

Bond Pearce

Telephone attendance

Client: Royal Mail Group PLC Sub Postmaster Litigation

Matter: Mr Lee Castleton

Matter no: 348035.134

Attending:

Name: Stephen Dilley

Location: N/A

Date: 23 August 2006

Start time:

Units:

I had a telephone conversation with Helen Rose to run through the point set out in my e-mail of 21 August to her. Going through the following:

1. In the audit report to Kath Oglesby the report says the audit took place on 25 March 2004, but I understood that in fact it took place on 23 March. Is 25 March just a typo? She cannot remember when the audit took place and not even what month it was in so if I say 23 March she will agree with that.
2. I asked her why the attached page from the audit lists weeks 48 and 43 twice. She did not know but would have a look at it (she wasn't in the office at the time) and come back to me.
3. I asked when the post office would have been audited before she audited it. She said that there would have been a transfer in account when Mr Castleton was appointed as sub-postmaster and that I should e-mail Glynn Burrows GRO who would probably be able to get me these details.

Time engaged including attendance note: 12 minutes.