

From: Carolyn Low { **GRO** }
Sent: 02 April 2014 16:16
To: Swil, Jonathan
Cc: Band, Christa
Subject: RE: Post Office

Thanks Jonathan

My initial brief was to prepare a summary of your doc – hence the reference. I am now in the process of fundamental redraft which uses this as a basis.

I will be circulating a draft of this document close of play, and would appreciate it if you could provide any comment / additions to pros, cons and risks identified in particular.

Kind regards
Carolyn

From: Swil, Jonathan [mailto:] { **GRO** }
Sent: Wed 02 April 2014 16:12
To: Carolyn Low
Cc: Band, Christa
Subject: RE: Post Office
Importance: High

Carolyn

Thanks for this. I attach some suggested amendments in manuscript. We have also inserted some wording covering the "fairness" point on pages 4-5. Christa has been out of the office today as she is travelling for the rest of the week, so she has not been able to make specific comments on the slides.

We had one general comment as to presentation. The slides are currently presented as a summary of our paper. While in some respects that is the case, we think the slides should be presented as your/ the executive's work. While we are happy for the slides and/or any covering communication to say they draw from our paper, we are less comfortable with them simply being presented as a summary of our work. We are not qualified/ in a position to advise holistically on the next steps and recommendations for dealing with the scheme; it seems to us our advice is and should only be one of several sources of input for coming up with next steps and recommendations (e.g. the PR, commercial and political issues are not, aside from their interaction with legal issues, things on which we can sensibly advise). In particular and in any event, the mitigation column in the risk table doesn't appear to have come from our paper (or at least not directly from it).

Do let me know if you have any questions about our comments on the slides or the point above.

Many thanks

Jonathan

From: Carolyn Low [mailto:] { **GRO** }
Sent: 01 April 2014 23:07
To: Swil, Jonathan
Cc: Band, Christa
Subject: RE: Post Office

Thanks Jonathan.

Please find attached the first iteration of the options paper. I will speak to you tomorrow,

Kind regards
Carolyn

From: Swil, Jonathan [mailto:] GRO
Sent: Tue 01 April 2014 19:14
To: Carolyn Low
Cc: Band, Christa
Subject: Post Office

Carolyn

My details are below and Christa is copied.

Kind regards

Jonathan Swil
Managing Associate (Solicitor, New South Wales)
Linklaters LLP, London

Tel: GRO
Fax: GRO

GRO
<http://www.linklaters.com>

Any business communication, sent by or on behalf of Linklaters LLP or one of its affiliated firms or other entities (together "Linklaters"), is confidential and may be privileged or otherwise protected. If you receive it in error please inform us and then delete it from your system. You should not copy it or disclose its contents to anyone. Messages sent to and from Linklaters may be monitored to ensure compliance with internal policies and to protect our business. Emails are not secure and cannot be guaranteed to be error free. Anyone who communicates with us by email is taken to accept these risks. Linklaters LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC326345. It is a law firm authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority (www.sra.org.uk). The term partner in relation to Linklaters LLP is used to refer to a member of Linklaters LLP or an employee or consultant of Linklaters LLP or any of its affiliated firms or entities with equivalent standing and qualifications. Please refer to www.linklaters.com/regulation for important information on our regulatory position. A list of Linklaters LLP members together with a list of those non-members who are designated as partners and their professional qualifications, may be inspected at our registered office, One Silk Street, London EC2Y 8HQ and such persons are either solicitors, registered foreign lawyers or European lawyers.