

Stephen Dilley

From: Stephen Dilley
Sent: 03 November 2006 10:45
To: 'mike.mason'; **GRO**
Cc: 'geoff.porter'; **GRO**; Tom Beezer
Subject: Post Office Limited v Mr Lee Castleton
Attachments: eCopy scanned document.pdf

Dear Mike and Geoff

I refer to my telephone conversation with Mike on 1 November.

I attach the following, for your information:

1. Witness statement of Paul Williamson and exhibit. Paul is a fraud prevention and investigation manager at Alliance & Leicester (formerly Girobank). He stated that when deposits of cash are made, the sub postmaster has a deposit slip. At the end of each day, the sub postmaster produces a report from Horizon called the Horizon Daily Record of Deposits and checks that the transaction details shown on the physical deposit slips match the information on the HDR. He then sends the deposit slips accompanying HDR to the Alliance & Leicester processing centre. They are processed together. If the two do not match, then an error notice will be generated.

You will already have seen from the witness statements of Ken Crawley, Michael Johnson, Gillian Hoyland and Wendy Smith that there are similar processes for pensions, lottery, cheques and APS transactions. The P.O's point is therefore that if the computer did not capture all the information (which is denied), this would have been picked up when the paperwork Mr Castleton sent each day to the relevant processing centre did not match what he recorded into his computer. This is a fundamental part of the Post Office's case.

2. Copies of three spreadsheets listing (a) notes (b) coin and (c) notes and coin totalled which the Post Office's records show were delivered to Marine Drive branch from 18 July 2003 to 25 March 2004.

I look forward to speaking to you on Monday.

Kind regards.

Stephen Dilley
Solicitor
for and on behalf of Bond Pearce LLP
DDI: **GRO**
Main office phone: **GRO**
Fax: **GRO**
www.bondpearce.com