1		Tuesday, 21 May 2024	1
2	(9.4	15 am)	2
3	MR	BLAKE: Good morning, sir, can you see and hear me?	3
4	SIF	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you very much.	4
5	MR	BLAKE: This morning, we're going to hear from Ms Lyons.	5
6		ALWEN LYONS (affirmed)	6
7		Questioned by MR BLAKE	7
8	MR	BLAKE: Thank you very much. Can you give your full	8
9		name, please?	9
10	Α.	It's Alwen Lyons.	10
11	Q.		11
12		statement, or at least in a bundle in front of you.	12
13		I do, yes.	13
14	Q.	Can you see that? Thank you. Is that dated 24 April	14
15		2024? Voc	15
16		Yes.	16
17	Q.		17
18	•	page 90.	18
19 20	A. Q.	Yes. Can you confirm that that is your signature?	19 20
20	Q. A.	It is.	20 21
22	Q.	Is that statement true to the best of your knowledge and	21
23	ч.	belief?	22
24	Α.		24
25		statement, if that's okay.	25
		1	
1		12 January 2012 and the statement suggests that two	1
2		reports were to be circulated. Having revisited the	2
3		action log, it states "a report" rather than "two	3
4		reports", were circulated to the board.	4
5		And, lastly, my statement at paragraph 345 on	5
6		page 104 refers to my contact with ex-colleagues after	6
7		my retirement, including, for example, with Paula	7
8		Vennells. I had forgotten, until reminded recently,	8
9		that Paula had contacted me in March and in June 2020,	9
10		via text message and follow-up email in June 2020, to	10
11		ask if I could help with details for her information to	11
12		the Select Committee. I believe she called me on two	12
13		occasions, March 2020 and June 2020, but I do not recall	13
14		that I was able to provide you with any information.	14
15		I've also been reminded that I met Paula for dinner	15
16		early in 2020 and I cannot specifically recall what we	16
17		spoke about. Since retiring, I've had a small number of	17
18		other communications with Paula.	18
19	~	And that is my clarification, thank you.	19
20	Q.		20
21		statement true to the best of your knowledge and belief?	21
22	A.	It is.	22
23 24	Q.		23
24 25	۸	you would like to say as well. Yes, before Laive evidence Lwould like to convey my	24 25
20	Α.	Yes, before I give evidence I would like to convey my 3	20

п	Inq	uiry 21 May 2024
1	Q.	Yes, please.
<b>,</b>	A.	So I would like to make the following clarification and
2		comments in relation to my statement and, as before,
,		I give this evidence to the best of my knowledge and
•		belief.
)		
,		Firstly, in my statement, I refer to a meeting with
		Alice Perkins and Lord Arbuthnot as having taken place
3		on 12 March 2012, this being the date indicated to me by
,		the Inquiry. However, on further review of the
0		material, I can see that this meeting took place on
1		13 March 2012.
2		Secondly, it was my belief that Susan Crichton had
3		been General Counsel for the from the point of
4		separation from Royal Mail Group. However, since
5		submitting my statement, I've been made aware that Susan
6		Crichton was actually Legal and Compliance Director from
7		separation and became General Counsel in July 2013.
8		Thirdly, paragraph 64 and 96 of my statement refer
9		to the Royal Mail Group Internal Audit with a reference
0		number. It has come to my attention that the reference
1		number referred to at these paragraphs and within the
2		index is incorrect. The correct reference number is
3		POL00029474.
4		Fourthly, paragraph 62 on page 15 of my statement
5		refers to the action log from a Board meeting on 2
		2
		sincere sorrow that this scandal has happened and, in
2		particular, my deep regret for those wrongfully
3		convicted or accused. These words cannot even begin to
ŀ		put right what has happened but they are sincere.
5		I cannot imagine how it must have been for
6		subpostmasters whose voices were not went unheard
7		throughout these years and I want to express my deep and
3		genuine remorse for what has happened.
)	Q.	Thank you very much, Ms Lyons. The statement you have
0		spoken about is WITN00580100, and that will be uploaded
1		onto the Inquiry's website.
2		I want to begin today just by looking at your
3		background. I think you were employed by the Royal Mail
4		Group and the Post Office for some 33 years; is that
5		correct?
6	Α.	Yes, that's right.
7	Q.	l think, in fact, your parents ran a sub post office
8	Α.	They did.
9	Q.	and I think your father was the NFSP General
0		Secretary at one stage?
1	Α.	He was.
2	Q.	You joined the Royal Mail Group in 1984
3	Α.	Yes.
4	Q.	and you started as a graduate trainee?

- 4 Q. -- and you started as a graduate trainee?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. You held various roles over the length of your career? 1
- 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 Q. Those included, amongst others, as an Area Manager and
- 4 then Retail Network Manager between 1991 and 1995.
- 5 Α. Yes
- 6 Q. I think you've said in your statement that you were 7 responsible for 180 sub post offices in that role --
- 8 A. I was.
- 9 Q. -- and you were involved in what you've referred to as
- 10 to "disciplining" subpostmasters. Can you assist us
- very briefly with what that may have involved? 11
- So only in as much as if there was an audit at 12 Α.
- 13 an office, I would have been involved in making the
- 14 decision as to the future for the subpostmaster or to 15 interview them or just talk to them about what had
- 16 happened, et cetera.
- 17 Q. You were then Head of the Retail Network for South
- London between 2000 and 2001? 18
- 19 A. I was.
- 20 Q. There you headed a team of 18 Retail Line Managers.
- 21 I think you also heard appeals in relation to the 22 discipline of --
- 23 A. I think -- I seem to remember a couple in that time.
- 24 Q. Yes, and that was, of course, during the rollout of
- 25 Horizon 2000 and 2001?
- 1 subpostmasters?
- 2 Α. So, yes, my team, when I was running South London, they

- 3 were the Retail Network Managers looking after 4 subpostmasters.
- 5 Q. Did you have any personal experience of using the 6 Horizon system?
- 7 Α. Only very -- so every Christmas we would go and help in
- 8 our post offices, so you'd go -- you'd have somebody
- 9 training and then you'd go for the day and use the
- 10 Horizon system but I didn't have any experience of 11 balancing the Horizon system.
- When did you first become aware of the Post Office or 12 Q.
- 13 Royal Mail Group's prosecutorial function?
- 14 A. I can't really say when I became aware. I think it was later when we were talking about Sparrow -- I didn't 15 think I realised at the time that we prosecuted. 16
- Q. So even when you were involved in the discipline of 17
- subpostmasters or the appeals from subpostmasters, you 18
- weren't aware that the company was also prosecuting 19 20 subpostmasters?
- So, no, I wouldn't have been involved with any of the 21 Α. 22 prosecutions.
- 23 But were you aware of that function? Q.
- 24 Α. I don't remember being aware.
- 25 Q. You held various other roles after the Head of Direct 7

- Yes. 1 Α.
- 2 Q. Do you recall that period?
- To the best of my memory, yes, that was when it was 3 Α. 4
  - rolling out.
- Q. You were then Regional General Manager between 2001 and 5
- 6 2002 --
- 7 Α. Yes
- Q. -- responsible for running post offices in the South 8
- 9 East region --
- 10 Α. Yes
- 11 Q. -- and Head of Direct Manager Branches between 2002 and
- 12 2005 --
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 Q. -- with four regional managers reporting to you?
- Yes. 15 Α.
- 16 Q. During those various roles and perhaps some others,
- 17 presumably you had a fair bit of direct contact with
- subpostmasters? 18
- 19 Α. So not when I was -- not when I was General Manager for
- 20 Directly Managed because those are the Crown Offices, so
- 21 at that point I would have no contact with
- 22 subpostmasters.
- 23 Q. But in the other roles I've described, so in the '90s --
- 24 Α. In south London --
- 25 Q. -- early 2000s, did you have a fair bit of contact with
- 1 Manager Branches and in 2011 you became Company
- 2 Secretary; is that right?
- 3 A. That's right, yes.
- 4 Q. I think you held that role until 2017?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Can you assist us with why you were approached in 7 respect of that particular role?
- 8 Δ So I -- up until that point, I'd been doing separation
- 9 from Royal Mail Group for about 18 months and we were
- 10 putting together a new Board with an independent chair,
- 11 and I had the relevant qualification to be a company
- 12 secretary, and I had a CIMA qualification and I knew --
- 13 because of how long I'd worked in the business and my
- 14 experience in the business, I was approached by Paula
- 15 Vennells and Debbie Moore to ask if I would like to be 16 Company Secretary.
- 17 Q. What was your relationship with Paula Vennells at that 18 stage?
- Well, at that stage, she was the CEO, she was running 19 Α. 20 the company. I think I had a good relationship with her
- 21 but not a necessarily close one.
- 22 Q. Do you know why you were specifically chosen for that 23 role?
- 24 A. I think Paula, and probably more Debbie, thought I had
- 25 the experience to carry out that role.

	1		involved.
	2	Α.	No, and before I accepted the role I did some research
	3		as to what it would be that I would be taking on.
	4		I went to see the Royal Mail Group Company Secretary,
	5		had a couple of meetings with him, I also did some
	6		research online about what the role was, what the
	7		responsibilities were, so that I made an informed
	8		decision about whether I thought I should take that role
	9	~	on.
	10	Q.	, , , ,
	11	Α.	0 000
	12		taking the role. I did some, I think, three or four
	13		online courses before I started and then, whilst I was
	14		doing the role, there was some catch-up, whenever
	15 16		anything changed with the Companies Act or, you know, we
	10		needed updating, some I think it was legal firms that
	18		offered company secretaries to come in and have a day with them, and I did, I think, three or four of those.
у,	10	Q.	I think you've said you were accredited by the Chartered
у,	20	ч.	Institute of Management Accountants in 1993?
	20	Α.	Yes.
	22	Q.	What made you take on that qualification in 1993?
	23	Щ. А.	So in 1993 or just before that because it took me
	24	7.0	four years to qualify I was working in the Finance
	25		Department of the business, I was the Management
			10
	1		Do you agree with that description of the role?
	2	Α.	
	3	Q.	Do you think you fulfilled that role?
	4	Α.	
	5	Q.	If we turn over the page to 24, 2.2.17, please it's
	6		the bottom of page 24, thank you. It says:
	7		"Chairs are accountable for running the Board, ie
	8		for ensuring that the Board"
	9		Then it goes through various different
	10		accountabilities of the chair:
	11		If we look at (f), it says:
	12		"With the Company Secretary, ensuring that movement
	13		on and off the Board is accompanied by appropriate
	14		induction (training and familiarisation with duties of
	15		Board [members] and company strategy, operations and
	16		risks) and exit (confidentiality, equipment, access
	17		controls) procedures."
	18		Do you agree that was one of your roles?
	19	Α.	Yes.
	20	Q.	Do you think you fulfilled that role?
	21	Α.	l believe so.
	22	Q.	Could we turn to page 29, 2.2.33. There's a detailed
	23		section on the role of a company secretary. It says:
	24		"A Company Secretary is an officer who is appointed
	25		by the company's directors to advise the board on all 12

Q. Did you ever been a company secretary before that role?
 A. No.

- 3 Q. How about the Chair, what was your relationship like4 with the Chair at that stage?
- 5 **A.** So I think it was good. It was very professional.
- 6 I tried to help with Alice's induction into the business
- 7 and point her in the right directions when she wanted to
- 8 go and learn more information about different parts of
- 9 the business.
- 10 Q. You took on the role in 2011. When were you first awareof subpostmasters experiencing issues with Horizon?
- 12 A. So I believe it was at a board meeting and the issue was
- raised, I think it was early 2012, was raised by LesOwen, who was a Non-Executive Director.
- 15 Q. We've heard, for example, of a 2009 Computer Weeklyarticle. Was that something you were aware of?
- 17 A. Not that I remember.
- 18 **Q.** In all of the various roles you held within the Post
- 19 Office over the years before becoming Company Secretary,
- 20 had you not heard of complaints from subpostmasters
- 21 experiencing problems with the Horizon system?
- 22 **A.** Not that I can remember.
- 23  $\,$  Q.  $\,$  I want to ask you about the role of company secretary.
- 24 Do you consider that when you took on that role you were
- 25 fully aware of what the role of a company secretary 9
- 1 Accountant, and to get any further in the Finance
- 2 Department, you had to be qualified. So I decided this
- is what I wanted to do and spent four years getting thequalification.
- 5 Q. Was that a qualification that was specific to a company6 secretary role or a broader finance role?
- 7 A. No a broader finance role. It's a finance -- it's
  8 an accountancy role.
- 9 Q. You've set out in your statement at paragraph 29 various
- 10 roles that were involved with the position of company
- 11 secretary. I want to take you to the expert report that
- 12 we have from Dame Sandra Dawson and Dr Steward
- 13 outlining -- it goes into quite a bit of detail about
- 14 the different roles within a company.
- 15 A. Okay.
- 16 **Q.** Could we please turn to EXPG0000006, please. This the
- 17 first of their reports. Could we please turn to
- 18 page 23. I'm just going to take you to a few sections
- 19 of the report to see if it accords with your
- 20 understanding of your role. If we look 2.2.9, it says:
- 21 "The Chair, with the support of Company Secretary,
- 22 is responsible for ensuring that all committees have
- 23 sufficient support to conduct their business
- 24 effectively, eg with timely and appropriate papers and
- 25 minutes."

25		Then over the page, we see there at (b): 15	25		thought "Which of these are relevant for us?", and 16
24		behaviour."	24		practice and so I would have looked at those rules and
23		"Embedding a 'culture of challenge' into Boardroom	23		all of those rules but I saw those rules as best
22		included:	22	Α.	So, clearly, the Post Office did not have to comply to
21		other sectors. Proposals for all large listed companies	21		Office?
20		challenge in financial services, which were taken on by	20		rules of a commercial company to apply to the Post
19		strengthen the principles of stewardship and greater	19	Q.	To what extent did you consider the corporate governance
18		" proposed changes to the Combined Code to	18	Α.	Yes.
17		which:	17	Q.	The Post Office was wholly owned by the Government?
16		there refers to the Walker Review of the banking crisis,	16	Α.	
15		a table that sets out various changes over time. That	15	Q.	
14		secretary. It's at the bottom of the page. This is	14	-	was an online course and it was in minute taking.
13		page 102. There's one more reference there to a company	13		before I took up the role was in minute taking. So it
12	Q.	Can we please, while we're on this report, turn to	12	Α.	6
11	Α.	I think they did. I think they did at the time.	11		you had in respect of that skill?
10		that work?	10	Q.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
9	Q.	Do you think that the Board had sufficient oversight of	9	Α.	
8	Α.	I think both.	8	Q.	One of the roles involves minuting Board minutes.
7		Board or for the Chair?	7	Α.	
6		think that you were pursuing those objectives for the	6	Q.	, ,
5	Q.	I mean, that's quite an important distinction. Do you	5		definitely.
4		Board and the Chair, sorry, and the Chair.	4	Α.	I think providing adequate support for the non-execs,
3		mindful that I was doing them on behalf of and for the	3		Did you see that as part of your role?
2		to the Board. So I was if I did other things, it was	2		Directors] typically from the [company secretary]."
1	Α.	So at times, it was wider and but always coming back	1		"Providing adequate support for [Non-Executive
		13			14
25		including registers of directors and shareholders;	25		strategic matters for the CEO and chair?
24		"Maintaining the company's statutory books,	24		described here, taking forward, for example, certain
23		include:	23		of documents over the years was it wider than is
22		"A Company Secretary's accountabilities normally	22	Q.	-
21		accountabilities that are set out there at 2.2.34:	21	Α.	Oh, yes, definitely.
20	Q.	One more, also on the same page. There are various	20		with that?
19	Α.	l do.	19		role than simply a conduit to the Board; do you agree
18	Q.	Again, do you think you fulfilled that role?	18	Q.	C C
17	Α.	l do, yes.	17	Α.	Yes.
16		Do you agree with that summary?	16		of your role as being a conduit?
15		is likely to be very influential in their relationship."	15	Q.	5, , , ,
14		need a very good working relationship with the CEO, who	14	Α.	
13		colleague (and in a sense subordinate to the CEO) they	13	Q.	Do you think you fulfilled those?
12		accountable to the Chair. However, as an Executive	12	Α.	Yes.
11		they are technically independent of the CEO, and	11		Do you agree with those duties?
10		attention. As regards the functioning of the Board,	10		follow up)."
9		governance measures are brought to the Board's	9		sight of relevant minutes, timing of Board appearances,
8		the Board and the Chair to ensure that all appropriate	8		specific items in Board discussions (including handling
7		company's legal obligations. Their accountability is to	7		"Arranging participation of non-Board members for
6	Q.	"They will normally seek to ensure compliance with the	6		"Working with the Chair on the Board agenda; and
5	Α.	l do.	5		meetings;
4	Q.	Do you think you fulfilled that?	4		its committees, including arranging meetings, minuting
3	Α.	Yes.	3		"Providing secretarial services to the Board and all
2		Do you agree with that?	2		are aware of their duties and powers;
		governance matters and codes."	1		"Working with Chair to ensure that all Board members

(4) Pages 13 - 16

- I would have discussed -- if it was necessary, would
   discuss that with the Chair.
- **Q.** What, if any, difference did you see in the governance
- 4 of a publicly listed compared to a publicly owned5 company?
- 6 A. I think we had a difference -- a different type of
- 7 governance because we had governance through to the8 Government and, therefore, we had, for instance,
- 9 a non-exec that was a Shareholder Executive
- 10 representative. So in some ways there was additional
- 11 governance in terms of how we were responding.
- 12 Q. What role did you see the Government or the Civil
- 13 Service playing in the governance of the company?
- A. So they were our shareholder. So, in my eyes, a very
  important role and having that Shareholder Executive NED
  on the Board was very important.
- 17 Q. What, if any, conflicts of interest did you see between18 the ownership of the Post Office by the Government?
- 19 A. So the only conflicts of interest in Board meetings that
- 20 I remember -- and all Board members gave a declaration
- 21 at the beginning that they would speak up if there was
- 22 a conflict of interest -- the only conflict of interest
- 23 I remember was that the Shareholder Executive wasn't in
- 24 the room when we were discussing funding and areas that
- 25 we were going to negotiate with the Government. I don't 17
- 1 A. No, she wasn't.
- 2 Q. Was that something that you considered?
- 3 A. So I think it was something that Alice considered but it
- 4 was her decision that she wouldn't -- that General
- 5 Counsel would not be a member of the Board or even
- 6 attend Board meetings.
- 7 Q. What was your view on that?
- 8 A. I don't think I had a view either way. If the Chair
- 9 wanted and the Board wanted the General Counsel in the
- 10 room, that would be fine. When I was -- so I worked to
- 11 the General Counsel, so the General Counsel was my boss,
- 12 until Chris Aujard came along and, because he was
- 13 an interim, I then worked to Paula for about nine months
- 14 and then when Jane MacLeod came along I worked again for
- 15 the General Counsel. So I am not sure that it would
- 16 have changed the Board at all to have the General
- 17 Counsel there.
- 18 Q. Because you were on the Board?
- A. Well, I wasn't on the Board; I was attending the Boardmeetings, yes.
- 21 Q. Is that why you don't believe it would make
- 22 a difference: because you attended?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. How about the contract and personnel management? Where
- 25 do you consider the oversight and accountability for the

- 1 see any others.
  - Q. We spoke about the prosecutorial function --
- 3 A. Yes.

4

- Q. -- of the company?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Where did you consider oversight and accountability for7 the investigation and prosecution function to lie within
- 8 the company?
- 9 A. So I certainly didn't it lying with company secretary
- 10 because I had no input to any prosecutions. I would
- 11 have thought it would have lie -- laid with the Legal
- 12 Team.
- 13 Q. Do you recall any specific mechanisms for reporting andfeedback, in that respect, to the Board?
- 15 A. So there was -- so the Board heard -- had litigation
- 16 reports sent to them at -- not at every Board meeting,
- 17 I think quarterly or they would come to the Board. And
- 18 I think that's the way that the significant litigation
- 19 was passed to the Board.
- 20 Q. Who would you expect to have fed back to the Board in21 that respect?
- 22 A. So I would have expected that to be the General Counsel.
- 23 Q. We've seen -- and I don't know if you saw Ms Crichton's
- 24 evidence -- but General Counsel wasn't, in fact,
  - a member of the Board? 18
- contractual and personnel management of subpostmasters
   to have laid within the company?
- A. From my memory, I believe it sat in Network. So there
  was a Network Director and I believe that that
- 5 responsibility would have sat with them.
- 6 Q. Again, do you recall any specific mechanisms for
- 7 reporting in that respect?
- 8 A. No, not that I can recall.
- 9 Q. Who would you have expected to feed back to the Board?
- 10 A. From the Network?
- 11 **Q.** Yes.

- 12 A. I would have expected the Network Director to have come13 to the Board.
- 14 **Q.** To have attended the Board?
- 15 A. No, to -- so the way that the Board meeting worked was
- 16 you had your Members of the Board and myself in the
- 17 Board meetings, and then when executive members were
- 18 coming along to give a presentation or share a paper or
- whatever, they would come to that specific part of theBoard meeting.
- 21 Q. Where did you consider oversight and accountability of22 issues relating to the Horizon system to have laid?
- 23 A. So if we're talking about Horizon, as in the computer
- 24 system, I would have -- I believed that lay with the CIO
- 25 because I believe they had the relationship with

1		Fujitsu.
2	Q.	Do you recall any specific mechanism for reporting and
3		feedback in that respect?
4	Α.	So I think the CIO did come to the Board on a few
5		occasions, not only talking about Horizon, talking about
6		the wider IT.
7	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Sorry, I may not have quite caught the
8		acronym. Did you say "CIO" or "CEO"?
9	Α.	CIO, sorry, sir.
10	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: That's what I thought.
11	MR	BLAKE: So Chief Information Officer.
12	Α.	Yes, Chief Information Officer.
13	Q.	That was Lesley Sewell at the time?
14	Α.	Yes.
15	Q.	How would you judge whether something needed to be
16		brought to the Board's attention?
17	Α.	So I would check with the Chair. If something came to
18		my attention that I believed that either the Chair or
19		the Board would should know, I would check with the
20		Chair and she would or he when it was Tim they
21		would generally say, yes, this needs to be sent to the
22		Board. It was something that could come to a Board
23		meeting, then I would generally check the agenda with
24		the Chair not generally, I always checked the agenda
25		with the Chair because it was the Chair's agenda, and 21
		21
1		a significant role during that period
2	A.	No.
3	Q.	that period of great change?
4	Α.	No, I believed I could represent the business well.
5		I knew the business well. I knew Royal Mail well
6		because I had been managing the Royal Mail contract for

- 7 the few years before that. So I didn't have any
- 8 concerns, no. It was a tough few months because --
- 9 well, it was a very serious issue that was happening.
- Q. What committees or formal groups were you a member of inrespect of the decision making for separation?
- 12 A. So there was one formal meeting, where I think -- where
- 13 it was the Government, myself and Royal Mail, and
- 14 I think Royal Mail were represented by McKinseys, as
- 15 their consultant, and I would go to that meeting.
- 16 I think it was weekly and, as we got nearer to
- 17 separation, it became more regular.
- 18 We would have morning conference calls, which lasted
- about an hour, and then, in terms of me taking that
- 20 information back into the Post Office, I would --
- 21 I cannot specifically remember who sat on which
- 22 committees but the Post Office had a Separation Working
- 23 Group where I would bring the information back from the
- 24 large committee and say, "These are the areas we're
- 25 discussing and this is where Royal Mail wants to go, and

- I might have had input from executive members saying,
- 2 "I need to bring this paper to the Board", or whatever,
- 3 and I would -- the Chair and I would sit down and look
- 4 at the agenda and say -- agree the timings and agree the5 agenda.
- 6 Q. Would you be, effectively, a conduit to the Chair for7 that purpose?
- 8 A. Yes, yes. So -- I mean, some areas came seasonally
- 9 because you'd have a budget and some came quarterly,
- 10 some came when the exec wanted to raise or discuss
- 11 something with the Board, and I would amalgamate all
- 12 these into an agenda, go and see the Chair and say,
- 13 "This is what needs to come to this board meeting, are
- you happy with all those things coming?", and we'd agreetimings.
- 16 **Q.** I now want to move on to the separation of Royal Mail
- 17 and the Post Office.
- 18 **A.** Okay.
- 19 Q. You've said that you were involved before you became
- 20 Company Secretary --
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. -- in that role. Separation itself occurred shortly
- 23 after you became Company Secretary.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 **Q.** Did you have any concerns about being appointed to such 22
- 1 this is what I've told them where we are but I need more 2 information from the experts in the business", if you 3 like, because we talked about every single aspect of the 4 business and how it would be affected by this 5 separation. 6 Q. You've said that you discussed every aspect of the 7 business. 8 Δ It felt like it. To what extent was there discussion about the Horizon 9 Q. 10 system? Α. 11 So I honestly do not remember there being specific 12 discussion about the Horizon system. I do remember 13 there being discussion about IT and I went -- because we 14 were talking about splitting the IT systems, and I'm not 15 sure that happened on the date of separation because 16 I think -- I seem to remember that Lesley came to the 17 Board to talk about separation later. So not everything 18 separated on 1 April 2012, because it would have been 19 impossible to do that, and the separation actually 20 carried on probably for another two to three years. 21 What discussion, if any, was there about the Q. 22 prosecutorial function from what you can remember? 23 I don't remember any discussion about that. Α. 24 Q. So there were discussions about lots of things but not 25 the two matters that are quite important to this
  - 24

1		Inquiry?	1		pro
2	Α.	Well, not that I can remember.	2		drat
3	Q.	Were you involved in developing the governance	3		star
4		structures at the Post Office that would follow the	4		and
5		separation?	5		Sco
6	Α.	Only in terms of the Board.	6		Υοι
7	Q.	What do you recall of significant changes that you	7	Α.	So
8	Α.	So coming so Post Office always had a Board but it	8		Offi
9		wasn't an independent Board and, after and the reason	9	Q.	Tha
10		that the Board was put in place before separation was	10		
11		that, otherwise, Post Office was also was almost	11		
12		negotiating with its boss because Royal Mail was its	12		
13		boss.	13		are
14		So they wanted to split the Board and give us	14		
15		an independent Board before separation so that Board	15		
16		could then have the governance, and I was involved with	16		inve
17		that governance in terms of helping Alice set up the	17		con
18		Board, finding Non-Executive Directors and all the	18		pre
19		things that have to happen before you set up a Board.	19		furt
20	Q.	Were you involved in the development of policies and	20		ider
21		procedures in respect of governance or was it more	21		pros
22		structural?	22		app
23	Α.	More structural.	23		eve
24	Q.	Could we please turn to POL00179491 and this is a chain	24		
25		of emails that relate to the investigations and 25	25		you
1		"[Royal Mail Group] Security wish to take the	1	Q.	Υοι
2		prosecution lead for offences committed against [Royal	2	Α.	Yea
3		Mail Group] products, including Post Office employees	3	Q.	He
4		and/or agents. Post Office Security position is that	4		
5		the lead/parent organisation of the employee/agent	5		
6		apprehended should take the lead for prosecution (in	6		issu
7		line with their HR and Prosecution Policy and will be	7		Offi
8		the organisation most likely to have the evidential	8		
9		material in which to support a prosecution)."	9		para
10		If we go over to page 3, please, the bottom of that	10		
11		page, John Scott forwards the email to a number of	11		[it q
12		people and you're one of the named people there. Do you	12		Sec
13		recall this discussion?	13		pros
14	Α.	I don't recall this discussion, no.	14		and
15	Q.	Why would you have been copied into a discussion	15		app
16		relating to the Investigations MOU; was that	16		con
17	Α.	I think purely because of my separation role. So on	17		the
18		here you've got a lot of people who were part of that	18		ass
10		separation team, if you like, from Royal Mail as well as	10		the

separation team, if you like, from Royal Mail as well as 19 20 Post Office.

- 21 **Q.** If we look from the bottom of page 1 over to page 2,
- 22 please, there's a response from Tony Marsh, who is the 23 Group Security Director at the Royal Mail Group; do you
- 24 remember Mr Marsh?
- 25 A. I do, yes.

- osecution function within the Post Office and the afting of a Memorandum of Understanding. Could we art on page 4, please. It's the second half of page 4 d on to page 5. There's an email here from John cott, who is the Post Office Head of Security to Mike oung. Do you recall who Mike Young was? Mike Young was, I think, the Chief Information ficer at the time. ank you. He says: "Mike [this is 6 March 2012]. "We believe we're close to an agreement. "There have been a number of debating points, but e now down to the last two: "Number 1 "[Royal Mail Group] Security focus primarily on estigations and prosecution with a view for mpensation via the courts and then supported by crime evention post-apprehension. They seek to allow ther theft/crime to continue in order to be able to entify and apprehend the offender for such osecution. Post Office Security will support this proach in most circumstances, but cannot agree on ery occasion which has been discussed." Then, if we go down the page over to the next page, u have the second debating point, number 2. 26
- ou're still copied in here.
- eah.
- says:
  - "Colleagues

5	"The conference call will take place. The main
6	issue has been unflagged changes made by [the Post
7	Office] in returned documents", et cetera.
8	If we scroll down, I am just going to read that
9	paragraph that begins "It is inaccurate". He says:
10	"It is inaccurate and disingenuous for John to state
11	[it quotes, I think, the bit that I've just read] 'RMG
12	Security focus primarily on investigations and
13	prosecution with a view to compensation via the courts
14	and then supported by crime prevention post
15	apprehension. They seek to allow further theft/crime to
16	continue in order to be able to identify and apprehend
17	the offender for such prosecution', as he does in his
18	associated email of 6 March to Mike Young. If this was
19	the basis of John's briefings to Mike Young then some of
20	Mr Young's mornings explicable briefs and positions may
21	now be better understood. [Royal Mail] Security
22	naturally pursues a strategy of prevention, deterrence,
23	disruption and detection, with prosecution and asset
24	recovery a key element of the deterrence approach.
25	[Royal Mail] Security would never seek to prolong 28

1		offending behaviour, as this would exacerbate losses to
2		the organisation and its customers, impact negatively on
3		customer satisfaction and public perception and might
4		result in an offender facing increased penalties, which
5		would in itself be an affront to natural justice. Any
6		suggestion to the contrary is unprofessional and does
7		John little credit."
8		Do you recall tensions between the Investigations
9		and Security branches of the Royal Mail and the Post
10		Office?
11	Α.	So I didn't at the time. Having read this now, it's
12		very clear that there were tensions between the two of
13		them and, yeah, it's very clear.
14	Q.	If we scroll up to page 1, please, in the middle email,
15		there is an email from you to Susan Crichton. You say:
16		"Mike would have stamped on this very quickly."
17	Α.	So my response there was that, if Mike thought that he
18		was not being presented with all the information by
19		John, he would have very quickly gone and sorted that
20		out, basically.
21	Q.	So are you there saying that Mr Marsh is wrong or
22	Α.	No, no, no. I'm saying so, from what we've just
23		heard, my understanding is that Tony Marsh is saying
24		that John Scott has misrepresented something to Mike
25		Young and my point here is that and Mike's on that 29
		23
1		Post Office as a prosecutor?
2	Α.	No.
2 3	A. Q.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of
2 3 4	Q.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure?
2 3 4 5	Q. A.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No.
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No. Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. A. Q.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No. Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have a briefing on their duties as a prosecuting body?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No. Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have a briefing on their duties as a prosecuting body? So I believe there was, I think it's later, though.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. A. Q.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No. Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have a briefing on their duties as a prosecuting body? So I believe there was, I think it's later, though. There was discussion at the Board around prosecution but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. A. Q. A.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No. Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have a briefing on their duties as a prosecuting body? So I believe there was, I think it's later, though. There was discussion at the Board around prosecution but not at the start of them becoming a Board, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. A. Q.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No. Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have a briefing on their duties as a prosecuting body? So I believe there was, I think it's later, though. There was discussion at the Board around prosecution but not at the start of them becoming a Board, no. So there was discussion, I think, once the Horizon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. A. Q. Q.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No. Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have a briefing on their duties as a prosecuting body? So I believe there was, I think it's later, though. There was discussion at the Board around prosecution but not at the start of them becoming a Board, no. So there was discussion, I think, once the Horizon issues
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. A. Q. A. A.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No. Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have a briefing on their duties as a prosecuting body? So I believe there was, I think it's later, though. There was discussion at the Board around prosecution but not at the start of them becoming a Board, no. So there was discussion, I think, once the Horizon issues Yes, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	Q. A. Q. Q.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No. Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have a briefing on their duties as a prosecuting body? So I believe there was, I think it's later, though. There was discussion at the Board around prosecution but not at the start of them becoming a Board, no. So there was discussion, I think, once the Horizon issues Yes, yes. are raised in the Board but, in terms of them taking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. A. Q. A. A.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No. Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have a briefing on their duties as a prosecuting body? So I believe there was, I think it's later, though. There was discussion at the Board around prosecution but not at the start of them becoming a Board, no. So there was discussion, I think, once the Horizon issues Yes, yes. are raised in the Board but, in terms of them taking over an entirely new function, are you aware of any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	Q. A. Q. A. A.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No. Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have a briefing on their duties as a prosecuting body? So I believe there was, I think it's later, though. There was discussion at the Board around prosecution but not at the start of them becoming a Board, no. So there was discussion, I think, once the Horizon issues Yes, yes. are raised in the Board but, in terms of them taking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q. A. Q. A. Q. Q.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No. Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have a briefing on their duties as a prosecuting body? So I believe there was, I think it's later, though. There was discussion at the Board around prosecution but not at the start of them becoming a Board, no. So there was discussion, I think, once the Horizon issues Yes, yes. are raised in the Board but, in terms of them taking over an entirely new function, are you aware of any briefing about their duties?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No. Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have a briefing on their duties as a prosecuting body? So I believe there was, I think it's later, though. There was discussion at the Board around prosecution but not at the start of them becoming a Board, no. So there was discussion, I think, once the Horizon issues Yes, yes. are raised in the Board but, in terms of them taking over an entirely new function, are you aware of any briefing about their duties? Not that I'm aware of.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No. Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have a briefing on their duties as a prosecuting body? So I believe there was, I think it's later, though. There was discussion at the Board around prosecution but not at the start of them becoming a Board, no. So there was discussion, I think, once the Horizon issues Yes, yes. are raised in the Board but, in terms of them taking over an entirely new function, are you aware of any briefing about their duties? Not that I'm aware of. Who did you consider was responsible to ensure that the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>No.</li> <li>Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure?</li> <li>No.</li> <li>Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have a briefing on their duties as a prosecuting body?</li> <li>So I believe there was, I think it's later, though.</li> <li>There was discussion at the Board around prosecution but not at the start of them becoming a Board, no.</li> <li>So there was discussion, I think, once the Horizon issues</li> <li>Yes, yes.</li> <li> are raised in the Board but, in terms of them taking over an entirely new function, are you aware of any briefing about their duties?</li> <li>Not that I'm aware of.</li> <li>Who did you consider was responsible to ensure that the Board had systems in place so that they were aware of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No. Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have a briefing on their duties as a prosecuting body? So I believe there was, I think it's later, though. There was discussion at the Board around prosecution but not at the start of them becoming a Board, no. So there was discussion, I think, once the Horizon issues Yes, yes. are raised in the Board but, in terms of them taking over an entirely new function, are you aware of any briefing about their duties? Not that I'm aware of. Who did you consider was responsible to ensure that the Board had systems in place so that they were aware of their legal and regulatory responsibilities?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No. Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have a briefing on their duties as a prosecuting body? So I believe there was, I think it's later, though. There was discussion at the Board around prosecution but not at the start of them becoming a Board, no. So there was discussion, I think, once the Horizon issues Yes, yes. are raised in the Board but, in terms of them taking over an entirely new function, are you aware of any briefing about their duties? Not that I'm aware of. Who did you consider was responsible to ensure that the Board had systems in place so that they were aware of their legal and regulatory responsibilities? So it would have been in terms of governance, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No. Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have a briefing on their duties as a prosecuting body? So I believe there was, I think it's later, though. There was discussion at the Board around prosecution but not at the start of them becoming a Board, no. So there was discussion, I think, once the Horizon issues Yes, yes. are raised in the Board but, in terms of them taking over an entirely new function, are you aware of any briefing about their duties? Not that I'm aware of. Who did you consider was responsible to ensure that the Board had systems in place so that they were aware of their legal and regulatory responsibilities? So it would have been in terms of governance, it would have been myself, along with the Chair.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Q.	No. Were you aware of, for example, the ongoing duties of disclosure? No. Did you give the Board or enable the Board to have a briefing on their duties as a prosecuting body? So I believe there was, I think it's later, though. There was discussion at the Board around prosecution but not at the start of them becoming a Board, no. So there was discussion, I think, once the Horizon issues Yes, yes. are raised in the Board but, in terms of them taking over an entirely new function, are you aware of any briefing about their duties? Not that I'm aware of. Who did you consider was responsible to ensure that the Board had systems in place so that they were aware of their legal and regulatory responsibilities? So it would have been in terms of governance, it would have been myself, along with the Chair. Do you see any issue there?

1	email chain Mike would have very quickly stamped on
2	that and gone back to John and said, "I'm being given
3	the wrong information here".

