



Telephone attendance

Client: Royal Mail Group PLC Sub Postmaster Litigation

Matter: Mr Lee Castleton

Matter no: 348035.134

Attending:

Name: Stephen Dilley

Location: N/A

Date: 17 November 2005

Start time:

Units:

SJD3 having a telephone conversation with Mandy Talbot in the early afternoon – returning her call to me. She explained that if the Post Office bring a prosecution usually this goes to Graham Wood for analysis. However, this has not happened in this case and she was trying to get somebody at the Post Office to identify all the data. She said that there are call logs from the Horizon Helpline which indicates every sort of all to the Post Office from the Marine Drive Sub-Post Office to raise any sort of problems with Horizon. She will forward these to me so that I can identify what the calls were about because these may help.

She also mentioned somebody called David Hulbert, a Services Manager (Tel: GRO) GRO He believes that it may be possible to recover the complaints that other Sub-Post Offices have made about Horizon, but it would take someone several days to gather all this information. Anyway, she suggested I contact him about this.

Generally, in relation to the case, I reminded that we had filed the Reply to Defence and Defence to Counterclaim now and that we were applying to retrospectively extend the time to file that Reply and in case Default Judgment had been entered, to set any Default Judgment aside. She said that she thought her and I had got the sharp end of the case, as the matter had been transferred from Chesterfield to her. I said that we would be obviously doing all that we could to get the time extension, set aside any Default Judgment if entered (and it did look like a judgment had been mistakenly entered against Mr Castleton) and, as instructed, seek to persuade the other side to mediate.

Time engaged: 12 minutes