

## Message

**From:** Ben Foat [GRO]  
**Sent:** 04/11/2019 13:51:12  
**To:** Andrew Parsons [GRO]; Rodric Williams [GRO]  
**CC:** Emanuel, Catherine [GRO]; Lerner, Alex [GRO]; Watts, Alan [GRO]; Jonathan Gribben [GRO]; Michael Wharton [GRO]  
**Subject:** RE: KEL audit [WBDUK-AC.FID26896945]

Thanks Andy – understood and agree with the legal requirement to advise the other side. I do think it should be presented on the basis that POL view is that it shouldn't delay judgment but obviously we wanted to be transparent with the claimants and the court given the incident.

Rod – can you update this in the note to Board.



**Ben Foat**  
 Group General Counsel  
 Ground Floor  
 20 Finsbury Street  
 LONDON  
 EC2Y 9AQ

Mobile: [GRO]

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: Finsbury Dials, 20 Finsbury Street, London, EC2Y 9AQ.

**From:** Andrew Parsons [mailto:[GRO]]  
**Sent:** 04 November 2019 13:15  
**To:** Rodric Williams [GRO]; Ben Foat [GRO]  
**Cc:** Emanuel, Catherine [GRO]; Lerner, Alex [GRO]; Watts, Alan [GRO]; Jonathan Gribben [GRO]; Michael Wharton [GRO]  
**Subject:** KEL audit [WBDUK-AC.FID26896945]

Rod, Ben

Please find below our advice on the KEL audit, which in summary is that the attached letter should be sent to the Claimants and copied to the Court.

1. A&M's investigations are nearly complete. They have verbally confirmed that FJ has given complete disclosure of the KELs, save for 12 KELs that should have possibly been disclosed in earlier rounds of disclosure but which fell outside of FJ's searches. This is only a possibility at this stage and this point should be bottomed out today. They currently have a high degree of confidence that this is the full extent of any non-disclosure of KELs that PO was required to give. (Please note – there are many non-disclosed KELs that were created after December 2018 but the Cs were always aware of this during the trial. Ultimately, the parties had to draw a line somewhere on the KEL disclosure otherwise rolling disclosure would be continuously given as new KELs are produced weekly). A&M's investigations should be completed today and their written report should be available early next week.
2. As there is a possibility that further disclosure (albeit very limited) may be required and as the judgment is imminent, Tony, HSF and WBD all agree that PO should notify the Cs and the Court that A&M are undertaking an

audit. Our proposed draft letter is attached. This letter invites the Cs to express a view on whether the judgment should be delayed and states that PO is agnostic on this question. This has been crafted so to avoid the allegation that PO is looking to delay the judgment.

3. Thought has been given to indicating to the Cs that the outcome of A&M's review is likely to yield only a handful of additional KELs so to demystify the work being done. However, given the risks of misinformation on KELs in the past, we believe it better to keep silent on this. This may then provoke the Cs to respond saying that they cannot possibly know whether the judgment should be delayed without more information. That scenario presents two risks:
  - a. It leads to a chain of enquiry that ultimately results in A&M's final report being disclosed, when we may prefer to keep the report confidential as it will not be giving PO a perfectly clean bill of health.
  - b. This may play out in front of the Judge who could be irritated by what he sees as the parties squabbling.

Despite this risk, we still believe that it is correct to notify the Claimants and the Court of the A&M audit.

4. A&M's enquiries have crept beyond their original scope of work which was to determine if all available KELs have been extracted and disclosed. They have started to explore the scale of historically deleted KELs, which by its very nature does not concern the completeness of the disclosure of available documents because it is looking at deleted documents that are not available. The Cs were already aware (before the trial) that these deletions have happened and that the disclosed KELs are not a complete set. A&M's work goes one step further and looks at how many KELs have been irretrievably deleted over the years. We are concerned however that this work might show that there are very large numbers of deleted KELs which could undermine the sampling exercise undertaken by the experts that broadly showed only a small number of problems in Horizon. We have therefore asked A&M to consider whether this work is necessary for them to answer their instructions and, if not, that they should stop this work.

I should be grateful for your instructions as soon as possible that the attached letter may be sent.

Kind regards  
Andy

**Andrew Parsons**  
Partner  
Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP



[Sign up for legal updates, e-newsletters and event invitations](#)



womblebond Dickinson.com



Please consider the environment! Do you need to print this email?

---

The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. ben.foal GRO only is authorised to access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not ben.foal GRO please notify andrew.parsons GRO as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this communication or attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful. Information about how we use personal data is in our [Privacy Policy](#) on our website.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses and you should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment.

Content of this email which does not relate to the official business of Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP, is neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email is sent by Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP which is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC317661. Our registered office is 4 More London Riverside, London, SE1 2AU, where a list of members' names is open to inspection. We use the term partner to refer to a member of the LLP, or an employee or consultant who is of equivalent standing. Our VAT registration number is GB123393627.

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP is a member of Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited, which consists of independent and autonomous law firms providing services in the US, the UK, and elsewhere around the world. Each Womble Bond Dickinson entity is a separate legal entity and is not responsible for the acts or omissions of, nor can bind or obligate, another Womble Bond Dickinson entity. Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited does not practice law. Please see [www.wombledickinson.com/legal](http://www.wombledickinson.com/legal) notices for further details.

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.

\*\*\*\*\*

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: Finsbury Dials, 20 Finsbury Street, London EC2Y 9AQ.

\*\*\*\*\*

“Post Office Limited is committed to protecting your privacy. Information about how we do this can be found on our website at [www.postoffice.co.uk/privacy](http://www.postoffice.co.uk/privacy)”