- 4 Q. Did you know John Scott at this time?
- 5 A. Yes, I knew him.
- 6 Q. Did you have a view on his abilities?
- 7 A. I didn't know him well enough to have a view on his
- 8 abilities, really. I just knew him as a colleague in9 the business.
- 10 **Q.** If we scroll up we see a response from Susan Crichton,
- and she says:"Oh no it's all Lesley needs at the moment."
- 13 Can you assist us with that at all?
- 14 A. No, and is that -- so --
- 15 Q. Lesley Sewell is copied in to --
- 16 A. Are we assuming Lesley is John Scott's boss? I don't
- 17 know the hierarchy so I don't know what Susan's
- 18 referring to here.
- 19 Q. You have no recollection of this particular chain?
- 20 **A.** No.
- 21 **Q.** Thank you. That can come down.
- 22 In terms of taking over the prosecution and
- 23 investigation functions from the Royal Mail Group, did
- you have any training, were you given any training, onthe particular legal and regulatory obligations on the
  - the particular legal and regulatory obligations on the 30

1		had a briefing on the prosecutions.
2	Q.	Do you reflect on that as a personal failing?
3	Α.	It wouldn't have been for me to provide that but
4		I should I could have gone and asked for whoever was
5		now taking over the prosecutions to come to the Board
6		and explain prosecutions to the Board.
7	Q.	It wouldn't have been for you to provide the training or
8		the briefing itself?
9	Α.	No, no.
10	Q.	But as somebody who was responsible for the governance
11		function within the Board, do you take some
12		responsibility for not having that briefing?
13	Α.	Yes, I have to.
14	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Earlier to Mr Blake, when he was asking
15		you about when you first realised that either Royal Mail
16		or Post Office exercised a prosecution function, you
17		gave me the impression and I'm not saying that in any
18		critical sense that you were not actually aware that
19		that function was being exercised by either until a date
20		after separation. Now, on the face of it, that may be
21		quite surprising, given that something as important as
22		prosecuting is passing from Royal Mail to Post Office.
23		So could we just revisit your best recollection of
24		when you were aware that, first, Royal Mail, if at all,
25		secondly, Post Office, were actually exercising 32

1		a prosecution function?
2	Α.	So, sir, I'm not sure in that email and we'd have to
3		bring it back up, if it suggests that we are prosecuting
4		and, if it does, then that's something I missed or
5	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Well, I'm not too concerned
6	Α.	Okay.
7	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: I don't think it does, subject to
8		Mr Blake correcting me, but I'm more interested in the
9		fact that there's going to be a transition from Royal
10		Mail prosecuting people to Post Office prosecuting
11		people and, from what you've told me, so far at least,

- 12 there was simply no discussion, amongst any of the
- 13 senior people, of which you were aware, that this was 14 going to happen; now, is that right?
- No, I'm saying I wasn't aware. There was discussion 15 Α.
- 16 between senior people, clearly, because we've just --
- 17 and Mike Young, who was the Chief Information Officer,
- 18 he would have owned this and there must also have been
- 19 a handover in the Legal Team with lawyers coming over
- 20 from Royal Mail to Post Office. My comment earlier was
- 21 that -- and I still would, I think, stick to that,
- 22 I wasn't aware of the detail of the -- of us
- 23 prosecuting. I -- so the assumption I made until later,
- 24 when I found out about it, was that it was -- that we
- 25 were using external -- the police or whoever to do those 33
- 1 of matter wouldn't reach Board level?
- 2 A. Well, a lot of things that happened through separation
- 3 and the agreements between Royal Mail and Post Office
- 4 didn't -- I don't believe went to Board level because
- 5 there would have just been too many of them. However,
- 6 this is, you know, an important issue that the Board,
- 7 I believe, should have been aware of and I'm not sure 8 they were.
- 9 Q. I now want to ask you about your lines of reporting --
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. -- because you've given some evidence about that, that 11 12 you reported to two General Counsels: first, Susan
- 13 Crichton --
- 14 A. Yes
- Q. -- second, Jane MacLeod. There was this period in 15 16 between the two where Mr Aujard was Interim General 17 Counsel. Am I right in saying you didn't report to
- 18 Mr Aujard; during that period you reported directly to the CEO? 19
- 20 Α. That's right.
- 21 Were you the most senior officer to be reporting to the Q. 22 General Counsel?
- 23 A. I mean, the General Counsel also had a Head of Legal and 24 other people in their teams, so I wouldn't have
- 25 considered myself any more senior than some of those 35

- prosecutions. 1
- 2 MR BLAKE: When you referred to "senior people", I mean,
  - we're concerned really today, principally, with the
- 4 Board.
- 5 A. Yes

- 6 Q. Do you think if the Board had known about it you would have known about it?
- 8 A. I think so.
- 9 Q. So we've seen emails with Mike Young in, John Scott in,
- 10 about Investigations Memorandum of Understanding --
- 11 Α. Yes, yes.
- 12 Q. -- as an example. If that had reached Board level and
- 13 there had been discussion about the prosecution function
- 14 at Board level, you would have known about it?
- 15 A. It would have been in the minutes, yes.
- 16 Q. Well, I suppose Board level doesn't necessarily mean 17 formal board discussions.
- A. Oh, okay. So I still believe I would have known about 18
- 19 it because the general practice was that anything going
- 20 out to the Board was sent via me, so that we could keep
- 21 an understanding of what's being sent to the Board
- 22 because, otherwise, it wouldn't be -- it would not be
- 23 good governance if anybody could send anything to the
- 24 Board
- 25 Q. Do you find it surprising or unsurprising that that kind 34
- 1 people but I was the only officer of the Board reporting 2 to the General Counsel. 3 Q. Can we please have a look at WITN10010102. This is 4 an organogram that has been produced as an exhibit by 5 Jane MacLeod. We can see there at the top, POL Board 6 and your position is on the top right-hand side, 7 "Company Secretary"? A. Yes. 8 Q. We then see below that, the structure that falls 9
- underneath the Chief Executive and then the General 10
- Counsel. If we have a look to the left-hand side, it 11
- 12 says there company secretary, prior to 2016, reporting
- 13 directly to the Chief Executive; is that wrong?
- 14 A. That's wrong. So there was a period in 2016 which was 15 when Chris Aujard was General Counsel, and it's only for that period that I reported to the Chief Exec. 16
- 17 **Q.** Then we see on the right-hand side of that Company
- 18 Secretariat and Company Secretary and the line going
- 19 there to General Counsel; is that more of how you saw --
- 20 other than that temporary position --
- Α. 21 Yes
- 22 Q. -- that's where you saw your reporting line?
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Q. Is it in any way odd that you were a member of the Board
- 25 but reporting to somebody who was not a member of the 36

1		Board and who is considerably below the Board?	1
2	Α.	So I didn't consider it odd. It didn't change the way	2
3		l behaved or on a day-to-day basis. It didn't	3
4		although I was a member of the General Counsel's team,	4
5		I considered myself also a member of the Chair's team	5
6		and a member of the Board's team. So, no, I don't think	6
7		it changed the way I behaved, that I was a member of the	7
8		General Counsel's team.	8
9	Q.	Who would induct the General Counsel? We've seen a bit	9
10		of movement during this period.	10
11	Α.	Yes. So that would have been the outgoing General	11
12		Counsel. I believe that Susan would have done the	12
13		induction for Chris Aujard, and Chris Aujard would have	13
14		done the induction for Jane MacLeod.	14
15	Q.	Did you oversee that at all?	15
16	Α.	No.	16
17	Q.	There's quite a lot of movement at quite an important	17
18		time	18
19	Α.	Yes.	19
20	Q.	for the Inquiry. I mean, just to give you a very	20
21		brief timeline, we have the separation in April 2012.	21
22	Α.	Yes.	22
23	Q.	We have the Second Sight Interim Report in July 2013.	23
24		We have various important advice, Mr Clarke's Advice on	24
25		Gareth Jenkins in July 2013	25
		37	
1		my role.	1
1 2	Q.	my role. But you don't recall being responsible in any way for	1 2
	Q.		
2	Q. A.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for	2
2 3		But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information?	2 3
2 3 4	Α.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all.	2 3 4
2 3 4 5	Α.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all. Thank you. That can come down.	2 3 4 5
2 3 4 5 6	Α.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all. Thank you. That can come down. I want to move on now to your early work on what	2 3 4 5 6
2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Q.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all. Thank you. That can come down. I want to move on now to your early work on what I'll call Horizon issues.	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Q. A.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all. Thank you. That can come down. I want to move on now to your early work on what I'll call Horizon issues. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q. A.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all. Thank you. That can come down. I want to move on now to your early work on what I'll call Horizon issues. Okay. We see some emails. The first one I'll look at is March	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all. Thank you. That can come down. I want to move on now to your early work on what I'll call Horizon issues. Okay. We see some emails. The first one I'll look at is March 2012. That's POL00096052. It's an email chain of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q. A.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all. Thank you. That can come down. I want to move on now to your early work on what I'll call Horizon issues. Okay. We see some emails. The first one I'll look at is March 2012. That's POL00096052. It's an email chain of 13 March 2012. It's an email from Alice Perkins to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all. Thank you. That can come down. I want to move on now to your early work on what I'll call Horizon issues. Okay. We see some emails. The first one I'll look at is March 2012. That's POL00096052. It's an email chain of 13 March 2012. It's an email from Alice Perkins to Paula Vennells, Susan Crichton, you and Lesley Sewell.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all. Thank you. That can come down. I want to move on now to your early work on what I'll call Horizon issues. Okay. We see some emails. The first one I'll look at is March 2012. That's POL00096052. It's an email chain of 13 March 2012. It's an email from Alice Perkins to Paula Vennells, Susan Crichton, you and Lesley Sewell. The subject is "James Arbuthnot" and it says:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	A. Q. A.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all. Thank you. That can come down. I want to move on now to your early work on what I'll call Horizon issues. Okay. We see some emails. The first one I'll look at is March 2012. That's POL00096052. It's an email chain of 13 March 2012. It's an email from Alice Perkins to Paula Vennells, Susan Crichton, you and Lesley Sewell. The subject is "James Arbuthnot" and it says: "Alwen will do a note of the meeting for you and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all. Thank you. That can come down. I want to move on now to your early work on what I'll call Horizon issues. Okay. We see some emails. The first one I'll look at is March 2012. That's POL00096052. It's an email chain of 13 March 2012. It's an email from Alice Perkins to Paula Vennells, Susan Crichton, you and Lesley Sewell. The subject is "James Arbuthnot" and it says: "Alwen will do a note of the meeting for you and attach on a personal basis, a document which [James	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. A.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all. Thank you. That can come down. I want to move on now to your early work on what I'll call Horizon issues. Okay. We see some emails. The first one I'll look at is March 2012. That's POL00096052. It's an email chain of 13 March 2012. It's an email from Alice Perkins to Paula Vennells, Susan Crichton, you and Lesley Sewell. The subject is "James Arbuthnot" and it says: "Alwen will do a note of the meeting for you and attach on a personal basis, a document which [James Arbuthnot] gave me. I won't duplicate that but would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 77	A. Q. A.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all. Thank you. That can come down. I want to move on now to your early work on what I'll call Horizon issues. Okay. We see some emails. The first one I'll look at is March 2012. That's POL00096052. It's an email chain of 13 March 2012. It's an email from Alice Perkins to Paula Vennells, Susan Crichton, you and Lesley Sewell. The subject is "James Arbuthnot" and it says: "Alwen will do a note of the meeting for you and attach on a personal basis, a document which [James Arbuthnot] gave me. I won't duplicate that but would like to talk to you about this once you've had a chance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. A.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all. Thank you. That can come down. I want to move on now to your early work on what I'll call Horizon issues. Okay. We see some emails. The first one I'll look at is March 2012. That's POL00096052. It's an email chain of 13 March 2012. It's an email from Alice Perkins to Paula Vennells, Susan Crichton, you and Lesley Sewell. The subject is "James Arbuthnot" and it says: "Alwen will do a note of the meeting for you and attach on a personal basis, a document which [James Arbuthnot] gave me. I won't duplicate that but would like to talk to you about this once you've had a chance to read them and what follows.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all. Thank you. That can come down. I want to move on now to your early work on what I'll call Horizon issues. Okay. We see some emails. The first one I'll look at is March 2012. That's POL00096052. It's an email chain of 13 March 2012. It's an email from Alice Perkins to Paula Vennells, Susan Crichton, you and Lesley Sewell. The subject is "James Arbuthnot" and it says: "Alwen will do a note of the meeting for you and attach on a personal basis, a document which [James Arbuthnot] gave me. I won't duplicate that but would like to talk to you about this once you've had a chance to read them and what follows. "I think [James Arbuthnot] genuinely wants to seek	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all. Thank you. That can come down. I want to move on now to your early work on what I'll call Horizon issues. Okay. We see some emails. The first one I'll look at is March 2012. That's POL00096052. It's an email chain of 13 March 2012. It's an email from Alice Perkins to Paula Vennells, Susan Crichton, you and Lesley Sewell. The subject is "James Arbuthnot" and it says: "Alwen will do a note of the meeting for you and attach on a personal basis, a document which [James Arbuthnot] gave me. I won't duplicate that but would like to talk to you about this once you've had a chance to read them and what follows. "I think [James Arbuthnot] genuinely wants to seek a resolution to the difficulties concerned and is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all. Thank you. That can come down. I want to move on now to your early work on what I'll call Horizon issues. Okay. We see some emails. The first one I'll look at is March 2012. That's POL00096052. It's an email chain of 13 March 2012. It's an email from Alice Perkins to Paula Vennells, Susan Crichton, you and Lesley Sewell. The subject is "James Arbuthnot" and it says: "Alwen will do a note of the meeting for you and attach on a personal basis, a document which [James Arbuthnot] gave me. I won't duplicate that but would like to talk to you about this once you've had a chance to read them and what follows. "I think [James Arbuthnot] genuinely wants to seek a resolution to the difficulties concerned and is willing to believe that we will do the right things.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. A.	But you don't recall being responsible in any way for that passing of information? No, not at all. Thank you. That can come down. I want to move on now to your early work on what I'll call Horizon issues. Okay. We see some emails. The first one I'll look at is March 2012. That's POL00096052. It's an email chain of 13 March 2012. It's an email from Alice Perkins to Paula Vennells, Susan Crichton, you and Lesley Sewell. The subject is "James Arbuthnot" and it says: "Alwen will do a note of the meeting for you and attach on a personal basis, a document which [James Arbuthnot] gave me. I won't duplicate that but would like to talk to you about this once you've had a chance to read them and what follows. "I think [James Arbuthnot] genuinely wants to seek a resolution to the difficulties concerned and is willing to believe that we will do the right things. There is a real prize for us in finding an effective way	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

- A. Yes.
- **Q.** -- Mr Clarke's Advice on the retention of materials, the
- "shredding" advice in August 2013?
- 4 **A.** Yeah.
- Q. We have Susan Crichton leaving towards the latter half
- of 2013 --
- ' A. Yeah.
- **Q.** -- Mr Aujard taking his position in October 2013.
- Throughout the later period so 2014, you have issues
- 10 with the Mediation Scheme and then you have Jane MacLeod
- 11 taking over in January 2015.
- 12 A. Mm-hm.
- **Q.** How were those 2013 issues, from Susan Crichton's time,
- passed to somebody like Jane MacLeod? How would the
- company ensure that that institutional knowledge from
- 16 2013 moved to the person who took on the role in 2015?
- **A.** So my understanding is that that the -- so the briefing
- 18 from Susan to Chris Aujard, I believe Susan did that,
- 9 and she would also have had at the time a Head of Legal,
- who would presumably have -- not that I have any
- 1 knowledge -- but would have briefed Chris Aujard on the
- legal issues that are -- that are going on with the
- 3 company. I don't specifically remember -- or I don't
- believe that I was involved in that briefing, although
- 25 I must have briefed him about the Board because that was 38

1		eg adjournment debate etc. To do this, we might			
2		commission a new independent review of the Horizon			
3		related questions (my thought) or invite him accompanied			
4		by someone from Computer Weekly to visit the Model			
5		Office and be shown how Horizon works (his suggestion)			
6		or something else altogether.			
7		"I promised to go back to him once I had the chance			
8		to consider all this. I would like to do so by Easter			
9		or at least have fixed a further meeting by then.			
10		"I am asking Glenda to set up a further meeting to			
11		discuss this amongst ourselves in the next couple of			
12		weeks."			
13		So there's a small group here who are discussing			
14		James Arbuthnot and what looks like what ultimately			
15		becomes Second Sight's investigation.			
16	Α.	Yes.			
17	Q.	Why were you part of this group?			
18	Α.	Well, so Alice is I went with Alice to visit James			
19		Arbuthnot and this is the note she's asking for about			
20		that meeting. I believe Alice wanted me to be involved			
21		when she couldn't be around, almost as her ears on			
22		anything that was discovered.			
23	Q.	Do you think that that is part of the role of company			
24		secretary or is that something else?			
25	Α.	I think it is part of the role of company secretary to 40			

flag up issues to the chair, if they arise and you have
····9 -·· ···· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

- 2 to be part of the conversation to enable you to flag 3 those issues up.
- 4 Q. Were you there simply to listen or to provide
- 5 a substantive contribution?

- 6 Α. So I think I was -- when Alice was in the room, I was
- 7 there to listen and take a note and support her and,
- 8 therefore, the Board. When she wasn't in the room,
- 9 I would contribute but I always did so thinking that I'm
- 10 here representing Alice and the Board.
- Could we turn, please, to POL00107712. We're now on 11 Q.
- 12 11 April. If we could start on page 3, please. There's 13 an email from yourself to Rod Ismay, and you say:
- 14 "Rod, can we have the file on this office as well.
- 15 I will ask Glenda to organise an urgent meeting with the
- 16 four of us to go through both files to see if there are
- 17 similarities. If Oliver and James are coming it would
- 18 be great to be able to look at the keystrokes which
- 19 caused this and explain why they happened." 20
  - So this is relating to Oliver Letwin, so we've now got James Arbuthnot and Oliver Letwin --
- 21
- 22 Α. Yes
- 23 Q. -- and you're asking Rod Ismay to provide you with
- 24 a file; is that right? 25 Α. Yes.
- 41
- 1 Α. What I meant by this was that we're going to talk about 2
- two cases. What I would have liked to see was the
- 3 accounts for those two cases, which show the -- all the
- 4 transactions, the keystrokes, that have gone into those 5
- accounts so that we can somehow find out where 6 a discrepancy has happened, where a mistake has
- 7 happened. So that's what I mean by "keystrokes".
- 8 Q. Keystrokes implies some sort of recording of every 9 single move that a subpostmaster makes?
- 10 A. Yes, yes.
- Q. What was your understanding of the information that was 11 12 available to either subpostmasters or to the Post
- 13 Office, in that respect?
- 14 A. So my understanding, at this point, and -- was that 15 a subpostmaster could see everything in their account
- 16 and they would be able to look at their account and look
- 17 at all the transactions and understand what had 18 happened.
- 19 Did you understand that they could look at the Q. 20 transactions or the keystrokes because those are two
- 21 different things, aren't they?
- 22 Α. Okay, so, in my head, I was -- so I don't differentiate
- 23 between those two things. That's maybe because I'm not
- 24 as IT literate, or whatever, but what I thought I was
- 25 saying here was I would like to look at the keystrokes

- Q. Do you recall this? 1
- Don't recall it but, from reading it now, yes, I --2 Α.
- I think it's the case of Ms Merritt --3 Q.
- 4 That's what I was doing --Δ.
- Q. -- that was being raised by Oliver Letwin? 5
- 6 Α. Yes, yes.
- 7 Q. A few questions in relation to this. I mean, first of
- 8 all, were you then taking a slightly more proactive 9 role?
- 10 A. So I believe I was trying to get all the people that
- 11 were inputting to the notes for Alice and Paula for this
- meeting to provide information, so that a note could be 12
- 13 written. That's my belief of what's going on here.
- 14 Q. Reference here to looking at the keystrokes which caused 15 the issue.
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Q. You had, by this stage, worked at the Post Office and
- Royal Mail for a very considerable period of time. 18
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Q. You had worked with subpostmasters?
- 21 Α. Yes
- 22 Q. You had, as you say, used Horizon but never balanced on 23 Horizon?
- 24 Α. Yes
- 25 Q. What did you mean by "keystrokes"?
  - 42
- 1 for each transaction. So --
- 2 Q. So every step that led up to the transaction --
- 3 A. Every step, yes, yes.
- 4 Q. Did Mr Ismay or anybody come back to you and say that's 5 possible or not possible?
- 6 A. Not that I can remember. I think we got a -- I think we 7 got the files through that we needed to put together the
- 8 brief for the two MPs. 9
  - Q. Did you, at this stage, know what ARQ data was?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Do you recall looking at what you understood to be the keystroke data at that time or the transaction data? 12
- So I didn't look at this in any detail. The people who 13 Α.
- 14 were providing this would provide this for the report
- 15 for the MPs. I didn't have the knowledge to be able to 16 challenge any of these reports.
- 17 Q. Could we turn to POL00057656, please. This is a note
- 18 from 3 May 2012 discussion on James Arbuthnot and Oliver
- 19 Letwin meeting. This is your note, I think your name is 20 at the bottom of it.
- 21 **A**. Yeah.
- 22 Q. Do you recognise it?
- 23 Α. I don't recognise it but it clearly is my note.
- 24 Q. It has there "Present: Alice, Paula, Susan and Alwen",
- 25 so a very small group: Chair, Chief Executive, General 44

1		Counsel and you?
2	Α.	Yes.
3	Q.	"On 17 May, we have [James Arbuthnot] and [Oliver
4		Letwin] arriving at 10.30 with [Oliver Letwin] leaving
5		at 11.30 and [James Arbuthnot] leaving at 12.00:
6		"The best outcome of this meeting would be
7		a position where they believe our evidence in their
8		individual constituent's cases and support how we are
9		handling the current situation.
10		"Longer term, once they are assured by the review of
11		Horizon they could 'help' to win others round."
12		If we scroll down on the same page, one of the
13		bullet points says as follows, it says:
14		"Explain the old and new Horizon systems and that
15		any live system review would have to be on the new
16		system, although we have an audit trail of every
17		keystroke in the old system kept for 7 years."
18		There's again there the reference to "keystrokes".
19	Α.	Yes, yes.
20	Q.	Was that a term that the other attendees at this meeting
21		would have used or is this your wording?
22	Α.	So this is my note of the conversations in the meeting,
23		so I don't know whose words those are in the meeting.
24		So I don't know what the others in the meeting knew and
25		I don't know who mentioned "keystrokes", if it was me or
		45
1		"Brampton SPSO Barnsley.
2		"Contract termination due to an aged debt of
3		£20,000 former [subpostmaster] claims ATM/Horizon was
4		at fault for the loss in as much as a transferred to ATM
5		figure doubled up (matter dealt with by conduct and not
6		prosecution)
7		Whilst in summary the former [subpostmaster] was not

7 Whilst in summary the former [subpostmaster] was not
8 operating the ATM reconciliation correctly, she is
9 alleging that the Horizon system and ATM machine
10 generated the loss and that she has been the innocent
11 victim in this matter "

- victim in this matter."
   If we scroll up, please, we have Mr Flemington
   sending you and Ms Crichton that email and saying:
- 14 "So a possible ATM issue rather than Horizon this
  15 time ..."
- 16 Your response was:
- 17 "This isn't the only ATM one though so we need to be
  18 careful we don't make that the next computer system they
  19 want a forensic review of!!"
- 20 Can you assist us with why you were being copied in
- 21 and why this correspondence was taking place with
- 22 Mr Flemington?
- A. So I don't know why I was copied in by Hugh. So I don't know.
- 25 **Q.** We've seen your involvement with James Arbuthnot -- 47

- 1 someone else. I don't have a recollection.
- 2 Q. Do you recall anyone ever challenging that term or
- 3 anyone explaining the level of information that was or
- 4 was not available to the Post Office?
- 5 **A.** No.
- 6 Q. Were you aware of costs involved in obtaining what we7 know as ARQ or audit data?
- 8 **A.** No, at this -- I didn't know what ARQ data -- or what it
- 9 was called or whatever.
- Q. Thank you. Could we please turn to POL00180773. We're
   still in 2012. If we scroll down, there's an email from
- 12 Hugh Flemington. So if we -- yeah, that's fine. Thank
- 13 you. So it's Mr Pardoe to Hugh Flemington, Susan
- 14 Crichton is copied in. It's then forwarded to you by
- 15 Hugh Flemington slightly above but we can stick with
- 16 this email to start with. Did you know Mr Pardoe?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. So he says it's related to "Wincor ATM Log Fault", andhe says:
  - lie says.
- 20 "As per our conversation I have been advised that21 a contract termination issue has been passed, by former
- a contract termination issue has been passed, by former
   [subpostmaster], to Shoosmiths in regards to alleged
- Horizon issues and an erroneous entry in an ATM log (not
- 24 a Horizon log)."
  - It gives the details:
    - 46
- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 Q. -- with Oliver Letwin.
- 3 **A.** Yes.

- 4 Q. By this stage, were you taking more of an active role in
  5 those discussions regarding potential litigation, for
  6 example, we see here the mention of Shoosmiths, which is
- 6 example, we see here the mention of Shoosmiths, which7 a law firm?
- 8 A. Yes, I don't believe I had any involvement in the
  9 Shoosmiths issues but this -- it may well be that Hugh
- thought, because of the James Arbuthnot and the MP
- 11 meetings, that it was -- you know, it mentions Horizon,
- 12 so I needed to be included.
- 13 **Q**. Your comment there that "[We have got] to be careful we
- 14 don't make that the next computer system they want
- 15 forensic review of", was that reflective of a general
- view in the company; was that something that was simplyyour own view?
- 18 A. So I think that -- I think -- I must have known at the
- 19 time, and I don't recall now, but I must have known at
- 20 the time that there were ATM -- there were issues with
- 21 the ATM reconciliations and how those numbers got put
- 22 into Horizon. But it was a completely separate system,
- so I don't know why I made that comment. You know. No,I don't know.
- 25 Q. Could we please turn to POL00180830. We're now just 48

1	over a week later, 28 June, on page 2, please. Hugh	1		
2 3	Flemington sends Paula Vennells an email. "Hi Paula	2 3		
4	"I just wanted to flag this court case to you.	4		nade
4 5	"We have civil (not criminal) case in court tomorrow	4 5		page
6	where we have already had an admission from the	6		appl
7	subpostmaster that she owed us the money. Tomorrow sees	7		play
8	us try to put a charge on her property so <i>if</i> she ever	8		abilit
9	sells it we (hopefully) get paid back out of the scales	9		band
10	proceeds."	10		and
11	Then it gives a brief history, and it says:	11		litiga
12	"She started out as a [subpostmistress] in 2008 and	12		iiige
13	seems to have had balancing issues from the start. She	13		subp
14	closed temporarily in 2010 due to ill health and finally	14		halfv
15	for good in 2011. We never terminated her because of	15		
16	her illness. She resigned in June 2011 but it seems by	16		loss
17	November 2011 we had noticed losses (10k). The husband	17		He a
18	then makes various allegations. However the	18		poin
19	subpostmistress offers a debt management payment which	19		inac
20	we reject (only £5 per month). We issued court	20		allec
21	proceedings for the whole debt in [February] this year	21		Hea
22	and the subpostmistress admitted the debt! We then took	22		adeo
23	the steps to place a charging order on the property to	23		
24	try to ensure we actually see the money if we ever sell	24		page
25	their property."	25		Ven
	49			
1	team that we saw before:	1		[l thi
2	"For your information only update on this one for	2		ecor
3	you:	3		
4	"The hearing went ahead last Friday and we were	4		ema
5	granted a 'Final Charging Order' over Mrs Etheridge's	5	Α.	So I
6	house.	6		ema
7	"The district judge made it clear this doesn't mean	7		to up
8	[the Post Office] can force her to sell her property.	8		upda
9	It just protects [the Post Office's] position if she	9		this
10	ever does sell."	10		Hori
11	The bottom point says:	11		knov
12	"Apparently Mr Etheridge turned up to court with	12	Q.	It loo
13	a bundle of papers, including correspondence with his	13		Offic
14	MP. We have not had sight of this bundle but have	14	Α.	Yeal
15	requested a copy"	15	Q.	ar
16	If we scroll up, please, there's a response from	16		not g
17	Ms Vennells, she says:	17	Α.	Yes.
18	"Hugh: Thank you very much. Just so I'm clear, does	18	Q.	ls th
19	this mean we got the outcome you wanted, ie no	19		relev
20	adjournment and future repayment of the debt?"	20	Α.	No,
21	His response is as follows:	21		pers
22	"Yes, we got the outcome we wanted and we have the	22		and
23	flexibility not to press it further etc if we ever want	23		were
24	to be 'caring', etc.	24	-	busi
25	And yes we are looking at the whole area of prosec 51	25	Q.	Wou

1		Mr Flemington proposes as follows, he says:
2		"I think we proceed with the hearing."
3		Over the page, please, on page 3 at the top of
4		page 3 he says:
5		"I don't think we should agree to any adjournment
6		application as it will just encourage more debtors to
7		play the system and use these tactics to slow down our
8		ability to recovery. They will all jump on the
9		bandwagon. We will however need to manage the PR side
10		and Alana has already been briefed by Chris our
11		litigator."
12		If we scroll down it details the complaint by the
13		subpostmistress's husband it's the fourth pull up there,
14		halfway through that, it says:
15		"Mr Etheridge appears to have blamed the ATM for the
16		losses, but he never sets out any specific allegations.
17		He also refers to a lack of adequate training and at one
18		point appears to blame staff members for entering
19		inaccurate detail on to the Horizon system. No specific
20		allegations are made about the Horizon system itself.
21		He also accuses the business of failing to provide
22		adequate support."
23		If we scroll, please, to page 1, the bottom of
24		page 1, Hugh Flemington sends this email to you, Paula
25		Vennells and Susan Crichton. So, again, the same small
		50
1		[I think that's prosecutions] etc and things like
2		economic cut-off levels below which we don't chase etc."
3		Can you assist us with why you were copied into this
4		email?
5	Α.	So I again, I don't know why I was copied into this
6		email. It may well have been because that enabled them
7		to update Alice, I don't know. I don't remember
8		updating Alice from this email, so I don't remember
9		this email but I would imagine it was because it was
10		Horizon issue and Hugh or Hugh felt that I needed to
11		know about it.
12	Q.	It looks as though, from the summer of 2012, the Post
13		Office was looking into the whole area of prosecution
14	Α.	Yeah.
15	Q.	and things like economic cut-off levels, so perhaps
16		not going after the smaller cases?
17	Α.	Yes.
18	Q.	Is that something, as Company Secretary, would have been
19		relevant to your role as Company Secretary?
20	Α.	No, I think Susan Crichton as General Counsel was the
21		person leading on that. My only role would have been if
22		and when it came to the Board, so at the board
23		were it was explained to them what decisions the
24		business were making.
25	Q.	Would it be of relevance to the Board that the whole
		52

(13) Pages 49 - 52

1		area of prosecutions was being rethought at that stage?				
2	Α.	So I don't know if the Board knew that at this point and				
3		my expectation would be that, when we have something to				
4		tell the Board, we would then take that to the Board and				
5		say, "This is what we've considered and this is the				
6		changes we would want to make".				
7	Q.	Do you recall following that up at all, asking any				
8	·	guestions at this stage about the business looking at				
9		the whole area of prosecutions?				
10	Α.	I don't because I would have seen there that Susan was				
11	7.0	picking that up.				
12	0	Would you have independently taken anything forward to				
13	·	the Board or would you have relied on Susan Crichton to				
14		raise it as an issue with you?				
15	Α.	So I would have relied on Susan Crichton.				
16		<b>BLAKE:</b> Sir, that is probably an appropriate moment to				
17		take our first morning break.				
18	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: All right. What time, 11.10?				
19		BLAKE: Thank you very much.				
20		WYN WILLIAMS: Fine.				
21		.00 am)				
22		(A short break)				
22 23	(11	(A short break) .11 am)				
	•	.11 am)				
23	MR	.11 am)				
23 24	MR	<b>11 am)</b> BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Can you see and hear me?				
23 24	MR	.11 am) BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Can you see and hear me? WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, yes.				
23 24 25	MR	<b>11 am)</b> BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Can you see and hear me? WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, yes. 53				
23 24 25 1	MR	11 am) BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Can you see and hear me? WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, yes. 53 attention of Second Sight and to James Arbuthnot, Second				
23 24 25 1 2	MR	11 am)         BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Can you see and hear me?         WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, yes.         53         attention of Second Sight and to James Arbuthnot, Second         Sight were proposing a system whereby subpostmasters				
23 24 25 1 2 3	MR	All am) BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Can you see and hear me? WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, yes. 53 attention of Second Sight and to James Arbuthnot, Second Sight were proposing a system whereby subpostmasters could provide information without fearing that that				
23 24 25 1 2 3 4	MR	A11 am)         BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Can you see and hear me?         WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, yes.         53         attention of Second Sight and to James Arbuthnot, Second         Sight were proposing a system whereby subpostmasters         could provide information without fearing that that         information would be used to prosecute them. The draft				
23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5	MR	A11 am)         BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Can you see and hear me?         WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, yes.         53         attention of Second Sight and to James Arbuthnot, Second         Sight were proposing a system whereby subpostmasters         could provide information without fearing that that         information would be used to prosecute them. The draft         announcement says, as follows, it says:				
23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6	MR	A11 am)         BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Can you see and hear me?         WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, yes.         53         attention of Second Sight and to James Arbuthnot, Second         Sight were proposing a system whereby subpostmasters         could provide information without fearing that that         information would be used to prosecute them. The draft         announcement says, as follows, it says:         "[The Post Office] also recognises that some members				
23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	MR	All am) BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Can you see and hear me? WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, yes. 53 attention of Second Sight and to James Arbuthnot, Second Sight were proposing a system whereby subpostmasters could provide information without fearing that that information would be used to prosecute them. The draft announcement says, as follows, it says: "[The Post Office] also recognises that some members of the Justice for Subpostmasters Alliance may have				
23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR	11 am)         BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Can you see and hear me?         WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, yes.         53         attention of Second Sight and to James Arbuthnot, Second         Sight were proposing a system whereby subpostmasters         could provide information without fearing that that         information would be used to prosecute them. The draft         announcement says, as follows, it says:         "[The Post Office] also recognises that some members         of the Justice for Subpostmasters Alliance may have         concerns about submitting cases for independent review				
23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR	11 am)         BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Can you see and hear me?         WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, yes.         53         attention of Second Sight and to James Arbuthnot, Second         Sight were proposing a system whereby subpostmasters         could provide information without fearing that that         information would be used to prosecute them. The draft         announcement says, as follows, it says:         "[The Post Office] also recognises that some members         of the Justice for Subpostmasters Alliance may have         concerns about submitting cases for independent review         by Second Sight where even basic case information is				
23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR	A11 am)         BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Can you see and hear me?         WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, yes.         53         attention of Second Sight and to James Arbuthnot, Second         Sight were proposing a system whereby subpostmasters         could provide information without fearing that that         information would be used to prosecute them. The draft         announcement says, as follows, it says:         "[The Post Office] also recognises that some members         of the Justice for Subpostmasters Alliance may have         concerns about submitting cases for independent review         by Second Sight where even basic case information is         communicated to [the Post Office]. Whilst [the Post				
23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR	A11 am)         BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Can you see and hear me?         WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, yes.         53         attention of Second Sight and to James Arbuthnot, Second         Sight were proposing a system whereby subpostmasters         could provide information without fearing that that         information would be used to prosecute them. The draft         announcement says, as follows, it says:         "[The Post Office] also recognises that some members         of the Justice for Subpostmasters Alliance may have         concerns about submitting cases for independent review         by Second Sight where even basic case information is         communicated to [the Post Office]. Whilst [the Post         Office] cannot provide any form of immunity from				
23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR	11 am) BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Can you see and hear me? WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, yes. 53 attention of Second Sight and to James Arbuthnot, Second Sight were proposing a system whereby subpostmasters could provide information without fearing that that information would be used to prosecute them. The draft announcement says, as follows, it says: "[The Post Office] also recognises that some members of the Justice for Subpostmasters Alliance may have concerns about submitting cases for independent review by Second Sight where even basic case information is communicated to [the Post Office]. Whilst [the Post Office] cannot provide any form of immunity from prosecution in respect of information held by [the Post				
23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR	11 am) BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Can you see and hear me? WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, yes. 53 attention of Second Sight and to James Arbuthnot, Second Sight were proposing a system whereby subpostmasters could provide information without fearing that that information would be used to prosecute them. The draft announcement says, as follows, it says: "[The Post Office] also recognises that some members of the Justice for Subpostmasters Alliance may have concerns about submitting cases for independent review by Second Sight where even basic case information is communicated to [the Post Office]. Whilst [the Post Office] cannot provide any form of immunity from prosecution in respect of information held by [the Post Office], in recognition of the concerns expressed by the				
23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR	11 am) BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Can you see and hear me? WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, yes. 53 attention of Second Sight and to James Arbuthnot, Second Sight were proposing a system whereby subpostmasters could provide information without fearing that that information would be used to prosecute them. The draft announcement says, as follows, it says: "[The Post Office] also recognises that some members of the Justice for Subpostmasters Alliance may have concerns about submitting cases for independent review by Second Sight where even basic case information is communicated to [the Post Office]. Whilst [the Post Office] cannot provide any form of immunity from prosecution in respect of information held by [the Post Office], in recognition of the concerns expressed by the JFSA, [the Post Office] agrees not to take any				
23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR	11 am) BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Can you see and hear me? WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can, yes. 53 attention of Second Sight and to James Arbuthnot, Second Sight were proposing a system whereby subpostmasters could provide information without fearing that that information would be used to prosecute them. The draft announcement says, as follows, it says: "[The Post Office] also recognises that some members of the Justice for Subpostmasters Alliance may have concerns about submitting cases for independent review by Second Sight where even basic case information is communicated to [the Post Office]. Whilst [the Post Office] cannot provide any form of immunity from prosecution in respect of information held by [the Post Office], in recognition of the concerns expressed by the				

- 17 Office] and to deal with each case submitted in
- a sensitive manner."
  Just pausing there, by this stage, undoubtedly you
  were aware that the Post Office carried out
  a prosecution function?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 **Q.** Could we please turn to the first page, the bottom of
- 24 the first page. There's an email from Susan Crichton to
- 25 you and Mr Baker. She says as follows:

1	мр	<b>BLAKE:</b> Sticking with the summer of 2012, can we please
	IVIT	look at POL00180986, please. If we could please turn to
2		
3		page 2, 19 July 2012. We have an email here from lan
4		Henderson of Second Sight to Susan Crichton, and there
5		is a draft policy statement regarding what by then is
6		the Justice for Subpostmaster Alliance submitted cases.
7		Mr Henderson says:
8		"This is probably way off but this is the sort of
9		briefing note that Janet and I discussed this
10		afternoon."
11		Can you recall who Janet was?
12	Α.	So Janet was James Arbuthnot's PA or Executive
13		Assistant, actually.
14	Q.	Thank you.
15	Α.	It was Janet Walker.
16	Q.	The statement, the draft statement, says as follows:
17		"[The Post Office] has agreed that the Office of the
18		Right Honourable James Arbuthnot should contact Alan
19		Bates and the Justice for Subpostmasters Alliance and
20		request that [they] submit approximately 5 of their best
21		cases for interpreter rem by Second Sight Support
22		Services Limited."
23		So this is the beginning of Second Sight's work on
24		those cases. There is a section at the bottom that
25		addresses individuals bringing their cases to the
		54
1		"Alwen and Simon can we discuss, lan produced
2		this at my request because Kay Linnell (forensic
3		accountant) make much of the fact that she had been
4		contacted by a number of current subpostmasters who had
5		issues with Horizon but were too frightened to contact
6		[the Post Office] because of the consequences
7		obviously we want to be able to review these current
8		cases but I said that we could not give a blanket
9		undertaking not to prosecute so this is the result.
10		What do you think?"
11		Why is Susan Crichton contacting you and Mr Baker?
12	Α.	I think she's contacting me because it mentions the
13		Board. So, in the proposal, it mentions that the Board
14		could be could almost sign off cases being allowed
15		into the scheme, into
16	Q.	I think the proposal was that they wouldn't prosecute

- 17 without the authority of the Board?
- 18 A. Right, yes. So I think that's why Susan has included me19 in this.
- 20 **Q.** If we scroll up, we have your response. You say:
- 21 "Don't really like the Board being involved but
- 22 can't see any way round it. My concern is that this
- 23 becomes the route for misbalances and cases that could
- 24 be sorted by Angela or Rod and their teams will use this
- 25 route for [business as usual]."

