

**From:** Rodric Williams [mailto: [REDACTED] GRO]  
**To:** "Parsons, Andrew" [mailto: [REDACTED] GRO]  
**Subject:** FW: Mediation Application - Decision on Mediation  
**Date:** Mon, 6 Oct 2014 16:25:52 +0000

**Importance:** Normal

**Attachments:** commments\_re\_Sr\_Anthony\_Hooper's\_letter..doc

**Inline-Images:** image001.jpg; image002.png; image003.png; image004.png; image005.png; image006.gif; image007.gif; image008.png

---

FYI - CK's views on Tony Hooper's decision for mediating M030 (MacDonald).

---

**From:** Simon Clarke [mailto: [REDACTED] GRO]  
**Sent:** 06 October 2014 17:06  
**To:** Rodric Williams; Jarnail Singh; Patrick Bourke  
**Cc:** Martin Smith  
**Subject:** RE: Mediation Application - Decision on Mediation

Rodric,

Herewith my thoughts on this subject.

All the best

S

Simon Clarke

[REDACTED] GRO  
[REDACTED] GRO  
Tel: [REDACTED] GRO

---

**From:** Martin Smith  
**Sent:** 06 October 2014 09:45  
**To:** Simon Clarke  
**Subject:** FW: Mediation Application - Decision on Mediation

Martin Smith

[REDACTED] GRO  
[REDACTED] GRO  
Direct: [REDACTED] GRO

---

**From:** Rodric Williams [mailto: [REDACTED] GRO]  
**Sent:** 03 October 2014 14:25  
**To:** Martin Smith

**Cc:** Harry Bowyer; Jarnail Singh; Patrick Bourke  
**Subject:** Mediation Application - Decision on Mediation

Martin,

Tony Hooper has sent us the attached document setting out why he thinks mediation is appropriate for Mediation Scheme Case M030. The Applicant in that case is Jacqueline MacDonald, former SPM of Broughton PO, who pleaded guilty to false accounting on 12 April 2010, and to theft charges on 8 November 2010.

Our response to Tony on this case is likely to be based around the scope of the scheme, i.e. it only looked at specific Horizon issues and not the prosecution (which would involve other considerations). Nevertheless, could you please comment on the points Tony makes about the prosecution, e.g. in para. 2 his "understanding" that there were "no other admissions of guilt" (his comment in para. 5 about what is or is not in the papers rather supports our point that the Scheme is not a criminal case review!).

Kind regards, Rodric

Rodric Williams | Litigation Lawyer



\*\*\*\*\*  
This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.

\*\*\*\*\*

\*\*\*\*\*  
This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.

\*\*\*\*\*