

Message

From: Rodric Williams [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]
on behalf of Rodric Williams [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]
Sent: 07/01/2018 23:54:59
To: Paula Vennells [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]; Jane MacLeod [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]; Angela Van-Den-Bogerd [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]; Rob Houghton [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]; Mark R Davies [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]
CC: Ben Foat [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: Litigation- relevant tweet

Hi Paula - thank you for forwarding this, which was flagged to us in Legal.

Yes, we did place some restrictions on what Second Sight could say and do once their engagement with us had ended.

If challenged I suspect Ron Warmington would say that he was only commenting on the Intel matter, a public/non-Post Office specific matter. I will however check the wording of the restriction to see whether a "shot across the bow" is appropriate to remind him of his obligations.

Kind regards, Rod

From: Paula Vennells

Sent: 06 January 2018 22:05

To: Jane MacLeod [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]; Rodric Williams [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]; Angela Van-Den-Bogerd [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]; Rob Houghton [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]; Mark R Davies [REDACTED] GRO [REDACTED]
Subject: Litigation- relevant tweet

This tweet by Ron Warmington could be of use or even significant. He is one of the two partners from Second Sight who will I expect be supporting Freeths? He hasn't tweeted me before that I've noticed.

(Rod - weren't they prevented from involving themselves in any related matters, ie., in the terms of the contract they had with us?)

Mark - was this picked up by our SM team? It's important that all Sparrow links are fed through to legal.

<https://twitter.com/warmingtonrjw/status/948857430758313985>

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)