<sup>56</sup> 

		The Post Offic
1		Susan Crichton says:
2		"Thought we could substitute ExCo?"
3		Is your understanding of that that she is intending
4		to replace the Board with the Executive Committee in
5		terms of that authorisation?
6	Α.	Yes, that's my understanding.
7	Q.	Thank you. Then you respond and say:
8	<b>.</b>	"Yes, I think so if possible."
9		It was only, at that stage, five cases that were
10		being looked at by Second Sight. Why did you not want
11		that to go to the board?
12	Α.	Because it might not have stopped at five, and it didn't
13		feel to me, as if it was a Board decision.
14	Q.	Were issues such as misbalances seen as principally
15	-	matters for the Executive Committee and below, at this
16		stage?
17	Α.	
18		doing had already started a piece of work looking at
19		improving support and I'm I would have expected
20		misbalances to go through there, rather than to come to
21		the Board.
22	Q.	Was this, we see your response there, was that
23		a decision you made on your own?
24	Α.	I don't know. I may well have run this past Alice.
25		I have no evidence that I did that but I may well have
		57
1		Alice and she believes this, so I can't say one way or
2		the other whether I spoke to the Chair.
3	Q.	Given that it doesn't say, "I spoke to Alice", and given
4		that it says "My"
5	Α.	Then sorry.
6	Q.	Is it more likely or less likely that this is something
7		you decided on your own?
8	Α.	I can't really say but I think it's probably more likely
9		because I would have put it in the email if I'd spoken
10		to Alice.
11	Q.	Thank you. Can we turn to POL00143704 and the bottom of
12		the first page. We have an appointment reminder or

- 12 the first page. We have an appointment reminder or
- 13 place holder, "Room 108 and by [conference] call", and
- 14 the attendees required: Susan, that's Susan Crichton;
- 15 then you; Simon, Simon Baker; Ron and Ian, that's Ron
- Warmington and Ian Henderson. Did you have regularmeetings at this stage with Second Sight?
- 17 meetings at this stage with Second Sight?
- 18 A. So yes, there were regular meetings with Second Sight.
- 19 **Q**. How regular were they?
- 20 A. I can't really say how regular. But we had a lot of21 contact with them.
- 22 **Q.** Why were you a required attendee?
- A. So, again, I think it would have been because I went tothe initial meeting with Alice and all the things
- 25 flowing from that, and Horizon was now -- we're coming 59

- 1 done.
- 2 Q. It certainly appears from this email that you were 3 acting as some sort of filter mechanism for the Board; is that something that you would routinely do? 4 So if anybody had come to me and said, "We would like 5 Α. 6 the Board to do this", I would general have gone to the 7 Chair and said -- not necessarily to do with this, to do with anything in the business -- I would have gone to 8 the Chair and said, "Do you think this is appropriate 9 10 for the Board? Is it the right level?", and we'd have 11 had that discussion. In this particular case, you're not sure whether you did 12 Q. 13 that or not? A. I can't remember. 14 Q. Perhaps if we scroll down slightly on this page, we have 15 16 the email from Susan Crichton to you at 10.58, your 17 response at 2.51. Is it likely or unlikely that you 18 would have had a conversation between those hours? 19 A. I can't say. If Alice had been in the office, for 20 instance, I may well have popped into her office and 21 said, "Alice, can I just run this past you?" I --
- 22 Q. I mean, you refer there to, for example, "My concern is
  that this" --
- 24 A. Yes, I'm not saying -- and it may well -- if I had
- 25 talked to Alice, I may well have said I have spoken to 58
- 1 up to, I think, the Second Sight Interim Report.
- 2 **Q.** We're still in 2012?
- 3 A. Oh, okay, sorry.
- 4 Q. We're still some way off?
- 5 **A.** Some way off that, okay. So I think I was included in 6 things because of the initial contact with Alice and
- that's why I was included and was invited to meetings
  and --
- 9 **Q.** You weren't just invited; you were a required attendee?
- 10 A. Oh, yes, I --
- 11 **Q.** That suggests that you had --
- 12 A. Sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt --
- 13 **Q.** There are only a very small number of people, three
- 14 people from the Post Office. It is suggested that you
- 15 played more than just, for example, a note-taking role?
- 16 **A.** No, I did take part in these meetings.
- 17 Q. Yes. Could we now move on, then, to 2013 and could we18 start with POL00184716. This is February 2013. If we
- 19 scroll down, please, there's an email from Mr Warmington
- 20 to Susan Crichton. I think you've said in your witness
- 21 statement, it's paragraph 163, that you were involved in
- 22 ensuring that Second Sight's questions were addressed by
- 23 the most appropriate person?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 **Q.** Here we have a complaint from Second Sight in relation 60

1	to the information that's being provided by the Post	1	Α.	And, therefore, maybe it appeared we were defensive.
2	Office. Mr Warmington says as follows, he says:	2	Q.	If we scroll up, please, we then have a response from
3	"Susan:	3		Susan Crichton to you, and she says:
4	"As previously reported, Ian and I are getting	4		"Can we have a chat about this when you get
5	seriously concerned about whether [the Post Office] is	5		a moment?"
6	getting to grips with issues that we are raising. Only	6		Do you recall speaking with Susan Crichton about
7	Angela van den Bogerd seems to have grasped the need to	7		concerns that were being raised by Second Sight about
8	really dig into these assertions and <i>join with us</i> (lan	8		an air of defensiveness at the Post Office.
9	and I) in our efforts to seek the truth. An air of	9	Α.	l don't. I don't recall.
10	defensiveness still seems to dominate here and we don't	10	Q.	Why would Susan Crichton want to talk to you about the
11	seem to be able to get the message across that there is	11		content of this email?
12	no future in [the Post Office] simply trying to 'defend	12	Α.	I don't recall this email. I don't know what she wanted
13	its patch' by constantly refuting with scant effort	13		to talk to me about. It could have been that she wanted
14	or evidence every allegation that we put forward."	14		me to help put some more pressure on people to be
15	Was that a complaint that you recognised at the	15		providing Second Sight with the information they needed.
16	time?	16	Q.	Was that part of your role?
17 <b>A</b> .	So I'm not sure I do or I did. I can't remember this	17	Α.	I did chase people for people, yes, I did chase.
18	specific email but I think my role here was to try and	18	Q.	At whose instigation?
19	find the right people that could help Second Sight get	19	Α.	So, at whose so I believed that the Chair had agreed
20	what they needed I don't think at this point, they	20		to this the Second Sight review the Chair wanted
21	are sharing what they need, I think they're sharing the	21		it to go ahead and my belief was that I had to help it
22	allegations with us but I'm not sure we've seen any	22		as much possible to get to the information it needed as
23	evidence at this point. I think we're just responding	23		quickly as possible. So why am I doing this? Because
24	to allegations.	24		I in my I believed I was expected to do that
25 <b>Q</b> .	Yes. 61	25		because we'd signed up to Second Sight doing this 62
1	review.	1		"Horizon backed out the transaction without
2 Q.		2		communicating this to the subpostmaster.
3 A.		3		"For the first time we have evidence Horizon has
4 4	because that's not an entity but, ultimately, by the	4		done something without reporting to the subpostmaster.
5	Chair.	5		"These incidents seem to be limited to power or
	Shall.			
· ·	We'll also see in due course complaints from Second			communication failures
7	We'll also see in due course complaints from Second Sight that they were being provided with large amounts	6		communication failures. "Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised thes
7 8	Sight that they were being provided with large amounts	6 7		"Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised the
8	Sight that they were being provided with large amounts of irrelevant information. Is that a complaint that you	6 7 8		"Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised thes type of issues with the Post Office but Post Office have
8 9	Sight that they were being provided with large amounts of irrelevant information. Is that a complaint that you recognise?	6 7 8 9		"Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised thes type of issues with the Post Office but Post Office have fobbed off the subpostmaster (eg of letter from Andy
8 9 10 <b>A</b> .	Sight that they were being provided with large amounts of irrelevant information. Is that a complaint that you recognise? I don't remember that. No, I don't remember that.	6 7 8 9 10		"Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised thes type of issues with the Post Office but Post Office have fobbed off the subpostmaster (eg of letter from Andy Winn).
8 9 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q</b> .	Sight that they were being provided with large amounts of irrelevant information. Is that a complaint that you recognise? I don't remember that. No, I don't remember that. Let's turn to POL00185741. There's a note of a meeting	6 7 8 9 10 11		"Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised these type of issues with the Post Office but Post Office have fobbed off the subpostmaster (eg of letter from Andy Winn). "We expect to receive this spot review by the end of
8 9 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q</b> . 12	Sight that they were being provided with large amounts of irrelevant information. Is that a complaint that you recognise? I don't remember that. No, I don't remember that. Let's turn to POL00185741. There's a note of a meeting with Second Sight, 11 March 2013. Are these your notes?	6 7 8 9 10 11		"Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised these type of issues with the Post Office but Post Office have fobbed off the subpostmaster (eg of letter from Andy Winn). "We expect to receive this spot review by the end of the week."
8 9 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 <b>A</b> .	Sight that they were being provided with large amounts of irrelevant information. Is that a complaint that you recognise? I don't remember that. No, I don't remember that. Let's turn to POL00185741. There's a note of a meeting with Second Sight, 11 March 2013. Are these your notes? Does it say at the bottom?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		"Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised these type of issues with the Post Office but Post Office have fobbed off the subpostmaster (eg of letter from Andy Winn). "We expect to receive this spot review by the end of the week." This seems to be the first evidence that they have
8 9 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 <b>A.</b> 14 <b>Q</b> .	Sight that they were being provided with large amounts of irrelevant information. Is that a complaint that you recognise? I don't remember that. No, I don't remember that. Let's turn to POL00185741. There's a note of a meeting with Second Sight, 11 March 2013. Are these your notes? Does it say at the bottom? It doesn't have a name at the bottom.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13		"Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised these type of issues with the Post Office but Post Office have fobbed off the subpostmaster (eg of letter from Andy Winn). "We expect to receive this spot review by the end of the week." This seems to be the first evidence that they have seen of that Horizon could do something without
8 9 10 <b>A</b> . 11 <b>Q</b> . 13 <b>A</b> . 14 <b>Q</b> . 15 <b>A</b> .	Sight that they were being provided with large amounts of irrelevant information. Is that a complaint that you recognise? I don't remember that. No, I don't remember that. Let's turn to POL00185741. There's a note of a meeting with Second Sight, 11 March 2013. Are these your notes? Does it say at the bottom? It doesn't have a name at the bottom. Unlikely, I think, but they could be.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		"Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised these type of issues with the Post Office but Post Office have fobbed off the subpostmaster (eg of letter from Andy Winn). "We expect to receive this spot review by the end of the week." This seems to be the first evidence that they have seen of that Horizon could do something without a subpostmaster knowing that it was being done; do you
8 9 10 <b>A</b> . 11 <b>Q</b> . 12 13 <b>A</b> . 14 <b>Q</b> . 15 <b>A</b> . 16 <b>Q</b> .	Sight that they were being provided with large amounts of irrelevant information. Is that a complaint that you recognise? I don't remember that. No, I don't remember that. Let's turn to POL00185741. There's a note of a meeting with Second Sight, 11 March 2013. Are these your notes? Does it say at the bottom? It doesn't have a name at the bottom. Unlikely, I think, but they could be. Attendees, we have you and Simon Baker with Mr Henderson	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A.	"Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised these type of issues with the Post Office but Post Office have fobbed off the subpostmaster (eg of letter from Andy Winn). "We expect to receive this spot review by the end of the week." This seems to be the first evidence that they have seen of that Horizon could do something without a subpostmaster knowing that it was being done; do you agree with that?
8 9 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 13 <b>A.</b> 14 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>A.</b> 16 <b>Q.</b> 17	Sight that they were being provided with large amounts of irrelevant information. Is that a complaint that you recognise? I don't remember that. No, I don't remember that. Let's turn to POL00185741. There's a note of a meeting with Second Sight, 11 March 2013. Are these your notes? Does it say at the bottom? It doesn't have a name at the bottom. Unlikely, I think, but they could be. Attendees, we have you and Simon Baker with Mr Henderson and Mr Warmington. Were you the most senior Post Office	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A.	"Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised these type of issues with the Post Office but Post Office have fobbed off the subpostmaster (eg of letter from Andy Winn). "We expect to receive this spot review by the end of the week." This seems to be the first evidence that they have seen of that Horizon could do something without a subpostmaster knowing that it was being done; do you agree with that? So this must be Second Sight's note because they are
8 9 10 <b>A</b> . 11 <b>Q</b> . 12 13 <b>A</b> . 14 <b>Q</b> . 15 <b>A</b> . 16 <b>Q</b> . 17 18	Sight that they were being provided with large amounts of irrelevant information. Is that a complaint that you recognise? I don't remember that. No, I don't remember that. Let's turn to POL00185741. There's a note of a meeting with Second Sight, 11 March 2013. Are these your notes? Does it say at the bottom? It doesn't have a name at the bottom. Unlikely, I think, but they could be. Attendees, we have you and Simon Baker with Mr Henderson and Mr Warmington. Were you the most senior Post Office representative at that meeting, would you say?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A.	"Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised these type of issues with the Post Office but Post Office have fobbed off the subpostmaster (eg of letter from Andy Winn). "We expect to receive this spot review by the end of the week." This seems to be the first evidence that they have seen of that Horizon could do something without a subpostmaster knowing that it was being done; do you agree with that? So this must be Second Sight's note because they are the way it's written. So I don't know exactly what
8 9 10 A. 11 Q. 12 13 A. 14 Q. 15 A. 15 A. 17 18 19 A.	Sight that they were being provided with large amounts of irrelevant information. Is that a complaint that you recognise? I don't remember that. No, I don't remember that. Let's turn to POL00185741. There's a note of a meeting with Second Sight, 11 March 2013. Are these your notes? Does it say at the bottom? It doesn't have a name at the bottom. Unlikely, I think, but they could be. Attendees, we have you and Simon Baker with Mr Henderson and Mr Warmington. Were you the most senior Post Office representative at that meeting, would you say? Yes, probably.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A.	"Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised the type of issues with the Post Office but Post Office have fobbed off the subpostmaster (eg of letter from Andy Winn). "We expect to receive this spot review by the end of the week." This seems to be the first evidence that they have seen of that Horizon could do something without a subpostmaster knowing that it was being done; do you agree with that? So this must be Second Sight's note because they are the way it's written. So I don't know exactly what happens when there's a communication failure. I always
8 9 10 A. 11 Q. 12 13 A. 14 Q. 15 A. 15 A. 17 18 19 A. 20 Q.	Sight that they were being provided with large amounts of irrelevant information. Is that a complaint that you recognise? I don't remember that. No, I don't remember that. Let's turn to POL00185741. There's a note of a meeting with Second Sight, 11 March 2013. Are these your notes? Does it say at the bottom? It doesn't have a name at the bottom. Unlikely, I think, but they could be. Attendees, we have you and Simon Baker with Mr Henderson and Mr Warmington. Were you the most senior Post Office representative at that meeting, would you say? Yes, probably. If we scroll down, we have "Items discussed". One of	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A.	"Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised the type of issues with the Post Office but Post Office have fobbed off the subpostmaster (eg of letter from Andy Winn). "We expect to receive this spot review by the end of the week." This seems to be the first evidence that they have seen of that Horizon could do something without a subpostmaster knowing that it was being done; do you agree with that? So this must be Second Sight's note because they are the way it's written. So I don't know exactly what happens when there's a communication failure. I always believed that a report was generated by Horizon to tell
8 9 10 A. 11 Q. 12 13 A. 14 Q. 15 A. 15 A. 17 18 19 A. 20 Q. 21	Sight that they were being provided with large amounts of irrelevant information. Is that a complaint that you recognise? I don't remember that. No, I don't remember that. Let's turn to POL00185741. There's a note of a meeting with Second Sight, 11 March 2013. Are these your notes? Does it say at the bottom? It doesn't have a name at the bottom. Unlikely, I think, but they could be. Attendees, we have you and Simon Baker with Mr Henderson and Mr Warmington. Were you the most senior Post Office representative at that meeting, would you say? Yes, probably. If we scroll down, we have "Items discussed". One of them is the John Armstrong case:	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Α.	"Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised these type of issues with the Post Office but Post Office have fobbed off the subpostmaster (eg of letter from Andy Winn). "We expect to receive this spot review by the end of the week." This seems to be the first evidence that they have seen of that Horizon could do something without a subpostmaster knowing that it was being done; do you agree with that? So this must be Second Sight's note because they are the way it's written. So I don't know exactly what happens when there's a communication failure. I always believed that a report was generated by Horizon to tell the subpostmaster what had happened, what had gone
8 9 10 A. 11 Q. 12 13 A. 14 Q. 15 A. 15 A. 17 18 19 A. 20 Q. 21 22	Sight that they were being provided with large amounts of irrelevant information. Is that a complaint that you recognise? I don't remember that. No, I don't remember that. Let's turn to POL00185741. There's a note of a meeting with Second Sight, 11 March 2013. Are these your notes? Does it say at the bottom? It doesn't have a name at the bottom. Unlikely, I think, but they could be. Attendees, we have you and Simon Baker with Mr Henderson and Mr Warmington. Were you the most senior Post Office representative at that meeting, would you say? Yes, probably. If we scroll down, we have "Items discussed". One of them is the John Armstrong case: "Very simple incident.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	А.	"Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised these type of issues with the Post Office but Post Office have fobbed off the subpostmaster (eg of letter from Andy Winn). "We expect to receive this spot review by the end of the week." This seems to be the first evidence that they have seen of that Horizon could do something without a subpostmaster knowing that it was being done; do you agree with that? So this must be Second Sight's note because they are the way it's written. So I don't know exactly what happens when there's a communication failure. I always believed that a report was generated by Horizon to tell the subpostmaster what had happened, what had gone through and what hadn't. So I'm unclear about how
8 9 10 A. 11 Q. 12 13 A. 14 Q. 15 A. 15 A. 17 18 19 A. 20 Q. 21 22 23	Sight that they were being provided with large amounts of irrelevant information. Is that a complaint that you recognise? I don't remember that. No, I don't remember that. Let's turn to POL00185741. There's a note of a meeting with Second Sight, 11 March 2013. Are these your notes? Does it say at the bottom? It doesn't have a name at the bottom. Unlikely, I think, but they could be. Attendees, we have you and Simon Baker with Mr Henderson and Mr Warmington. Were you the most senior Post Office representative at that meeting, would you say? Yes, probably. If we scroll down, we have "Items discussed". One of them is the John Armstrong case: "Very simple incident. "Occurred last year.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		"Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised thes type of issues with the Post Office but Post Office have fobbed off the subpostmaster (eg of letter from Andy Winn). "We expect to receive this spot review by the end of the week." This seems to be the first evidence that they have seen of that Horizon could do something without a subpostmaster knowing that it was being done; do you agree with that? So this must be Second Sight's note because they are the way it's written. So I don't know exactly what happens when there's a communication failure. I always believed that a report was generated by Horizon to tell the subpostmaster what had happened, what had gone through and what hadn't. So I'm unclear about how I would have responded to Second Sight saying this.
8 9 10 A. 11 Q. 12 13 A. 14 Q. 15 A. 15 A. 17 18 19 A. 20 Q. 21 22	Sight that they were being provided with large amounts of irrelevant information. Is that a complaint that you recognise? I don't remember that. No, I don't remember that. Let's turn to POL00185741. There's a note of a meeting with Second Sight, 11 March 2013. Are these your notes? Does it say at the bottom? It doesn't have a name at the bottom. Unlikely, I think, but they could be. Attendees, we have you and Simon Baker with Mr Henderson and Mr Warmington. Were you the most senior Post Office representative at that meeting, would you say? Yes, probably. If we scroll down, we have "Items discussed". One of them is the John Armstrong case: "Very simple incident.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	"Some evidence that subpostmasters have raised these type of issues with the Post Office but Post Office have fobbed off the subpostmaster (eg of letter from Andy Winn). "We expect to receive this spot review by the end of the week." This seems to be the first evidence that they have seen of that Horizon could do something without a subpostmaster knowing that it was being done; do you agree with that? So this must be Second Sight's note because they are the way it's written. So I don't know exactly what happens when there's a communication failure. I always believed that a report was generated by Horizon to tell the subpostmaster what had happened, what had gone through and what hadn't. So I'm unclear about how

(16) Pages 61 - 64

4			4		
1		and we saw this morning your reference to, for example,	1		done. I wasn't although I was the most senior person
2	•	keystrokes and that kind of information?	2 3		here, I'm not the IT person here, Simon is. So I would have expected him to have also picked up on this.
3 ⊿	Q.	Yes, yes.	3 4	•	
4	Q.	You are here finding out that, for the first time, there is evidence that Horizon has done something without	4 5	Q. A.	Yes.
5		_			
6 7		reporting it to the subpostmaster. That must have been	6	Q.	
7	•	quite a significant moment for you, mustn't it?	7		out an independent investigation. Whether you, somebody
8	Α.	So I would have wanted to see the evidence of what	8		who wasn't familiar with IT, thought or didn't think
9		they've got here, what Second Sight are saying add	9		that the system could do something, you're here being
10	~	I don't remember seeing any evidence.	10		told that, in fact, it can do something without telling
11	Q.	Do you remember asking for the evidence?	11		a subpostmaster?
12		I don't.	12	А.	So yes, that is a serious issue. I don't remember in
13	Q.	Do you remember doing anything about this particular	13	~	Second Sight's Interim Report it having this.
14		information?	14	Q.	That's further down the line. We're now only in March
15	А.	I don't, because I think I would still have believed	15		2013.
16	~	that the subpostmaster could see this disconnect.	16		Yes, yes.
17	Q.	We have a meeting with Second Sight where you are the	17	Q.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
18		most senior attendee from the Post Office where you are	18	A.	
19		being told, for the first time, that they have evidence	19	Q.	
20		that Horizon has done something without reporting it to	20		"Alwen to discuss with Alice the confidentiality
21		the subpostmaster. Must that not have been a very	21 22		conditions of the MPs meeting and her status/role at the
22		significant moment in your career?			meeting."
23	А.	So as I say, I still believed that the subpostmaster	23		Can you assist us with the "her status/role at the
24		could see this and I would have I should have asked	24		meeting"; is that your role or Alice's role at the
25		for more evidence, and I don't believe I would have 65	25		meeting? 66
1	^	Alice's role, I'd have said.	1	Α.	No I don't recall that specific meeting.
2		I think you're the only one who's listed here to be	2		If we scroll up, please, we have a response from you.
2	ч.	having a conversation with the Chair. Were you, at this	3	α.	You say:
4		meeting, effectively the route between Second Sight and	4		"This isn't in my diary"
4 5		the Chair?	4 5		I think that must be:
6	۸	Well, I suppose I was always the route between Second	6		" I am [perhaps 'meant'] to be with Alice in
7	А.	Sight and the Chair. I was the route of anything and	_		[Wednesday] morning."
8		the Chair.	7 8	۸	Yes.
9	Q.	Do you recall a conversation with Alice Perkins	9	Q.	If we look at the top email from Susan Crichton to you:
9 10	ω.	following this meeting?	9 10	ω.	"Alwen are you going to be with her all morning.
11	Α.	I don't.	10		Simon and I need some time with you to work out the
12	Q.	Could we please turn to POL00186290. We'll start at the	12		strategy for taking this forward, and your input was key
13	ω.	bottom of the first page, 10 April 2013, Simon Baker	12		as we need to decide how we deal with the aftermath of
			13		the [James Arbuthnot] meeting and how to take this
14 15		emails to you and to Susan Crichton. Again, it's that team of three:	14		forward."
16		"Susan, Alwen	15		It seems from that email that Susan Crichton has
17			10		
18		"Next Wednesday morning I have scheduled	17		formed the view that your input is key in those
19		an offsite meeting with the three of us to get some	18		meetings; is that something you would agree with or not?
20		thinking time to cover the following points"	19 20	А.	Well, it is key because they want to decide in the
		He sets out there the various points. He says:			aftermath of the James Arbuthnot meeting, so it's key
21 22		"Ian is holding the date in his diary. Ron can't make it in person, but could join us on the phone."	21 22		because, again, they want my input, clearly, and it
22 23		make it in person, but could join us on the phone."	22		would be input with the Board in mind and with things in
23 24		So it seems as though they're trying to schedule	23 24	0	mind that because that's the position I came from.
24 25		a meeting with Second Sight, Ian and Ron; do you recall that?	24 25	Q. A.	5
20		67	20	А.	68
		01			<b>6</b> 8

- 1 **Q.** With the Chair in mind?
- 2 **A.** Yes, not the Board.
- Q. So this is, again, with the background, if we trace it
   back to where we started this morning with the meeting
- back to where we started this morning with the meeting
  with James Arbuthnot, the meeting with Oliver Letwin --
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. -- you were, in your view, acting on behalf of the Chair
- 8 in these meetings that followed with Second Sight?9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Had you been asked to carry out that role by the Board?
- 11 **A.** No.
- 12 Q. Did you see your role as acting in this role as Company13 Secretary, or as something else?
- 14 **A.** I don't think you could say it was the traditional
- 15 company secretary role. I think it was wider than that.
- 16 **Q.** Wider or separate to that?
- 17 A. I think -- still think it was predicated on the Chair
- 18 and going back to supporting the Chair and being the
- 19 Chair's ears and eyes in the business, if you like. So
- 20 I think it was still supporting that role.
- Q. In your training to become company secretary, was part
   of that training informing you that you were to be the
- 23 Chair's ears and eyes on the business?
- 24 A. So I think there was something about facilitating the
- 25 Chair -- the chair's connection with the business,69
- were times when I thought then, "This is a lot of work
   on top of my traditional company secretary role", and
- 3 I probably think the same now.
- 4 Q. Why were you being asked to do this role?
- 5 A. I think some of it was about herding the business to try
- 6 to get them to respond to questions and information for7 Second Sight. So I think I was being asked to
- facilitate the independent -- getting information to the
  independent review.
- 10 Q. Could we please turn to POL00186602. We're now on 7 May
- 11 2013. At the bottom of the page, Mr Baker emails Angela
- 12 van den Bogerd, Susan Crichton and you, and he says:
- 13 "I would like to release the Spot Reviews to Second14 Sight tomorrow evening. Any chance I could have your
- 15 comments or approval by the end of day tomorrow?"
- 16 If we scroll up we have your response, which is:
  - "You already have my sign off Simon."

- 18 Why, again, as Company Secretary, would you need to
- 19 sign off the provision of spot reviews to Second Sight?
- 20 A. So I don't remember this email but I think what's --
- 21 when the spot reviews were put together, there were
- 22 certain people that owned parts of the spot review
- 23 because they were subject experts in those areas. So,
- 24 for instance, Angela would have owned anything to do
- 25 with the Network, Lesley would have owned or -- would
  - 71

- and -- but this is a long -- quite a long time ago now.
- 2 I can't specifically remember what was in that training.
- 3 **Q.** But did you see it as part of your role as Company
- 4 Secretary to be attending these kinds of meetings and to
- 5 be pursuing objectives on what you understood to be on6 behalf of the Chair?
- 7 A. No, I think it was wider than my traditional Company8 Secretary role.
- 9 Q. Was that something you ever discussed with the Chair,
  10 "Why am I performing a job that is not, in fact, the job
  11 of a company secretary?"
- 12 A. I can't remember having that conversation with the
- 13 Chair. I had weekly one-to-ones with the Chair and the
- 14 Chair would have known I was doing this work, so -- but
- 15 I don't specifically remember asking her "Do you want me
- 16 to do this work on your behalf?"
- 17 Q. But did you have any concerns that you're being asked to18 do a role that is, in fact, not the role of a company
- 19 secretary?
- 20 A. I don't think so at the time.
- 21 Q. Looking back at it now, do you think you were being
  22 asked to do a role or carrying out a role that was not,
  23 in fact, your role?
- 24 A. I think, as time went on, and this became -- there was
- 25 more to do in this role, if you like, I am sure there 70
- have owned anything to do with IT and so I don't think
   there were any specific areas that I had to sign off
   because I wasn't the subject matter expert which is why
- 3 because I wasn't the subject matter expert, which is why
- 4 I'm saying -- I think why I'm saying to Simon "You've
- already got my" -- "I'm happy for you to send these but
  you need everybody else's input first".
- 7 Q. You're not saying there, "You don't need my sign off",
  8 though --
- 9 **A.** No.
- 10 Q. -- you're saying, "You already have my sign off"?
- 11 A. Yes, yes.
- Q. Why would you have needed to sign off the provision ofinformation to Second Sight?
- 14 A. So -- and I can't remember this email, as I say, but
- 15 I don't think I am signing off the information. I think
- 16 I'm signing off "This is what the spot reviews looked
- 17 like and, if it's not me providing information and
- 18 detail in that area, that person should be signing it
- 19 off, not me, but I am happy for this to go to Second
- 20 Sight". I don't think I'm signing off the detail.
- 21 **Q.** No, but Mr Baker is saying, "I would like to release the
- 22 spot reviews to Second Sight", can he have your comments
- 23 or approval and you say, "You already have my sign off".
- 24 It certainly reads as though you are signing off the
- 25 release of the spot reviews to Second Sight. My

1		question is: why would you, Company Secretary, need to	1		half of
2		sign off the release of the spot review to Second Sight?	2		Jenkin
3	Α.	So, as I say, I can't remember this. It may well have	3		who G
4		been that Simon and I have had a conversation about the	4	Α.	l did, y
5		spot reviews and I've said to him "When you've got all	5	Q.	How di
6		the information, then, you know, it doesn't need my	6	Α.	Mainly
7		further sign off. You're getting information from all	7	Q.	Can yo
8		these people". But I'm	8		contac
9	Q.	It doesn't say, "You don't need my sign off", it says,	9	Α.	I think
10		"You already have my sign off".	10	Q.	Was it
11	Α.	So then I would have said that to him face-to-face.	11	Α.	Yes.
12		That's what I'm assuming. I am assuming this there	12	Q.	Yes. T
13		hasn't been another email where I've signed this off.	13		he's se
14		This is, I think, I've had a conversation with Simon	14		receipt
15		which says, "The spot reviews need to get to Second	15		you a l
16		Sight", and he's going and asking for information is	16		"lf
17		right in the spot reviews, and I've already that the	17		screen
18		conversation which said, "Yes, we need to get these to	18		Balanc
19		Second Sight". But that's just me surmising when	19		(Total
20		reading this now.	20		ultimat
21	Q.	Why, as Company Secretary, would you need to sign off	21		Branch
22		the provision of information to Second Sight?	22		"If
23	Α.	So, as company secretary, I don't think I did have to	23		report,
24		sign it off.	24		a Rece
25	Q.	Thank you. Could we turn to POL00029588. The second	25		Sc
		73			
1		not be aware that there is, in fact, a receipts and	1		"If
2		payments mismatch.	2		report,
3		At the bottom of this page, he says:	3		a Rece
4		"One of the situations that we are taking as	4	Α.	But it's
5		a result of the Local Suspense problem we found this	5	Q.	Yes.
6		year is to put some further checks in for 'situations	6	Α.	l'm not
7		that should never happen' that related to that problem	7		saying
8		and to raise an alert if they do."	8		could s
9		The reference there to "situations that should never	9	Q.	But wo
10		happen", did that strike you at all? We will see that	10		Fujitsu
11		you are copied in to that email. Is this is an issue	11		should
12		that caused you any concern as at 16 May 2013?	12		an aler
13	Α.	So the way I'm reading this that the mismatches should	13		were ta
14		never happen, so the	14		problei
15	Q.	Should never happen?	15		cause
16	Α.	Yes, should never happen. So the piece above where the	16	Α.	So a
17		final report mismatch, that's the way I'm reading that,	17		again,
18		is that, in the future, those things should never	18		has ha
19		happen.	19		does a
20	Q.	But, also, that things have occurred that shouldn't	20		l would
21	-	happen and that wouldn't necessarily be visible to	21		saying
22		a subpostmaster?	22		someth
23	Α.	But where is you see, I can't see anywhere here that	23		and that
24		it's not visible to the subpostmaster.	24		know,
25	0	Wall	25		

25 **Q.** Well:

- f the page, please, is an email from Gareth
- ns to Mr Baker. So by 16 May 2013, did you know
- Gareth Jenkins was?
- yes.
- did you know who he was?
- y from these emails.
- ou recall when you first approximately came into
- ct, was it in this context?
- k so, yes.
- it gathering information for Second Sight?
- The subject is "Balancing issues in 2010", and
- setting out there, I think, what we know as the
- ots and payments mismatch issue. I'll just read to little bit from that email. He says:
- If the clerk presses Cancel ... and on the rollover
- n then presses Rollover again, then the Final
- nce report will have Receipts/Payments mismatch
  - Receipts not equal to Total Payments) which will
- ately lead to a Non-zero Trading Position on the
- ch Trading.
- If the clerk does not check the Final Balance
- t, he/she may not be aware that the report has
- ceipts/Payments mismatch."

So the net effect is that the subpostmaster might 74

1		"If the clerk does not check the Final Balance
2		report, he/she may not be aware that the report has
3		a Receipts/Payments mismatch."
4	Α.	But it's in the final balance report.
5	Q.	Yes.
6	Α.	I'm not for a moment saying that it's right but I'm
7		saying, when I read this, my belief was that the clerk
8		could still see the mismatch.
9	Q.	But would it be of concern to you that Mr Jenkins from
10		Fujitsu was identifying that there were situations that
11		should never happen, that didn't necessarily have
12		an alert and that, in future, one of the actions they
13		were taking was to put in some further checks for such
14		problems and raise an alert if they did occur; did that
15		cause you any concerns?
16	Α.	So and I can't remember reading this but, reading it
17		again, the way I think I would have read this was: this
18		has happened, it was clearly wrong that it happened, it
19		does appear in the balance so, that's the first thing
20		I would have picked up from here however what he's
21		saying is, in the last paragraph here, "But we have put
22		something in place so this should never happen again",
23		and that there will be another alert, alongside, you
24		know, the mismatch that that is visible, "We will
25		give another alert to the subpostmaster". That's the 76

1		way I'm reading it.
2	Q.	That is how Simon Baker communicates it above. If we
3		scroll above, we can see an email from Mr Baker to
4		Lesley Sewell and you, saying:
5		"Lesley, Alwen
6		"Brief overview of the problem encountered in 2010
7		below.
8		"The reassuring point, for those looking for
9		comfort, is that in this case Horizon's monitoring
10		systems automatically picked up the anomaly."
11		"For those looking for comfort", but were you in any
12		way concerned by the contents of that email?
13	Α.	Well, I think I would have been concerned I can't
14		remember the email but I think I would have been
15		concerned that this had happened in the first place.
16	Q.	Yes.
17	Α.	That would have caused me concern but I think I don't
18		think I was looking for comfort. I think that the rest
19		of the email would have given me comfort that (1) the
20		subpostmaster can see it happening and, secondly, now
21		that it has happened, Fujitsu would put something in
22		place to ensure the subpostmaster could see it.
23	Q.	Why was Mr Baker emailing just you and Lesley Sewell on
24		this issue?
25	Α.	I don't know. Are we both if you go down further
		77
1	Q.	Were you aware that he had given evidence in criminal
2		prosecutions?
3	Α.	No.
4	Q.	Were you aware that he'd given evidence in any court
5	•	proceedings?
6	Α.	No, not that I remember.
7	Q.	Could we look turn to POL00186943, please. If we could
8	•	look at the second of those emails, 21 May 2013, so
9		shortly the previous email that we looked at was
10		16 May: this is 21 May. This is from you to Alice

- 10 16 May; this is 21 May. This is from you to Alice11 Perkins and the subject is "James [Arbuthnot] meeting".
- 12 You say:
- 13 "Alice I wanted to apologise for the lack of clarity
  14 at the meeting today. Paula and I have had
  15 a conversation about the way forward and the need to
- 16 ensure Second Sight are working to their terms of
- 17 reference, finding out the facts and not focusing so
- 18 much on keeping the [Justice for Subpostmasters19 Alliance] on board.
- 20 "The [Justice for Subpostmasters Alliance] issue21 will be picked up in the wider stakeholder piece which
- 22 Paula and I have already discussed with Mark and Martin
- 23 Edwards about.24 "I have spoken to Second Sight and also to Susan who
- 25 is contacting Second Sight this evening to reiterate our

- down, are we both on the email further down?
- 2 **Q.** No.

1

4

- 3 A. No, so he's sending this on to us, okay. I don't know
  - why, whether I was chasing something for someone.
- 5 I understand why Lesley would be on there because she's
- 6 the Chief Information Officer. She's the conduit with
- 7 Fujitsu, so it's right that she should be on there and
- 8 whether this is me chasing something or -- I don't know.
- 9 **Q.** Do you want to try and see if you can think about why
- 10 only two people from the Post Office were copied into
- 11 that email, or sent that email, and it was you and
- 12 Lesley Sewell? We've heard that Lesley Sewell was Chief
- 13 Information Officer but why you?
- 14 A. I really don't know. Maybe I'm the only person that15 doesn't know about this yet.
- 16 Q. Is it likely you would have discussed that with the17 Chair or the Chief Executive?
- 18 A. So I do know -- and I cannot remember the date but I do
- 19 recall that there was a conversation with the Chair
- about bugs because -- and before the Second Sight
  Report. So there was that conversation. Whether it was
- 22 predicated on this email, I cannot remember.
- 23 Q. In terms of Mr Jenkins, you said that you became
- 24 familiar with him around this time?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

1		concerns and to ensure that they are focused on
2		delivering the 2-3 MP cases before the summer. Which
3		they promised me they would do.
4		"I would like to clarify one point as I realised
5		that you and Paula were both disappointed with the time
6		which has elapsed since the exchange of letters between
7		James and [Justice for Subpostmasters Alliance] written
8		on 16 April and felt we should have moved things on.
9		"I should explain that we were not copied in on
10		these letters but received them from Second Sight as
11		blind copies two weeks after they were written. This
12		has made reference to them very difficult, and managing
13		the ongoing timelines and agenda challenging.
14		"I believe the call with James on Thursday and
15		a subsequent meeting after recess will help us
16		understand his position in moving this to closure, and
17		also enable us to drive the agenda more proactively."
18		Can you assist us with why first of all, what do
19		you recall of the James Arbuthnot meeting, very briefly,
20		that took place on 21 May?
21	Α.	The one after this?
22	Q.	No, the one that you're apologising in relation to.
23	Α.	So I'm I think this is I can't specifically
24		remember but I think this is the a pre-meet for
25		a James Arbuthnot meeting, and it's this so
		80

1		I don't think James was at this meeting. I think this	
2		is a meeting with Alice and Paula, and presumably other	
3		relevant people who are you know, Mark might have	
4		been there, I don't know who was there, but I don't	
5		think James Arbuthnot was in this meeting.	
6	Q.	Thank you. So this was a pre-meeting before a meeting	
7		with James Arbuthnot?	
8	Α.	That's my understanding.	
9	Q.	You seem to be concerned, requiring an apology for	
10		a lack of clarity. Can you assist us with why you were	1
11		apologising?	1
12	Α.	I don't remember this meeting but if I've apologised for	1
13		a lack of clarity it's likely that Alice has left the	1
14		meeting not very pleased with how it has gone,	1
15		basically. And I think it may be something to do with	1
16		the copy of the letter, which we I allude to further	1
17		down and that she would have expected us to have done	1
18		more, if we'd had that letter since the 16 April. So	1
19		I suspect that we didn't get as far in this meeting as	1
20	~	we would have hoped to get.	2
21	Q.	There also seems to be some concern that has been raised	2
22		about Second Sight working outside of their terms of	2
23		reference, for example. Do you recall concerns being	2
24		raised by Paula Vennells regarding Second Sight working	2
25		within their terms of reference? 81	2
1		straightforward and frank.	
2		"I think it would be worth having a chat about this	
3		at some point soon. There are some things to learn from	
4		it.	
5		"In the meantime, we must get into a different gear	
6 7		on this issue. We stood to gain a huge prize from	
7		embarking on this "	
8 9		Just pausing there, what did you understand to be	
9 10		the "huge prize"?	
11	Α.	So again, it's her words but I think she was talking about an opportunity to show that the computer, Horizon,	
12		was had integrity. I think that's where she is	
13		coming from and to be able to show to the MPs because	
14		Alice's main concern was the MPs was to show to the	
14		MPs that what had actually happened.	-
16	Q.	" huge prize from embarking on this though it was	-
17	પ્લ.	never going to be easy. We have almost blown it on more	-
18		than one occasion and if it goes wrong it will be hugely	-
19		damaging. Too bad if we find substantive things which	4
20		are wrong. But if what goes wrong is self-inflicted,	- -
20 21		that would be inexplicable.	
21		"This is not all down to you by any means but I do	2
22		think in practice you have a pivotal role of only to	2
23 24		bring things to my attention which you have sometimes	
24 25		done."	4
20		83	2

rizon IT Inquiry 21 May 202		
-	Α.	So I think and I think the later on, we'll
		probably get to it the Board were also concerned
3		about the speed at which Second Sight were producing
4		evidence and I think, certainly for Alice, I think
5		Alice, when we started the review, wanted a forensic
6		review to look at the Horizon computer. That's what
7		I think, and you'll have to Alice and you'll no doubt
8		have a chance to do so, but that's my belief, that Alice
9		wanted to know that the computer worked, basically.
10		And what was becoming more apparent was that Second
11		Sight were looking in a much more wider frame than "Does
12		the computer work?", and I think that's what these
13		conversations were about.
14	Q.	You're apologising there. Is that something you
15		regularly did to Alice Perkins; is that something
16		unusual, something that stood out?
17	Α.	I wouldn't have said it was regular but I if I did
18		feel that something hadn't gone as well as I would have
19		wanted it to go for the Chair, then I would apologise
20		because it's, you know, it's easy to apologise and say,
21		"I'm sorry, Alice, we didn't have as good a meeting as
22		we had hoped to have today".
23	Q.	If we group we can see Alice Perkins' response, she
24		says:
25		"Thank you for saying all this. Characteristically
		82
4		
		What did you understand by that?
	А.	Well, again, you'd have to ask Alice what she meant by
		that but I took from that again, from rereading the
		email that we were taking too long to do things.
		I mean, it's interesting, in Alice's head, you know, if
		we find substantive things wrong with the computer, then
		let's find those things wrong with the computer and have
		proper evidence there's things wrong with the computer
		and put them right. That's sort of what I'm reading
	~	from this.
	Q.	What did you understand by "self-inflicted" to have
		been? It seems to be a criticism of yourself or
	А.	I don't think it is. Well, it might have been. I don't
		think it is. I think it's that the business has not
		provided things and has not and it's an independent
		review, so it's not a case of, you know because
		I know the word "managing" that review has been used at
		a later stage in the Board. It's not a case of managing
		that review; it's enabling that review to get to a place
		that review needs to get to and get to it quickly.
		I'm not reading that as personal to me but I could
	~	be wrong.
23	Q.	She then says:
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 21 31 4 15 16 7 18 19 20 12 22 22 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 21 31 4 15 16 7 18 19 20 12 22 23 24 25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 21 31 4 15 16 7 18 19 20 12 22 23	1       A.         2       3         4       5         6       7         8       9         10       11         12       3         14       15         16       7         17       A.         10       11         12       22         21       22         22       23         4       5         6       7         8       9         10       A.         12       3         4       5         6       7         8       9         10       14         15       16         17       18         9       10         12       3         4       5         6       7         8       9         101       12         12       3         4       5         6       7         8       9         101       12         12       2         13

- 24 "This is not ..."
- 25 I've put the emphasis on the word "all":

