.

21 Q. Does it remain your position that you do not

22 recall reviewing the document at the time?

23 A. I think it remains my position. Had I been

24 consciously aware of that document at the time,

25 I'd have vehemently challenged that document.

1 We can see the agency name, Post Office Limited, 2 at the top left. Then there are boxes for

3 various identifying details of the person being

4 charged or summonsed. The prosecuting agents

5 are identified about halfway down the page as

6 the Post Office Legal Services Criminal Law 7 Team.

Then over the page, please. There are a number of options there for ethnic appearance and there are seven boxes for those options.

11 Is this the form that you understood 12 investigators to use to notify the police of

13 proceedings?

14 At that time, yes. It certainly -- from memory, 15 it would have been different in the form I would

have been completing as an Operational

17 Investigator but, certainly at the time that

18 I was in the strand, that's the form I recognise

Investigators would complete. 19

20 Was this the form on which they would identify 21 the identification code which applied in any

22 given case for the police?

23 A. I'm failing to see the actual field where that's 24 actually inserted.

25 Q. Well, the ethnic appearance boxes, there are

- seven options there: "White European"; "Dark
 European"; "Afro-Caribbean"; "Asian",
- 3 "Oriental"; "Arab"; and "Unknown"?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. Do they accord with the options, as you
- 6 understood them, for submission to the police,
- 7 or not?
- 8 A. Correct. They do.
- 9 Q. So is it right then that no reference to the
- 10 Identification Codes document circulated by
- 11 Mr Posnett in 2011 was necessary to provide
- 12 identity code information to the police?
- 13 A. Absolutely correct, yes.
- 14 Q. Turning back, then, to Mr Posnett's zip file of
- 15 compliance documents. Could we have document
- 16 reference POL00118101. This is one of the
- 17 attachments, this is the "Guide to the
- 18 Preparation and Layout of Red Label Case Files,
- 19 Offender reports & Discipline reports". I think
- 20 you had the opportunity of reading this for the
- 21 purposes of preparing your statement?
- 22 A. I did.
- 23 Q. Starting on page 4 of that document, please, we
- see a preamble for the policy template of
- 25 an offender report, scrolling down a little,
 - ם ב
- 1 terms.
- 2 Q. Given that it was not necessary to use this
- 3 document, the Identification Codes document
- 4 circulated by Mr Posnett for police notification
- 5 purposes, because the options were there on the
- 6 face of the form, can you help us with why it
- 7 was being used to populate the offender report?
- 8 A. Absolutely no idea, sorry. No.
- 9 Q. Turning, please, to the involvement of Post
- 10 Office Investigators following the
- 11 identification of an apparent shortfall at
- 12 audit. In relation to cases where an apparent
- 13 shortfall was discovered at audit, you recall
- 14 there being a triggers and timescale document
- that covered the monetary loss value at which
- 16 Investigators would get involved; is that right?
- 17 **A.** It is.
- 18 Q. Is it right that you recall, at least at some
- 19 stage, a £1,000 loss being the minimum level or
- 20 the involvement of investigators?
- 21 A. Yes
- 22 Q. Do you recall what the rationale was for there
- 23 being a minimum level of apparent loss for the
- 24 commencement of a criminal investigation?
- 25 A. Sheer volume of work.

- 1 please. Going over to the top of the next page
- 2 please, at the top right we see "Identification
- 3 Code: (Numbers 1 to 7 only)", and the
 - Identification Codes document which Mr Posnett
- 5 had circulated had seven options, didn't it, in
- 6 terms of identification codes?
- 7 **A.** It did.

- 8 Q. So Investigators were being instructed to enter
- 9 an identification code limited to options 1 to
- 10 7?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. They were being provided with the Identification
- 13 Codes document sent in the same compliance zip
- 14 file, which you describe as utterly abhorrent?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. Was there any other way for Investigators
- 17 receiving Mr Posnett's suite of compliance
- 18 documents to interpret the instruction, other
- 19 than "Use this Identification Codes document to
- 20 complete the identification codes space on the
- 21 offender report"?
- 22 A. I think the only exception to that would be the
- 23 more long-serving Investigators who may hold
- 24 an alternative version of the identity codes
- 25 document, without reference to such offensive
 - 8
 - Q. What were the other triggers for a criminal
- 2 investigation in addition to the level of
- 3 an apparent shortfall?
- 4 A. I think it would have been a victim impact. So
 - I would expect that an investigation would have
- 6 been triggered if, say, the alleged fraud was
- 7 directly impacting a customer of Post Office.
- 8 I can't really think of any others outside of
- 9 that.

1

5

- 10 **Q**. You say at paragraph 6 of your statement that:
- 11 "In the face of reductions in investigator
- 12 numbers, lower level cases would not have been
- 13 progressed."
- 14 We've heard evidence from Tony Utting that
- 15 there came a time when restructuring of the
- 16 Security Team and a reduction in headcount led
- 17 to a rising of the triggers for a criminal
- 18 investigation; do you recall that?
- 19 A. I don't recall the explicit instruction but
- 20 I wouldn't challenge that. I certainly, as
- 21 an Operational Investigator, dealt with lines of
- 22 operational investigation that simply would not
- have been taken on, for want of a better
- 24 expression, after, say, 2000/2005.
- 25 Q. Where the level of an apparent shortfall

1	identified	on audit	wasn't	sufficient	to trigger

2 a criminal investigation, what steps were taken

- 3 by the Post Office in relation to the apparent
- 4 shortfall?
- 5 A. So my understanding and expectation is that
- 6 would have been dealt with by the local line
- 7 management in various monikers, whether that's
- 8 the area sales manager or early support manager.
- 9 I think that title changed over the years,
- 10 although the functionality remained broadly
- similar. It would have been dealt with at 11
- 12 a local level but still with a focus on
- 13 repayment back to the business.
- 14 So were attempts made to recover the apparent shortfall under a subpostmaster's contract? 15
- A. The contract, that clause in the contract, "The 16
- 17 subpostmaster is responsible for all losses no
- matter how they occur", would have been pursued 18
- 19 relentlessly.
- 20 Q. Absent the criminal investigation machinery, did
- 21 the Post Office consider itself under any
- 22 obligation to get to the bottom of the cause of
- 23 an apparent shortfall or was it sufficient for
- 24 debt recovery purposes that there was one?
- 25 A. In terms of a case that the investigation
- 1 person responsible.
 - You then set out at paragraphs 49 to 54 some more detail in terms of the process, which you say Investigators would follow following identification of a shortfall at audit.
 - Were you ever an Investigator in a case where a reportable discrepancy was discovered on audit of a branch after the introduction of the Horizon system?
- A. As an Operational Investigator? 10
- Q. Yes. 11

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 12 A. No, never.
- 13 Q. Is the detail at paragraphs 49 to 54 based on 14 your practice when you were an Investigator?
- A. Broadly, yes. I think probably the only add-ons 15
- 16 for that would be source documentation
- 17 post-Horizon that the Investigator would obtain
- 18 from the Auditor at branch. But the mechanics
- 19 of, you know, the use of a pocket book, the
- 20 mechanics of invite to tape recorded interview
- 21 with all that entailed under PACE, would be the
- 22 same, yes.
- 23 Q. When you were leading the Fraud Strand and
- 24 Security Operations function, what documents
- 25 would you have expected Investigators operating

- 1 function was taking forward or where it sat
- 2 with --
- 3 Q. Where it wasn't being taken forward.
- A. Where it wasn't being taken forward. I think 4
- that would probably depend on the tenacity of 5
- 6 the Area Manager. I don't think that there was
- 7 any formal policy document or expectation that 8 that would be the case.
- 9 Q. You refer at paragraph 49 of your statement to
- 10 the steps which were taken once a reportable
- 11 audit discrepancy had been identified. By
- 12 reportable audit discrepancy, do you mean one
- 13 which triggered the involvement of
- 14 Investigators?
- 15 A. I do.
- 16 Q. Who decided whether an audit discrepancy was
- 17 reportable?
- 18 A. So I think if it was in excess of the prevailing
- 19 triggers document at that time, then the
- 20 mechanics would be that the audit function would
- 21 report that into an investigation contact point.
- 22 Q. You discuss the purpose of an Investigator
- 23 attending a branch at paragraph 45 of your
- 24 statement and you say it was to secure and
- 25 examine evidence and take an account from the
- 1 under your leadership to secure when they
- 2 attended a branch in an apparent shortfall case?
- 3 The relevant documentation that would evidence
- 4 the shortfall at branch obtained from the
- 5 auditor to enable production at the first
- 6 account type of interview under caution.
- 7 Q. Are you referring there to the Horizon reports 8 which could be printed from the counter in the
- 9 branch?
- A. Yes, plus any supplementary evidence that the 10
- 11 Auditor had brought to the branch, because
- 12 a risk audit may have been triggered by other
- 13 elements such as a reluctance to return,
- 14 ostensibly, excess cash held by the branch. So,
- 15 obviously, if those type of details were held by
- 16 the Auditor, my expectation is that they would
- 17 have been taken into the interview as well and
- 18 an account asked for that form of scenario.
- 19 Q. You say at paragraph 45 of your statement that
- 20 an Investigator would have engaged with the
- 21 Retail Line in terms of the future of the
- 22 office, immediately post-audit. Would the views
- 23 of a Retail Line Manager ever had had any
- 24 bearing on whether a criminal investigation was
- 25 commenced in the first place?

- 1 Α. No, never.
- 2 Q. In terms of investigatory steps taken after
- 3 interview of a suspect, where the only evidence
- 4 of a loss was the Horizon record available in
- 5 branch, or later on Credence, when compared with
- 6 the Auditor's findings, what further enquiries
- 7 would you have expected an Investigator to make
- 8 as part of their investigation before sending
- 9 their report to casework?
- 10 A. And, again, I think that would have been based
- on the account that had been given at the 11
- interview under caution. If there'd been quite 12
- 13 a full and frank account, it's fair to say there
- 14 would be less back research to support the
- 15 submission to the Criminal Law Team. If the
- 16 account had been vague, particularly in terms of
- 17 when shortfall existed and first commenced, and
- 18 so on and so on, I'd have expected them to be
- 19 more tenacious in obtaining ARQ logs and the
- 20

2

- 21 Q. When you were an Investigator in an apparent
- 22 shortfall case, did you understand it to be any
- 23 part of your role to make enquiries into the
- 24 liability of the core evidence which was being
- 25 relied upon to prove the loss to the business?
- 1 where the money had gone, try and follow the
 - money, so to speak, by making financial
- 3 enquiries relating to the suspect?
- 4 A. On occasion, yes.
- 5 Q. When you led the Fraud Strand or Security
- 6 Operations function, did you expect your
- 7 Investigators to make financial enquiries to try
- to establish where the money that gone? 8
- 9 A. If they felt that appropriate, yes.
- 10 Q. Was there a checklist of steps to take or any
- 11 other guidance to ensure all relevant
- 12 information was identified, collected and sent
- 13 to the Criminal Law Team in proceedings brought
- 14 by the Post Office against subpostmasters and
- 15
- A. I seem to recall there was something that the 16
- 17 investigator would be able to rely on, certainly
- in that case file construction, and the contents 18
- 19 of the various appendices within that case file
- 20 construction.
- When did you first become aware of a case where 21
- 22 a Post Office employee, a subpostmaster, or
- 23 a member of a subpostmaster's staff, attributed
- 24 an apparent shortfall to problems with the
- 25 Horizon system?

- When I was an Investigator?
- 2 Q. When you were an Investigator?
- So when I was an Investigator, I'd have been 3
 - faced with a number of scenarios. Number 1
- would have been the production of daily and 5
- 6 weekly handwritten ledgers, which were the daily
- 7 and weekly books. The other scenario is that,
- 8 at that stage, there was the advent of those
- 9 books being transcribed electronically, so there
- 10 were a number of IT systems that did the job of
- those two handwritten ledgers. I was aware 11
- that I was to examine those ledgers, and confirm 12
- 13 the veracity of the audit findings, yes.
- 14 Q. When you led the Fraud Strand or the Security
- Operations function, did you expect your 15
- 16 Investigators to make enquiries as to the
- 17 reliability of the core evidence which was being
- 18 relied upon to prove loss to the business in
- 19 an apparent shortfall case?
- 20 In terms of reliability, then I -- my belief is
- 21 that the Investigator would have accepted the
- 22 audit findings.
- 23 Q. When you were an Investigator in an apparent
- 24 shortfall case, where the suspicion was that
- 25 someone had stolen money, would you investigate

 - I think it would have been the early part of the
- 2 2000s. I can't give any greater clarity than
- 3 that, unfortunately.

1

- 4 Q. You address your understanding of the purpose of
- 5 and process for acquiring ARQ data starting at
- 6 paragraph 77 of your statement. Could we have
- 7 that on screen, please. It is page 29 of
- 8 Mr Pardoe's statement. You say at 77:
- 9 "I personally was not an operational
- 10 Investigator from the inception of Horizon. 11 I don't recall supporting or certainly leading
- 12
- a Horizon type investigation. I did obviously
- 13 lead the Fraud Strand and Security Operations
- 14 function during the pertinent periods, so will
- 15 respond with that in mind." 16
 - You go on at paragraph 78 to say this:
- 17 "I appreciate that this is a view that will
- 18 have been expressed previously but, throughout
- 19 my tenure, the opinion firmly expressed at every
- 20 turn, internally, was that Horizon was fit for
- 21 purpose. I recall with an element of clarity
- 22 updates from John Scott assuring the Security 23 function that the system was reliable, and we
- 24 were to continue with BAU activity.
- 25 I appreciate that there became a more measured

1 approach towards the end of my tenure, but 1 Pausing there, could we have the document 2 2 I certainly don't recall being involved in those referred to here on screen, please. The 3 3 reference is POL00055590. This is an email from conversations. I also seem to recall 4 an internal Paula Vennells communication in 4 Jarnail Singh to a number of individuals on the 5 21 October 2010, following the conclusion of the response to the increasing noise around Horizon; 5 6 obviously I can't recall word for word, but the 6 Seema Misra trial. You are not, in fact, by the 7 thrust was that Post Office were defending the 7 looks of things, on the email circulation list 8 8 here. The email reads as follows: system and refuted the claims. My point is that 9 "Dear All, with honesty the use of tools such as Credence 9 10 data and ARQ logs were to my mind used as much 10 "After a lengthy trial at Guildford Crown to evidence guilt than innocence and 11 Court the above named was found Guilty of theft. 11 12 particularly utilised when false accounting was 12 This case turned from a relatively 13 admitted but not theft. My understanding is the 13 straightforward general deficiency case to 14 Investigator would analyse logs to attempt to 14 an unprecedented attack on the Horizon system. 15 evidence theft and wrongdoing particularly in 15 We were beset with unparallel degree of 16 terms of cash balance declarations and 16 disclosure requests by the defence. Through 17 redeclarations; I'm not even sure how the log 17 hard work of everyone, counsel Warwick Tatford, 18 18 would denote a bug, error or defect. It's Investigation Officer Jon Longman and through 19 certainly not an area we had any training in, 19 the considerable expertise of Gareth Jenkins of 20 ie to spot a bug, error or defect these are the 20 Fujitsu we were able to destroy to the criminal 21 21 data patterns you should search for." standard of proof (beyond all reasonable doubt) 22 22 You then say: every single suggestion made by the Defence. 23 "The language at POL00055590 in terms of 23 "It is to be hoped the case will set 24 24 Horizon bashing expressed by a senior lawyer was a marker to dissuade other defendants from 25 not uncommon." 25 jumping on the Horizon bashing bandwagon."

97

Going then, please, to paragraph 91 of the statement, this is page 33, here you say this:

"In line with my response at 78, my belief is that there was a cynicism in terms of the steps that were taken to support any assertion that Horizon was the root cause of discrepancies. Horizon data from my perspective was primarily a tool to either evidence how false accounting took place or to support a charge of theft and particularly when theft was denied at interview. I'm struggling to understand how the ARQs would identify a bug, error or defect and particularly if their presence was subtle and sat beneath obvious keystroke data."

At 92:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"I'm not aware of circumstances where an ARQ was provided to an SPMR, save for prosecution cases when I would have expected the data to be disclosed."

Should the Chair understand from the paragraphs of your statement that we've just looked at that ARQ data was primarily obtained in order to support a prosecution once the decision had been made to prosecute?

100

1 Is it the language used in the last line of 2 this email that you say in your statement was 3 not uncommon?

4 A. Absolutely.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Not uncommon for this particular lawyer or notuncommon in general?

7 A. I believe that was a theme.

8 Q. At what level within the business was this9 language being used?

10 A. That type of sentiment, at every level.

Q. Could we have page 30 of Mr Pardoe's statement up on screen, please. Paragraph 79 of your statement reads as follows:

"My understanding is that Fujitsu was contracted to provide Audit Record Query data, on demand, using an agreed secure process. I seem to recall that the data provided a full log at keystroke levels for the period specified by the Investigator and providing transactional and branch reporting detail. I'm aware that this data could be used to identify singleton transactions (like the use of a certain credit card) or a full and complete series of transactions using a date range specified by the requester."

1	A.	I think there may have been a variety of	1		mediation, but I wasn't involved in discussions
2		approaches to that.	2		around this and am not aware what the uplift
3	Q.	So the purpose of obtaining ARQ data was not, at	3		was. I seem to recall that additional requests
4		least in your mind, for an Investigator to test	4		were around £500. I don't specifically remember
5		the reliability of the core evidence being	5		being involved in uplift conversations that
6		relied upon to prove an offence?	6		authorised these; it certainly wasn't a budget
7	A.	That's correct.	7		that I held, and I presume that John Scott would
8	Q.	Or to pursue a line of inquiry which might lead	8		have addressed this with the wider business."
9		away from the guilt of a suspect?	9		Then at paragraph 81:
10	A.	That's correct.	10		"I don't recall specifically any specific
11	Q.	Do you recall ARQ data ever being obtained	11		changes between Legacy Horizon and Horizon
12		before a decision had been made to prosecute?	12		Online I do not recall Investigator noise that
13		You just referred to a variety of approaches?	13		this was proving to be problematic."
14	A.	I think, on occasion, it would be, yes.	14		By "Investigator noise", do you mean you
15	Q.	You recall there being limits in respect of ARQ	15		were not aware of Investigators complaining
16		requests and you address that at paragraph 80 of	16		about the limits on ARQ requests?
17		your statement. Could we have that on screen,	17	A.	I think an element of that and also any
18		please, it's page 30. You say here that	18		difficulties in investigation, because the
19		a document has refreshed your memory and you can	19		business had changed from Legacy Horizon with
20		recall that:	20		local storage and transmission to rather towards
21		" as a function we were capped, within	21		Horizon Online. I don't recall any noise for
22		contract, at 720 requests [per annum]."	22		either of those instances.
23		Was that per annum, "PA"?	23	Q.	Do you recognise, however, that the existence of
24	A.	It is, yes.	24		limits was likely to impact upon the number of
25	Q.	"I seem to recall that this changed during 101	25		cases in which ARQ requests were made? 102
1	A.	I do indeed, and I think, probably earlier to	1		you'll have to arrange the rest of the programme
2		this, there was a greater demand on the limits	2		to permit that to happen, if I can put that in
3		because the demise of the benefit book as	3		that way, all right?
4		a method of payment, a decision was made by the,	4	MS	S PRICE: Yes, sir, understood.
5		at the time, DWP to cease reconciliation of	5	SIF	R WYN WILLIAMS: Fine. Okay. So what do we make
6		subpostmaster submissions, and so the business	6		the time now? 11.54, I make the time. So
7		took a risk-based view that they would sit that	7		12.25?
8		reconciliation within house, so that in itself,	8		S PRICE: Yes, please, sir.
9		because of the findings of the reconciliation	9	SIF	R WYN WILLIAMS: Fine.
10		function, if I recall, also led to a greater	10	(11	.54 am)
11		demand on ARQ requests.	11		(A short break)
12	MS	PRICE: Sir, I have reached the end of one topic	12	(12	2.26 pm)
13		and the next topic is a little larger. Would	13		S PRICE: Hello, sir, can you see and hear us.
14		now be a convenient time to that have an early	14		R WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you.
15		lunch?	15	MS	S PRICE: Mr Pardoe, do you recall the first
16		Sir, I think you're on mute.	16		iteration of Horizon being rolled out to
17	SIR	R WYN WILLIAMS: How are we doing, generally, in	17		branches?
18		terms of closing at 2.00 because that is, I'm	18	Α.	• •
19		afraid, an unmovable cut-off point.	19	Q.	At the time, did you have any awareness of there
20	MS	PRICE : Sir, we're making good progress. If we	20		being bugs, errors or defects or Acceptance
21		take 30 minutes for lunch, we should be fine to	21	_	Incidents during the rollout?
22		finish at 2.00, including, I hope, Core			I did not, no.
23		Participant questions.	23	Q.	,
24	SIR	R WYN WILLIAMS: Well, it will have to include	24		during that rollout at any point later in your

career?

25

them, so to speak. So between you and them,

103

Α. Yes.

1

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 2 Q. Can you remember when?
- 3 Α. Unfortunately, not, no.
- 4 Q. There is a sentence which you use in a number of 5 places in your statement about your awareness of 6 bugs, errors or defects in the Horizon system.

Could we have on screen, please, paragraph 111 of Mr Pardoe's statement, it's page 37. This is the first time that you use the sentence and you do so in the context of commenting on the Josephine Hamilton case?

- Could it be enlarged please? 12 Α.
- 13 Q. Yes, of course.
- A. Thank you. 14
- Q. Is that large enough or shall we zoom in? 15
- 16 No, that's fine, thank you. Α.
- 17 Q. So in the context of Josephine Hamilton's case 18 you say this:

"Clearly the prosecution was wholly wrong as was the continual Post Office refutes that the system was not at fault. Had I been aware that there was knowledge of bugs, errors and defects, that could ultimately and significantly affect the cash values required to perform an acceptable balance and I was expected to

105

1 A. I think that there was an awareness that this 2 was increasingly being cited, but I was still 3 relying on the refutes that were being given 4 back to me from the business.

Q. Could we have on screen, please, document reference POL00104593. This is an email chain with recipients from Post Office Legal Services on both the civil and criminal sides, and a number of people from the Security Team. The 10 legal contingent included Mandy Talbot and Rob Wilson. The Security Team contingent included 12 David Posnett, Tony Utting and yourself. The top email here is dated 30 September 2004, and 13 14 is from Mandy Talbot.

> You are, as you can see, on the copy list. Her email addresses a number of points arising from the proposed changes to Post Office accounting practices and, in particular, the proposed introduction of the branch trading statement. So we can see the subject "Trading statement".

Is it likely that you were included on the copy list because of the policy and standards role that you held reporting to Tony Utting?

Potentially, that would be the case, yes. Α. 107

1 remain mute around these and continue any form 2 of role within the Security function, then

3 I would have considered my position untenable."

4 There are a number of parts to that 5 sentence. First, what do you mean by "bugs, 6 errors and defects that could ultimately and 7 significantly affect the cash values required to 8 perform an acceptable balance"?

9 A. So I think there I refer to the concept of 10 systematic week in, week out, week in, week out, 11 bugs, errors or defects impacting the same 12 branch with the result that every single week, 13 losses were being generated by that branch,

14 either because the bug, error or defect was 15 artificially reducing the payments line or

16 artificially increasing the receipts line, and

17 then I go on to say I'd have considered the

18 position -- my position to be untenable if I was 19 expected to say, "Look, Mr Pardoe, David, we've

20 discovered this, but let's just keep it quiet.

21 We know it's going on, let's just keep quiet

22 about it".

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23 Q. Just focusing first on what you were aware of, 24 were you aware of bugs, errors or defects which 25 could cause discrepancies in accounts?

106

Looking, please, to the seventh paragraph in this email, scrolling down a bit, please. It starts "If POL is going to". It says:

"If POL is going to rely on data produced by the Horizon system in court, then it will need to put in place a standardised witness statement signed by a party who can confirm that the system was working accurately during particular periods of time and that the information supplied by the same is reliable. Post Office Limited and Horizon will have to identify named individuals who are prepared to undertake this task, and if necessary who are prepared to attend court."

15 Do you recall this suggestion from Mandy 16 Talbot?

17 A. Not specifically from that document, 18 unfortunately, no.

Q. Do you remember it at all, whether in this 19 20 document or elsewhere, the suggestion that, if

21 POL were going to rely on data produced by the 22 system, then someone would have to produce

23 a statement that the system was working

24 accurately and the information supplied was

25 reliable?

1	A.	I recall that theme, yes, but, as I say,	1		12 March 2010. Going, please, to page 3 of this
2		unfortunately not from this specific document.	2		report, there is a heading here "Security
3	Q.	Did you understand, either from what Mandy	3		programmes for products" and, under this, the
4		Talbot was saying here or from anything said by	4		fifth bullet point, please, refers to Horizon
5		others, that there may be occasions on which the	5		Online. It says this:
6		Horizon system was not working accurately and	6		"Due to live service issues, the decision
7		the information supplied was not reliable?	7		has been made not to migrate any further
8	A.	I don't interpret that, no.	8		branches until these issues are fully
9	Q.	Could we have on screen, please, document	9		understood, necessary actions agreed and success
10		reference POL00172808. This is an email from	10		criteria met. In effect, the Pilot
11		Mark Dinsdale, dated 12 March 2010, attaching	11		'stabilisation' period has been brought forward
12		something called a "Security 4 Weekly	12		in order to assure the quality before
13		Report". You are one of a long list of	13		proceeding. This will allow Fujitsu some time
14		recipients. What role did Mark Dinsdale hold at	14		to resolve issues, including a higher than
15		this time?	15		expected number of screen freezes and resultant
16	A.	I can only be triggered by the salutation that	16		recovery transactions."
17		he was the Security Programme Manager.	17		Did that cause you any concern at all when
18	Q.	What was the "Security 4 Weekly Report" and	18		you read it?
19		who did go to?	19	A.	Not that I can recollect, no.
20	A.	I think it was a stakeholder-focused report	20	Q.	What did you understand at the time, if you can
21		around key activities performed by the Security	21		recall, by the reference to screen freezes and
22		Team for that period.	22		resultant recovery transactions?
23	Q.	Could we have on screen, please, the report	23	A.	I don't recall, sorry.
24		itself, which is document reference POL00172809.	24	Q.	Did you understand from this or from any other
25		The date of the report is the same as the email,	25		discussions that there were technical problems
		109			110
1		with the rollout of Horizon Online?	1		duplicate tickets."
2	A.	I suspect I would have done, yes.	2		The "Current business impact" says this:
3	Q.	Could we have on screen, please, document	3		"All Camelot branches will not be able to
4		reference POL00165450. This is an email dated	4		balance as they have duped transactions."
5		4 June 2014, and if we scroll down a bit,	5		Under "Case summary", scrolling down,
6		please, we can see that there is a title	6		please, we have this, update 3 June:
7		"Update, Service/Systems Down or Offline", and	7		"CGI", do you know who CGI were?
8		there is an incident title there. Just	8	A.	I don't, sorry, No.
9		scrolling back up, please, there's a long list	9	Q.	"CGI are process of raising FIX86 to negate the
10		of recipients again, including you.	10		duplicate Camelot data within Credence. This
11		Was this is an email sent to the Grapevine	11		should corrected once the overnight batch jobs
12		function, given the date it is being sent?	12		have processed."
13	A.	It seems to have been sent to a number of the	13		Update earlier that day below:
14		security function and to other key stakeholders	14		"POL are currently looking at providing
15		in the business, as well, from what I can read	15		branches with Transaction corrections.
16		through.	16		"We are in the process of raising FIX86 to
17	Q.	This is one of a number of documents which	17		negate the duplicate Camelot data within
18		appear in this format. Is this a standard	18		Credence."
19		format email which was sent when there was	19		On its face, this seems to be reporting
20		a service or systems problem?	20		a systems issue, meaning that Camelot branches
21	A.	I don't recall that specifically.	21		would not be able to balance; is that your
22		Scrolling down, please, the "Incident title"	22		understanding of it?

 $23\quad \textbf{A.} \quad \text{It does, yes.}$

it now?

Q. Do you recall receiving this email or any like

112

24

25

23

24

25

here reads as follows:

"Issues with transfer acknowledgement in the

111

National Lottery system -- this is causing

1	A.	Not at all, no.	1		number is currently increasing."
2	Q.	Since you are on the copy list for this, do you	2		Next to the "Case summary" here, we see
3		think there was anything about this that might	3		an update, it probably makes more sense to start
4		have concerned you on reading it at the time?	4		at the bottom:
5	A.	With hindsight, yes, but I don't recall that	5		"INITIAL 10 July 2014 7.42
6		feeling at the time, no.	6		"Fujitsu have been engaged to investigate."
7	Q.		7		"Next Update to be at 10.00.
8		reference POL00165493. This is an email dated	8		"Fujitsu have logged the incident with BT.
9		10 July 2014, and scrolling down, please, the	9		"Fujitsu believe this to be a BT WAN Failure
10		title, the subject, is "Service/Systems	10		and are currently trying to correlate
11		Performance Degraded Reference Data Integrity	11		information in relation to the PO branch
12		Not proven error in Horizon". Again, it is sent	12		locations to identify the geographical nature of
13		to a long list of recipients including you. So	13		the incident."
14		scrolling up, please.	14		Then above, update at 8.47:
15		Does that appear to you to be the same	15		"ATOS Incident Management have agreed to
16		varied make-up of stakeholders within the	16		raise this incident to a P1."
17		business?	17		Again, can you recall receiving this email
18	A.	It does.	18		now?
19	Q.	Under "Incident Summary" it says this:	19	A.	Not at all, no.
20		"Incident title: Reference Data Integrity	20	Q.	What do you understand the problem to be in this
21		Not proven error in Horizon."	21		instance?
22		Next to "Current business impact", this	22	A.	Even reading it now, live, I'm absolutely I'm
23		under "Incident summary":	23		not sure what to make of that.
24		"Fujitsu have confirmed that currently 658	24	Q.	Do you have any idea why the author of this
25		[Post Office] branches are affected. This	25		alert chose to use the words "Not proven error
		113			114
4		in Harizan''2	1		"DC has desided not to proceed "
1	٨	in Horizon"?	1		"PF has decided not to proceed."
2	A.	Not at all.	2		Can you help with "PF"? What does that
2	A. Q.	Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581.	2 3		Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for.
2 3 4		Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October	2 3 4	_	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect.
2 3 4 5		Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise	2 3 4 5	A. Q.	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational
2 3 4 5 6		Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to	2 3 4 5 6	_	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under
2 3 4 5 6 7		Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you	2 3 4 5 6 7	_	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you were being copied into this case closure report,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	_	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and organisational failings are said to have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you were being copied into this case closure report, given what you have told us about your role by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	_	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and organisational failings are said to have been discussed with the primary stakeholder, on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you were being copied into this case closure report, given what you have told us about your role by this point in 2014?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	_	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and organisational failings are said to have been discussed with the primary stakeholder, on 29 January 2013.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you were being copied into this case closure report, given what you have told us about your role by this point in 2014? I suspect that could be custom and practice.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	_	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and organisational failings are said to have been discussed with the primary stakeholder, on 29 January 2013. Then under "Any other comments", a little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you were being copied into this case closure report, given what you have told us about your role by this point in 2014? I suspect that could be custom and practice. I looked through that, I can see the majority,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	_	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and organisational failings are said to have been discussed with the primary stakeholder, on 29 January 2013. Then under "Any other comments", a little further down, please, it says:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you were being copied into this case closure report, given what you have told us about your role by this point in 2014? I suspect that could be custom and practice. I looked through that, I can see the majority, if not all, of the senior lead team for Security	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	_	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and organisational failings are said to have been discussed with the primary stakeholder, on 29 January 2013. Then under "Any other comments", a little further down, please, it says: "Angus Crawford PF has cited issues with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you were being copied into this case closure report, given what you have told us about your role by this point in 2014? I suspect that could be custom and practice. I looked through that, I can see the majority, if not all, of the senior lead team for Security being copied into that, the names I recognise	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	_	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and organisational failings are said to have been discussed with the primary stakeholder, on 29 January 2013. Then under "Any other comments", a little further down, please, it says: "Angus Crawford PF has cited issues with Horizon for not proceeding with case."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you were being copied into this case closure report, given what you have told us about your role by this point in 2014? I suspect that could be custom and practice. I looked through that, I can see the majority, if not all, of the senior lead team for Security being copied into that, the names I recognise there, Rob King, Sally Smith, John Bigley.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	_	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and organisational failings are said to have been discussed with the primary stakeholder, on 29 January 2013. Then under "Any other comments", a little further down, please, it says: "Angus Crawford PF has cited issues with Horizon for not proceeding with case." Do you recall receiving this case closure
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you were being copied into this case closure report, given what you have told us about your role by this point in 2014? I suspect that could be custom and practice. I looked through that, I can see the majority, if not all, of the senior lead team for Security being copied into that, the names I recognise there, Rob King, Sally Smith, John Bigley. I assume it's just an email cascade.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and organisational failings are said to have been discussed with the primary stakeholder, on 29 January 2013. Then under "Any other comments", a little further down, please, it says: "Angus Crawford PF has cited issues with Horizon for not proceeding with case." Do you recall receiving this case closure report?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you were being copied into this case closure report, given what you have told us about your role by this point in 2014? I suspect that could be custom and practice. I looked through that, I can see the majority, if not all, of the senior lead team for Security being copied into that, the names I recognise there, Rob King, Sally Smith, John Bigley. I assume it's just an email cascade. We can see that this case relates to a case set	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and organisational failings are said to have been discussed with the primary stakeholder, on 29 January 2013. Then under "Any other comments", a little further down, please, it says: "Angus Crawford PF has cited issues with Horizon for not proceeding with case." Do you recall receiving this case closure report? I don't, no. I don't recall that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you were being copied into this case closure report, given what you have told us about your role by this point in 2014? I suspect that could be custom and practice. I looked through that, I can see the majority, if not all, of the senior lead team for Security being copied into that, the names I recognise there, Rob King, Sally Smith, John Bigley. I assume it's just an email cascade. We can see that this case relates to a case set up on 3 December 2012, relating to a branch	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and organisational failings are said to have been discussed with the primary stakeholder, on 29 January 2013. Then under "Any other comments", a little further down, please, it says: "Angus Crawford PF has cited issues with Horizon for not proceeding with case." Do you recall receiving this case closure report? I don't, no. I don't recall that. It appears from it that a case was being dropped
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you were being copied into this case closure report, given what you have told us about your role by this point in 2014? I suspect that could be custom and practice. I looked through that, I can see the majority, if not all, of the senior lead team for Security being copied into that, the names I recognise there, Rob King, Sally Smith, John Bigley. I assume it's just an email cascade. We can see that this case relates to a case set up on 3 December 2012, relating to a branch named Gorbals. The "Enquiry Type" is "Cash	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and organisational failings are said to have been discussed with the primary stakeholder, on 29 January 2013. Then under "Any other comments", a little further down, please, it says: "Angus Crawford PF has cited issues with Horizon for not proceeding with case." Do you recall receiving this case closure report? I don't, no. I don't recall that. It appears from it that a case was being dropped because of issues with Horizon; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you were being copied into this case closure report, given what you have told us about your role by this point in 2014? I suspect that could be custom and practice. I looked through that, I can see the majority, if not all, of the senior lead team for Security being copied into that, the names I recognise there, Rob King, Sally Smith, John Bigley. I assume it's just an email cascade. We can see that this case relates to a case set up on 3 December 2012, relating to a branch named Gorbals. The "Enquiry Type" is "Cash Loss". The "Primary Stakeholder" is Denise	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q.	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and organisational failings are said to have been discussed with the primary stakeholder, on 29 January 2013. Then under "Any other comments", a little further down, please, it says: "Angus Crawford PF has cited issues with Horizon for not proceeding with case." Do you recall receiving this case closure report? I don't, no. I don't recall that. It appears from it that a case was being dropped because of issues with Horizon; is that right? Absolutely.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you were being copied into this case closure report, given what you have told us about your role by this point in 2014? I suspect that could be custom and practice. I looked through that, I can see the majority, if not all, of the senior lead team for Security being copied into that, the names I recognise there, Rob King, Sally Smith, John Bigley. I assume it's just an email cascade. We can see that this case relates to a case set up on 3 December 2012, relating to a branch named Gorbals. The "Enquiry Type" is "Cash Loss". The "Primary Stakeholder" is Denise Reid, the "Identified 'Criminal Loss'",	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and organisational failings are said to have been discussed with the primary stakeholder, on 29 January 2013. Then under "Any other comments", a little further down, please, it says: "Angus Crawford PF has cited issues with Horizon for not proceeding with case." Do you recall receiving this case closure report? I don't, no. I don't recall that. It appears from it that a case was being dropped because of issues with Horizon; is that right? Absolutely. Can you help with what those issues were at all?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you were being copied into this case closure report, given what you have told us about your role by this point in 2014? I suspect that could be custom and practice. I looked through that, I can see the majority, if not all, of the senior lead team for Security being copied into that, the names I recognise there, Rob King, Sally Smith, John Bigley. I assume it's just an email cascade. We can see that this case relates to a case set up on 3 December 2012, relating to a branch named Gorbals. The "Enquiry Type" is "Cash Loss". The "Primary Stakeholder" is Denise Reid, the "Identified 'Criminal Loss'", scrolling down a bit, please, is £34,179.54.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and organisational failings are said to have been discussed with the primary stakeholder, on 29 January 2013. Then under "Any other comments", a little further down, please, it says: "Angus Crawford PF has cited issues with Horizon for not proceeding with case." Do you recall receiving this case closure report? I don't, no. I don't recall that. It appears from it that a case was being dropped because of issues with Horizon; is that right? Absolutely. Can you help with what those issues were at all? Not at all, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you were being copied into this case closure report, given what you have told us about your role by this point in 2014? I suspect that could be custom and practice. I looked through that, I can see the majority, if not all, of the senior lead team for Security being copied into that, the names I recognise there, Rob King, Sally Smith, John Bigley. I assume it's just an email cascade. We can see that this case relates to a case set up on 3 December 2012, relating to a branch named Gorbals. The "Enquiry Type" is "Cash Loss". The "Primary Stakeholder" is Denise Reid, the "Identified 'Criminal Loss'", scrolling down a bit, please, is £34,179.54. Scrolling down, please, to "Final Outcome",	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q.	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and organisational failings are said to have been discussed with the primary stakeholder, on 29 January 2013. Then under "Any other comments", a little further down, please, it says: "Angus Crawford PF has cited issues with Horizon for not proceeding with case." Do you recall receiving this case closure report? I don't, no. I don't recall that. It appears from it that a case was being dropped because of issues with Horizon; is that right? Absolutely. Can you help with what those issues were at all? Not at all, no. The form of words you use in your statement,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q.	Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you were being copied into this case closure report, given what you have told us about your role by this point in 2014? I suspect that could be custom and practice. I looked through that, I can see the majority, if not all, of the senior lead team for Security being copied into that, the names I recognise there, Rob King, Sally Smith, John Bigley. I assume it's just an email cascade. We can see that this case relates to a case set up on 3 December 2012, relating to a branch named Gorbals. The "Enquiry Type" is "Cash Loss". The "Primary Stakeholder" is Denise Reid, the "Identified 'Criminal Loss'", scrolling down a bit, please, is £34,179.54.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and organisational failings are said to have been discussed with the primary stakeholder, on 29 January 2013. Then under "Any other comments", a little further down, please, it says: "Angus Crawford PF has cited issues with Horizon for not proceeding with case." Do you recall receiving this case closure report? I don't, no. I don't recall that. It appears from it that a case was being dropped because of issues with Horizon; is that right? Absolutely. Can you help with what those issues were at all? Not at all, no. The form of words you use in your statement, that you were unaware of bugs, errors and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	Not at all. Could we have on screen, please, POL00165581. This is a case closure report, dated 9 October 2014, from Robert Daily. It is sent to Denise Reid, Brian Trotter and John Breeden, copied to you, among others. Can you help with why you were being copied into this case closure report, given what you have told us about your role by this point in 2014? I suspect that could be custom and practice. I looked through that, I can see the majority, if not all, of the senior lead team for Security being copied into that, the names I recognise there, Rob King, Sally Smith, John Bigley. I assume it's just an email cascade. We can see that this case relates to a case set up on 3 December 2012, relating to a branch named Gorbals. The "Enquiry Type" is "Cash Loss". The "Primary Stakeholder" is Denise Reid, the "Identified 'Criminal Loss'", scrolling down a bit, please, is £34,179.54. Scrolling down, please, to "Final Outcome",	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A.	Can you help with "PF"? What does that stand for. Procurator Fiscal, I suspect. Then under "Procedural and organisational failings", we see "Yes", is recorded. Under this, a date given is on which procedural and organisational failings are said to have been discussed with the primary stakeholder, on 29 January 2013. Then under "Any other comments", a little further down, please, it says: "Angus Crawford PF has cited issues with Horizon for not proceeding with case." Do you recall receiving this case closure report? I don't, no. I don't recall that. It appears from it that a case was being dropped because of issues with Horizon; is that right? Absolutely. Can you help with what those issues were at all? Not at all, no. The form of words you use in your statement,

