
From: David Neuberger [GRO]
Sent: Tue 09/04/2019 3:56:20 PM (UTC)
To: Anthony Grabiner [GRO]
Subject: DEN 11 RE: Post Office

A bit rich I agree.
David

-----Original Message-----

From: Anthony Grabiner [GRO]
Sent: 09 April 2019 16:53
To: David Neuberger [GRO]
Cc: David Cavender [GRO]; Gideon Cohen [GRO]
Subject: Re: Post Office

David,

On the waiver he says: 'It might be thought the PO took its chance on how the evidence of fact in Horizon unfolded ... before issuing the recusal application.' Last sentence para 72.

That is truly outrageous. It's not a finding. It's an offensive speculation which he never put to me in argument. The few days between getting the draft judgment and deciding to apply were spent with you, the board of the client and then me.

This fellow can't help himself.

T

Lord Grabiner QC
One Essex Court
Temple
London, EC4Y 9AR

GRO

> On 9 Apr 2019, at 15:10, David Neuberger [GRO] wrote:

>
> Dear Tony,
>
> Sounds like par for the course.
> [GRO] but will be in chambers tomorrow.

> Best,
> David

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Anthony Grabiner [GRO]
> Sent: 09 April 2019 15:09
> To: David Neuberger [GRO]
> Subject: Post Office

> Dear David,

> As predicted Fraser J rejected the application and refused permission to appeal. He's also directed that the balance of the fact evidence in the Horizon trial should immediately proceed.

> He's produced a 302 paragraph judgment which at first glance just confirms our concern that he's not fit to do the job. I've got a hard copy for you. Are you in Chambers?

>
> Tony
>

- > Lord Grabiner QC
- > One Essex Court
- > Temple
- > London, EC4Y 9AR
- >

GRO