1		"This is not all down to you by any means but I do
2		think in practice you have a pivotal role"
3		What did you understand by "of only to bring things
4		to my attention"?
5	Α.	I think it should be "if only".
6	Q.	"If only to bring things to my attention"?
7	Α.	So that's me coming back to the fact that the things
8		I was doing, I was doing on behalf of Alice and, here,
9		she's making it very clear that, you know, the role that
10	~	you need to bring things to my attention.
11	Q.	Then she says "which you have sometimes done"?
12	A.	Yes, yes.
13 14	Q. A.	Would you have read that to mean not always? Not necessarily. It's a bit damned with faint praise,
14	А.	isn't it, really?
16	Q.	Do you read this email or did you read this email as
17		a bit of a telling off from the Chair? I mean, you've
18		apologised in the email below and it's not just "Thank
19		you very much for apologising", it's then just raising
20		some concerns about something that could be hugely
21		damaging to the business?
22	Α.	So, yes, I would have had taken this as a telling off
23		from the Chair.
24	Q.	Is it something that you recall that stands out in
25		memory, being criticised by the Chair of Post Office?
		85
1	Q.	Was there increased pressure coming from above during
2		this period, in light of Second Sight's investigation
3		seemingly, potentially causing problems for the
3 4		business?
3 4 5	Α.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"?
3 4 5 6	Q.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you.
3 4 5 6 7		business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you. Oh, okay. Well, there's pressure here from Alice, isn't
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you. Oh, okay. Well, there's pressure here from Alice, isn't there, because I can see it in this email, that this
3 4 5 7 8 9	Q.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you. Oh, okay. Well, there's pressure here from Alice, isn't there, because I can see it in this email, that this meeting has not gone very well, I've apologised because
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you. Oh, okay. Well, there's pressure here from Alice, isn't there, because I can see it in this email, that this meeting has not gone very well, I've apologised because I knew she left the meeting not very happy and she's
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you. Oh, okay. Well, there's pressure here from Alice, isn't there, because I can see it in this email, that this meeting has not gone very well, I've apologised because I knew she left the meeting not very happy and she's come back and explained why she wasn't very happy, in
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you. Oh, okay. Well, there's pressure here from Alice, isn't there, because I can see it in this email, that this meeting has not gone very well, I've apologised because I knew she left the meeting not very happy and she's come back and explained why she wasn't very happy, in terms of tensions between yes, there was pressure,
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you. Oh, okay. Well, there's pressure here from Alice, isn't there, because I can see it in this email, that this meeting has not gone very well, I've apologised because I knew she left the meeting not very happy and she's come back and explained why she wasn't very happy, in
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you. Oh, okay. Well, there's pressure here from Alice, isn't there, because I can see it in this email, that this meeting has not gone very well, I've apologised because I knew she left the meeting not very happy and she's come back and explained why she wasn't very happy, in terms of tensions between yes, there was pressure, there was clearly pressure, we were doing a lot of work,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you. Oh, okay. Well, there's pressure here from Alice, isn't there, because I can see it in this email, that this meeting has not gone very well, I've apologised because I knew she left the meeting not very happy and she's come back and explained why she wasn't very happy, in terms of tensions between yes, there was pressure, there was clearly pressure, we were doing a lot of work, trying to get Second Sight to do a place where they
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you. Oh, okay. Well, there's pressure here from Alice, isn't there, because I can see it in this email, that this meeting has not gone very well, I've apologised because I knew she left the meeting not very happy and she's come back and explained why she wasn't very happy, in terms of tensions between yes, there was pressure, there was clearly pressure, we were doing a lot of work, trying to get Second Sight to do a place where they could do the Interim Report, trying to get them to focus
3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you. Oh, okay. Well, there's pressure here from Alice, isn't there, because I can see it in this email, that this meeting has not gone very well, I've apologised because I knew she left the meeting not very happy and she's come back and explained why she wasn't very happy, in terms of tensions between yes, there was pressure, there was clearly pressure, we were doing a lot of work, trying to get Second Sight to do a place where they could do the Interim Report, trying to get them to focus on evidence, and there may well have been pressure, you
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q. A.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you. Oh, okay. Well, there's pressure here from Alice, isn't there, because I can see it in this email, that this meeting has not gone very well, I've apologised because I knew she left the meeting not very happy and she's come back and explained why she wasn't very happy, in terms of tensions between yes, there was pressure, there was clearly pressure, we were doing a lot of work, trying to get Second Sight to do a place where they could do the Interim Report, trying to get them to focus on evidence, and there may well have been pressure, you know, or between Alice and Paula. I don't know.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. A.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you. Oh, okay. Well, there's pressure here from Alice, isn't there, because I can see it in this email, that this meeting has not gone very well, I've apologised because I knew she left the meeting not very happy and she's come back and explained why she wasn't very happy, in terms of tensions between yes, there was pressure, there was clearly pressure, we were doing a lot of work, trying to get Second Sight to do a place where they could do the Interim Report, trying to get them to focus on evidence, and there may well have been pressure, you know, or between Alice and Paula. I don't know. Could we please turn to POL00105632. This is the very
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q. A.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you. Oh, okay. Well, there's pressure here from Alice, isn't there, because I can see it in this email, that this meeting has not gone very well, I've apologised because I knew she left the meeting not very happy and she's come back and explained why she wasn't very happy, in terms of tensions between yes, there was pressure, there was clearly pressure, we were doing a lot of work, trying to get Second Sight to do a place where they could do the Interim Report, trying to get them to focus on evidence, and there may well have been pressure, you know, or between Alice and Paula. I don't know. Could we please turn to POL00105632. This is the very next day, 6.00 in the morning, an email from you to Paula Vennells, copied to Martin Edwards, Mark Davies and Susan Crichton. You say:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you. Oh, okay. Well, there's pressure here from Alice, isn't there, because I can see it in this email, that this meeting has not gone very well, I've apologised because I knew she left the meeting not very happy and she's come back and explained why she wasn't very happy, in terms of tensions between yes, there was pressure, there was clearly pressure, we were doing a lot of work, trying to get Second Sight to do a place where they could do the Interim Report, trying to get them to focus on evidence, and there may well have been pressure, you know, or between Alice and Paula. I don't know. Could we please turn to POL00105632. This is the very next day, 6.00 in the morning, an email from you to Paula Vennells, copied to Martin Edwards, Mark Davies
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. A.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you. Oh, okay. Well, there's pressure here from Alice, isn't there, because I can see it in this email, that this meeting has not gone very well, I've apologised because I knew she left the meeting not very happy and she's come back and explained why she wasn't very happy, in terms of tensions between yes, there was pressure, there was clearly pressure, we were doing a lot of work, trying to get Second Sight to do a place where they could do the Interim Report, trying to get them to focus on evidence, and there may well have been pressure, you know, or between Alice and Paula. I don't know. Could we please turn to POL00105632. This is the very next day, 6.00 in the morning, an email from you to Paula Vennells, copied to Martin Edwards, Mark Davies and Susan Crichton. You say:
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you. Oh, okay. Well, there's pressure here from Alice, isn't there, because I can see it in this email, that this meeting has not gone very well, I've apologised because I knew she left the meeting not very happy and she's come back and explained why she wasn't very happy, in terms of tensions between yes, there was pressure, there was clearly pressure, we were doing a lot of work, trying to get Second Sight to do a place where they could do the Interim Report, trying to get them to focus on evidence, and there may well have been pressure, you know, or between Alice and Paula. I don't know. Could we please turn to POL00105632. This is the very next day, 6.00 in the morning, an email from you to Paula Vennells, copied to Martin Edwards, Mark Davies and Susan Crichton. You say: "Paula the only things that is not for the brief for James is our move away from 'there are no bugs in Horizon' to 'there are known bugs in every computer
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A.	business? Who do you mean by "from above"? Entirely up to you. Oh, okay. Well, there's pressure here from Alice, isn't there, because I can see it in this email, that this meeting has not gone very well, I've apologised because I knew she left the meeting not very happy and she's come back and explained why she wasn't very happy, in terms of tensions between yes, there was pressure, there was clearly pressure, we were doing a lot of work, trying to get Second Sight to do a place where they could do the Interim Report, trying to get them to focus on evidence, and there may well have been pressure, you know, or between Alice and Paula. I don't know. Could we please turn to POL00105632. This is the very next day, 6.00 in the morning, an email from you to Paula Vennells, copied to Martin Edwards, Mark Davies and Susan Crichton. You say: "Paula the only things that is not for the brief for James is our move away from 'there are no bugs in

- A. As I say, I didn't remember this email, so it couldn't have stood out for me.
- 3 Q. Do you remember significant tensions towards the end of
   4 May 2013 with the Chair, in respect of the Second Sight
   5 investigation?
- A. Not between myself and the Chair, no. I don't remember
  that. Whether there were other tensions with other
  people --
- 9 Q. Do you recall increased pressure at this time in the
  business in relation to the work that Second Sight were
  carrying out at the time?
- 12 A. Yes. Yes, I do.
- 13 Q. Do you recall concerns that the Second Sight14 investigation could be hugely damaging?
- 15 A. So Alice is saying, "If it goes wrong it will be hugely
- 16 damaging"; I don't think she's saying that it's going to17 be hugely damaging.
- 18 Q. "We have almost blown it on more than one occasion ..."
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. "We stood to gain a huge prize from embarking on this21 ..."
- A. And I think this is all about how long it's taking and
   the clarity of Second Sight in Alice's mind looking at
- the computer. I think that's what she's talking abouthere, but I --

1		subpostmaster is disadvantaged by them' it would be good
2		to be able to go on and say 'or has been wrongly
3		suspended or prosecuted'.
4		"I do not think that is a phone call conversation
5		but needs to be aired at some point with James, I would
6		suggest at your meeting."
7		"Our move away from 'There are no bugs in Horizon'";
8		who is "our"?
9	Α.	So I think this is talking about a brief for James'
10		meeting and I can't remember who was putting that
11		together. It may have been Martin Edwards, it may have
12		been Mark Davies. I can't remember and I've this is
13		me saying this is me saying, in the brief I've
14		obviously read the brief for James and, in the brief for
15		James, we are silent on there are no you know, we are
16		silent on the fact that we have found bugs.
17		So I believe this is me saying we need to be upfront
18		here and we need to be honest and we need to say we have
19		found some bugs in Horizon, and well, as you can read.
20	Q.	" but they are found and put right and no
21		subpostmaster is disadvantaged by them'"
22	Α.	That's sorry.
23	Q.	How could the Post Office say that or be confident in
24		that?
05		VAV - U. J. Albin Lands - A. Una service of bases in such as a service of a science of

25 A. Well, I think what I'm saying here is we need evidence 88

1	that we can if we want to say these things, we need	1		things in the brief for James", I'm saying the brief for
2	evidence that they are 100 per cent true and the same	2		James needs to cover bugs and, if we have the
3	you know, the same true of or have been wrongly	3		information that says we can say that sentence, in
4	suspended or pros if we want if we're going to say	4		parenthesis, and the final sentence, if we have that
5	to James anything on bugs, we need to be absolutely	5		information, that should be in James' brief.
6	clear that that statement is true, that they've been	6		l'm not l
7	found, put right and no subpostmaster is disadvantaged	7	Q.	Where are you saying, "If we have that information"?
8	by them.	8	Α.	I'm saying it would be good to say but
9 <b>Q</b> .	But aren't you saying that is what James needs to be	9	Q.	No, you're saying, "It would be good to be able to go on
0	told?	10		and say 'or has been wrongfully suspended or
1 <b>A</b> .	No, I'm saying	11		prosecuted'". You weren't sure you could go that far
2 <b>Q</b> .	You're saying it's not in the brief, there isn't	12		but it does seem from this email that you thought you
3	anything in the brief for James	13		could at least say that they're found and put right and
4 <b>A</b> .	Yes.	14		no subpostmaster is disadvantage by them, or have
15 <b>Q</b> .	that has our new line, our new line being not that	15		I misinterpreted that email?
6	there aren't bugs in Horizon but that there are bugs,	16	Α.	I personally think you've misinterpreted that email but,
17	that that arises in every computer system, but they are	17		you know, I can't specifically remember sending this
8	found and put right and no subpostmaster is	18		email. I do know I would not have wanted anything to be
9	disadvantaged by them. That was the new corporate line,	19		in a brief for anyone that wasn't an accurate piece of
20	it seems from this email.	20		information.
21	Two questions, really: where did that corporate line	21	Q.	So where are those words that are quoted here from?
22	originate from and, secondly, how could you be confident	22		I can't remember but they are quoted. I don't think
23	that that was correct?	23		they're my words.
24 <b>A</b> .	Well, I wasn't confident that was correct. I'm asking	24	Q.	Well, you are proposing the addition of "or has been
25	the question here. I'm not saying, "Put these two	25		wrongfully suspended or prosecuted", aren't you?
	89			90
1 1	Leap't remember if these are my words or if I'm saving	1		lamos' brief is a comment on burge, and I would like it
1 <b>A</b> .	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1		James' brief is a comment on bugs, and I would like it
2	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been	2		also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not
2 3	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on	2 3		also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without
2 3 4	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true".	2 3 4	eir	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything.
2 3 4 5 <b>Q</b> .	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email?	2 3 4 5	SIF	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything. RWYN WILLIAMS: Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read it
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b>	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe	2 3 4 5 6	SIF	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything. R WYN WILLIAMS: Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read it which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe that we would have put that in a brief if we didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7	SIF	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything. <b>R WYN WILLIAMS:</b> Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read it which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of all, you were saying there's nothing in the brief about
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe that we would have put that in a brief if we didn't if we hadn't checked it. Susan is on this email so	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SIF	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything. <b>RWYN WILLIAMS:</b> Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read it which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of all, you were saying there's nothing in the brief about a move in the Post Office position from "There are no
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 9 <b>Q.</b>	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe that we would have put that in a brief if we didn't if we hadn't checked it. Susan is on this email so It wasn't in the brief, though?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SIF	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything. <b>R WYN WILLIAMS:</b> Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read it which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of all, you were saying there's nothing in the brief about a move in the Post Office position from "There are no bugs in Horizon" to "There are known bugs in every
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 9 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b>	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe that we would have put that in a brief if we didn't if we hadn't checked it. Susan is on this email so It wasn't in the brief, though? It wasn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	SIF	<ul> <li>also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything.</li> <li><b>R WYN WILLIAMS:</b> Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read it which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of all, you were saying there's nothing in the brief about a move in the Post Office position from "There are no bugs in Horizon" to "There are known bugs in every computer system", et cetera, all right? My reading of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 9 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b>	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe that we would have put that in a brief if we didn't if we hadn't checked it. Susan is on this email so It wasn't in the brief, though? It wasn't "Paula, the only thing that is not in the brief for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	SIF	<ul> <li>also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything.</li> <li>R WYN WILLIAMS: Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read it which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of all, you were saying there's nothing in the brief about a move in the Post Office position from "There are no bugs in Horizon" to "There are known bugs in every computer system", et cetera, all right? My reading of that is not least buttressed by evidence I've heard from</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 9 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe that we would have put that in a brief if we didn't if we hadn't checked it. Susan is on this email so It wasn't in the brief, though? It wasn't "Paula, the only thing that is not in the brief for James is our move away", and the move away is not "There	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	SIF	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything. <b>R WYN WILLIAMS:</b> Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read it which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of all, you were saying there's nothing in the brief about a move in the Post Office position from "There are no bugs in Horizon" to "There are known bugs in every computer system", et cetera, all right? My reading of that is not least buttressed by evidence I've heard from other sources, that, by this stage, that was the Post
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 9 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe that we would have put that in a brief if we didn't if we hadn't checked it. Susan is on this email so It wasn't in the brief, though? It wasn't "Paula, the only thing that is not in the brief for James is our move away", and the move away is not "There are no bugs", but now "There are bugs but they're found	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	SIF	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything. <b>R WYN WILLIAMS:</b> Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read it which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of all, you were saying there's nothing in the brief about a move in the Post Office position from "There are no bugs in Horizon" to "There are known bugs in every computer system", et cetera, all right? My reading of that is not least buttressed by evidence I've heard from other sources, that, by this stage, that was the Post Office's position, either because Second Sight had
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 <b>Q.</b> 9 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe that we would have put that in a brief if we didn't if we hadn't checked it. Susan is on this email so It wasn't in the brief, though? It wasn't "Paula, the only thing that is not in the brief for James is our move away", and the move away is not "There are no bugs", but now "There are bugs but they're found and put right and no subpostmaster is disadvantaged by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	SIF	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything. <b>R WYN WILLIAMS:</b> Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read it which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of all, you were saying there's nothing in the brief about a move in the Post Office position from "There are no bugs in Horizon" to "There are known bugs in every computer system", et cetera, all right? My reading of that is not least buttressed by evidence I've heard from other sources, that, by this stage, that was the Post Office's position, either because Second Sight had tipped them the wink or, more likely, because there were
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe that we would have put that in a brief if we didn't if we hadn't checked it. Susan is on this email so It wasn't in the brief, though? It wasn't "Paula, the only thing that is not in the brief for James is our move away", and the move away is not "There are no bugs", but now "There are bugs but they're found and put right and no subpostmaster is disadvantaged by them".	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	SIF	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything. <b>R WYN WILLIAMS:</b> Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read it which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of all, you were saying there's nothing in the brief about a move in the Post Office position from "There are no bugs in Horizon" to "There are known bugs in every computer system", et cetera, all right? My reading of that is not least buttressed by evidence I've heard from other sources, that, by this stage, that was the Post Office's position, either because Second Sight had tipped them the wink or, more likely, because there were people in Post Office who knew, of course, that there
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 <b>Q.</b> 8 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 16 <b>A.</b>	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe that we would have put that in a brief if we didn't if we hadn't checked it. Susan is on this email so It wasn't in the brief, though? It wasn't "Paula, the only thing that is not in the brief for James is our move away", and the move away is not "There are no bugs", but now "There are bugs but they're found and put right and no subpostmaster is disadvantaged by them". Yes, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	SIF	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything. <b>R WYN WILLIAMS:</b> Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read it which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of all, you were saying there's nothing in the brief about a move in the Post Office position from "There are no bugs in Horizon" to "There are known bugs in every computer system", et cetera, all right? My reading of that is not least buttressed by evidence I've heard from other sources, that, by this stage, that was the Post Office's position, either because Second Sight had tipped them the wink or, more likely, because there were people in Post Office who knew, of course, that there were bugs in Horizon by this stage. All right?
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 <b>Q.</b> 8 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> <b>A.</b> <b>A.</b> <b>A.</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b> <b>1</b>	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe that we would have put that in a brief if we didn't if we hadn't checked it. Susan is on this email so It wasn't in the brief, though? It wasn't "Paula, the only thing that is not in the brief for James is our move away", and the move away is not "There are no bugs", but now "There are bugs but they're found and put right and no subpostmaster is disadvantaged by them". Yes, yes. Where, in this correspondence, does it suggest, in any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	SIF	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything. <b>R WYN WILLIAMS:</b> Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read it which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of all, you were saying there's nothing in the brief about a move in the Post Office position from "There are no bugs in Horizon" to "There are known bugs in every computer system", et cetera, all right? My reading of that is not least buttressed by evidence I've heard from other sources, that, by this stage, that was the Post Office's position, either because Second Sight had tipped them the wink or, more likely, because there were people in Post Office who knew, of course, that there were bugs in Horizon by this stage. All right? So that's the Post Office line, which you identify
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 <b>Q.</b> 9 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 17 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe that we would have put that in a brief if we didn't if we hadn't checked it. Susan is on this email so It wasn't in the brief, though? It wasn't "Paula, the only thing that is not in the brief for James is our move away", and the move away is not "There are no bugs", but now "There are bugs but they're found and put right and no subpostmaster is disadvantaged by them". Yes, yes. Where, in this correspondence, does it suggest, in any way, that that might not actually be accurate and that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	SIF	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything. <b>RWYN WILLIAMS:</b> Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read it which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of all, you were saying there's nothing in the brief about a move in the Post Office position from "There are no bugs in Horizon" to "There are known bugs in every computer system", et cetera, all right? My reading of that is not least buttressed by evidence I've heard from other sources, that, by this stage, that was the Post Office's position, either because Second Sight had tipped them the wink or, more likely, because there were people in Post Office who knew, of course, that there were bugs in Horizon by this stage. All right? So that's the Post Office line, which you identify as not being in the brief. Then you go on to say, "And
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 9 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 <b>Q.</b> 8 9 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 9 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 9 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 9 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 9 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 9 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 16 <b>A.</b> 17 17 17 18 19 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe that we would have put that in a brief if we didn't if we hadn't checked it. Susan is on this email so It wasn't in the brief, though? It wasn't "Paula, the only thing that is not in the brief for James is our move away", and the move away is not "There are no bugs", but now "There are bugs but they're found and put right and no subpostmaster is disadvantaged by them". Yes, yes. Where, in this correspondence, does it suggest, in any way, that that might not actually be accurate and that that needs to be looked into?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	SIF	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything. <b>RWYN WILLIAMS:</b> Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read it which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of all, you were saying there's nothing in the brief about a move in the Post Office position from "There are no bugs in Horizon" to "There are known bugs in every computer system", et cetera, all right? My reading of that is not least buttressed by evidence I've heard from other sources, that, by this stage, that was the Post Office's position, either because Second Sight had tipped them the wink or, more likely, because there were people in Post Office who knew, of course, that there were bugs in Horizon by this stage. All right? So that's the Post Office line, which you identify as not being in the brief. Then you go on to say, "And it would be good" in other words "if we can" "can
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 <b>Q.</b> 8 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> <b>A.</b> 7 8 <b>Q.</b> 8 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 16 <b>A.</b> 17 <b>Q.</b> 18 19 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 16 <b>A.</b> 17 <b>Q.</b> 18 19 <b>Q.</b> 19 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> <b>A.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> <b>A.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> <b>A.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D. D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D.</b> <b>D</b>	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe that we would have put that in a brief if we didn't if we hadn't checked it. Susan is on this email so It wasn't in the brief, though? It wasn't "Paula, the only thing that is not in the brief for James is our move away", and the move away is not "There are no bugs", but now "There are bugs but they're found and put right and no subpostmaster is disadvantaged by them". Yes, yes. Where, in this correspondence, does it suggest, in any way, that that might not actually be accurate and that that needs to be looked into? Well, it doesn't, in this correspondence. However, I do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	SIF	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything. <b>R WYN WILLIAMS:</b> Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read if which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of all, you were saying there's nothing in the brief about a move in the Post Office position from "There are no bugs in Horizon" to "There are known bugs in every computer system", et cetera, all right? My reading of that is not least buttressed by evidence I've heard from other sources, that, by this stage, that was the Post Office's position, either because Second Sight had tipped them the wink or, more likely, because there wer people in Post Office who knew, of course, that there were bugs in Horizon by this stage. All right? So that's the Post Office line, which you identify as not being in the brief. Then you go on to say, "And it would be good" in other words "if we can" "can we say 'or has been wrongfully suspended or
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 <b>Q.</b> 7 8 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> <b>A.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 15 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 17 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 15 <b>A.</b> 17 <b>Q.</b> 18 19 <b>A.</b> 12 19 <b>A.</b> 12 10 <b>A.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 15 <b>A.</b> 12 15 <b>A.</b> 12 15 <b>A.</b> 15 <b>A.</b> 15 <b>A.</b> 15 <b>A.</b> 15 <b>A.</b> 15 <b>A.</b> 15 <b>A.</b> 15 <b>A.</b> 15 <b>A.</b> 15 <b>A.</b> 15 <b>A.</b> 15 <b>A.</b> 15 <b>A.</b> 15 <b>A.</b> 15 15 <b>A.</b> 15 15 <b>A.</b> 15 15 <b>A.</b> 15 15 <b>A.</b> 15 15 <b>A.</b> 15 15 <b>A.</b> 15 15 <b>A.</b> 15 15 <b>A.</b> 15 15 <b>A.</b> 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe that we would have put that in a brief if we didn't if we hadn't checked it. Susan is on this email so It wasn't in the brief, though? It wasn't "Paula, the only thing that is not in the brief for James is our move away", and the move away is not "There are no bugs", but now "There are bugs but they're found and put right and no subpostmaster is disadvantaged by them". Yes, yes. Where, in this correspondence, does it suggest, in any way, that that might not actually be accurate and that that needs to be looked into? Well, it doesn't, in this correspondence. However, I do not believe that it would have been included in a brief	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	SIF	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything. <b>RWYN WILLIAMS:</b> Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read if which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of all, you were saying there's nothing in the brief about a move in the Post Office position from "There are no bugs in Horizon" to "There are known bugs in every computer system", et cetera, all right? My reading of that is not least buttressed by evidence I've heard from other sources, that, by this stage, that was the Post Office's position, either because Second Sight had tipped them the wink or, more likely, because there wer people in Post Office who knew, of course, that there were bugs in Horizon by this stage. All right? So that's the Post Office line, which you identify as not being in the brief. Then you go on to say, "And it would be good" in other words "if we can" "can we say 'or has been wrongfully suspended or prosecuted'", where I accept that the inference to be
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 9 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 10 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 12 12 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe that we would have put that in a brief if we didn't if we hadn't checked it. Susan is on this email so It wasn't in the brief, though? It wasn't "Paula, the only thing that is not in the brief for James is our move away", and the move away is not "There are no bugs", but now "There are bugs but they're found and put right and no subpostmaster is disadvantaged by them". Yes, yes. Where, in this correspondence, does it suggest, in any way, that that might not actually be accurate and that that needs to be looked into? Well, it doesn't, in this correspondence. However, I do not believe that it would have been included in a brief if we weren't sure that it was right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SIF	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything. <b>RWYN WILLIAMS:</b> Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read it which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of all, you were saying there's nothing in the brief about a move in the Post Office position from "There are no bugs in Horizon" to "There are known bugs in every computer system", et cetera, all right? My reading of that is not least buttressed by evidence I've heard from other sources, that, by this stage, that was the Post Office's position, either because Second Sight had tipped them the wink or, more likely, because there were people in Post Office who knew, of course, that there were bugs in Horizon by this stage. All right? So that's the Post Office line, which you identify as not being in the brief. Then you go on to say, "And it would be good" in other words "if we can" "can we say 'or has been wrongfully suspended or prosecuted", where I accept that the inference to be drawn from that is you're only going to say if it's
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 9 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 17 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 20 <b>A.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 20 <b>A.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 12 12 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 12 12 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 12 12 12 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 12 12 12 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 12 12 12 12 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe that we would have put that in a brief if we didn't if we hadn't checked it. Susan is on this email so It wasn't in the brief, though? It wasn't "Paula, the only thing that is not in the brief for James is our move away", and the move away is not "There are no bugs", but now "There are bugs but they're found and put right and no subpostmaster is disadvantaged by them". Yes, yes. Where, in this correspondence, does it suggest, in any way, that that might not actually be accurate and that that needs to be looked into? Well, it doesn't, in this correspondence. However, I do not believe that it would have been included in a brief if we weren't sure that it was right. How can you be so sure?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	SIF	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything. <b>RWYN WILLIAMS:</b> Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read it which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of all, you were saying there's nothing in the brief about a move in the Post Office position from "There are no bugs in Horizon" to "There are known bugs in every computer system", et cetera, all right? My reading of that is not least buttressed by evidence I've heard from other sources, that, by this stage, that was the Post Office's position, either because Second Sight had tipped them the wink or, more likely, because there were people in Post Office who knew, of course, that there were bugs in Horizon by this stage. All right? So that's the Post Office line, which you identify as not being in the brief. Then you go on to say, "And it would be good" in other words "if we can" "can we say 'or has been wrongfully suspended or prosecuted'", where I accept that the inference to be drawn from that is you're only going to say if it's accurate but you're hoping that it can be said, all
2 3 4 5 <b>Q.</b> 6 <b>A.</b> 7 8 9 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 13 14 15 <b>A.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 15 <b>Q.</b> 10 <b>A.</b> 10 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 12 12 12 13 14 15 <b>Q.</b> 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	"It would be good to be able to say, 'or have been wrongly suspended or prosecuted' but we mustn't go on and say that if it isn't true". Where is that in that email? Well, it's not written but no one I don't believe that we would have put that in a brief if we didn't if we hadn't checked it. Susan is on this email so It wasn't in the brief, though? It wasn't "Paula, the only thing that is not in the brief for James is our move away", and the move away is not "There are no bugs", but now "There are bugs but they're found and put right and no subpostmaster is disadvantaged by them". Yes, yes. Where, in this correspondence, does it suggest, in any way, that that might not actually be accurate and that that needs to be looked into? Well, it doesn't, in this correspondence. However, I do not believe that it would have been included in a brief if we weren't sure that it was right. How can you be so sure?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	SIF	also to be clear about prosecutions". But I'm not this is not saying just put these in the brief without anybody checking anything. <b>RWYN WILLIAMS:</b> Can I tell you, Ms Lyons, how I read it which I think is very similar to Mr Blake. First of all, you were saying there's nothing in the brief about a move in the Post Office position from "There are no bugs in Horizon" to "There are known bugs in every computer system", et cetera, all right? My reading of that is not least buttressed by evidence I've heard from other sources, that, by this stage, that was the Post Office's position, either because Second Sight had tipped them the wink or, more likely, because there were people in Post Office who knew, of course, that there were bugs in Horizon by this stage. All right? So that's the Post Office line, which you identify as not being in the brief. Then you go on to say, "And it would be good" in other words "if we can" "can we say 'or has been wrongfully suspended or prosecuted", where I accept that the inference to be drawn from that is you're only going to say if it's

- 1 previous emails, it's clear that there was to be a phone
- 2 call conversation with Lord Arbuthnot on 23 May, if you
- 3 go back to the previous emails, you'll see that, and you
- 4 saying it's not appropriate to have this in
- 5 a conversation but, rather, in a meeting, which is going
- to take place at some future time.
  That's as I read it. Ms Lyons.
  - That's as I read it, Ms Lyons. Have I got that
- 8 hopelessly wrong?
- 9 A. No, I think that's helpful. Thank you.
- 10 MR BLAKE: Is it helpful or accurate?
- A. It's helpful because I can't now say what was in my mind
   in 2013 but, reading that, I would say that it's
- 13 accurate to the way I'm reading it now.
- 14 Q. The "or has been wrongfully suspended or prosecuted",
- was that something you were aware the Post Office hadconfirmation of, or was --
- 17 A. I wasn't sure, so that's why I was asking.
- 18 Q. Do you recall anybody coming back to you and saying19 that's accurate or not accurate?
- 20 A. I don't and I don't know if that ended up in the brief,
- 21 and with -- if we felt comfortable to say that.
- 22 **Q.** It's quite a significant shift in the Post Office's
- 23 position at this time from "No bugs" to "There are
- 24 bugs". Was that something that was raised at Board
- 25 level at this time?

1 (A short break) 2 (12.25 pm) 3 MR BLAKE: Thank you, Ms Lyons. 4 Could we please turn to POL00098655. Moving on to 5 21 June 2013. This is an email from you to Paula 6 Vennells and Lesley Sewell, and copied to Susan 7 Crichton. So, again, that small group of individuals 8 who have been in a number of emails that we've been 9 looking at today: 10 "Paula 11 "As you medicinal remember [James Arbuthnot] is 12 hosting a meeting on 8 July where [Second Sight] are 13 going to present their interim findings to MPs and 14 [Justice for Subpostmasters Alliance], on 3 MP cases. 15 "We had a call with Second Sight today and have now 16 put in place calls every day from next Tuesday to take 17 us through to their report being ready. 18 "There are still risks with what the report will 19 say. Not around the system but around the wider issues 20 eg training and support (which [Second Sight] are 21 counting as part of the Horizon operating model). 22 "I am sure that there will be enough in the report 23 for JFSA to cause mischief if they want to with the 24 media, and Ruth is involved in updating the comms plan. 25 "[Second Sight] have a call with James on 2 July and

- 1 A. I can't remember if it was raised at Board. I do
- 2 remember it being raised with the Chair but I would have
- 3 to check minutes about the Board, but -- and it was
- 4 a shift. It was -- you know, until that time, I naively
- 5 didn't think there were bugs in Horizon.
- 6 Q. So that was quite a significant moment in time, this7 shift?
- 8 A. Yes, I think it was.
- 9 Q. If it isn't contained in, for example, Board minutes, at
- that particular time, what would you have to say aboutthat?
- 12 A. Well, I think it -- I think it should have come to the
- 13 board. It certainly -- the Chair, it certainly went to
- 14 the Chair, and I cannot remember whether we had
- 15 a conversation about it, and about it coming to Board.
- 16 Clearly it came to Board when the Second Sight Report
- 17 came to Board. But that's later.
- 18 MR BLAKE: Yes.
- 19 Thank you, sir. That might be an appropriate moment
- 20 to take our second morning break.
- 21 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes. What time shall we resume?
- 22 MR BLAKE: 12.25, please.
- 23 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, by all means.
- 24 **MR BLAKE:** Thank you very much.
- 25 (12.13 pm)

1		you have a call with him on the 3rd and we should know
2		in advance what the report will say and therefore what
3		they are likely to say to James.
4		"Things will get clearer next week but my biggest
5		concern at the moment is if the review focuses on
6		training, et cetera, how the [Justice for Subpostmasters
7		Alliance] will respond. I think you can make some
8		positive noises to [James Arbuthnot] on the 3rd
9		including improvements in training and support and also
10		our idea of a Horizon user group made up of existing
11		subpostmasters who use the system.
12		"I will get an update in the diary for us and Lesley
13		on Wednesday next week."
14		It seems, by this stage, so 21 June 2013, even, you
15		are having quite a significant role in matters relating
16		to the Second Sight investigation.
17	Α.	So I cannot remember this email. I think this email
18		and I can't remember it specifically might be me
19		putting down on paper what a group of people have
20		discussed. So I think this might be me going to Paula
21		and saying, "Right, as you remember, we've got this
22		meeting", and so I don't think these are only my words
23		but I've got no evidence of that.
24	Q.	"I am sure there will be enough in the report"; "My
25		biggest concern at the moment" the penultimate
		96

2

3

4

5

6

1		paragraph "Things will get clearer next week but my
2		biggest concern at the moment"; "I think you can make
3		some positive noises to [James Arbuthnot]". I mean,
4		there's no "We" in that email is there?
5	Α.	No, I accept that and it's written in the first person
6		so it's me saying this to Paula, I accept that.
7	Q.	Do you accept, irrespective of whether you remember the
8		email, don't remember the email, that, by the summer of
9		2013, you were clearly not just collating documents for
10		Second Sight; you were having some strategic input on
11		the response to Second Sight?
12	Α.	
13		Interim Report and trying to understand who is seeing
14		who, when, and when we needed to brief people, and
15		whatever. So yes, I am trying to lay out how we get to
16	_	the Second Sight Interim Report.
17	Q.	
18		Arbuthnot] including improvements in training and
19		support"
20		I mean, that's not simply laying something out, is
21		it? That's making a positive suggestion to the CEO as
22	•	to how she should respond to the Second Sight Report?
23 24	Α.	Well, she will have seen what we've seen. So, in the paragraph above where it says that there are no issues
24 25		around not issues around the system but around
25		97
1		James Arbuthnot for instance, yes I am and that she
1 2		James Arbuthnot, for instance, yes, I am, and that she
2		can talk about training and support. So if that's
	Q.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice.
2 3	Q.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice.
2 3 4 5	Q. A.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice?
2 3 4		can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that
2 3 4 5 6	Α.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice? Yes, that's advice.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Α.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice? Yes, that's advice. Can we turn to POL00188912. This is an email we will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Α.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice? Yes, that's advice. Can we turn to POL00188912. This is an email we will return to on the issue of remote access but I want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Α.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice? Yes, that's advice. Can we turn to POL00188912. This is an email we will return to on the issue of remote access but I want to look at something else. It's the bottom email from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Α.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice? Yes, that's advice. Can we turn to POL00188912. This is an email we will return to on the issue of remote access but I want to look at something else. It's the bottom email from Simon Baker, again very small distribution list, to you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Α.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice? Yes, that's advice. Can we turn to POL00188912. This is an email we will return to on the issue of remote access but I want to look at something else. It's the bottom email from Simon Baker, again very small distribution list, to you, Susan Crichton and Lesley Sewell. Subject "Second Sight
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Α.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice? Yes, that's advice. Can we turn to POL00188912. This is an email we will return to on the issue of remote access but I want to look at something else. It's the bottom email from Simon Baker, again very small distribution list, to you, Susan Crichton and Lesley Sewell. Subject "Second Sight Call", and it's number 2, "Bugs in Horizon". This is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Α.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice? Yes, that's advice. Can we turn to POL00188912. This is an email we will return to on the issue of remote access but I want to look at something else. It's the bottom email from Simon Baker, again very small distribution list, to you, Susan Crichton and Lesley Sewell. Subject "Second Sight Call", and it's number 2, "Bugs in Horizon". This is a call, a very animated call with Ron and Ian:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Α.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice? Yes, that's advice. Can we turn to POL00188912. This is an email we will return to on the issue of remote access but I want to look at something else. It's the bottom email from Simon Baker, again very small distribution list, to you, Susan Crichton and Lesley Sewell. Subject "Second Sight Call", and it's number 2, "Bugs in Horizon". This is a call, a very animated call with Ron and Ian: "Bugs in Horizon: They are concerned that [James
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Α.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice? Yes, that's advice. Can we turn to POL00188912. This is an email we will return to on the issue of remote access but I want to look at something else. It's the bottom email from Simon Baker, again very small distribution list, to you, Susan Crichton and Lesley Sewell. Subject "Second Sight Call", and it's number 2, "Bugs in Horizon". This is a call, a very animated call with Ron and Ian: "Bugs in Horizon: They are concerned that [James Arbuthnot] is or has been told by Post Office that there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Α.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice? Yes, that's advice. Can we turn to POL00188912. This is an email we will return to on the issue of remote access but I want to look at something else. It's the bottom email from Simon Baker, again very small distribution list, to you, Susan Crichton and Lesley Sewell. Subject "Second Sight Call", and it's number 2, "Bugs in Horizon". This is a call, a very animated call with Ron and Ian: "Bugs in Horizon: They are concerned that [James Arbuthnot] is or has been told by Post Office that there are no bugs in Horizon, and that is what [James
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Α.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice? Yes, that's advice. Can we turn to POL00188912. This is an email we will return to on the issue of remote access but I want to look at something else. It's the bottom email from Simon Baker, again very small distribution list, to you, Susan Crichton and Lesley Sewell. Subject "Second Sight Call", and it's number 2, "Bugs in Horizon". This is a call, a very animated call with Ron and Ian: "Bugs in Horizon: They are concerned that [James Arbuthnot] is or has been told by Post Office that there are no bugs in Horizon, and that is what [James Arbuthnot] believes and when Second Sight inform him
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Α.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice? Yes, that's advice. Can we turn to POL00188912. This is an email we will return to on the issue of remote access but I want to look at something else. It's the bottom email from Simon Baker, again very small distribution list, to you, Susan Crichton and Lesley Sewell. Subject "Second Sight Call", and it's number 2, "Bugs in Horizon". This is a call, a very animated call with Ron and Ian: "Bugs in Horizon: They are concerned that [James Arbuthnot] is or has been told by Post Office that there are no bugs in Horizon, and that is what [James Arbuthnot] believes and when Second Sight inform him that there were bugs, and subpostmasters accounts were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Α.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice? Yes, that's advice. Can we turn to POL00188912. This is an email we will return to on the issue of remote access but I want to look at something else. It's the bottom email from Simon Baker, again very small distribution list, to you, Susan Crichton and Lesley Sewell. Subject "Second Sight Call", and it's number 2, "Bugs in Horizon". This is a call, a very animated call with Ron and Ian: "Bugs in Horizon: They are concerned that [James Arbuthnot] is or has been told by Post Office that there are no bugs in Horizon, and that is what [James Arbuthnot] believes and when Second Sight inform him that there were bugs, and subpostmasters accounts were affected it will go 'viral' amongst MPs and the press
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Α.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice? Yes, that's advice. Can we turn to POL00188912. This is an email we will return to on the issue of remote access but I want to look at something else. It's the bottom email from Simon Baker, again very small distribution list, to you, Susan Crichton and Lesley Sewell. Subject "Second Sight Call", and it's number 2, "Bugs in Horizon". This is a call, a very animated call with Ron and Ian: "Bugs in Horizon: They are concerned that [James Arbuthnot] is or has been told by Post Office that there are no bugs in Horizon, and that is what [James Arbuthnot] believes and when Second Sight inform him that there were bugs, and subpostmasters accounts were affected it will go 'viral' amongst MPs and the press my suggest here is that Paula gives this news to James
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Α.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice? Yes, that's advice. Can we turn to POL00188912. This is an email we will return to on the issue of remote access but I want to look at something else. It's the bottom email from Simon Baker, again very small distribution list, to you, Susan Crichton and Lesley Sewell. Subject "Second Sight Call", and it's number 2, "Bugs in Horizon". This is a call, a very animated call with Ron and Ian: "Bugs in Horizon: They are concerned that [James Arbuthnot] is or has been told by Post Office that there are no bugs in Horizon, and that is what [James Arbuthnot] believes and when Second Sight inform him that there were bugs, and subpostmasters accounts were affected it will go 'viral' amongst MPs and the press my suggest here is that Paula gives this news to James first and explains to him why this isn't a problem. We may also want to go to the press first on this, as part of are overall message, to get out our message out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Α.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice? Yes, that's advice. Can we turn to POL00188912. This is an email we will return to on the issue of remote access but I want to look at something else. It's the bottom email from Simon Baker, again very small distribution list, to you, Susan Crichton and Lesley Sewell. Subject "Second Sight Call", and it's number 2, "Bugs in Horizon". This is a call, a very animated call with Ron and Ian: "Bugs in Horizon: They are concerned that [James Arbuthnot] is or has been told by Post Office that there are no bugs in Horizon, and that is what [James Arbuthnot] believes and when Second Sight inform him that there were bugs, and subpostmasters accounts were affected it will go 'viral' amongst MPs and the press my suggest here is that Paula gives this news to James first and explains to him why this isn't a problem. We may also want to go to the press first on this, as part of are overall message, to get out our message out first."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Α.	can talk about training and support. So if that's advice, then yes, this email is advice. You say "if that's advice"; is that advice or is that not advice? Yes, that's advice. Can we turn to POL00188912. This is an email we will return to on the issue of remote access but I want to look at something else. It's the bottom email from Simon Baker, again very small distribution list, to you, Susan Crichton and Lesley Sewell. Subject "Second Sight Call", and it's number 2, "Bugs in Horizon". This is a call, a very animated call with Ron and Ian: "Bugs in Horizon: They are concerned that [James Arbuthnot] is or has been told by Post Office that there are no bugs in Horizon, and that is what [James Arbuthnot] believes and when Second Sight inform him that there were bugs, and subpostmasters accounts were affected it will go 'viral' amongst MPs and the press my suggest here is that Paula gives this news to James first and explains to him why this isn't a problem. We may also want to go to the press first on this, as part of are overall message, to get out our message out

