

To: Holmes Jan R[REDACTED] **GRO**
From: Sewell Peter (FEL01)[/O=ICL/OU=UKSOUTH FEL01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SEWELLP]
Sent: Fri 15/08/2003 10:09:34 AM (UTC)
Subject: FW: Cleveleys

Jan

PO require a statement from us to confirm that there is no transaction data that now exists on equipment in the CLeveleys PO.for the period between instalation and November 2000. Do you want to deal with this.

rgds Pete

-----Original Message-----

From: kevin.parkin[REDACTED] **GRO**
GRO
Sent: 08 August 2003 15:06
To: peter.sewell[REDACTED] **GRO**
Subject: Re: Cleveleys

To see the associated which related to a call I gave you today at approx 14:00hrs.

Would you be in a position to provide a statement as required at paragraph 3 below.
Whilst I can do it on behalf of POL I fear that as Mrs Wolstenholme has a claim against the post office she is unlikely to take my word for it.

Please contact me to discuss.

Kevin Parkin
Implementation Area Manager
Post Office Ltd
IT

GRO Leeds CDO. **GRO**
Phone **GRO**
Mobile **GRO**
External Email: kevin.parkin[REDACTED] **GRO**

----- Forwarded by Kevin Parkin/e/POSTOFFICE on 08/08/2003 14:02 -----

----->
| Jim Cruise |
| |
| 07/08/2003 |
| 10:15 |
| |
----->
>----->
| To: Kevin Parkin/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE |
| cc: susanne.helliwell[REDACTED] **GRO** |
| Subject: Re: Cleveleys(Document link: Kevin Parkin) |
>----->

As you know, there is a court order that a computer expert be instructed to look at the losses at this office and whether they were caused by the Horizon equipment as Mrs Wolstenholme claims. She will not release the equipment because she believes that the expert needs to examine it to produce his report. In fact, as we know he will not be able to glean anything from inspecting the equipment. She is willing to release all the other equipment mentioned in Lorna Tatchell's email to Alan Hudson of 15/7/03 after a visit was made to the PO on that date. I understand that Alan Hudson will not be taking any action over the removal until the horizon equipment matter is sorted out.

Mrs Wolstenholme is already complaining that the general equipment, other than the Horizon equipment, has not been removed and I would advise that if possible all the other equipment should be removed as soon as possible. All the POL equipment should

be removed if it is no longer of any practical use elsewhere. If any POL equipment is left there we are likely to get a claim from Mrs. Wolstenholme for rent/storage of the equipment left.

As far as the Horizon computer equipment is concerned in view of the court order I think it will be necessary to make an application to the court and to produce evidence in the form of a witness statement about the Horizon equipment and what it contains (or doesn't) and give Mrs W. a chance to object and ask the court to release the equipment at this stage. I think the witness statement can be drawn up in your name and signed by you if you agree.

-----+----->	
	Kevin Parkin
	06/08/2003
	11:35
-----+----->	
>-----+-----	
	To: Jim Cruise/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE
	cc: Alan W Hudson/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE
	Subject: Cleveleys
>-----+-----	

Do you have an update on the situation with Cleveleys?

Kev

Kevin Parkin
Implementation Area Manager
Post Office Ltd
IT

GRO	Leeds CDO	GRO
Phone	GRO	
Mobile		
External Email:	GRO	

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and then delete this email from your system.