

Mr. LEE CASTLETON,

GRO

20th DECEMBER 2006.

HUT HAVERY QC,

REF. POST OFFICE v. CASTLETON HQ 05X02706

THE CLAIMANT HAS YET TO DISCLOSE THE DOCUMENT IN QUESTION. I, HAVING NEVER SEEN THE DOCUMENT, AM UNABLE TO THEREFORE EVEN CONSIDER ANY IMPACT FROM THIS DOCUMENT UPON EITHER THE CLAIMANT'S CASE OR INDEED MY OWN CASE.

MRS ANN CHAMBERS (WITNESS FOR CLAIMANT) CLAIMED TO HAVE LOOKED AT THE DOCUMENT. MRS CHAMBERS TOLD THE COURT THAT THIS DOCUMENT SHOWED THAT THE ERRORS ON MARINE DRIVES' HORIZON WERE DIFFERENT TO THOSE FOUND ON MR ALAN BROWN'S HORIZON. MRS CHAMBERS WENT ON TO SAY THE ERRORS ON MARINE DRIVES' SOFTWARE WERE FOUND TO BE BENIGN AND IN HER OPINION WOULD NOT HAVE EFFECTED THE MARINE DRIVE BRANCH ACCOUNTS.

YET I BELIEVE, HAVING PROVEN, THE HORIZON SYSTEM AT MARINE DRIVE BRANCH SHOWED TRANSACTIONS THAT ANDREW WISE (WITNESS FOR CLAIMANT) EXPLAINED TO THE COURT WERE IMPOSSIBLE TO HAVE TAKEN PLACE. THIS WAS BECAUSE THE PERSON THE COMPUTER SHOWED MAKING THOSE TRANSACTIONS WAS NOT EVEN LOGGED ON TO THAT COMPUTER.

I ALSO SHOWED THAT A STAMP DECLARATION AND A CASH DECLARATION HAD BEEN ZERO, YET THE CORRECT VALUE IS SHOWN ON THE CASH ACCOUNT. ALSO I SHOWED HOW THE HORIZON SYSTEM SHOWED TWO DIFFERENT VALUES OF CHECKS FROM THE SAME SYSTEM.

I THEREFORE BELIEVE THAT THE VERY EXISTENCE OF SOFTWARE ERRORS CAN ONLY ADD MORE WEIGHT TO MY CASE.

yours faithfully,

GRO