0		
7		that you had more of a significant role than is
8		reflected in your evidence so far?
9	A.	So I did have a role with Second Sight, yes. I don't
		6.75
10		believe I collected any information for them because
11		I wasn't an expert in that field. So my role was much
12		more almost and, again, it's the "facilitating"
13		word my role was much more facilitating Second Sight,
14		helping them get what they needed to get and then, when
15		meetings were coming up, laying out what was needed to
16		happen. And, yes, here I'm obviously I am saying to
17		Paula "These are the areas for the meeting with James".
	~	_
18	Q.	Are you providing advice to the Chief Executive as to
19		how best to respond to the Second Sight Report?
20	Α.	I don't think no, I don't think I am. I think the
21		Chief Executive would have made her own decision as to
22		how to respond to the Second Sight Report.
23	Q.	She may make her own decision but are you providing her
24		with advice?
25	Α.	I'm saying that there are areas for positive noises for
20		98
1		that relates to the remote access issue, which I'll
2		return to shortly.
3		So Mr Baker has raised the issue of bugs in Horizon,
4		James Arbuthnot at this stage, so we're now 24 June
		0
5		2013, and Lord Arbuthnot isn't aware that the Post
6		Office accepts that there are bugs in the system. Do
7		you agree with that?
8	Α.	So is this email before or after the one that we talked
9		about earlier?
10	Q.	Could we scroll down, please. We're going in order, in
11		date order, so
12	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: It is after.
13	Α.	
	А.	
14		the brief for the James Arbuthnot meeting, I've already
15		raised the fact that there's nothing about bugs in that
16		brief and shouldn't there be.
17	MR	BLAKE: Yes, so that was 23 May.
18	Α.	Right, okay.
19	Q.	We're now 24 June, so we're a month later, Second Sight
20		are concerned that James Arbuthnot still is of the
20		opinion that there are no bugs in Horizon; do you recall
		this email?
22		
23	Α.	I don't recall this email and, given the email earlier,
24		I would have thought that James Arbuthnot would have
25		known.
		100

training and support, that's what we were being told at

there are things that she can discuss with James about

how things are being improved and are being changed.

the Second Sight issue in the summer of 2013 and accept

the time, and what I'm saying to Paula here is that

**Q.** Ms Lyons, isn't it now time to reflect on your work on

100

(25) Pages 97 - 100

1	Q.	Who would have told him?	1
2	Α.	Well, it was a brief for Paula, wasn't it? Paula was	2
3		going to see James Arbuthnot and I thought that what we	3
4		said was that we would change the subpostmaster	4
5		sorry, we would change the Post Office "There are no	5
6		bugs in Horizon" to "There are bugs in Horizon which",	6
7		et cetera, et cetera.	7
8	Q.	Is your evidence today that that would have been	8
9		communicated to James Arbuthnot in May 2013, when you	9
10		sent that email?	10
11	Α.	Well, I have no evidence as to whether that happened.	11
12		But that's what I thought the email we were discussing	12
13		earlier was suggesting.	13
14	Q.	Would it have been of concern to you if it hadn't been	14
15		raised with James Arbuthnot in May 2013?	15
16	Α.	Yes, because I think that's what I'm trying to say in	16
17		that email. I, you know, I wanted it to be raised with	17
18		him, and I also, if it was true, wanted the prosecution	18
19		piece to be raised with him.	19
20	Q.	Because of its significant impact?	20
21	Α.	Absolutely.	21
22	SIF	WYN WILLIAMS: Just as a matter of timeline, because, as	22
23		you will have appreciated, I think, I'm quite interested	23
24		in the points that we've just been discussing, in that	24
25		May email of the 23rd, you suggest it wouldn't be 101	25
1		been discussed in court and [the Post Office] still won	1
2		the case."	2
3		So just to remind ourselves, we have the local	3
4		suspense account problem, that's the 14 branch issue,	4
5		said by Second Sight to have occurred from 2011.	5
6	A.	Okay.	6
7	Q.	We have the 64 issue, the receipts and payments mismatch	7
8		issue: 64 branches, said by Second Sight to have been	8
9		discovered in September 2010.	9
10 11		We have here reference by Mr Jenkins to the Seema	10
		Misra case which was October 2010 and he is accepting	11 12
12 13		that well, he is highlighting there that there was reference to a third bug in that case, the Callendar	12
13		Square bug. Do you recall that issue?	14
14			15
16	Α.	So, I only recall it having now read this email and having listened to some of the Inquiry.	16
17	0	If we scroll up, we can see Mark Davies responding to	17
18	Q.		18
19		this. Lesley Sewell has forwarded it to you, Martin Edwards and Mark Davies. Mark Davies said:	19
20		"This is massively important.	20
20			
21		"Is there also a possibility that all incidents 14 and 64 have been referenced in court?"	21 22
22		Then if we scroll up, we have a response from you.	23
23 24		You say:	24
24 25		"I would have thought the 14 is unlikely as it is	25
20		103	20

1		appropriate to tell Lord Arbuthnot about it in				
2		a telephone call which was occurring that day but you				
3		anticipate, in effect, that it will be told to him in				
4		a face-to-face meeting. So the question becomes: was				
5		there a meeting between Lord Arbuthnot and anybody in				
6		the Post Office, but presumably Paula Vennells, between				
7		23 May and 24 June?				
8	Α.	I haven't got that evidence. I don't know.				
9	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Fine.				
10	MR	<b>BLAKE:</b> Can we please turn to POL00371710. We're on				
11		28 June now, if we could turn to the second page,				
12		please. The bottom of the first page, top of second				
13		page. It's an email from Gareth Jenkins to Lesley				
14		Sewell and the subject is "My witness statement for the				
15		MISRA case". It says:				
16		"Lesley,				
17		"Attached is my final Witness Statement for the				
18		Misra case. This was heard in Guildford Crown Court in				
19		October 2010 and concerned West Byfleet Post Office.				
20		"Page 14 covers my response to a problem that had				
21		been identified in an earlier case (that involving Lee				
22		Castleton who took [the Post Office] to court for unfair				
23		dismissal which he lost).				
24		"Do you need me to dig out anything more on this?				
25		I think the key point is that Horizon did have bugs has				
		102				
1		too recent. Hugh can we check, or is it quicker to ask				
2		Gareth Lesley.				
3		"I will certainly be sharing this with Janet on				
4		Monday."				
5		Then Lesley Sewell said:				
6		"Will ask"				
7		I think that's Fujitsu?				
8	Α.	Yes.				
9	Q.	Were you concerned at this stage about whether there				
10		were additional bugs, which might call into question the				
11		evidence that Mr Jenkins gave in the Misra case or in				
12		other cases?				
13	Α.	So I'd had no knowledge of more bugs. I just knew the				
14		three bugs that were being talked about.				
15	Q.	Yes. So we knew the two that went to Second Sight, and				
16		then we have here the reference to the third, the				
17		Callendar Square bug				
18	Α.	Yes, yes.				
19	Q.	being referred to by Gareth Jenkins. Did that not				
20		raise your concerns about the reliability of the Horizon				
21		system?				
22	Α.	So we had been provided with and I think there was				
23		a document somewhere, which is a brief for Paula, which				

went through what happened, the risk, how it was putright, et cetera, et cetera, and I think I knew --

1		that's what knew at this point.
2	Q.	But you're receiving in June 2013 an email from Gareth
3		Jenkins attaching a statement from a criminal case in
4		which he made reference to the Callendar Square bug,
5		presumably that was relatively new news to you, the
6		Callendar Square bug?
7	Α.	Well, I'm getting the timeline is quite difficult,
8		because I don't know because there were three cases
9		in the Second Sight Report, there were two and then the
10		other one was mentioned
11	Q.	Yes.
12	Α.	and I don't know at which point they became clear to
13		us, and I think this document that was done for Paula
14		and I don't know what brief that was for had all
15 16		three in them. So if it was in there and it was before
17	~	this, then I would have known about them.
18	Q.	So around 28 June, you were aware of three bugs, one of which as we've seen, Gareth Jenkins gave evidence
10		relating to in the Misra case?
20	Α.	l believe so.
20	д.	So were you and those around you concerned at this time
22	ч.	about the potential implications of that?
23	Α.	Of there being three bugs?
24	Q.	Yes, and of Mr Jenkins having provided evidence in
25		criminal proceedings?
		105
4		
1		[Horizon Online]).
2		"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton
2 3		"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of
2 3 4		"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant
2 3 4 5		"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as
2 3 4 5 6		"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as an example that Horizon has had bugs."
2 3 4 5 6 7		"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as an example that Horizon has had bugs." If we scroll up, you respond and you say as follows,
2 3 4 5 6		"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as an example that Horizon has had bugs." If we scroll up, you respond and you say as follows, you say:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as an example that Horizon has had bugs." If we scroll up, you respond and you say as follows,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as an example that Horizon has had bugs." If we scroll up, you respond and you say as follows, you say: "The question was really about whether the defect
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as an example that Horizon has had bugs." If we scroll up, you respond and you say as follows, you say: "The question was really about whether the defect had been spoken about in open court other than in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as an example that Horizon has had bugs." If we scroll up, you respond and you say as follows, you say: "The question was really about whether the defect had been spoken about in open court other than in the Misra case as it helps that it was in the public domain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as an example that Horizon has had bugs." If we scroll up, you respond and you say as follows, you say: "The question was really about whether the defect had been spoken about in open court other than in the Misra case as it helps that it was in the public domain and not 'covered up' in any way."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as an example that Horizon has had bugs." If we scroll up, you respond and you say as follows, you say: "The question was really about whether the defect had been spoken about in open court other than in the Misra case as it helps that it was in the public domain and not 'covered up' in any way." Mr Jenkins responds to you and he says as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as an example that Horizon has had bugs." If we scroll up, you respond and you say as follows, you say: "The question was really about whether the defect had been spoken about in open court other than in the Misra case as it helps that it was in the public domain and not 'covered up' in any way." Mr Jenkins responds to you and he says as follows: "Alwen,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as an example that Horizon has had bugs." If we scroll up, you respond and you say as follows, you say: "The question was really about whether the defect had been spoken about in open court other than in the Misra case as it helps that it was in the public domain and not 'covered up' in any way." Mr Jenkins responds to you and he says as follows: "Alwen, "I understand the bug was spoken about in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as an example that Horizon has had bugs." If we scroll up, you respond and you say as follows, you say: "The question was really about whether the defect had been spoken about in open court other than in the Misra case as it helps that it was in the public domain and not 'covered up' in any way." Mr Jenkins responds to you and he says as follows: "Alwen, "I understand the bug was spoken about in the Castleton case (I wasn't personally involved). It was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		<ul> <li>"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as an example that Horizon has had bugs."</li> <li>If we scroll up, you respond and you say as follows, you say:</li> <li>"The question was really about whether the defect had been spoken about in open court other than in the Misra case as it helps that it was in the public domain and not 'covered up' in any way."</li> <li>Mr Jenkins responds to you and he says as follows:</li> <li>"Alwen,</li> <li>"I understand the bug was spoken about in the Castleton case (I wasn't personally involved). It was definitely spoken about in the Misra case where it was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		<ul> <li>"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as an example that Horizon has had bugs."</li> <li>If we scroll up, you respond and you say as follows, you say:</li> <li>"The question was really about whether the defect had been spoken about in open court other than in the Misra case as it helps that it was in the public domain and not 'covered up' in any way."</li> <li>Mr Jenkins responds to you and he says as follows:</li> <li>"Alwen,</li> <li>"I understand the bug was spoken about in the Castleton case (I wasn't personally involved). It was definitely spoken about [its] length. Other cases where it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		<ul> <li>"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as an example that Horizon has had bugs."</li> <li>If we scroll up, you respond and you say as follows, you say:</li> <li>"The question was really about whether the defect had been spoken about in open court other than in the Misra case as it helps that it was in the public domain and not 'covered up' in any way."</li> <li>Mr Jenkins responds to you and he says as follows:</li> <li>"Alwen,</li> <li>"I understand the bug was spoken about in the Castleton case (I wasn't personally involved). It was interrogated about [its] length. Other cases where it was mentioned were settled out of court as far as</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		<ul> <li>"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as an example that Horizon has had bugs."</li> <li>If we scroll up, you respond and you say as follows, you say:</li> <li>"The question was really about whether the defect had been spoken about in open court other than in the Misra case as it helps that it was in the public domain and not 'covered up' in any way."</li> <li>Mr Jenkins responds to you and he says as follows:</li> <li>"Alwen,</li> <li>"I understand the bug was spoken about in the Castleton case (I wasn't personally involved). It was definitely spoken about in the Misra case where it was interrogated about [its] length. Other cases where it was mentioned were settled out of court as far as I know."</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		<ul> <li>"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as an example that Horizon has had bugs."</li> <li>If we scroll up, you respond and you say as follows, you say:</li> <li>"The question was really about whether the defect had been spoken about in open court other than in the Misra case as it helps that it was in the public domain and not 'covered up' in any way."</li> <li>Mr Jenkins responds to you and he says as follows:</li> <li>"Alwen,</li> <li>"I understand the bug was spoken about in the Castleton case (I wasn't personally involved). It was definitely spoken about in the Misra case where it was interrogated about [its] length. Other cases where it was mentioned were settled out of court as far as I know."</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Α.	<ul> <li>"The Falkirk bug was first raised in the Castleton civil case and was then picked up on for a number of subsequent criminal cases even though it was irrelevant to those environments. Defence experts were using it as an example that Horizon has had bugs."</li> <li>If we scroll up, you respond and you say as follows, you say:</li> <li>"The question was really about whether the defect had been spoken about in open court other than in the Misra case as it helps that it was in the public domain and not 'covered up' in any way."</li> <li>Mr Jenkins responds to you and he says as follows:</li> <li>"Alwen,</li> <li>"I understand the bug was spoken about in the Castleton case (I wasn't personally involved). It was definitely spoken about in the Misra case where it was interrogated about [its] length. Other cases where it was mentioned were settled out of court as far as I know."</li> </ul>

1	Α.	So	

2	Q.	You can take those two separately.	
---	----	------------------------------------	--

3 A. Okay.

5

6

7

- 4 Q. So first the bugs.
  - A. So the bugs, I went from believing there were no bugs to
  - believing there were -- being given evidence there were
  - these three bugs and that they had been dealt with and
- 8 I was given some comfort that they'd been dealt with
- 9 properly. So I didn't know that there were any more
- 10 bugs and I would have expected Lesley, or whoever, to
- 11 have told us if there were or if there was the potential
- 12 of more.
- 13 Q. Could we please turn to POL00031352. If we start on 14 page 3, please. This is the same day, 28 June. An email from Simon Baker on page 3, please, the bottom 15 16 of the page. He emails Gareth Jenkins, you're copied in 17 directly to that email to Gareth Jenkins. He says: "Gareth 18 19 "You mention discussing the Falkirk bug in the Misra 20 case today, are there any other examples where bugs have 21 been discussed in court." 22 If we scroll up, please, he says: 23 "Simon, 24 "I'm not aware of any other specific bugs being 25 discussed in court (either related to Horizon or 106 1 Q. Why might they have been settled out of court? 2 A. I don't have any information about why cases were 3
- 3 settled out of court, I just knew that some cases were4 settled out of court.
- 5 Q. Did that cause you to think about whether, in fact,6 there might have been something in their allegations?
- 7 A. I'm sure there were lots of different reasons why they
  8 were settled out of court. I didn't have that
  9 information, so ...
- 10 **Q.** Did you ask anybody for that information?
- 11 A. No, I didn't.
- **Q.** If we scroll up, we have another response, you say: 12 13 "Thanks Gareth. Can we get the witness statement 14 for Castleton please Hugh. Thanks, Alwen." 15 Then Hugh says: 16 "Jarnail -- can you get Castleton case details 17 please [as soon as possible] as Alwen has asked for." 18 Then we have a response from Jarnail Singh. I'll read to you just some extracts from the response. He 19 20 begins by saying: 21 "In criminal trials both the prosecution and defence 22 put their case to the jury. Who make a decision 'beyond 23 all reasonable doubt' on finding it the defendant 24 guilty, jury do not give reasons for their verdict and 25 it is not possible to ask the jury the basis and details

1		upon which they made their decision. On occasion	1
2 3		particular point can be inferred."	2 3
3 4	Α.	Did you understand what's meant there? Yes, I think so.	3 4
4 5		What did you understand by that?	4 5
6	а. А.	,	6
7	<b>~</b> .	that we can't get a reason why a case that a jury sees	7
8		gives their decision.	8
9	Q.	0	9
10		"This is the only criminal trial where a jury has	10
11		been required to consider in detail the integrity of the	11
12		Horizon system."	12
13		He summarises Seema Misra was a subpostmistress at	13
14		West Byfleet. He says about halfway down the second	14
15		paragraph, he says:	15
16		"The jury's verdict showed that it was sure that	16
17		computer error played no role in the case. There has	17
18		been no appeal against conviction."	18
19		He says:	19
20		"We instructed our own expert, Gareth Jenkins, from	20
21		Fujitsu. This was a turning point in the case."	21
22		About halfway thorough paragraph 4 he says:	22
23		"In a nutshell his final conclusion was this: he	23
24		hadn't found any problem but there still might have been	24
25		a problem that he and Jenkins [this is talking about the	25
		109	
1		is clear and can be communicated.	1
2		"Mark is putting in place external comms	2
2		"We have a call with [Second Sight] this afternoon	2
4		"	4
5		It's the fourth paragraph I'd like to just read to	5
6		you:	6
7		"I am going to spend time with Janet [Janet Walker,	7
8		James Arbuthnot's Chief of Staff] at 9.00 on Monday	8
9		morning, she says she can give me as long as it takes.	9
10		My approach will be to try to get to understand the	10
11		status of the review and the risk to James and us of	11
12		an incomplete Interim Report. I will share the fact	12
13		that [Second Sight] are not using all the evidence they	13
14		are being given and are our concerns is that their	14
15		approach to try and keep everyone happy is not how we	15
16		would expect a forensic accountant to behave. I do	16
17		think this is the right place to share the 'bugs' we	17
18		have found and how we have dealt with them, which is why	18
19		the report from Rod/Lesley checked by Legal and Mark is	19
20		important. My objective is to get Janet to a place	20
21		where she also wants the meeting to be cancelled. I am	21
22		also going to mention the timing of the report aligned	22
23		with the funding and James' unhelpful comment to Jo	23
24		about 'unfair convictions'. I will have to play this	24
		about 'unfair convictions'. I will have to play this meeting a bit by ear!" 111	24 25

1		defence expert] might have missed. The jury clearly
2		rejected this as wishful thinking after considering all
3		of the evidence in the case."
4		He says at 5, about halfway down:
5		"It provides a rigorous analysis that is woefully
6		absent from the vague and illogical complaints about
7		Horizon that are reported in some sections of the media.
8		The judgment referred briefly to a real computer problem
9		that had emerged at the Callendar Square office in
10		Falkirk. Gareth Jenkins to investigate this problem.
11		He provided a detailed summary of the problem in his
12		witness statement He also explained in that
13		statement why he concluded that it was irrelevant to
14		Mrs Misra's case."
15		Were you satisfied by the explanation that was
16		provided by Mr Singh?
17	Α.	Well, he was yes, he was the expert. He was, you
18		know, he was I'm not a lawyer. He was the lawyer.
19	_	He was the expert, so, yes, I was satisfied.
20	Q.	Can we please turn to POL00144909. The second page,
21		please. We're now at 28 June 2013 and you email Paula
22		Vennells "Next steps on Horizon issues update":
23		"Paula
24		"Rod Ismay and Lesley working the detail of the 2
25		bugs, to understand them and get them into language that 110
1		The comment there, "I do think this is the right
2		place to share the bugs we have found and how we have
2 3		place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was
2 3 4		place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with
2 3 4 5		place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May.
2 3 4 5 6		place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact,
2 3 4 5 6 7		place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	А.	place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right? So certainly it sounds I've said I will tell them how
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	А.	place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right? So certainly it sounds I've said I will tell them how we've dealt with them. So it could it could well be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Α.	place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right? So certainly it sounds I've said I will tell them how we've dealt with them. So it could it could well be that I had not remembered this and that this is the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A.	place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right? So certainly it sounds I've said I will tell them how we've dealt with them. So it could it could well be that I had not remembered this and that this is the first time that we've talked about bugs. It could also
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right? So certainly it sounds I've said I will tell them how we've dealt with them. So it could it could well be that I had not remembered this and that this is the first time that we've talked about bugs. It could also be that Paula had shared it and I'm just sharing the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A.	place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right? So certainly it sounds I've said I will tell them how we've dealt with them. So it could it could well be that I had not remembered this and that this is the first time that we've talked about bugs. It could also be that Paula had shared it and I'm just sharing the detail of the bugs but, reading this now, I think I was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right? So certainly it sounds I've said I will tell them how we've dealt with them. So it could it could well be that I had not remembered this and that this is the first time that we've talked about bugs. It could also be that Paula had shared it and I'm just sharing the detail of the bugs but, reading this now, I think I was expected to share the detail about the bugs.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A.	place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right? So certainly it sounds I've said I will tell them how we've dealt with them. So it could it could well be that I had not remembered this and that this is the first time that we've talked about bugs. It could also be that Paula had shared it and I'm just sharing the detail of the bugs but, reading this now, I think I was expected to share the detail about the bugs. Why is it that the Company Secretary is fulfilling the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right? So certainly it sounds I've said I will tell them how we've dealt with them. So it could it could well be that I had not remembered this and that this is the first time that we've talked about bugs. It could also be that Paula had shared it and I'm just sharing the detail of the bugs but, reading this now, I think I was expected to share the detail about the bugs. Why is it that the Company Secretary is fulfilling the role of communicating that important shift in the Post
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right? So certainly it sounds I've said I will tell them how we've dealt with them. So it could it could well be that I had not remembered this and that this is the first time that we've talked about bugs. It could also be that Paula had shared it and I'm just sharing the detail of the bugs but, reading this now, I think I was expected to share the detail about the bugs. Why is it that the Company Secretary is fulfilling the role of communicating that important shift in the Post Office's position?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right? So certainly it sounds I've said I will tell them how we've dealt with them. So it could it could well be that I had not remembered this and that this is the first time that we've talked about bugs. It could also be that Paula had shared it and I'm just sharing the detail of the bugs but, reading this now, I think I was expected to share the detail about the bugs. Why is it that the Company Secretary is fulfilling the role of communicating that important shift in the Post Office's position? So I was seeing Janet because she and I had had a good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right? So certainly it sounds I've said I will tell them how we've dealt with them. So it could it could well be that I had not remembered this and that this is the first time that we've talked about bugs. It could also be that Paula had shared it and I'm just sharing the detail of the bugs but, reading this now, I think I was expected to share the detail about the bugs. Why is it that the Company Secretary is fulfilling the role of communicating that important shift in the Post Office's position? So I was seeing Janet because she and I had had a good relationship from the first meeting with Alice and, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right? So certainly it sounds I've said I will tell them how we've dealt with them. So it could it could well be that I had not remembered this and that this is the first time that we've talked about bugs. It could also be that Paula had shared it and I'm just sharing the detail of the bugs but, reading this now, I think I was expected to share the detail about the bugs. Why is it that the Company Secretary is fulfilling the role of communicating that important shift in the Post Office's position? So I was seeing Janet because she and I had had a good relationship from the first meeting with Alice and, if Janet had any issues, she would contact me and I would
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21	Q.	place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right? So certainly it sounds I've said I will tell them how we've dealt with them. So it could it could well be that I had not remembered this and that this is the first time that we've talked about bugs. It could also be that Paula had shared it and I'm just sharing the detail of the bugs but, reading this now, I think I was expected to share the detail about the bugs. Why is it that the Company Secretary is fulfilling the role of communicating that important shift in the Post Office's position? So I was seeing Janet because she and I had had a good relationship from the first meeting with Alice and, if Janet had any issues, she would contact me and I would try and help sort out any things for her that were for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 21 22	Q.	place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right? So certainly it sounds I've said I will tell them how we've dealt with them. So it could it could well be that I had not remembered this and that this is the first time that we've talked about bugs. It could also be that Paula had shared it and I'm just sharing the detail of the bugs but, reading this now, I think I was expected to share the detail about the bugs. Why is it that the Company Secretary is fulfilling the role of communicating that important shift in the Post Office's position? So I was seeing Janet because she and I had had a good relationship from the first meeting with Alice and, if Janet had any issues, she would contact me and I would try and help sort out any things for her that were for James. You would have to ask others why I was expected
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A.	place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right? So certainly it sounds I've said I will tell them how we've dealt with them. So it could it could well be that I had not remembered this and that this is the first time that we've talked about bugs. It could also be that Paula had shared it and I'm just sharing the detail of the bugs but, reading this now, I think I was expected to share the detail about the bugs. Why is it that the Company Secretary is fulfilling the role of communicating that important shift in the Post Office's position? So I was seeing Janet because she and I had had a good relationship from the first meeting with Alice and, if Janet had any issues, she would contact me and I would try and help sort out any things for her that were for James. You would have to ask others why I was expected to do this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A. Q.	place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right? So certainly it sounds I've said I will tell them how we've dealt with them. So it could it could well be that I had not remembered this and that this is the first time that we've talked about bugs. It could also be that Paula had shared it and I'm just sharing the detail of the bugs but, reading this now, I think I was expected to share the detail about the bugs. Why is it that the Company Secretary is fulfilling the role of communicating that important shift in the Post Office's position? So I was seeing Janet because she and I had had a good relationship from the first meeting with Alice and, if Janet had any issues, she would contact me and I would try and help sort out any things for her that were for James. You would have to ask others why I was expected to do this. Which others?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A.	place to share the bugs we have found and how we have dealt with them", your evidence to the Chair earlier was that you thought that that had already been dealt with by Paula Vennells after the meeting in May. It certainly reads here as though you are, in fact, going to be the bearer of that bad news; is that right? So certainly it sounds I've said I will tell them how we've dealt with them. So it could it could well be that I had not remembered this and that this is the first time that we've talked about bugs. It could also be that Paula had shared it and I'm just sharing the detail of the bugs but, reading this now, I think I was expected to share the detail about the bugs. Why is it that the Company Secretary is fulfilling the role of communicating that important shift in the Post Office's position? So I was seeing Janet because she and I had had a good relationship from the first meeting with Alice and, if Janet had any issues, she would contact me and I would try and help sort out any things for her that were for James. You would have to ask others why I was expected to do this.

- 1 thought Paula would have wanted to speak to James.
- 2 Q. You say, "I do think this is the right place to share3 the bugs"?
- 4 A. Because it looks as if we haven't shared them yet.
- 5 **Q.** Yes.
- 6 A. So I'm going, "Look, we have to share this information7 about bugs and I'm seeing Janet".
- 8 Q. You had a good relationship with Janet Walker --
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. -- and it was your advice that now was the time to share
  11 that information, not with James Arbuthnot himself, but
  12 with the person who you had a good relationship with?
- 13 A. Well, I don't think I was under any misillusion that if
- I shared it with Janet it wouldn't be shared with James,
  you know, I --
- 16 Q. Were you being used as the bearer of bad news by the17 company?
- 18 A. Possibly. But it's me suggesting I do this. It's me
- 19 saying I do think we need to share this with Janet and,20 therefore, James before the Second Sight Report comes
- 21 out.
- 22 Q. We started today looking at the expert report and the23 role of the company secretary?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 **Q.** Where in the role of the company secretary does this 113
- 1 A. Yes, yes.
- 2 Q. -- to the Chair. This not communicating anything to the
  3 Board. In fact, it's the very opposite, isn't it? It's
- 4 communicating something to an external party?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 **Q.** Why is the Company Secretary doing that?
- 7 A. Because I had the meeting with Janet and I didn't want
- 8 to go to that meeting and not tell her about the bugs9 that I now knew about.
- 10 Q. Why were you attending a meeting with Janet?
- 11 A. So I was attending a meeting with Janet because I -- it
- 12 was my -- I had the connection with Janet and I was
- 13 attending this meeting with Janet in advance of the
- 14 Second Sight Interim Report, and it was to -- and I'm
- 15 going from memory here -- but I believe this meeting was
- 16 to understand how both James and the Post Office and
- 17 Second Sight were going to align the following week and
- understand how this report was going to come out and howwe were going to deal with it.
- 20 Q. Was it a strategic choice to break the news to
- 21 a friendly face, to somebody not as senior as James
- 22 Arbuthnot, somebody who assists him; not directly from
- the Chief Executive to the Parliamentarian but from youto his assistant?
- 25 A. I don't believe that's a choice that I made. I believe 115

- 1 kind of a role come in?
- 2 A. Well, it doesn't.
- 3 Q. Why were you doing it?
- 4 **A.** Because, in this instance, I was trying to get the
- 5 information about bugs out to Janet and, therefore, to
- 6 James, and, if no one else was seeing them before the
- 7 Second Sight Report, then I needed to do that.
- 8 Q. I mean, we've seen email after email about bugs, direct
- 9 liaison with Gareth Jenkins by this stage, you are
- 10 meeting up with the assistant to the key
- 11 Parliamentarian?
- 12 **A.** Mm-hm.
- 13  $\,$  Q.  $\,$  Why, as I say, is the Company Secretary being tasked
- 14 with all of this or, even if you're not being tasked,
- 15 why is the Company Secretary doing this work?
- 16 **A.** So I've explained that, originally, the connection with
- 17 James and with Alice was through James, and that's how
- 18 I had a relationship with Janet. We've also seen emails
- 19 where Alice is saying that, you know, I needed to be
- involved in things and needed to feed back things toAlice when things arose.
- 22 Q. Feeding back to Alice absolutely would fall under that
  23 job description that we looked at --
- 24 A. Yes, yes, yes.
- 25 Q. -- the role to communicate --
  - 114
- 1 I got to this point and I was saying "At the meeting on Monday, I think I have to share what we know about 2 3 bugs". I wasn't willing to go to this meeting and see 4 Janet and talk about how we move towards a Second Sight 5 review without talking to her about bugs. 6 Q. "My objective is to get Janet to a place where she also 7 wants the meeting to be cancelled." So I'm -- I believe that is the meeting that James has 8 Δ. 9 got with Second Sight --10 Q. Yes. A. -- and the reason for that is that this was now -- it 11 12 wasn't the Interim Report we had here; it was 13 an incomplete report. And you can see from above that 14 Second Sight hadn't used all the evidence, there were 15 gaps in the report and the reason I'm saying that 16 James -- there is a risk with James having this meeting 17 with Second Sight is because the Second Sight Report at 18 this point is not accurate, it's incomplete. 19 Isn't this a highly strategic move that you are advising Q. 20 on here: when to tell the Parliamentarian, the objective 21 to get the subsequent meeting with Second Sight 22 cancelled; that's well beyond your role as Company 23 Secretary, isn't it?
- A. Absolutely, and it's beyond -- we -- I could not tell
   Janet that the meeting needed to be cancelled. I n
  - 5 Janet that the meeting needed to be cancelled. I needed 116

1		to explain to Janet that this was an incomplete Interim	1
2		Report, that not all the facts were in it, that there	2
3		was evidence lacking and, if James went ahead with his	3
4		meeting with Second Sight, there was risk that he would	4
5		be that he would be misinformed. That's all I'm	5
6		doing here and then it would be for Janet and James to	6
7		decide whether the meeting should be cancelled.	7
8		I didn't want them to go ahead not knowing that the	8
9		report was incomplete.	9
10	Q.	I'm just going to take you to two very brief documents	10
11		before we break for lunch. Could we just turn to	11
12		POL00296821. If we turn over to page 2, this is	12
13		an email from Andrew Parsons to Rodric Williams	13
14		providing detail about a particular bug.	14
15		"Mrs Wall was terminated in September 2011. The	15
16		first '14 Bug' error in her branch occurred after she	16
17		was terminated", et cetera.	17
18		So it was relating to what was at that time referred	18
19		to as the "14 Bug".	19
20		Could we group please, Hugh Flemington says,	20
21		"Useful". Then Mark Davies says:	21
22		"Can we change the way we are referring to this	22
23		please as a matter of urgency?"	23
24		If we look above, we have a request from you to Mark	24
25		Davies, Hugh Flemington and Lesley Sewell:	25
		117	
1		at that stage, Paula Vennells seeking a non-emotive word	1
2		for bugs?	2
3	Α.	No, I don't, I just I just know that we stopped	3
4	_	calling bugs "bugs".	4
5	Q.	One final document before we break, POL0029638. This is	5
6		within a day of that email. If we turn to page 2,	6
7		please. We have Gareth Jenkins emailing Simon Baker:	7
8		"Simon"	8
9		It was about the Callendar Square bug.	9
10		"It was first raised in 2005."	10
11		If we scroll down, it says, halfway down that	11
12		paragraph:	12
13		"The problem in business terms was that due to	13
14		transactions not being visible, [subpostmasters]	14
15		considered that they had not been input and so re-input	15
16		the transactions, thus ending up with duplicate	16
17		transactions and so when the originals came through the	17
18		following day, the accounts would be in a mess."	18
19		The bottom paragraph:	19
20		"This problem was evidenced by a large number of	20
21		events in the event logs."	21
22		If we scroll up, please. Simon Baker forwards it to	22
23 24		you, Susan Crichton, and Hugh Flemington. If we scroll	23
		up we have an email from you to Lealey Sowell and Susan	
24 25		up we have an email from you to Lesley Sewell and Susan Crichton:	24 25

1		"Can we call bugs incidents from now on please."
2		That is the same day as the email that we have just
3		been looking at. Why are you, on that day, seeking to
4		rename bugs.
5	Α.	Well, you can see from the email before that I'm calling
6		them bugs and I have no problem with that. Mark Davies,
7		who is the Communications Director, comes out and says
8		"Can we call them something else, please", and that's me
9		going out to everybody on that email and saying, "Can we
10		call bugs incidents from now on, please".
11	Q.	Was that in your role as Company Secretary or is that in
12	<b>~</b> .	some other role?
13	A.	That's just me cascading Mark Davies' email.
14	Q.	If we turn, please, to POL00380985. Within a matter of
15	પ્ર.	days we have the email that the Inquiry has already
16		seen, 2 July. Paula Vennells seeking an answer to the
17		question:
18		"What is a non-emotive word for computer bugs,
19		glitches, defects that happen as a matter of course?"
20		"Answer:
20 21		
		"Exception or anomaly'"
22		Who set that particular question, do you recall?
23	A.	No idea.
24 25	Q.	If we scroll above, we have the full distribution list,
25		so you were on that distribution list. Do you remember, 118
1		"Is this another anomaly it does worry me that the
2		number seems to be going up."
3		So the very next day you are then referring to
4		a bug, the Callendar Square bug, as an anomaly. Do you
5		recall using the corporate dictionary?
6	Α.	I don't but if I had sent the email asking other people
7		to use that word, I would probably start using that
8		word.
9	Q.	Did you give any thought to why you were now calling
10		bugs "anomalies"?
11	Α.	In my mind, it didn't really make any difference what we
12		called them but I'd been asked to call them this, so
13		I called them it.
14	MR	<b>BLAKE:</b> Thank you, sir. That is an appropriate time for
15		lunch. Could we come back at 2.00, please. Thank you.
16	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Sorry, yes. I was mute. Yeah, 2.00.
17		BLAKE: Thank you very much.
18	(1.04	4 pm)
19		(The Short Adjournment)
20	(2.0	0 pm)
21	MR	BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir, can you see and hear me?
22	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you.
		BLAKE: Can we please turn to POL00029641.
22		
22 23		<b>BLAKE:</b> Can we please turn to POL00029641. We're at 3 July 2013. So the same day, in fact the evening, of the email that I took you to before lunch.
22 23 24		<b>BLAKE:</b> Can we please turn to POL00029641. We're at 3 July 2013. So the same day, in fact the

119

(30) Pages 117 - 120

1		If we scroll down, please. There's an email from Rodric	
2		Williams to Rod Ismay and Lesley Sewell and it's about	
3		the local suspense problem. I think yes, you're not	
4		in this chain, are you? He says as follows, he says:	
5		"All here's my summary of my call with Andy Winn	
6		" 	
7		I think it's information about the 14 branch issue:	
8		"The issue first surfaced at the Post Office Finance	
9		Service Centre on 6 February 2012, at the close of	
10		a Branch Trading period	
11		"FSC might have proactively contacted the	
12		[subpostmistress or subpostmaster] given the size of the	
13		discrepancy.	
14		"FSC investigated, saw that it looked wrong, and	
15		brought the account back to balance at no cost to	
16		the [subpostmaster]."	
17		It says there:	
18		"Over the next few weeks, as the rest of the branch	
19		trading data for the same period was processed, the	
20		other 13 branch anomalies were noted.	
21		"Those other branches' accounts were brought to	
22		balance, again at no cost to the subpostmaster.	
23		"This was not perceived to be a significant issue	2
24		given the small number of branches affected and the	
25		small sums involved." 121	4
		121	
1		information about this bug.	
2	Q.	- ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
2	ч.	same day. There's an email from Paula Vennells, second	
4		email. She says:	
5		"Ignore the note below. Just getting mixed up with	
6		mails: I'm sure there are plenty of good reasons but let	
7		me ask anyway: could our two documents be shared with	
, 8		[James Arbuthnot]? They are so clear it might help	
9		his understanding."	
10		Do you recall what those two document were at all?	
11	Α.	I don't. Does it make it any clearer further down the	
12	Π.	email?	
13	Q.		
14	<b>.</b>	"Ignore the note below", so it may not be.	
15	Α.		
16	Q.	There's a response from you above, and you say as	
17		follows:	
18		"I think it's risky. It would depend on how open he	
19		is with us, if he listens and whether we believe we have	
20		convinced him to amend his approach to media, MPs, etc."	
21	Α.		
22		two documents Paula is alluding to. It could be our	2
23		media brief, I don't know.	2
24	Q.	So to continue a theme that we were discussing for most	:
25		of the morning, this is again you being really part of	:
		123	

