

From: Alisdair Cameron [REDACTED] **GRO**
Sent: Sun 12/05/2019 10:06:37 AM (UTC)
To: Thomas Cooper [REDACTED] **GRO**; Tim Parker [REDACTED] **GRO**
Shirine Khoury-Haq [REDACTED] **GRO**; Ken
McCall1 [REDACTED] **GRO**; Tim.Franklin [REDACTED] **GRO**; Carla
Stent1 [REDACTED] **GRO**; Ben Foat [REDACTED] **GRO**; Mark R
Davies [REDACTED] **GRO**; Watts, Alan [REDACTED] **GRO**; Massey,
Kirsten [REDACTED] **GRO**
Subject: RE: Post Office trial

Thanks all. A couple of conclusions.

1. I find this entirely unsurprising. The recusal was always a longshot.
2. We were sensible to ignore the advice to co-join the appeals.
3. The urgent question is whether we further narrow the appeal on the judgement to pure points of legal interpretation of the contract. The reality is that most of the findings of fact are nothing of the sort, they are arguments that facts were heard out of sequence or without evidence from us – in other words, unfair handling. Alan, we need to debate this tomorrow and circulate a note to the sub committee with recommendations as soon as possible. I completely support the independent review as discussed last week.
4. The next question is who leads the appeal.
5. Then, which we will discuss at May Board, is how we prepare for a very nasty Horizon verdict.

AI



**AI Cameron
Interim Chief Executive**

20 Finsbury Street
London
EC2Y 9AQ

[REDACTED] **GRO**

From: Thomas Cooper [REDACTED] **GRO**
Sent: 12 May 2019 08:01
To: Tim Parker [REDACTED] **GRO**; Alisdair Cameron [REDACTED] **GRO**; Shirine
Khoury-Haq [REDACTED] **GRO**; Ken McCall [REDACTED] **GRO**; Tim.Franklin [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] **GRO**; Carla Stent [REDACTED] **GRO**; Ben Foat [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] **GRO**; Mark R Davies [REDACTED] **GRO**; Watts, Alan [REDACTED] **GRO**
Massey, Kirsten [REDACTED] **GRO**
Subject: Post Office trial

Worth reading this piece as a summary of the ruling.

Alan - how do we actually change the tone here? In particular, are there changes to the appeal that need to be made - as currently framed are we just lining ourselves up for more criticism? I'm particularly concerned about the approach taken to the "findings of fact" that we're so critical of, but so far the judges involved have taken the other side's view.

Tom

[Get Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Cooper, Tom - UKGI GRO

Sent: Sunday, May 12, 2019 7:43 am

To: Thomas Cooper

Subject: FW: Official Sensitive and Legally Privileged: Update on Post Office Appeal Strategy

https://www.postofficetrial.com/2019/05/j-fraser-is-going-nowhere.html?utm_source=newsletter&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=court_of_appeal_gives_post_office_the_bird&utm_term=2019-05-11&m=1

This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom they are addressed. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this email in error, please notify the sender and delete the email. This footnote also confirms that our email communications may be monitored to ensure the secure and effective operation of our systems and for other lawful purposes, and that this email has been swept for malware and viruses.