
From: Susan Crichton [/O=MMS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SUSAN CRICHTONC5FA6431-DC28-49AB-8F0F-BE4237A4AD4F]
Sent: Sun 21/07/2013 4:26:19 PM (UTC)
To: Rodric Williams [GRO]; 'martin.smith' [GRO]; Hugh Flemington [GRO]; Mark R Davies [GRO]
Cc: Jarnail A Singh [GRO]; 'andrew.parsons' [GRO]
Subject: RE: Kevan Jones MP and the Thomas Brown case

Mark – this is case that was raised by Kevan Jones in the House, for a number of reasons, which I am happy to chat through we have decided to discontinue this prosecution, could you look at the wording suggested by our legal team and confirm that this is acceptable with Rod's addition below. We need to do this on Monday.

Thanks

Susan

From: Rodric Williams
Sent: 18 July 2013 09:58
To: 'martin.smith' [GRO]; Susan Crichton; Hugh Flemington
Cc: Jarnail A Singh; 'andrew.parsons' [GRO]
Subject: Re: Kevan Jones MP and the Thomas Brown case

Thanks Martin.

Given the size of the shortfall, any response should also reserve POL's rights with respect to civil recovery of the £85k, e.g.

.. there still remains an 85k loss at Mr. Brown's Post Office which remains unexplained by him, AS TO WHICH POL RESERVES ALL OF ITS RIGHTS."

Rodric

From: martin smith [GRO]
Sent: Thursday, July 18, 2013 07:24 AM
To: Susan Crichton; Hugh Flemington
Cc: Rodric Williams; Jarnail A Singh
Subject: Kevan Jones MP and the Thomas Brown case

Susan,

Further to our tele-con yesterday, please find attached the e-mail chain.

We would suggest a response along the following lines:-

The Post Office are currently engaged in a review of all of those cases presently being prosecuted. One element of the review involves the continuing application to each case of the Code for Crown Prosecutors. That Code requires both an evidential test and a public interest test be applied in each case. The Code also requires the prosecution to keep under review whether or not that test

continues to be met in any individual case.

As part of the review process examining all of the cases presently under prosecution, POL has determined that in this specific case it is no longer in the public interest to prosecute. Having said that there still remains an 85k loss at Mr. Brown's Post Office which remains unexplained by him.

Martin.

Martin Smith

martin.smith@

martin.smith@

Direct:

GRO

GRO

CartwrightKing
S O L I C I T O R S

Nottingham | Birmingham | Derby | Leicester | Sheffield | Newcastle Gateshead

Majority House, 51 Lodge Lane, Derby, DE1 3HB

www.cartwrightking.co.uk <https://twitter.com/cartwrightking>

<http://www.linkedin.com/company/cartwrightking>



This message is confidential and may contain legally privileged information. If you have received this in error please delete this message and let us know by email or telephone.

A list of directors is available at each office. Authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority No:312459. VAT Registration No: 737837295.

Save a tree - and only print emails that you have to.