1201111	mq	21 may 202-
1		Then it says:
2		"On 6 February 2013, the Willen [subpostmaster]
3		contacted [the Post Office] National Business Support
4		Centre to report the same discrepancy in his Branch
5		Trading as the previous year."
6		So were you aware that this particular problem was,
7		first of all, reported to the Post Office back in 2012,
8		that it, although they thought they had addressed it,
9		appeared again in February 2013?
10	Α.	So I was aware of this as a bug. So I don't know how
11		much detail I had on it. It would have been in one of
12		the reports I got about the bugs.
13	Q.	Not just a bug but a bug that lasted from 2012 on to
14		2013. If we scroll over the page, it was passed to
15		Fujitsu between 6 and 8 February 2013 and was resolved
16		in April 2013. So were you aware that the 14 branch
17		issue or anomaly was an issue that lasted for well over
18		a year and which was known to the Post Office at the
19		time?
20	Α.	So I think in one of those documents it does explain how
21		it happened, and I think it's triggered by the date. So
22		it comes so it happens a year later, if you see what
23		I'm saying.
24	Q.	Yes.
25	Α.	So I was aware of this bug and I think I had had
		122
1		that inner circle, advising Paula Vennells not on
2		whether a matter should go to the Board but should go to
3		James Arbuthnot.
4	Α.	So I think in the run-up to meeting James Arbuthnot,
5		yes, I was involved in that. Because I was the one
6		meeting Janet and I was the one doing lots of the input
7	_	into James.
8	Q.	Again, same question as I was asking this morning: what
9		part of the job of a company secretary was that?
10	Α.	Well, it isn't the part of a traditional company
11		secretary role; it's a role that seemed to have evolved
12		because I got involved with Alice in the initial meeting
13	_	with James Arbuthnot.
14	Q.	Thank you. We're now quite a way I mean, we started
15		looking at emails from 2012
16	Α.	Yes.
17	Q.	so this is a year on. Could we please turn to
18		POL00192017. We're now on 8 July. If we have a look
19		down the first page, we can see there, at the bottom of
20		the page, please, Sarah Paddison on behalf of Paula
21		Vennells, on 8 July:
22 23		"As promised in my previous email, here is a copy of the final draft of the [Second Sight] Report They
23		the final draft of the [Second Sight] Report They

- 23 the final draft of the [Second Sight] Report ... They
- took on board the majority of our comments over theweekend, but not all of them. The second attachment i
  - 5 weekend, but not all of them. The second attachment is 124

1		an internal note detailing the remaining aspects of the
2		report which we believe are misleading or factually
3		inaccurate."
4		Would you have read the Second Sight Report at that
5		point?
6	Α.	I think at this point I would have just sent the two
7		things that Paula's asking me to the Board but I do
8		believe I read the Second Sight Report.
9	Q.	Approximately around this time?
10	Α.	I can't be sure but I would have imagined I would have
11		done.
12	Q.	Where there is a report that is relevant to the legal
13		and regulatory obligations of the company, would you
14		generally have read that substantive report?
15	Α.	Yes.
16	Q.	If we scroll up we see you then forward it to the
17		"Horizon reading room". Can you assist us with what
18		that is?
19	Α.	So the Board had a reading room, so this could well be
20		a folder within the Board's reading room.
21	Q.	How would you decide whether it was something to go to
22		the Board or not to go to the Board?
23	Α.	So here you can see that I'm being told to send it to
24		the Board.
25	Q.	Yes.
		125

1		"I spoke with Alice this morning: as I [explained]
2		(and rightly) Alwen and I need to give an oral update to
3		the Board tonight and she would like a paper tabled and
4		discussed next week at the Board meeting."
5		Could we scroll up, please. Susan Crichton says:
6		"We are working [through] this and will have
7		thoughts later today which can feed in."
8		Paula Vennells says:
9		" do you mean everyone is [or are there] multiple
10		angles? If so, that's great, when would be a good time
11		for a call?"
12		Susan Crichton responds:
13		"Alwen Hugh and I it was Lesley as well but she has
14		gone to the House of Parliament to help brief Jo S
15		also Angela is around so will try and get her to help."
16		So we saw the first email from Mark Davies. Was it,
17		in your view, appropriate for Mark Davies, the Head of
18		Communications, to have been providing the kind of
19		thoughts that we've seen at the end of this chain?
20	Α.	Can we go back down?
21	Q.	Yes, absolutely. If we scroll down. What was your view
22		of the role of Mark Davies at this particular time, in
23		the response to the Second Sight Report?
24	Α.	My understanding would be that Mark would be pulling
25		together the PR response and all the Communications 127

1	Α.	So it would have got sent to the Board. If something
2		if I wasn't sure, I would ask the Chair.
3	Q.	Could we please turn to POL00099149, please. Thank you.
4		Over the page, actually, the second page, we have
5		an email that the Inquiry has already seen from Mark
6		Davies to Paula Vennells. You're also an addressee and
7		he provides some thoughts on the next steps following
8		the publication of the Second Sight Report and proposes
9		various initiatives. If we scroll up, please, to
10		page 1, we have a response from Paula Vennells. She
11		says:
12		"Mark, thank you for this.
13		"All, if you could do something similar half
14		a dozen points on what you think we need to do next,
15		re your own areas and overall. It will help define next
16		steps. See below.
17		"Susan, re point one below do you have
18		a proposal/something in writing as to what the next
19		legal steps are, or is this referring to the general
20		conversation last week re the external lawyers code of
21		disclosure?"
22		Do you recall an issue with the Code of Disclosure
23		at this point?
24	Α.	No.
05	~	

25 Q. No. It then says:

1		response.
2	Q.	There we see some substantive suggestions about the way
3		forward, such as:
4		"[Second Sight] will have to be involved: they have
5		to position themselves with the MPs as crucial
6		independent voice.
7		"We need to find ways of supporting them in areas
8		where they do not have expertise
9		"That support needs to look with them at all cases
1(	)	brought by MPs", et cetera.
11	1 <b>A</b> .	Mm.
12	2 <b>Q</b> .	Did you have a view at that stage about whether it was
13	3	or wasn't appropriate for the Head of Communications to
14	1	be inputting in that way?
15	5 <b>A</b> .	I know that Mark had a lot of contact with MPs and
16	6	I think that was maybe part of his role, as well, so
17	7	maybe that's why he's picked up the MP piece. I think
18	3	he's just sending some thoughts and saying, you know,
19	Э	"These are my thoughts, what do you think?"
20	) <b>Q</b> .	If we scroll up we have, in the first email, a meeting
2	1	with you at the very top of the page, please you,
22	2	Hugh, and Susan Crichton. What do you recall of those
23	3	conversations?

- 24 A. So I don't recall those conversations. I would imagine
- 25 that, if Alice has asked for a call and Paula wants to 128

1		give her a verbal update that evening and she wants
2		a Board paper, I'm seeing my job here as coordinating
3		that verbal update and then the Board paper.
4	Q.	If we scroll down, the reference to the update to the
5		Board, it says:
6		" update to the Board tonight"
7		Sorry, if we scroll down to the bottom of that page,
8		there:
9		" I need to give an oral update to the Board
10		tonight and she would like a paper tabled"
11		Do you recall there being a conversation with the
12		Board that night?
13	Α.	I don't but, if Alice has asked for it, it probably
14		happened.
15	Q.	If we turn to POL00027573, these are some minutes of
16		a Board meeting on that day. You've referred to this in
17		your witness statement. It addresses an entirely
18		different topic. It's the 2013/2020 strategic call.
19	Α.	Oh right, yes.
20	Q.	If we scroll down, we can see. So that's a conference
21	-	call held on 9 July and we can scroll down it, there's
22		no mention and you've said in your statement there
23		of any Horizon related issues?
24	Α.	No.
25	Q.	Would that have been the moment to have raised those
25	ω.	129
1		"Simon is preparing a further advice about Gareth
1 2		"Simon is preparing a further advice about Gareth Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth
2		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth
2 3		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th."
2 3 4		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were
2 3 4 5	А.	Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post
2 3 4 5 6	A. Q.	Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins?
2 3 4 5 6 7		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins? No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins? No. Can we please turn to POL00145427. If we scroll down,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins? No. Can we please turn to POL00145427. If we scroll down, please, this is the next day, 12 July. So the bottom of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins? No. Can we please turn to POL00145427. If we scroll down, please, this is the next day, 12 July. So the bottom of page 1, into page 2. We have an email there, Susan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins? No. Can we please turn to POL00145427. If we scroll down, please, this is the next day, 12 July. So the bottom of page 1, into page 2. We have an email there, Susan Crichton to you, subject is "Board Paper for circulation":
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins? No. Can we please turn to POL00145427. If we scroll down, please, this is the next day, 12 July. So the bottom of page 1, into page 2. We have an email there, Susan Crichton to you, subject is "Board Paper for circulation": "Alwen if you can bear to read it quickly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins? No. Can we please turn to POL00145427. If we scroll down, please, this is the next day, 12 July. So the bottom of page 1, into page 2. We have an email there, Susan Crichton to you, subject is "Board Paper for circulation": "Alwen if you can bear to read it quickly [please] do as I am tired of it!"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins? No. Can we please turn to POL00145427. If we scroll down, please, this is the next day, 12 July. So the bottom of page 1, into page 2. We have an email there, Susan Crichton to you, subject is "Board Paper for circulation": "Alwen if you can bear to read it quickly [please] do as I am tired of it!" If we scroll up, please, the response from you:
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins? No. Can we please turn to POL00145427. If we scroll down, please, this is the next day, 12 July. So the bottom of page 1, into page 2. We have an email there, Susan Crichton to you, subject is "Board Paper for circulation": "Alwen if you can bear to read it quickly [please] do as I am tired of it!" If we scroll up, please, the response from you: "Sorry I know you don't want to but I have change
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins? No. Can we please turn to POL00145427. If we scroll down, please, this is the next day, 12 July. So the bottom of page 1, into page 2. We have an email there, Susan Crichton to you, subject is "Board Paper for circulation": "Alwen if you can bear to read it quickly [please] do as I am tired of it!" If we scroll up, please, the response from you: "Sorry I know you don't want to but I have change the recommendation and a few bits are you happy."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins? No. Can we please turn to POL00145427. If we scroll down, please, this is the next day, 12 July. So the bottom of page 1, into page 2. We have an email there, Susan Crichton to you, subject is "Board Paper for circulation": "Alwen if you can bear to read it quickly [please] do as I am tired of it!" If we scroll up, please, the response from you: "Sorry I know you don't want to but I have change the recommendation and a few bits are you happy." We will come to look at the changes that are made.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins? No. Can we please turn to POL00145427. If we scroll down, please, this is the next day, 12 July. So the bottom of page 1, into page 2. We have an email there, Susan Crichton to you, subject is "Board Paper for circulation": "Alwen if you can bear to read it quickly [please] do as I am tired of it!" If we scroll up, please, the response from you: "Sorry I know you don't want to but I have change the recommendation and a few bits are you happy." We will come to look at the changes that are made. Then this is the response from Susan Crichton, which
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins? No. Can we please turn to POL00145427. If we scroll down, please, this is the next day, 12 July. So the bottom of page 1, into page 2. We have an email there, Susan Crichton to you, subject is "Board Paper for circulation": "Alwen if you can bear to read it quickly [please] do as I am tired of it!" If we scroll up, please, the response from you: "Sorry I know you don't want to but I have change the recommendation and a few bits are you happy." We will come to look at the changes that are made. Then this is the response from Susan Crichton, which I took her to in her evidence, where she says:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins? No. Can we please turn to POL00145427. If we scroll down, please, this is the next day, 12 July. So the bottom of page 1, into page 2. We have an email there, Susan Crichton to you, subject is "Board Paper for circulation": "Alwen if you can bear to read it quickly [please] do as I am tired of it!" If we scroll up, please, the response from you: "Sorry I know you don't want to but I have change the recommendation and a few bits are you happy." We will come to look at the changes that are made. Then this is the response from Susan Crichton, which I took her to in her evidence, where she says: "Hi you must have read my mind whilst I was walking
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins? No. Can we please turn to POL00145427. If we scroll down, please, this is the next day, 12 July. So the bottom of page 1, into page 2. We have an email there, Susan Crichton to you, subject is "Board Paper for circulation": "Alwen if you can bear to read it quickly [please] do as I am tired of it!" If we scroll up, please, the response from you: "Sorry I know you don't want to but I have change the recommendation and a few bits are you happy." We will come to look at the changes that are made. Then this is the response from Susan Crichton, which I took her to in her evidence, where she says: "Hi you must have read my mind whilst I was walking the dog I suddenly thought it wasn't a good idea to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins? No. Can we please turn to POL00145427. If we scroll down, please, this is the next day, 12 July. So the bottom of page 1, into page 2. We have an email there, Susan Crichton to you, subject is "Board Paper for circulation": "Alwen if you can bear to read it quickly [please] do as I am tired of it!" If we scroll up, please, the response from you: "Sorry I know you don't want to but I have change the recommendation and a few bits are you happy." We will come to look at the changes that are made. Then this is the response from Susan Crichton, which I took her to in her evidence, where she says: "Hi you must have read my mind whilst I was walking the dog I suddenly thought it wasn't a good idea to mention 'bugs' so have changed that and also found
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins? No. Can we please turn to POL00145427. If we scroll down, please, this is the next day, 12 July. So the bottom of page 1, into page 2. We have an email there, Susan Crichton to you, subject is "Board Paper for circulation": "Alwen if you can bear to read it quickly [please] do as I am tired of it!" If we scroll up, please, the response from you: "Sorry I know you don't want to but I have change the recommendation and a few bits are you happy." We will come to look at the changes that are made. Then this is the response from Susan Crichton, which I took her to in her evidence, where she says: "Hi you must have read my mind whilst I was walking the dog I suddenly thought it wasn't a good idea to mention 'bugs' so have changed that and also found another couple of typos the recommendation is much
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		Jenkins as agreed. However he touched on the [Gareth Jenkins] point in his earlier advice on the 8th." You're not copied into this correspondence. Were you aware, at this time, of concerns within the Post Office about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins? No. Can we please turn to POL00145427. If we scroll down, please, this is the next day, 12 July. So the bottom of page 1, into page 2. We have an email there, Susan Crichton to you, subject is "Board Paper for circulation": "Alwen if you can bear to read it quickly [please] do as I am tired of it!" If we scroll up, please, the response from you: "Sorry I know you don't want to but I have change the recommendation and a few bits are you happy." We will come to look at the changes that are made. Then this is the response from Susan Crichton, which I took her to in her evidence, where she says: "Hi you must have read my mind whilst I was walking the dog I suddenly thought it wasn't a good idea to mention 'bugs' so have changed that and also found

on IT	「 Inq	uiry 21 May 2024
1		issues or was that a separate call?
2	Α.	So I think no, this is a completely separate call,
2		and I think this would have been earlier in the day.
4	Q.	Thank you. Do you think it's likely or unlikely that,
5	ч.	if there was a call later that day, there would be
6		minutes of that call?
7	Α.	I think it's likely there would be a note of the call,
8	ς.	not necessarily minutes, unless it was it was
9		convened as a Board meeting.
9 10	Q.	Who would have taken the note of the call?
11	а. А.	It would likely to be me.
12	д.	Thank you. Could we please now turn to POL00297607.
12	ц.	We're moving on now to 11 July. If we could scroll
13		
		down, please. So this is 10 July, and the top one is
15		11 July, and this is an email that relates to Gareth
16		Jenkins and Rodric Williams is saying here to the
17		external lawyers at Cartwright King, copying in Susan
18		Crichton and others:
19		"Do you have some suggested wording for how we break
20		the [and we think that's 'Gareth Jenkins'] news to
21		[Fujitsu], including why it is a problem for you from
22		a criminal law perspective?"
23		If we scroll up, please, on the 11th, it's an email
24		from Martin Smith at Cartwright King to Rodric Williams,
25		Susan Crichton copied in: 130
1		So we saw there that the day before, and the day
2		before that, there's that chain of emails relating to
3		the Gareth Jenkins issue.
4	Α.	Yes.
5	Q.	You are in contact with Susan Crichton, who was involved
6		in the Gareth Jenkins issue. Did Susan Crichton, at
7		this point where you are discussing a Board paper for
8		circulation, mention concerns about the reliability of
9		Gareth Jenkins?
10	Α.	No, I do not remember ever seeing any concerns about
11		Gareth Jenkins or the Clarke Advice, or at all.
12	Q.	Thank you. The paper that was sent to you is
13		POL00145421. Perhaps if we turn to page 3 and if we
14		could keep page 3 on screen, at the bottom of page 3,
15		please, so the "Recommendations", and then could we
16		please also bring up on screen your amended version, and
17		that is POL00145426.
18		Do you recall in that email chain you had told Susan
19		Crichton that you had amended the "Recommendations"?
~~		N/

- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 **Q.** So this, on the right-hand side, is the original
- 22 version. If we look on the left-hand side, if we could
- 23 turn, please, to page 3 of that version, we can see
- there that the "Recommendations" is now changed. It wasoriginally:
  - 132

1		"The Board is asked to:	1
2		"Note the update and action set out above;	2
3		"Consider whether the Post Office cease criminal	3
4		prosecutions;	4
5		"Request the Audit and Risk Committee to oversee the	5
6		actions set out above."	6
7		It's now changed to:	7
8		"Note the update and actions set out above;	8
9 10		"Decide whether the Audit and Risk Committee should consider the position of the Post Office as	9 10
10		a Prosecuting Authority alongside its work in	10
12		September"	11
13		So that second recommendation, the explicit	12
14		recommendation to consider whether the Post Office cease	14
15		criminal prosecutions has been removed. Can you assist	15
16		us with why that was removed?	16
17	Α.	So the ones on the left, they are the ones that were	17
18	Q.	That's the updated version that you returned to Susan	18
19		Crichton on the left.	19
20	Α.	Okay.	20
21	Q.	The right-hand side is the version you were sent by	21
22		Susan Crichton originally.	22
23	Α.	So I can't I only saw these two papers this morning.	23
24	Q.	Yes.	24
25	Α.	I can't really help with why I suggested the ones on the	25
		133	
1		my advice on how I thought that would land with the	1
2		Board and whether I thought that was enough information	2
3		or whether they needed to take information out but	3
4		I don't specifically remember this one.	4
5	Q.	Do you have any recollection at this time of the Board's	5
6		views on ceasing prosecutions?	6
7	Α.	l don't, l'm sorry.	7
8	Q.	Could we please turn to POL00191966. This is the same	8
9		day, 12 July. This isn't an email that went to you but	9
10		this is just to assist us with the timeline of where we	10
11		are. Rodric Williams is sending to Andrew Parsons,	11
12		external lawyer, and a team of internal lawyers, the	12
13		attached:	13
14		" which sets out the high level issue which	14
15		Cartwright King (our criminal law solicitors) has	15
16		identified with the Fujitsu evidence Gareth Jenkins has	16
17		been providing in support of the criminal prosecution	17
18		cases conducted for Post Office Limited. A more	18
19		detailed note should arrive on Monday, which I will	19
20		forward to you once I have it."	20
21		So, again, we have there, that's the Gareth Jenkins	21
22 23	Α.	advice that you referred to	22 23
23 24	A. Q.	Yes. that you say you didn't know about	23 24
24 25	Q. A.	No.	24 25
20	Π.	105	20

1	left and not the ones on the right.
	for and not the ones on the right.

- Q. The one on the left reflects the ultimate version that
- went to the Board.
- **A.** Okay.
- Q. Do you recall, in July 2013, discussions about whether
- 6 the Post Office ceases criminal prosecutions?
- A. I don't. Is that in the main body of the report?
- 8 Q. So that's 8.2, the "Recommendations".
- 9 A. So I -- no, I can't remember.
- 0 **Q.** Did you get involved -- I mean, is this a typical
- 11 example of you getting involved in what recommendations
- 12 were made to the Board; is this unusual; is this
- 3 something we might see elsewhere or not?
- A. I can't really comment. I'm -- having only seen this
- this morning, I don't know why those two things changeor why I thought the left one was a better update for
- 17 the Board or a better part of the Board paper.
- 18 **Q.** The question really was about whether that was something
- you would typically do, to amend recommendations to theBoard or to --
- 21 **A.** No.
- Q. No. So if you had made that change, would it have beenan unusual change to have made?
- A. I think so, yes. I mean, not this particular paper but
- 25 people did send me Board papers, you know, and ask for 134

1	Q.	at the time.
2		We just had an email chain about discussing a paper
3		for the Board. Is that a matter that you would have
4		expected to have gone to the Board had you known about
5		it?
6	Α.	Absolutely.
7	Q.	Could we please turn to POL00099200.
8		Same date, 12 July, you are there emailing Susan
9		Crichton and Paula Vennells and you're providing them
10		with a timeline. So this is events that are going to
11		happen over the next week or so, I think; is that right?
12	Α.	Yes.
13	Q.	Yes. So on 15 July:
14		" Decide who's going to lead going forward.
15		"16th Paper and discussion with the Board.
16		"16th meeting with [Second Sight] and External
17		Lawyers
18		"17th [you] to call AB"
19		Is that Alan Bates?
20	Α.	l assume so.
21	Q.	" re toxic cases and set up a face-to-face to
22		understand which cases he believes are in this category
23		and a quick talk through, with [the Post Office] lead."
24		Can you assist us with what "toxic cases" is
25		a reference to?

	1		thinking what would I have meant? I would imagine the
	2		contact with Alan Bates would be to find out which
to	3		cases because we've looked at spot reviews, five spot
	4		reviews, from JFSA but we haven't looked at individual
ad	5		cases, although some were in the spot reviews. So
	6		I think this is me going to Alan Bates and saying,
	7		"Which are the other cases that we need to talk about
	8		and to bring into the next piece of work?"
	9	Q.	Thank you. Looking at this timeline, on the 15th,
	10		that's, in fact, the day of Simon Clarke's substantive
	11		advice on Gareth Jenkins.
	12	Α.	Yes.
	13	Q.	The very next day, there is a proposed paper and
	14		discussion with the board on Horizon issues.
h is	15		I'd like to turn now to POL00122552. I'm going to
	16		skip to the 15th, so that's the date to decide who's
Susan	17		going to lead, going forward.
	18		If we scroll down over the page to page 2. This is
	19		the day of the Jenkins Advice. Susan Crichton is
illiams	20		emailing Cartwright King lawyers, and you're copied into
	21		this. She says:
ay,	22		"Martin we have received the attached from the
	23		Criminal Cases Review Commission should you draft reply
	24		on our behalf or should we refer them to you to reply on
	25		our behalf?"
			138
	1		the cases, they're going to take them back to the CCRC
	2		and but I'm not a lawyer. I do not know what needs
ow	3		to go to the CCRC, so I'm not I haven't got the
	4		detail behind what's going on here.
	5	Q.	But you have a board meeting the next day?
sent to	6	Α.	Yes, and sorry.
	7	Q.	Does that flag to you, the CCRC writing to you, that
	8		there might be some serious issues with cases that the
it we	9		Post Office has prosecuted?
	10	Α.	So I would not have the detail of that. Susan Crichton
	11		and the Legal Team would have the detail of that and my
ully if	12		expectation would be, if there's something in there that
t."	13		the board needed to know about, the Board would be told
of	14		the next day.
9	15	Q.	They would be told by Susan Crichton?
V	16	Α.	Yes.
	17	Q.	Yes. Could we please turn to POL00021516, and these are
ite	18		the Board minutes of 16 July 2013. We see there that
	19		you are in attendance, if we scroll down, please. The
	20		one person who is not in attendance at this Board
	21		meeting is Susan Crichton. Do you recall why Susan
	22		Crichton wasn't invited into that Board meeting?
f	23	Α.	So was there a legal paper at this Board meeting?
vice	24	Q.	Well, if it assists, her evidence was that she was kept
ause of	25		out of the meeting.
			1411

1	Α.	So I can't specifically but I think I was saying that
2		I would call Alan Bates and talk about the specific
3		cases that he thought needed to be discussed face to
4		face as well, the Second Sight review so they
5		hadn't done their Interim Report yet, have they, or had
6		they? Sorry, I'm a bit
7	Q.	Not yet.
8	Α.	a bit confused by the timeline here.
9	Q.	Sorry, they have. Sorry, yes.
10	<u>А</u> .	They have?
11	Q.	Yes.
12	<u>ц</u> . А.	Okay. So and I'm
13	Q.	So we're still going chronologically.
14	а. А.	Okay. Okay.
14		There's been a discussion of the Board paper, which is
	Q.	
16		entitled "Update following the publication of the
17		report", and that's the discussion between you and Susan
18		Crichton.
19	A.	Yes.
20	Q.	
21		circulated internally and to Mr Parsons about those
22		Gareth Jenkins concerns. We're still on the same day,
23		so after the report, and this is the timeline going
24		forward.
25	Α.	5
		137
1		If we scroll up, Mr Smith from Cartwright King
2		responds, you're copied in:
3		"I have asked Simon to draft a response tomorrow
4		morning."
5		That's Simon Clarke from Cartwright King.
6		Then the email above that from Mr Flemington sent to
7		the others but copied in to you:
8		"Thanks Martin
9		"Presumably we need to give off the signals that we
10		are proactive, doing all the right things [regarding]
11		writing to people to keep the [Attorney General] and
12		[Criminal Cases Review Commission] calm. Hopefully if
13		they see that they may leave us to it for the moment."
14		So this is, presumably, a very significant period of
15		time in your career. You've received a letter that the
16		Second Sight Report has been received, you've now
17		received a letter from the Criminal Cases Review
18		Commission . Do you agree with that that it was quite
18 10		Commission. Do you agree with that, that it was quite
19	^	a significant moment?
19 20	A.	a significant moment? So the problem I have here is I did not see the
19 20 21		a significant moment? So the problem I have here is I did not see the I don't believe I saw the Clarke Advice.
19 20	А. Q. А.	a significant moment? So the problem I have here is I did not see the

24 these people on this email have seen the Clarke Advice

25 and are responding about how they are -- how, because of 139

1	Α.	So is this that I do remember that meeting.	1		I stood up and walked towards the door and was asked to
2	Q.	Yes.	2		hang on a minute, to sit down because there was going to
3	Α.	This is that meeting; yes?	3		be, I assumed, a discussion before Susan came into the
4	Q.	This is that meeting.	4		room. I mean, that did happen sometimes, if the Board
5	Α.	Okay. So I my practice was that I would go to the	5		wanted a discussion on the paper before the executive
6		executive member who was coming to the Board to present	6		member came in, that did happen. So I was asked to sit
7		and I would give them an approximate time when they	7		down
8		needed them to be standing outside the door, basically.	8	Q.	I could ask you to stop there: who asked you?
9		When the moment came for us to deal with their paper,	9	Α.	I believe the Chair but yes, I believe the Chair
10		I would stand up, go to the door, invite them in and	10		because it would have been the Chair who would have said
11		they would come and present their paper and, at the end	11		they needed to have a discussion.
12		of their presentation, I would get up, take them to the	12	Q.	Okay. So it was Alice Perkins?
13		door, and bring the next person in, if there was a next	13	Α.	l believe so.
14		person.	14	Q.	Thank you.
15		At this Board meeting and our boardroom had	15	Α.	-
16		frosted glass, so you could see if there was someone	16		part of the meeting progressed. I do believe that
17		outside. At this Board meeting, Susan was waiting	17		and I don't know at what point but, at some point during
18		outside to come in and, as we started the Board it's	18		this Board update, I believe I said to the Chair "Do you
19		not the first issue, I don't think.	19		want Susan in the room because she has the detail?", and
20	Q.	No, we could scroll down. Horizon update is page 6.	20		I was told "No".
21	Α.		21	Q.	
22	Q.	We had seen previous correspondence that identified	22	۰.	explained, the CCRC have written to you the day before,
23	<b>.</b>	Susan Crichton as the relevant person to speak to that	23		you were copied in to that. We have seen that
24		issue.	24		Ms Crichton was receiving very significant advice in
25	Δ	Yes, so at the relevant point in the Board meeting,	25		relation to Gareth Jenkins. What explanation was given
		141	20		142
1		for her not being called into the room to address	1		the business up to claims of wrongful prosecution. The
2		Horizon issues?	2		Board asked if Susan Crichton, as General Counsel, was
3	Α.	So I think in the minutes it says that if we just	3		in any way implicated in the prosecutions
4		scroll down a bit. Oh, no, sorry, it's here. It's at	4		"(c) The Board expressed strong views that the
5		(b). So the Board were concerned that the review opened	5		business had not managed the Second Sight review well
6		the business up to claims and the Board asked if Susan	6		and stressed the need for better management and cost
7		Crichton as General Counsel was in any way implicated.	7		control going forward."
8		So, as this conversation was going on and I was taking	8		Now, minutes don't always reflect precisely what is
9		my notes, I assumed that it was because of that that	9		said at a meeting. Can you assist us with that comment?
10		Susan hadn't been invited into the room.	10		Was there a particular there's a reference there to
11	Q.	Yes. Did anybody say why?	11		the business had not managed Second Sight well. Was it
12	Α.	No.	12		business that was the subject of their concern? Were
13	Q.	Was there any discussion?	13		there named people?
14	Α.	No.	14	Α.	So I think I believe, if there had been named people,
15	Q.	Let's read a little bit about what it says emerged from	15		I would have put those in the minutes. So I think the
16		the meeting so (a):	16		"not managing Second Sight well", and you can see there
17		"The CEO explained that although the Second Sight	17		the cost control, I believe the Board thought and
18		Report had been challenging it had highlighted some	18		I can't speak for them individually, obviously, but,
19		positive things as well as improvement opportunities."	19		from this minute, I believe that the Board thought the
20		So was it just the CEO who was addressing these	20		Second Sight review was taking too long and that they
21		issues, from your recollection?	21		weren't focusing in on what the Board had originally
22	Α.	So from these minutes, and I believe, the CEO then took	22		thought they were going to focus in, which was basically
23		over responsible for delivering this paper.	23		the computer.
24	Q.	Then:	24	Q.	You were somebody who was, as we've seen, quite involved
25		"(b) The Board were concerned that the review opened	25		in liaising with Second Sight. Was there any criticism

143

in liaising with Second Sight. Was there any criticism 144

(36) Pages 141 - 144
1	of you	in this	meeting?
	UI YOU	111 1113	moound:

- A. Not that I can recall. 2
- 3 Q. Did you infer any criticism of you?
- 4 A. I can't remember there being any criticism.
- 5 Q. Did you infer or was there any criticism of any specific 6 named individuals?
- 7 A. Well, only the Susan Crichton bit at the top. Can we go 8 down a little bit further?
- 9 Q. Yes.
- 10 Α. Because they then go on to say the Board accepted it was 11 an independent review but they still thought the
- business hadn't managed that review well. 12
- 13 There's lots of reference to, "the business, the Q.
- 14 business, the business", did they really just speak in
- 15 terms of the business or was there comment on the
- 16 performance of particular individuals?
- 17 A. Not that I can recall.
- Q. We have there "ACTION: Susan Crichton". Was it normal 18 19 for somebody to be listed as the action point, having
- 20 not attended the meeting?
- 21 A. No, this is really unusual. It's really unusual that 22 someone was left outside the door. It's really unusual 23 for someone to get an action point without being in the
- 24 room.
- 25 Q. It being so unusual, why is there no reference to that 145
- 1 uncomfortable with that, they would have said so.
- 2 Q. But it wasn't just that she wasn't at the meeting; it
- 3 was, as you've said, somebody specifically asked during
- 4 the course of the meeting for her not to come in.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. Is that not a notable event that should be minuted?
- 7 A. I don't think so but, clearly, it could have been
- 8 minuted differently but the Chair and everyone who
- 9 checked these minutes were happy that this was the way 10 it was minuted.
- Q. But you're the Company Secretary? 11
- 12 Α. Yes
- 13 Q. Are you responsible for the minutes or not?
- 14 A. Yes, I am responsible for the minutes.
- Could we turn to page 6, please, and have a look again 15 Q. 16 at the Horizon update. Did you, in this meeting,
- 17 mention having received a letter from the Criminal Cases 18 **Review Commission?**
- 19 Α. No.
- 20 Q. No. One of the things that you said to me earlier was
- 21 that the General Counsel didn't need to attend all of
- 22 the Board meetings because you were personally in the
- 23 reporting line. Did you consider whether, at this
- 24 particular meeting, you should say something?
- 25 A. I didn't think I had the knowledge to say anything. 147

1 fact in the minutes itself?

- 2 Α. Well, there's reference that she is not in the room.
- 3 Q. Where's the reference to her --
- A. Because she's not on the list. She's not named as --4
  - there would have been, at the beginning, "Susan
- 6 Crichton, General Counsel, joined the meeting". So --
- 7 Q. But we have -- if we scroll up to page 1, if we scroll
- 8 down, we have "Apologies for Absence", we don't have
- 9 Susan Crichton's name there?
- 10 A. No.

- 11 Q. "In Attendance", we have who is there. We don't have
- 12 any reference to who is sitting outside the room waiting
- 13 to be called in and there isn't any reference throughout
- 14 these minutes to the fact that the Chair had asked for
- 15 Ms Crichton not to be called in. Is that something you
- 16 would expect to find in the minutes of a Board meeting?
- 17 A. No, no, I wouldn't.
- Q. So if somebody had been asked to attend but had been 18 19 kept outside, you would not expect that to be minuted in 20 the minutes?
- 21 A. So I wouldn't have expected it to be, no, because the 22 Board minute is what happened in that room and she
- 23 didn't come into the room, and that's -- now the Board 24
  - minutes were agreed by the Chair, were agreed by the
- 25 Board at the following Board meeting, so if anyone was 146
- 1 I could have told them about a letter but I couldn't have told them what that letter meant or what we were 2 3 going to do about that letter. So I didn't have the 4 expertise to raise that. 5 Q. Irrespective of the CCRC issue, we've seen email, after 6 email, after email copied to you, sent to you, asking 7 for your opinion, relating to James Arbuthnot, relating 8 to Second Sight. Did you not think that Susan Crichton 9 not being in that meeting, you were actually quite 10 an appropriate person to address the Board on this 11 issue? So I think the appropriate person to address the Board 12 Α. 13 was the CEO, was Paula Vennells, because she's 14 addressing the Board and has most of the updates that 15 I would have had. Q. Do you think that you had provided her with the 16 17 sufficient information to speak to all of those issues? A. I'm not sure the CCRC, because that had happened, you 18 19 know, the same -- the day before or the same day or 20 whatever but I believe that Paula would have known the 21 other issues with Second Sight and with, you know -- but 22 that's my recollection now. 23 Q. Could we just return to the first document that we saw 24 this morning, and that's the expert report, EXPG0000006,
- 25 please. It's page 30. It's the bottom of page 29 into 148

1		page 30, please:
2		"The Company Secretary's accountabilities normally
3		include"
4		Then we have (e):
5		"Arranging participation of non-Board members for
6		specific items in Board discussions (including handling
7		sight of relevant minutes, timing of Board appearances,
8		follow up)."
9		Do you think you failed in that on this occasion?
10	Α.	No, I don't. I had arranged for Susan to be there at
11		the correct time to give her presentation to the Board
12		and I was stopped from getting her into the room by the
13		Chair. So I actually do think I was doing what it says
14		here.
15	Q.	Do you think that not raising any concerns about that,
16		knowing that Susan Crichton was very well placed to
17		speak to the Horizon issues, was in any way a failing on
18		your part?
19	Α.	So I can't specifically remember but I think I will have
20		raised I would have raised concerns with that after
21		the meeting with Alice and possibly Paula.
22	Q.	You would have raised concerns?
23	Α.	I believe I would have done. I can't specifically
24		remember but, having gone through that meeting, where
25		clearly Susan had been excluded from the meeting, 149
		149
1		picture at the Post Office, concerns about the Criminal
2		Cases Review Commission?
3	Α.	Not that I can remember.
4	Q.	Do you think that the board or members of the Board were
5		
6		kept properly up-to-date and informed of those
		kept properly up-to-date and informed of those developments?
7	Α.	developments? Well and I don't know if, you know, if it's
7 8	Α.	developments? Well and I don't know if, you know, if it's chronologically is if it's in this order, but
7 8 9	Α.	developments? Well and I don't know if, you know, if it's chronologically is if it's in this order, but I wasn't appraised of the Clarke Advice, and I don't
7 8 9 10	A.	developments? Well and I don't know if, you know, if it's chronologically is if it's in this order, but I wasn't appraised of the Clarke Advice, and I don't believe that I well, I don't believe I sent the
7 8 9 10 11	Α.	developments? Well and I don't know if, you know, if it's chronologically is if it's in this order, but I wasn't appraised of the Clarke Advice, and I don't believe that I well, I don't believe I sent the Clarke Advice to the Board so, therefore, the Board were
7 8 9 10 11 12	Α.	developments? Well and I don't know if, you know, if it's chronologically is if it's in this order, but I wasn't appraised of the Clarke Advice, and I don't believe that I well, I don't believe I sent the Clarke Advice to the Board so, therefore, the Board were not appraised of the Clarke Advice. So things should
7 8 9 10 11 12 13		developments? Well and I don't know if, you know, if it's chronologically is if it's in this order, but I wasn't appraised of the Clarke Advice, and I don't believe that I well, I don't believe I sent the Clarke Advice to the Board so, therefore, the Board were not appraised of the Clarke Advice. So things should have been sent that weren't, I think.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	developments? Well and I don't know if, you know, if it's chronologically is if it's in this order, but I wasn't appraised of the Clarke Advice, and I don't believe that I well, I don't believe I sent the Clarke Advice to the Board so, therefore, the Board were not appraised of the Clarke Advice. So things should have been sent that weren't, I think. Who do you place responsibility for that on?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		developments? Well and I don't know if, you know, if it's chronologically is if it's in this order, but I wasn't appraised of the Clarke Advice, and I don't believe that I well, I don't believe I sent the Clarke Advice to the Board so, therefore, the Board were not appraised of the Clarke Advice. So things should have been sent that weren't, I think. Who do you place responsibility for that on? Well, it has it has to be anyone who knew about the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	developments? Well and I don't know if, you know, if it's chronologically is if it's in this order, but I wasn't appraised of the Clarke Advice, and I don't believe that I well, I don't believe I sent the Clarke Advice to the Board so, therefore, the Board were not appraised of the Clarke Advice. So things should have been sent that weren't, I think. Who do you place responsibility for that on? Well, it has it has to be anyone who knew about the Clarke Advice. I mean, I don't know who the Clarke
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	developments? Well and I don't know if, you know, if it's chronologically is if it's in this order, but I wasn't appraised of the Clarke Advice, and I don't believe that I well, I don't believe I sent the Clarke Advice to the Board so, therefore, the Board were not appraised of the Clarke Advice. So things should have been sent that weren't, I think. Who do you place responsibility for that on? Well, it has it has to be anyone who knew about the Clarke Advice. I mean, I don't know who the Clarke Advice was shared with, whether it was shared with the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	developments? Well and I don't know if, you know, if it's chronologically is if it's in this order, but I wasn't appraised of the Clarke Advice, and I don't believe that I well, I don't believe I sent the Clarke Advice to the Board so, therefore, the Board were not appraised of the Clarke Advice. So things should have been sent that weren't, I think. Who do you place responsibility for that on? Well, it has it has to be anyone who knew about the Clarke Advice. I mean, I don't know who the Clarke Advice was shared with, whether it was shared with the Chair, whether it was shared with Paula. All I know is
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	developments? Well and I don't know if, you know, if it's chronologically is if it's in this order, but I wasn't appraised of the Clarke Advice, and I don't believe that I well, I don't believe I sent the Clarke Advice to the Board so, therefore, the Board were not appraised of the Clarke Advice. So things should have been sent that weren't, I think. Who do you place responsibility for that on? Well, it has it has to be anyone who knew about the Clarke Advice. I mean, I don't know who the Clarke Advice was shared with, whether it was shared with the Chair, whether it was shared with Paula. All I know is that I don't believe I received it and I was not asked
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	developments? Well and I don't know if, you know, if it's chronologically is if it's in this order, but I wasn't appraised of the Clarke Advice, and I don't believe that I well, I don't believe I sent the Clarke Advice to the Board so, therefore, the Board were not appraised of the Clarke Advice. So things should have been sent that weren't, I think. Who do you place responsibility for that on? Well, it has it has to be anyone who knew about the Clarke Advice. I mean, I don't know who the Clarke Advice was shared with, whether it was shared with the Chair, whether it was shared with Paula. All I know is that I don't believe I received it and I was not asked to send it to the Board. So I don't know who made the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	developments? Well and I don't know if, you know, if it's chronologically is if it's in this order, but I wasn't appraised of the Clarke Advice, and I don't believe that I well, I don't believe I sent the Clarke Advice to the Board so, therefore, the Board were not appraised of the Clarke Advice. So things should have been sent that weren't, I think. Who do you place responsibility for that on? Well, it has it has to be anyone who knew about the Clarke Advice. I mean, I don't know who the Clarke Advice was shared with, whether it was shared with the Chair, whether it was shared with Paula. All I know is that I don't believe I received it and I was not asked

- 23 Q. Can we turn to POL00192758, please.
- 24 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Before we do, Mr Blake, there's just one
- 25 aspect of the minutes that I would like to go back to,

151

- 1 I would have raised that, I believe, with the Chair and 2 with Paula.
- Q. What did you say? 3
- 4 A. I can't remember, I specifically can't remember.
- Q. I mean, it's quite a significant moment in time --5
- 6 Α. No, absolutely.
- 7 Q. -- both for your professional career but especially for
- 8 this Inquiry. Do you recall any conversation with Paula Vennells or Alice Perkins? 9
- 10 A. I can't recall any specific conversation but my belief
- 11 is that, if I'd -- if that had happened, I would have
- spoken to especially the Chair about how that meeting 12
- 13 had been run and that someone was standing outside 14 waiting to deliver a report.
- 15 Q. We know that Board work doesn't just occur at those
- 16 formal Board meetings.
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. There are number of people who attended that meeting,
- 19 and a number of Non-Executive Directors, for example.
- 20 Did you have discussions over lunch, over dinner, over
- 21 a drink, over some other social activity with any of
- 22 them, raising concerns about how that particular meeting 23 was conducted?
- 24 A. Not that I can remember.