1	affect the cash values required to	perform
---	------------------------------------	---------

- 2 an acceptable balance, does this reflect that
- 3 you were aware of bugs, errors and defects which
- 4 might affect a branch's ability to balance, but
- 5 you assumed that, where such problems arose,
- 6 they were either fixed or did not create
- 7 balancing issues which were significant?
- 8 A. I think, yes, they were fixed or did not create
- 9 those systematic balance variances.
- 10 Q. When do you think you first became aware that
- 11 systems problems could arise which could cause
- 12 balancing problems for banks?
- 13 A. Again, I wouldn't be able to state that with any
- 14 great certainty, unfortunately.
- 15 Q. Was it before you started making prosecution
- 16 decisions?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. Was it before you ceased in that role?
- 19 A. I don't recall that.
- 20 Q. Having the awareness that you did, when
- 21 subpostmasters being criminally investigated and
- 22 prosecuted attributed apparent shortfalls to the
- 23 Horizon system, why did you dismiss these claims
- 24 out of hand?
- 25 **A.** I think that was following on from the repeated
 - 117
- 1 relevance this might have had to
- 2 an investigation or prosecution?
- 3 A. No.
- 4 Q. It's right, isn't it, that, at the point of
- 5 advising on whether the prosecution test was
- 6 met, the Criminal Law Team would have been
- 7 reliant on Investigators to provide them with
- 8 all relevant material in the case?
- 9 A. It was, yes, yes.
- 10 **Q.** They would be reliant on your Investigators
- 11 pursuing all reasonable lines of inquiry?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. The same is true at the point of disclosure,
- 14 should a prosecution be brought, isn't it,
- 15 because, if reasonable lines of inquiry are not
- 16 pursued, then there is a risk relevant material
- 17 will not have been obtained?
- 18 **A.** It is.
- 19 Q. When you were an Investigator, were you
- 20 conscious that there was an obligation on you to
- 21 pursue lines of inquiry which pointed away from,
- as well as towards, the guilt of the suspect?
- 23 A. Correct, yes.
- 24 Q. When you led the Fraud Strand or Security
- 25 Operations function, were you satisfied that

- business assertions that Horizon was fit,
- 2 robust.
- 3 Q. The Inquiry has heard evidence that Fujitsu were
 - able to access the systems in a branch remotely,
- 5 and alter the data. Were you aware of that?
- 6 **A.** No

4

- 7 **Q.** Had you been aware, would this have concerned
- 8 you?
- 9 A. I'd have expected that the sufficient safeguards
- 10 would have been in place to maintain the probity
- 11 of the system. I wouldn't have felt technically
- competent to be able to challenge that, per se.
- 13 **Q.** The Inquiry has also heard evidence that there
- 14 were occasions on which the Post Office did not
- 15 tell Horizon users, who had been identified as
- 16 affected by a bug, error or defect, that they
- 17 had been so affected. Were you aware of this?
- 18 A. Not at all, no. No.
- 19 **Q.** Had you been aware, would this have concerned
- 20 you'
- 21 A. Absolutely.
- 22 Q. As far as you are aware, did the Post Office
- 23 ever consider that there might be discrepancies
- 24 which had been caused by an issue with the
- 25 system, of which the user was unaware, and the
 - 118
- 1 your Investigators understood their obligation
- 2 to pursue lines of inquiry which pointed away
- 3 from, as well as towards, the guilt of the
- 4 suspect?
- 5 A. I was at the time.
- 6 Q. How did you satisfy yourself that that was the
- 7 case?
- 8 A. I think there was a blanket belief that some of
- 9 the explanations being given, as astounding as
- 10 it sounds, were not relevant to the case.
- 11 Q. When you were an Investigator, were you aware
- that there was a duty on you as an Investigator
- to obtain and consider third-party material
- 14 from, for example, financial institutions and
- 15 Fujitsu, in appropriate cases?
- 16 A. As an Investigator, not from Fujitsu. That
- 17 would not have been applicable. But certainly
- 18 we'd seek with authority information from
- 19 financial institutions.
- 20 Q. So you're saying Investigators would not have
- 21 sought material from Fujitsu?
- 22 A. Apologies, I thought you said when I was
- 23 an Investigator.
- 24 $\,$ **Q.** Ah, when you were an Investigator, I see. When
- 25 you led the Fraud Strand and Security Operations

1		function, were you satisfied that your
2		Investigators understood there was a duty to
3		obtain and consider third-party material, in
4		an appropriate case?
5	A.	At the time, yes.
6	Q.	How did you satisfy yourself that that was the
7		case, that your Investigators understood that
8		obligation relating to third-party disclosure or
9		material?
10	A.	Again, I'd have expected that to have been
11		covered through formal training. I'd have
12		expected that to have been reiterated by team
13		leaders and I would have expected that to be
14		directed, as well, by the Criminal Law Team, if
15		they could see there was a particular absence or
16		omission within a set of case papers.
17	Q.	In terms of disclosure, it's right, isn't it,
18		that the Investigator in the case was normally
19		also the Disclosure Officer?
20	A.	Almost without exception, yes.
21	Q.	Do you recall the three Rs which apply to
22		disclosure: retain, record, reveal?
23	A.	I do.
24	Q.	What were the provides within the Post Office to
25		ensure that these fundamental disclosure
		121
1	Q.	
2		there are any processes in the Post Office
3		Security Team to ensure that there was proper
4		recording of information going to the operation
5		of Horizon which had been raised in prior
6		prosecutions?
7	Α.	I can't, yes, that's correct.
8	Q.	Which part of the Post Office was the repository
9		for information or evidence about bugs, errors
10		and defects in Horizon?
11	A.	It would have sat with one of the IT functions.
12		I can't be more specific than that
13		unfortunately. There would have been functions
14		that would have phased into Fujitsu. I can't be
15		more specific.
16	Q.	Were Investigators informed or kept updated
17		about significant changes to Horizon or about
18		
		any problems, bugs, errors or defects, that the
19		any problems, bugs, errors or defects, that the Post Office was aware of?
19 20	A.	
	A.	Post Office was aware of?

1		principles retain, record, reveal were
2		applied to information held by the Post Office?
3	Α.	I think across the piste, with hindsight, that
4		was seen as an administrative case preparation
5		function, as opposed to forming a pivotal
6	_	component of the criminal investigation.
7	Q.	What processes existed within the Post Office
8		Security Team to ensure that there was collation
9		of information held by the Post Office, going to
10		the operation of Horizon?
11	Α.	Again, I think that would come back to the fact
12		that the relevance of the information was simply
13		just not considered.
14	Q.	'
15		Security Team to ensure that there was proper
16		recording of information held by the Post Office
17		going to the operation of Horizon?
18	A.	Can you just repeat the question, please?
19	Q.	What processes existed within the Post Office
20		Security Team to ensure that there was proper
21		recording as opposed to collation of
22		information, recording of information held by
23		the Post Office, going to the operation of
24		Horizon?
25	A.	I'm not aware of that, sorry. 122
1 2		a subpostmaster sought to rely on Horizon as explaining losses which formed the basis of
2		
2	A.	explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't.
2 3 4 5	A. Q.	explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which
2 3 4 5 6		explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which was provided by Fujitsu in support of
2 3 4 5 6 7		explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which was provided by Fujitsu in support of prosecutions brought by the Post Office, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which was provided by Fujitsu in support of prosecutions brought by the Post Office, in terms of the people who you recall from Fujitsu,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which was provided by Fujitsu in support of prosecutions brought by the Post Office, in terms of the people who you recall from Fujitsu, who provided prosecution support, is it right
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which was provided by Fujitsu in support of prosecutions brought by the Post Office, in terms of the people who you recall from Fujitsu, who provided prosecution support, is it right that you recall Penny Thomas being involved in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which was provided by Fujitsu in support of prosecutions brought by the Post Office, in terms of the people who you recall from Fujitsu, who provided prosecution support, is it right that you recall Penny Thomas being involved in ARQ requests
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which was provided by Fujitsu in support of prosecutions brought by the Post Office, in terms of the people who you recall from Fujitsu, who provided prosecution support, is it right that you recall Penny Thomas being involved in ARQ requests I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which was provided by Fujitsu in support of prosecutions brought by the Post Office, in terms of the people who you recall from Fujitsu, who provided prosecution support, is it right that you recall Penny Thomas being involved in ARQ requests I do and these requests being provided by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q.	explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which was provided by Fujitsu in support of prosecutions brought by the Post Office, in terms of the people who you recall from Fujitsu, who provided prosecution support, is it right that you recall Penny Thomas being involved in ARQ requests I do and these requests being provided by the return of password-protected CD-ROMs?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q.	explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which was provided by Fujitsu in support of prosecutions brought by the Post Office, in terms of the people who you recall from Fujitsu, who provided prosecution support, is it right that you recall Penny Thomas being involved in ARQ requests I do and these requests being provided by the return of password-protected CD-ROMs? I do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q.	explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which was provided by Fujitsu in support of prosecutions brought by the Post Office, in terms of the people who you recall from Fujitsu, who provided prosecution support, is it right that you recall Penny Thomas being involved in ARQ requests I do. and these requests being provided by the return of password-protected CD-ROMs? I do. Could we have paragraph 84 of Mr Pardoe's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which was provided by Fujitsu in support of prosecutions brought by the Post Office, in terms of the people who you recall from Fujitsu, who provided prosecution support, is it right that you recall Penny Thomas being involved in ARQ requests I do and these requests being provided by the return of password-protected CD-ROMs? I do. Could we have paragraph 84 of Mr Pardoe's statement on screen, please. That's page 31.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q.	explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which was provided by Fujitsu in support of prosecutions brought by the Post Office, in terms of the people who you recall from Fujitsu, who provided prosecution support, is it right that you recall Penny Thomas being involved in ARQ requests I do and these requests being provided by the return of password-protected CD-ROMs? I do. Could we have paragraph 84 of Mr Pardoe's statement on screen, please. That's page 31. Towards the bottom of the page, at 84, you say:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q.	explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which was provided by Fujitsu in support of prosecutions brought by the Post Office, in terms of the people who you recall from Fujitsu, who provided prosecution support, is it right that you recall Penny Thomas being involved in ARQ requests I do and these requests being provided by the return of password-protected CD-ROMs? I do. Could we have paragraph 84 of Mr Pardoe's statement on screen, please. That's page 31. Towards the bottom of the page, at 84, you say: "In terms of additional prosecution support
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q.	explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which was provided by Fujitsu in support of prosecutions brought by the Post Office, in terms of the people who you recall from Fujitsu, who provided prosecution support, is it right that you recall Penny Thomas being involved in ARQ requests I do. and these requests being provided by the return of password-protected CD-ROMs? I do. Could we have paragraph 84 of Mr Pardoe's statement on screen, please. That's page 31. Towards the bottom of the page, at 84, you say: "In terms of additional prosecution support then I obviously recall that Fujitsu would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which was provided by Fujitsu in support of prosecutions brought by the Post Office, in terms of the people who you recall from Fujitsu, who provided prosecution support, is it right that you recall Penny Thomas being involved in ARQ requests I do and these requests being provided by the return of password-protected CD-ROMs? I do. Could we have paragraph 84 of Mr Pardoe's statement on screen, please. That's page 31. Towards the bottom of the page, at 84, you say: "In terms of additional prosecution support then I obviously recall that Fujitsu would provide expert witness testimony presented by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q.	explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which was provided by Fujitsu in support of prosecutions brought by the Post Office, in terms of the people who you recall from Fujitsu, who provided prosecution support, is it right that you recall Penny Thomas being involved in ARQ requests I do and these requests being provided by the return of password-protected CD-ROMs? I do. Could we have paragraph 84 of Mr Pardoe's statement on screen, please. That's page 31. Towards the bottom of the page, at 84, you say: "In terms of additional prosecution support then I obviously recall that Fujitsu would provide expert witness testimony presented by Gareth Jenkins. I'm unsure what the contractual
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q.	explaining losses which formed the basis of a prosecution? No, there wasn't. Turning, please, to the witness evidence which was provided by Fujitsu in support of prosecutions brought by the Post Office, in terms of the people who you recall from Fujitsu, who provided prosecution support, is it right that you recall Penny Thomas being involved in ARQ requests I do and these requests being provided by the return of password-protected CD-ROMs? I do. Could we have paragraph 84 of Mr Pardoe's statement on screen, please. That's page 31. Towards the bottom of the page, at 84, you say: "In terms of additional prosecution support then I obviously recall that Fujitsu would provide expert witness testimony presented by

coherent approach across prosecutions as to what

 ${\bf 23}~~{\bf Q.}~~{\bf Was~there,~as~far~as~you~were~aware,~any~formal}$

the investigative approach should be when

24

25

Penny Thomas and Gareth Jenkins, does that come

		The Post Offi
1		from your time as a Senior Security Manager,
2		heading up the Fraud or Security Operations
3		strand, or does that come from your time when
4		you headed up the Grapevine function?
5	A.	I think Penny Thomas resonates more from heading
6		up the Grapevine function. I think that Gareth
7		Jenkins will resonate from both Grapevine
8		function and from the Fraud Strand function, as
9		well.
10	Q.	When you were in Security Operations and making
11		decisions on prosecutions, did you understand
12		that the prosecution had specific
13		responsibilities when they instructed an expert
14		to ensure that the expert was properly
15		instructed to provide an opinion or questions on
16		issues between the parties?
17	A.	Not specifically, and I would have relied
18		heavily on the Criminal Law Team to ensure that
19		those duties were fulfilled.
20	Q.	The same question in relation to whether you
21		were aware that such instructions should be
22		confirmed by anyway of a written document?
23	A.	I'm not aware of that.
24	Q.	And that the expert understood what the expert's

1 Yes. I would have been.

duties to the court entailed?

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Q. Were you aware that there was a duty to record 3 the existence of such communications on 4 a disclosure schedule?

5 A. Yes, I would have been.

6 Q. You address your role in relation to Grapevine 7 at paragraph 82 of your statement. Could we 8 have that on screen, please. It's page 31.

9 Here, you say this:

> "There was a period towards the end of my career when I managed the crime intelligence function under the heading Grapevine. This function acted as the conduit between the Operational Investigators and Fujitsu in terms of ARQ requests. This was a stringent process and covered by a policy that unfortunately hasn't been presented to me within supplied documents. I didn't act as the gatekeeper to requests. I do recall that Dave Posnett would get involved in out of course requests in terms of size."

Turning, please, to paragraph 99 over the page -- apologies, it's not over the page. Page 34, please. At 99, you say:

"Other than acting in a role that had team 127

1 I would make an assumption that that was the 2 case

3 Q. Did you know that the instruction of an expert gave rise to distinct disclosure obligations on 4 the part of the prosecution, including that the 5 6 prosecutor was required to bring to the 7 attention of the defence and to the court any 8 material which the prosecutor was aware of being 9 reasonably capable of undermining the expert's 10 opinion?

A. I was not advised of that, no. 11

Were you aware that there was a particular duty 12 13 to retain communications between the police and 14 experts, such as forensic scientists, reports of 15 work carried out by experts and schedules of 16 scientific material prepared by the expert for 17 the Investigator for the purposes of criminal 18 proceedings?

19 Appertaining to that particular case or to 20 a theme of cases, sorry?

21 Q. So in the context of the provision of expert 22 evidence, specifically by Fujitsu, were you 23 aware that there was a duty on prosecutors, 24 a disclosure duty, to retain communications 25 between the Investigator and the expert?

126

1 members who acted as the conduit between Post 2 Office Investigators and Fujitsu then I can't 3 recall any regular contact with them. Contact 4 historically was via the Information Security 5 Team."

6 Can you recall the Casework Management Team 7 having a role as being the point of contact 8 between Investigators and Fujitsu.

9 A. Yes, they would be.

10 Was that casework management function something 11 that came to be part of the Grapevine function?

There was a period when that was the case, yes. 12

13 You say you cannot recall regular contact with 14 Fujitsu but your team had a role in liaising 15 with Fujitsu over the production of witness 16 evidence. Did you know when you headed up the 17 Grapevine function that there was certain things

which were necessary inclusions in an expert 18

19 report, for example, a statement setting out the

20 substance of all instructions received --

21 I did not. No.

22 -- the materials provided and considered and the 23 documents, statements, information or

24 assumptions, which are material to the opinions

25 expressed --

- 1 A. I did not.
- 2 Q. A statement to the effect that the expert has
- 3 complied with his or her duty to the court to
- 4 provide independent assistance, by way of
- 5 objective, unbiased opinion, in relation to
- 6 matters within their expertise --
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. And an acknowledgement that the expert will
- 9 inform all parties and, where appropriate, the
- 10 court in the event that his or her opinion
- 11 changes on any material issues?
- 12 A. Again, no.
- 13 Q. It is your evidence that you did not know the
- 14 contractual basis for Mr Jenkins' involvement in
- 15 cases and you had no regular contact with
- 16 Fujitsu. Does it follow that, although you were
- 17 aware that Mr Jenkins would provide expert
- 18 witness testimony, you had no involvement in the
- 19 instruction of Mr Jenkins in any case?
- 20 A. Absolutely. I had no involvement in his
- 21 instruction whatsoever.
- 22 Q. Did you yourself check whether Mr Jenkins had
- 23 been properly instructed, according to the
- 24 principles relating to expert witnesses that
- 25 we've just been through?

- 1 this with examples of the bugs, errors and
- 2 defects that had actually applied to those cases
- 3 that you've just recounted to me. In the
- 4 absence of those, then yes, I must make the
- 5 assertion that those prosecutions were wholly
- 6 wrong
- 7 Q. Well, the question is based on a summary of the
- 8 same paragraph that you've included and that
- 9 wording --
- 10 A. It is.
- 11 Q. -- in relation to each and every one of those
- 12 case studies.
- 13 **A.** It is.
- 14 Q. Does that remain your position, that you
- 15 consider that the prosecution was wholly wrong?
- 16 A. The prosecution was wholly wrong. If I may just
- go on, there should have been more care and
- 18 attention in supporting the impacted
- 19 subpostmasters to uncover the root cause.
- 20 Q. I do not propose to take you through the papers
- 21 relating to all of these cases but, in relation
- 22 to some cases, you appear to have had greater
- 23 involvement in the progression of the case. In
- 24 particular, I'd like to deal, please, with the
- 25 case of Josephine Hamilton. You note in your

- 1 A. No, I'd have made that assumption that that
- 2 check had been conducted end to end by members
- 3 of the Criminal Law Team.
- 4 Q. Is the same true in respect of whether you
- 5 yourself checked whether Mr Jenkins understood
- 6 the expert duties as were required?
- 7 A. Correct. The same would be true.
- 8 Q. And, again, in relation to whether Mr Jenkins'
- 9 witness statements had the necessary in
- 10 conclusions for expert evidence?
- 11 A. And again, the same would be true.
- 12 Q. Turning, please, to some of the specific case
- 13 studies with which you had involvement, is it
- 14 right that, in relation to all of the Inquiry
- 15 criminal prosecution case studies you comment on
- 16 in your statement, that is the cases of
- 17 Josephine Hamilton, Susan Rudkin, Julian Wilson,
- 18 Peter Holmes, Seema Misra, Alison Hall, Lynette
- 19 Hutchings, Grant Allen, Khayyam Ishaq, Angela
- 20 Sefton and Anne Neild, you now consider that the
- 21 prosecution was wholly wrong, as was the
- 22 continual Post Office position that the system
- 23 was not at fault?
- 24 A. I would have more confidence in making that
- 25 assertion if I'd have been approached prior to

130

- 1 statement that this is a case where the
- 2 prosecution was authorised by Tony Utting, who
- 3 is listed on the suspect offender report as the
- 4 Designated Prosecution Authority.
- 5 So this wasn't a case which you authorised
- 6 prosecution in but you did become involved, you
- 7 say in your statement, at a later stage,
- 8 specifically when consideration was being given
- 9 to whether pleas to false accounting charges
- 10 should be accepted; is that right?
- 11 A. That's right.
- 12 Q. This is a case where the charges brought were of
- 13 both theft and false accounting. It is a case
- in relation to which you say you were aware of
- the allegations being made by Mrs Hamilton
- 16 around Horizon IT issues; is that right?
- 17 **A.** It is.

19

- 18 Q. You say in your statement that you would have
 - read the suspect offender report produced by
- 20 Graham Brander in this case. Would this have
- 21 been when you became involved in consideration
- 22 of plea or before that?
- 23 A. I think the former, in consideration of plea.
- 24 Q. You've had a chance to reread Mr Brander's
- 25 report for the purposes of preparing your

1		statement for the inquiry; is that right?
2	A.	It is.
3	Q.	Could we have the report on screen, please. It
4		is POL00047955. We see here, as you note, Tony
5		Utting is listed as the Designated Prosecution

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Utting is listed as the Designated Prosecution Authority. Going to page 5 of this document, please, scrolling down, please, we see here the

author is the Investigator in the case, Graham Brander, and the report is dated 17 May 2006.

Just pausing there, did Graham Brander report to you?

- A. 12 He would have been, from memory, a direct report 13 to direct report. So he would have reported via a team leader into myself. 14
- 15 Q. Going, please, to page 3 of this document, at 16 the top, Mr Brander says this:

"Having analysed the Horizon printouts and accounting documentation I was unable to find any evidence of theft or that the cash figures had been deliberately inflated."

This was a case in which the prosecution was for theft as well as false accounting. Did it concern you, when you read this report, that a prosecution for theft had been brought by the Post Office in a case where the Investigator in

Richard Jory. It his email reads as follows: "Juliet/Jenee

"Counsel defending has offered pleas to false accounting in this matter (I presume counts 2-9 inclusive) and asked me to take instructions as to whether this might be an appropriate offer. My view is that there is evidence she has taken the money and that there is sufficient evidence to support theft, but Royal Mail may be content with guilty pleas to dishonesty matters if she undertook to repay the amount of the shortage at audit, ie £36,444.89. It might be worth speaking to the officers, Graham Brander and Colin Price, to canvass their views."

Scrolling up, please, to the top of the page, the first page, we see an email from Graham Brander to Jennifer Andrews and Juliet McFarlane, dated 10 October 2007. This email is copied to you, among others. Mr Brander says this in his email:

"Jenee/Juliet

"I agree with counsel. In my opinion, the evidence clearly shows theft (charge 1), however, if the defence are offering up guilty

135

1 the case was unable to find any evidence of 2 theft?

3 A. From memory, that was -- I don't think this case 4 is isolated in that approach. I think that 5 there was quite a common practice by the

6 Criminal Law Team.

7 Q. What was that practice?

8 A. That there would be a charge of theft and then 9 also charges of false accounting.

10 Q. Could we have on screen, please, document 11 reference POL00049083. Starting, please, with the email about halfway down this page. This is 12 13 an email from Jennifer Andrews from the Criminal 14 Law Team to the SD Prosecution Office, dated 15 9 October 2007. Can you help with what the SD 16 Prosecution Office's role was?

17 A. No, I can't, no. No. Sorry, I'm not familiar 18 with that term.

19 Q. This email is copied to Graham Brander, Colin 20 Price and Juliet McFarlane. As we can see from 21 the subject of the email, it relates to the case 22 against Josephine Hamilton. Ms Andrews is 23 forwarding an email from counsel for the Post 24 Office in the case, Richard Jory. So scrolling

pleas to all false Accounting charges (2-15 on my copy of the indictment), then I would suggest we accept this on the understanding that Mrs Hamilton agrees to repay the full amount ...

down, please, to the next page. We see there 134

"Any decision in respect of whether we accept this would need to be made by Dave Pardoe."

Should we take it from this that, at least in this case, the decision on acceptance of 10 a plea needed to come from you?

11 A. Yes.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12 Q. Was that always the case, that the Senior 13 Security Manager needed to agree any plea 14 agreement?

15 No, absolutely not. Many of these decisions would have been made quite dynamically on the 16 17 day, so they would have been made in court on 18 the day without any reference to myself and 19 rather with reference to the Criminal Law Team.

20 Q. Could we have on screen, please, document 21 reference POL00049154. This is a memo dated 22 15 November 2007 from Juliet McFarlane. If we 23

scroll down, please, at the bottom there, to the

24 Investigation Team. Going back up, please, 25 copied to Graham Brander, Ged Harbinson and you,

it reads as follows: 1 the assessment of counsel and the Investigator 1 2 2 "I refer to previous correspondence in the case at this point, originally in the 3 3 regarding this matter. investigator report the Investigator had found 4 4 no evidence of theft or even the cash figures "As you know there has been some discussion 5 as to whether or not pleas to false accounting 5 being deliberately inflated. 6 would be acceptable. I note this would be 6 A. Correct. 7 agreeable, providing that Mrs Hamilton were to 7 Q. You say in your statement at paragraph 108 that 8 repay the full amount. 8 you link the ultimate agreement to drop the 9 9 "On counsel's request, this matter has been theft charge to the lack of theft evidence. Is 10 listed for mention on 19 November 2007. The 10 that a fair summary of your paragraph 108? purpose of this is to see whether or not the A. Absolutely, yes. 11 11 12 trial can be vacated. It is possible that 12 We can see that Ged Harbinson's view was being 13 Mrs Hamilton may wish to enter pleas to false 13 sought -- if we scroll down a little, please --14 accounting. I understand however that she is 14 on the prospects of recovery by a confiscation 15 not yet in a position to repay and has not given 15 order should pleas be entered on the false 16 a date as to when this can be done. 16 accounting. Is it fair to say that the 17 "One option would be for the theft count to 17 prospects of recovery of the money was a key 18 be left on file pending payment by the date of 18 consideration for the Post Office when 19 trial or some later date." 19 considering what plea might be agreeable? 20 Ms McFarlane is essentially saying that 20 A. It would have been one consideration. 21 21 pleas to false accounting would be agreeable, I wouldn't describe it as key but, certainly, it 22 conditional upon Mrs Hamilton repaying the full 22 would have been one consideration. I do recall 23 amount of the apparent shortfall, isn't she? 23 it would have been more problematic to secure 24 A. Absolutely. 24 confiscation based on the false accounting 25 Q. This is in circumstances where, regardless of 25 piece, than on a conviction for a straight theft 138 1 charge, yes. 1 well, dated 19 November 2007, which you were 2 Q. At the time, did you think it was appropriate to 2 copied into. Can we have that on screen, 3 make acceptance of pleas to false accounting 3 please. The reference is POL00044388. We can 4 dependent on Mrs Hamilton repaying the full 4 see that the memo goes to the Investigation 5 amount of the apparent shortfall? 5 Team, copied specifically to Graham Brander, Ged 6 A. I didn't, no, because that had been almost 6 Harbinson and you. It reads as follows: 7 7 custom and practice from myself being quite "The Defendant appeared before the court 8 a junior investigator all the way through my 8 today. The prosecution was represented by 9 tenure with the Post Office, that had been Mr Richard Jory of 9-12 Bell Yard, London ... 9 10 an adopted practice. This by no means struck me 10 and the Defendant was represented by Anita 11 as being a one-off case. 11 Saran. 12 12 So, in answer to that, you think at the time you "The Defendant pleaded guilty to the false 13 thought that it was appropriate? 13 accounting counts 2-15 on the indictment. The 14 A. I didn't think any different. It's something 14 case has been adjourned ... for pre-sentence 15 that I'd -- my professional career within the 15 16 security function had grown up with. 16 "The Defendant has been informed that full Q. You're aware now, aren't you, that the way this 17 payment must be made prior to that date. The 17 was dealt with, making repayment a condition of 18 theft count has remained on file on the 18 dropping the theft charge, was criticised by the 19 understanding that it should be proceeded with 19 20 Court of Appeal when it overturned 20 if the money is not repaid. 21 Mrs Hamilton's conviction. They said that it 21 "It is believed that the Defendant has

22

23

24 A.

25

Q.

placed undue pressure on Mrs Hamilton. You're

There is a memo from Juliet McFarlane also --139

aware of that now, aren't you?

Indeed, yes.

monies which will be available at the end of the year. If the Defendant does not repay then consideration will need to be given to the practicalities of proceeding with the charge of 140

22

23

24

theft or whether confiscation proceedings should pursue.

"I not that the compensation outstanding is [and there's the figure].

"I note that the figure canvassed [the higher sum] is a sum which includes interest, the greatest sum will no doubt be pursued should confiscation proceedings be brought."

Then this, at the penultimate paragraph:

"It has been made clear to the Defence that there must be some recognition that the Defendant had the money short of theft and that a plea on the basis that the loss was due to the computer not working properly will not be accepted."

You were aware that Mrs Hamilton had raised allegations that the Horizon system was not working properly and this memo is making clear the Post Office position, that a plea on the basis the loss was due to the computer not working properly would not be accepted. We've seen reference in the email of 10 October from Mr Brander to the decision on plea ultimately being a matter for you. Was this a stipulation which came from you or not?

1 practice.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

4

5

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Was this practice to bring charges for theft, as 3 well as false accounting, intended to put pressure on a defendant to plead guilty to a lesser plea -- to a lesser charge, forgive me? A. I can only make that assumption that that's the
- 6 7 case, yes.
- 8 Q. The concluding paragraphs in your statement are 9 set out at 180 to 181. Could we have that on 10 screen, please. This is page 54 of the 11 statement. You say at paragraph 180:

"The more I see and hear from the Inquiry, then the further I despair. It strikes me that no one, at a suitable level of seniority, had the conviction and gumption to say enough is enough and to drive a timely, truly independent review whilst ceasing all prosecution activity and having the courage to be prepared to support the application and lessons of a truly independent Horizon review to both historic prosecutions and non-prosecuted repayment of accounting shortfalls. As someone that held several investigatory roles in the Post Office, I feel utterly deceived."

You go on to say:

Absolutely not. A.

2 Q. Was it a stipulation with which you agreed?

I'm not sure I thought that at the time, whether 3

he agreed or disagreed with it. It was

a stipulation. I'm fairly sure it wasn't in 5

6 isolation just towards this case. I think it

7 was part of the whole Horizon defence piece that

8 was being practised across the Post Office at

9 the time.

4

12

10 Q. Was this is an example of a Post Office line to 11 take, that the computer not working properly was

not to be entertained as a defence to a criminal

13 allegation?

14 A. I think that's fair to say, yes.

Q. You say in your statement at paragraph 138 that 15

16 you can say that dropping the theft charge in

17 relation to acceptance of falsification of

18 accounts was certainly not unheard of. Is that

19 the same point that you've already made that

20

21 A. It is indeed, yes.

22 Q. -- part and parcel of a wider picture?

23 A. It is indeed, and it certainly wasn't a recent

24 thing, you know. As I said, even from being

25 a junior investigator, it would be custom and

142

1 "With hindsight there should have been 2 a team of skilled analysts working on behalf of 3 branch errors, conducting full error analysis 4 using complete and unabridged Fujitsu data 5 including all reversals. This level of 6 transparency would have supported SPMRs to come

7 forward at low level loss stage rather than

8 being pushed into systematic false accounting

9 series."

10 You have made fairly plain your position in relation to the Post Office stance relating to 11 the Horizon system. Looking back, do you think 12 13 that you bore any responsibility for the 14 perpetuation of the Post Office stance in

15 relation to Horizon?

A. I was part of that groupthink and it would be 16 17 remiss of me to sit here today and say that

18 I didn't.

19 Q. Looking back, do you think you bore any 20 responsibility for what happened to the 21 individuals who were affected?

22 A. I think, in the absence of a more complete 23 ability to conduct investigations into those

24 conditions, then yes.

25 MS PRICE: Sir, those are all the questions that

1	I have for Mr Pardoe.	There are some question	ons
2	from Core Participants	S.	

3 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right. Who is going first?

4 MS PRICE: Mr Jacobs, sir.

5 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.

Questioned by MR JACOBS

MR JACOBS: Thank you, Mr Pardoe.

I don't know if you can hear me, I don't have the microphone switched on.

10 A. I can.

6

7

8

9

23

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

11 Q. You can. Good.

12 I'm going to be asking you about Peter 13 Holmes and Marion Holmes, his widow, sits next 14 to me today. You deal with the prosecution of 15 Peter Holmes at paragraphs 130 to 134 of your 16 statement. In Mr Holmes's case, the Court of 17 Appeal found that his prosecution had been 18 an abuse of process, there was no evidence to 19 corroborate the Horizon evidence, there was no 20 investigation into the integrity of the Horizon 21 figures and there was no proof of any actual 22 loss to the Post Office. Were you aware of that

24 A. I'm now aware of that but I'm only aware through25 my involvement today in the Inquiry.

or are you now aware of that?

145

over you -- is that I would have not felt professionally equipped to counter a decision that's been made by a senior or principal lawyer in the matter.

5 Q. Well, that's helpful. Thank you.

6 A. Thank you.

7 Q. At paragraph 132 of your statement, in relation8 to Mr Holmes' case, you say:

"I can see that Horizon difficulties have been cited. Again, these assertions would have been transacted with the fact that a steady stream of denials were being issued by Post Office."

So your position is that it was the Post Office's repeated assertions, as you've said, that was factoring into these prosecution decisions; is that right?

18 A. Absolutely.

19 Q. You make a statement at paragraph 134 in
 20 relation to Mr Holmes' case, which is similar to
 21 the statement in fact -- it's worded the same --

22 A. It is.

Q. -- as what you say in relation to others. You
 say the prosecution was wholly wrong, which of
 course the Court of Appeal have found, and you

Q. Yes. You say at paragraph 131 of your evidence
 that you were the nominated representative in

3 the case.

4 A. For prosecution purposes, yes.

5 Q. Yes. Is that the same as the Designated6 Prosecution Authority because I think that's how

your name appears on some of the documents?

8 A. It is, it is.

9 $\,$ Q. Just for completeness, you say at paragraph 56

10 of your evidence that the job of the Designated

11 Prosecution Authority or nominated

12 representative was to confirm the CLT decision,

13 check the decision for fairness and objectivity

14 and place the case into prosecution status; is

15 that right?

16 A. Correct.

17 **Q.** So you're effectively authorising a decision

18 that's already been made?

19 A. Correct.

20 **Q.** Would you describe it as a rubber stamping

21 exercise in any way?

22 A. I think I've already referred to it in that

23 manner.

24 Q. Okay, thank you.

25 **A.** The position I adopted -- apologies, for talking

say, "Had I been aware that there was knowledge of bugs, errors and defects", and you go on to say, "that could ultimately affect significantly cash values, require to perform an acceptable

5 balance", and you were expected to remain mute

6 around these, and continue in your Security

7 function, you would have considered your

8 position untenable.

9 Now, the Inquiry has heard evidence that 10 there was knowledge of bugs, errors and defects 11 within Post Office and Fujitsu, certainly at the 12 time of Mr Holmes' prosecution. Is that 13 something that you're aware of, isn't it?

14 A. I'm not aware of it at that time.

15 Q. Right. No, at the time you weren't aware of it16 but have you become aware of that now through

17 following the Inquiry?

18 A. Sorry, absolutely.

Q. We understand, then, that your evidence is that
 information of the knowledge of bugs, errors and
 defects that Post Office had was withheld from

you; is that right?

23 A. I can only assume that, yes.

Q. Then it must follow from that, that had thisinformation -- and Mr Holmes had repeatedly, in

1	his interview, blamed and criticised the Horizon
2	system had this information not been withheld
3	from you, it must follow that you would not have
4	authorised Mr Holmes' prosecution?

Correct. 5 Α.

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

6 Well, thank you for that.

If I could then ask you to turn to paragraph 78 of your witness statement. Now, that's page 29 of 62, and the reference is WITN08170100. You should have that on the screen in a minute, unless I've given the wrong number of course. Here it is, 78. So if we could perhaps scroll down and you say here:

"I recall with an element of clarity updates from John Scott assuring the Security function that the system was reliable, and we were [able to] continue with BAU activity."

I assume that's "business as usual"?

19 A. It is

20 Q. So in relation to the clarity of your

21 recollection, what can you tell us the Inquiry

22 about the detail of these updates, their dates,

23 their frequency?

24 A. I couldn't go into that level of granularity,

25 apologies.

149

1 this is likely to be out within the public 2 domain and the approach we're taking is this, 3 this, this and this", to paraphrase. 4 Q. Would that have been before the Computer Weekly 5 article in 2009, perhaps? 6 A. It could have been around about the same time.

7 But it was a clear communication, and who was it 8

addressed to, or --

9 A. I think it went to everybody. It wasn't Security family specific. I'm sure it went to 10

11 everybody.

So the whole organisation was told "There's 12 going to be something in the media about Horizon 13 14 and it is to be disregarded because everything

15 is robust and" --

A. I certainly recall a -- reading a written 16 17 rebuttal and position that the business were 18 adopting, yes.

You said in your evidence at around about 11.45 19 Q. this morning, when you were taken to Mr Jarnail 20 21 Singh's email after the Seema Misra trial, you 22 said that Horizon bashing sentiment was being

23 used at every level. How frequently were you

24 hearing this and what sort of people and what

25 sort of roles were responsible?

151

Q. But when you say "with clarity", are you able to 1 2 say the wording, what was being said, how often? 3 Who it was addressed to?

4 A. I'm unfortunately not, no.

5

12

6 There was a persistent sentiment that the system 7 was fit for purpose. I was never in a meeting

when it was discussed with me the concept of 8

9 putting the brakes on prosecution activity.

10 It's clear that there was a fear that, to do

11 that, would immediately cast doubt on

prosecutions that had been completed that had

13 gone before. I was never privy to that type of

14 conversation, no.

15 Q. But I think we can see from your statement that 16 this was coming from John Scott?

17 A. It's coming from John Scott. The one I remember

18 probably with greater clarity is the Paula

19 Vennells communication.

20 Q. I was going to ask you about that, yes, if you

21 can carry on.

22 I'm sure that that preceded known media interest

23 that was imminently about to go public, and I'm 24

sure that there was some form of written

25 communication to say, you know, "Look, folks,

1 I just recollect it being a consistent

2 organisational theme, that there was nothing

3 wrong with Horizon; it was simply a hook that

4 individuals were attaching themselves to, to try

5 to explain unexplained losses that were being

6 incurred at branch. It was almost the modern

7 theme, rather than place blame on employees. It

8 was, you know, almost more palatable just to

9 place blame on the Horizon system. It was just

10 a whole sentiment at the time.

Q. Does this arise from what the Chief Executive 11

12 Officer had been saying and what John Scott had

13 said?

24

25

14 A. It was all -- everything that was building up to 15 form of sentiment, yes.

Q. Right. Now you were taken a moment ago by 16 17 Ms Price to what you say at the end of your statement at paragraphs 180 and 181. What you 18

19 end with in paragraph 180, is you say:

20 "As someone that held several investigatory 21 roles in the Post Office, I feel utterly 22 deceived."

