

**Andrea Gignac**

---

**To:** Rachael Panter  
**Subject:** FW: Horizon issues URGENT

---

**From:** martin smith  
**Sent:** 26 March 2013 15:24  
**To:** 'Jarnail A Singh'  
**Subject:** RE: Horizon issues URGENT

Jarnail,

Thanks for your e-mail.

1. If applications were made to adjourn all existing cases until after the report becomes available, this would result in a "nightmare" situation. The fact that applications had been made would send out the wrong message and it would be assumed by many that POL had found and was trying to resolve a problem with the Horizon system. Whilst it could be made known that no problems had been found by POL, it is unlikely that defendants would believe such a statement. I would expect there to be numerous applications for disclosure and extra hearings (and extra cost).
2. In a significant number of cases, admissions are made in interview and no issues are raised with regard to the integrity of the Horizon system. POL would be open to criticism if the prosecution of these cases should be delayed. Similarly there could also be adverse judicial comment if the prosecutions in cases in which no admissions have been made were delayed.
3. The best approach in my view is to treat each case individually. The prosecution can commence in the usual way and upon receipt of the Defence Case Statement, any challenge to the integrity of the Horizon system can be considered. Of course the first time we may get to know of a "challenge" may be in the DCS. If a "real" issue is raised, the case could be adjourned until after the report becomes available. The defence could apply for the adj and we would not object. If, however, the challenge raised in the DCS is flawed, has no basis or foundation, or relevance and is clearly just an attempt to frustrate the prosecution, the case can continue in the usual way.


Please do not hesitate to call me if you have any questions or wish to discuss any aspects of this further,

Kind regards,

Martin.

**From:** Jarnail A Singh [GRO]  
**Sent:** 26 March 2013 14:18  
**To:** Andy Cash; martin smith  
**Subject:** FW: Horizon issues URGENT

Jarnail Singh I Criminal Lawyer



148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

**GRO** Postline: **GRO**  
**GRO** Mobex:

[Jarnail.a.singh@postoffice.co.uk](mailto:Jarnail.a.singh@postoffice.co.uk) **GRO**

[Post Office stories](#)

[@postofficenews](#)


POST OFFICE

**From:** Jarnail A Singh  
**Sent:** 26 March 2013 13:31  
**To:** 'Andy Cash'  
**Cc:** martin smith  
**Subject:** Horizon issues URGENT

Andy

May I have your view on . Why POL cannot simply stay and hold fire in prosecutions where there has been alleged Horizon issues Yes or no .Can we stay some or is it all or nothing?

Jarnail Singh I Criminal Lawyer



148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

**GRO** Postline: **GRO**  
**GRO** Mobex:

[Jarnail.a.singh@postoffice.co.uk](mailto:Jarnail.a.singh@postoffice.co.uk) **GRO**

[Post Office stories](#)

[@postofficenews](#)

POST OFFICE

\*\*\*\*\*  
This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET,  
LONDON EC1V 9HQ.

\*\*\*\*\*