. .

. . .

- 25 Q. Did you have any discussions with them about the growing 150
- 1 if I may.

<b>MR BLAKE:</b> Absolutely. Those minutes are POL00021516.
SIR WYN WILLIAMS: If we go to the section dealing with the
Horizon update, which I think is page 6.
MR BLAKE: Yes.
SIR WYN WILLIAMS: So under (b) the specific question is
raised as to whether Ms Crichton was, in any way,
implicated in the prosecutions, and then there's
a report of what followed. Could we now go to (e),
please, over the page. There's an explicit reference
there, is there not, Ms Lyons, to the Board asking
Ms Vennells if she had considered changing the person
leading for the business. All right?
Now, the person leading for the business, we've been
told, was Ms Crichton; was that your understanding?
A. Yes.
<b>SIR WYN WILLIAMS:</b> So is that the reason she was excluded
from the meeting because, in effect, she was going to be
criticised?
A. Possibly. Possibly.
SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. Yeah, over to you, Mr Blake.
<b>MR BLAKE:</b> Is it a little odd that she's not mentioned by
name in the I mean, you said that if somebody had
been mentioned by name it would be in the minutes. It's

25 a bit cryptic, isn't it, the "person leading"?

152

(38) Pages 149 - 152

1	Α.	I don't think anything was meant by that. I think
2		that I don't think it was I can't remember these
3		minutes and I don't think it was changed, you know,
4		anybody said, "Oh that shouldn't be mentioned by name".
5		So I can't remember.
6	Q.	Can we now turn to POL00193019. I'm going to skip out
7		that other document.
8		We're now moving to 30 July 2013 and an email from
9		Simon Baker, you're copied in there:
10		"All
11		"You will shortly receive an invitation to a Horizon
12		Investigation Risk workshop.
13		"The purpose of the workshop is to identify,
14		quantify and produce mitigation plans for the risks
15		associated with the Horizon investigation and related
16		activities, including an improvement project to respond
17		to the findings."
18		Do you recall the Horizon Investigation Risk
19		workshop?
20	Α.	I don't, I'm afraid. I don't think I was a member.
21		I think I was just being told it was happening.
22	Q.	Thank you. Can we turn now to POL00193585. This is
23		advice from Bond Dickinson on the risks principally to
24		the directors of the company. Do you recall this
25		advice?
		153
1		a prosecution case or assisted with an accused's
2		defence."
3		By this stage, that is August 2013, were you aware
4		of concerns about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins?
5	Α.	So I didn't I do not believe I saw this advice.
6		I don't believe I sent this advice to the Board.
7	Q.	Because, over the page on page 3, there's a section on
8		D&O risks, and it says:
9		

1		a prosecution case or assisted with an accused's
2		defence."
3		By this stage, that is August 2013, were you aware
4		of concerns about the reliability of Gareth Jenkins?
5	Α.	So I didn't I do not believe I saw this advice.
6		I don't believe I sent this advice to the Board.
7	Q.	Because, over the page on page 3, there's a section on
8		D&O risks, and it says:
9		"We have considered whether a claim could lie
10		against a director or officer of Post Office. We think
11		this is unlikely, as it will be difficult for
12		a subpostmaster to pierce the corporate veil. Most of
13		the above claims, if brought and if viable, would be
14		against Post Office Limited as a company. Nevertheless
15		we can envisage the following possible scenarios
16		involving directors and officers"
17		They set out there the possible claims that could be
18		brought. Now, we saw in those Board minutes that we
19		looked at a concern amongst the Board of their potential
20		liability for matters arising from
21	Α.	Yes, yes.
22	Q.	Second Sight's Report. This seems to be an advice
23		that addresses those very risks. Why do you think it is
24		that this wasn't brought to your attention if it wasn't?
25	Α.	I have no idea because this is exactly what the Board 155

1	Α.	No.

2	Q.	One of your responsibilities, I think, as Company
3		Secretary, involved looking out for the kinds of risks
4		that are discussed in this document
5	Α.	Yes.
6	Q.	is that right? If we look over the page, please, we
7		can see section there "Risks to Post Office":
8		"Prosecutions and convictions
9		"As noted above, where circumstances warrant, Post
10		Office prosecutes subpostmasters who have acted
11		criminally. The basis of these prosecutions is often
12		found in the transaction records recorded in Horizon.
13		As a result of Second Sight's investigation/Interim
14		Report, Post Office is reviewing all its criminal
15		prosecutions over the last three years to identify any
16		cases where a conviction may be unsafe.
17		"In particular, the expert evidence of one Post
18		Office witness, Dr Gareth Jenkins of Fujitsu, may have
19		failed to disclose certain historic problems in the
20		Horizon system. Under the criminal prosecution
21		guidelines, Post Office has an obligation to disclose
22		this previously undisclosed information to
23		subpostmasters' defence counsel. Post Office is
24		required to make these retrospective disclosures where
25		the additional information may have undermined 154

1 were asking for.

6

- 2 Q. Do you recall the Board ever receiving that kind of3 advice?
- 4 A. So I believe there was a paper, I think written by Chris
  5 Day, about a D&O risk, but I do not think the Board
  - or -- I certainly did not receive -- I don't believe
- 7 I received this and I don't believe I sent it to the
- board. Again, I can't say if any Board members saw this
  advice, as in the CEO or the Chair. But --
- Q. Separately, as at that time, so August 2013, were you
  personally aware of the concerns about the reliability
  of Gareth Jenkins' evidence?
- 13 A. No. Because this document would have made that very14 clear, as well.
- 15 Q. Yes. Thank you. Can we please now -- we're going to
  move on to autumn 2013. Could we please look at
- 17 POL00146545. If we could start at the bottom of page 2,
- 18 the bottom of the page. We have an email from Jarnail
- 19 Singh to you, saying:
  - "Alwen
- 21 "For criminal prosecution and civil litigation cases
- 22 it is essential for Post Office to instruct expert
- 23 witness to produce expert reports on the impact of
- 24 Second Sight's Interim Report on the Horizon system.
- 25 Please find attached both CV from Professor Kramer and 156

	Professor Dulay from Imperial College London who have	1	Q.	Ever asked or ev
	agreed to undertake the expert witness work."	2	Α.	I don't remembe
	If we scroll up we see a response from you saying:	3		"We're no longe
	"I think Lesley and Hugh should sign this off.	4		and sort that out
	Lesley from an IT perspective, that these CVs will mean	5		that out".
	they will understand and be able to explain Horizon."	6	Q.	You had persona
	At this stage, where Jarnail Singh is specifically	7	Α.	Yeah.
	contacting you about two potential new experts, were you	8	Q.	over a number
	aware of concerns about the reliability of Gareth	9		were moving on
	Jenkins.	10	Α.	Well, I'd had pro
Α.	So, at this stage, I knew that we I knew the business	11		Jenkins when I v
	was not using Gareth Jenkins any more because I think	12		Gareth, I don't th
	something came up as an ARC meeting and we talked about	13		read anything in
	finding an expert witness, but there was no explanation	14		witness.
	as to why we were no longer allowed to use Gareth	15	Q.	Moving on to PC
	Jenkins or if he'd retired, or I didn't know that	16		an email from H
	information. But we were asked the Board asked for	17		Lesley Sewell ar
	this specific thing to happen, for us to go and find,	18		scroll up topic
	and to find out how much it would cost as well, because	19		says as follows:
	that was the other issue that came up in the ARC.	20		"Susan was
Q.	Did no one ever ask the question: why aren't we using	21		(our <i>über</i> QC) m
	our own expert?	22		prosecuting alto
Α.	So I think in I think it says somewhere that we can	23		money committir
	no longer use, and I don't remember ever it being asked	24		not widely knowr
	"Well why?"	25		Were you av
	157			
	had been requested of Brian Altman, now KC, relating to	1		Gareth Jenkins?
	potentially stopping prosecuting altogether?	2	Α.	Well, unless son
A.	I can't remember it.	3		have been awar
Q.	Would it have concerned you that somebody described as	4		emails are the la
	the Post Office's " <i>über</i> QC" was advising on	5		unless someone
	a significant matter relating to prosecutions and that	6	Q.	Okay, I'm going
	wasn't widely known?	7	-	turn to POL0014
Α.	So I so what I thought we were chasing here was a new	8		from 2014 and the
	expert witness and that's the piece of work that	9		subject of remote
	I thought I was helping to coordinate by getting the CVs	10	Α.	, Okay.
	looked at or whatever. Now Susan is saying we might not	11	Q.	We have the sec
	be doing this any more. I mean, I think in an earlier	12		We're now in Ma
	document, there's something about ceasing prosecutions.	13		Risk Committee
	So I'm not surprised that we are considering ceasing	14		"I can't atter
	prosecutions but, if we are going to prosecute, we need	15		the papers to se
	a new expert witness.	16		Horizon/[Second
Q.	Was that discussion, so the issue that was not widely	17		the board last we
	known within the Post Office, was that known at Board	18		meeting? What
	level, do you think?	19		neither?!"
Α.	I can't remember if it was ever taken to Board that	20		Then you fo
	Brian Altman was doing this work.	21		"I need to go
Q.	Again, it's a small group of people, similar group to	22		discussed the de
	the amails that we've even over the vegra in 2012 and			

- 23 the emails that we've seen over the years in 2012 and
- 24 into 2013. Is it surprising that, at this stage, you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 Q.

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 Q.

25 still are not aware of concerns that were raised about 159

- ever asking?
- er ever asking. If someone says to you er doing that, we're doing this, go away it", we were doing the "Go away and sort
- nally liaised with Gareth Jenkins --
- er of years; was it not surprising you
- n to new experts?
- obably a dozen emails from Gareth
- was asking for things. I didn't know
- think I'd ever met Gareth, so I didn't
- nto it, apart from we need a new expert
- OL00146548, please. On page 1, there's
  - lugh Flemington, if we scroll down, to
- and you -- thank you, sorry, if we could
- c being "Experts Horizon issues". He
- s minded to slow this down: Brian Altman may recommend that [the Post Office] cease ogether -- therefore why waste time and ing to this person. This piece of work is
- vn within [the Post Office]."

25	Were you aware at that time of a piece of work that
	158

- ?
- meone had shared that with me, I wouldn't re. You know, a lot of the people on these
- awyers. I wouldn't have been aware e told me.
- to now move on to 2014. Could we please 47542. I'll just go to a few documents
- then we're going to move on to a separate te access.
- econd email from Alice Perkins to yourself. larch 2014, and it relates to the Audit and
- e papers, and she says:
  - end this meeting but I was looking at
  - ee the post-mortem/lessons learned from
  - d Sight] stuff. I thought we were told at
  - veek that it was on the agenda for this
  - t am I missing -- braincells or paper or
    - orward that to Belinda Crowe, and say:
  - go back on this. I thought you had
  - cussed the delay on this with her."
- 23 Can you recall the context of this discussion?
- 24 A. So, again, I'm assuming here but I'm reading these
- 25 emails and I'm thinking that, on the original ARC agenda 160

the Board will respond to the Linklaters advice, and that's what I've done here. But it's only my opinion. I don't -- the Board might not have responded like this.

Q. I'd just like to go through a few of those and, if we could bring up on screen alongside it, the Linklaters advice, and that is POL00107317, please. Thank you. So if we could turn to 1.2 on the left-hand side, so that is, I think, the second page. Thank you. So the Linklaters advice, do you recall the circumstances in

which the Linklaters advice had been sought? A. So I think the Board had asked for advice on the

that's me paraphrasing it but ...

Q. They say on left-hand side:

subpostmaster's contract and -- the subpostmaster's

"The relationship between subpostmasters and the Post Office is governed by the standard form contract which, according to its terms, allows the Post Office to recovery losses and is terminable on three months' notice without the need to specify a reason. The

relationship between the subpostmasters and the Post

because of the conversations that she'd had with James.

"Absent such proof that Horizon is not working as it should, the Post Office should be able to recover losses which the Horizon records indicate are owing on an individual [subpostmaster's] account. If the Post Office is entitled to recover losses, then there can be no question of a consequential loss claim on the part of the [subpostmaster] relating to their recovery ..."

"... the Board will be in different places, NM ..."

"... will say good then we can put the lid back on

the can of worms and pay very little. AP ..."

Q. "... will be more concerned about political optics."

Is this an insight we get into the different

164

That's Alice Perkins, is it?

Office is one of principal and agent and the 162

Wider than that, I can't really say.

Is that Neil McCausland.

Q. So he was a non-executive?A. He was, he was the SID as well.

Senior Independent Director.

Q. Okay. 1.4:

You say:

A. Yes

A. He wa
 Q. The --

Α.

A. Yes.

Q. Thank you:

contract and whether the subpostmaster's contract enabled the Post Office to get money back from the subpostmasters over losses. I think that's -- and

It's just my opinion.

1		there was a piece on there about Horizon/Second Sight	1
2		post-mortem or whatever we want to call it, lessons	2
3		learned, and that Alice was expecting that to be on the	3
4		agenda and was expecting a paper. I clearly have taken	4
5		it off the agenda on the advice of Belinda because it's	5
6		either not ready or whatever. I've sent the papers out	6
7		without it, and Alice is saying, "Well, where's the	7
8		paper on Horizon/Second Sight?"	8
9		I wouldn't have sent that paper, I don't believe,	9
10		unless I thought that Belinda had had this conversation	10
11		with Alice because I knew, because I'd been in the Board	11
12		the week before, and I knew that Alice was expecting	12
13		this to come to ARC.	13
14	Q.		14
15		that's POL00147834.	15
16		We're still in 2014, we're in March 2014 and you are	16
17		there giving thoughts on advice received from	17
18		Linklaters. Can you assist us with why you were there	18
19		giving your thoughts on	19
20	Α.		20
21		been asked and, because I'm sending it direct to Chris,	21
22		I assume I was asked by Chris, rather than Chris and	22
23		Paula, but clearly I've sent it to both of them, and	23
24		I have gone through the Linklaters advice and given	24
25		them I've been asked for my opinion, I think, on how 161	25
		101	
1		subpostmasters are not employees. There is no broader	1
2		duty of care which would extend the contractual duties	2
2 3		duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the	2 3
2 3 4		duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report."	2 3 4
2 3 4 5		duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2:	2 3 4 5
2 3 4 5 6		duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy	2 3 4 5 6
2 3 4 5 6 7		duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy handed big business [versus] small subpostmasters that	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy handed big business [versus] small subpostmasters that James [Arbuthnot] thinks we are guilty of."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	А.	duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy handed big business [versus] small subpostmasters that James [Arbuthnot] thinks we are guilty of." Mm-hm.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Q.	duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy handed big business [versus] small subpostmasters that James [Arbuthnot] thinks we are guilty of." Mm-hm. So it's talking about the relationship between	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy handed big business [versus] small subpostmasters that James [Arbuthnot] thinks we are guilty of." Mm-hm. So it's talking about the relationship between subpostmasters and James Arbuthnot is concerned that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy handed big business [versus] small subpostmasters that James [Arbuthnot] thinks we are guilty of." Mm-hm. So it's talking about the relationship between subpostmasters and James Arbuthnot is concerned that it's a heavy-handed relationship. Was that shared	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy handed big business [versus] small subpostmasters that James [Arbuthnot] thinks we are guilty of." Mm-hm. So it's talking about the relationship between subpostmasters and James Arbuthnot is concerned that it's a heavy-handed relationship. Was that shared amongst the Board? Are you summarising here what you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	Q.	duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy handed big business [versus] small subpostmasters that James [Arbuthnot] thinks we are guilty of." Mm-hm. So it's talking about the relationship between subpostmasters and James Arbuthnot is concerned that it's a heavy-handed relationship. Was that shared amongst the Board? Are you summarising here what you think the Board's views are or are they your own views?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy handed big business [versus] small subpostmasters that James [Arbuthnot] thinks we are guilty of." Mm-hm. So it's talking about the relationship between subpostmasters and James Arbuthnot is concerned that it's a heavy-handed relationship. Was that shared amongst the Board? Are you summarising here what you think the Board's views are or are they your own views? So this was shared with Alice, certainly at her first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy handed big business [versus] small subpostmasters that James [Arbuthnot] thinks we are guilty of." Mm-hm. So it's talking about the relationship between subpostmasters and James Arbuthnot is concerned that it's a heavy-handed relationship. Was that shared amongst the Board? Are you summarising here what you think the Board's views are or are they your own views? So this was shared with Alice, certainly at her first meeting with James, and I can't remember whether she	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 7	Q.	duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy handed big business [versus] small subpostmasters that James [Arbuthnot] thinks we are guilty of." Mm-hm. So it's talking about the relationship between subpostmasters and James Arbuthnot is concerned that it's a heavy-handed relationship. Was that shared amongst the Board? Are you summarising here what you think the Board's views are or are they your own views? So this was shared with Alice, certainly at her first meeting with James, and I can't remember whether she shared that she gave an update to the Board. I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy handed big business [versus] small subpostmasters that James [Arbuthnot] thinks we are guilty of." Mm-hm. So it's talking about the relationship between subpostmasters and James Arbuthnot is concerned that it's a heavy-handed relationship. Was that shared amongst the Board? Are you summarising here what you think the Board's views are or are they your own views? So this was shared with Alice, certainly at her first meeting with James, and I can't remember whether she shared that she gave an update to the Board. I'm not sure I can't remember whether she shared that with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy handed big business [versus] small subpostmasters that James [Arbuthnot] thinks we are guilty of." Mm-hm. So it's talking about the relationship between subpostmasters and James Arbuthnot is concerned that it's a heavy-handed relationship. Was that shared amongst the Board? Are you summarising here what you think the Board's views are or are they your own views? So this was shared with Alice, certainly at her first meeting with James, and I can't remember whether she shared that she gave an update to the Board. I'm not sure I can't remember whether she shared that with the board or if at any of the other meetings that we've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q.	duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy handed big business [versus] small subpostmasters that James [Arbuthnot] thinks we are guilty of." Mm-hm. So it's talking about the relationship between subpostmasters and James Arbuthnot is concerned that it's a heavy-handed relationship. Was that shared amongst the Board? Are you summarising here what you think the Board's views are or are they your own views? So this was shared with Alice, certainly at her first meeting with James, and I can't remember whether she shared that she gave an update to the Board. I'm not sure I can't remember whether she shared that with the board or if at any of the other meetings that we've seen today, whether it was mentioned. Sorry, I've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy handed big business [versus] small subpostmasters that James [Arbuthnot] thinks we are guilty of." Mm-hm. So it's talking about the relationship between subpostmasters and James Arbuthnot is concerned that it's a heavy-handed relationship. Was that shared amongst the Board? Are you summarising here what you think the Board's views are or are they your own views? So this was shared with Alice, certainly at her first meeting with James, and I can't remember whether she shared that she gave an update to the Board. I'm not sure I can't remember whether she shared that with the board or if at any of the other meetings that we've seen today, whether it was mentioned. Sorry, I've Was that a concern though. I mean, was it something you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy handed big business [versus] small subpostmasters that James [Arbuthnot] thinks we are guilty of." Mm-hm. So it's talking about the relationship between subpostmasters and James Arbuthnot is concerned that it's a heavy-handed relationship. Was that shared amongst the Board? Are you summarising here what you think the Board's views are or are they your own views? So this was shared with Alice, certainly at her first meeting with James, and I can't remember whether she shared that she gave an update to the Board. I'm not sure I can't remember whether she shared that with the board or if at any of the other meetings that we've seen today, whether it was mentioned. Sorry, I've Was that a concern though. I mean, was it something you ever discussed with the CEO, the Chair, senior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy handed big business [versus] small subpostmasters that James [Arbuthnot] thinks we are guilty of." Mm-hm. So it's talking about the relationship between subpostmasters and James Arbuthnot is concerned that it's a heavy-handed relationship. Was that shared amongst the Board? Are you summarising here what you think the Board's views are or are they your own views? So this was shared with Alice, certainly at her first meeting with James, and I can't remember whether she shared that she gave an update to the Board. I'm not sure I can't remember whether she shared that with the board or if at any of the other meetings that we've seen today, whether it was mentioned. Sorry, I've Was that a concern though. I mean, was it something you ever discussed with the CEO, the Chair, senior executives, about a heavy-handed big business versus	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q.	duty of care which would extend the contractual duties owed by the Post Office in any respect relevant to the issues in this Report." Your comment is as follows, it's 1.2: " said in this way this is exactly the heavy handed big business [versus] small subpostmasters that James [Arbuthnot] thinks we are guilty of." Mm-hm. So it's talking about the relationship between subpostmasters and James Arbuthnot is concerned that it's a heavy-handed relationship. Was that shared amongst the Board? Are you summarising here what you think the Board's views are or are they your own views? So this was shared with Alice, certainly at her first meeting with James, and I can't remember whether she shared that she gave an update to the Board. I'm not sure I can't remember whether she shared that with the board or if at any of the other meetings that we've seen today, whether it was mentioned. Sorry, I've Was that a concern though. I mean, was it something you ever discussed with the CEO, the Chair, senior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

163

(41) Pages 161 - 164

1		positions on the Board on paying money to subpostmasters	1		а
2		or recovering money?	2		n
3	Α.	These are just my thoughts of how I think they would	3		
4		respond. So, you know, they may have responded very	4		s
5		differently. So these are just you know, this is how	5		
6		I think they will respond when they read this document	6		s
7		and Alice was always concerned about the political	7		s
8		optics and making sure that we took account of what MPs	8		d
9		were saying, et cetera, et cetera, and Neil was much	9	Α.	S
10		more pragmatic, I suppose, about, you know, how do we	10	Q.	Ν
11		take this forward.	11	Α.	S
12	Q.	The suggestion, though, being that he was also concerned	12		h
13		about not paying very much.	13		h
14	Α.	I think everyone, I think the whole Board were concerned	14		h
15		about the cost.	15		а
16	Q.	Thank you. If we scroll down the left-hand side to 2.3:	16		2
17		"Importantly, Jo Swinson, the Parliamentary Under	17		d
18		Secretary of State for Employment Relations and Consumer	18		r
19		Affairs, noted that there was no evidence of a systemic	19		fr
20		problem with Horizon. This has also been the Post	20		g
21		Office's conclusion on the information so far available	21	Q.	Т
22		to it. We know that there is, so far as we understand	22		s
23		it, no objective report which describes and addresses	23		S
24		the use and reliability of Horizon. We do think that	24		
25		such a report would be helpful, though there is 165	25		а
1		doubts about the reliability of the system then this	1		а
2		could obviously impact on the Post Office's ability to	2		a
3		claim losses since it calls into question whether such	3		a
4		losses exist at all. This is the fundamental question	4		C
5		and one which has not yet been satisfactorily	5		р
6		addressed."	6		ť
7		You say:	7		
8		" this will worry them as we have said all along	8		
9		that there is no systemic issue with Horizon, this may	9		[]
10		make them think there might be an issue and why hasn't	10		is
11		the business undertaken a proper forensic review/audit."	11		
12		So you had here received advice from Linklaters	12		W
13		questioning whether, in fact, the Post Office had	13		tł
14		properly looked into the reliability of Horizon and you	14		W
15		were concerned that that would worry the Board?	15		tł
16	Α.	Well, it's sort of the same point as 2.3, that we talked	16		F
17		about earlier, is that this is now saying that we need	17		F
18		a proper forensic review of Horizon before we can say it	18	Α.	S
19		has integrity.	19		E
20	Q.	If we scroll down, please, to 5.55. This is the last	20		n
21		paragraph we'll look at in this document. 5.55:	21		tr
22		"The Post Office in its capacity as a prosecutor has	22		I
23		duties of disclosure which extend beyond the date of	23		С
24		conviction in any particular case. [Then it cites	24		b
25		a case there] it was observed that private prosecutors	25		е
		167			

on H	Inq	ury 21 May 2024
1		a decision to be made about how broad and/or thorough it
2		needs to be."
3		Your comment on that, if we look on the right-hand
4		side is:
5		"[It's] unhelpful, because it suggested that we
6		should have taken a different route, and commissioned
7		such a report rather than use Second Sight, so why
8		didn't we."
9	Α.	So sorry.
10	Q.	No, you carry on, please.
11	Α.	So that comment there is how I think my thoughts of
12		how I think the Board will respond because we've already
13		had, in a Board meeting, the suggestion that business
14		hasn't managed Second Sight and it's all taking too long
15		and et cetera, et cetera. So I think 2.3, because
16		2.3 on the left-hand side is suggesting that we need to
17		do a more in-depth report to address the use and
18		reliability of Horizon, I think the Board will be
19		frustrated because they thought that's what they were
20		getting with Second Sight.
21	Q.	Thank you. If we stick with the left-hand side and
22	ч.	scroll down, let's go to 5.30. It's page 8. Thank you.
23		So 5.30 says:
24		"It is the reliability of the Horizon system as
25		a matter of principle which is important. If there are
		166
1		are subject to the same obligations to act as
2		a ministers of justice as the public prosecuting
2		authorities. Any material in the possession of Post
4		Office which might cast doubt on the safety of any
4 5		particular conviction ought therefore to be disclosed to
6		the convicted party."
7		Your comment on that is:
8		"This will cause concern again as it reopens the
9		[Post Office] as a prosecutor, even if that is not what
10		is being said."
11		So is it fair to say that, as at March 2014, you
12		were concerned that the Board would not be happy with
13		the Linklaters advice, both because it raises issues
14		with the reliability of the Horizon system, the fact
15		that there hasn't been a comprehensive report addressing
16		Horizon and also that it raised issues relating to the
17		Post Office's prosecution role?
18	Α.	So as I've said, this email is me assuming what the
19		Board will think. So that's a bit dangerous but that's
20		me assuming that's what they will think, and what I've
20		tried to do in the report is highlight the areas that
21		I think will cause concern for the Board. And the main
22		concern, I think, is that the Linklaters report seems to
24		be saying "This is all fine, you can reclaim monies,

25 et cetera, et cetera, however you have to prove first 168

21 May 2	024
----------	-----

1		Horizon's integrity".
2		And I think, firstly, the Board thought we were
3		doing that with Second Sight and that's clearly not
4		enough. So what I've tried to say and the
5		prosecution the prosecutor piece because this 5.55
6		is referring to the Clarke Advice and Gareth Jenkins.
7		Well, I hadn't seen that, I didn't believe the Board had
8		seen that, so I'm not when I say "reopened the PO as
9		a prosecutor", I'm not reading that as the Clarke
10		Advice; I'm reading it as, you know, Post Office has
11		a capacity as a prosecutor and I believed we were
12		already doing some work to understand how we would
13		change that.
14	Q.	Looking back now at when that report ultimately went to
15		the Board, do you recall concerns that you had expressed
16		in that email arising or not arising?
17	Α.	I honestly can't remember.
18	Q.	
19		Sir, I only have one more topic to address before
20		handing over to Core Participants but I think that might
21		be an appropriate time to take our mid-afternoon break.
22	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Certainly. All right. So we'll resume
23		again at 3.30, okay.
24		BLAKE: Thank you very much.
25	(3.1	<b>6 pm)</b> 169
		<b></b>
1		"I have just spoken to Lesley who has engaged
2		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they
2 3		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails
2 3 4		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc."
2 3 4 5		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go
2 3 4 5 6		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through
2 3 4 5 6 7		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the technical people can discuss the detail."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the technical people can discuss the detail." So as at May 2013 you were aware of a specific
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A.	Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the technical people can discuss the detail."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q.	Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the technical people can discuss the detail." So as at May 2013 you were aware of a specific interest in the issue of remote access? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q.	Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the technical people can discuss the detail." So as at May 2013 you were aware of a specific interest in the issue of remote access? Yes. Could we look at POL00029590, on the second page. We're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the technical people can discuss the detail." So as at May 2013 you were aware of a specific interest in the issue of remote access? Yes. Could we look at POL00029590, on the second page. We're now in June, 4 June, an email from Martin Edwards, Chief
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the technical people can discuss the detail." So as at May 2013 you were aware of a specific interest in the issue of remote access? Yes. Could we look at POL00029590, on the second page. We're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the technical people can discuss the detail." So as at May 2013 you were aware of a specific interest in the issue of remote access? Yes. Could we look at POL00029590, on the second page. We're now in June, 4 June, an email from Martin Edwards, Chief of Staff to Paula Vennells. He says: " as you know, Paula is seeing Alice tomorrow.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the technical people can discuss the detail." So as at May 2013 you were aware of a specific interest in the issue of remote access? Yes. Could we look at POL00029590, on the second page. We're now in June, 4 June, an email from Martin Edwards, Chief of Staff to Paula Vennells. He says: " as you know, Paula is seeing Alice tomorrow. She asked if you could provide an update on the latest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the technical people can discuss the detail." So as at May 2013 you were aware of a specific interest in the issue of remote access? Yes. Could we look at POL00029590, on the second page. We're now in June, 4 June, an email from Martin Edwards, Chief of Staff to Paula Vennells. He says: " as you know, Paula is seeing Alice tomorrow. She asked if you could provide an update on the latest state of play with James Arbuthnot <i>et al.</i> "
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the technical people can discuss the detail." So as at May 2013 you were aware of a specific interest in the issue of remote access? Yes. Could we look at POL00029590, on the second page. We're now in June, 4 June, an email from Martin Edwards, Chief of Staff to Paula Vennells. He says: " as you know, Paula is seeing Alice tomorrow. She asked if you could provide an update on the latest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the technical people can discuss the detail." So as at May 2013 you were aware of a specific interest in the issue of remote access? Yes. Could we look at POL00029590, on the second page. We're now in June, 4 June, an email from Martin Edwards, Chief of Staff to Paula Vennells. He says: " as you know, Paula is seeing Alice tomorrow. She asked if you could provide an update on the latest state of play with James Arbuthnot <i>et al.</i> " Your response is above that. I will just read to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the technical people can discuss the detail." So as at May 2013 you were aware of a specific interest in the issue of remote access? Yes. Could we look at POL00029590, on the second page. We're now in June, 4 June, an email from Martin Edwards, Chief of Staff to Paula Vennells. He says: " as you know, Paula is seeing Alice tomorrow. She asked if you could provide an update on the latest state of play with James Arbuthnot <i>et al.</i> " Your response is above that. I will just read to you a couple of passages from your response. You say:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the technical people can discuss the detail." So as at May 2013 you were aware of a specific interest in the issue of remote access? Yes. Could we look at POL00029590, on the second page. We're now in June, 4 June, an email from Martin Edwards, Chief of Staff to Paula Vennells. He says: " as you know, Paula is seeing Alice tomorrow. She asked if you could provide an update on the latest state of play with James Arbuthnot <i>et al.</i> " Your response is above that. I will just read to you a couple of passages from your response. You say: "SS [Second Sight] are now working on the 3 cases as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the technical people can discuss the detail." So as at May 2013 you were aware of a specific interest in the issue of remote access? Yes. Could we look at POL00029590, on the second page. We're now in June, 4 June, an email from Martin Edwards, Chief of Staff to Paula Vennells. He says: " as you know, Paula is seeing Alice tomorrow. She asked if you could provide an update on the latest state of play with James Arbuthnot <i>et al.</i> " Your response is above that. I will just read to you a couple of passages from your response. You say: "SS [Second Sight] are now working on the 3 cases as agreed which include the Rudkin case, where he alleges
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the technical people can discuss the detail." So as at May 2013 you were aware of a specific interest in the issue of remote access? Yes. Could we look at POL00029590, on the second page. We're now in June, 4 June, an email from Martin Edwards, Chief of Staff to Paula Vennells. He says: " as you know, Paula is seeing Alice tomorrow. She asked if you could provide an update on the latest state of play with James Arbuthnot <i>et al.</i> " Your response is above that. I will just read to you a couple of passages from your response. You say: "SS [Second Sight] are now working on the 3 cases as agreed which include the Rudkin case, where he alleges that he heard someone at Fujitsu state they could alter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the technical people can discuss the detail." So as at May 2013 you were aware of a specific interest in the issue of remote access? Yes. Could we look at POL00029590, on the second page. We're now in June, 4 June, an email from Martin Edwards, Chief of Staff to Paula Vennells. He says: " as you know, Paula is seeing Alice tomorrow. She asked if you could provide an update on the latest state of play with James Arbuthnot <i>et al.</i> " Your response is above that. I will just read to you a couple of passages from your response. You say: "SS [Second Sight] are now working on the 3 cases as agreed which include the Rudkin case, where he alleges that he heard someone at Fujitsu state they could alter a subpostmaster's account.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24		Fujitsu today and is confident that within a week they will provide evidence about access and audit trails etc." "Lesley and I will meet [Fujitsu] on the third to go through this, and then if we are comfortable go through with [Second Sight] and [Fujitsu] on the 6th, so let the technical people can discuss the detail." So as at May 2013 you were aware of a specific interest in the issue of remote access? Yes. Could we look at POL00029590, on the second page. We're now in June, 4 June, an email from Martin Edwards, Chief of Staff to Paula Vennells. He says: " as you know, Paula is seeing Alice tomorrow. She asked if you could provide an update on the latest state of play with James Arbuthnot <i>et al.</i> " Your response is above that. I will just read to you a couple of passages from your response. You say: "SS [Second Sight] are now working on the 3 cases as agreed which include the Rudkin case, where he alleges that he heard someone at Fujitsu state they could alter a subpostmaster's account. "Lesley and I had a meeting with Fujitsu this week

1		(A short break)
2	(3.2	29 pm)
3	MR	BLAKE: Thank you.
4		Moving on to the topic of remote access. Could we
5		start with POL00029589, please, second page, please
6		over the page an email from Paula Vennells to you.
7		She says:
8		"Alwen, thanks for the various documents coming
9		through! An enormous volume. Hopefully, you will be
10		reaching the end soon.
11		"How did the call go [this morning] [regarding James
12		Arbuthnot] with [Second Sight]? And has Janet been in
13		touch?"
14		If we go over the page to page 1 at the very top, we
15		have an e-mail from you to Paula Vennells. You say:
16		"Okay here goes
17		"Good call with [Second Sight] but James has
18		definitely caused confusion with his cases or themes or
19		topics etc.
20		"[Second Sight] have agreed they will look into
21		3 cases, they will tell JFSA which 3 cases to keep them
22		on board but [Second Sight] are choosing which.
23		"One of these will be dealing with the issue of
24		remote access to a subpostmaster's account, which James
25		specifically raised.
		170
1		adjustments which can be made centrally which they say
2		happens very rarely, so we are waiting to see that and
3		the controls in place. However they suggest that what
4		Rudkin heard was testers [from Horizon Online] referring
5		to test data and not the live environment. This would
6		be good if we could prove it.
7		"The plan is to look at the audit trails and then
8		have a joint meeting with us, [Second Sight] and
9		Fujitsu."
10		So you're specifically there raising the issue of
11		Mr Rudkin's case and remote access to Paula Vennells or
12		Paula Vennells' Chief of Staff.
13	Α.	Yes.
14	Q.	POL00029601. We're moving to 11 June now, and this is
15		an email from Ron Warmington of Second Sight, in advance
16		of a call the next day. He says:
17		"I'm afraid only two of the seven documents that
18		you've enclosed seem to be relevant to SR005"
19		That's Mr Rudkin's case
20	Α.	Yes.
21	Q.	the remote access case:
22		"The other five (in themselves excellent) documents
23		that were enclosed all relate to [Horizon Online],
24		which, as is explained in Gareth Jenkins' affidavit
25		was rolled out between January and September 2010. They 172