23

Now, as the person who authorised Mr Holmes' prosecution, do you have anything to say to Mrs Holmes and to other subpostmasters about

1		that deception?	1		Horizon IT scandal. You would agree, as
2	A.	Absolutely. I was omitted from I believe,	2		a general principle, that you cannot put a price
3		from key information that would have helped me	3		on justice
4		to direct investigation resource and support	4	A.	I would.
5		individuals.	5	Q.	and that, in the discharge of the Post
6	Q.	Do you have anything you wish to say to	6		Office's duty as a private prosecutor, money
7		Mrs Holmes herself?	7		ought to have been no object in ensuring that it
8	A.	I wonder if any words I could express would help	8		fulfilled and complied with its obligations?
9		to resolve what happened. I really do,	9	A.	I would.
10		genuinely do.	10	Q.	So you were aware maybe not the Section of
11		As I said, you know, I go back to my time at	11		the Criminal Procedure and Investigations Act
12		district days when we were supported and	12		but you were aware that material which might
13		encouraged to support on a one-to-one basis	13		reasonably be considered capable of undermining
14		subpostmasters, and the business, fast forwards	14		the case for the prosecution against an accused
15		10, 20 years, rather than improve that level of	15		or of assisting the case for the accused, ought
16		support, it appears to have just stepped	16		to be disclosed?
17		backwards from it.	17	A.	I think there was a view being taken around the
18	MR	JACOBS: Thank you. I haven't any further	18		relevance of that and that it simply as
19		questions.	19		astounding as it sounds to sit here today, that
20	THE	E WITNESS: Thank you very much.	20		it simply was not relevant.
21	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Anyone else?	21	Q.	Well, that is a decision which is either so
22	MR	HENRY: Thank you, sir, Mr Henry, please.	22		irrational or it is taken in bad faith. Is
23		Questioned by MR HENRY	23		there anything you'd like to say about that?
24	MR	HENRY: Mr Pardoe, I represent number of	24	A.	I think the issue of disclosure, there was
25		subpostmasters whose lives were destroyed by the	25		a focus on that on the administrative discharge,
		153			154
4		and the it being a fundamental part of	4		DOLOGOGAGGS INTO a Financial Investigation
1		as opposed to it being a fundamental part of	1		POL00064033. It's a Financial Investigation
2	_	a proper and correct investigation.	2		Policy log, compiled by a man called Mick
3	Q.	So in other words lip-service, administrative	3		Matthews, whom I think you recall.
4		tick box, saying one thing but meaning or doing	4	Α.	l do.
5		another, as opposed to a profound adherence to	5	Q.	You do. Well, I wonder if that could be put up
6		the principle of disclosure?	6		on screen so that you can see it. If we just go
7	Α.	From all levels, yes.	7		through it briefly, this is what Mr Matthews
8	Q.	Right. Were you aware that, in conducting	8		says. He has:
9		an investigation, an Investigator should pursue	9		" commenced an investigation into
10		all reasonable lines of inquiry, whether they	10		Ms Janet Louise Skinner, ex-subpostmaster of
11	_	pointed towards or away from a suspect?	11		North Bransholme Post Office. She is under
12	Α.	Of course, yes.	12		investigation for the suspected theft of [nearly
13	Q.	You were. So you agree with me that it ought	13		£60,000] whilst she was employed as
14		not to be about money; it ought to be this is	14		a subpostmaster. This investigation is for the
15		a very important obligation which rests on our	15		purpose of identifying money laundering offences
16		shoulders and we should discharge it to the best	16		and confiscation. The investigation will be
17		of our ability, whatever the cost? You agree	17		proportionate, have a legal framework,
18		with that in principle?	18		accountable and necessary."
19	Α.	l do.	19		Then it says:
20	Q.	And that matters that pointed away from the	20		"It will follow of the legal requirements of
21		suspect ought to have a high value, so far as	21		the Proceeds of Crime Act, the Police and
22		the investigation is concerned?	22		Criminal Evidence Act, Criminal Procedure and

23

24

25

Investigations Act and Regulation of

Investigatory Powers Act. It will also be

European Convention on Human Rights compliant",

156

23

24

25

A. Where considered relevant, I do, yes.

Q. Now, I want to ask you, please -- we're just

going to concentrate on one document and it's

1		et cetera, et cetera.	1		facts were capable, were they not, of suggesting
2		What I want to put to you, sir, is that the	2		that the system was at fault, not the
3		prosecution is not what it says or the Post	3		individual; do you agree?
4		Office is not what it says, but what it does.	4	Δ	I don't understand the full context of the case,
5		It's got to be judged on its actions; do you	5	Λ.	but that's a potential, yes.
6		agree with that?	6	^	That's a potential. Now, that was as long ago
7	A.	I do.	7	Q.	
					as December '06. On 22 May, Mr Matthews spoke
8	Q.	You do. On 7 December 2006, Diane Matthews, who	8		to a person called Juliet, as it occurred to him
9		recently gave evidence, reported that Wendy	9		that the defence would say that Ms Skinner has
10		Lyell, the replacement subpostmaster at North	10		not benefited from crime, as it was a member of
11		Bransholme, had been suspended on suspicion of	11		staff.
12		theft and that Diane Matthews was to establish	12		Sorry, could you scroll down, I do
13		the details. So it followed that Ms Skinner was	13		apologise.
14		accused of the suspected theft of nearly	14		I'm scrolling my screen, but unfortunately
15		£60,000 pounds and, at the time, she was in	15		yours is not.
16		negotiations to settle this by way of a plea to	16		What I want to go to is that on 22 May 2007,
17		false accounting. You may not have been aware	17		and this is page 2 of 3, Mr Matthews says:
18		of that.	18		"It occurred to me that I had not made any
19	A.	No.	19		enquiries about Wendy"
20	Q.	But let me just briefly ask you to consider	20		He describes her there as "Liddell"; in fact
21		this: Ms Skinner had been ringing up the	21		it's Lyell.
22		Helpdesk time and time again, complaining about	22		"I looked through the event log and read the
23		the system, and then, lo and behold, her	23		entry date, 7 December. I had overlooked,
24		replacement is then, a few weeks after that,	24		pursuing the matter. Decided to find out what
25		suspended on suspicion of theft. Those two	25		the current position was.
		157			158
1		"Received an email from Dave Pardoe my new	1	Q.	It's not a question of costs, as you rightly
2		Line Manager to the effect that no further	2		said at the outset, because costs shouldn't have
3		resources were to be expended on the case in	3		anything to do with the discharge of justice.
4		respect of Wendy Lyell."	4		Were you aware, Mr Pardoe, of the problems with
5		Do you recall contacting Mr Matthews and	5		the system and that this might reveal, with
6		telling him not to pursue his enquiries into	6		stark clarity, the problems in the system?
7		Wendy Lyell.	7	A.	Not at all.
8	Α.	Absolutely not.	8	Q.	Further, 27 August:
9	Q.	27 August:	9		"Following the conversation it occurred to
10		"It occurred to me that in the interests of	10		me that the defence in the interests of justice
11		justice we could be rightly criticised for not	11		may well be entitled to a comprehensive
12		carrying out a comprehensive investigation into	12		investigation and it is my view that one should
13		Wendy Lyell. I spoke with and asked him [that's	13		be carried out. Spoke with Dave again and he
14		you, Mr Pardoe] to reconsider allocating	14		said he did not agree and he was maintaining his
15		resources in order for the matter to be further	15		position."
16		investigated."	16		Mr Pardoe, do you recall that conversation?
17		You are reported to have said, Mr Pardoe,	17	A.	Absolutely not.
		·	18		-
18		that:		Q.	It's been put there fairly and squarely in this
19		" if we are criticised, so be it, no	19		report by Mr Matthews. How would this report be
20		further investigation resource was going to be	20		circulated, sir? It's obviously got a purpose,
21		allocated."	21		hasn't it?
22		Do you recall saying that to Mr Matthews?	22	Α.	Well, it's a log of Proceeds of Crime type
23	Α.	I don't recall that position and it wouldn't be	23		activity, of POCA activity.
24		something that I'd adopt within my leadership	24	Q.	Right:

25

25

style.

159

"Following the conversation it occurred to

4		me that the defence in the interests of justice	1		my loadership style within that I do not see
1		me that the defence in the interests of justice	1		my leadership style within that. I do not see
2		may well be entitled to a comprehensive	2		what I would have to gain from reducing activity
3		investigation"	3		in that area by one of my, at the time,
4		He was spelling this out to you, wasn't he?	4		Financial Investigators. I don't understand the
5	Α.	Until it was presented to me as part of the	5		context of that whatsoever.
6		Inquiry bundles, I had no knowledge of this	6		For me, it seems such a cursory thing that
7	_	document.	7		I would just authorise further investigations as
8	Q.	It is not a question of you having knowledge of	8		required. There was nothing to be gained by me
9		this document. I am now asking you to recall,	9		in saying that, you know, under no circumstances
10		on your oath or affirmation, what was said on	10		should resource be applied to that. There would
11		27 August 2007 when Mr Matthews was saying that,	11		simply be nothing to be gained.
12		in the interests of justice, the defence may	12	Q.	Well, isn't this actually a way for you to
13		well be entitled to a comprehensive	13		acknowledge what you have, by implication,
14		investigation and that one should be carried	14		accused others of, which is the groupthink,
15		out. Are you saying you do not recall that?	15		which is "We have to protect" this is the
16	A.	From 16 years ago, absolutely.	16		theme, "we have to protect Horizon at all
17	Q.	Do you think this is a demonstration of the	17		costs"?
18		groupthink of the siege mentality in action, the	18	A.	Not at all.
19		very thing	19	Q.	Because there it is. It's simple, unambiguous
20	A.	Sorry. I apologise. I would need to understand	20		language. It occurs to Mr Matthews that, in the
21		the context of that. I really would.	21		interests of justice, the defence may well be
22	Q.	You would. You would agree?	22		entitled to a comprehensive investigation and
23	A.	No, I said I'd need to understand the full	23		that one should be carried out. You contradict
24		context. I do not recognise we all have	24		him, state you do not agree, and maintain your
25		a certain leadership style. I do not recognise 161	25		position that you are not going to fund that 162
1		inquin 2	1		hadin again at 10.00 temorrow marning
1	^	inquiry?	1	Me	begin again at 10.00 tomorrow morning.
2	Α.	Allegedly contradict him.	2		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you.
2	A. Q.	Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the	2		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm)
2 3 4		Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the	2 3 4		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5		Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what	2 3 4 5		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm)
2 3 4 5 6		Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there	2 3 4 5 6		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7		Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what	2 3 4 5 6 7		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what you have read and what I have put to you in this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what you have read and what I have put to you in this document?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what you have read and what I have put to you in this document? I do not recall the document whatsoever.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. SIR	Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what you have read and what I have put to you in this document? I do not recall the document whatsoever.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. SIR MR	Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what you have read and what I have put to you in this document? I do not recall the document whatsoever. WYN WILLIAMS: Is that it, Mr Henry?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. SIR MR	Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what you have read and what I have put to you in this document? I do not recall the document whatsoever. RWYN WILLIAMS: Is that it, Mr Henry? HENRY: That is it. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. SIR MR	Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what you have read and what I have put to you in this document? I do not recall the document whatsoever. WYN WILLIAMS: Is that it, Mr Henry? HENRY: That is it. Thank you. WYN WILLIAMS: Any other questions from anyone? PRICE: No, sir. I think those are all the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. SIR MR SIR MS	Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what you have read and what I have put to you in this document? I do not recall the document whatsoever. WYN WILLIAMS: Is that it, Mr Henry? HENRY: That is it. Thank you. WYN WILLIAMS: Any other questions from anyone? PRICE: No, sir. I think those are all the questions from Core Participants.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. SIR MR SIR MS	Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what you have read and what I have put to you in this document? I do not recall the document whatsoever. RWYN WILLIAMS: Is that it, Mr Henry? HENRY: That is it. Thank you. RWYN WILLIAMS: Any other questions from anyone? PRICE: No, sir. I think those are all the questions from Core Participants.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. SIR MR SIR MS	Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what you have read and what I have put to you in this document? I do not recall the document whatsoever. WYN WILLIAMS: Is that it, Mr Henry? HENRY: That is it. Thank you. WYN WILLIAMS: Any other questions from anyone? PRICE: No, sir. I think those are all the questions from Core Participants. WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Then thank you, Mr Pardoe, for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. SIR MR SIR MS	Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what you have read and what I have put to you in this document? I do not recall the document whatsoever. WYN WILLIAMS: Is that it, Mr Henry? HENRY: That is it. Thank you. WYN WILLIAMS: Any other questions from anyone? PRICE: No, sir. I think those are all the questions from Core Participants. WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Then thank you, Mr Pardoe, for a comprehensive witness statement and answering	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. SIR MR SIR MS	Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what you have read and what I have put to you in this document? I do not recall the document whatsoever. WYN WILLIAMS: Is that it, Mr Henry? HENRY: That is it. Thank you. WYN WILLIAMS: Any other questions from anyone? PRICE: No, sir. I think those are all the questions from Core Participants. WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Then thank you, Mr Pardoe, for a comprehensive witness statement and answering a good many questions today. I'm sorry if it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. SIR MR SIR MS	Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what you have read and what I have put to you in this document? I do not recall the document whatsoever. RWYN WILLIAMS: Is that it, Mr Henry? HENRY: That is it. Thank you. RWYN WILLIAMS: Any other questions from anyone? PRICE: No, sir. I think those are all the questions from Core Participants. RWYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Then thank you, Mr Pardoe, for a comprehensive witness statement and answering a good many questions today. I'm sorry if it was inconvenient for you to start at 9.00. That	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. SIR MR SIR MS	Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what you have read and what I have put to you in this document? I do not recall the document whatsoever. WYN WILLIAMS: Is that it, Mr Henry? HENRY: That is it. Thank you. WYN WILLIAMS: Any other questions from anyone? PRICE: No, sir. I think those are all the questions from Core Participants. WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Then thank you, Mr Pardoe, for a comprehensive witness statement and answering a good many questions today. I'm sorry if it was inconvenient for you to start at 9.00. That was because I have to go somewhere shortly.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. SIR MR SIR MS	Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what you have read and what I have put to you in this document? I do not recall the document whatsoever. WYN WILLIAMS: Is that it, Mr Henry? HENRY: That is it. Thank you. WYN WILLIAMS: Any other questions from anyone? PRICE: No, sir. I think those are all the questions from Core Participants. WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Then thank you, Mr Pardoe, for a comprehensive witness statement and answering a good many questions today. I'm sorry if it was inconvenient for you to start at 9.00. That was because I have to go somewhere shortly. Those who were listening to your evidence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. SIR MR SIR MS	Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what you have read and what I have put to you in this document? I do not recall the document whatsoever. WYN WILLIAMS: Is that it, Mr Henry? HENRY: That is it. Thank you. WYN WILLIAMS: Any other questions from anyone? PRICE: No, sir. I think those are all the questions from Core Participants. WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Then thank you, Mr Pardoe, for a comprehensive witness statement and answering a good many questions today. I'm sorry if it was inconvenient for you to start at 9.00. That was because I have to go somewhere shortly. Those who were listening to your evidence with a personal interest in it, I hope they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. SIR MR SIR MS	Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what you have read and what I have put to you in this document? I do not recall the document whatsoever. WYN WILLIAMS: Is that it, Mr Henry? HENRY: That is it. Thank you. WYN WILLIAMS: Any other questions from anyone? PRICE: No, sir. I think those are all the questions from Core Participants. WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Then thank you, Mr Pardoe, for a comprehensive witness statement and answering a good many questions today. I'm sorry if it was inconvenient for you to start at 9.00. That was because I have to go somewhere shortly. Those who were listening to your evidence with a personal interest in it, I hope they found it informative.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. SIR MR SIR MS	Allegedly contradict him. Nothing is served by repetition but I'm, for the last time, just now, asking you, knowing the solemnity of this moment and knowing what happened to the people I represent, is there anything you would like to say arising from what you have read and what I have put to you in this document? I do not recall the document whatsoever. WYN WILLIAMS: Is that it, Mr Henry? HENRY: That is it. Thank you. WYN WILLIAMS: Any other questions from anyone? PRICE: No, sir. I think those are all the questions from Core Participants. WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Then thank you, Mr Pardoe, for a comprehensive witness statement and answering a good many questions today. I'm sorry if it was inconvenient for you to start at 9.00. That was because I have to go somewhere shortly. Those who were listening to your evidence with a personal interest in it, I hope they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		PRICE: Yes, sir. Thank you. 50 pm) (The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am

INDEX

DAVID JOHN PARDOE (affirmed)	1
Questioned by MS PRICE	1
Questioned by MR JACOBS	145
Questioned by MR HENRY	153

	109/11	109/11 110/1	91/13	able [9] 95/17 98/20
	12 months [1] 12/7	2010/11 [1] 33/22		112/3 112/21 117/13
MR HENRY: [3]	12.25 [1] 104/7	2011 [5] 24/5 80/19	5	118/4 118/12 149/16
153/22 153/24 163/12	12.26 [1] 104/12	80/22 81/5 85/11	500 [1] 102/4	150/1
MR JACOBS: [2]	13 [5] 36/4 36/9 39/7	2012 [4] 24/9 70/7	54 [3] 91/2 91/13	about [54] 12/6 19/1
145/7 153/18	39/19 61/24		143/10	23/3 23/15 23/20
MS PRICE: [18] 1/3		80/19 115/18		I .
1/6 1/10 53/13 53/18	130 [1] 145/15	2013 [1] 116/10	55 [3] 1/17 68/11	28/24 29/11 29/13
53/23 54/2 54/4	131 [1] 146/1	2014 [7] 24/13 24/19	68/15	30/5 31/21 32/10
103/12 103/20 104/4	132 [1] 147/7	111/5 113/9 114/5	56 [2] 70/22 146/9	36/10 40/10 41/14
104/8 104/13 104/15	134 [2] 145/15	115/5 115/10	57 [1] 70/5	44/8 44/21 45/9 46/2
	147/19	2023 [2] 1/1 1/15	59 [1] 71/17	48/17 50/22 54/23
144/25 145/4 163/14	138 [1] 142/15	20:20 [1] 33/1		55/9 58/25 61/24 64
164/2	14 [2] 25/17 25/24	21 [2] 10/25 12/15	6	64/25 66/23 68/13
SIR WYN WILLIAMS:		21 October [1] 98/5	60 [1] 72/4	69/9 73/8 79/25 81/2
[16] 1/5 1/7 53/17	15 [3] 20/7 136/1		60,000 [1] 156/13	I .
53/21 54/3 103/17	140/13	21.2 [1] 9/16	60,000 pounds [1]	84/5 102/16 105/5
103/24 104/5 104/9	15 November [1]	22 [4] 21/22 24/15	157/15	106/22 113/3 115/9
104/14 145/3 145/5	136/22	49/3 68/12		123/9 123/17 123/17
153/21 163/11 163/13	15-minute [1] 53/15	22 May [2] 158/7	62 [2] 40/19 149/9	134/12 145/12 149/2
	16 [1] 10/3	158/16	63 [2] 49/23 50/17	150/20 150/23 151/6
163/16	16 years [1] 161/16	23 May [1] 81/4	 64 [1] 51/23	151/13 151/19 152/2
THE WITNESS: [1]	17 [1] 25/4	24 October 2023 [1]	658 [1] 113/24	154/23 155/14 157/2
153/20	17 May [1] 133/9	1/15	67 [1] 78/15	158/19
•			68 [1] 79/13	I .
	18 [1] 26/14	25 [1] 51/2		above [8] 7/19 11/4
'06 [1] 158/7	180 [4] 143/9 143/11	26 [1] 79/14	7	15/7 15/11 28/21
'10 [1] 23/3	152/18 152/19	27 [2] 61/23 80/4	7 December [0]	65/15 98/11 114/14
'11 [1] 23/4	181 [2] 143/9 152/18	27 August [3] 159/9	7 December [2]	abruptly [1] 25/15
	19 [1] 34/7	160/8 161/11	157/8 158/23	absence [4] 55/19
'12 [1] 23/4	19 November [1]	29 [3] 83/4 96/7	7.42 [1] 114/5	121/15 131/4 144/22
'70s [1] 43/24	137/10	149/9	70 [1] 45/9	Absent [1] 89/20
'80s [2] 3/1 43/24	19 November 2007		71 [1] 80/3	
'96 [2] 37/18 37/19		29 January [1]	720 [1] 101/22	absented [1] 50/4
'97 [1] 37/17	[1] 140/1	116/10	75 [1] 82/16	absolute [3] 40/6
'Criminal [1] 115/21	1950s [1] 43/21	29 November 2023		43/17 56/20
'stabilisation' [1]	1960s [1] 43/23	[1] 1/1	76 [2] 78/15 83/2	absolutely [42] 8/19
110/11	1990s [2] 8/4 8/6		77 [2] 96/6 96/8	9/7 18/10 20/23 27/7
110/11	1991 [1] 6/3	3	78 [4] 96/16 100/3	29/16 33/21 34/22
1	1996 [2] 64/17 64/23	3 December [1]	149/8 149/12	34/25 38/24 42/16
4 000 [4] 97/10		115/18	79 [1] 99/12	45/22 47/16 48/14
1,000 [1] 87/19	2	3 June [1] 112/6		48/14 55/11 57/5
1.50 [1] 164/3	2-15 [2] 136/1 140/13	2 4 4 [4] 62/22	8	I .
10 [1] 153/15			8.47 [1] 114/14	57/20 60/8 60/12
10 July [1] 113/9	2-9 [1] 135/5	3.1.6 [1] 66/7	80 [2] 26/1 101/16	60/15 65/2 79/12
10 July 2014 [1]	2.00 [2] 103/18	3.2.12 [1] 65/15	80 per cent [1] 45/10	79/21 82/20 85/13
114/5	103/22	3.2.2 [1] 64/13		87/8 99/4 114/22
10 October [1]	20 [3] 22/20 23/18	3.2.8 [1] 64/18	81 [1] 102/9	116/20 118/21 129/2
141/22	33/3	3.2.9 [1] 67/8	82 [1] 127/7	136/15 137/24 138/
	20 years [1] 153/15	30 [4] 25/23 99/11	83 [1] 66/13	142/1 147/18 148/18
10 October 2007 [1]	2000 [6] 11/15 39/22	101/18 103/21	84 [3] 66/13 124/16	153/2 159/8 160/17
135/19	41/7 41/25 66/12	30 September 2004	124/18	
10.00 [3] 114/7 164/1				161/16
164/4	69/25	[1] 107/13	9	abuse [1] 145/18
10.26 [1] 53/21	2000/2005 [1] 88/24	31 [2] 124/17 127/8	9 October [2] 115/4	academic [3] 41/19
10.27 [1] 53/24	2000s [2] 43/23 96/2	33 [3] 20/6 20/8	134/15	41/25 55/15
10.40 [1] 53/22	2001 [1] 78/21	100/2		accept [3] 20/20
10.41 [1] 54/1	2002 [1] 78/21	34 [2] 62/15 127/24	9-12 [1] 140/9	136/3 136/6
	2004 [1] 107/13	34,179.54 [1] 115/22	9.00 [1] 163/20	acceptable [5]
108 [2] 138/7 138/10	2005 [6] 16/11 19/16	35 [1] 39/4	9.15 [1] 1/2	105/25 106/8 117/2
11 [5] 7/25 8/12 8/16	21/16 59/16 59/25		91 [1] 100/1	I .
33/22 49/9		36,444.89 [1] 135/12	92 [1] 100/16	137/6 148/4
11.45 [1] 151/19	88/24	37 [1] 105/9	99 [2] 127/22 127/24	acceptance [4]
11.54 [2] 104/6	2006 [2] 133/9 157/8	4		104/20 136/9 139/3
104/10	2007 [10] 62/20		A	142/17
111 [1] 105/8	67/25 68/4 134/15	4 June [1] 111/5		accepted [5] 35/5
12 [3] 24/16 36/9	135/19 136/22 137/10	40 [1] 78/10	abhorrent [2] 82/20	94/21 132/10 141/15
	140/1 158/16 161/11	43 [2] 26/13 27/18	86/14	141/21
140/9	2009 [1] 151/5	45 [2] 90/23 92/19	ability [5] 10/19	
40 88 8 848 44014		45 minutes [1] 46/20	34/10 117/4 144/23	Accepting [1] 21/7
12 March [1] 110/1	2010 [7] 22/22 23/8			
12 March [1] 110/1 12 March 2010 [1]	2010 [7] 22/22 23/8 23/24 23/25 98/5	49 [3] 90/9 91/2	155/17	access [11] 8/13 14/19 15/9 15/20 39

123/22 136/12 act [15] 22/17 37/2 advent [1] 94/8 agreement [2] 55/24 56/6 61/6 64/17 advice [11] 55/4 136/14 138/8 am [9] 1/2 53/24 54/1 access... [6] 39/14 64/22 65/1 66/12 67/16 67/24 69/8 71/1 agrees [1] 136/4 57/15 71/24 102/2 42/7 63/11 63/15 127/18 154/11 156/21 72/14 74/11 74/12 Ah [1] 120/24 104/10 161/9 164/4 63/16 118/4 156/22 156/23 156/24 74/16 77/8 81/9 **AI [1]** 45/12 among [2] 115/7 access/flows/adequa acted [2] 127/13 advised [2] 80/15 aide [1] 58/25 135/20 cy [1] 14/19 alarm [2] 34/16 34/18 amount [8] 60/13 128/1 126/11 accommodate [1] acting [3] 58/16 advising [3] 56/18 albeit [1] 12/1 62/8 62/13 135/12 24/22 58/19 127/25 80/12 119/5 alert [1] 114/25 136/4 137/8 137/23 **accompany** [2] 18/5 action [3] 50/13 55/8 affect [5] 105/23 Alison [1] 130/18 139/5 18/8 106/7 117/1 117/4 **all [51]** 11/10 15/20 amounts [1] 62/4 161/18 accompli [2] 29/4 24/25 35/3 37/7 47/18 analyse [1] 97/14 actions [2] 110/9 148/3 56/17 157/5 affected [4] 113/25 49/24 58/4 71/2 71/7 analysed [1] 133/17 accord [1] 85/5 118/16 118/17 144/21 72/11 81/7 82/2 82/7 actively [1] 74/25 **analysis** [1] 144/3 accordance [3] affirmation [1] activities [6] 4/19 89/17 91/21 95/11 analysts [1] 144/2 64/16 64/21 67/12 33/5 33/14 38/19 161/10 98/9 98/21 104/3 anathema [1] 31/3 according [2] 53/22 108/19 110/17 112/3 50/15 109/21 **affirmed [2]** 1/8 **Andrews [3]** 134/13 129/23 activity [17] 6/20 113/1 114/19 115/2 134/22 135/18 165/2 accordingly [4] 31/9 7/23 11/8 11/18 24/25 afforded [1] 12/20 115/13 116/21 116/22 Andy [1] 23/2 31/12 34/12 80/10 31/5 38/6 49/22 52/1 118/18 119/8 119/11 Angela [1] 130/19 afraid [1] 103/19 account [14] 2/16 128/20 129/9 130/14 52/4 96/24 143/17 Afro [1] 85/2 **Angus [1]** 116/13 18/5 18/9 46/15 46/16 149/17 150/9 160/23 Afro-Caribbean [1] 131/21 136/1 139/8 **Anita [1]** 140/10 57/11 78/2 78/4 90/25 160/23 162/2 85/2 143/17 144/5 144/25 **Anne [1]** 130/20 92/6 92/18 93/11 actual [3] 56/11 after [23] 8/24 10/14 145/3 152/14 155/7 **annum [2]** 101/22 93/13 93/16 84/23 145/21 12/10 12/11 16/5 17/5 155/10 160/7 161/24 101/23 accountability [1] 162/16 162/18 163/14 another [4] 11/25 17/13 20/21 21/10 actually [21] 3/25 14/7 163/25 13/25 34/5 43/12 44/8 21/12 21/20 28/16 28/6 79/5 155/5 accountable [1] 48/15 48/16 49/1 50/8 29/21 35/18 39/23 allegation [1] 142/13 | answer [3] 40/6 156/18 41/7 46/22 88/24 91/8 allegations [2] 50/9 53/21 56/18 59/1 74/21 139/12 accounting [24] 67/5 59/14 60/1 60/14 73/9 93/2 98/10 151/21 132/15 141/17 answering [1] 163/18 77/20 78/1 97/12 77/24 84/24 131/2 157/24 alleged [2] 57/1 88/6 anxiety [1] 35/22 100/9 107/18 132/9 any [81] 2/12 10/17 162/12 again [34] 8/24 10/6 **Allegedly [1]** 163/2 132/13 133/18 133/22 add [3] 11/17 28/9 12/23 13/1 16/2 16/21 **Allen [1]** 130/19 10/18 16/3 17/1 17/12 134/9 135/4 136/1 91/15 18/11 24/21 31/10 allocated [1] 159/21 18/15 19/2 19/10 137/5 137/14 137/21 add-ons [1] 91/15 33/22 33/23 51/1 **allocating [1]** 159/14 20/13 20/15 20/24 138/16 138/24 139/3 addition [2] 49/7 88/2 51/13 54/16 63/24 31/25 33/16 34/12 **allow [1]** 110/13 140/13 143/3 143/22 additional [8] 10/9 63/24 66/7 72/10 allowance [1] 53/12 34/19 38/6 38/24 40/9 144/8 157/17 44/18 49/14 65/20 76/13 78/25 93/10 50/20 51/6 54/6 54/21 Almanac [2] 38/4 accounts [6] 27/25 71/25 73/23 102/3 111/10 113/12 114/17 54/23 55/7 56/23 38/8 28/1 46/3 74/7 106/25 117/13 121/10 122/11 almost [12] 29/23 124/19 57/24 58/2 58/7 58/12 142/18 address [7] 21/22 129/12 130/8 130/11 32/5 35/20 56/16 73/4 60/22 64/9 65/10 68/9 accuracy [1] 40/6 25/22 27/17 33/6 96/4 147/10 157/22 160/13 76/1 77/17 77/21 83/8 84/21 86/16 88/8 accurate [1] 69/15 101/16 127/6 164/1 121/20 139/6 152/6 89/21 90/7 92/10 accurately [4] 68/2 92/23 93/22 95/10 **addressed [5]** 23/13 against [9] 26/19 152/8 108/8 108/24 109/6 55/8 56/2 63/4 71/22 79/14 102/8 150/3 along [2] 38/7 49/16 96/2 97/19 100/5 accused [4] 154/14 102/10 102/17 102/21 74/16 95/14 134/22 151/8 already [8] 4/24 20/5 154/15 157/14 162/14 addresses [1] 107/16 154/14 28/19 43/16 66/15 104/19 104/24 106/1 achieve [1] 29/11 adequacy [3] 14/19 age [1] 73/16 142/19 146/18 146/22 110/7 110/17 110/24 achieved [1] 32/13 15/6 26/8 agency [3] 37/5 also [26] 8/16 9/11 112/24 114/24 116/11 acknowledge [1] 11/24 13/4 13/6 22/12 117/13 123/2 123/18 adherence [1] 155/5 83/15 84/1 162/13 adhering [1] 65/18 agent's [1] 43/13 26/16 33/3 37/1 42/6 123/23 126/7 128/3 acknowledged [1] adjourned [2] 140/14 agents [2] 55/9 84/4 44/1 50/11 50/17 129/11 129/19 133/19 57/24 ago [3] 152/16 158/6 58/19 65/14 79/8 80/4 134/1 136/5 136/13 164/4 acknowledgement 81/16 97/3 102/17 136/18 139/14 144/13 administrative [7] 161/16 **[2]** 111/24 129/8 3/3 6/21 7/8 23/7 agree [10] 135/23 103/10 118/13 121/19 144/19 145/21 146/21 acquaintances [1] 122/4 154/25 155/3 136/13 154/1 155/13 134/9 139/25 156/24 153/8 153/18 158/18 43/25 155/17 157/6 158/3 admission [2] 77/20 alter [1] 118/5 163/13 acquiring [1] 96/5 alternative [5] 18/4 77/25 160/14 161/22 162/24 anybody [1] 47/10 acquisitive [2] 42/18 18/7 18/16 65/20 admit [1] 46/2 agreeable [3] 137/7 anyone [4] 17/11 43/5 54/13 153/21 163/13 137/21 138/19 86/24 admitted [1] 97/13 acronym [1] 14/20 adopt [1] 159/24 although [4] 20/24 agreed [6] 72/21 anything [8] 53/1 across [8] 50/7 52/17 adopted [2] 139/10 99/16 110/9 114/15 72/16 89/10 129/16 109/4 113/3 152/24 62/17 76/21 81/15 146/25 always [8] 25/9 29/22 153/6 154/23 160/3 142/2 142/4 122/3 123/24 142/8 adopting [1] 151/18 agreeing [1] 72/22 32/25 35/4 46/5 53/6 163/7

anyway [1] 125/22 apologies [7] 14/12 34/17 60/6 120/22 127/23 146/25 149/25 apologise [3] 12/10 158/13 161/20 apparent [17] 27/4 87/11 87/12 87/23 88/3 88/25 89/3 89/14 89/23 92/2 93/21 94/19 94/23 95/24 117/22 137/23 139/5 **Appeal [3]** 139/20 145/17 147/25 appear [3] 111/18 113/15 131/22 appearance [2] 84/9 84/25 appeared [1] 140/7 **appears [6]** 13/16 16/16 23/16 116/18 146/7 153/16 appendices [1] 95/19 appendix [1] 81/13 appertaining [3] 71/3 83/19 126/19 applicable [6] 40/11 51/5 62/19 65/14 79/19 120/17 application [2] 28/23 143/19 applied [9] 26/17 62/12 63/20 71/9 80/9 84/21 122/2 131/2 162/10 applies [1] 65/16 **apply [2]** 79/23 121/21 appreciate [2] 96/17 96/25 apprised [2] 70/3 73/25 approach [11] 4/1 27/14 28/21 55/23 75/14 81/15 97/1 123/24 123/25 134/4 151/2 **approached** [5] 4/3 4/22 4/23 5/2 130/25 approaches [2] 101/2 101/13 appropriate [14] 22/17 37/3 49/13 61/11 66/11 75/21 77/10 95/9 120/15 121/4 129/9 135/7 139/2 139/13 approve [1] 76/18 Arab [1] 85/3 are [51] 1/25 2/2 15/2 31/17 44/20 50/22

61/21 64/25 65/8

65/11 66/19 78/20 79/8 81/8 84/2 84/5 84/8 84/10 84/25 92/7 97/20 98/6 103/17 106/4 107/15 108/12 108/13 109/13 110/8 112/9 112/14 112/16 113/2 113/25 114/10 116/8 118/22 119/15 123/2 128/24 135/25 143/8 144/25 145/1 145/23 150/1 159/17 159/19 161/15 162/25 163/14 area [8] 7/6 14/18 41/15 45/14 89/8 90/6 assumed [1] 117/5 97/19 162/3 areas [2] 14/6 73/2 aren't [2] 139/17 139/23 argue [1] 76/9 arise [3] 57/11 117/11 152/11 arising [3] 61/19 107/16 163/7 arm [2] 4/15 4/17 arose [1] 117/5 around [38] 6/3 12/6 16/11 19/16 21/4 21/16 23/5 24/12 24/18 25/14 33/10 36/16 42/22 44/21 45/9 46/20 46/24 56/21 58/20 59/25 62/2 62/3 62/7 70/7 71/18 72/1 72/5 78/11 97/5 102/2 102/4 106/1 109/21 132/16 148/6 151/6 151/19 154/17 **ARQ [13]** 93/19 96/5 97/10 100/17 100/23 101/3 101/11 101/15 102/16 102/25 103/11 124/11 127/15 **ARQs [1]** 100/12 arrange [1] 104/1 arrangements [1] 48/24 article [1] 151/5 **artificially [2]** 106/15 106/16 as [192] **Asian [1]** 85/2 aside [1] 26/23 ask [5] 34/15 149/7 150/20 155/24 157/20 asked [8] 5/10 24/20 48/22 76/18 82/12 92/18 135/5 159/13 asking [5] 2/8 48/17 145/12 161/9 163/4 assault [1] 73/7 assertion [3] 100/5 audited [1] 17/20

130/25 131/5 assertions [3] 118/1 147/10 147/15 assessment [1] 138/1 assist [2] 2/6 13/13 **assistance** [1] 129/4 assistant [6] 8/20 9/1 9/23 36/8 43/6 47/3 assistants [1] 26/18 assisting [1] 154/15 associated [2] 29/7 81/19 assume [4] 19/5 115/16 148/23 149/18 authority [9] 22/13 assumes [1] 66/15 assuming [2] 16/2 21/14 assumption [3] 126/1 130/1 143/6 assumptions [1] 128/24 **assurance** [3] 14/3 14/5 20/4 **assure [2]** 19/18 110/12 assuring [2] 96/22 149/15 astounding [2] 120/9 154/19 at [229] **ATOS [1]** 114/15 attach [1] 57/17 attached [3] 77/12 81/23 82/1 attaching [2] 109/11 152/4 attachments [1] 85/17 attack [1] 98/14 attempt [1] 97/14 attempting [2] 26/25 71/24 attempts [1] 89/14 attend [2] 51/11 108/14 attended [2] 40/20 92/2 attending [2] 49/17 90/23 attention [3] 82/10 126/7 131/18 **Attorney [2]** 39/18 39/24 attributed [3] 47/2 95/23 117/22 audit [18] 7/5 45/7 71/3 87/12 87/13 89/1 90/11 90/12 90/16 90/20 91/5 91/8 92/12 92/22 94/13 94/22

99/15 135/12

auditor [5] 17/19 91/18 92/5 92/11 92/16 Auditor's [1] 93/6 **August [3]** 159/9 160/8 161/11 author [2] 114/24 133/8 authorise [1] 162/7 **authorised [5]** 102/6 132/2 132/5 149/4 152/23 authorising [1] 146/17 37/24 72/14 72/16 120/18 132/4 133/6 146/6 146/11 available [7] 20/16 36/24 37/14 40/3 40/4 basic [1] 45/8 93/4 140/22 average [1] 46/19 aware [58] 39/24 40/1 55/1 55/7 57/22 61/10 72/7 77/5 77/10 battle [1] 56/20 95/21 99/20 100/17 102/2 102/15 104/23 105/21 106/23 106/24 became [13] 8/20 117/3 117/10 118/5 118/7 118/17 118/19 118/22 120/11 122/25 123/19 123/23 125/21 125/23 126/8 126/12 126/23 127/2 129/17 132/14 139/17 139/23 141/16 145/22 145/23 145/24 145/24 148/1 148/13 148/14 148/15 148/16 154/10 154/12 155/8 157/17 160/4 awareness [8] 36/17 36/19 36/20 41/13 104/19 105/5 107/1 117/20 away [12] 29/9 32/23 44/13 47/9 49/21 73/22 74/10 101/9 119/21 120/2 155/11 155/20 В back [29] 7/13 25/3 36/6 45/14 52/21 52/24 59/9 63/24 65/4

67/1 69/3 69/6 70/23 74/15 74/22 74/24 75/9 75/20 77/8 85/14 89/13 93/14 107/4 111/9 122/11 136/24 144/12 144/19 153/11 background [1] 53/8 backwards [1] 153/17

