

1.4.2014

CJB, JS.

Candyn Lee Lesley
Rod Willions
David Ormer
Belinda Cane

Want to cut down the scheme attendees doc to a
page and copy note in much shorter form.

Note on the format and scope of a Review of Horizon:

Angele suggested that we could get external
validation of the fact file done.

Rijitun = relevant on Rijitun = reg respects.
Rijitun should do a description. do a written
description and get it from Rijitun.

Exercise to do with Rijitun.

Do an outline & explanation of the system.

Do it as it is working properly and normally.

Rijitun & Imperial College - the reg be done with
along those lines already.

We don't want it to be huge.

There is a question over what and how we would use the
output.

Doing a Report for courts would be a different exercise.

All depends on the use to which you want to put the report.

Primary questions re whether it is going to be taken forward. Q for the board - cognate with the way in which it works to do the scheme. Interrelated questions -

What might be the scope of the work.

Scope work for expert W - entire scope?

Driven by the criminal prosecutors' view of what they wanted to establish. It is not be realistic - involve Warriner into 2 parts. Core coding of the transaction. Looked at data integrity for end user.

To scope what they need for the by piece I'd need to kick the tyres and work out what the system looks like generally.

Assurance project - scoping exercise. Board not sure of the precise requirements - just want something which is good enough. Helpful to pick up on any basic points but second sight raise. Good to have it done quickly and to be cost effective.

The board is not speaking with one voice as to what assurance looks like.

reticently threshold for auditors £600,000.
Quite low.

likely to bulk to delimites.

WNG need also do it. Lesley to talk to them.
E+Y are the auditors.

make sure that that is a privileged piece of work.
Take it out of the scope of FOL as well.

Pick out the guts from the bones identified by Second Sight.

- what are their key themes? Air gap, processing
half a transaction, link to other systems,
third party interaction.

Rijitankanta help. Need to be clear with Rijitankanta what we are asking WNG to do.

need to be clear as to the scope & the work.

Post office to meet WNG or Deloitte

What does the work look like? Too early to say.

medichia - timetable pushed out - Reshik review pushed out.

Can we persuade the Chairman & the working Group that we need to replace Second Sight.

We said we would consider alternatives.

Ray thinks that the political situation is such that we can't abandon the scheme in its entirety. Fair enough.

Should we have warned E fraud via SPML? If there is a moral pattern & trading did we know about?

July 2013 Report. Project Nordic Report.
Case Report on individual cases. Spot Reviews.

Generally think that second sight are incapable of
dealing with this.

We have an articulated complaint from a SPHR.

We have had a go at repaying.

Does second sight need to

modifying expectations letter

Still fluid.

Current thinking of board: streamline scheme, bring
in Louise, get rid of working Group
bring in Louise -

24th Feb meeting

3 - timing - but we will do a pilot job.

Reg produced no reports. Where is Ray Hooper now?

Second sign missed deadlines.