

Project Run Two

? Dig → into the whole
 of project matter.
 → prompts → limited in weight
 → ask her for what she is as much as
 what is relevant
 → if not, weight shared
 to which is relevant

- Should I ask JD to see all of her notes?

- Reluctant witnesses

- Notes of witness interview → identified who to report
- Maximalisation / circulation of draft report
- How far do I go if other behaviour comes to light?

↳ Part

- Maximalisation / draft report → mix this with 15h

- No evidence / particulars to put to Chair

- separate investigation

- Recommendation that wider issues not be been probed.

Not enough info because witnesses.

- Put it to him or discuss it in the report

Documents & provide NR

- letter of 11 November + response of 21 April

He was cross, he had not been given any docs

- NR interview → documents → copy in JB, SG → older docs

- further interviews → OW, NM + LM/AM/PW
→ KM → out of system

- further doc requests
→ Remo + APC
+ Hando writes

- Henry

→ Didn't understand when NR is under; he needs to stop the investigation

→ Didn't understand speech by is different from complaint

→ Not as blunt as saying don't let him stop considering

→ we had committed to do it that there would be investigation

→ Conversation was geared to stopping investigation against HS himself.

NK said he was very worried about conversation. HS was aggressive

+ I told him before he could not speak to me like that.

I indicated that HS to ask NK said + he couldn't
commit + get my say → uncomfortable, not helping
narrative.

→ he said to HS.

27 Feb select
committee hearing