73/12 73/17 **bad [2]** 3/14 154/22 **balance** [9] 97/16 105/25 106/8 112/4 112/21 117/2 117/4 117/9 148/5 balancing [3] 59/14 117/7 117/12 bandwagon [1] 98/25 bank [1] 37/24 banks [1] 117/12 based [12] 8/9 9/15 11/3 28/1 42/4 44/15 46/3 91/13 93/10 103/7 131/7 138/24 bashing [3] 97/24 98/25 151/22 basis [8] 5/21 50/6 124/2 124/23 129/14 141/13 141/20 153/13 batch [1] 112/11 79/1 81/8 82/24 94/11 **BAU [2]** 96/24 149/17 be [195] bearing [1] 92/24 9/23 10/7 11/23 12/2 12/12 32/15 32/16 32/19 46/16 96/25 117/10 132/21 because [30] 18/23 30/12 31/2 31/4 35/14 39/20 43/12 44/10 44/17 45/19 46/14 47/9 49/16 49/21 87/5 92/11 102/18 103/3 103/9 103/18 106/14 107/23 116/19 119/15 139/6 146/6 151/14 160/2 162/19 163/21 become [10] 7/10 8/25 22/25 44/14 44/17 81/9 95/21 104/23 132/6 148/16 becoming [1] 10/2 been [173] before [28] 4/5 5/1 9/23 11/13 12/10 12/11 17/13 21/9 24/12 24/18 27/17 31/14 32/3 34/18 36/9 43/21 61/8 77/7 78/23 93/8 101/12 110/12 117/15 117/18 132/22 140/7 150/13 151/4 begin [1] 164/1 behalf [5] 2/8 4/1 4/19 5/13 144/2 behind [1] 7/18 **behold [1]** 157/23 being [95] 3/14 5/14

backyard [3] 73/9

В being... [93] 6/6 7/11 7/21 11/19 11/22 17/21 18/15 24/19 25/14 30/2 30/6 30/7 30/10 31/13 31/17 34/13 34/19 37/13 42/20 45/7 46/4 52/14 52/20 56/3 56/4 57/12 58/13 58/15 59/13 60/3 61/17 62/10 62/12 66/1 66/4 66/22 69/18 70/14 72/20 76/18 78/11 79/18 83/14 84/3 86/8 86/12 87/7 87/14 87/19 87/23 90/3 90/4 93/24 94/9 94/17 97/2 99/9 101/5 101/11 101/15 102/5 104/16 104/20 106/13 107/2 107/3 111/12 115/8 115/14 116/18 117/21 120/9 124/10 124/13 126/8 128/7 132/8 132/15 138/5 138/12 139/7 139/11 141/24 142/8 142/24 144/8 147/12 150/2 151/22 152/1 152/5 154/17 155/1 belief [4] 2/1 94/20 100/3 120/8 believe [6] 22/11 46/15 46/16 99/7 114/9 153/2 believed [1] 140/21 bell [2] 8/7 140/9 below [1] 112/13 beneath [1] 100/14 benefit [7] 2/12 5/7 19/14 35/21 45/3 62/5 103/3 benefited [1] 158/10 beset [1] 98/15 bespoke [2] 3/16 51/14 best [6] 2/1 2/17 11/10 34/10 82/4 155/16 better [4] 29/19 47/9 47/16 88/23 between [16] 6/22 29/25 48/4 48/24 48/25 68/17 68/21 74/5 102/11 103/25 125/16 126/13 126/25 127/13 128/1 128/8 beyond [1] 98/21 **Bigley [1]** 115/15 bill [2] 30/19 30/20 **bills [1]** 45/21 binder [1] 37/21 bit [8] 5/11 23/19

24/1 31/10 46/13 108/2 111/5 115/22 blame [3] 47/18 152/7 152/9 blamed [1] 149/1 blank [2] 38/5 83/23 blanket [1] 120/8 blanks [1] 37/24 blocks [1] 73/12 **boarding [1]** 60/23 body [1] 6/7 **booby [1]** 73/10 **book [4]** 5/7 19/14 91/19 103/3 books [2] 94/7 94/9 **boot** [1] 38/18 bore [2] 144/13 144/19 both [13] 9/17 30/3 32/17 36/12 39/10 40/8 68/17 79/2 79/9 107/8 125/7 132/13 143/20 **bottom [6]** 14/3 36/8 89/22 114/4 124/18 136/23 boundary [1] 25/7 **bouts** [1] 50/12 box [2] 82/7 155/4 boxes [3] 84/2 84/10 84/25 brakes [1] 150/9 branch [43] 3/24 5/3 5/4 5/4 5/12 5/12 5/20 5/23 6/24 15/23 16/1 16/10 16/17 16/20 17/8 17/16 17/17 17/20 17/25 18/24 21/15 27/5 27/11 28/4 50/14 90/23 91/8 91/18 92/2 92/4 92/9 92/11 92/14 93/5 99/20 106/12 106/13 107/19 114/11 115/18 busy [1] 45/23 118/4 144/3 152/6 branch's [1] 117/4 branches [6] 104/17 110/8 112/3 112/15 112/20 113/25 **Brander [11]** 132/20 133/9 133/10 133/16 134/19 135/14 135/18 135/20 136/25 140/5 141/23 Brander's [1] 132/24 Bransholme [2] 156/11 157/11 break [7] 29/2 48/2 48/3 53/15 53/15 53/25 104/11 **Breeden [1]** 115/6 **Brian [1]** 115/6 brief [4] 20/11 48/8

50/10 58/25

briefing [3] 17/1 17/12 54/23 **briefly [2]** 156/7 157/20 bring [2] 126/6 143/2 broader [1] 59/5 broadly [4] 33/9 89/10 91/15 104/18 brought [8] 92/11 95/13 110/11 119/14 124/7 132/12 133/24 141/8 **BT [2]** 114/8 114/9 budget [1] 102/6 **bug [5]** 97/18 97/20 100/12 106/14 118/16 7/13 12/10 12/11 bugs [15] 79/19 104/20 105/6 105/22 106/5 106/11 106/24 116/24 117/3 123/9 123/18 131/1 148/2 148/10 148/20 building [2] 25/7 152/14 bullet [9] 14/4 14/22 15/5 15/21 18/2 18/18 can [81] 1/3 1/10 19/7 20/5 110/4 **bundle [1]** 1/13 **bundles [1]** 161/6 **buoyant [2]** 44/19 45/3 business [37] 4/20 6/8 25/14 28/25 29/20 31/23 31/24 32/7 44/19 45/4 56/2 60/14 61/9 64/4 66/9 67/16 67/23 75/24 76/17 77/3 77/6 89/13 93/25 94/18 99/8 102/8 102/19 103/6 107/4 111/15 112/2 113/17 113/22 118/1 149/18 151/17 153/14 but [75] 7/20 10/7 13/16 13/25 16/2 16/14 17/5 23/14 24/7 24/23 28/18 30/10 31/19 33/8 35/1 35/7 36/13 39/22 40/1 46/3 46/13 47/24 50/5 50/20 51/17 53/3 54/9 can't [15] 17/6 18/1 54/18 55/25 57/14 58/23 59/8 60/22 68/23 69/17 70/9 71/25 75/14 76/24 77/18 78/25 82/9 82/19 84/17 88/19 89/12 91/18 96/18 97/1 97/6 97/13 102/1 106/20 107/2 109/1 113/5 117/4 120/17 128/14 131/21 132/6 135/9 138/21 145/24

157/4 157/20 158/5 158/14 163/3 buy [2] 42/24 46/8 calculation [2] 62/8 62/11 calculations [1] 62/3 call [1] 1/6 called [5] 17/10 55/19 109/12 156/2 158/8 came [12] 4/3 7/9 16/22 72/18 74/21 77/8 88/15 128/11 141/25 Camelot [4] 112/3 112/10 112/17 112/20 cars [1] 38/18 camera [2] 5/19 28/3 cameras [1] 5/18 campaigned [1] 60/11 1/17 2/23 2/24 4/6 7/2 8/1 9/22 12/3 14/19 15/12 16/18 17/15 17/23 18/15 19/10 21/1 21/8 23/18 29/2 29/17 31/10 33/20 34/12 34/15 34/18 47/10 49/8 54/2 55/20 58/5 61/23 62/9 63/6 64/14 67/21 68/1 69/20 80/15 80/24 84/1 87/6 101/19 104/2 104/13 105/2 107/15 107/20 108/7 109/16 110/19 110/20 111/6 111/15 114/17 115/7 115/12 115/17 116/2 116/21 122/18 128/6 134/15 134/20 137/12 137/16 138/12 140/2 140/3 142/16 143/6 145/8 145/10 145/11 147/9 148/23 149/21 150/15 150/21 156/6 18/17 21/5 47/23 52/15 88/8 96/2 97/6 123/1 123/7 123/12 123/14 128/2 134/17 cannot [2] 128/13 154/2 canvass [2] 60/25 135/14 canvassed [1] 141/5 capable [10] 34/9 34/13 34/20 55/19 55/24 56/7 59/10

148/16 150/1 150/15

151/7 154/12 155/4

capacity [1] 11/11 capped [1] 101/21 captured [1] 48/20 car [1] 30/21 card [1] 99/23 care [1] 131/17 career [13] 2/11 20/9 24/24 25/10 32/12 37/1 47/7 69/4 70/19 72/18 104/25 127/11 139/15 carefully [1] 72/2 **Caribbean [1]** 85/2 carried [4] 126/15 160/13 161/14 162/23 carry [4] 38/3 38/15 38/16 150/21 carrying [3] 4/15 4/17 159/12 cascade [1] 115/16 case [99] 11/9 16/14 16/15 21/4 50/18 52/2 52/9 55/2 58/11 59/1 62/9 70/14 70/17 71/3 71/13 72/17 72/23 72/25 74/15 74/21 76/21 77/12 77/24 78/12 80/13 81/8 84/22 85/18 89/25 90/8 91/6 92/2 93/22 94/19 94/24 95/18 95/19 95/21 98/12 98/13 98/23 105/11 105/17 107/25 112/5 114/2 115/4 115/8 115/17 115/17 116/14 116/15 116/18 119/8 120/7 120/10 121/4 121/7 121/16 121/18 122/4 123/22 126/2 126/19 128/12 129/19 130/12 130/15 131/12 131/23 131/25 132/1 132/5 132/12 132/13 132/20 133/8 133/21 133/25 134/1 134/3 134/21 134/24 136/9 136/12 138/2 139/11 140/14 142/6 143/7 145/16 146/3 146/14 147/8 147/20 154/14 154/15 158/4 159/3 caseload [1] 30/14 cases [21] 6/10 6/11 6/13 28/9 30/2 47/14 65/20 67/14 74/20 76/17 87/12 88/12 100/19 102/25 120/15 126/20 129/15 130/16 131/2 131/21 131/22 casework [16] 12/25 52/23 64/18 64/19 (46) being... - casework

126/9 154/13 158/1

18/5 18/8 25/14 25/21 15/16 23/11 35/21 C commit [4] 56/1 **concerned [4]** 113/4 27/17 28/13 38/24 71/24 105/19 135/24 66/11 66/19 80/1 118/7 118/19 155/22 casework... [12] 46/11 70/2 clerk [1] 2/21 **committal** [1] 11/9 concerning [2] 6/13 65/25 66/2 75/10 changed [5] 47/8 client [1] 43/14 **committed** [4] 34/9 62/4 76/23 78/13 78/16 83/21 89/9 101/25 clients [1] 26/20 34/13 34/20 42/2 concerns [6] 19/25 78/19 80/20 81/5 93/9 102/19 clock [1] 53/19 **committing [1]** 66/17 29/13 30/5 31/7 33/13 128/6 128/10 changes [10] 25/25 closer [1] 7/22 33/13 common [2] 32/2 cash [14] 4/15 17/19 26/3 26/12 30/15 **closing [1]** 103/18 134/5 **concluding [1]** 143/8 18/5 18/9 26/18 92/14 40/10 65/10 102/11 closure [3] 115/4 comms [1] 20/1 conclusion [1] 98/5 97/16 105/24 106/7 115/8 116/15 107/17 123/17 129/11 communication [7] conclusions [1] 115/19 117/1 133/19 18/19 18/23 19/3 97/4 130/10 **changing [5]** 26/5 CLT [9] 71/2 71/6 138/4 148/4 71/11 71/21 72/6 72/9 30/16 43/18 44/3 150/19 150/25 151/7 **concrete [1]** 73/12 cash-carrying [1] 72/10 72/12 146/12 46/12 communications [4] **condition [1]** 139/18 4/15 **charge [9]** 100/10 clue [2] 18/10 18/10 65/13 126/13 126/24 conditional [1] Cashco [1] 4/14 134/8 135/24 138/9 clumsy [1] 66/21 127/3 137/22 cast [1] 150/11 139/1 139/19 140/25 code [9] 64/23 65/1 **community [2]** 73/4 **conditions [2]** 55/16 Castleton [1] 17/11 66/9 67/12 71/8 84/21 142/16 143/5 73/8 144/24 categories [1] 48/8 charged [1] 84/4 85/12 86/3 86/9 conduct [9] 4/11 4/18 compare [2] 75/14 category [1] 43/16 63/25 64/1 64/6 64/10 charges [11] 18/4 codes [19] 36/23 75/17 cause [7] 77/23 18/8 18/16 72/5 72/8 36/25 37/14 38/3 38/7 79/3 79/7 144/23 compared [1] 93/5 89/22 100/6 106/25 77/9 132/9 132/12 38/22 39/6 40/11 compensation [1] conducted [3] 44/5 110/17 117/11 131/19 134/9 136/1 143/2 64/17 82/14 83/7 141/3 69/7 130/2 caused [2] 77/14 85/10 86/4 86/6 86/13 competent [2] 35/12 charging [2] 71/19 conducting [4] 11/13 118/24 86/19 86/20 86/24 118/12 39/23 144/3 155/8 72/3 causing [1] 111/25 charts [2] 26/1 33/24 87/3 conduit [8] 5/14 6/9 competently [1] 35/7 caution [6] 7/24 11/8 6/22 14/23 15/19 check [3] 129/22 cognisance [1] 53/12 compiled [1] 156/2 38/12 46/1 92/6 93/12 130/2 146/13 coherent [1] 123/24 68/17 127/13 128/1 complaining [2] **CD [1]** 124/14 checked [2] 71/11 coldly [1] 32/20 102/15 157/22 confection [1] 45/17 **CD-ROMs [1]** 124/14 Colin [2] 134/19 complete [9] 31/2 **confidence [2]** 10/23 130/5 **cease [2]** 31/5 103/5 checklist [1] 95/10 135/14 47/4 73/25 83/8 84/19 130/24 ceased [2] 26/20 86/20 99/23 144/4 **confirm [5]** 1/10 checks [2] 80/22 collation [2] 122/8 117/18 81/10 122/21 144/22 71/13 94/12 108/7 ceasing [1] 143/17 Chester [1] 50/8 **completed [3]** 41/24 146/12 colleague [2] 25/12 ceiling [1] 5/19 **Chief [1]** 152/11 25/15 74/8 150/12 confirmed [2] 113/24 cent [1] 45/10 **completely [5]** 18/12 **child [3]** 73/15 73/22 colleagues [3] 26/2 125/22 certain [3] 99/22 28/24 65/23 44/2 44/20 53/11 83/1 74/9 confirming [1] 2/13 128/17 161/25 chose [1] 114/25 collected [1] 95/12 completeness [1] confiscation [6] certainly [38] 6/19 146/9 62/12 138/14 138/24 **circulars [1]** 65/12 college [1] 41/3 6/24 7/20 9/3 10/16 **circulated [4]** 85/10 come [14] 15/17 141/1 141/8 156/16 **completing [1]** 84/16 11/16 12/12 14/1 24/11 29/21 33/7 86/5 87/4 160/20 completion [1] 41/21 conflict [1] 61/18 20/14 23/3 24/7 30/11 circulation [1] 98/7 33/22 40/16 48/2 complex [1] 12/24 **confused [1]** 23/15 47/25 51/15 51/17 circumstances [3] 62/17 76/21 122/11 compliance [10] confusion [1] 33/17 52/21 56/19 58/23 100/17 137/25 162/9 124/25 125/3 136/10 78/16 80/20 80/22 **connected** [1] 5/19 59/8 61/1 69/11 69/17 cited [3] 107/2 144/6 81/5 81/10 81/20 **conscious** [7] 32/16 77/11 83/11 84/14 116/13 147/10 coming [9] 2/5 8/4 81/22 85/15 86/13 57/10 61/16 74/25 84/17 88/20 95/17 8/6 46/10 53/7 69/24 city [1] 5/3 86/17 74/25 75/5 119/20 96/11 97/2 97/19 civil [1] 107/8 69/25 150/16 150/17 **compliant [1]** 156/25 consciously [1] 102/6 120/17 138/21 claims [2] 97/8 commence [1] 81/11 **complied [2]** 129/3 82/24 142/18 142/23 148/11 117/23 commenced [3] **consensus** [1] 72/19 151/16 clarity [10] 23/5 43/9 92/25 93/17 156/9 comply [1] 65/23 **consider [8]** 59/3 certainty [1] 117/14 72/17 96/2 96/21 commencement [1] **component [1]** 122/6 89/21 118/23 120/13 **cessation** [1] 19/14 149/14 149/20 150/1 87/24 121/3 130/20 131/15 comprehensive [6] cetera [2] 157/1 150/18 160/6 159/12 160/11 161/2 comment [6] 18/19 157/20 157/1 161/13 162/22 163/18 considerable [1] 19/2 19/8 80/7 82/16 **classroom [4]** 3/16 CGI [3] 112/7 112/7 8/9 9/8 36/15 130/15 comprehensively [1] 98/19 112/9 74/3 classroom-based [1] commenting [1] consideration [11] **chain [1]** 107/6 8/9 105/11 computer [4] 141/14 6/16 67/16 67/23 Chair [1] 100/21 141/20 142/11 151/4 77/13 132/8 132/21 classroom-driven [1] comments [1] **challenge [3]** 62/6 132/23 138/18 138/20 3/16 116/11 concentrate [1] 88/20 118/12 155/25 138/22 140/24 clause [1] 89/16 commercial [4] **challenged** [2] 62/10 clear [6] 40/20 50/22 21/25 59/6 59/15 concept [4] 59/9 considerations [2] 82/25 141/10 141/18 150/10 61/14 80/23 106/9 150/8 61/15 71/8 chance [1] 132/24 considered [9] 71/20 151/7 commission [2] 44/4 concern [2] 110/17 change [10] 5/21 clearly [7] 14/11 60/24 133/23 72/12 106/3 106/17

C 55/6 138/25 139/21 153/8 156/5 158/12 64/16 64/22 66/19 dated [14] 1/15 78/20 143/15 159/11 67/17 67/24 68/14 78/21 81/4 107/13 considered... [5] convictions [1] 83/10 couldn't [6] 5/17 5/17 69/9 71/2 72/14 72/19 109/11 111/4 113/8 122/13 128/22 148/7 copied [8] 115/6 35/15 40/6 76/8 74/11 74/23 75/12 115/4 133/9 134/14 154/13 155/23 115/8 115/14 134/19 149/24 75/17 76/2 77/7 77/9 135/19 136/21 140/1 considering [1] 79/5 80/8 80/11 83/16 dates [3] 2/13 21/8 135/20 136/25 140/2 counsel [5] 98/17 138/19 84/6 87/24 88/1 88/17 149/22 140/5 134/23 135/3 135/23 **consisted** [1] 4/14 89/2 89/20 92/24 copies [2] 37/13 138/1 dating [1] 13/13 **consistent [2]** 81/15 38/21 counsel's [2] 53/19 93/15 95/13 98/20 Dave [4] 127/19 copy [10] 1/14 1/20 137/9 107/8 119/6 121/14 136/6 159/1 160/13 Constab [1] 41/10 38/2 47/23 54/6 83/23 count [2] 137/17 122/6 125/18 126/17 **DAVID [8]** 1/8 1/12 Constabulary [2] 107/15 107/23 113/2 130/3 130/15 134/6 80/19 80/24 81/3 140/18 36/19 41/1 136/2 counter [6] 2/21 4/25 134/13 136/19 142/12 106/19 107/12 165/2 construction [6] 21/4 154/11 156/22 156/22 dawn [1] 47/1 cordial [1] 46/22 27/1 50/7 92/8 147/2 50/18 52/2 78/12 core [6] 93/24 94/17 **Counters** [1] 13/8 **criminally [1]** 117/21 day [13] 3/24 5/16 95/18 95/20 country [1] 83/13 101/5 103/22 145/2 **Criminals** [1] 66/8 5/21 5/21 47/4 49/22 constructive [1] 163/15 counts [2] 135/5 criteria [1] 110/10 49/22 73/8 73/8 52/22 correct [30] 2/15 140/13 criticised [4] 139/19 112/13 136/17 136/18 consultation [2] courage [1] 143/18 8/23 13/9 22/5 22/14 149/1 159/11 159/19 164/5 25/11 29/3 24/6 24/10 41/23 42/3 course [14] 11/21 Crown [6] 2/21 50/14 days [6] 5/24 30/18 consulted [1] 28/24 57/9 64/11 70/16 17/3 24/12 33/8 36/18 67/13 67/14 71/8 69/3 69/17 72/18 contact [6] 90/21 78/14 80/2 85/4 85/8 37/4 41/1 64/1 76/11 98/10 153/12 128/3 128/3 128/7 105/13 127/20 147/25 Croydon [1] 9/14 85/13 86/11 86/15 deal [14] 10/2 10/24 128/13 129/15 101/7 101/10 119/12 149/12 155/12 **CTN [1]** 45/17 12/14 24/14 40/17 contacting [1] 159/5 119/23 123/7 130/7 courses [4] 36/16 46/6 46/14 47/20 59/1 culminating [1] **contained [2]** 65/4 138/6 146/16 146/19 40/20 51/17 65/9 70/6 70/22 78/15 41/20 79/23 131/24 145/14 149/5 155/2 **culture [3]** 32/15 **court [13]** 49/15 **content** [1] 135/10 corrected [1] 112/11 98/11 108/5 108/14 32/17 32/23 dealing [7] 42/13 contents [3] 1/25 **correction [1]** 10/13 125/25 126/7 129/3 **current [4]** 77/3 42/23 44/22 44/24 81/13 95/18 129/10 136/17 139/20 112/2 113/22 158/25 46/17 50/16 79/8 corrections [1] context [11] 17/8 112/15 140/7 145/16 147/25 currently [4] 112/14 deals [1] 20/4 17/22 49/8 55/8 **correctly [1]** 55/25 courts [1] 27/21 113/24 114/1 114/10 dealt [12] 42/25 43/6 105/10 105/17 126/21 cover [4] 3/8 54/13 **cursory [1]** 162/6 47/6 47/13 47/14 correctness [2] 158/4 161/21 161/24 71/18 72/1 56/12 74/8 **custom [3]** 115/11 68/16 69/10 69/14 162/5 correlate [1] 114/10 covered [5] 42/9 57/6 139/7 142/25 88/21 89/6 89/11 contingent [2] correlation [1] 29/24 87/15 121/11 127/16 139/18 **customer [1]** 88/7 107/10 107/11 correspondence [1] **customers** [5] 26/19 covert [3] 5/15 5/16 Dear [1] 98/9 continual [2] 105/20 30/17 30/18 43/18 137/2 28/3 debate [1] 76/9 130/22 CPIA [7] 36/20 36/21 50/16 corroborate [1] debt [2] 59/7 89/24 continue [6] 41/10 36/23 37/13 37/16 145/19 cut [1] 103/19 **decades [2]** 25/8 41/13 96/24 106/1 cost [3] 29/8 29/12 38/2 38/22 **cut-off [1]** 103/19 53/4 148/6 149/17 155/17 Crawford [1] 116/13 **CWU [1]** 50/12 deceived [2] 143/24 continued [5] 22/15 costs [3] 160/1 160/2 create [2] 117/6 cycle [1] 44/10 152/22 32/8 41/7 41/18 49/4 162/17 117/8 cynicism [1] 100/4 **December [5]** 62/20 **continuity** [1] 33/17 could [67] 10/3 10/25 creation [2] 12/7 115/18 157/8 158/7 contract [6] 27/15 13/14 20/6 20/24 25/3 13/7 158/23 28/16 89/15 89/16 daily [5] 28/1 45/18 25/23 25/25 26/13 **Credence [4]** 93/5 December '06 [1] 89/16 101/22 94/5 94/6 115/5 30/25 33/21 34/1 34/1 97/9 112/10 112/18 158/7 contracted [1] 99/15 **Dark [1]** 85/1 34/3 35/10 36/4 38/5 credit [1] 99/22 **December 2007 [1]** contractual [2] data [23] 15/10 15/12 38/11 39/14 39/16 crime [20] 13/18 62/20 124/22 129/14 50/21 96/5 97/10 51/1 55/24 58/24 13/22 14/6 14/24 15/4 decent [1] 53/5 **contradict [2]** 162/23 97/21 99/15 99/17 62/23 68/10 73/17 19/8 19/10 22/17 23/7 deception [1] 153/1 163/2 73/18 79/13 83/3 37/2 42/20 42/21 61/6 99/21 100/7 100/15 decided [6] 3/15 57/3 contrast [1] 75/15 100/19 100/23 101/3 83/22 85/15 92/8 96/6 63/4 63/4 66/17 74/5 90/16 116/1 **convenient [2]** 53/14 101/11 108/4 108/21 98/1 99/11 99/21 127/11 156/21 158/10 158/24 103/14 112/10 112/17 113/11 101/17 105/7 105/12 160/22 deciding [1] 67/19 Convention [1] 113/20 118/5 144/4 105/23 106/6 106/25 crimes [3] 57/1 57/3 decision [41] 23/12 156/25 database [3] 65/25 107/5 109/9 109/23 57/4 23/14 28/21 33/1 33/5 conversation [4] 66/2 66/4 111/3 113/7 115/3 criminal [63] 9/12 33/14 33/18 33/23 150/14 160/9 160/16 date [15] 16/8 31/23 115/11 116/25 117/11 14/23 15/22 17/23 34/1 34/4 56/21 58/13 160/25 38/2 70/1 70/7 78/2 117/11 121/15 124/16 18/3 18/4 18/8 18/14 67/22 68/10 68/19 conversations [3] 99/24 109/25 111/12 127/7 133/3 134/10 18/16 27/21 40/16 70/13 70/21 70/25 62/3 97/3 102/5 116/7 137/16 137/18 136/20 143/9 148/3 55/4 56/17 56/21 71/10 71/11 71/13 conviction [5] 17/6 137/19 140/17 158/23 149/7 149/13 151/6 58/22 62/21 64/7 72/3 72/10 72/12

D decision... [17] 74/22 75/1 75/10 75/23 76/6 100/25 101/12 103/4 110/6 136/5 136/9 141/23 146/12 146/13 146/17 147/2 154/21 decision-making [4] 33/5 33/14 34/4 70/21 decisions [17] 22/9 32/20 56/9 61/9 61/16 67/13 68/3 68/13 68/17 68/25 69/18 71/19 75/7 117/16 125/11 136/15 147/17 declarations [1] 97/16 declining [1] 72/13 defect [5] 97/18 97/20 100/13 106/14 118/16 defects [15] 79/19 104/20 105/6 105/22 106/6 106/11 106/24 116/25 117/3 123/10 123/18 131/2 148/2 148/10 148/21 defence [12] 98/16 98/22 126/7 135/25 141/10 142/7 142/12 158/9 160/10 161/1 161/12 162/21 defendant [8] 140/7 140/10 140/12 140/16 140/21 140/23 141/12 143/4 defendants [1] 98/24 defendants' [1] 62/4 defending [2] 97/7 135/3 **deficiency [1]** 98/13 definitely [2] 60/9 61/20 **definitive** [1] 33/24 **Degraded [1]** 113/11 degree [1] 98/15 deliberately [2] 133/20 138/5 deliverables [2] 13/25 17/7 delivered [3] 8/9 36/16 37/4 delivering [1] 3/5 **delivery [1]** 13/1 demand [6] 29/21 30/25 31/1 99/16 103/2 103/11 demands [7] 15/10 29/12 30/1 30/2 30/6 73/23 77/1 demise [1] 103/3 demonstration [1]

161/17

denials [1] 147/12 denied [1] 100/11 **Denise [2]** 115/5 115/20 denote [1] 97/18 dentists [1] 44/1 deny [1] 46/4 Department [9] 4/4 4/7 4/9 8/21 9/19 28/11 68/18 69/8 74/4 departure [2] 24/22 31/14 depend [1] 90/5 dependent [3] 56/17 69/14 139/4 depending [1] 22/2 **depleted [2]** 26/2 45/16 depletion [1] 26/6 **deploy [1]** 10/23 deployed [3] 11/18 16/3 45/8 deployment [2] 63/7 65/5 describe [5] 22/3 37/6 86/14 138/21 146/20 describes [2] 63/2 158/20 description [1] 17/4 deserve [1] 46/9 design [4] 15/16 24/20 60/14 60/19 designated [5] 22/13 132/4 133/5 146/5 146/10 designed [1] 81/11 designing [1] 3/4 desire [2] 17/17 61/9 desk [2] 62/17 76/22 despair [1] 143/13 destroy [1] 98/20 destroyed [1] 153/25 detail [13] 24/11 33/8 Director [1] 64/6 40/17 54/9 54/17 65/3 disadvantaged [1] 78/2 82/6 82/9 91/3 91/13 99/20 149/22 detailed [4] 11/4 42/16 72/23 74/3 details [10] 10/7 42/22 43/13 83/9 83/11 83/19 83/21 84/3 92/15 157/13 deterrent [1] 55/10 detrimental [1] 26/7 developed [1] 32/14 development [1] 31/18 **Diane [2]** 157/8 157/12 dictate [1] 78/25 did [65] 3/8 6/5 6/12 8/25 13/22 13/24 19/2

19/5 29/13 31/25

33/13 38/9 46/15 49/5 disclosures [1] 49/25 50/3 51/6 51/11 54/13 56/24 59/3 63/11 63/14 76/16 76/19 77/13 83/6 85/22 86/7 89/20 93/22 94/10 94/15 95/6 95/21 96/12 104/19 104/22 104/23 109/3 109/14 109/19 110/17 110/20 110/24 discussed [3] 57/21 117/6 117/8 117/20 120/6 121/6 125/11 126/3 128/16 128/21 129/1 129/13 129/22 132/6 133/10 133/22 139/2 160/14 didn't [13] 7/3 15/17 27/6 41/10 41/12 41/20 50/8 60/22 86/5 127/18 139/6 139/14 144/18 difference [1] 48/3 differences [1] 53/3 different [6] 44/20 52/19 52/19 75/2 84/15 139/14 difficult [5] 21/7 36/13 46/6 46/13 47/20 difficulties [2] 102/18 district [7] 3/4 6/22 147/9 **Dining [1]** 43/4 **Dinsdale [2]** 109/11 109/14 direct [9] 27/3 28/12 32/17 32/18 32/18 42/19 133/12 133/13 153/4 directed [1] 121/14 directly [3] 27/20 28/17 88/7 7/4 disagreed [1] 142/4 disarray [1] 73/25 discarding [1] 32/5 discharge [4] 154/5 154/25 155/16 160/3 discharging [1] 11/7 discipline [2] 79/4 85/19 disclosed [3] 43/13 100/20 154/16 **disclosing [1]** 43/10 disclosure [16] 39/19 39/25 57/18 80/9 98/16 119/13 121/8 121/17 121/19 121/22 121/25 126/4 126/24 127/4 154/24 155/6

37/24 **discovered** [3] 87/13 91/7 106/20 discrepancies [3] 100/7 106/25 118/23 discrepancy [4] 90/11 90/12 90/16 91/7 discuss [1] 90/22 116/9 150/8 117/23 118/14 118/22 discussion [3] 18/15 54/21 137/4 discussions [5] 55/7 55/12 58/20 102/1 110/25 dishonesty [3] 6/10 6/14 135/11 dislike [1] 73/4 dismiss [1] 117/23 display [1] 82/18 disregarded [1] 151/14 dissertation [5] 42/17 44/9 44/22 47/24 48/9 dissuade [1] 98/24 distasteful [1] 35/1 **distinct [2]** 75/2 126/4 69/5 69/6 72/18 74/2 153/12 districts [2] 26/21 69/21 do [100] 8/11 11/25 13/19 13/21 14/13 16/22 16/22 20/12 22/24 23/24 26/6 26/23 32/9 33/9 33/13 68/1 82/21 112/23 40/4 41/6 54/21 57/16 61/2 61/4 61/18 62/18 63/19 66/1 66/4 67/1 67/7 70/2 72/13 72/16 140/23 152/11 157/4 74/24 75/20 78/22 80/23 82/18 82/19 82/20 82/21 83/14 83/24 83/25 85/5 85/8 55/21 103/17 155/4 87/22 88/18 90/12 90/15 101/11 102/12 102/14 102/23 103/1 104/5 104/15 105/10 106/5 108/15 108/19 112/7 112/24 113/2 114/20 114/24 116/15 117/10 121/21 121/23 124/12 124/15 127/19 131/20 138/22 144/12 144/19 150/10 152/24 153/6 153/9 153/10 155/19 155/23 156/4 156/5 157/5 157/7 157/8 158/3 158/12

159/5 159/22 160/3 160/16 161/15 161/17 161/24 161/25 162/1 162/24 163/10 doctors [1] 44/1 document [68] 1/17 13/12 13/16 13/20 14/17 16/8 20/19 49/9 63/7 63/19 64/8 64/24 68/7 68/8 70/1 70/6 70/9 70/11 78/17 78/19 78/23 79/2 79/11 79/15 79/18 79/23 80/6 80/25 82/15 82/15 82/17 82/19 82/22 82/24 82/25 83/22 85/10 85/15 85/23 86/4 86/13 86/19 86/25 87/3 87/3 87/14 90/7 90/19 98/1 101/19 107/5 108/17 108/20 109/2 109/9 109/24 111/3 113/7 125/22 133/6 133/15 134/10 136/20 155/25 161/7 161/9 163/9 163/10 documentation [5] 65/22 91/16 92/3 123/20 133/18 documents [23] 13/6 13/11 14/15 20/14 20/25 21/2 21/3 21/4 39/15 54/6 78/16 81/19 81/21 81/22 82/1 82/12 85/15 86/18 91/24 111/17 127/18 128/23 146/7 does [19] 16/11 61/14 66/18 66/21 113/15 113/18 116/2 117/2 123/1 124/25 125/3 129/16 131/14 doesn't [1] 66/17 doing [8] 2/17 11/18 23/25 34/10 38/11 domain [1] 151/2 don't [48] 10/18 12/9 12/11 12/18 15/14 15/18 16/23 17/21 32/10 33/25 39/18 50/20 53/18 54/8 54/16 54/18 56/11 60/1 60/2 63/13 63/14 63/14 63/16 63/18 70/13 74/18 83/12 88/19 90/6 96/11 97/2 102/4 102/10 102/21 109/8 110/23 111/21 112/8 113/5 116/17 116/17 117/19 134/3

D	earlier [8] 16/14	end [12] 24/24 47/4	15/23 16/17 16/19	exactly [2] 6/12
don't [5] 145/8	26/22 40/12 50/4 52/6 59/9 103/1 112/13	48/3 70/19 97/1 103/12 127/10 130/2	95/8 157/12	24/22
145/8 158/4 159/23	earliest [2] 69/3	130/2 140/22 152/17	established [1] 66/16 establishing [1]	94/12
162/4	69/17	152/19	17/24	example [8] 26/11
done [6] 5/17 5/18 40/25 68/6 111/2	early [6] 5/23 20/9	ended [2] 26/21	estate [1] 43/13	34/23 64/13 79/22
137/16	72/18 89/8 96/1	83/10	et [2] 157/1 157/1	79/24 120/14 128/19
doubt [4] 26/10 98/21	103/14	ending [1] 72/6	et cetera [2] 157/1	142/10
141/7 150/11	easier [1] 28/14	enforcement [1]	157/1	examples [3] 34/12
down [33] 11/2 12/16	easy [1] 25/9 ebbed [1] 23/17	68/14	etc [1] 81/14 ethnic [2] 84/9 84/25	34/19 131/1
23/19 23/20 29/2	effect [6] 34/21 55/10	engaged [2] 92/20	Europe [1] 43/3	exception [3] 37/7 86/22 121/20
35/12 35/24 46/22	75/8 110/10 129/2	engender [1] 26/25	European [3] 85/1	excess [3] 62/13
48/2 48/3 48/16 51/22 61/25 64/25 81/2 84/5	150/2	England [1] 73/3	85/2 156/25	90/18 92/14
85/25 108/2 111/5	effective [1] 12/25	enjoyed [1] 43/24	evasive [1] 71/25	Exec [1] 60/23
111/7 111/22 112/5	effectively [10] 3/11	enjoying [1] 44/1	even [15] 6/19 8/2	Executive [1] 152/11
113/9 115/22 115/23	5/10 5/11 10/23 11/20	enlarged [1] 105/12	10/12 10/14 16/24	exercise [1] 146/21
116/12 133/7 134/12	24/19 35/16 73/10 76/5 146/17	enough [3] 105/15 143/15 143/16	35/18 35/23 69/22 69/25 74/2 77/7 97/17	exhibiting [1] 35/23 exist [2] 55/16 60/25
134/25 136/23 138/13	either [9] 17/11 47/2	enquiries [7] 93/6	114/22 138/4 142/24	existed [5] 60/17
149/13 158/12	78/22 100/8 102/22	93/23 94/16 95/3 95/7		93/17 122/7 122/14
downfall [1] 47/1 draft [3] 13/5 13/11	106/14 109/3 117/6	158/19 159/6	158/22	122/19
14/14	154/21	Enquiry [1] 115/19	events [1] 17/9	existence [2] 102/23
drafting [1] 20/25	elaborate [1] 29/17	ensuing [1] 28/7	ever [13] 17/21 28/24	
draw [1] 35/19	electronic [3] 9/9	ensure [13] 12/25	29/13 51/6 57/21	existing [2] 38/25
dreams [1] 60/13	39/12 39/13 electronically [2]	15/2 15/9 17/17 81/15 95/11 121/25 122/8	70/25 72/13 74/15 77/13 91/6 92/23	82/9 exists [1] 59/10
drive [5] 6/7 17/9	76/24 94/9	122/15 122/20 123/3	101/11 118/23	exited [1] 32/7
25/9 44/18 143/16	element [15] 15/15	125/14 125/18	every [12] 27/16	expand [1] 58/5
driven [2] 3/16 56/7 driver [1] 28/19	16/3 16/21 28/6 28/18		29/18 30/19 30/20	expect [5] 53/4 82/8
drives [1] 59/11	47/25 56/11 67/6	entailed [2] 91/21	36/24 80/6 96/19	88/5 94/15 95/6
drop [2] 51/19 138/8	69/24 76/7 76/8 76/14		98/22 99/10 106/12	expectation [6] 71/5
dropped [2] 73/13	96/21 102/17 149/14 elements [5] 7/14	entailing [1] 55/16 enter [3] 76/19 86/8	131/11 151/23 everybody [2] 151/9	71/23 72/11 89/5 90/7 92/16
116/18	17/7 36/20 72/11	137/13	151/11	expected [16] 50/14
dropping [3] 44/6	92/13	entered [1] 138/15	everyone [2] 98/17	53/9 71/20 82/5 91/25
139/19 142/16 due [8] 24/11 33/8	else [2] 76/4 153/21	entertained [1]	163/25	93/7 93/18 100/19
71/7 81/10 82/10	elsewhere [2] 65/4	142/12	everything [4] 46/9	105/25 106/19 110/15
110/6 141/13 141/20	108/20	entirely [1] 60/6	47/6 151/14 152/14	118/9 121/10 121/12
duped [1] 112/4	email [35] 81/2 81/6 81/23 81/25 82/2	entitled [5] 78/19 160/11 161/2 161/13	Everywhere [1] 38/10	121/13 148/5 expended [1] 159/3
duplicate [3] 112/1	82/13 98/3 98/7 98/8	162/22	evidence [51] 6/15	experience [1] 75/18
112/10 112/17	99/2 107/6 107/13	entity [1] 55/21	27/1 27/11 34/2 49/15	
duration [2] 46/19 51/17	107/16 108/2 109/10	entry [1] 158/23	50/19 55/3 57/19	27/4 30/14
during [14] 19/5	109/25 111/4 111/11	environment [1]	59/16 59/22 60/10	experiences [2] 42/4
30/16 42/13 44/8	111/19 112/24 113/8	75/10	64/15 67/11 70/16	52/13
50/12 56/4 58/15 75/7	114/17 115/16 134/12 134/13 134/19 134/21		71/5 88/14 90/25 92/3 92/10 93/3 93/24	expert [16] 57/19 124/21 125/13 125/14
79/10 96/14 101/25	134/13 134/19 134/21	equipped [1] 147/2 equivalent [1] 43/7	94/17 97/11 97/15	125/24 126/3 126/16
104/21 104/24 108/8		era [1] 52/19	100/8 101/5 118/3	126/21 126/25 128/18
duties [3] 125/19	151/21 159/1	error [10] 77/15	118/13 123/9 124/5	129/2 129/8 129/17
125/25 130/6 duty [9] 48/22 120/12	emails [2] 80/19	97/18 97/20 100/13	126/22 128/16 129/13	129/24 130/6 130/10
121/2 126/12 126/23	80/24	106/14 113/12 113/21		expert's [2] 125/24
126/24 127/2 129/3	empathy [1] 46/7	114/25 118/16 144/3	135/8 135/9 135/24	126/9
154/6	employed [2] 76/11 156/13	errors [17] 10/12 79/19 104/20 105/6	138/4 138/9 145/18 145/19 146/1 146/10	expertise [2] 98/19 129/6
dwindling [1] 45/2	employee [4] 27/2	105/22 106/6 106/11	148/9 148/19 151/19	experts [2] 126/14
DWP [1] 103/5	67/4 75/22 95/22	106/24 116/24 117/3	156/22 157/9 163/22	126/15
dynamically [1]	employees [2] 55/9	123/9 123/18 131/1	evident [1] 46/16	explain [3] 4/5 33/20
	152/7	144/3 148/2 148/10	ex [1] 156/10	152/5
<u>E</u>	enable [2] 15/10 92/5		ex-subpostmaster	explained [1] 39/20
each [4] 3/24 6/8	enclosures [1] 81/14 encouraged [3] 7/10	essentially [1]	[1] 156/10 exact [4] 2/13 21/7	explaining [1] 124/2 explanation [1] 64/24
71/9 131/11	7/21 153/13	establish [6] 15/6	23/15 60/24	explanations [1]
	1.255/ 15			23.6.00.00.00[1]
				(EO) don't evalenations

