

Nicola McSherry

From: Pinder Brian [mailto: [REDACTED] GRO]
Sent: 21 December 2006 16:06
To: Stephen Dilley; Chambers Anne O
Cc: Sewell Peter (FEL01)
Subject: RE: Request for NT Events for Marine Drive

This is correct

Regds Brian

From: Stephen Dilley [mailto: [REDACTED] GRO]
Sent: 21 December 2006 15:51
To: Pinder Brian; Chambers Anne O
Cc: Sewell Peter (FEL01)
Subject: RE: Request for NT Events for Marine Drive

Thanks Brian.

Would it be fair to say that "although the formatting is slightly different from how Anne Chambers would have seen it because it is extracted from the computer archive, the substance is identical"

I look forward to hearing from you as soon as possible today.

Stephen Dilley
Solicitor
for and on behalf of Bond Pearce LLP
DDI: [REDACTED] GRO
Main office phone: [REDACTED] GRO
Fax: [REDACTED] GRO
www.bondpearce.com

From: Pinder Brian [mailto: [REDACTED] GRO]
Sent: 21 December 2006 15:43
To: Stephen Dilley
Cc: Sewell Peter (FEL01)
Subject: FW: Request for NT Events for Marine Drive

Stephen (Update from phone call)

As usual nothing is so simple.....I wish it were, and having made a few more enquiries I am advised of the following;

The assumption is not correct Mr Castleton could not see the data **in the format in which Ann viewed it.**

When she looked at the event data in 2004, it was held on the live Tivoli database and she would have viewed it through a web interface to that database. This data is only maintained on this database for about three weeks, for any older events, the source of data is the Audit servers.

Mr Castleton would only be able to view the data **in its raw form as retained on the Audit servers, not in the manner in which she originally viewed it.**

It was in the raw format that she viewed the data (for 1st April 2004 ONLY) in the run up to the trial (data

provided by Penny). From this raw data (which contains events for the whole estate) she then extracted and viewed those relating to FAD 213337 (his branch) using a text viewer called Textpad.

Hope this is helpful, also file attached.

Regds Brian

From: Stephen Dilley [mailto: [REDACTED] **GRO**]
Sent: 21 December 2006 13:51
To: Pinder Brian
Cc: Sewell Peter (FEL01); graham.c.ward [REDACTED] **GRO**
Subject: RE: Request for NT Events for Marine Drive

Yes please Brian.

I want to be able to go back to him and invite him to make an appointment at Fujitsu if he want so look at how it appeared on screen. I don't anticipate he will do that, but we need to be seen to being helpful.

Would it be accurate to say that the difference between the spreadsheet you emailed me and the one Anne read is simply in the formatting?

Stephen

The information in this e-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. The intended recipient only is authorised to access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this communication is prohibited.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. You should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment. Bond Pearce LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses.

Bond Pearce LLP is a Limited Liability Partnership registered in England and Wales number OC311430.

Registered Office: 3 Temple Quay, Temple Back East, Bristol, BS1 6DZ.

A list of Members is available from our registered office. Any reference to a Partner in relation to Bond Pearce LLP means a Member of Bond Pearce LLP. Bond Pearce LLP is regulated by the Law Society.