

Message

From: Tom Wechsler [GRO]
on behalf of Tom Wechsler [GRO]
Sent: 17/02/2015 18:34:55
To: Alasdair Marnoch [GRO]; Alice Perkins [GRO]
CC: Mark R Davies [GRO]; Belinda Crowe [GRO]; Jane MacLeod [GRO]; Jane Hill [GRO]; Callard Richard \\\(ShEx\\) [GRO]; Paula Vennells [GRO]; Chris Aujard [GRO]; Alwen Lyons [GRO]; Gavin Lambert [GRO]
Subject: RE: 2015 02 18 Sparrow papers
Attachments: Criminal Cases Review Commission.docx; Project Sparrow Sub ctte grid v3.docx

Colleagues

Please find attached a background note on the CCRC and a series of grids that seek to draw out and summarise some of the pros and cons of each option in the Committee paper.

I hope that this is helpful.

Tom

Tom Wechsler

[GRO]

-----Original Message-----

From: Tom Wechsler
Sent: 17 February 2015 09:43
To: 'Alasdair Marnoch'; Alice Perkins
Cc: Mark R Davies; Belinda Crowe; Jane MacLeod; Jane Hill; Callard Richard (ShEx)
[GRO]; Paula Vennells; Chris Aujard; Alwen Lyons; Gavin Lambert
Subject: RE: 2015 02 18 Sparrow papers

Thank you for the helpful feedback. We are working on further advice now.

In the meantime, please find Tony Hooper's original letter to Jo Swinson attached. Please also find below the link to the Select Ctte transcript for ease of reference.

<http://www.parliament.uk/business/committees/committees-a-z/commons-select/business-innovation-and-skills/inquiries/parliament-2010/post-office-mediation/>

Tom

Tom Wechsler

[GRO]

-----Original Message-----

From: Alasdair Marnoch [mailto:[GRO]]
Sent: 17 February 2015 09:15
To: Alice Perkins
Cc: Mark R Davies; Belinda Crowe; Jane MacLeod; Jane Hill; Callard Richard (ShEx)
[GRO]; Paula Vennells; Chris Aujard; Tom Wechsler; Alwen Lyons; Gavin Lambert
Subject: Re: 2015 02 18 Sparrow papers

Thanks Alice and will take a look.

On the paper I agree it would be helpful to layout the options. Also as we discussed at our last meeting I would be keen to see how we measure 'success' in terms of time, cost and impact and the relationship between the three. For example, as you suggest, can we speed progress even if it costs more and is that desirable? This should help reinforce our thinking on the preferred approach.

Look forward to the discussion

A

Sent from my iPhone

> On 17 Feb 2015, at 07:53, Alice Perkins [GRO] wrote:

>

>

> Many thanks for this paper.

> If Alasdair and Richard have not read seen the hearing or read the transcript of the Select C'tee hearings (a link already circulated to the Board I think), I suggest at least a skim before tomorrow to get the flavour of the debate.
> I think it would be helpful if the Sub-C'tee could see Sir AH's first letter to the Minister to which he refers in the attached letter.
> It would also be helpful to have a brief description of the role, powers and likely behaviour of the CCRC.
> We will of course, discuss all this tomorrow but in thinking about it, I am struck by how long it is estimated it would take the PO to mediate all these cases. Can we please see some additional advice on options and costs of speeding this up?
> And the more the team are ready to help us think through the likely consequences of the preferred option eg being seen as weak; and the likely reactions of the key parties, the better our discussion will be. Laying out the options in a grid which allows us to compare the pros and cons of each from eg, a cost, legal, media, and Minsiterial perspective would be helpful.
> Finally, we will need of course, to involve the full Board following tomorrow's meeting. I think everyone knows that but as the paper is silent on that point, I thought it worth making.
> I look forward to the opportunity of discussing all this.
> Alice

>
> From: Larissa Wilson [mailto:larissa.wilson@police.scot.nhs.uk] (GRO)
> Sent: 16 February 2015 10:30
> To: Mark R Davies; Belinda Crowe; Jane MacLeod; Jane Hill; Callard
> Richard (ShEx) (GRO); Paula Vennells;
> Alasdair Marnoch (GRO); Alice Perkins; Chris
> Aujard; Tom Wechsler; Alwen Lyons
> Cc: Diane Blanchard; Avene O'Farrell; Gavin Lambert; Amanda A Brown;
> Louise Chatfield
> Subject: 2015 02 18 Sparrow papers

> All

> Please find attached the papers ahead of the Sparrow meeting to be held 11am - 12noon on 18 February in Room 502. Dial in details are as follows:

>
> Freefone Number (GRO)
> Toll Number
> Chairperson passcode: (GRO) Paula or Alwen to open the call from Old Street)
> Participant passcode:

> Papers will also be available on BoardPad shortly.

> Kind regards

> Larissa

> [cid:image001.png@01D03C73.F54FFCF0]

> Larissa Wilson
> Company Secretarial Assistant

> 148 Old Street
> London
> EC1V 9HQ

(GRO)

> *****

> This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

> POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.

> *****

> Click here<<https://www.mailcontrol.com/sr/MZbqvYs5QWJvpeaetUwhCQ==>> to report this email as spam.

>
>
>
> This message has been scanned for malware by Websense.
> www.websense.com <image001.png>

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.