(50) don't... - explanations

E	139/3 140/12 143/3	104/5 104/9 105/16	111/18 111/19	101/21 103/10 106/2
explanations [1]	144/8 157/17	finish [1] 103/22	formed [3] 8/21 32/1	111/12 111/14 119/25
120/9	falsely [2] 78/3 78/4 falsification [2] 46/2	finished [1] 53/13 fire [1] 34/16	124/2 former [2] 12/20	121/1 122/5 125/4 125/6 125/8 125/8
explicit [1] 88/19	142/17	firmly [3] 18/14 71/20		127/12 127/13 128/10
exploited [1] 80/1	familiar [2] 82/17	96/19	forming [1] 122/5	128/11 128/17 139/16
express [1] 153/8	134/17	first [23] 2/20 4/22	Formula [1] 43/2	148/7 149/15
expressed [4] 96/18 96/19 97/24 128/25	familiarise [3] 81/21	6/18 10/10 14/18	fortunately [1] 73/14	functional [1] 26/5
expression [4] 32/10	81/25 82/13	14/25 54/5 58/4 68/7	forward [9] 59/17	functionality [1]
34/25 35/7 88/24	family [4] 30/10 47/2	76/3 78/2 80/24 92/5	59/23 60/3 74/20 90/1	89/10
extent [5] 10/11	47/4 151/10	92/25 93/17 95/21 104/15 105/9 106/5	90/3 90/4 110/11	functions [5] 30/5 30/9 60/17 123/11
11/17 17/15 56/8 59/4	fantastic [2] 44/14 44/17	106/23 117/10 135/17		123/13
external [1] 41/6	far [6] 8/17 57/22	145/3	134/23	fund [1] 162/25
externally [1] 36/16 extinguish [1] 31/21	68/1 118/22 123/23	firsthand [1] 50/16	forwards [1] 153/14	fundamental [2]
	155/21	Fiscal [1] 116/4	found [9] 46/5 48/5	121/25 155/1
F	fast [2] 53/19 153/14	fit [4] 16/11 96/20	48/7 77/7 98/11 138/3	
face [5] 67/3 71/1	father [2] 43/19 43/21	118/1 150/7 five [1] 75/15	145/17 147/25 163/24	10/24 25/6 25/22 34/2 41/19 93/6 110/7
87/6 88/11 112/19	fault [4] 60/6 105/21	five/six years [1]	framework [1] 156/17	116/12 143/13 153/18
faced [1] 94/4	130/23 158/2	75/15	frank [1] 93/13	159/2 159/15 159/20
facie [3] 6/13 55/3 74/21	favour [2] 32/4 32/9	FIX86 [2] 112/9	fraud [19] 5/8 21/25	160/8 162/7
facilitate [1] 15/3	fear [1] 150/10	112/16	22/7 22/21 23/22	future [3] 31/17
facilities [3] 36/18	feature [1] 25/2	fixed [2] 117/6 117/8		31/18 92/21
41/14 41/18	Federation [1] 62/7 feed [2] 19/22 81/20	flowed [1] 23/17 flows [3] 14/19 15/2	67/4 80/1 88/6 91/23 94/14 95/5 96/13	G
facility [2] 3/22 51/14	feedback [1] 52/23	15/6	119/24 120/25 125/2	gain [2] 50/10 162/2
facing [1] 35/15 fact [12] 16/23 20/21	feel [4] 7/3 7/3	focus [5] 17/15 59/13		gained [2] 162/8
30/23 53/12 68/3 74/8	143/24 152/21	77/23 89/12 154/25	freezes [2] 110/15	162/11
77/25 08/6 122/11	feeling [1] 113/6	focused [1] 109/20	110/21	gardening [1] 24/23
147/11 147/21 158/20	feet [1] 53/10 felt [8] 46/14 56/19	focusing [1] 106/23 folks [1] 150/25	frequency [1] 149/23 frequently [1] 151/23	Gareth [4] 98/19 124/22 124/25 125/6
factor [3] 28/13 56/8	71/21 74/20 77/10	follow [9] 25/13	front [3] 1/13 29/23	gas [1] 30/20
56/12 factoring [1] 147/16	95/9 118/11 147/1	70/20 91/4 95/1 123/1		gate [2] 73/11 73/13
factors [1] 71/22	female [1] 48/4	129/16 148/24 149/3	fruition [3] 15/18	gatekeeper [1]
facts [3] 71/3 74/2	females [1] 48/5	156/20	16/22 34/6	127/18
158/1	Ferrari [2] 42/25 46/8	30/12 157/13	Fujitsu [24] 98/20 99/14 110/13 113/24	gathered [1] 64/15 gave [2] 126/4 157/9
fail [3] 37/3 45/20	few [3] 9/3 53/10 157/24	following [8] 87/10	114/6 114/8 114/9	Ged [5] 60/4 60/6
71/25 failing [1] 84/23	field [2] 25/20 84/23	91/4 98/5 117/25	118/3 120/15 120/16	136/25 138/12 140/5
failings [2] 116/6	fifth [1] 110/4	148/17 160/9 160/25	120/21 123/14 124/6	general [5] 11/5
116/8	figure [2] 141/4	164/5	124/8 124/20 126/22	70/22 98/13 99/6
Failure [1] 114/9	141/5 figures [3] 133/19	follows [8] 66/15 81/6 98/8 99/13	127/14 128/2 128/8 128/14 128/15 129/16	154/2 General's [2] 39/18
failures [1] 79/9	138/4 145/21	111/23 135/1 137/1	144/4 148/11	39/25
fair [12] 32/22 48/11 49/19 56/3 73/20	file [10] 10/10 21/4	140/6	fulfilled [2] 125/19	generally [3] 18/25
74/19 79/11 82/3	50/18 81/23 85/14	foot [1] 29/23	154/8	19/1 103/17
93/13 138/10 138/16	86/14 95/18 95/19	footfall [1] 44/18	full [15] 1/10 4/18	generated [1] 106/13 gentlemen [2] 4/23
142/14	137/18 140/18 files [4] 78/12 81/8	forced [1] 44/9 forensic [1] 126/14	35/22 51/16 93/13 99/17 99/23 136/4	gentiemen [2] 4/23 5/22
fairly [6] 41/9 41/12	81/12 85/18	forgive [1] 143/5	137/8 137/22 139/4	genuine [1] 76/17
79/17 142/5 144/10 160/18	filled [1] 35/14	form [16] 6/9 44/3	140/16 144/3 158/4	genuinely [2] 71/24
fairness [2] 71/12	Final [1] 115/23	83/12 83/14 83/18	161/23	153/10
146/13	Finally [1] 24/12	83/24 84/11 84/15	fully [3] 7/18 77/5	geographical [1] 114/12
fait [2] 29/4 56/17	financial [13] 29/8 30/25 43/24 59/19	84/18 84/20 87/6 92/18 106/1 116/23	110/8 function [49] 7/5	Georgian [1] 43/8
faith [1] 154/22	59/24 61/3 61/21 95/2	150/24 152/15	23/8 25/8 25/25 29/9	get [6] 3/15 31/25
falling [1] 32/11 false [23] 5/19 67/4	95/7 120/14 120/19	formal [14] 6/25 7/14	l .	35/10 87/16 89/22
74/7 77/20 78/1 97/12	156/1 162/4	8/1 11/18 39/3 49/20	31/4 32/8 39/1 40/14	127/20
100/9 132/9 132/13	find [4] 17/20 133/18	50/5 51/24 57/6 58/24	l .	get-go [1] 3/15
133/22 134/9 135/4	134/1 158/24 findings [4] 93/6	58/25 90/7 121/11 123/23	55/13 55/14 69/4 69/19 76/12 90/1	give [5] 26/11 35/3 64/9 77/13 96/2
136/1 137/5 137/13	04/42 04/22 402/0	formally [1] 36/12	90/20 91/24 94/15	given [29] 17/1 20/17
137/21 138/15 138/24	fine [5] 43/4 103/21	format [4] 6/15 40/2	95/6 96/14 96/23	38/25 39/2 52/4 53/12

141/16 143/14 145/17 73/11 G greatest [1] 141/7 greed [1] 48/7 148/1 148/21 148/24 head [7] 13/7 29/10 given... [23] 54/5 group [18] 4/11 4/12 148/25 149/2 150/12 43/12 61/25 70/11 54/10 54/12 54/23 6/7 8/10 40/13 40/14 150/12 152/12 152/12 73/21 74/1 57/7 58/15 77/23 78/7 41/2 51/19 54/11 157/11 157/21 158/18 headcount [6] 24/21 81/17 81/24 82/10 60/17 60/20 62/16 158/23 161/6 25/2 25/10 29/1 29/14 84/22 87/2 93/11 62/21 62/25 63/3 had a [1] 50/6 88/16 107/3 111/12 115/9 65/18 67/17 75/16 hadn't [2] 63/21 headed [2] 125/4 116/7 120/9 132/8 128/16 group-wide [2] 4/11 73/17 137/15 140/24 149/11 6/7 halfway [5] 23/20 giving [1] 52/22 61/24 81/2 84/5 66/14 68/13 110/2 groups [2] 42/20 **go [36]** 3/15 5/12 7/2 42/21 134/12 125/2 125/5 127/12 16/13 25/3 25/23 groupthink [4] 67/6 Hall [1] 130/18 heads [2] 31/8 31/11 28/16 29/9 42/14 144/16 161/18 162/14 **Hamilton [12]** 105/11 | **hear [5]** 1/3 54/2 42/21 44/23 45/14 grown [1] 139/16 130/17 131/25 132/15 104/13 143/12 145/8 46/17 48/18 48/20 guardian [4] 55/20 134/22 136/4 137/7 50/8 55/4 56/20 59/8 55/24 56/7 59/10 137/13 137/22 139/4 88/14 118/3 118/13 70/8 79/4 79/5 82/5 guess [3] 31/20 35/1 139/22 141/16 148/9 82/8 96/16 106/17 Hamilton's [2] 73/1 hearing [2] 151/24 109/19 131/17 143/25 guidance [4] 40/11 105/17 139/21 164/4 148/2 149/24 150/23 58/15 64/9 95/11 hand [4] 5/23 7/15 heavily [5] 8/17 153/11 156/6 158/16 Guide [2] 43/3 85/17 29/19 117/24 55/14 76/11 76/12 163/21 **Guidelines [2]** 39/19 handed [2] 23/1 29/3 125/18 goes [1] 140/4 **handouts** [1] 9/9 held [26] 2/14 2/16 39/25 going [37] 7/12 19/20 **Guildford [1]** 98/10 10/18 12/15 13/10 hands [1] 43/12 23/4 30/15 36/1 36/6 handwritten [2] 94/6 13/18 14/1 20/11 guilt [4] 97/11 101/9 38/10 45/16 51/16 20/21 21/10 21/14 119/22 120/3 94/11 58/11 65/4 69/3 70/5 quilty [7] 66/17 67/4 happen [2] 36/2 21/23 22/16 23/23 70/23 73/7 79/16 86/1 98/11 135/10 135/25 104/2 39/8 39/23 92/14 100/1 106/21 108/3 140/12 143/4 92/15 102/7 107/24 happened [3] 144/20 108/4 108/21 110/1 **gumption [1]** 143/15 153/9 163/6 122/2 122/9 122/16 122/9 122/17 122/23 **Harbinson** [6] 60/5 123/4 133/6 133/15 Hello [2] 54/2 104/13 60/7 60/8 60/10 136/24 145/3 145/12 habits [1] 3/14 136/25 140/6 help [13] 2/23 2/25 150/20 151/13 155/25 had [114] 2/12 3/11 Harbinson's [1] 4/6 14/19 15/12 62/9 159/20 162/25 4/11 4/25 7/14 8/13 138/12 87/6 115/7 116/2 gone [4] 30/18 95/1 11/19 17/18 24/7 116/21 123/1 134/15 hard [2] 1/14 98/17 95/8 150/13 25/12 25/17 26/7 27/3 has [28] 13/12 28/19 153/8 good [4] 1/3 103/20 29/8 30/4 31/22 32/1 33/23 59/16 66/15 Helpdesk [1] 157/22 145/11 163/19 33/18 35/19 40/18 68/8 80/18 101/19 helped [1] 153/3 **Gorbals [1]** 115/19 42/19 42/24 42/25 110/7 110/11 116/1 helpful [1] 147/5 got [6] 1/15 37/22 43/2 45/6 45/8 46/6 116/13 118/3 118/13 Henry [4] 153/22 49/2 49/12 157/5 46/10 49/16 50/6 129/2 135/3 135/8 153/23 163/11 165/5 160/20 51/14 52/3 52/7 53/5 137/4 137/9 137/15 her [5] 107/16 129/3 grade [3] 7/20 28/22 55/2 56/23 59/22 140/14 140/16 140/18 129/10 157/23 158/20 29/6 60/13 60/19 60/20 140/21 141/10 148/9 here [37] 10/5 19/7 Graham [8] 132/20 61/25 62/12 63/16 156/8 158/9 19/21 23/21 25/4 133/8 133/10 134/19 71/21 72/2 72/11 hasn't [2] 127/17 25/24 37/6 39/7 51/23 135/14 135/18 136/25 72/23 73/4 73/9 74/7 160/21 53/19 61/18 62/2 64/8 140/5 74/8 75/1 75/10 82/23 have [270] 66/14 67/21 68/2 grammatical [2] 85/20 86/5 86/5 90/11 haven't [1] 153/18 68/13 70/6 75/13 76/9 10/12 52/25 92/11 92/23 92/23 having [13] 5/6 15/18 83/5 98/2 98/8 100/2 **grandiose** [1] 5/11 93/11 93/16 94/25 101/18 107/13 109/4 20/18 32/17 32/21 **Grant [1]** 130/19 95/1 97/19 100/25 110/2 111/23 114/2 34/8 36/25 63/15 granularity [1] 101/12 102/19 105/21 117/20 128/7 133/17 127/9 133/4 133/7 149/24 118/7 118/15 118/17 143/18 161/8 144/17 149/12 149/13 Grapevine [10] 23/8 118/19 118/24 119/1 Haywood [1] 23/2 154/19 24/8 111/11 125/4 123/5 125/12 127/25 he [16] 22/22 43/22 herself [1] 153/7 125/6 125/7 127/6 128/14 129/15 129/18 43/23 43/24 47/15 high [3] 10/22 52/7 127/12 128/11 128/17 129/20 129/22 130/2 109/17 133/12 133/13 155/21 great [1] 117/14 130/9 130/13 131/2 142/4 156/8 158/20 higher [3] 44/5 greater [5] 96/2 131/22 132/24 133/20 160/13 160/14 160/14 110/14 141/6 103/2 103/10 131/22 133/24 138/3 139/6 161/4 161/4 highlight [1] 115/24 150/18 139/9 139/16 141/12 highly [6] 10/11 34/9 he'd [3] 43/20 73/6

42/17 43/5 43/5 75/11 him [8] 59/22 62/3 73/5 158/8 159/6 159/13 162/24 163/2 |hindsight [5] 32/25 69/16 113/5 122/3 144/1 hindsight's [1] 32/25 hires [1] 39/1 heading [8] 15/1 66/7 his [21] 43/11 43/11 43/12 43/14 43/25 73/8 73/8 73/11 73/14 74/9 82/13 129/3 129/10 129/20 135/1 135/21 145/13 145/17 heard [6] 22/12 59/16 149/1 159/6 160/14 historic [2] 42/7 143/20 historically [2] 3/10 128/4 hold [5] 3/2 13/22 52/21 86/23 109/14 holding [1] 12/18 Holmes [7] 130/18 145/13 145/13 145/15 148/25 152/25 153/7 Holmes' [5] 147/8 147/20 148/12 149/4 152/23 Holmes's [1] 145/16 honest [1] 32/2 122/22 143/22 152/20 honesty [2] 57/14 97/9 hook [1] 152/3 hope [2] 103/22 163/23 hoped [1] 98/23 hopefully [2] 55/25 59/11 Horizon [73] 11/11 11/14 11/19 13/1 16/6 20/15 20/22 33/11 37/9 39/21 49/25 50/2 50/15 50/21 77/16 79/20 91/9 91/17 92/7 93/4 95/25 96/10 96/12 96/20 97/5 97/24 98/14 98/25 100/6 100/7 102/11 102/11 102/19 102/21 104/16 105/6 108/5 108/11 109/6 110/4 111/1 113/12 113/21 115/1 116/14 116/19 117/23 118/1 118/15 122/10 122/17 122/24 123/5 123/10 123/17 124/1 132/16 133/17 141/17 142/7 143/20 144/12 144/15 145/19 145/20 147/9 149/1 151/13 151/22 152/3 152/9 154/1 162/16 horrendous [1] 83/12

Н hours [2] 27/23 29/7 house [4] 9/14 9/18 43/8 103/8 houses [1] 41/3 how [21] 2/24 8/24 9/22 13/24 38/9 39/8 47/10 58/25 64/9 76/16 89/18 97/17 100/8 100/12 103/17 120/6 121/6 146/6 150/2 151/23 160/19 however [3] 102/23 135/25 137/14 **HR [1]** 10/7 HRA [1] 36/21 Human [1] 156/25 hungry [1] 28/20 hurdle [1] 35/11 **Hutchings [1]** 130/19 I absented [1] 50/4 I adopted [1] 146/25 I agree [1] 135/23 I already [1] 4/24 I also [4] 13/4 37/1 58/19 97/3 I always [1] 46/5 I am [3] 57/15 71/24 161/9 I apologise [2] 12/10 161/20 I appreciate [2] 96/17 96/25 I apprised [1] 73/25 l assume [2] 115/16 149/18 I became [4] 12/2 32/15 32/16 32/19 I been [3] 82/23 105/21 148/1 I believe [3] 22/11 99/7 153/2 I break [1] 48/3

I can [11] 7/2 29/2

34/15 104/2 109/16

143/6 145/10 148/23

I can't [14] 17/6 18/1

52/15 88/8 96/2 97/6

123/7 123/12 123/14

I certainly [9] 11/16

14/1 51/15 69/11

69/17 83/11 88/20

I could [4] 33/21

58/24 149/7 153/8

I couldn't [3] 40/6

I cover [1] 56/12

18/17 21/5 47/23

128/2 134/17

97/2 151/16

76/8 149/24

I dealt [4] 42/25 43/6 47/6 68/16 I deployed [1] 16/3 I despair [1] 143/13 I detailed [1] 42/16 I did [9] 13/24 19/5 46/15 50/3 85/22 96/12 104/22 128/21 129/1 I didn't [7] 7/3 50/8 60/22 127/18 139/6 139/14 144/18 I disclosed [1] 43/13 **I do [30]** 11/25 13/21 16/22 16/22 20/12 22/24 26/23 61/4 67/7 I particularly [1] 72/16 82/18 82/20 83/25 90/15 102/12 103/1 121/23 124/12 124/15 131/20 138/22 155/19 155/23 156/4 157/7 158/12 161/24 161/25 162/1 163/10 I don't [39] 10/18 12/9 12/11 12/18 15/14 15/18 16/23 17/21 33/25 53/18 54/8 54/16 54/18 56/11 60/1 60/2 63/13 | I received [1] 36/14 63/16 74/18 88/19 90/6 96/11 102/4 102/10 102/21 109/8 110/23 111/21 112/8 113/5 116/17 116/17 117/19 134/3 145/8 145/8 158/4 159/23 162/4 I fail [1] 71/25 I feel [3] 7/3 143/24 152/21 I firmly [1] 71/20 **I go [4]** 42/21 44/23 106/17 153/11 I guess [3] 31/20 35/1 73/1 I had [11] 7/14 11/19 46/6 46/10 49/16 60/19 63/16 72/23 110/19 111/15 115/12 129/20 158/18 161/6 I hadn't [1] 63/21 I have [6] 10/17 16/2 75/6 145/1 163/8 163/21 I haven't [1] 153/18 I held [2] 20/11 102/7 I hope [2] 103/22 163/23 I just [2] 76/1 152/1 I knew [1] 31/23 I know [6] 12/5 35/17 50/4 56/12 60/2 72/24 I left [1] 24/18 I like [1] 45/9 I looked [2] 115/12

158/22 I make [1] 104/6 I managed [1] 127/11 I may [5] 11/17 23/10 34/4 54/10 131/16 I mean [2] 26/18 60/1 I mention [1] 26/22 I must [4] 28/9 54/8 54/9 131/4 I never [3] 7/18 56/19 61/17 I not [1] 141/3 I note [2] 137/6 141/5 I obviously [1] 124/20 42/23 I personally [1] 96/9 I presume [2] 102/7 135/4 I probably [3] 23/4 49/15 83/17 I reached [1] 29/5 I really [2] 153/9 161/21 I recall [8] 57/5 58/15 72/17 80/23 96/21 103/10 109/1 149/14 I recognise [3] 82/6 84/18 115/14 I refer [2] 106/9 137/2 I remained [1] 10/15 I remember [5] 6/23 43/10 43/21 47/13 58/18 I represent [2] 153/24 163/6 I said [4] 31/15 142/24 153/11 161/23 40/1 44/8 45/10 46/14 I saw [2] 59/12 71/12 I say [7] 3/9 7/3 12/9 47/22 56/6 70/18 109/1 I see [3] 51/1 120/24 143/12 I seem [10] 26/1 39/17 40/13 40/15 50/5 62/11 95/16 99/17 101/25 102/3 I should [1] 33/1 I spoke [1] 159/13 I still [2] 43/9 75/8 I suspect [10] 7/19 20/16 54/8 56/15 56/15 69/22 111/2 115/11 116/4 123/20 I tended [1] 29/4 I then [3] 10/7 23/1 48/2 I think [90] 3/9 3/21 4/24 5/5 8/4 11/17 12/6 15/15 20/19 21/3

21/11 23/9 28/18 32/22 33/16 33/17 38/15 39/12 41/15 41/16 42/16 47/22 48/14 49/14 49/15 50/3 51/13 52/13 52/18 54/10 54/24 56/2 57/5 67/6 70/18 74/18 75/14 75/18 76/6 76/13 76/22 77/17 77/22 78/5 82/3 82/23 83/17 83/18 83/21 85/19 86/22 88/4 89/9 90/4 90/18 91/15 93/10 96/1 101/1 101/14 102/17 103/1 103/16 106/9 107/1 109/20 117/8 117/25 120/8 122/3 122/11 125/5 125/6 132/23 134/4 142/6 142/14 144/22 146/6 146/22 150/15 151/9 154/17 154/24 156/3 163/14 I thought [5] 12/19 16/13 60/16 120/22 142/3 I took [1] 71/10 I understand [2] 2/11 137/14 I want [3] 155/24 157/2 158/16 I wanted [1] 32/23 I was [44] 3/25 4/23 11/6 11/17 12/5 12/21 24/19 24/24 25/11 25/13 26/20 28/5 31/22 32/11 32/12 52/20 58/16 70/3 72/1 75/5 75/7 75/8 76/9 76/11 83/20 84/18 94/1 94/3 94/11 105/25 106/18 107/2 120/5 120/22 126/11 133/18 144/16 150/13 150/20 153/2 I wasn't [3] 24/22 76/11 102/1 I will [1] 2/8 I wonder [4] 13/24 53/13 153/8 156/5 I worked [1] 20/9 I would [301 7/6 8/5 10/6 19/5 29/9 31/24 33/25 53/4 54/12 63/21 75/5 76/24 83/18 84/15 88/5 100/19 106/3 111/2 121/13 125/17 126/1 127/1 130/24 136/2 147/1 154/4 154/9

161/20 162/2 162/7 28/18 30/3 32/2 32/10 | I wouldn't [9] 18/11 58/17 60/12 82/5 82/8 88/20 117/13 118/11 138/21 **I'd [35]** 5/11 7/4 15/19 16/13 31/19 31/22 32/3 32/13 32/13 47/9 49/21 50/15 54/17 54/24 60/13 72/24 75/19 77/4 77/4 77/10 79/1 82/10 82/25 93/18 94/3 106/17 118/9 121/10 121/11 130/1 130/25 131/24 139/15 159/24 161/23 **I'm [39]** 7/12 16/2 23/15 24/1 31/10 40/1 41/9 41/12 41/14 41/17 50/7 68/21 72/7 82/19 84/23 97/17 99/20 100/11 100/17 103/18 114/22 114/22 122/25 124/22 125/23 134/17 142/3 142/5 145/12 145/24 145/24 148/14 150/4 150/22 150/23 151/10 158/14 163/3 163/19 I've [15] 6/6 7/2 14/11 18/10 18/10 23/17 37/22 43/16 49/12 50/3 53/13 70/16 81/19 146/22 149/11 lain [5] 22/21 23/1 23/13 23/14 23/16 idea [2] 87/8 114/24 identification [15] 15/3 82/14 83/7 84/21 85/10 86/2 86/4 86/6 86/9 86/12 86/19 86/20 87/3 87/11 91/5 identified [14] 15/3 15/9 18/21 19/11 28/8 28/10 64/12 79/10 84/5 89/1 90/11 95/12 115/21 118/15 identify [6] 19/8 84/20 99/21 100/12 108/11 114/12 identifying [2] 84/3 156/15 identity [2] 85/12 86/24 ie [3] 59/10 97/20 135/12 ie something [1] 59/10 ie to [1] 97/20 **if [90]** 1/17 5/10 5/11 7/2 11/17 11/25 12/9 12/11 16/14 19/10 19/13 23/9 23/18 25/8

107/10 107/11 107/22 120/18 122/2 122/9 13/22 14/6 14/23 15/4 42/8 49/22 61/3 61/22 131/8 122/12 122/16 122/22 19/8 97/4 62/21 64/2 64/10 65/7 if... [76] 29/2 32/4 includes [1] 141/6 122/22 123/4 123/9 internally [2] 36/15 65/12 65/17 65/19 33/16 34/15 35/3 35/8 including [12] 42/2 128/4 128/23 148/20 96/20 65/24 68/18 69/7 69/8 35/13 35/17 36/3 50/19 56/9 71/3 81/4 148/25 149/2 153/3 interpersonal [1] 71/14 74/4 79/10 38/10 38/24 42/15 81/12 103/22 110/14 informative [1] 10/22 87/24 88/2 88/5 88/18 45/14 45/16 45/20 111/10 113/13 126/5 88/22 89/2 89/20 163/24 interpret [2] 86/18 46/8 46/14 46/22 89/25 90/21 92/24 144/5 informed [2] 123/16 109/8 52/25 53/6 53/16 inclusions [1] 128/18 140/16 interruption [1] 93/8 96/12 98/18 54/10 54/18 55/2 initial [3] 54/14 54/16 34/17 102/18 119/2 122/6 inclusive [1] 135/5 55/15 56/11 57/5 114/5 interspaced [1] 13/2 136/24 140/4 145/20 income [2] 59/7 60/22 61/23 63/6 153/4 155/2 155/9 73/24 inner [1] 5/3 interventions [1] 63/21 67/2 71/21 innocence [1] 97/11 inconvenient [1] 40/23 155/22 156/1 156/9 73/11 73/17 75/14 interview [21] 35/13 156/12 156/14 156/16 163/20 input [1] 72/1 76/1 79/13 79/25 88/6 increase [2] 31/1 inputs [2] 33/6 33/15 36/24 37/14 37/20 159/12 159/20 160/12 90/18 92/15 93/12 59/7 inquiry [31] 2/5 2/9 37/21 38/12 38/22 161/3 161/14 162/22 93/15 95/9 100/13 16/10 20/17 21/1 43/10 46/1 46/19 increased [2] 30/6 investigations [18] 103/10 103/20 104/2 59/16 68/8 70/6 70/17 46/19 46/23 48/20 4/12 6/8 7/11 11/13 30/24 106/18 108/3 108/4 increasing [3] 97/5 78/17 78/24 80/18 71/5 91/20 92/6 92/17 12/24 26/9 39/23 108/13 108/20 110/20 82/17 101/8 118/3 93/3 93/12 100/11 47/20 56/24 63/25 106/16 114/1 111/5 115/13 119/15 increasingly [1] 118/13 119/11 119/15 149/1 64/7 64/17 64/20 121/14 130/25 131/16 107/2 119/21 120/2 123/21 interviewed [1] 4/25 64/22 144/23 154/11 135/11 135/25 136/22 incredibly [3] 32/11 130/14 133/1 143/12 interviewing [3] 156/23 162/7 138/13 140/20 140/23 investigative [5] 45/23 46/13 145/25 148/9 148/17 35/25 36/18 40/25 145/8 149/7 149/12 incumbents [1] 53/5 149/21 155/10 161/6 36/15 40/25 41/11 interviews [7] 7/24 150/20 153/8 156/5 10/10 11/8 38/1 40/18 163/1 53/8 123/25 incurred [2] 73/23 156/6 159/19 163/19 inserted [1] 84/24 41/12 42/8 investigator [67] 152/6 iii [4] 10/25 11/2 49/3 indeed [13] 1/16 1/22 insidious [1] 47/12 into [48] 6/11 6/14 8/20 9/1 9/23 9/24 49/11 2/19 8/11 25/10 50/3 installed [1] 28/3 6/17 7/7 7/13 8/6 10/2 10/8 10/15 11/3 ilk [1] 53/2 70/16 82/20 83/25 19/22 23/4 28/11 11/7 11/23 12/19 35/4 instance [2] 76/3 imagine [2] 52/15 42/21 44/9 44/18 36/8 37/8 37/12 39/10 103/1 139/24 142/21 114/21 73/17 instances [1] 102/22 142/23 45/14 45/16 51/19 40/8 42/5 54/5 57/8 immediate [1] 73/4 independence [2] 53/7 56/20 69/24 70/1 57/12 59/19 59/24 instinctively [1] 55/1 immediately [2] 76/8 76/15 institutions [2] 71/13 72/8 72/18 71/4 77/19 80/6 83/20 92/22 150/11 independent [4] 120/14 120/19 73/11 73/16 73/24 84/17 88/11 88/21 **imminently [2]** 31/24 76/10 129/4 143/16 instruct [1] 83/6 74/6 75/9 81/20 82/5 90/22 91/6 91/10 150/23 82/8 83/9 90/21 92/17 91/14 91/17 92/20 143/20 instructed [4] 86/8 impact [9] 9/14 26/8 **indictment [2]** 136/2 125/13 125/15 129/23 93/23 115/8 115/14 93/7 93/21 94/1 94/2 27/3 29/13 32/16 88/4 123/14 133/14 140/2 94/3 94/21 94/23 140/13 **instruction [8]** 49/25 102/24 112/2 113/22 50/1 81/24 86/18 144/8 144/23 145/20 95/17 96/10 97/14 individual [17] 30/3 impacted [3] 25/18 32/19 34/13 34/19 88/19 126/3 129/19 146/14 147/16 149/24 99/19 101/4 102/12 30/10 131/18 35/3 35/8 35/10 46/17 129/21 156/9 159/6 159/12 102/14 119/19 120/11 impacting [4] 4/12 47/1 47/8 47/11 49/1 120/12 120/16 120/23 instructions [4] intranet [3] 63/9 26/12 88/7 106/11 55/20 67/20 72/25 79/22 125/21 128/20 120/24 121/18 126/17 63/11 63/17 impacts [1] 32/21 73/20 158/3 135/6 126/25 133/8 133/25 **introduced [2]** 16/11 implication [1] 138/1 138/3 138/3 individuals [14] integral [1] 71/6 21/16 162/13 32/22 34/8 35/18 44/7 integrity [3] 113/11 139/8 142/25 155/9 introduction [9] **important [2]** 56/5 45/25 56/1 59/11 60/2 113/20 145/20 11/14 15/25 16/5 Investigator's [1] 155/15 75/24 98/4 108/12 intelligence [2] 23/7 18/24 37/25 61/2 76/25 impression [1] 31/25 144/21 152/4 153/5 80/21 91/8 107/19 127/11 investigators [60] **improve [1]** 153/15 induction [1] 65/9 intend [1] 82/18 introductions [1] 12/23 18/20 19/4 20/2 Improvement [1] industrial [1] 50/13 intended [2] 36/22 35/11 30/3 30/7 30/11 38/21 37/5 143/3 39/1 39/9 39/14 40/3 infant [1] 73/22 invested [1] 76/12 **inadequate [1]** 77/15 inflated [2] 133/20 intention [1] 61/5 40/5 40/10 41/16 **investigate** [2] 94/25 inception [1] 96/10 138/5 interest [7] 61/19 114/6 49/18 49/24 50/19 incident [9] 111/8 influence [2] 55/25 67/12 71/22 74/6 investigated [4] 57/2 50/24 51/3 51/7 51/12 111/22 113/19 113/20 141/6 150/22 163/23 57/2 117/21 159/16 52/10 52/16 57/7 58/4 60/14 113/23 114/8 114/13 investigation [75] influenced [1] 42/20 interests [6] 59/6 58/9 64/3 64/5 64/9 114/15 114/16 159/10 160/10 161/1 4/4 4/7 4/9 4/19 6/1 65/10 65/12 72/7 75/3 inform [1] 129/9 **Incidents [1]** 104/21 **informally [1]** 36/12 161/12 162/21 6/17 7/16 8/18 9/19 83/6 84/12 84/19 86/8 include [2] 42/18 11/24 12/2 12/13 13/3 information [24] **interface** [1] 7/1 86/16 86/23 87/10 103/24 14/21 19/22 79/6 interim [2] 12/4 14/10 20/10 26/13 87/16 87/20 90/14 included [8] 9/8 85/12 95/12 108/9 28/11 28/12 28/23 91/4 91/25 94/16 95/7 48/23 21/24 65/8 79/7 108/24 109/7 114/11 internal [7] 13/18 31/1 36/25 38/6 38/11 102/15 119/7 119/10

