

Message

**From:** Andy Hayward [GRO]  
**Sent:** 30/03/2010 16:04:00  
**To:** Robert Daily [GRO]  
**CC:** Andrew Daley [GRO]  
**Subject:** Re: REGINA v PETER ANTHONY HOLMES CASE NO: POLTD/0809/0128 14th May 2010 for Confiscation proceedings.

Robert,  
I would guess that the Contracts team be his first port of call as they are his primary contact. Any details/info required thereafter will be passed to us if and as required.  
Rgds,

Andy

Robert Daily

**Robert Daily**  
30/03/2010 12:58

To: Andy Hayward/[GRO]  
cc: Andrew Daley/[GRO] Ged Harbinson/[GRO]  
Subject: Re: REGINA v PETER ANTHONY HOLMES CASE NO: POLTD/0809/0128 14th May 2010 for

Confiscation proceedings.

Andy

Mr Khanna is already repaying the money at £1300 per month from his remuneration.

I expect he will be in contact regarding the decision not to proceed with confiscation on our part. If push comes to shove and he wishes to go further than myself, can you advise who he should be directed to.

Regards

*Robert*

**Fraud Investigator – Fraud Strand**

Post Office Ltd Security Team, Security Room, Glasgow Mail Centre, 20 Turner Road, Glasgow, G21 1AA

**GRO** **Mobile:** **GRO** **Mobex:** **GRO** **robert.daily** **GRO**



Please consider the environment before printing this email

Andy Hayward

**Andy Hayward**  
30/03/2010 12:18

To: Ged Harbinson/[GRO]  
cc: Robert Daily/[GRO] Andrew Daley/[GRO]  
Subject: Re: REGINA v PETER ANTHONY HOLMES

CASE NO: POLTD/0809/0128 14th May 2010 for

Confiscation proceedings.



Ged,  
following our discussion thanks for this.

Andrew/Robert,  
given that we will now be seeking recovery via the spmr can you ensure you liaise with the Contracts team as there may be obvious impact/ repercussions.  
Cheers,

Andy

Ged Harbinson

---

**Ged Harbinson** To: Jennifer Andrews/ [GRO]  
cc: Andy Hayward/ [GRO] Robert  
30/03/2010 Daily/ [GRO]  
11:55 Subject: REGINA v PETER ANTHONY HOLMES CASE NO:  
POLTD/0809/0128 14th May 2010 for Confiscation proceedings.

Jenny

I have reviewed this case and find that we have dropped the theft charge and successfully prosecuted the 3 counts of false accounting. As this defendant is a sub-postmasters assistant (office manager) and his contract is with the sub-postmaster and not us I find it difficult to quantify the benefit figure in this case. I believe that we should withdraw from the confiscation process and that recovery for POLTD should be through the sub-postmasters contract and for the sub-postmaster through the civil courts for compensation based on any contract that they believe is enforceable.

Please withdraw from the confiscation process.

Regards

*Ged*

---

**Accredited Financial Investigation Manager – ‘taking the cash out of crime’**

Post Office Ltd Fraud Strand, PO BOX 1, Croydon, CR9 1WN

**GRO** Post-line: **GRO**  
 Mobex: **GRO**  
 Fax: *ged.harbinson* **GRO**