112/6 96/11 iteration [1] 104/16 105/22 148/1 148/10 iterations [1] 57/6 junior [2] 139/8 148/20 161/6 161/8 leads [2] 29/10 58/16 investigators... [9] known [3] 77/3 79/25 learning [2] 41/19 its [8] 2/6 17/7 55/23 142/25 120/1 120/20 121/2 57/19 77/7 112/19 just [56] 7/15 10/20 150/22 45/12 121/7 123/16 127/14 154/8 157/5 15/17 29/2 30/12 least [6] 3/23 35/17 128/2 128/8 162/4 itself [5] 57/3 57/4 31/16 31/16 31/19 36/2 87/18 101/4 Investigators' [1] 89/21 103/8 109/24 31/20 32/6 32/8 35/14 Label [1] 85/18 136/8 38/18 lack [2] 23/4 138/9 iv [1] 12/15 35/15 45/1 47/10 leave [1] 24/23 investigatory [5] Lancashire [2] 36/18 leaving [2] 31/22 47/15 47/19 48/2 48/7 52/9 93/2 143/23 49/2 49/15 50/9 50/21 41/1 31/24 152/20 156/24 Jacobs [3] 145/4 **Lancs [1]** 41/9 51/15 52/18 53/15 led [9] 26/4 31/13 invite [1] 91/20 145/6 165/4 54/25 58/5 65/15 76/1 language [5] 83/12 41/2 88/16 94/14 95/5 involve [2] 6/5 7/22 97/23 99/1 99/9 Janet [1] 156/10 77/18 82/11 100/22 103/10 119/24 120/25 involved [12] 5/9 **January [1]** 116/10 101/13 106/20 106/21 162/20 ledgers [3] 94/6 7/10 14/13 61/11 Jarnail [2] 98/4 large [2] 73/12 106/23 111/8 115/16 94/11 94/12 87/16 97/2 102/1 151/20 122/13 122/18 129/25 105/15 Lee [1] 17/10 102/5 124/10 127/20 Jenee [2] 135/2 131/3 131/16 133/10 larger [1] 103/13 left [8] 24/13 24/18 132/6 132/21 135/22 last [9] 14/2 14/4 142/6 146/9 152/1 26/24 29/22 33/25 involvement [13] 19/7 20/4 20/5 31/10 Jenee/Juliet [1] 152/8 152/9 153/16 47/3 84/2 137/18 33/18 46/2 56/23 87/9 78/4 99/1 163/4 135/22 155/24 156/6 157/20 **Legacy [2]** 102/11 87/20 90/13 124/24 Jenkins [8] 98/19 late [5] 8/6 24/13 162/7 163/4 102/19 129/14 129/18 129/20 124/22 124/25 125/7 24/18 59/16 59/25 justice [7] 154/3 legal [18] 14/22 130/13 131/23 145/25 129/17 129/19 129/22 later [10] 23/9 25/10 159/11 160/3 160/10 15/22 15/23 16/17 IP [1] 5/18 130/5 161/1 161/12 162/21 33/6 39/10 40/15 16/19 17/24 18/3 ironic [2] 46/21 47/13 Jenkins' [2] 129/14 54/20 93/5 104/24 43/11 43/11 75/15 **ironically [2]** 4/25 Κ 130/8 132/7 137/19 79/5 79/7 81/9 84/6 72/23 keep [3] 59/11 latter [1] 70/19 Jennifer [2] 134/13 107/7 107/10 156/17 **irrational [1]** 154/22 135/18 106/20 106/21 laundering [1] 156/20 irrespective [1] 35/2 kept [2] 38/17 123/16 job [4] 17/3 26/5 156/15 legally [2] 75/21 76/5 is [294] key [7] 41/15 42/9 law [40] 9/12 14/23 94/10 146/10 legislation [2] 39/6 Ishaq [1] 130/19 jobs [1] 112/11 109/21 111/14 138/17 15/22 17/23 18/3 64/12 isn't [7] 31/20 119/4 **JOHN [12]** 1/8 1/12 138/21 153/3 18/14 40/11 40/16 legislative [1] 65/18 119/14 121/17 137/23 61/25 96/22 102/7 55/4 56/18 56/21 keystroke [2] 99/18 legitimately [1] 48/18 148/13 162/12 115/6 115/15 149/15 100/15 58/22 64/3 67/17 legitimised [1] 42/7 isolated [2] 26/24 67/24 69/9 71/2 72/14 length [1] 79/15 150/16 150/17 152/12 Khayyam [1] 130/19 134/4 72/20 74/12 74/23 165/2 Khayyam Ishaq [1] lengthy [1] 98/10 isolation [1] 142/6 John Scott [5] 61/25 130/19 75/12 75/16 75/17 less [9] 24/25 30/14 issue [4] 33/4 112/20 kind [1] 17/1 76/2 77/7 77/9 79/6 96/22 149/15 150/17 34/11 34/13 34/19 118/24 154/24 King [1] 115/15 80/8 80/11 84/6 93/15 152/12 49/20 73/2 77/22 **issued [1]** 147/12 joined [1] 2/20 kit [9] 36/24 36/25 95/13 119/6 121/14 93/14 issues [17] 14/24 joining [1] 25/18 37/14 37/20 37/21 125/18 130/3 134/6 lesser [2] 143/5 19/9 19/11 19/23 **Jon [1]** 98/18 38/9 38/16 38/16 134/14 136/19 143/5 52/25 104/23 110/6 38/23 lawyer [6] 72/2 75/12 lessons [1] 143/19 Jon Longman [1] 110/8 110/14 111/24 knew [3] 4/24 5/9 76/2 97/24 99/5 147/3 let [1] 157/20 98/18 116/13 116/19 116/21 lawyers [3] 9/18 44/1 let's [2] 106/20 **Jory [3]** 134/24 135/1 31/23 117/7 125/16 129/11 know [38] 2/7 12/5 58/21 140/9 106/21 132/16 Josephine [5] 105/11 12/9 12/11 16/24 **Layout [1]** 85/18 level [25] 10/22 it [340] 105/17 130/17 131/25 lead [16] 9/24 10/2 18/11 18/13 30/13 43/25 44/2 52/8 53/5 it's [48] 11/1 23/3 31/17 31/20 31/21 10/8 10/9 10/15 11/7 134/22 54/17 58/18 69/5 23/19 24/16 25/24 Josephine Hamilton 32/9 33/25 35/17 11/22 29/4 31/3 35/6 69/11 82/6 82/8 87/19 26/14 31/19 32/22 **[1]** 105/11 35/22 35/23 35/25 50/25 52/3 52/10 87/23 88/2 88/12 32/23 33/1 33/16 41/6 50/4 53/18 56/11 96/13 101/8 115/13 Josephine 88/25 89/12 99/8 36/13 43/19 44/17 Hamilton's [1] 56/12 60/2 72/24 lead-in [1] 35/6 99/10 143/14 144/5 49/19 51/2 53/21 56/3 105/17 82/19 91/19 106/21 leader [9] 11/24 12/2 144/7 149/24 151/23 66/21 73/20 74/18 judged [1] 157/5 112/7 126/3 128/16 12/12 12/13 12/14 153/15 75/18 81/4 82/20 83/1 129/13 137/4 142/24 22/21 71/15 82/9 Julian [1] 130/17 levels [4] 11/5 51/25 93/13 97/18 101/18 145/8 150/25 152/8 Juliet [7] 134/20 133/14 99/18 155/7 105/8 106/21 115/16 135/2 135/18 135/22 153/11 162/9 leaders [2] 49/17 levers [1] 60/23 119/4 121/17 127/8 136/22 139/25 158/8 121/13 knowing [2] 163/4 liability [1] 93/24 127/23 139/14 147/21 Juliet/Jenee [1] 163/5 leadership [4] 92/1 liaising [1] 128/14 150/10 150/17 155/25 knowingly [1] 47/11 159/24 161/25 162/1 135/2 **liaison [3]** 6/1 6/11 156/1 157/5 158/21 July [2] 113/9 114/5 knowledge [14] 2/1 leading [8] 12/22 28/6 160/1 160/18 160/20 12/24 22/7 23/22 jumping [1] 98/25 11/10 27/10 58/1 58/3 **Liddell [1]** 158/20 160/22 162/19 June [3] 81/11 111/5 58/7 58/10 72/24 44/21 64/20 91/23 life [1] 73/8

light [1] 31/21 like [12] 10/1 42/14 42/15 45/9 46/14 60/15 93/20 99/22 112/24 131/24 154/23 163/7 likely [3] 102/24 107/22 151/1 Likewise [1] 55/22 limited [12] 12/8 13/4 13/8 60/21 62/21 63/3 65/16 65/22 65/23 84/1 86/9 108/11 limits [4] 101/15 102/16 102/24 103/2 line [26] 12/20 15/10 25/14 35/19 68/19 68/21 68/24 69/5 69/13 69/15 69/16 69/16 69/19 71/8 79/16 79/17 89/6 92/21 92/23 99/1 100/3 101/8 106/15 106/16 142/10 159/2 lines [6] 88/21 119/11 119/15 119/21 120/2 155/10 link [1] 138/8 links [1] 42/19 **lip [1]** 155/3 lip-service [1] 155/3 list [7] 98/7 107/15 107/23 109/13 111/9 113/2 113/13 listed [4] 14/18 132/3 133/5 137/10 listening [1] 163/22 listing [1] 39/6 literally [1] 52/23 little [12] 5/11 12/16 33/20 40/17 46/7 48/5 51/22 53/19 85/25 103/13 116/11 138/13 live [2] 110/6 114/22 lived [1] 74/10 **Liverpool [4]** 3/3 5/3 6/22 6/24 lives [2] 75/23 153/25 living [1] 44/15 **Io [1]** 157/23 **lo and [1]** 157/23 local [6] 69/5 69/11 М 73/3 89/6 89/12 102/20 locate [1] 47/23 locations [1] 114/12 log [5] 97/17 99/18 156/2 158/22 160/22 logged [1] 114/8 **logic [3]** 30/12 48/21 78/25

logical [2] 27/22 48/21 **logically [8]** 21/3 21/12 28/2 30/25 37/10 38/1 48/17 54/9 logs [3] 93/19 97/10 97/14 London [2] 12/9 140/9 long [8] 9/22 25/12 64/3 86/23 109/13 111/9 113/13 158/6 long-serving [1] 86/23 longer [4] 10/17 28/22 32/6 52/3 Longman [1] 98/18 look [6] 35/4 55/15 57/16 63/6 106/19 150/25 looked [5] 42/10 52/6 100/23 115/12 158/22 looking [11] 14/2 18/2 41/11 67/1 74/24 75/20 81/1 108/1 112/14 144/12 144/19 makes [2] 60/22 looks [1] 98/7 lose [1] 29/20 loss [21] 27/4 59/14 62/14 67/3 76/17 77/3 77/3 77/6 77/12 78/8 87/15 87/19 87/23 93/4 93/25 94/18 115/20 141/13 141/20 144/7 145/22 Loss' [1] 115/21 losses [7] 5/7 61/5 61/10 89/17 106/13 124/2 152/5 lost [5] 29/8 30/6 31/8 31/11 73/21 lot [1] 52/13 Lottery [1] 111/25 Louise [1] 156/10 love [1] 32/11 low [1] 144/7 lower [1] 88/12 lunch [2] 103/15 103/21 **Lyell [5]** 157/10 158/21 159/4 159/7 159/13 **Lynette [1]** 130/18 machine [1] 45/12 machinery [1] 89/20 made [33] 22/9 23/12 33/19 33/23 34/1 40/22 56/22 68/21

69/18 69/20 71/1 71/7 72/2 75/11 89/14 98/22 100/25 101/12 102/25 103/4 110/7

130/1 132/15 136/6 136/16 136/17 140/17 109/11 110/1 141/10 142/19 144/10 marched [2] 31/8 146/18 147/3 158/18 Mail [13] 4/12 4/14 8/9 57/25 62/16 62/20 **Marion [1]** 145/13 62/25 63/3 63/8 63/11 63/17 67/17 135/10 main [2] 33/9 42/12 mainly [1] 13/1 maintain [3] 65/24 118/10 162/24 maintaining [1] 160/14 majority [5] 25/19 28/9 29/5 74/19 115/12 make [17] 40/19 69/6 | 128/22 70/12 76/2 93/7 93/23 matter [13] 9/2 9/4 94/16 95/7 104/5 104/6 113/16 114/23 126/1 131/4 139/3 143/6 147/19 make-up [1] 113/16 maker [2] 58/14 75/1 114/3 making [18] 20/19 32/21 33/5 33/14 34/4 35/11 56/9 68/3 68/19 70/21 75/7 95/2 103/20 117/15 125/10 may [35] 1/6 11/17 male [1] 48/4 man [1] 156/2 managed [3] 34/11 34/21 127/11 management [8] 12/8 14/21 41/22 78/19 89/7 114/15 128/6 128/10 manager [39] 7/6 7/6 8/18 12/20 13/3 13/19 161/12 162/21 13/23 14/6 14/10 20/11 21/9 21/18 21/24 21/25 22/1 22/7 23/22 24/8 25/12 38/20 39/11 40/9 45/14 49/5 49/6 54/20 me [39] 4/25 5/2 8/7 68/22 69/15 69/16 69/19 79/4 89/8 89/8 90/6 92/23 109/17 125/1 136/13 159/2 manager's [1] 69/16 managers [4] 50/13 68/19 68/24 69/5 Mandy [4] 107/10 107/14 108/15 109/3 manner [1] 146/23 manor [1] 43/8 many [7] 8/24 10/16

10/16 46/15 52/15

136/15 163/19

map [1] 60/20

March [3] 78/20 31/11 Marine [1] 17/9 Mark [2] 109/11 109/14 marker [1] 98/24 material [14] 28/13 39/9 65/10 119/8 119/16 120/13 120/21 meeting [3] 9/11 121/3 121/9 126/8 126/16 128/24 129/11 154/12 materials [5] 8/13 20/16 36/22 39/14 32/7 48/25 64/2 89/18 memo [4] 136/21 135/4 137/3 137/9 141/24 147/4 158/24 159/15 matters [3] 129/6 135/11 155/20 **Matthews [14]** 59/17 59/21 59/23 156/3 156/7 157/8 157/12 158/7 158/17 159/5 159/22 160/19 161/11 162/20 130/24 139/18 141/18 13/13 23/9 23/10 34/4 mentored [3] 8/17 38/3 39/20 40/21 41/15 41/16 51/16 53/16 54/10 56/1 57/11 69/15 75/13 76/23 81/4 86/23 92/12 101/1 109/5 131/16 133/9 135/10 137/13 157/17 158/7 158/16 160/11 161/2 maybe [3] 69/14 69/25 154/10 McFarlane [5] 134/20 Michelin [1] 43/3 139/25 12/18 17/21 23/10 25/9 25/16 36/11 37/22 42/14 43/23 47/8 48/16 49/2 51/8 53/22 56/15 107/4 127/17 131/3 135/5 139/10 143/5 143/13 144/17 145/8 145/14 150/8 153/3 155/13 160/10 161/1 161/5 162/6 162/8 mean [6] 21/16 26/18 60/1 90/12 102/14 106/5

meaning [2] 112/20 155/4 means [1] 139/10 measured [1] 96/25 mechanics [5] 46/18 58/11 90/20 91/18 91/20 media [2] 150/22 151/13 mediation [1] 102/1 meet [1] 5/22 27/24 150/7 member [5] 27/12 27/19 47/3 95/23 158/10 members [5] 4/24 9/11 51/18 128/1 130/2 139/25 140/4 141/18 memoire [1] 58/25 memory [16] 2/18 3/21 4/13 7/13 9/10 17/14 19/15 27/22 37/10 37/16 39/12 47/23 84/14 101/19 133/12 134/3 mentality [2] 77/18 161/18 mention [3] 26/22 39/18 137/10 52/14 52/20 messaging [1] 33/10 met [9] 41/2 41/13 41/18 72/15 74/13 74/17 74/18 110/10 119/6 method [3] 19/15 40/10 103/4 Metropolitan [1] 36/17 **MI [6]** 14/19 14/20 15/2 15/6 15/9 15/20 135/19 136/22 137/20| Mick [3] 59/17 59/21 156/2 microphone [1] 145/9 mid [1] 8/4 mid-1990s [1] 8/4 middle [1] 78/3 might [18] 12/3 53/14 57/17 65/3 67/1 77/14 79/23 80/1 101/8 113/3 117/4 118/23 119/1 135/6 135/13 157/20 158/18 159/10 138/19 154/12 160/5 migrate [1] 110/7 mind [7] 19/13 29/24 76/2 76/19 96/15 97/10 101/4 mindset [1] 67/2

М minimum [3] 43/7 87/19 87/23 minute [2] 53/15 149/11 minutes [2] 46/20 103/21 Misra [3] 98/6 130/18 151/21 missing [2] 76/7 76/13 mistake [3] 48/11 48/13 49/2 model [2] 41/11 46/12 models [4] 45/7 45/8 45/13 45/13 modern [1] 152/6 **modified** [1] 5/4 moment [3] 53/14 152/16 163/5 monetary [1] 87/15 money [18] 27/8 27/20 28/15 42/24 43/1 43/3 46/8 94/25 95/1 95/2 95/8 135/8 138/17 140/20 141/12 154/6 155/14 156/15 monies [2] 74/8 140/22 monikers [1] 89/7 **month [1]** 13/6 months [8] 9/3 10/16 12/7 24/12 24/18 30/20 31/14 53/10 more [34] 7/8 7/10 8/17 18/24 19/1 21/5 21/11 24/25 32/16 32/19 33/8 33/20 36/12 40/17 44/4 48/7 48/15 59/13 69/15 73/15 86/23 91/3 93/19 96/25 114/3 123/12 123/15 125/5 130/24 131/17 138/23 143/12 144/22 152/8 morning [5] 1/3 5/23 53/15 151/20 164/1 most [5] 38/1 46/5 61/20 77/2 81/8 motivated [1] 55/17 **move [1]** 10/8 moved [5] 23/6 49/21 69/21 69/21 73/22 moves [2] 25/7 25/7 **Moving [2]** 67/8 71/16 Mr [73] 1/6 1/11 12/20 13/5 14/14 20/6 23/21 23/23 36/5 54/4 59/22 59/23 60/3 60/8 60/10 68/11 81/23 Mr Utting [2] 13/5 81/25 82/12 83/4

85/11 85/14 86/4 Mr Whitaker [1] 60/3 86/17 87/4 96/8 99/11 104/15 105/8 106/19 124/16 129/14 129/17 129/19 129/22 130/5 130/8 132/24 133/16 135/20 140/9 141/23 145/1 145/4 145/6 145/7 145/16 147/8 147/20 148/12 148/25 149/4 151/20 152/23 153/22 153/23 153/24 156/7 158/7 158/17 159/5 159/14 159/17 159/22 160/4 160/16 160/19 161/11 162/20 163/11 163/17 165/4 165/5 Mr Brander [3] 133/16 135/20 141/23 Mr Brander's [1] 132/24 Mr Harbinson [2] 60/8 60/10 **Mr Henry [2]** 153/22 163/11 Mr Holmes [1] 148/25 Mr Holmes' [5] 147/8 147/20 148/12 149/4 152/23 Mr Holmes's [1] 145/16 Mr Jacobs [3] 145/4 145/6 165/4 Mr Jarnail [1] 151/20 Mr Jenkins [4] 129/17 129/19 129/22 130/5 Mr Jenkins' [2] 129/14 130/8 Mr Matthews [9] 59/23 156/7 158/7 158/17 159/5 159/22 160/19 161/11 162/20 **my [80]** 5/20 7/13 Mr Murphy [2] 23/21 23/23 Mr Pardoe [13] 1/6 1/11 54/4 104/15 106/19 145/1 145/7 153/24 159/14 159/17 160/4 160/16 163/17 Mr Pardoe's [8] 20/6 36/5 68/11 83/4 96/8 99/11 105/8 124/16 Mr Posnett [4] 82/12 85/11 86/4 87/4 Mr Posnett's [4] 81/23 81/25 85/14 86/17 Mr Richard [1] 140/9 Mr Tony [1] 12/20

14/14

Mr Whitaker's [1] 59/22 Mrs [11] 132/15 136/4 137/7 137/13 137/22 139/4 139/21 139/22 141/16 152/25 45/10 51/5 68/20 153/7 Mrs Hamilton [8] 132/15 136/4 137/7 137/13 137/22 139/4 139/22 141/16 Mrs Hamilton's [1] 139/21 Mrs Holmes [2] 152/25 153/7 **MS [9]** 1/9 134/22 137/20 152/17 156/10 narrow [1] 59/12 157/13 157/21 158/9 165/3 Ms Andrews [1] 134/22 Ms Janet [1] 156/10 Ms McFarlane [1] 137/20 MS PRICE [3] 1/9 152/17 165/3 Ms Skinner [3] 157/13 157/21 158/9 MSc [1] 41/21 much [11] 13/24 17/5 29/3 35/14 35/25 43/14 45/5 59/8 73/10 necessity [1] 25/15 97/10 153/20 muddied [1] 22/25 Murphy [6] 22/21 23/1 23/13 23/14 23/21 23/23 must [9] 28/9 48/10 54/8 54/9 131/4 140/17 141/11 148/24 149/3 mute [3] 103/16 106/1 148/5 7/19 10/9 10/19 11/4 11/10 11/10 20/9 20/13 20/15 24/19 24/22 24/23 25/10 28/7 28/22 29/24 30/22 31/21 32/12 32/17 33/18 34/18 36/25 37/1 37/2 37/16 53/3 76/24 43/18 43/21 44/23 47/6 52/13 56/13 58/1 58/10 60/6 60/13 69/3 69/17 70/19 71/5 71/12 71/23 72/6 72/11 72/18 75/18 76/1 76/22 82/23 83/7 newsagents [1] 89/5 92/16 94/20 97/13 99/14 100/3 100/3 100/7 106/3

139/15 145/25 153/11 158/14 159/1 159/24 160/12 162/1 162/3 myself [9] 23/12 30/4 77/18 133/14 136/18 139/7 Ν name [4] 1/10 1/14 84/1 146/7 named [3] 98/11 108/11 115/19 names [3] 82/7 83/13| 115/14 national [10] 6/23 12/15 12/18 13/3 14/5 14/10 20/10 37/4 62/7 111/25 nationally [1] 4/1 **nature [4]** 21/5 51/18 79/11 114/12 Neal [1] 38/13 nearly [2] 156/12 157/14 necessary [8] 71/9 85/11 87/2 108/13 110/9 128/18 130/9 156/18 need [11] 10/6 16/19 18/7 37/16 60/23 79/2 108/5 136/6 140/24 161/20 161/23 needed [3] 6/9 136/10 136/13 needn't [1] 70/8 needs [3] 18/19 19/3 55/18 negate [2] 112/9 112/17 negotiations [1] 157/16 Neild [1] 130/20 **never [11]** 7/18 56/19 57/23 61/17 70/3 70/4 79/18 91/12 93/1 150/7 150/13 nevertheless [2] new [13] 3/10 3/17 19/10 26/20 39/1 52/15 53/4 59/18 59/23 60/10 61/2 81/17 159/1 newly [1] 8/21 45/17 96/19 97/1 97/8 97/10 newspaper [2] 45/19 45/21 newspapers [1]

106/18 127/10 135/7

135/23 136/2 139/8

45/19 next [14] 15/21 18/2 18/18 19/20 46/24 48/17 48/21 86/1 103/13 113/22 114/2 114/7 134/25 145/13 NIB [2] 83/11 83/19 niceties [1] 52/21 nine [2] 24/12 31/14 no [89] 6/25 16/2 17/14 17/22 18/1 18/10 18/17 28/22 29/24 30/12 32/6 33/12 33/13 45/12 45/18 48/12 51/10 58/1 58/10 58/23 59/1 59/8 60/12 60/12 60/16 64/24 66/3 66/5 70/3 73/15 74/5 74/18 75/6 77/17 85/9 87/8 87/8 89/17 91/12 93/1 104/22 105/3 105/16 108/18 109/8 110/19 112/8 113/1 113/6 114/19 116/17 116/22 117/17 118/6 118/18 118/18 119/3 124/4 126/11 128/21 129/7 129/12 129/15 129/18 129/20 130/1 134/17 134/17 134/17 136/15 138/4 139/6 139/10 141/7 143/14 145/18 145/19 145/21 148/15 150/4 150/14 154/7 157/19 159/2 159/19 161/6 161/23 162/9 163/14 noise [4] 97/5 102/12 102/14 102/21 nominated [8] 22/9 67/15 67/22 68/5 68/20 68/22 146/2 146/11 non [4] 67/14 75/21 83/15 143/21 non-Crown [1] 67/14 non-prosecuted [1] 143/21 none [2] 7/2 65/2 **normally [2]** 66/10 121/18 North [4] 45/10 45/11 156/11 157/10 northwest [1] 73/2 not [170] note [4] 131/25 133/4 137/6 141/5 noted [1] 62/25 nothing [7] 48/19 58/23 59/2 152/2 162/8 162/11 163/3 notification [1] 87/4 notified [1] 65/11

141/19 142/8 142/10 Ν occasions [6] 36/3 45/1 46/15 73/7 109/5 notify [2] 83/16 84/12 118/14 145/22 147/13 148/11 **November [4]** 1/1 occur [1] 89/18 136/22 137/10 140/1 occurred [6] 68/23 157/4 now [28] 13/20 20/20 158/8 158/18 159/10 Office's [6] 27/14 21/8 57/15 57/16 57/18 59/5 134/16 160/9 160/25 74/24 75/13 75/20 occurring [2] 78/6 147/15 154/6 83/24 103/14 104/6 officer [14] 6/1 6/19 104/23 112/25 114/18 114/22 6/20 7/23 8/25 10/10 occurs [1] 162/20 130/20 139/17 139/23 October [7] 1/15 145/23 145/24 148/9 78/21 98/5 115/4 61/11 98/18 121/19 148/16 149/8 152/16 134/15 135/19 141/22 152/12 152/23 155/24 158/6 off [7] 56/14 58/18 officers [2] 7/17 161/9 163/4 69/10 69/23 75/22 135/13 **NPA [1]** 83/21 103/19 139/11 offices [1] 50/14 **NPA01 [1]** 83/24 offence [1] 101/6 Offline [1] 111/7 number [33] 3/2 25/2 offences [3] 42/2 often [1] 150/2 29/7 42/16 44/22 45/6 66/12 156/15 Okay [3] 104/5 45/24 45/25 49/17 146/24 150/5 offender [7] 55/17 50/6 63/9 73/7 78/16 85/19 85/25 86/21 old [1] 2/24 80/18 81/3 81/22 87/7 132/3 132/19 older [1] 47/17 83/17 84/9 94/4 94/4 offenders [2] 42/12 omission [1] 121/16 94/10 98/4 102/24 omitted [3] 24/25 67/10 105/4 106/4 107/9 31/17 153/2 offending [2] 44/10 107/16 110/15 111/13 48/4 on [245] 111/17 114/1 149/12 once [6] 29/5 32/3 offensive [1] 86/25 153/24 offer [2] 48/22 135/7 33/18 90/10 100/24 number 1 [2] 42/16 offered [4] 4/21 5/25 112/11 94/4 69/8 135/3 one [57] 3/4 3/23 number 2 [1] 45/24 offering [4] 29/23 3/25 4/25 5/22 13/18 number 3 [1] 45/25 29/25 56/13 135/25 16/16 26/11 35/20 numbers [4] 26/6 office [119] 2/11 2/21 37/4 37/22 41/14 30/1 86/3 88/12 2/21 4/2 4/4 4/7 4/9 41/17 43/2 45/10 47/7 O 4/14 4/15 4/18 5/4 49/12 49/16 50/6 50/6 9/19 10/7 12/7 13/4 50/9 50/9 53/4 53/13 oath [1] 161/10 13/8 13/8 17/9 19/18 55/17 58/16 58/20 object [1] 154/7 24/13 24/24 25/19 62/20 73/1 73/15 78/3 objective [1] 129/5 27/18 28/11 28/14 78/16 78/20 79/3 **objectivity [2]** 71/12 82/12 85/16 89/24 30/17 32/12 33/10 146/13 34/9 37/1 42/5 42/13 90/12 103/12 109/13 obligation [5] 89/22 43/6 43/18 44/11 119/20 120/1 121/8 44/12 44/15 45/2 155/15 45/15 45/18 45/23 139/11 143/14 150/17 obligations [3] 57/18 46/25 47/7 47/17 153/13 153/13 155/4 126/4 154/8 51/14 54/7 55/9 56/24 155/25 160/12 161/14 obsolete [2] 40/22 56/25 57/11 57/24 162/3 162/23 83/13 60/19 60/21 61/1 one-week [1] 37/4 obtain [3] 91/17 62/13 65/15 65/16 ongoing [1] 65/9 120/13 121/3 65/22 65/23 66/23 Online [4] 102/12 obtained [4] 92/4 68/18 69/7 71/7 73/1 102/21 110/5 111/1 100/23 101/11 119/17 73/9 74/4 75/17 75/22 only [12] 19/13 70/25 obtaining [3] 50/19 77/11 83/15 84/1 84/6 73/17 79/6 86/3 86/22 93/19 101/3 87/10 88/7 89/3 89/21 91/15 93/3 109/16 obvious [1] 100/14 92/22 95/14 95/22 143/6 145/24 148/23 obviously [15] 4/17 ons [1] 91/15 97/7 105/20 107/7 6/7 12/1 30/9 31/5 107/17 108/10 113/25 onsite [2] 3/20 27/23 57/14 58/10 60/1 118/14 118/22 121/24 onto [3] 59/12 73/13 76/21 82/7 92/15 122/2 122/7 122/9 83/10 96/12 97/6 124/20 122/14 122/16 122/19 onwards [1] 23/3 160/20 122/23 123/2 123/8 opened [2] 73/11 occasion [7] 3/13 123/19 124/7 128/2 73/13 27/16 29/18 66/25

130/22 133/25 134/14

134/24 138/18 139/9

75/11 95/4 101/14

opening [1] 82/11

operating [3] 50/24

52/10 91/25 143/23 144/11 144/14 operation [4] 122/10 122/17 122/23 123/4 148/21 152/21 156/11 operational [20] 18/20 19/3 20/2 24/23 30/11 36/14 38/11 38/19 49/20 49/22 52/1 75/9 79/9 83/20 84/16 88/21 88/22 91/10 96/9 127/14 22/18 28/6 37/3 49/13 Operations [14] 14/25 22/1 22/8 24/4 52/12 70/15 91/24 94/15 95/6 96/13 119/25 120/25 125/2 125/10 opinion [7] 30/22 96/19 125/15 126/10 129/5 129/10 135/23 opinions [1] 128/24 **opportune** [1] 81/16 opportunity [2] 44/18 85/20 opposed [4] 122/5 122/21 155/1 155/5 option [1] 137/17 options [7] 84/9 84/10 85/1 85/5 86/5 86/9 87/5 or [133] 3/22 5/1 5/4 5/22 5/24 6/23 12/10 12/11 17/12 17/13 18/24 21/9 21/25 22/7 24/18 24/25 26/2 26/19 28/24 28/25 29/14 30/19 30/20 33/13 34/2 34/23 40/5 155/15 155/17 40/11 40/11 40/22 42/14 42/20 45/12 46/7 46/9 50/1 50/23 53/1 53/8 54/14 54/22 111/17 123/11 131/11 55/9 56/10 56/14 137/17 138/20 138/22 57/19 57/24 58/20 58/25 60/23 60/25 63/14 66/11 66/19 67/3 67/4 67/20 72/2 73/15 76/9 76/19 79/19 83/13 84/4 85/7 87/19 89/8 89/23 90/1 90/7 93/5 94/14 95/5 95/10 95/22 96/11 97/18 97/20 99/5 99/23 100/9 100/13 101/8 104/20 104/20 105/6 105/15 106/11 106/14 106/15 106/24 outset [1] 160/2 108/20 109/4 110/24 111/7 111/20 112/24 117/6 117/8 118/16 119/2 119/24 121/8 121/15 123/9 123/16 123/17 123/18 125/2 125/3 125/15 126/19 128/23 129/3 129/10

132/22 133/19 137/5 137/11 137/19 138/4 141/1 141/25 142/4 145/23 146/11 147/3 151/8 154/15 154/22 155/4 155/11 157/3 161/10 order [4] 100/24 110/12 138/15 159/15 ordinarily [1] 28/2 organisation [2] 63/5 151/12 organisational [6] 26/1 33/24 80/7 116/5 116/8 152/2 organised [2] 42/20 42/21 **Oriental [1]** 85/3 originally [1] 138/2 ostensibly [1] 92/14 other [30] 5/22 8/13 11/18 12/3 18/20 20/2 24/25 29/10 30/4 30/9 32/3 40/23 41/8 56/15 58/10 58/21 86/16 86/18 88/1 92/12 94/7 95/11 98/24 110/24 111/14 116/11 127/25 152/25 155/3 163/13 others [7] 34/11 88/8 109/5 115/7 135/20 147/23 162/14 ought [5] 154/7 154/15 155/13 155/14 155/21 our [4] 34/17 46/19 out [39] 2/16 9/18 13/16 14/18 23/17 27/23 32/4 32/9 32/11 38/10 46/6 47/6 52/25 54/6 54/14 63/1 63/22 67/21 68/2 68/8 69/18 73/16 79/2 91/2 104/16 106/10 106/10 117/24 126/15 127/20 128/19 143/9 151/1 158/24 159/12 160/13 161/4 161/15 162/23 Outcome [1] 115/23 outgoing [1] 3/12 outline [3] 6/15 46/24 77/2 outlined [3] 5/2 17/21 77/25 outside [6] 18/13 48/19 48/19 51/15 69/13 88/8 outstanding [1] 141/3 over [17] 5/23 7/16 23/2 26/2 35/10 60/20 70/5 71/16 72/25 80/4

0	page 37 [1] 105/9	paragraph 19 [1]	Paragraph 79 [1]	120/13 121/3 121/8
over [7] 84/8 86/1	page 4 [4] 36/5 63/6	34/7	99/12	pass [1] 37/3
89/9 127/22 127/23	65/5 85/23 page 5 [1] 133/6	paragraph 20 [3] 22/20 23/18 33/3	paragraph 80 [1] 101/16	passage [1] 17/5 passed [3] 28/10
128/15 147/1 overarching [1] 62/1	page 54 [1] 143/10	paragraph 21 [2]	paragraph 81 [1]	37/2 43/22
overlooked [1]	page 55 [1] 1/17	10/25 12/15	102/9	password [1] 124/14
158/23	page 6 [2] 10/4 25/3 page 8 [1] 23/19	paragraph 21.2 [1] 9/16	paragraph 82 [1] 127/7	password-protected [11] 124/14
overnight [1] 112/11 oversight [3] 11/6	page 9 [1] 11/1	paragraph 22 [3]	paragraph 84 [1]	patterns [1] 97/21
11/20 76/10	paid [1] 44/3	21/22 24/15 49/3	124/16	Paul [3] 59/17 59/20
overturned [1]	palatable [1] 152/8 paper [6] 9/9 11/9	paragraph 27 [1] 61/23	paragraph 91 [1] 100/1	59/21 Paula [2] 97/4 150/18
139/20 overview [3] 2/10	25/17 28/1 46/3 52/2	paragraph 3.1.4 [1]	paragraph 99 [1]	pause [2] 34/15
50/10 76/3	paper-based [1] 46/3	63/23	127/22	34/16
own [4] 14/6 14/25	papers [6] 23/11 72/8 76/21 77/24 121/16	paragraph 3.2.12 [1] 65/15	paragraphs [7] 79/8 91/2 91/13 100/22	pausing [3] 15/12 98/1 133/10
35/21 75/18	131/20	paragraph 3.2.9 [1]	143/8 145/15 152/18	pay [6] 7/19 28/22
<u>P</u>	paperwork [1] 53/1	67/8	paragraphs 130 [1]	30/19 30/20 43/24 45/20
P1 [1] 114/16	paragraph [77] 7/25 8/12 8/16 9/16 10/3	paragraph 30 [1] 25/23	145/15 paragraphs 49 [1]	payment [5] 5/8
PA [1] 101/23 pace [9] 10/19 36/19	10/25 12/15 20/6 20/8	paragraph 33 [2]	91/2	19/15 103/4 137/18
36/20 36/23 37/13	21/22 22/20 23/18 23/20 24/3 24/15 25/4	20/6 20/8	paramount [2] 59/13 61/17	140/17 payments [1] 106/15
38/2 38/22 48/19 91/21	25/23 26/13 27/18	paragraph 34 [1] 62/15	paraphrase [1] 151/3	
page [56] 1/17 10/4	33/3 34/7 36/4 36/9	paragraph 35 [1]	parcel [2] 4/17	peers' [1] 32/17
11/1 14/3 20/7 23/19	36/9 39/4 39/7 39/19 40/19 49/3 49/8 49/23	39/4 paragraph 40 [1]	142/22 parcel-carrying [1]	pending [1] 137/18 Penny [3] 124/10
24/16 25/3 25/24 26/14 36/5 49/9 51/2	50/17 51/23 52/6	78/10	4/17	124/25 125/5
61/24 63/6 63/24	61/23 62/15 63/23	paragraph 43 [2]	Parcelforce [1] 4/16	penultimate [1]
64/14 64/18 65/5 66/6		26/13 27/18 paragraph 45 [2]	Pardoe [18] 1/6 1/8	141/9 people [6] 80/1 81/17
67/8 68/12 70/5 70/23 71/16 79/14 80/4 80/4	7045 7040 7040	90/23 92/19	106/19 136/7 145/1	107/9 124/8 151/24
81/2 83/4 84/5 84/8	80/3 82/16 83/2 88/10		145/7 153/24 159/1	163/6
85/23 86/1 96/7 99/11 100/2 101/18 105/9	90/9 90/23 92/19 96/6 96/16 99/12 100/1	90/9 paragraph 55 [1]	159/14 159/17 160/4 160/16 163/17 165/2	per [4] 45/10 101/22 101/23 118/12
110/1 115/25 124/17	101/16 102/9 105/8	68/11	Pardoe's [8] 20/6	per annum [2]
124/18 127/8 127/23	108/1 124/16 127/7 127/22 131/8 138/7	paragraph 56 [2] 70/22 146/9	36/5 68/11 83/4 96/8 99/11 105/8 124/16	101/22 101/23 per se [1] 118/12
127/23 127/24 133/6 133/15 134/12 134/25	120/10 111/0 110/15	paragraph 57 [1]	parity [1] 43/24	perform [7] 5/14 35/6
135/17 135/17 143/10	143/11 146/1 146/9	70/5	part [18] 27/12 35/13	35/16 105/24 106/8
149/9 158/17	147/7 147/19 149/8 152/19	paragraph 59 [1] 71/17	40/13 51/1 71/6 77/1 93/8 93/23 96/1 123/8	117/1 148/4 Performance [1]
page 11 [1] 49/9 page 12 [1] 24/16	paragraph 108 [1]	paragraph 6 [1]	123/21 126/5 128/11	113/11
page 13 [1] 61/24	138/7	88/10	142/7 142/22 144/16 155/1 161/5	performed [2] 76/23 109/21
page 14 [1] 25/24	paragraph 11 [3] 7/25 8/12 8/16	paragraph 62 [1] 40/19	partially [1] 42/6	performing [6] 6/18
page 15 [1] 20/7 page 18 [1] 26/14	paragraph 111 [1]	paragraph 63 [2]	Participant [1]	6/20 7/23 11/6 25/11
page 2 [3] 64/14 66/6	105/8 paragraph 12 [1]	49/23 50/17 paragraph 64 [1]	103/23 Participants [2]	35/12 perhaps [3] 60/18
158/17 page 22 [1] 68/12	36/9	51/23	145/2 163/15	149/13 151/5
page 25 [1] 51/2	paragraph 13 [4] 36/4 36/9 39/7 39/19	paragraph 67 [1] 78/15	particular [14] 38/6 40/24 57/17 58/2	period [28] 12/1 12/21 13/2 13/7 19/5
page 26 [1] 79/14	paragraph 132 [1]	paragraph 68 [1]	58/12 62/9 77/12 99/5	
page 27 [1] 80/4 page 29 [3] 83/4 96/7	147/7	79/13	107/18 108/8 121/15	24/4 26/16 35/19 36/6
149/9	paragraph 134 [1] 147/19	paragraph 71 [1] 80/3	126/12 126/19 131/24 particularly [8] 30/7	36/11 42/13 44/21 55/13 56/16 58/15
page 3 [4] 64/18 67/8 110/1 133/15	paragraph 138 [1]	paragraph 75 [1]	41/10 42/23 93/16	58/19 68/16 68/20
page 30 [2] 99/11	142/15	82/16	97/12 97/15 100/10 100/13	75/7 76/23 99/18
101/18	paragraph 16 [1] 10/3	paragraph 76 [1] 83/2	parties [3] 50/20	109/22 110/11 127/10 128/12
page 31 [2] 124/17 127/8	paragraph 17 [1]	paragraph 77 [1]	125/16 129/9	periods [2] 96/14
page 33 [1] 100/2	25/4 paragraph 180 [2]	96/6 paragraph 78 [2]	partner [1] 73/14 parts [2] 33/7 106/4	108/9 permit [1] 104/2
Page 34 [1] 127/24	143/11 152/19	96/16 149/8	party [5] 25/14 108/7	perpetuation [1]
			_	

23/18 23/19 24/16 38/22 64/17 64/23 POL00064033 [1] positions [1] 21/23 25/3 25/24 26/14 36/4 156/1 Posnett [9] 80/19 91/14 115/11 134/5 perpetuation... [1] 49/8 51/22 53/16 58/6 POL00104593 [1] 80/25 81/3 82/12 134/7 139/7 139/10 144/14 61/24 62/23 63/6 107/6 85/11 86/4 87/4 143/1 143/2 persistent [1] 150/6 63/24 64/13 66/7 POL00104929 [1] 107/12 127/19 practices [1] 107/18 person [6] 52/14 practised [1] 142/8 68/11 70/5 70/23 70/10 Posnett's [4] 81/23 66/16 84/3 91/1 71/16 79/13 80/25 81/25 85/14 86/17 POL00118096 [1] **pram [1]** 73/16 152/23 158/8 81/1 81/20 83/3 83/22 81/1 possible [1] 137/12 pre [8] 11/11 13/1 personal [2] 32/21 84/8 85/23 86/1 86/2 POL00118101 [1] post [124] 2/11 2/20 20/15 37/9 39/21 163/23 87/9 96/7 98/2 99/12 4/2 4/4 4/7 4/9 4/14 39/22 43/10 140/14 85/16 **personality** [1] 52/19 100/1 101/18 104/8 POL00118374 [1] 4/15 4/17 9/19 10/6 pre-2000 [1] 39/22 **personally [5]** 25/18 105/7 105/12 107/5 12/7 13/4 13/7 13/8 83/23 pre-Horizon [4] 32/14 40/21 51/8 96/9 108/1 108/2 109/9 POL00165450 [1] 17/9 19/18 23/16 11/11 13/1 37/9 39/21 personnel [1] 30/4 23/17 24/13 24/24 109/23 110/1 110/4 111/4 pre-interview [1] perspective [3] 111/3 111/6 111/9 POL00165493 [1] 25/19 27/13 27/18 43/10 56/13 77/19 100/7 111/22 112/6 113/7 113/8 28/11 28/14 30/17 pre-sentence [1] pertinent [1] 96/14 113/9 113/14 115/3 32/12 33/10 33/22 POL00165581 [1] 140/14 **Peter [3]** 130/18 115/22 115/23 116/12 115/3 34/5 34/8 37/1 42/5 preamble [1] 85/24 145/12 145/15 POL00166569 [1] 122/18 124/5 124/17 42/12 43/18 44/11 preceded [1] 150/22 **PF [3]** 116/1 116/2 127/8 127/22 127/24 13/15 44/12 44/15 45/2 precedes [1] 23/14 116/13 130/12 131/24 133/3 POL00172808 [1] 45/15 45/18 45/23 precise [1] 17/6 phased [1] 123/14 133/7 133/7 133/15 109/10 47/7 47/17 51/14 54/6 predate [1] 20/16 **phone [1]** 30/19 134/10 134/11 134/25 POL00172809 [1] 55/9 56/23 56/25 premise [1] 48/12 physical [3] 31/15 135/16 136/20 136/23 109/24 57/11 57/17 57/24 premises [3] 48/23 55/20 73/6 136/24 138/13 140/3 59/5 60/19 60/21 61/1 73/23 74/10 police [17] 26/25 **physically [1]** 52/24 143/10 153/22 155/24 62/13 65/15 65/16 36/17 37/4 38/4 38/7 preparation [5] 10/10 picked [1] 3/14 plus [2] 75/15 92/10 41/2 41/14 83/9 83/15 65/22 65/23 66/23 11/9 58/11 85/18 picture [1] 142/22 68/18 69/7 71/6 73/1 **pm [2]** 104/12 164/3 83/16 84/12 84/22 122/4 piece [7] 16/23 23/10 **PNC [1]** 83/10 85/6 85/12 87/4 73/9 74/4 75/17 75/21 prepared [6] 78/3 25/16 41/11 41/13 PO [1] 114/11 126/13 156/21 77/11 83/15 84/1 84/6 78/4 108/12 108/13 138/25 142/7 87/9 88/7 89/3 89/21 **POCA [4]** 37/7 49/7 policies [3] 39/5 39/8 126/16 143/18 **piecemeal** [1] 7/15 62/16 49/13 160/23 91/17 92/22 95/14 preparing [3] 78/18 pillage [1] 47/16 95/22 97/7 105/20 pocket [1] 91/19 85/21 132/25 **policing [1]** 53/8 **Pilot [1]** 110/10 107/7 107/17 108/10 **POID [14]** 4/10 4/22 policy [43] 13/5 presence [2] 55/18 pinhole [1] 5/18 4/24 6/6 6/11 6/17 13/11 13/18 13/22 113/25 118/14 118/22 100/14 piste [1] 122/3 6/23 6/24 7/1 7/7 7/11 14/15 16/25 18/12 121/24 122/2 122/7 presented [3] 124/21 pivotal [1] 122/5 7/16 7/22 72/24 20/12 20/20 20/25 122/9 122/14 122/16 127/17 161/5 place [10] 47/11 point [28] 4/3 7/9 21/2 21/10 21/13 122/19 122/23 123/2 pressure [2] 139/22 71/13 74/6 92/25 13/18 14/4 14/22 15/5 21/14 39/15 54/4 54/7 123/8 123/19 124/7 143/4 100/9 108/6 118/10 54/10 54/11 54/12 128/1 130/22 133/25 15/21 17/13 18/2 Presumably [1] 146/14 152/7 152/9 18/18 19/7 20/5 21/15 54/13 54/22 55/22 134/23 138/18 139/9 81/24 placed [3] 24/23 30/7 56/23 58/24 59/9 63/6 56/7 57/25 62/22 63/1 141/19 142/8 142/10 presume [2] 102/7 139/22 90/21 97/8 103/19 63/8 63/9 63/23 64/13 143/23 144/11 144/14 135/4 places [2] 25/2 105/5 104/24 110/4 115/10 145/22 147/12 147/14 prevailing [2] 32/15 65/8 65/19 66/6 66/9 placing [1] 75/9 148/11 148/21 152/21 90/18 119/4 119/13 128/7 68/2 68/7 70/1 85/24 plain [1] 144/10 154/5 156/11 157/3 90/7 107/23 127/16 138/2 142/19 previous [2] 5/24 plan [1] 32/1 156/2 pointed [5] 27/12 post-audit [1] 92/22 137/2 **Planning [2]** 19/21 119/21 120/2 155/11 policy-driven [1] post-Horizon [1] previously [2] 33/2 19/22 155/20 56/7 91/17 96/18 plans [1] 31/18 points [1] 107/16 politics [1] 7/18 Postal [1] 66/12 price [6] 1/9 134/20 plea [10] 132/22 **POL [5]** 65/19 108/3 **pool** [1] 43/9 postmaster [2] 44/14 135/14 152/17 154/2 132/23 136/10 136/13 108/4 108/21 112/14 populate [3] 60/15 44/17 165/3 138/19 141/13 141/19 POL00030578 [1] 60/24 87/7 Postmasters [1] 44/3 prima [3] 6/12 55/3 141/23 143/5 157/16 62/24 Porsche [1] 46/9 **potential [6]** 6/13 74/21 plead [1] 143/4 POL00044388 [1] position [26] 11/22 44/13 61/18 64/20 **primarily [2]** 100/8 pleaded [1] 140/12 22/12 23/23 47/12 158/5 158/6 100/23 140/3 pleas [9] 132/9 135/3 POL00047955 [1] 56/19 61/14 67/21 potentially [3] 8/5 primary [3] 28/18 135/10 136/1 137/5 68/1 82/21 82/23 23/2 107/25 115/20 116/9 133/4 137/13 137/21 138/15 POL00049083 [1] 106/3 106/18 106/18 pounds [1] 157/15 principal [5] 58/21 139/3 130/22 131/14 137/15 Powers [1] 156/24 72/2 75/12 75/16 134/11 please [97] 1/6 1/10 141/19 144/10 146/25 POL00049154 [1] practicalities [1] 147/3 1/18 2/10 10/4 11/1 principle [3] 154/2 147/14 148/8 151/17 140/25 136/21 11/2 12/17 13/15 14/2 158/25 159/23 160/15 practice [16] 32/2 POL00055590 [2] 155/6 155/18 14/17 18/2 19/20 20/7 97/23 98/3 162/25 36/23 37/14 38/3 38/7 **principles [3]** 63/22

75/25 76/18 100/18 101/3 137/11 150/7 P **produced** [5] 55/2 rate [2] 45/9 45/21 108/4 108/21 123/21 100/24 105/19 117/15 156/15 160/20 rather [9] 7/15 29/20 principles... [2] 122/1 132/19 119/2 119/5 119/14 **purposes** [8] 2/3 44/16 66/15 102/20 129/24 product [6] 5/24 7/16 136/19 144/7 152/7 124/3 124/9 124/19 78/18 85/21 87/5 printed [1] 92/8 19/19 28/8 28/10 125/12 126/5 130/15 89/24 126/17 132/25 153/15 **printouts** [1] 133/17 79/25 130/21 131/15 131/16 146/4 rationale [3] 54/14 prior [3] 123/5 132/2 132/4 132/6 pursue [6] 101/8 production [3] 92/5 54/22 87/22 130/25 140/17 133/5 133/21 133/24 119/21 120/2 141/2 94/5 128/15 rationalisation [1] priorities [1] 64/4 **products** [1] 110/3 134/14 134/16 140/8 155/9 159/6 42/11 **private [2]** 48/25 143/17 145/14 145/17 professional [3] pursued [3] 89/18 reached [2] 29/5 154/6 10/17 43/25 139/15 146/4 146/6 146/11 119/16 141/7 103/12 **privy** [1] 150/13 146/14 147/16 147/24 pursuing [2] 119/11 professionally [3] reaching [2] 71/10 probably [28] 23/4 148/12 149/4 150/9 32/14 56/20 147/2 158/24 72/12 32/22 33/1 35/18 47/8 152/24 154/14 157/3 read [12] 63/19 69/16 **profound [1]** 155/5 **pushed [2]** 74/15 49/15 52/13 52/18 programme [2] 104/1 prosecutions [11] 144/8 77/4 79/18 82/1 82/4 56/3 69/24 69/25 109/17 22/9 56/24 59/5 68/4 put [16] 6/14 29/19 110/18 111/15 132/19 73/15 73/20 74/19 123/6 123/24 124/7 43/12 45/19 59/17 133/23 158/22 163/8 programmes [1] 74/21 75/18 76/6 125/11 131/5 143/21 110/3 59/22 60/3 62/17 readily [1] 46/2 77/18 77/22 78/5 reading [5] 15/14 progress [3] 10/19 150/12 104/2 108/6 143/3 81/16 82/3 83/17 90/5 64/1 103/20 154/2 156/5 157/2 85/20 113/4 114/22 prosecutor [6] 57/8 91/15 103/1 114/3 57/13 57/18 126/6 160/18 163/8 progressed [2] 3/2 151/16 150/18 88/13 126/8 154/6 putting [1] 150/9 reads [7] 81/6 98/8 probationary [1] progression [1] prosecutors [3] 99/13 111/23 135/1 35/19 Q 131/23 67/13 71/9 126/23 137/1 140/6 **probity [1]** 118/10 project [2] 12/8 13/7 qualified [4] 22/16 ready [1] 38/18 prospect [2] 55/5 **problem [2]** 111/20 promoted [1] 58/17 75/11 75/21 76/5 real [1] 30/5 55/5 114/20 quality [1] 110/12 **promotion [1]** 10/15 prospects [2] 138/14 realistic [1] 55/5 problematic [2] quarter [1] 30/19 **proof [2]** 98/21 138/17 really [7] 7/12 24/1 102/13 138/23 **Query [1]** 99/15 145/21 protect [2] 162/15 45/8 69/3 88/8 153/9 problems [8] 95/24 question [8] 34/18 162/16 **prop** [1] 45/3 161/21 110/25 117/5 117/11 proper [4] 122/15 72/5 76/20 122/18 protected [1] 124/14 rear [1] 73/11 117/12 123/18 160/4 122/20 123/3 155/2 125/20 131/7 160/1 **proud [2]** 32/11 reason [6] 47/18 50/4 160/6 properly [6] 125/14 32/12 161/8 70/2 70/3 72/22 73/3 **procedural** [5] 39/5 129/23 141/14 141/18 prove [3] 93/25 94/18 Questioned [6] 1/9 reasonable [4] 98/21 39/8 79/9 116/5 116/7 145/6 153/23 165/3 141/21 142/11 101/6 119/11 119/15 155/10 **procedure** [6] 64/16 165/4 165/5 proven [3] 113/12 proportionate [1] reasonably [2] 126/9 64/22 65/25 66/2 questions [9] 2/8 156/17 113/21 114/25 154/13 154/11 156/22 103/23 125/15 144/25 **propose [1]** 131/20 provide [12] 14/5 reasons [1] 42/1 procedures [3] 65/7 145/1 153/19 163/13 19/2 51/6 72/13 79/22 proposed [3] 42/11 rebuttal [1] 151/17 65/11 65/21 163/15 163/19 107/17 107/19 85/11 99/15 119/7 recall [122] 8/2 8/11 proceed [1] 116/1 queue [2] 30/19 propositions [1] 124/21 125/15 129/4 9/17 9/22 11/16 12/3 proceeded [2] 25/16 30/20 44/20 129/17 12/18 15/15 15/18 140/19 prosecute [7] 56/10 provided [17] 13/12 quickly [2] 26/2 16/18 16/23 17/15 **proceeding [4]** 48/12 45/20 17/21 17/23 18/15 57/4 67/13 67/20 38/21 41/6 50/24 110/13 116/14 140/25 quiet [2] 106/20 70/25 100/25 101/12 51/11 60/10 65/10 19/10 21/1 21/7 21/8 proceedings [7] 106/21 67/17 78/24 80/18 26/1 34/12 34/19 prosecuted [5] 27/19 68/15 83/16 84/13 quite [12] 19/17 86/12 99/17 100/18 36/13 36/25 37/13 66/22 67/10 117/22 95/13 126/18 141/1 32/20 35/10 46/21 124/6 124/9 124/13 39/17 40/2 40/13 143/21 141/8 47/13 48/18 55/14 prosecuting [4] 128/22 40/15 42/23 50/6 Proceeds [5] 22/17 22/13 66/8 66/10 84/4 73/6 93/12 134/5 50/20 51/15 54/16 provides [1] 121/24 37/2 61/6 156/21 136/16 139/7 prosecution [83] providing [5] 2/6 54/19 54/21 57/5 160/22 15/4 23/11 23/13 33/4 72/1 99/19 112/14 58/15 60/1 60/2 61/2 process [14] 34/4 R 33/14 54/7 54/13 62/2 62/6 62/11 62/18 137/7 35/13 70/20 76/10 raise [3] 29/13 81/11 54/21 55/6 55/10 proving [1] 102/13 63/13 63/14 63/15 76/15 81/10 81/20 114/16 55/24 56/14 56/22 provision [1] 126/21 63/16 63/18 66/1 66/4 91/3 96/5 99/16 112/9 raised [6] 17/11 30/2 58/13 61/9 61/16 précis [2] 42/8 71/5 68/1 69/17 69/20 70/2 112/16 127/15 145/18 31/6 62/6 123/5 62/22 64/21 66/6 67/9 public [4] 67/12 70/13 72/13 72/17 processed [1] 141/16 67/14 68/7 68/10 74/18 78/11 78/22 71/21 150/23 151/1 112/12 raising [2] 112/9 68/14 68/17 68/25 published [1] 63/8 80/11 80/16 80/21 processes [4] 122/7 112/16 69/10 69/23 70/12 purely [4] 6/21 7/4 80/23 82/22 83/12 122/14 122/19 123/2 ramification [1] 3/13 70/21 71/14 71/19 83/14 83/17 87/13 44/9 48/7 **Procurator** [1] 116/4 ramifications [1] 71/22 72/10 72/15 purporting [1] 64/8 87/18 87/22 88/18 produce [2] 25/16 73/18 72/19 74/7 74/13 88/19 95/16 96/11 purpose [9] 63/1 108/22 range [2] 4/18 99/24 74/16 74/22 75/1 90/22 96/4 96/21 96/21 97/2 97/3 97/6

(61) principles... - recall

R recall... [41] 99/17 101/11 101/15 101/20 101/25 102/3 102/10 102/12 102/21 103/10 104/15 108/15 109/1 110/21 110/23 111/21 112/24 113/5 114/17 116/15 116/17 117/19 121/21 124/8 124/10 124/20 127/19 128/3 128/6 128/13 138/22 149/14 151/16 156/3 159/5 159/22 159/23 160/16 161/9 161/15 163/10 receipts [1] 106/16 receive [3] 36/11 49/5 50/1 received [8] 36/7 36/14 37/8 49/24 50/23 82/2 128/20 159/1 receiving [5] 78/22 86/17 112/24 114/17 116/15 recent [1] 142/23 **recently [3]** 13/13 81/17 157/9 recipients [6] 81/4 82/13 107/7 109/14 111/10 113/13 recognise [10] 13/19 20/12 20/24 82/6 83/24 84/18 102/23 115/14 161/24 161/25 recognised [3] 53/6 55/22 56/4 recognition [4] 10/21 58/3 58/8 141/11 recollect [3] 24/2 110/19 152/1 recollection [12] 9/11 16/3 16/12 19/1 22/24 50/22 51/10 51/13 54/9 83/7 124/24 149/21 recommend [1] 72/8 recommendation [1] 69/6 recommendations **[1]** 72/9 reconciliation [3] 103/5 103/8 103/9 reconsider [1] 159/14 record [7] 17/19 83/6 93/4 99/15 121/22 122/1 127/2 recorded [5] 37/25 46/18 48/20 91/20 116/6 recorder [3] 5/20

38/13 38/14 recording [4] 122/16 122/21 122/22 123/4 records [1] 2/13 recount [1] 25/17 recounted [1] 131/3 recoup [1] 61/5 recover [4] 27/14 27/20 61/10 89/14 recoverable [2] 62/4 62/8 recoveries [1] 81/14 recovery [9] 28/15 59/14 61/22 62/2 89/24 110/16 110/22 138/14 138/17 recruited [1] 81/17 red [2] 52/17 85/18 redeclarations [1] 97/17 reduce [1] 44/11 reduced [1] 29/22 reducing [4] 30/24 31/4 106/15 162/2 reduction [5] 24/21 25/10 29/12 59/6 88/16 reductions [4] 25/1 28/25 29/14 88/11 redundancies [1] 26/4 redundancy [1] 25/13 refer [10] 4/10 23/20 34/7 39/4 39/6 62/15 68/24 90/9 106/9 137/2 reference [30] 2/4 10/6 13/15 15/25 40/21 50/21 62/24 70/9 72/16 81/1 83/23 85/9 85/16 86/25 98/3 relentlessly [1] 89/19 107/6 109/10 109/24 110/21 111/4 113/8 113/11 113/20 134/11 relevant [12] 2/14 136/18 136/19 136/21 140/3 141/22 149/9 referenced [1] 6/6 referred [10] 5/5 22/12 28/5 50/3 55/17 83/11 83/18 98/2 101/13 146/22 referring [6] 14/8 18/22 39/21 68/25 82/19 92/7 refers [2] 33/17 110/4 reflect [2] 68/2 117/2 reflected [1] 67/2 **reflection [1]** 10/19 refresh [1] 37/16 refreshed [1] 101/19 refreshments [1]

46/23

refuted [1] 97/8 refutes [2] 105/20 107/3 regard [1] 64/6 regarding [1] 137/3 regardless [3] 31/8 31/11 137/25 regards [2] 48/24 55/5 regional [5] 7/6 8/21 11/22 12/23 69/22 regionally [2] 11/3 11/24 regions [2] 26/22 69/21 regular [3] 128/3 128/13 129/15 regularity [1] 25/20 Regulation [1] 156/23 Reid [2] 115/6 115/21 reiterated [1] 121/12 relate [2] 33/9 33/15 relates [2] 115/17 134/21 relating [9] 17/10 65/8 80/20 95/3 115/18 121/8 129/24 131/21 144/11 relation [24] 17/24 23/21 36/6 58/13 66/6 140/23 67/19 87/12 89/3 114/11 125/20 127/6 129/5 130/8 130/14 131/11 131/21 132/14 139/18 143/21 142/17 144/11 144/15 repeat [2] 44/24 147/7 147/20 147/23 149/20 relationship [1] 41/9 relatively [2] 13/13 98/12 **relevance [3]** 119/1 122/12 154/18 56/8 56/12 81/19 92/3 repopulating [1] 95/11 95/15 119/8 119/16 120/10 154/20 report [45] 6/10 7/5 155/23 reliability [4] 33/11 94/17 94/20 101/5 reliable [5] 96/23 108/10 108/25 109/7 149/16 reliant [2] 119/7 119/10 relied [4] 93/25 94/18 115/8 116/16 128/19 101/6 125/17 reluctance [1] 92/13 rely [5] 55/14 95/17 108/4 108/21 124/1 relying [1] 107/3 remain [5] 61/14 82/21 106/1 131/14

148/5 remained [3] 10/15 89/10 140/18 remains [1] 82/23 remember [12] 6/23 43/9 43/10 43/21 47/13 58/18 58/19 69/12 102/4 105/2 108/19 150/17 remiss [1] 144/17 remit [4] 4/6 4/11 5/20 17/4 remotely [2] 5/17 118/4 removal [2] 31/15 31/16 remove [1] 53/3 removed [3] 24/14 24/19 31/13 remuneration [3] 44/2 45/2 46/12 renaming [1] 26/5 rent [1] 48/25 rental [1] 73/23 renting [2] 43/8 43/14 rep [1] 43/11 repaid [1] 140/20 repay [5] 135/11 136/4 137/8 137/15 repaying [2] 137/22 139/4 repayment [3] 89/13 122/18 repeated [3] 25/1 117/25 147/15 repeatedly [1] 148/25 18/7 93/14 **repetition [1]** 163/3 replaced [1] 40/23 replacement [3] 41/17 157/10 157/24 replaying [1] 76/1 29/11 7/7 23/13 45/14 45/16 52/16 64/5 71/4 74/4 76/25 77/1 77/2 77/4 79/4 79/5 79/7 79/8 85/25 86/21 87/7 90/21 93/9 109/13 109/18 109/20 109/23 76/14 101/15 130/4 109/25 110/2 115/4 132/3 132/19 132/25 133/3 133/9 133/11 133/12 133/13 133/23 138/3 160/19 160/19 reportable [4] 90/10 90/12 90/17 91/7 reported [5] 64/21

159/17 reporting [4] 6/14 99/20 107/24 112/19 reports [13] 10/12 32/18 32/18 32/18 42/8 71/4 79/3 81/13 85/19 85/19 92/7 126/14 140/15 **repository [2]** 39/13 123/8 represent [2] 153/24 163/6 representative [7] 22/10 43/11 68/20 68/23 69/23 146/2 146/12 representatives [3] 67/15 67/23 68/5 represented [2] 140/8 140/10 request [2] 34/2 137/9 requester [1] 99/25 requests [12] 98/16 101/16 101/22 102/3 102/16 102/25 103/11 124/11 124/13 127/15 127/19 127/20 require [1] 148/4 required [10] 10/22 14/16 18/23 83/8 105/24 106/7 117/1 126/6 130/6 162/8 requirements [5] 3/19 20/1 64/25 65/19 156/20 reread [1] 132/24 research [3] 18/4 **residential** [1] 51/18 resolve [2] 110/14 153/9 resonate [1] 125/7 resonates [1] 125/5 resource [9] 28/20 28/23 29/20 29/21 29/23 29/25 153/4 159/20 162/10 resources [3] 26/16 159/3 159/15 **resourcing [3]** 26/12 27/17 28/13 respect [6] 76/7 136/5 159/4 respond [1] 96/15 response [4] 31/6 63/3 97/5 100/3 responsibilities [5] 13/17 16/16 20/18 58/20 125/13 responsibility [5] 62/1 67/19 75/6

77/22 133/13 157/9

68/15 70/18 70/24 R rise [1] 126/4 **Rs [1]** 121/21 rising [1] 88/17 rubber [3] 75/8 76/5 71/17 73/20 74/19 responsibility... [2] risk [8] 19/8 19/11 78/10 80/3 80/11 82/3 scrolling [17] 11/1 146/20 144/13 144/20 19/18 41/21 57/16 rudimentary [1] 45/7 82/9 83/2 83/4 88/6 responsible [5] 92/12 103/7 119/16 Rudkin [1] 130/17 88/10 88/24 90/24 12/22 46/4 89/17 91/1 risks [6] 14/24 19/24 **Rugby [1]** 51/15 91/4 92/19 93/13 96/8 151/25 57/10 57/21 58/3 58/8 rules [1] 64/4 96/16 97/22 99/2 rest [1] 104/1 **Rob [3]** 80/17 107/10 run [3] 5/5 5/12 5/20 100/2 101/18 105/18 restructures [4] 25/7 running [2] 45/10 106/17 106/19 109/1 115/15 25/17 28/25 29/5 124/18 127/9 127/24 Rob Wilson [1] 80/17 73/1 restructuring [3] **Robert [1]** 115/5 128/13 132/7 132/14 25/1 29/14 88/15 132/18 138/7 138/16 robust [2] 118/2 rests [1] 155/15 **S2 [1]** 63/9 151/15 142/14 142/15 142/16 40/18 41/1 41/3 41/13 result [5] 17/16 30/8 role [102] 4/5 4/6 safeguards [1] 118/9 143/11 143/15 143/25 30/22 73/24 106/12 said [21] 2/24 31/15 4/21 5/25 6/5 6/11 144/17 146/1 146/9 resultant [2] 110/15 48/16 49/1 58/24 6/21 7/1 8/18 8/25 147/8 147/23 147/24 110/22 109/4 116/8 120/22 10/17 10/21 10/24 148/1 148/3 149/13 resulted [2] 38/12 139/21 142/24 147/15 150/1 150/2 150/25 11/3 11/6 11/7 12/1 62/12 150/2 151/19 151/22 152/17 152/19 152/24 seconded [2] 12/6 12/3 12/5 12/12 12/14 retail [5] 44/19 45/3 12/19 12/23 12/23 152/13 153/11 159/17 153/6 154/23 158/9 45/15 92/21 92/23 160/2 160/14 161/10 13/10 13/14 13/17 163/7 retain [4] 121/22 161/23 13/22 13/25 14/1 14/3 saying [12] 44/13 122/1 126/13 126/24 salaries [2] 30/23 14/8 14/13 14/24 44/16 45/13 109/4 retained [1] 64/15 15/16 15/17 15/19 44/6 120/20 137/20 152/12 retention [1] 36/22 16/21 16/25 17/2 17/5 **salary [3]** 44/5 44/11 155/4 159/22 161/11 retrieval [1] 15/10 44/16 17/7 17/12 17/13 161/15 162/9 retrieved [1] 15/13 sales [1] 89/8 17/23 18/12 19/12 says [18] 15/21 retrograde [2] 26/23 **Sally [1]** 115/15 19/20 20/12 20/15 18/18 19/21 53/18 27/3 salubrious [1] 73/2 20/21 20/21 21/9 63/7 65/6 108/3 110/5 security [78] 8/21 return [4] 45/9 45/21 salutation [1] 109/16 21/10 21/11 21/13 112/2 113/19 116/12 92/13 124/14 21/15 21/17 22/6 23/1 same [21] 5/13 39/15 133/16 135/20 156/8 **returned [3]** 10/13 44/25 82/6 82/8 86/13 156/19 157/3 157/4 24/9 24/11 24/14 24/4 72/20 91/22 106/11 108/10 24/20 25/11 26/20 158/17 reveal [3] 121/22 109/25 113/15 119/13 scan [1] 82/4 28/7 31/14 33/25 122/1 160/5 125/20 130/4 130/7 34/10 34/14 34/20 scandal [1] 154/1 reversals [1] 144/5 130/11 131/8 142/19 35/4 35/16 36/1 36/14 scanning [1] 82/11 review [4] 27/24 80/8 146/5 147/21 151/6 52/3 53/11 59/18 scenario [2] 92/18 143/17 143/20 sand [1] 35/20 59/23 59/24 60/3 94/7 reviewing [1] 82/22 sandwich [1] 52/22 60/11 60/15 60/18 scenarios [1] 94/4 **Richard [3]** 134/24 **Saran [1]** 140/11 61/2 61/8 70/15 70/21 scene [1] 56/5 135/1 140/9 sat [9] 3/11 46/22 71/12 75/2 93/23 schedule [1] 127/4 right [60] 1/21 2/14 48/16 72/9 75/13 76/4 106/2 107/24 109/14 schedules [1] 126/15 2/20 3/6 3/14 6/1 6/2 115/9 117/18 127/6 90/1 100/14 123/11 schools [1] 50/7 6/25 7/9 7/20 8/8 8/14 127/25 128/7 128/14 satisfied [2] 119/25 **scientific [1]** 126/16 8/22 9/6 9/9 9/13 9/20 121/1 134/16 scientists [1] 126/14 11/12 11/23 22/4 22/6 roles [17] 2/14 2/16 scope [3] 14/17 19/9 satisfy [3] 76/16 22/18 22/23 23/6 24/5 120/6 121/6 3/3 3/4 12/15 13/17 26/12 37/15 41/4 41/5 41/24 save [3] 27/8 49/13 20/18 22/16 39/22 Scott [7] 61/25 96/22 59/19 60/11 61/12 100/18 41/8 52/9 60/20 60/24 102/7 149/15 150/16 70/13 78/13 78/21 saw [5] 36/11 59/11 60/25 143/23 151/25 150/17 152/12 79/17 80/13 80/20 59/12 61/17 71/12 152/21 screen [39] 10/4 85/9 86/2 87/16 87/18 say [109] 3/9 7/3 7/25 rolled [1] 104/16 13/14 20/7 23/18 104/3 116/19 119/4 8/12 8/16 9/8 9/16 26/14 36/4 49/9 61/24 rollout [4] 20/22 121/17 124/9 130/14 10/5 11/2 12/9 12/17 62/23 68/10 70/9 104/21 104/24 111/1 132/10 132/11 132/16 14/9 17/6 20/8 22/15 **ROMs [1]** 124/14 79/14 80/25 82/18 133/1 145/3 146/15 23/21 24/3 24/7 24/13 room [1] 52/17 83/3 83/22 96/7 98/2 147/17 148/15 148/22 24/17 25/4 25/24 root [3] 77/23 100/6 99/12 101/17 105/7 152/16 155/8 160/24 26/15 31/10 32/23 107/5 109/9 109/23 131/19 rightly [3] 56/15 roughly [4] 2/25 8/2 33/3 34/2 35/21 36/10 110/15 110/21 111/3 159/11 160/1 44/24 47/10 47/22 113/7 115/3 124/17 8/24 9/22 **Rights [1]** 156/25 48/11 49/3 49/19 Royal [13] 4/12 4/14 127/8 133/3 134/10 ring [2] 8/7 37/21 49/23 50/17 50/20 8/9 57/25 62/16 62/20 136/20 140/2 143/10 ringing [1] 157/21 51/23 56/3 56/6 61/22 62/25 63/3 63/8 63/11 149/11 156/6 158/14 **RIPA [2]** 36/19 36/20 62/2 62/19 63/18 63/16 67/17 135/10 scroll [5] 111/5

115/17 116/6 120/24 121/15 133/4 133/7 134/20 134/25 135/17 (63) responsibility... - see

97/21 second [10] 6/20 7/17 7/23 8/25 14/22 15/5 43/16 44/7 45/5 46/10

136/23 138/13 149/13

12/16 23/19 51/22

85/25 108/2 111/9

111/22 112/5 113/9

113/14 115/22 115/23

133/7 134/24 135/16

SD [2] 134/14 134/15

search [7] 7/12 36/16

158/12

158/14

se [1] 118/12

13/6

section [8] 14/2 19/20 20/4 65/4 65/5 65/14 65/16 154/10 section 4 [2] 65/5 65/16

Sections [1] 66/13 secure [4] 90/24 92/1 99/16 138/23

20/9 21/9 21/17 21/24 21/25 22/1 22/3 22/7 22/7 22/8 23/22 24/4 24/8 25/19 26/7 29/10 30/4 30/10 38/20 39/11 40/9 41/21 49/5 49/6 51/19 52/11 54/20 55/8 57/22 58/16 59/4 59/18 59/24 61/25 63/10 64/6 66/24 68/22 69/4 69/13 69/18 70/12 70/14 71/7 75/3 81/3 88/16 91/24 94/14 95/5 96/13 96/22 106/2 107/9 107/11 109/12 109/17 109/18 109/21 110/2 111/14 115/13 119/24 120/25 122/8 122/15 122/20 123/3 125/1 125/2 125/10 128/4 136/13 139/16 148/6 149/15

see [41] 1/3 34/5 47/1 49/8 51/1 52/16 54/2 57/16 60/22 61/18 64/14 67/21 71/25 76/24 84/1 84/23 85/24 86/2 104/13 107/15 107/20 111/6 114/2 115/12

151/10

S 113/10 155/3 **shy [1]** 35/9 **slowly [1]** 46/25 something [18] 9/25 Service/Systems [2] side [3] 45/3 45/15 small [1] 12/22 45/8 55/1 55/21 56/4 see... [8] 137/11 111/7 113/10 53/4 **Smith [1]** 115/15 59/10 61/10 61/15 138/12 140/4 143/12 **Services [7]** 14/23 sides [1] 107/8 so [140] 3/9 3/15 76/19 78/5 80/21 147/9 150/15 156/6 siege [1] 161/18 15/22 18/3 66/12 74/1 3/21 3/22 4/9 4/11 5/1 95/16 109/12 128/10 84/6 107/7 sign [2] 56/14 75/22 6/6 6/8 6/12 6/18 139/14 148/13 151/13 seeing [2] 2/12 44/10 10/11 12/8 14/13 16/5 serving [2] 25/12 159/24 sign-off [2] 56/14 seek [2] 27/14 17/5 21/12 21/14 86/23 75/22 sometime [1] 3/1 120/18 session [1] 50/5 signatories [1] 56/16 22/11 22/25 23/14 somewhat [1] 35/1 seem [13] 8/6 26/1 23/24 24/18 26/2 sessions [1] 9/17 signature [2] 1/21 somewhere [1] 39/17 40/13 40/15 set [17] 2/16 13/16 27/22 29/2 29/4 29/22 163/21 1/23 50/5 51/15 62/11 14/18 54/14 56/5 56/5 signed [2] 69/10 30/18 31/2 31/15 son [2] 47/15 47/17 95/16 97/3 99/17 63/1 63/22 64/25 108/7 31/18 33/21 34/1 **sorry [17]** 15/14 101/25 102/3 67/21 68/2 77/24 91/2 34/11 34/25 35/3 15/20 31/10 33/16 significant [14] 3/9 Seema [3] 98/6 98/23 115/17 121/16 5/7 11/5 19/17 25/25 35/14 35/25 35/25 54/19 58/5 63/13 87/8 130/18 151/21 143/9 26/3 30/15 32/21 35/6 36/5 36/8 37/12 37/16 110/23 112/8 122/25 seems [5] 18/12 22/2 sets [2] 68/8 79/2 47/25 51/25 75/23 37/21 38/4 38/10 126/20 134/17 148/18 111/13 112/19 162/6 117/7 123/17 38/16 38/24 39/9 158/12 161/20 163/19 **setting [2]** 54/6 seen [10] 20/18 32/3 significantly [5] 39/21 40/12 41/7 42/6 sort [3] 52/25 151/24 128/19 32/6 57/25 68/8 70/17 36/11 105/23 106/7 42/10 42/16 42/18 151/25 settle [1] 157/16 71/2 74/11 122/4 seven [3] 84/10 85/1 116/25 148/3 43/4 43/14 44/7 45/5 sought [4] 27/19 141/22 86/5 signing [2] 58/18 45/12 48/16 48/21 120/21 124/1 138/13 **Sefton [1]** 130/20 seventh [1] 108/1 69/23 49/1 49/1 49/12 50/12|sound [1] 75/13 self [1] 60/21 50/14 51/3 53/22 several [4] 26/3 33/4 similar [4] 37/22 53/1 sounds [4] 5/10 sending [1] 93/8 143/23 152/20 89/11 147/20 54/11 55/13 57/8 46/21 120/10 154/19 senior [27] 8/18 21/9 58/15 58/17 59/8 61/8 source [1] 91/16 **shadow [1]** 26/10 simple [3] 7/7 45/13 21/11 21/17 21/24 64/8 64/13 69/2 69/21 sources [1] 15/2 162/19 **shall [1]** 105/15 22/6 22/17 23/22 24/8 69/24 73/10 76/1 76/2 space [1] 86/20 **share [1]** 34/23 simply [12] 5/18 7/15 30/4 37/2 38/20 39/11 she [8] 73/14 135/8 15/14 26/19 45/1 78/7 76/13 76/21 77/4 77/8 speak [2] 95/2 40/9 49/4 49/6 49/13 135/11 137/14 137/23 88/22 122/12 152/3 77/22 78/5 78/7 85/9 103/25 50/13 51/19 54/20 156/11 156/13 157/15 154/18 154/20 162/11 86/8 88/4 89/5 89/14 **speaking [3]** 8/24 61/11 72/2 97/24 **Sheer [1]** 87/25 90/18 92/14 93/18 33/9 135/13 simultaneously [1] 115/13 125/1 136/12 57/12 93/18 94/3 94/9 95/2 specific [13] 4/5 21/5 **shift** [1] 3/9 147/3 **shifted [1]** 44/16 since [4] 20/19 25/18 96/14 101/3 103/6 50/21 62/6 65/14 seniority [2] 69/14 short [7] 9/3 53/10 43/20 113/2 103/8 103/25 103/25 83/14 102/10 109/2 143/14 53/15 53/25 79/24 Singh [1] 98/4 104/5 104/6 105/10 123/12 123/15 125/12 seniors [1] 29/15 105/17 106/9 107/20 104/11 141/12 Singh's [1] 151/21 130/12 151/10 sense [4] 31/16 single [3] 80/6 98/22 specifically [13] 113/13 118/17 120/20 **shortage [2]** 5/1 31/20 60/22 114/3 126/21 132/5 133/13 135/12 106/12 62/18 63/18 66/3 **sensitive [2]** 32/19 134/24 136/17 139/12 **shortfall [20]** 27/10 singleton [1] 99/21 78/22 80/12 102/4 79/6 27/15 77/14 87/11 sir [18] 1/3 53/13 146/17 147/14 149/12 102/10 108/17 111/21 sent [9] 78/17 86/13 87/13 88/3 88/25 89/4 53/18 53/23 54/2 149/20 151/12 154/10 125/17 126/22 132/8 95/12 111/11 111/12 89/15 89/23 91/5 92/2 103/12 103/16 103/20 154/21 155/3 155/13 140/5 111/13 111/19 113/12 92/4 93/17 93/22 155/21 156/6 157/13 104/4 104/8 104/13 specificity [1] 68/9 115/5 94/19 94/24 95/24 144/25 145/4 153/22 159/19 163/25 **specifics [1]** 54/19 sentence [4] 105/4 157/2 160/20 163/14 **specified [2]** 99/18 137/23 139/5 **solely [2]** 44/15 72/5 105/10 106/5 140/14 **solemnity [1]** 163/5 164/2 **shortfalls [2]** 117/22 99/24 sentiment [5] 99/10 143/22 sit [8] 7/21 16/24 some [41] 3/14 6/9 **spelling [1]** 161/4 150/6 151/22 152/10 shortly [2] 79/17 18/11 18/13 76/9 7/19 23/4 28/21 30/5 **spent [2]** 3/22 3/23 152/15 30/14 33/2 34/8 41/19 **SPMR [2]** 26/18 163/21 103/7 144/17 154/19 separate [3] 65/24 site [5] 3/12 44/25 should [33] 1/13 1/20 42/21 43/9 44/25 100/18 66/1 79/3 48/10 49/2 49/14 50/9 SPMRs [2] 26/24 17/20 18/13 33/1 63/9 63/12 63/17 September [2] 41/25 53/11 54/25 62/13 44/19 64/10 76/3 **sits [1]** 145/13 144/6 107/13 77/21 79/6 80/7 97/21 sitting [2] 35/12 63/22 64/12 65/3 **spoke [3]** 158/7 September 2000 [1] 100/21 103/21 112/11 35/24 65/20 72/17 72/23 159/13 160/13 41/25 119/14 123/25 125/21 situation [1] 47/10 76/22 79/1 79/15 **spoken [1]** 66/23 series [6] 5/6 25/6 131/17 132/10 136/8 six [2] 30/20 75/15 87/18 91/2 110/13 **spot [1]** 97/20 47/14 47/20 99/23 138/15 140/19 141/1 **size [2]** 31/4 127/21 120/8 130/12 131/22 **spotted [2]** 73/14 144/9 141/7 144/1 149/10 137/4 137/19 141/11 73/18 sizeable [1] 26/4 serious [1] 73/6 155/9 155/16 160/12 skill [1] 10/22 145/1 146/7 150/24 **springs [1]** 19/13 served [3] 59/5 74/5 161/14 162/10 162/23 skilled [2] 3/16 144/2 **somebody [3]** 35/24 **squarely [1]** 160/18 163/3 staff [8] 6/13 9/18 **shoulders [1]** 155/16 **Skinner [4]** 156/10 48/15 76/4 service [6] 67/14 **shouldn't [1]** 160/2 157/13 157/21 158/9 someone [4] 94/25 27/5 27/13 27/19 110/6 111/7 111/20 **shows [1]** 135/24 slightly [1] 16/14 108/22 143/22 152/20 95/15 95/23 158/11

78/10 78/18 80/3 97/17 114/23 142/3 S 139/10 succession [1] 23/5 82/16 83/2 83/4 85/21 structure [3] 24/20 **successor** [1] 22/23 142/5 150/22 150/24 stage [14] 9/5 15/18 such [15] 36/20 88/10 90/9 90/24 25/20 60/19 151/10 27/25 28/5 31/22 47/19 57/2 57/4 59/6 92/19 96/6 96/8 99/2 structured [1] 77/1 surprised [2] 54/18 54/24 71/21 76/22 99/11 99/13 100/2 struggling [3] 24/1 69/11 86/25 92/13 63/21 77/6 79/1 87/19 94/8 100/22 101/17 105/5 40/1 100/11 97/9 104/23 117/5 surveillance [2] 5/15 132/7 144/7 105/8 107/20 107/21 125/21 126/14 127/3 studied [1] 37/1 5/17 stages [3] 36/14 108/6 108/23 116/23 **studies [3]** 130/13 162/6 Susan [1] 130/17 40/12 40/15 124/17 127/7 128/19 130/15 131/12 sufficiency [2] 26/8 suspect [21] 7/19 staggering [1] 45/22 study [6] 42/1 42/4 129/2 130/16 132/1 20/16 35/11 54/8 60/21 stakeholder [3] 132/7 132/18 133/1 42/9 42/10 48/10 **sufficient [5]** 67/11 56/15 56/15 67/10 109/20 115/20 116/9 138/7 142/15 143/8 89/1 89/23 118/9 69/22 93/3 95/3 101/9 48/11 stakeholders [5] 143/11 145/16 147/7 style [3] 159/25 135/9 111/2 115/11 116/4 18/21 20/3 81/14 147/19 147/21 149/8 suggest [2] 22/20 119/22 120/4 123/20 161/25 162/1 111/14 113/16 150/15 152/18 163/18 sub [4] 5/4 43/6 136/2 132/3 132/19 155/11 stamp [1] 76/6 **statements** [4] 17/8 45/15 45/18 **suggesting [1]** 158/1 155/21 stamping [2] 75/9 37/23 128/23 130/9 **suspected** [11] 5/7 subject [8] 25/13 suggestion [4] 65/3 146/20 stating [2] 77/5 77/11 73/6 80/8 81/5 81/9 98/22 108/15 108/20 6/10 26/17 27/1 27/5 stance [3] 71/10 **status [10]** 15/23 107/20 113/10 134/21 suggests [1] 70/11 57/3 57/4 63/4 66/16 144/11 144/14 16/17 16/19 17/18 submission [5] 41/25 suitable [3] 28/22 156/12 157/14 stand [1] 116/3 17/24 18/5 18/9 71/14 52/2 83/8 85/6 93/15 29/6 143/14 suspended [3] 48/22 **standard** [7] 3/18 75/16 146/14 submissions [1] suitably [1] 76/5 157/11 157/25 10/14 44/15 56/6 78/1 steady [1] 147/11 103/6 suite [6] 3/18 33/24 suspension [1] 46/24 98/21 111/18 stealing [1] 46/8 submit [2] 6/15 6/16 39/5 39/7 39/15 86/17 **suspicion [3]** 94/24 standardise [1] **sum [3]** 141/6 141/6 **stemming [1]** 77/15 157/11 157/25 submitted [2] 7/11 78/12 141/7 **swimming [1]** 43/8 **step [5]** 26/24 27/3 81/12 standardised [1] 27/8 29/18 32/23 summaries [1] 81/13 switch [2] 68/21 submitting [3] 72/8 108/6 **stepped** [1] 153/16 77/24 81/9 **summary [8]** 48/8 69/20 **standards** [17] 13/19 steps [12] 27/22 79/11 112/5 113/19 switched [1] 145/9 subparagraph [1] 13/23 16/25 18/12 46/24 48/17 48/21 113/23 114/2 131/7 49/11 **sympathy [2]** 46/7 20/12 20/20 21/10 58/2 58/7 58/12 89/2 subpostmaster [25] 138/10 46/10 21/13 21/15 39/5 39/8 90/10 93/2 95/10 3/11 3/12 3/18 3/24 summonsed [1] 84/4 | system [32] 11/14 65/7 65/11 65/21 20/22 33/11 77/16 100/5 5/6 5/9 5/13 17/10 superseded [1] 66/10 81/12 107/23 still [9] 31/19 36/24 27/24 28/15 42/24 11/25 79/20 91/9 95/25 stark [1] 160/6 38/21 40/13 43/9 75/5 42/25 43/2 43/19 **supervised** [1] 10/11 96/23 97/8 98/14 start [6] 7/17 8/1 75/8 89/12 107/2 43/20 48/23 67/3 74/6 105/6 105/21 108/5 supervision [6] 46/25 69/25 114/3 10/14 10/16 11/5 52/1 108/8 108/22 108/23 stipulation [3] 89/17 95/22 103/6 163/20 141/24 142/2 142/5 124/1 156/10 156/14 52/8 53/6 109/6 111/25 117/23 started [4] 52/8 54/5 stock [2] 17/19 45/16 157/10 118/11 118/25 130/22 supplement [1] 3/20 55/14 117/15 stolen [5] 42/24 43/1 141/17 144/12 149/2 subpostmaster's [5] supplementary [2] starting [6] 2/10 43/2 74/9 94/25 27/11 27/13 27/15 11/20 92/10 149/16 150/6 152/9 63/23 81/2 85/23 96/5 stop [1] 45/20 89/15 95/23 supplemented [3] 157/23 158/2 160/5 134/11 stopped [3] 11/12 11/4 36/21 51/25 160/6 subpostmasters [15] **starts [1]** 108/3 systematic [3] 25/16 39/23 3/5 27/4 30/15 42/1 **supplied [6]** 20/13 state [3] 73/25 **Stopping [1]** 66/14 42/19 44/13 44/23 20/25 108/10 108/24 106/10 117/9 144/8 117/13 162/24 44/25 62/7 95/14 109/7 127/17 systems [7] 94/10 **stops** [1] 55/21 stated [2] 71/24 **storage [1]** 102/20 117/21 131/19 152/25 suppliers [1] 45/19 111/7 111/20 112/20 77/21 113/10 117/11 118/4 straight [2] 59/12 153/14 153/25 support [19] 7/17 statement [102] 1/14 15/7 24/21 27/1 35/2 138/25 subpostmasters' [1] 1/25 2/4 2/7 2/17 2/24 74/9 89/8 93/14 100/5 straightforward [1] 30/23 3/10 7/3 7/25 8/12 table [1] 53/20 98/13 subsequent [3] 33/5 100/9 100/24 124/6 9/16 10/3 10/25 12/16 tackle [2] 35/8 38/15 strand [17] 21/25 33/15 83/9 124/9 124/19 135/9 14/9 15/24 16/18 22/3 22/8 22/21 23/23 subsequently [2] 143/18 153/4 153/13 tagged [1] 49/16 16/20 17/16 17/18 take [16] 3/17 4/4 6/9 26/4 29/10 75/3 84/18 10/18 40/22 153/16 17/25 18/6 18/9 20/7 6/12 29/4 38/9 47/18 91/23 94/14 95/5 **substance** [2] 55/12 **supported [6]** 10/9 20/19 21/22 22/20 68/9 75/19 90/25 96/13 119/24 120/25 13/4 14/9 72/24 144/6 128/20 24/15 25/3 25/4 25/23 95/10 103/21 131/20 125/3 125/8 substantive [6] 9/24 153/12 26/11 26/22 33/3 33/7 135/5 136/8 142/11 **strategic** [1] 31/18 10/8 12/13 13/24 **supporting [9]** 12/25 33/8 34/7 36/5 39/4 13/2 13/10 14/14 taken [26] 24/7 27/8 15/19 24/8 **strategy [1]** 62/1 39/20 40/19 40/21 27/23 28/21 29/18 stream [1] 147/12 65/21 71/1 71/4 96/11 substantively [1] 43/20 44/23 47/22 33/2 36/1 58/2 58/7 stress [1] 52/7 58/17 131/18 49/3 49/23 51/1 52/7 58/12 67/15 67/22 strikes [1] 143/13 subtle [1] 100/14 supports [1] 66/9 56/13 61/23 62/15 73/4 88/23 89/2 90/3 **stringent [1]** 127/15 **subtly [1]** 44/12 sure [12] 41/9 41/12 62/25 68/11 72/17 90/4 90/10 92/17 93/2 struck [2] 25/9 **success [1]** 110/9 41/14 50/8 53/17

tended [3] 29/4 46/21 thefts [4] 26/17 26/18 124/13 131/21 136/15 156/3 161/17 163/14 71/22 46/5 48/6 147/10 147/16 148/6 third [5] 46/13 50/20 taken... [6] 100/5 tenure [5] 11/10 72/7 their [27] 27/5 28/12 149/22 120/13 121/3 121/8 135/8 151/20 152/16 96/19 97/1 139/9 28/16 34/10 34/10 they [59] 2/2 3/19 third-party [3] 120/13 154/17 154/22 term [1] 134/18 34/14 34/20 35/20 4/10 4/11 4/18 5/1 5/2 121/3 121/8 taking [12] 8/25 terminology [1] 22/2 39/3 41/3 44/5 46/2 5/9 5/10 9/14 17/20 **Thirdly [1]** 15/8 19/11 61/8 61/16 47/1 71/10 71/13 25/17 30/17 32/4 32/5 this [258] terms [30] 13/10 29/2 63/22 68/24 73/15 73/21 73/22 73/24 30/1 52/1 52/22 54/4 32/7 34/21 35/15 **Thomas [3]** 124/10 75/6 78/7 79/17 90/1 55/6 56/22 58/5 68/5 81/12 93/8 93/9 35/15 40/2 40/4 43/4 124/25 125/5 151/2 70/22 71/18 72/10 100/13 120/1 129/6 44/10 44/16 44/20 those [46] 4/19 6/10 Talbot [4] 107/10 6/14 13/25 29/12 31/6 86/6 87/1 89/25 91/3 135/14 149/22 149/23 46/20 48/17 57/24 107/14 108/16 109/4 92/21 93/2 93/16 them [23] 4/10 5/14 64/10 66/19 71/21 33/7 34/23 42/14 46/5 talking [4] 43/21 44/8 94/20 97/16 97/23 27/20 32/5 35/12 73/21 74/9 78/23 51/17 55/12 55/18 50/22 146/25 100/4 103/18 121/17 35/14 35/20 35/25 78/25 82/4 83/21 56/1 56/9 58/18 60/17 tape [6] 37/25 38/13 124/8 124/19 127/14 40/1 48/24 48/25 84/20 85/5 85/8 86/12 60/20 60/24 60/25 38/13 38/14 46/18 127/20 52/18 62/18 82/2 82/5 89/18 92/1 92/16 95/9 61/17 66/10 66/19 91/20 test [8] 71/9 71/18 82/5 82/11 85/6 93/18 103/7 112/4 117/6 66/22 66/23 79/1 taped [1] 81/13 72/15 74/13 74/16 103/25 103/25 119/7 117/8 118/16 119/10 82/11 84/10 92/15 tapes [1] 5/21 80/9 101/4 119/5 128/3 121/15 125/13 128/9 94/8 94/11 94/12 97/2 target [1] 25/9 theme [7] 25/22 99/7 136/17 139/21 155/10 102/22 116/21 117/9 testimony [2] 124/21 task [2] 26/25 108/13 125/19 131/2 131/4 129/18 109/1 126/20 152/2 158/1 163/23 **Tatford [1]** 98/17 than [19] 7/15 10/17 152/7 162/16 they'd [2] 56/21 74/3 131/5 131/11 144/23 tax [1] 30/21 23/9 28/16 29/20 themes [1] 42/9 thief [1] 28/16 144/25 157/25 163/14 team [82] 9/12 11/24 58/10 59/13 73/15 themselves [2] 82/14 thing [7] 14/18 19/13 163/22 12/2 12/12 12/13 77/23 86/19 96/2 47/7 142/24 155/4 thought [10] 8/5 152/4 12/14 12/22 12/25 97/11 110/14 123/12 then [74] 3/2 3/17 161/19 162/6 12/19 16/13 35/24 15/22 18/3 18/14 127/25 138/25 144/7 3/19 3/22 5/25 8/20 60/4 60/16 75/8 things [6] 16/12 19/22 22/3 22/8 25/6 32/13 55/18 67/3 98/7 152/7 153/15 10/7 11/12 11/23 120/22 139/13 142/3 26/7 40/16 49/16 55/4 thank [23] 1/5 2/5 14/22 19/16 20/4 23/1 128/17 three [9] 5/24 9/25 55/8 56/18 56/21 49/10 49/12 49/12 23/2 23/12 23/14 28/2 think [117] 3/9 3/21 35/17 36/3 42/12 46/6 57/22 58/22 59/4 53/23 54/3 104/14 31/3 31/3 34/1 34/5 4/24 5/5 8/4 10/18 47/25 62/16 121/21 59/18 59/24 62/19 105/14 105/16 145/7 37/19 39/1 40/14 48/2 11/17 12/6 14/13 three days [1] 5/24 63/10 63/20 65/19 146/24 147/5 147/6 50/15 55/3 55/25 67/8 15/15 16/22 20/19 three years [1] 9/25 65/24 66/24 67/18 149/6 153/18 153/20 68/19 69/22 71/19 21/3 21/11 22/22 23/1 through [33] 3/18 67/24 69/9 71/2 71/14 153/22 163/12 163/16 71/22 72/4 72/11 23/6 23/9 23/23 23/24 11/8 15/17 15/22 72/20 74/12 74/23 163/17 163/25 164/2 75/16 76/4 78/4 78/15 24/3 26/6 26/23 28/18 16/22 18/3 27/20 34/5 75/4 75/12 75/17 76/2 83/21 84/2 84/8 85/9 39/2 40/16 42/7 42/14 that [904] 28/18 30/3 32/2 32/10 77/8 77/9 79/6 80/9 that I [1] 94/12 85/14 90/19 91/2 32/22 33/16 33/17 46/18 48/18 48/20 80/12 81/3 81/16 94/20 97/22 100/1 that's [43] 2/15 6/2 38/15 39/12 40/4 58/11 61/5 70/17 76/1 81/18 82/9 84/7 88/16 6/11 7/19 15/15 16/14 102/9 106/2 106/17 41/15 41/16 42/16 77/4 79/16 98/16 93/15 95/13 107/9 16/15 24/1 31/4 41/23 108/5 108/22 114/14 47/22 48/14 49/14 98/18 111/16 115/12 107/11 109/22 115/13 43/14 46/9 47/7 48/8 116/5 116/11 119/16 49/15 50/3 51/13 121/11 129/25 131/20 119/6 121/12 121/14 61/24 63/23 65/5 76/7 124/20 128/2 131/4 52/13 52/18 54/10 139/8 145/24 148/16 122/8 122/15 122/20 77/6 77/21 80/4 84/18 134/8 136/2 140/23 156/7 158/22 54/24 56/2 57/5 63/19 123/3 125/18 127/25 84/23 89/7 101/7 141/9 143/13 144/24 67/1 67/6 70/18 74/18 throughout [5] 22/22 128/5 128/6 128/14 101/10 105/16 115/24 148/19 148/24 149/7 23/24 25/8 52/11 74/24 75/14 75/18 130/3 133/14 134/6 123/7 123/20 124/17 156/19 157/23 157/24 75/20 76/6 76/13 96/18 134/14 136/19 136/24 132/11 142/14 143/6 163/17 76/22 77/17 77/22 thrown [2] 52/17 140/5 144/2 146/6 146/18 147/3 there [205] 78/5 82/3 82/23 83/17 52/24 Team's [2] 17/23 147/5 149/9 149/18 there'd [6] 3/21 21/3 83/18 83/21 85/19 **throws [1]** 23/10 72/14 158/5 158/6 159/13 38/4 38/24 48/19 86/22 88/4 88/8 89/9 thrust [1] 97/7 **Teams [1]** 14/25 90/4 90/6 90/18 91/15 tick [1] 155/4 theft [39] 27/2 27/5 93/12 technical [1] 110/25 27/12 28/8 28/9 42/2 93/10 96/1 101/1 there's [10] 15/1 31/2 tickets [1] 112/1 technically [1] 47/2 47/3 66/11 66/19 33/16 45/18 49/2 101/14 102/17 103/1 tier [2] 43/15 46/8 118/11 67/4 97/13 97/15 60/12 64/24 111/9 103/16 106/9 107/1 time [81] 4/8 4/10 tell [3] 43/23 118/15 98/11 100/10 100/10 141/4 151/12 109/20 113/3 117/8 4/13 5/5 5/14 6/23 149/21 132/13 133/19 133/22 therefore [1] 23/6 117/10 117/25 120/8 7/13 7/21 9/15 13/5 telling [1] 159/6 133/24 134/2 134/8 these [28] 3/4 22/16 122/3 122/11 125/5 17/6 20/16 23/15 template [2] 78/11 135/9 135/24 137/17 33/9 42/18 43/17 125/6 132/23 134/3 30/16 32/7 35/6 36/6 85/24 138/4 138/9 138/9 43/17 45/13 45/13 134/4 139/2 139/12 37/12 45/6 45/23 46/3 templates [1] 38/5 139/14 142/6 142/14 48/5 49/20 49/21 138/25 139/19 140/18 57/21 58/3 58/8 62/20 tempted [1] 56/1 141/1 141/12 142/16 81/21 83/8 83/10 144/12 144/19 144/22 50/11 51/14 52/4 tenacious [1] 93/19 143/2 156/12 157/12 97/20 102/6 106/1 146/6 146/22 150/15 52/11 52/15 52/20 tenacity [1] 90/5 157/14 157/25 110/8 117/23 121/25 151/9 154/17 154/24 54/11 54/25 56/4

112/5 113/19 113/23 68/21 124/22 Т 16/20 17/8 17/16 triggers [4] 87/14 17/17 17/25 18/6 18/9 88/1 88/17 90/19 116/5 116/6 116/11 untenable [3] 106/3 time... [48] 57/10 18/19 18/24 21/15 **Trotter [1]** 115/6 127/12 156/11 162/9 106/18 148/8 57/14 58/22 59/3 27/25 28/1 107/19 truck [1] 75/6 undercover [1] 5/12 until [4] 32/7 110/8 59/12 60/4 63/19 69/2 true [7] 1/25 76/8 107/20 underlined [1] 52/17 161/5 164/4 74/1 78/6 81/16 82/22 76/14 119/13 130/4 unusual [1] 56/25 traditionally [3] undermining [2] 82/24 84/14 84/17 130/7 130/11 38/17 39/2 52/3 126/9 154/13 unwavering [1] 88/15 90/19 103/5 trained [2] 3/12 truly [3] 74/25 143/16 underpinnings [1] 55/23 103/14 104/6 104/6 143/19 55/15 **up [36]** 3/14 4/5 51/11 104/19 105/9 108/9 17/12 17/13 19/11 trainers [3] 3/17 3/25 try [3] 95/1 95/7 understand [16] 2/11 109/15 110/13 110/20 41/2 152/4 56/25 93/22 100/12 21/8 21/17 24/7 29/19 113/4 113/6 120/5 training [69] 3/5 3/8 trying [2] 16/8 114/10 100/21 109/3 110/20 29/23 29/25 30/19 121/5 125/1 125/3 3/16 3/19 3/20 3/22 turmoil [2] 35/14 110/24 114/20 125/11 30/20 38/2 44/21 45/3 139/2 139/12 142/3 6/25 7/14 8/1 8/8 8/9 47/5 137/14 148/19 158/4 53/10 55/4 61/8 70/8 142/9 148/12 148/14 9/5 9/8 9/12 9/17 9/18 turn [5] 1/17 10/25 161/20 161/23 162/4 99/12 111/9 113/14 148/15 151/6 152/10 10/9 11/4 11/19 18/22 34/3 96/20 149/7 understandable [2] 113/16 115/18 125/2 153/11 157/15 157/22 19/2 20/1 22/15 36/7 125/4 125/6 128/16 turned [1] 98/12 73/21 75/19 157/22 162/3 163/4 Turning [5] 85/14 36/10 36/12 36/15 135/16 135/25 136/24 understanding [9] timeline [1] 36/13 36/19 37/6 37/7 37/8 87/9 124/5 127/22 79/15 80/5 89/5 96/4 139/16 152/14 156/5 timely [1] 143/16 39/3 39/13 39/21 97/13 99/14 112/22 157/21 130/12 times [1] 51/20 40/18 40/23 40/24 twist [1] 34/3 136/3 140/19 update [6] 111/7 timescale [1] 87/14 41/3 41/7 49/4 49/5 two [15] 3/25 4/23 understands [2] 112/6 112/13 114/3 tired [1] 32/15 49/7 49/14 49/20 50/1 5/22 5/24 9/25 35/17 16/10 70/7 114/7 114/14 title [11] 12/19 14/1 50/5 50/7 50/9 50/18 36/2 38/13 44/20 understood [10] 7/18 updated [1] 123/16 14/11 22/1 48/10 89/9 50/23 50/23 51/6 73/12 73/16 78/20 84/11 85/6 104/4 updates [3] 96/22 111/6 111/8 111/22 51/14 51/17 51/20 79/3 94/11 157/25 149/14 149/22 110/9 120/1 121/2 113/10 113/20 51/20 51/24 54/14 121/7 125/24 130/5 type [17] 6/20 7/23 updating [1] 40/10 titled [1] 23/8 54/16 57/6 58/4 58/8 16/24 21/1 47/12 undertake [1] 108/12 uplift [2] 102/2 102/5 titles [1] 26/5 58/12 58/25 65/9 52/19 59/1 60/23 undertaken [3] 11/19 upon [7] 26/12 54/18 tobacco [1] 45/17 75/15 77/15 97/19 83/11 83/19 92/6 93/25 94/18 101/6 50/15 52/5 today [6] 140/8 121/11 92/15 96/12 99/10 **undertook [3]** 13/25 102/24 137/22 144/17 145/14 145/25 tranche [8] 19/17 115/19 150/13 160/22 41/19 135/11 us [10] 1/4 34/23 154/19 163/19 43/4 44/7 45/5 45/24 types [1] 42/12 underwent [1] 9/5 34/24 43/17 54/2 74/5 told [4] 29/6 52/24 45/25 46/11 48/6 undoubtedly [1] 87/6 104/13 115/9 115/9 151/12 tranches [1] 48/1 28/20 149/21 tomorrow [1] 164/1 **UK [3]** 30/16 46/11 use [17] 32/10 34/25 transacted [1] undue [1] 139/22 Tony [10] 12/20 13/3 50/7 147/11 unenviable [1] 26/25 38/13 41/18 49/25 13/10 14/9 20/10 ultimate [2] 72/9 50/1 57/19 84/12 Transaction [1] unexplained [1] 88/14 107/12 107/24 138/8 86/19 87/2 91/19 97/9 112/15 152/5 132/2 133/4 ultimately [6] 64/5 99/22 105/4 105/9 transactional [1] unfortunately [15] Tony Utting [4] 20/10 105/23 106/6 116/25 99/19 2/15 17/22 21/6 40/7 114/25 116/23 88/14 107/24 132/2 transactions [6] 44/4 141/23 148/3 47/24 54/17 96/3 used [13] 14/11 22/2 too [1] 44/12 99/22 99/24 110/16 unable [2] 133/18 105/3 108/18 109/2 34/25 35/8 38/9 38/18 took [9] 17/12 17/13 134/1 117/14 123/13 127/16 83/15 87/7 97/10 99/1 110/22 112/4 21/8 21/17 61/8 71/10 unabridged [1] 144/4 transcribed [1] 94/9 150/4 158/14 99/9 99/21 151/23 72/25 100/9 103/7 unacceptable [1] unheard [1] 142/18 useful [3] 32/6 38/6 transcript [2] 2/3 tool [1] 100/8 83/1 70/10 unimpeachable [1] 74/6 tools [1] 97/9 unambiguous [1] transfer [2] 46/25 17/18 user [3] 14/25 77/14 top [13] 14/17 43/4 162/19 111/24 unique [2] 10/21 118/25 43/15 46/7 48/6 63/1 unaware [2] 116/24 **transition [1]** 26/21 53/11 users [1] 118/15 84/2 86/1 86/2 107/13 118/25 using [6] 36/17 41/3 translate [1] 35/9 uniqueness [1] 52/4 115/24 133/16 135/16 unbiased [1] 129/5 41/13 99/16 99/24 transmission [1] unit [1] 6/8 topic [4] 53/13 61/21 uncommon [4] 97/25 102/20 units [1] 4/20 144/4 103/12 103/13 99/3 99/5 99/6 **Unknown [1]** 85/3 transparency [1] **usual [1]** 149/18 touched [4] 20/5 uncover [1] 131/19 144/6 unless [2] 70/7 utilised [1] 97/12 28/19 43/16 54/18 undated [1] 13/16 trapped [1] 73/10 149/11 utter [1] 47/5 towards [15] 8/4 14/2 unmovable [1] under [33] 7/24 11/8 travel [1] 43/1 utterly [3] 86/14 19/14 44/16 55/23 travelled [1] 43/3 14/24 27/15 28/15 103/19 143/24 152/21 56/14 72/6 97/1 38/12 40/25 46/1 trial [5] 98/6 98/10 unparallel [1] 98/15 Utting [12] 12/21 102/20 119/22 120/3 50/25 52/10 63/7 137/12 137/19 151/21 13/3 13/5 13/11 14/10 unprecedented [1] 124/18 127/10 142/6 63/25 64/13 64/18 trialling [1] 4/1 98/14 14/14 20/10 88/14 155/11 64/19 66/12 68/13 trigger [1] 89/1 107/12 107/24 132/2 unscrupulous [1] trading [18] 15/23 89/15 89/21 91/21 133/5 triggered [4] 88/6 79/25 16/1 16/10 16/18 92/1 92/6 93/12 110/3|unsure [3] 41/17 90/13 92/12 109/16

47/17 88/23 155/24 We'll [2] 24/11 33/7 153/9 156/7 157/2 123/1 125/20 129/22 157/2 158/16 we're [3] 103/20 157/3 157/4 157/4 130/4 130/5 130/8 vague [1] 93/16 wanted [1] 32/23 151/2 155/24 158/16 158/24 161/10 132/9 135/6 136/5 value [2] 87/15 Warwick [1] 98/17 we've [8] 20/5 22/12 162/2 162/13 163/5 137/5 137/11 141/1 155/21 was [478] 52/6 88/14 100/22 163/7 163/8 142/3 155/10 values [4] 105/24 wasn't [16] 9/3 11/25 106/19 129/25 141/21 what's [2] 55/16 which [80] 2/13 2/25 106/7 117/1 148/4 24/22 54/18 76/11 13/12 13/13 17/19 weak [1] 75/13 55/19 variances [1] 117/9 19/11 19/15 21/24 89/1 90/3 90/4 102/1 weakness [1] 79/24 whatever [6] 46/23 variations [1] 83/17 102/6 124/4 132/5 weaknesses [1] 80/7 47/18 50/4 73/3 77/9 27/25 28/19 33/6 35/1 varied [2] 21/23 142/5 142/23 151/9 39/14 39/20 51/18 Wednesday [1] 1/1 155/17 113/16 whatsoever [5] 7/2 161/4 week [9] 3/21 3/23 57/2 59/4 62/16 65/16 variety [3] 38/5 101/1 37/4 51/21 106/10 65/2 129/21 162/5 65/22 68/8 70/6 73/21 watch [1] 53/18 101/13 watching [1] 43/1 106/10 106/10 106/10 163/10 78/17 79/25 82/12 various [9] 36/14 106/12 when [104] 4/3 4/21 82/15 84/20 84/21 waters [1] 22/25 36/21 38/19 50/12 way [22] 7/19 10/20 weekly [6] 28/1 94/6 5/16 7/9 7/13 7/21 86/4 86/14 87/15 51/20 57/6 84/3 89/7 28/21 30/17 32/13 94/7 109/12 109/18 11/18 12/1 12/21 14/9 90/10 90/13 91/3 92/8 95/19 39/15 46/10 58/3 17/12 17/20 21/15 93/24 94/6 94/17 151/4 varying [1] 22/2 59/15 60/12 62/13 weeks [1] 157/24 21/23 22/15 25/15 101/8 102/25 105/4 vast [1] 74/19 well [36] 4/16 9/8 66/22 77/7 78/1 86/16 26/16 26/20 28/5 28/5 106/24 109/5 109/24 vehemently [1] 82/25 104/3 129/4 139/8 9/18 17/3 24/21 38/4 28/8 30/18 33/22 111/17 111/19 116/7 Vennells [2] 97/4 139/17 146/21 157/16 33/25 36/7 38/20 117/3 117/7 117/11 38/14 40/22 48/4 150/19 162/12 48/15 50/11 53/21 39/10 39/11 39/22 118/14 118/24 118/25 veracity [1] 94/13 we [122] 1/6 7/16 56/16 77/19 84/25 40/8 40/8 40/12 41/7 119/21 120/2 121/21 verbalisation [1] 7/21 10/3 10/25 13/14 92/17 103/24 111/15 48/16 49/4 49/6 52/8 123/5 123/8 124/2 42/10 20/6 23/18 25/3 25/23 119/22 120/3 121/14 54/4 54/20 57/16 124/5 126/8 128/18 vernacular [1] 38/15 26/13 28/5 29/3 29/22 125/9 131/7 133/22 58/16 61/16 63/10 128/24 130/13 132/5 version [2] 78/23 29/25 31/8 31/8 31/11 132/14 133/21 140/1 140/1 143/3 147/5 68/16 68/24 69/20 86/24 149/6 154/21 156/5 70/20 72/1 72/8 72/14 140/22 141/6 141/25 31/11 32/10 32/10 versions [2] 78/20 32/20 33/22 34/25 160/11 160/22 161/2 72/17 73/7 74/18 142/2 147/20 147/24 79/2 35/19 36/4 38/12 161/13 162/12 162/21 76/23 77/8 78/2 82/2 154/12 154/21 155/15 versus [1] 59/14 40/12 44/22 44/24 Wendy [5] 157/9 83/20 88/15 91/14 162/14 162/15 very [12] 8/16 20/9 47/13 47/14 48/5 48/7 158/19 159/4 159/7 91/23 92/1 93/5 93/17 while [3] 61/21 65/18 20/11 29/3 43/14 45/5 48/16 50/6 50/11 159/13 93/21 94/1 94/2 94/3 70/14 45/20 46/7 48/5 50/13 53/16 59/11 went [5] 44/12 73/24 94/14 94/23 95/5 whilst [5] 5/13 62/18 153/20 155/15 161/19 61/21 61/23 62/23 74/20 151/9 151/10 95/21 97/12 100/10 72/6 143/17 156/13 via [4] 65/12 71/14 63/6 67/20 68/10 100/19 105/2 110/17 were [220] Whitaker [4] 59/17 128/4 133/13 69/21 69/21 70/8 77/5 weren't [2] 53/7 111/19 117/10 117/20 59/20 59/21 60/3 **viability [1]** 45/4 79/13 80/24 81/17 148/15 119/19 119/24 120/11| Whitaker's [1] 59/22 victim [4] 57/1 57/8 120/22 120/24 120/24 White [1] 85/1 83/3 83/6 83/22 84/1 West [1] 45/11 57/12 88/4 85/15 85/23 86/2 96/6 West/North [1] 45/11 123/25 125/3 125/10 who [52] 3/17 3/25 victims [3] 43/17 125/13 127/11 128/12 4/15 4/16 25/12 27/4 96/23 97/19 98/1 what [92] 2/23 3/8 45/1 46/11 98/15 98/20 99/11 4/5 4/21 6/5 6/12 8/2 128/16 132/8 132/21 34/9 34/11 35/18 video [3] 5/19 5/21 101/17 101/21 103/17 12/3 14/20 15/12 133/23 137/16 138/18 42/19 42/24 42/25 28/7 103/20 103/21 104/5 139/20 150/1 150/8 43/2 43/6 43/7 45/1 17/23 19/2 21/1 23/24 videotape [1] 5/23 105/7 105/15 106/21 31/6 31/13 33/13 35/9 151/20 153/12 161/11 45/25 46/1 46/11 48/5 view [10] 7/14 28/7 107/5 107/20 109/9 35/15 37/20 40/2 42/9 where [41] 7/12 12/5 49/17 52/14 52/20 28/14 36/1 96/17 109/23 111/3 111/5 44/19 49/5 53/18 15/19 22/24 23/4 56/1 66/10 68/3 68/9 103/7 135/7 138/12 111/6 112/6 112/16 54/13 55/12 56/8 27/10 38/9 39/7 43/13 68/25 70/12 72/25 154/17 160/12 113/7 114/2 115/3 56/17 62/9 66/14 47/14 48/6 52/7 55/2 76/4 80/15 80/15 viewpoint [1] 75/19 115/17 116/6 124/16 71/25 72/22 73/18 55/13 66/11 67/10 86/23 90/16 108/7 views [2] 92/22 127/7 133/3 133/4 76/13 77/17 77/21 69/4 74/12 74/15 108/12 108/13 109/19 135/15 133/7 134/10 134/20 79/22 87/22 88/1 89/2 74/20 76/17 84/23 112/7 118/15 124/8 virtue [2] 52/14 52/18 134/25 135/17 136/3 91/24 93/6 99/8 102/2 87/12 88/25 90/1 90/3 124/9 128/1 132/2 visible [1] 1/20 136/5 136/8 136/20 104/5 106/5 106/23 90/4 91/7 93/3 94/24 144/21 145/3 150/3 volume [1] 87/25 136/22 138/12 138/13 109/3 109/14 109/18 95/1 95/8 95/21 151/7 152/23 157/8 **volunteer [1]** 29/19 140/2 140/3 143/9 110/20 111/15 114/20 100/17 117/5 129/9 163/22 148/19 149/12 149/16 114/23 115/9 116/2 132/1 132/12 133/25 W whoever [1] 73/13 150/15 153/12 155/16 116/21 121/24 122/7 137/25 155/23 whole [7] 35/13 wage [1] 43/7 156/6 159/11 159/19 122/14 122/19 123/24 whether [31] 21/8 47/14 59/9 76/10 wait [1] 29/20 161/24 162/15 162/16 124/22 125/24 134/7 41/6 41/17 50/8 53/14 142/7 151/12 152/10 Wales [1] 45/11 134/15 138/19 144/20 163/25 56/10 57/3 63/14 wholeheartedly [1] walk [1] 47/9 we'd [7] 4/10 8/4 35/2 147/23 149/21 150/2 63/16 67/20 69/9 46/4 **WAN [1]** 114/9 35/2 50/11 69/24 151/24 151/24 152/11 77/13 89/7 90/16 wholly [7] 47/2 want [7] 32/4 47/16

152/12 152/17 152/18

92/24 108/19 119/5

120/18

105/19 130/21 131/5

W wholly... [3] 131/15 131/16 147/24 **whom [1]** 156/3 whose [1] 153/25 why [14] 16/18 16/24 18/7 18/11 23/16 31/25 32/9 42/1 68/21 75/19 87/6 114/24 115/7 117/23 wide [2] 4/11 6/7 wider [2] 102/8 142/22 widow [1] 145/13 wife [2] 73/22 74/9 wildest [1] 60/13 will [31] 2/8 64/2 64/5 64/15 64/21 65/23 65/24 67/10 67/14 68/9 71/11 81/9 96/14 96/17 98/23 103/24 108/5 108/11 110/13 112/3 119/17 125/7 129/8 140/22 140/24 141/7 141/14 156/16 156/20 156/24 163/25 willingly [1] 47/11 **Wilson [3]** 80/17 107/11 130/17 wish [3] 70/8 137/13 153/6 withdrawal [1] 19/18 withheld [2] 148/21 149/2 within [54] 3/3 10/12 15/16 19/9 23/11 26/7 28/14 31/19 36/24 42/17 43/19 47/6 51/4 51/20 53/1 55/7 57/21 58/21 59/3 60/14 60/17 60/21 60/25 63/10 64/3 64/12 66/24 69/4 69/5 72/6 74/3 75/12 75/16 79/23 95/19 99/8 101/21 103/8 106/2 112/10 112/17 113/16 121/16 121/24 122/7 122/14 122/19 127/17 129/6 139/15 148/11 151/1 159/24 162/1 without [7] 26/10 33/23 45/2 79/16 86/25 121/20 136/18 WITN08170100 [5] 2/4 10/5 20/8 68/12 149/10 witness [11] 1/14 2/7 13/21 14/1 14/12 37/23 108/6 124/5 124/21 128/15 129/18 20/23 22/11 27/9 130/9 149/8 163/18 27/22 28/18 33/21 witnesses [1] 129/24 34/22 37/10 37/19

wonder [5] 11/25

13/24 53/13 153/8 156/5 word [3] 47/16 97/6 97/6 worded [1] 147/21 wording [2] 131/9 150/2 words [4] 114/25 116/23 153/8 155/3 work [15] 2/6 10/10 12/8 16/23 19/17 20/13 20/15 20/25 26/13 29/9 50/14 63/20 87/25 98/17 126/15 worked [5] 20/9 21/2 34/8 59/3 63/10 working [11] 3/23 12/9 35/22 108/8 108/23 109/6 141/14 141/18 141/21 142/11 144/2 workplace [1] 31/19 world [1] 43/1 **Worldwide [1]** 4/16 worth [1] 135/13 would [271] wouldn't [11] 18/11 52/21 58/17 60/12 82/5 82/8 88/20 117/13 118/11 138/21 159/23 written [5] 2/12 52/16 125/22 150/24 151/16 wrong [9] 14/11 105/19 130/21 131/6 131/15 131/16 147/24 you're [13] 7/20 149/11 152/3 wrongdoing [4] 31/2 55/3 56/2 97/15 wrongly [1] 56/14 **Yard [1]** 140/9 **yeah [5]** 4/23 51/3 60/8 60/8 80/17 year [13] 2/23 2/25 5/1 8/2 11/14 30/24 30/24 41/7 44/6 44/6 44/11 44/11 140/23 years [12] 8/24 9/2 9/4 9/25 25/8 26/3 33/2 73/16 75/15 89/9 153/15 161/16 yes [119] 1/5 1/7 1/19 2/22 6/4 8/11 8/15 8/19 9/10 9/14 9/15 9/21 11/16 12/12

14/16 16/7 16/9 19/6 48/10 48/11 48/23 40/1 40/12 41/5 42/15 49/3 49/23 50/22

51/9 51/13 53/17 53/23 54/3 54/3 54/11 55/11 60/8 61/20 66/25 67/7 68/5 72/21 74/14 75/5 78/6 78/9 78/14 78/25 79/12 80/23 82/10 82/20 84/14 85/13 87/21 91/11 91/15 91/22 92/10 94/13 95/4 95/9 101/14 101/24 104/4 104/8 104/14 104/18 105/1 105/13 107/25 109/1 111/2 112/23 113/5 116/6 117/8 119/9 119/9 119/23 121/5 121/20 123/7 127/1 127/5 128/9 128/12 131/4 136/11 138/11 139/1 139/24 142/14 142/21 143/7 144/24 145/5 146/1 146/4 146/5 148/23 150/20 151/18 152/15 155/7 155/12 155/23 158/5 164/2 yet [1] 137/15 you [653] you'd [15] 35/3 37/23 yours [1] 158/15 37/25 38/1 38/2 42/15 yourself [11] 11/13 46/17 46/23 46/24 47/3 47/10 48/20 60/23 78/2 154/23 you'll [3] 48/21 48/22 104/1 35/22 35/23 39/20 45/16 46/8 57/22 103/16 120/20 139/17 **zoom [1]** 105/15 139/22 146/17 148/13 vou've [7] 2/23 79/14 131/3 131/8 132/24 142/19 147/15 younger [1] 47/15 youngest [1] 47/15 your [149] 1/10 1/14 1/23 2/1 2/10 2/17 2/17 7/25 8/1 8/12 9/12 9/16 10/3 10/25 12/16 14/9 16/12 16/16 20/19 20/24 21/22 22/15 22/20 22/23 24/14 24/15 25/2 25/23 26/11 27/10 28/24 29/14 29/19 31/14 31/14 33/3 33/6 33/7 34/7 36/7 36/10 37/7 39/4 39/19 40/19 40/21 40/24 41/1 42/4 42/9 44/19 45/20 46/10

48/14 49/9 50/11 51/2 50/25 52/6 52/10 53/10 53/18 54/14 58/2 58/7 58/12 61/14 61/22 62/15 62/17 62/19 62/25 63/20 72/13 75/3 76/19 78/10 78/18 79/14 80/3 80/5 82/16 82/21 83/2 85/21 88/10 90/9 90/23 91/14 92/1 92/19 93/23 94/15 95/6 96/4 96/6 99/2 99/12 100/22 101/4 101/17 101/19 104/24 105/5 105/5 112/21 115/9 116/23 119/10 120/1 121/1 121/7 124/24 125/1 125/3 127/6 127/7 128/14 129/13 130/16 131/14 131/25 132/7 132/18 132/25 138/7 138/10 142/15 143/8 144/10 145/15 146/1 146/7 146/10 147/7 147/14 148/6 148/7 148/19 149/8 149/20 150/15 151/19 152/17 161/10 162/24 163/22 39/24 41/20 76/16 81/21 81/25 107/12 120/6 121/6 129/22 130/5

zip [3] 81/23 85/14 86/13