(43) Pages 169 - 172

1		are therefore irrelevant to SR005"
2		Do you remember this morning I was mentioning
3		an email from Mr Warmington that was concerned with
4		overdisclosure of irrelevant material.
5	Α.	Yes, yes.
6	Q.	Then if we scroll down, he raises a concern, he says:
7		"Luckily, we have picked up this basic error at this
8		point and not while presenting our Interim Report to
9		a roomful of MPs."
10		Over the page, please:
11		"I'm really looking forward to tomorrow's call. But
12		we need to produce something that is crisp, easy to
13		understand, and that absolutely NAILS this really
14		serious allegation once and for all."
15		Do you recall Second Sight being determined to get
16	_	to the bottom of that remote access issue as well?
17	Α.	
18	_	well.
19	Q.	
20		an email I took you to earlier but I said I'd come back
21		to it. If we scroll down to the second email, it's
22		Simon Baker to you, Susan Crichton and Lesley Sewell.
23		He's had a call with Ron and Ian, SR005, so the same
24		case, the Rudkin case:
25		"[They're] Very unhappy (and angry) with our 173
1		"It is unfortunate that, due to the length of time
2		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor
2 3		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met
2 3 4		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]"
2 3 4 5		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving
2 3 4 5 6		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place:
2 3 4 5 6 7		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems, emails from 2008 have yet to be provided to us, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems, emails from 2008 have yet to be provided to us, but [they] have reviewed", some of the relevant material.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems, emails from 2008 have yet to be provided to us, but [they] have reviewed", some of the relevant material. Then if we look down at 1.14, this is how they end
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems, emails from 2008 have yet to be provided to us, but [they] have reviewed", some of the relevant material. Then if we look down at 1.14, this is how they end their review into Mr Rudkin's case. They say:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems, emails from 2008 have yet to be provided to us, but [they] have reviewed", some of the relevant material. Then if we look down at 1.14, this is how they end their review into Mr Rudkin's case. They say: "We are left with a conflict of evidence on this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems, emails from 2008 have yet to be provided to us, but [they] have reviewed", some of the relevant material. Then if we look down at 1.14, this is how they end their review into Mr Rudkin's case. They say: "We are left with a conflict of evidence on this issue and our enquiries are continuing, particularly in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems, emails from 2008 have yet to be provided to us, but [they] have reviewed", some of the relevant material. Then if we look down at 1.14, this is how they end their review into Mr Rudkin's case. They say: "We are left with a conflict of evidence on this issue and our enquiries are continuing, particularly in light of the new information confirming that the meeting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems, emails from 2008 have yet to be provided to us, but [they] have reviewed", some of the relevant material. Then if we look down at 1.14, this is how they end their review into Mr Rudkin's case. They say: "We are left with a conflict of evidence on this issue and our enquiries are continuing, particularly in light of the new information confirming that the meeting on 19 August 2008 did in fact occur."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems, emails from 2008 have yet to be provided to us, but [they] have reviewed", some of the relevant material. Then if we look down at 1.14, this is how they end their review into Mr Rudkin's case. They say: "We are left with a conflict of evidence on this issue and our enquiries are continuing, particularly in light of the new information confirming that the meeting on 19 August 2008 did in fact occur." So concerns prior to Second Sight's Interim Report
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems, emails from 2008 have yet to be provided to us, but [they] have reviewed", some of the relevant material. Then if we look down at 1.14, this is how they end their review into Mr Rudkin's case. They say: "We are left with a conflict of evidence on this issue and our enquiries are continuing, particularly in light of the new information confirming that the meeting on 19 August 2008 did in fact occur." So concerns prior to Second Sight's Interim Report raised by Second Sight about the lack of information or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems, emails from 2008 have yet to be provided to us, but [they] have reviewed", some of the relevant material. Then if we look down at 1.14, this is how they end their review into Mr Rudkin's case. They say: "We are left with a conflict of evidence on this issue and our enquiries are continuing, particularly in light of the new information confirming that the meeting on 19 August 2008 did in fact occur." So concerns prior to Second Sight's Interim Report raised by Second Sight about the lack of information or lack of clarity on the remote access issue, and, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems, emails from 2008 have yet to be provided to us, but [they] have reviewed", some of the relevant material. Then if we look down at 1.14, this is how they end their review into Mr Rudkin's case. They say: "We are left with a conflict of evidence on this issue and our enquiries are continuing, particularly in light of the new information confirming that the meeting on 19 August 2008 did in fact occur." So concerns prior to Second Sight's Interim Report raised by Second Sight about the lack of information or lack of clarity on the remote access issue, and, in fact, there's no greater clarity by the time they've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems, emails from 2008 have yet to be provided to us, but [they] have reviewed", some of the relevant material. Then if we look down at 1.14, this is how they end their review into Mr Rudkin's case. They say: "We are left with a conflict of evidence on this issue and our enquiries are continuing, particularly in light of the new information confirming that the meeting on 19 August 2008 did in fact occur." So concerns prior to Second Sight's Interim Report raised by Second Sight about the lack of information or lack of clarity on the remote access issue, and, in fact, there's no greater clarity by the time they've produced their Interim Report. They make clear that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems, emails from 2008 have yet to be provided to us, but [they] have reviewed", some of the relevant material. Then if we look down at 1.14, this is how they end their review into Mr Rudkin's case. They say: "We are left with a conflict of evidence on this issue and our enquiries are continuing, particularly in light of the new information confirming that the meeting on 19 August 2008 did in fact occur." So concerns prior to Second Sight's Interim Report raised by Second Sight about the lack of information or lack of clarity on the remote access issue, and, in fact, there's no greater clarity by the time they've produced their Interim Report. They make clear that it's still up in the air.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Α.	that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems, emails from 2008 have yet to be provided to us, but [they] have reviewed", some of the relevant material. Then if we look down at 1.14, this is how they end their review into Mr Rudkin's case. They say: "We are left with a conflict of evidence on this issue and our enquiries are continuing, particularly in light of the new information confirming that the meeting on 19 August 2008 did in fact occur." So concerns prior to Second Sight's Interim Report raised by Second Sight about the lack of information or lack of clarity on the remote access issue, and, in fact, there's no greater clarity by the time they've produced their Interim Report. They make clear that it's still up in the air. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems, emails from 2008 have yet to be provided to us, but [they] have reviewed", some of the relevant material. Then if we look down at 1.14, this is how they end their review into Mr Rudkin's case. They say: "We are left with a conflict of evidence on this issue and our enquiries are continuing, particularly in light of the new information confirming that the meeting on 19 August 2008 did in fact occur." So concerns prior to Second Sight's Interim Report raised by Second Sight about the lack of information or lack of clarity on the remote access issue, and, in fact, there's no greater clarity by the time they've produced their Interim Report. They make clear that it's still up in the air. Yes. Is that your understanding?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. A.	that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems, emails from 2008 have yet to be provided to us, but [they] have reviewed", some of the relevant material. Then if we look down at 1.14, this is how they end their review into Mr Rudkin's case. They say: "We are left with a conflict of evidence on this issue and our enquiries are continuing, particularly in light of the new information confirming that the meeting on 19 August 2008 did in fact occur." So concerns prior to Second Sight's Interim Report raised by Second Sight about the lack of information or lack of clarity on the remote access issue, and, in fact, there's no greater clarity by the time they've produced their Interim Report. They make clear that it's still up in the air. Yes. Is that your understanding? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q.	that has elapsed neither [the Post Office] nor Fujitsu were able to identify any individual who met with the [subpostmaster]" Then they refer to managing to find an email proving that the meeting took place: "Unfortunately, due to a change in email systems, emails from 2008 have yet to be provided to us, but [they] have reviewed", some of the relevant material. Then if we look down at 1.14, this is how they end their review into Mr Rudkin's case. They say: "We are left with a conflict of evidence on this issue and our enquiries are continuing, particularly in light of the new information confirming that the meeting on 19 August 2008 did in fact occur." So concerns prior to Second Sight's Interim Report raised by Second Sight about the lack of information or lack of clarity on the remote access issue, and, in fact, there's no greater clarity by the time they've produced their Interim Report. They make clear that it's still up in the air. Yes. Is that your understanding?

on IT	Inq	uiry 21 May 2024
1		response to SR005 'Post Office haven't answered the
2		questions, just spouting out the same old line'. We
3		need to sort this out in the next few days. I have
4		taken the action provide them focused information which
5		should provide them what they need. Although I am
6		concerned about the time I have to gather it."
7		The response above from you, you email Lesley Sewell
8		and say:
9		"Lesley I think you're going to have to get involved
10		in the Bracknell one or we are going to lose the
11		argument."
12		So you're escalating it there with Lesley Sewell?
13	A.	Yes.
14	Q.	Do you recall Second Sight being unhappy and angry at
15 16	•	this stage?
10	Α.	Only from documents that I've been sent from the
18		Inquiry. I didn't I didn't recall this specifically but all I'm doing here is saying, you know, "Second
19		Sight clearly are not getting what they want, Lesley,
20		you own the relationship, you've got to get involved".
20	Q.	Thank you. Then we have the Interim Report that was
22	ч.	ultimately produced, that's POL00099063, and that's
23		8 July 2013. This is their Interim Report. If we look
24		at page 12, it addresses Mr Rudkin's case, spot review
25		SR05. If we go over the page, 1.7, for example:
20		174
1		please look at UKGI00019321. This is a Board meeting,
2		which you are in attendance. If we could please turn to
3		page 6, there's now reference to the Deloitte report.
4		Do you recall discussion about the Deloitte report?
5	Α.	l do, yes.
6	Q.	Was one of the purposes of the Deloitte report to assist
7		with that issue of remote access, to get greater clarity
8		in that?
9	Α.	So I think the main purpose of the Deloitte report, from
10		my memory, was that, whereas the Linklaters report had
11		said had given us information about the contract, it
12		was predicated on that Horizon had integrity. So
13		I believe the Deloitte report and there were two
14		halves, I think, because one was up to one was
15		older one was new Horizon and then there was some
16		look at old Horizon but that came later, but I believe
17	-	the Deloitte report was asked for to fill that gap.
18	Q.	Was one of those gaps in corporate knowledge to do with
19	-	the remote access issue?
20	Α.	So I can't specifically remember that but it may well
21	~	have done.
22	Q.	Do you recall getting any greater clarity after the
23 24	^	Interim Report on that very issue?

- 24 **A.** After the Interim Report?
- 25 **Q.** Yes. So we've seen the Interim Report -- 176

	Yes.	1	Q.	Thank you. Then (e):
2 <b>Q</b> .	ended up without any	2		"Chris Aujard explained that several of the
3 <b>A</b> .	Without any yes so, no, I don't think the interim	3		subpostmasters who were challenging Horizon had made
4	report moved us forward at all.	4		allegations about 'phantom' transactions which were
5 <b>Q</b> .	So we have here the Board discussing the Deloitte	5		non-traceable. Assurance from Deloitte about the
6	report. This in advance of the Deloitte report, and it	6		integrity of the system records logs would be very
7	says:	7		valuable.
8	"The Board welcomed Lesley Sewell and Gareth	8		"The Board asked what assurance could be given
9	James [who was a partner at Deloitte], to the meeting.	9		pre-2010 when the different Horizon system was in use.
10	Chris Aujard also rejoined the meeting.	10		It was agreed that Gareth James would produce and cost
11	"The Chairman thanked Gareth James for his draft	11		a proposal for additional work to enable assurance for
12	report and explained that there were a number of people	12		the wider system, including pre-2010."
13	who were sceptical about Horizon. The Board were	13		There was then a further Board meeting that followed
14	concerned to know the truth about the reliability of the	14		the report, can we please turn to POL00021525. That is
15	system. Deloitte's views would need to be expressed in	15		the Board meeting of 21 May 2014. Do you recall that
16	such a way that they would persuade reasonable lay	16		Board meeting?
17	people."	17	Α.	l'm not no, not in detail.
18	It might be suggested that that last sentence there	18	Q.	I'll take you to the relevant part. So it's page 9.
19	is suggestive of trying to direct Deloitte in	19		There's a section on Sparrow. We see there, if we
20	a particular way, to persuade people. Is that your	20		scroll down, reference there to the draft executive
21	understanding of those words?	21		summary of the Horizon Assurance Review having been
22 <b>A</b> .	No, my understanding of that is that it needs to be	22		circulated to the Board.
23	written in such a way as people can understand it and	23		I want to see how these minutes were drafted.
24	that's what I'm reading into that. So make it	24	Α.	Okay.
25	accessible is I think.	25	Q.	Could we turn to POL00384388, please. It's the second
	177			178
1	page. It's an email from you to Chris Aujard and	1		cyclical in terms of Sparrow, and Belinda, I believe,
2	Belinda Crowe. Thank you very much.	2		turned to me and said, "Oh, good luck with minuting
3	This is 23 May, so we've seen there the meeting	3		that", and I'd said to her, "It's a bit smoke and
4				
	itself was 21 May. Presumably, the minutes themselves	4		mirrors", and I stupidly had carried the conversation on
	itself was 21 May. Presumably, the minutes themselves are not typed up on 21 May: there is a process that	4 5		mirrors", and I stupidly had carried the conversation on to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't
5	are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that	5		to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't
5 6	are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting.	5 6	Ο.	to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened.
5 6 7 <b>A</b> .	are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting. Yes.	5 6 7	Q.	to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened. But, I mean, "smoke and mirrors" is suggestive of in
5 6 7 <b>A</b> . 8 <b>Q</b> .	are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting. Yes. We're now on 23 May, which is the date that the Deloitte	5 6 7 8		to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened. But, I mean, "smoke and mirrors" is suggestive of in some way misleading?
5 6 7 <b>A</b> . 8 <b>Q</b> . 9	are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting. Yes. We're now on 23 May, which is the date that the Deloitte report was actually produced.	5 6 7 8 9		to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened. But, I mean, "smoke and mirrors" is suggestive of in some way misleading? Yeah, no, that's not what I meant and my minutes were
5 6 7 <b>A.</b> 8 <b>Q.</b> 9 10 <b>A.</b>	are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting. Yes. We're now on 23 May, which is the date that the Deloitte report was actually produced. Okay.	5 6 7 8 9 10	Α.	to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened. But, I mean, "smoke and mirrors" is suggestive of in some way misleading? Yeah, no, that's not what I meant and my minutes were not misleading.
5 6 7 <b>A.</b> 8 <b>Q.</b> 9 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b>	are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting. Yes. We're now on 23 May, which is the date that the Deloitte report was actually produced. Okay. So that is what we refer to as the final Deloitte	5 6 7 8 9 10 11		to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened. But, I mean, "smoke and mirrors" is suggestive of in some way misleading? Yeah, no, that's not what I meant and my minutes were not misleading. What you are doing, though, is you're sending to Belinda
5 6 7 <b>A.</b> 8 <b>Q</b> . 9 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q</b> . 12	are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting. Yes. We're now on 23 May, which is the date that the Deloitte report was actually produced. Okay. So that is what we refer to as the final Deloitte report, although I think, on the front, it says that	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Α.	to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened. But, I mean, "smoke and mirrors" is suggestive of in some way misleading? Yeah, no, that's not what I meant and my minutes were not misleading. What you are doing, though, is you're sending to Belinda Crowe and Chris Aujard draft minutes that they are then
5 6 7 <b>A</b> . 8 <b>Q</b> . 9 10 <b>A</b> . 11 <b>Q</b> . 12	are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting. Yes. We're now on 23 May, which is the date that the Deloitte report was actually produced. Okay. So that is what we refer to as the final Deloitte report, although I think, on the front, it says that it's a draft for discussion, or something along those	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened. But, I mean, "smoke and mirrors" is suggestive of in some way misleading? Yeah, no, that's not what I meant and my minutes were not misleading. What you are doing, though, is you're sending to Belinda Crowe and Chris Aujard draft minutes that they are then amending?
5 6 7 <b>A.</b> 8 <b>Q.</b> 9 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14	<ul> <li>are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting.</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>We're now on 23 May, which is the date that the Deloitte report was actually produced.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>So that is what we refer to as the final Deloitte report, although I think, on the front, it says that it's a draft for discussion, or something along those lines. You are emailing Chris Aujard and Belinda Crowe</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Α.	to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened. But, I mean, "smoke and mirrors" is suggestive of in some way misleading? Yeah, no, that's not what I meant and my minutes were not misleading. What you are doing, though, is you're sending to Belinda Crowe and Chris Aujard draft minutes that they are then amending? So my practice was that I would take verbatim notes
5 6 7 A. 8 Q. 9 10 A. 11 Q. 12 13 14	<ul> <li>are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting.</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>We're now on 23 May, which is the date that the Deloitte report was actually produced.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>So that is what we refer to as the final Deloitte report, although I think, on the front, it says that it's a draft for discussion, or something along those lines. You are emailing Chris Aujard and Belinda Crowe and you say as follows:</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q.	to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened. But, I mean, "smoke and mirrors" is suggestive of in some way misleading? Yeah, no, that's not what I meant and my minutes were not misleading. What you are doing, though, is you're sending to Belinda Crowe and Chris Aujard draft minutes that they are then amending? So my practice was that I would take verbatim notes wherever possible and when the conversation was cyclic
5 6 7 <b>A.</b> 9 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting.</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>We're now on 23 May, which is the date that the Deloitte report was actually produced.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>So that is what we refer to as the final Deloitte report, although I think, on the front, it says that it's a draft for discussion, or something along those lines. You are emailing Chris Aujard and Belinda Crowe and you say as follows:</li> <li>"It's a bit smoke and mirrors but here are the</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q.	to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened. But, I mean, "smoke and mirrors" is suggestive of in some way misleading? Yeah, no, that's not what I meant and my minutes were not misleading. What you are doing, though, is you're sending to Belinda Crowe and Chris Aujard draft minutes that they are then amending? So my practice was that I would take verbatim notes wherever possible and when the conversation was cyclica and that was quite that became quite difficult, but
5 6 7 <b>A.</b> 9 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting.</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>We're now on 23 May, which is the date that the Deloitte report was actually produced.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>So that is what we refer to as the final Deloitte report, although I think, on the front, it says that it's a draft for discussion, or something along those lines. You are emailing Chris Aujard and Belinda Crowe and you say as follows: <ul> <li>"It's a bit smoke and mirrors but here are the minutes, comments ASAP please."</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 77	A. Q.	to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened. But, I mean, "smoke and mirrors" is suggestive of in some way misleading? Yeah, no, that's not what I meant and my minutes were not misleading. What you are doing, though, is you're sending to Belinda Crowe and Chris Aujard draft minutes that they are then amending? So my practice was that I would take verbatim notes wherever possible and when the conversation was cyclic and that was quite that became quite difficult, but they were taken, I would then draft the minute, and it
5 6 7 <b>A.</b> 9 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 11 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting.</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>We're now on 23 May, which is the date that the Deloitte report was actually produced.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>So that is what we refer to as the final Deloitte report, although I think, on the front, it says that it's a draft for discussion, or something along those lines. You are emailing Chris Aujard and Belinda Crowe and you say as follows:</li> <li>"It's a bit smoke and mirrors but here are the minutes, comments ASAP please."</li> <li>Now, I think in your witness statement you've said</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q.	to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened. But, I mean, "smoke and mirrors" is suggestive of in some way misleading? Yeah, no, that's not what I meant and my minutes were not misleading. What you are doing, though, is you're sending to Belinda Crowe and Chris Aujard draft minutes that they are then amending? So my practice was that I would take verbatim notes wherever possible and when the conversation was cyclic and that was quite that became quite difficult, but they were taken, I would then draft the minute, and it would be sent out to the executive member or Belinda
5 6 7 <b>A.</b> 9 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 11 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting.</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>We're now on 23 May, which is the date that the Deloitte report was actually produced.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>So that is what we refer to as the final Deloitte report, although I think, on the front, it says that it's a draft for discussion, or something along those lines. You are emailing Chris Aujard and Belinda Crowe and you say as follows:</li> <li>"It's a bit smoke and mirrors but here are the minutes, comments ASAP please."</li> <li>Now, I think in your witness statement you've said that there's no issue with the words "smoke and</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q.	to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened. But, I mean, "smoke and mirrors" is suggestive of in some way misleading? Yeah, no, that's not what I meant and my minutes were not misleading. What you are doing, though, is you're sending to Belinda Crowe and Chris Aujard draft minutes that they are then amending? So my practice was that I would take verbatim notes wherever possible and when the conversation was cyclic and that was quite that became quite difficult, but they were taken, I would then draft the minute, and it would be sent out to the executive member or Belinda wasn't an executive member, but the executive member
5 6 7 <b>A.</b> 9 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting.</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>We're now on 23 May, which is the date that the Deloitte report was actually produced.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>So that is what we refer to as the final Deloitte report, although I think, on the front, it says that it's a draft for discussion, or something along those lines. You are emailing Chris Aujard and Belinda Crowe and you say as follows:</li> <li>"It's a bit smoke and mirrors but here are the minutes, comments ASAP please."</li> <li>Now, I think in your witness statement you've said that there's no issue with the words "smoke and mirrors", you didn't mean anything by it; is that</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q.	to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened. But, I mean, "smoke and mirrors" is suggestive of in some way misleading? Yeah, no, that's not what I meant and my minutes were not misleading. What you are doing, though, is you're sending to Belinda Crowe and Chris Aujard draft minutes that they are then amending? So my practice was that I would take verbatim notes wherever possible and when the conversation was cyclic and that was quite that became quite difficult, but they were taken, I would then draft the minute, and it would be sent out to the executive member or Belinda wasn't an executive member, but the executive member was responsible for the input to that part of the
5 6 7 <b>A.</b> 9 10 <b>A.</b> 11 <b>Q.</b> 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting.</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>We're now on 23 May, which is the date that the Deloitte report was actually produced.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>So that is what we refer to as the final Deloitte report, although I think, on the front, it says that it's a draft for discussion, or something along those lines. You are emailing Chris Aujard and Belinda Crowe and you say as follows:</li> <li>"It's a bit smoke and mirrors but here are the minutes, comments ASAP please."</li> <li>Now, I think in your witness statement you've said that there's no issue with the words "smoke and mirrors", you didn't mean anything by it; is that correct?</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q.	to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened. But, I mean, "smoke and mirrors" is suggestive of in some way misleading? Yeah, no, that's not what I meant and my minutes were not misleading. What you are doing, though, is you're sending to Belinda Crowe and Chris Aujard draft minutes that they are then amending? So my practice was that I would take verbatim notes wherever possible and when the conversation was cyclic and that was quite that became quite difficult, but they were taken, I would then draft the minute, and it would be sent out to the executive member or Belinda wasn't an executive member, but the executive member was responsible for the input to that part of the meeting. They would then give their input. I would
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 <b>A</b> .	are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting. Yes. We're now on 23 May, which is the date that the Deloitte report was actually produced. Okay. So that is what we refer to as the final Deloitte report, although I think, on the front, it says that it's a draft for discussion, or something along those lines. You are emailing Chris Aujard and Belinda Crowe and you say as follows: "It's a bit smoke and mirrors but here are the minutes, comments ASAP please." Now, I think in your witness statement you've said that there's no issue with the words "smoke and mirrors", you didn't mean anything by it; is that correct? Well, clearly, it's very unprofessional and I shouldn't	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened. But, I mean, "smoke and mirrors" is suggestive of in some way misleading? Yeah, no, that's not what I meant and my minutes were not misleading. What you are doing, though, is you're sending to Belinda Crowe and Chris Aujard draft minutes that they are then amending? So my practice was that I would take verbatim notes wherever possible and when the conversation was cyclic and that was quite that became quite difficult, but they were taken, I would then draft the minute, and it would be sent out to the executive member or Belinda wasn't an executive member, but the executive member was responsible for the input to that part of the meeting. They would then give their input. I would then get that back and I would revisit my verbatim notes
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 4. 23	<ul> <li>are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting.</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>We're now on 23 May, which is the date that the Deloitte report was actually produced.</li> <li>Okay.</li> <li>So that is what we refer to as the final Deloitte report, although I think, on the front, it says that it's a draft for discussion, or something along those lines. You are emailing Chris Aujard and Belinda Crowe and you say as follows:</li> <li>"It's a bit smoke and mirrors but here are the minutes, comments ASAP please."</li> <li>Now, I think in your witness statement you've said that there's no issue with the words "smoke and mirrors", you didn't mean anything by it; is that correct?</li> <li>Well, clearly, it's very unprofessional and I shouldn't have put it in the email. I seem to recall that when</li> </ul>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q.	to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened. But, I mean, "smoke and mirrors" is suggestive of in some way misleading? Yeah, no, that's not what I meant and my minutes were not misleading. What you are doing, though, is you're sending to Belinda Crowe and Chris Aujard draft minutes that they are then amending? So my practice was that I would take verbatim notes wherever possible and when the conversation was cyclica and that was quite that became quite difficult, but they were taken, I would then draft the minute, and it would be sent out to the executive member or Belinda wasn't an executive member, but the executive member of was responsible for the input to that part of the meeting. They would then give their input. I would then get that back and I would revisit my verbatim notes to check that, actually, their input had come to the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 <b>A</b> .	are not typed up on 21 May; there is a process that follows that meeting. Yes. We're now on 23 May, which is the date that the Deloitte report was actually produced. Okay. So that is what we refer to as the final Deloitte report, although I think, on the front, it says that it's a draft for discussion, or something along those lines. You are emailing Chris Aujard and Belinda Crowe and you say as follows: "It's a bit smoke and mirrors but here are the minutes, comments ASAP please." Now, I think in your witness statement you've said that there's no issue with the words "smoke and mirrors", you didn't mean anything by it; is that correct? Well, clearly, it's very unprofessional and I shouldn't	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q.	to this email but it's unprofessional and it shouldn't have happened. But, I mean, "smoke and mirrors" is suggestive of in some way misleading? Yeah, no, that's not what I meant and my minutes were not misleading. What you are doing, though, is you're sending to Belinda Crowe and Chris Aujard draft minutes that they are then amending? So my practice was that I would take verbatim notes wherever possible and when the conversation was cyclica and that was quite that became quite difficult, but they were taken, I would then draft the minute, and it would be sent out to the executive member or Belinda wasn't an executive member, but the executive member of was responsible for the input to that part of the meeting. They would then give their input. I would then get that back and I would revisit my verbatim notes

(45) Pages 177 - 180

Q.

Α.

Q.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Α.

Q.

Α.

Α.

would go to the Chair for their input.	1		Chris Aujard and Belinda Crowe received the report
If we scroll up we can see that Belinda Crowe has	2		itself, so they are amending minutes referring to
responded, and she says:	3		a report that they have now received.
"Comments from me but Chris will need to agree. We	4	Α.	l didn't realise that.
are going to be speaking shortly."	5	Q.	No. Do you see an issue with that?
If we scroll down we can see, now they're in	6	Α.	I would if I didn't believe that I went back to my
a slightly different colour, I think, the comments. We	7		verbatim notes and checked them.
might be able to see them if we scroll down, please,	8	Q.	I mean, is it possible that those words that are
under "Sparrow". Can you see? It's a little difficult	9		highlighted there were not actually spoken at that
to see but the comments seem to be in a slightly less	10		meeting?
dark format.	11	Α.	Well, we do say that we want them to be clear, don't we,
Okay.	12		we want them to be understandable?
So it has been amended here as follows:	13		Sorry, it's the second
"It was reported that [and then] the draft executive	14		Yes, yes.
summary of the Horizon Assurance Review prepared by	15	Q.	
Deloitte had been circulated to the Board. Chris	16		to Deloitte if he had concerns about the quality of the
advised that it was anticipated that the full review	17		product and the Board might not be receiving it when he
would be available to the business on Friday, 23 May and	18		receives it.
that he would circulate it to the Board"	19	Α.	Yes. I with hindsight, knowing that they received
It's this addition I would like to ask you about:	20		the report on the same day, that might be an issue, if
" as soon as possible but only when he was	21		Belinda and Chris are responding to this having read the
satisfied with its drafting and clarity of expression;	22		report, then that's a completely different mindset to
it was agreed that he would escalate within Deloitte if	23		just responding to this as this is what they believe
he had concerns about the quality of the product."	24		they told the meeting. I do think I would have gone
Now, that was added on the day that, in fact, that 181	25		back and checked my verbatim notes and, if they 182
			102
hadn't if this hadn't been in there at whatever	1		additions. However, I would my practice as I say
hadn't if this hadn't been in there at whatever	1		additions. However, I would my practice, as I say,
you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and	2		was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if
you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing	2 3		was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone
you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that.	2 3 4		was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you
you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing	2 3		was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone
you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that. Are the number of changes unusual?	2 3 4 5	Q.	was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the minutes".
you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that. Are the number of changes unusual? So	2 3 4 5 6	Q.	was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the minutes". Thank you. Let's look at the report very quickly. It's
you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that. Are the number of changes unusual? So If we scroll down, we can see more over the page as well.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the minutes". Thank you. Let's look at the report very quickly. It's POL00028062. It's page 31. Did you read the Deloitte
you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that. Are the number of changes unusual? So If we scroll down, we can see more over the page as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. A.	was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the minutes". Thank you. Let's look at the report very quickly. It's POL00028062. It's page 31. Did you read the Deloitte report?
you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that. Are the number of changes unusual? So If we scroll down, we can see more over the page as well. So this there was a lot of information being put in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the minutes". Thank you. Let's look at the report very quickly. It's POL00028062. It's page 31. Did you read the Deloitte report?
you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that. Are the number of changes unusual? So If we scroll down, we can see more over the page as well. So this there was a lot of information being put in by Belinda and Chris, who obviously wanted as much	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the minutes". Thank you. Let's look at the report very quickly. It's POL00028062. It's page 31. Did you read the Deloitte report? So I'm not sure that I saw the long Deloitte report and,
you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that. Are the number of changes unusual? So If we scroll down, we can see more over the page as well. So this there was a lot of information being put in by Belinda and Chris, who obviously wanted as much information in here as possible.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the minutes". Thank you. Let's look at the report very quickly. It's POL00028062. It's page 31. Did you read the Deloitte report? So I'm not sure that I saw the long Deloitte report and, therefore, I'm not sure the Board saw the long Deloitte
you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that. Are the number of changes unusual? So If we scroll down, we can see more over the page as well. So this there was a lot of information being put in by Belinda and Chris, who obviously wanted as much information in here as possible. Or wanted to direct how the minutes would read?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A.	<ul> <li>was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if</li> <li>I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone</li> <li>back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you</li> <li>didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the</li> <li>minutes".</li> <li>Thank you. Let's look at the report very quickly. It's</li> <li>POL00028062. It's page 31. Did you read the Deloitte</li> <li>report?</li> <li>So I'm not sure that I saw the long Deloitte report and,</li> <li>therefore, I'm not sure the Board saw the long Deloitte</li> </ul>
you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that. Are the number of changes unusual? So If we scroll down, we can see more over the page as well. So this there was a lot of information being put in by Belinda and Chris, who obviously wanted as much information in here as possible. Or wanted to direct how the minutes would read? Well, you know, I think I believe I went back and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q.	was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the minutes". Thank you. Let's look at the report very quickly. It's POL00028062. It's page 31. Did you read the Deloitte report? So I'm not sure that I saw the long Deloitte report and, therefore, I'm not sure the Board saw the long Deloitte report. Given that you had a governance aspect of your role
<ul> <li>you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that.</li> <li>Are the number of changes unusual?</li> <li>So</li> <li>If we scroll down, we can see more over the page as well.</li> <li>So this there was a lot of information being put in by Belinda and Chris, who obviously wanted as much information in here as possible.</li> <li>Or wanted to direct how the minutes would read?</li> <li>Well, you know, I think I believe I went back and checked my notes and a lot of this information might</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if</li> <li>I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone</li> <li>back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you</li> <li>didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the</li> <li>minutes".</li> <li>Thank you. Let's look at the report very quickly. It's</li> <li>POL00028062. It's page 31. Did you read the Deloitte</li> <li>report?</li> <li>So I'm not sure that I saw the long Deloitte report and,</li> <li>therefore, I'm not sure the Board saw the long Deloitte</li> <li>report.</li> <li>Given that you had a governance aspect of your role</li> <li>Yes.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that.</li> <li>Are the number of changes unusual?</li> <li>So</li> <li>If we scroll down, we can see more over the page as well.</li> <li>So this there was a lot of information being put in by Belinda and Chris, who obviously wanted as much information in here as possible.</li> <li>Or wanted to direct how the minutes would read?</li> <li>Well, you know, I think I believe I went back and checked my notes and a lot of this information might have been in their report, and my practice was not to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if <ul> <li>I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone</li> <li>back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you</li> <li>didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the</li> <li>minutes".</li> </ul> </li> <li>Thank you. Let's look at the report very quickly. It's <ul> <li>POL00028062. It's page 31. Did you read the Deloitte</li> <li>report?</li> </ul> </li> <li>So I'm not sure that I saw the long Deloitte report and, therefore, I'm not sure the Board saw the long Deloitte report.</li> <li>Given that you had a governance aspect of your role <ul> <li>Yes.</li> <li> why would you not have read the Deloitte report?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that.</li> <li>Are the number of changes unusual?</li> <li>So</li> <li>If we scroll down, we can see more over the page as well.</li> <li>So this there was a lot of information being put in by Belinda and Chris, who obviously wanted as much information in here as possible.</li> <li>Or wanted to direct how the minutes would read?</li> <li>Well, you know, I think I believe I went back and checked my notes and a lot of this information might have been in their report, and my practice was not to regurgitate the report in the minutes because the Board</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Q. Q. Q.	<ul> <li>was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if</li> <li>I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone</li> <li>back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you</li> <li>didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the</li> <li>minutes".</li> <li>Thank you. Let's look at the report very quickly. It's</li> <li>POL00028062. It's page 31. Did you read the Deloitte</li> <li>report?</li> <li>So I'm not sure that I saw the long Deloitte report and,</li> <li>therefore, I'm not sure the Board saw the long Deloitte</li> <li>report.</li> <li>Given that you had a governance aspect of your role</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li> why would you not have read the Deloitte report?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that.</li> <li>Are the number of changes unusual?</li> <li>So</li> <li>If we scroll down, we can see more over the page as well.</li> <li>So this there was a lot of information being put in by Belinda and Chris, who obviously wanted as much information in here as possible.</li> <li>Or wanted to direct how the minutes would read?</li> <li>Well, you know, I think I believe I went back and checked my notes and a lot of this information might have been in their report, and my practice was not to regurgitate the report in the minutes because the Board had the report. So</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Q. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if <ul> <li>I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone</li> <li>back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you</li> <li>didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the</li> <li>minutes".</li> </ul> </li> <li>Thank you. Let's look at the report very quickly. It's <ul> <li>POL00028062. It's page 31. Did you read the Deloitte</li> <li>report?</li> </ul> </li> <li>So I'm not sure that I saw the long Deloitte report and, therefore, I'm not sure the Board saw the long Deloitte report.</li> <li>Given that you had a governance aspect of your role <ul> <li>Yes.</li> <li> why would you not have read the Deloitte report?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Because I'm not sure it was given to me.</li> <li>Having read it now, is it not a document that you</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that.</li> <li>Are the number of changes unusual?</li> <li>So</li> <li>If we scroll down, we can see more over the page as well.</li> <li>So this there was a lot of information being put in by Belinda and Chris, who obviously wanted as much information in here as possible.</li> <li>Or wanted to direct how the minutes would read?</li> <li>Well, you know, I think I believe I went back and checked my notes and a lot of this information might have been in their report, and my practice was not to regurgitate the report in the minutes because the Board had the report. So</li> <li>They didn't yet have the report, though, did they?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Q. Q. A. Q.	<ul> <li>was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if <ul> <li>I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone</li> <li>back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you</li> <li>didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the</li> <li>minutes".</li> </ul> </li> <li>Thank you. Let's look at the report very quickly. It's <ul> <li>POL00028062. It's page 31. Did you read the Deloitte</li> <li>report?</li> </ul> </li> <li>So I'm not sure that I saw the long Deloitte report and, therefore, I'm not sure the Board saw the long Deloitte report.</li> <li>Given that you had a governance aspect of your roleYes.</li> <li> why would you not have read the Deloitte report?</li> <li>Because I'm not sure it was given to me.</li> <li>Having read it now, is it not a document that you recognise?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that.</li> <li>Are the number of changes unusual?</li> <li>So</li> <li>If we scroll down, we can see more over the page as well.</li> <li>So this there was a lot of information being put in by Belinda and Chris, who obviously wanted as much information in here as possible.</li> <li>Or wanted to direct how the minutes would read?</li> <li>Well, you know, I think I believe I went back and checked my notes and a lot of this information might have been in their report, and my practice was not to regurgitate the report in the minutes because the Board had the report. So</li> <li>They didn't yet have the report, though, did they?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if <ul> <li>I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone</li> <li>back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you</li> <li>didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the</li> <li>minutes".</li> </ul> </li> <li>Thank you. Let's look at the report very quickly. It's <ul> <li>POL00028062. It's page 31. Did you read the Deloitte</li> <li>report?</li> </ul> </li> <li>So I'm not sure that I saw the long Deloitte report and, therefore, I'm not sure the Board saw the long Deloitte report.</li> <li>Given that you had a governance aspect of your roleYes.</li> <li> why would you not have read the Deloitte report?</li> <li>Because I'm not sure it was given to me.</li> <li>Having read it now, is it not a document that you recognise?</li> <li>I don't recognise it.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that.</li> <li>Are the number of changes unusual?</li> <li>So</li> <li>If we scroll down, we can see more over the page as well.</li> <li>So this there was a lot of information being put in by Belinda and Chris, who obviously wanted as much information in here as possible.</li> <li>Or wanted to direct how the minutes would read?</li> <li>Well, you know, I think I believe I went back and checked my notes and a lot of this information might have been in their report, and my practice was not to regurgitate the report in the minutes because the Board had the report. So</li> <li>They didn't yet have the report, though, did they?</li> <li>They brought a report to this meeting, didn't they?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if <ul> <li>I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone</li> <li>back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you</li> <li>didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the</li> <li>minutes".</li> </ul> </li> <li>Thank you. Let's look at the report very quickly. It's <ul> <li>POL00028062. It's page 31. Did you read the Deloitte</li> <li>report?</li> </ul> </li> <li>So I'm not sure that I saw the long Deloitte report and, therefore, I'm not sure the Board saw the long Deloitte report.</li> <li>Given that you had a governance aspect of your roleYes.</li> <li> why would you not have read the Deloitte report?</li> <li>Because I'm not sure it was given to me.</li> <li>Having read it now, is it not a document that you recognise?</li> <li>I don't recognise it.</li> <li>Can we turn to page 31, please, and it's the bottom</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that.</li> <li>Are the number of changes unusual?</li> <li>So</li> <li>If we scroll down, we can see more over the page as well.</li> <li>So this there was a lot of information being put in by Belinda and Chris, who obviously wanted as much information in here as possible.</li> <li>Or wanted to direct how the minutes would read?</li> <li>Well, you know, I think I believe I went back and checked my notes and a lot of this information might have been in their report, and my practice was not to regurgitate the report in the minutes because the Board had the report. So</li> <li>They didn't yet have the report, though, did they?</li> <li>They brought a report to this meeting, didn't they?</li> <li>They had an executive summary at the meeting.</li> <li>Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if <ul> <li>I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone</li> <li>back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you</li> <li>didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the</li> <li>minutes".</li> </ul> </li> <li>Thank you. Let's look at the report very quickly. It's <ul> <li>POL00028062. It's page 31. Did you read the Deloitte</li> <li>report?</li> </ul> </li> <li>So I'm not sure that I saw the long Deloitte report and, therefore, I'm not sure the Board saw the long Deloitte report.</li> <li>Given that you had a governance aspect of your roleYes.</li> <li> why would you not have read the Deloitte report?</li> <li>Because I'm not sure it was given to me.</li> <li>Having read it now, is it not a document that you recognise?</li> <li>I don't recognise it.</li> <li>Can we turn to page 31, please, and it's the bottom there. I don't know if you've seen me go through this</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that.</li> <li>Are the number of changes unusual?</li> <li>So</li> <li>If we scroll down, we can see more over the page as well.</li> <li>So this there was a lot of information being put in by Belinda and Chris, who obviously wanted as much information in here as possible.</li> <li>Or wanted to direct how the minutes would read?</li> <li>Well, you know, I think I believe I went back and checked my notes and a lot of this information might have been in their report, and my practice was not to regurgitate the report in the minutes because the Board had the report. So</li> <li>They didn't yet have the report, though, did they?</li> <li>They brought a report to this meeting, didn't they?</li> <li>They had an executive summary at the meeting. Yes.</li> <li>The report would follow and the words that were inserted</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Q. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the minutes".</li> <li>Thank you. Let's look at the report very quickly. It's POL00028062. It's page 31. Did you read the Deloitte report?</li> <li>So I'm not sure that I saw the long Deloitte report and, therefore, I'm not sure the Board saw the long Deloitte report.</li> <li>Given that you had a governance aspect of your role Yes.</li> <li> why would you not have read the Deloitte report?</li> <li>Because I'm not sure it was given to me.</li> <li>Having read it now, is it not a document that you recognise?</li> <li>I don't recognise it.</li> <li>Can we turn to page 31, please, and it's the bottom there. I don't know if you've seen me go through this or anybody go through this with other witnesses but it's (g): "A method for posting 'Balancing Transactions' was</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>you know, whatever level, I would have gone back and challenged Chris and Belinda. I don't remember doing that.</li> <li>Are the number of changes unusual?</li> <li>So</li> <li>If we scroll down, we can see more over the page as well.</li> <li>So this there was a lot of information being put in by Belinda and Chris, who obviously wanted as much information in here as possible.</li> <li>Or wanted to direct how the minutes would read?</li> <li>Well, you know, I think I believe I went back and checked my notes and a lot of this information might have been in their report, and my practice was not to regurgitate the report in the minutes because the Board had the report. So</li> <li>They didn't yet have the report, though, did they?</li> <li>They had an executive summary at the meeting.</li> <li>Yes.</li> <li>The report would follow and the words that were inserted were to the effect that they wouldn't necessarily</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. Q. A. Q. A.	<ul> <li>was to go back and check my verbatim notes and, if I hadn't heard this at the meeting, I would have gone back to Chris and Belinda and said, "Actually, you didn't say any of this, you can't just have them in the minutes".</li> <li>Thank you. Let's look at the report very quickly. It's POL00028062. It's page 31. Did you read the Deloitte report?</li> <li>So I'm not sure that I saw the long Deloitte report and, therefore, I'm not sure the Board saw the long Deloitte report.</li> <li>Given that you had a governance aspect of your role Yes.</li> <li> why would you not have read the Deloitte report?</li> <li>Because I'm not sure it was given to me.</li> <li>Having read it now, is it not a document that you recognise?</li> <li>I don't recognise it.</li> <li>Can we turn to page 31, please, and it's the bottom there. I don't know if you've seen me go through this or anybody go through this with other witnesses but it's (g):</li> </ul>

2requirement for these transactions to be accepted by23subpostmasters Whilst an audit trail is asserted to34be in place over these functions, evidence of testing of45these features is not available"56Third bullet point:67"For "Balancing Transactions" we did not78identify controls to routinely monitor all centrally89initiated transactions to verify that they are all910initiated and actioned through known and governed1011processes, or controls"1112The final one:1213"Controls that would detect where a person with1314authorised privileged access used such access to send1415a Take' basket into the digital signing process could1516not be evidenced to exist."1617Looking at those now, are those matters that you1718would have expected to have been brought to your1819attention, given to your involvement in the remote1920access issue?2021A. Yes. I mean, the first one there is interesting because2122the knowledge of the subpostmaster.2523C. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?324the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it2425doesn't say without the knowledge of our wider926A. Ah -4427<	1		posting of additional transactions centrally without the	1
4       be in place over these functions, evidence of testing of       4         5       these features is not available"       5         6       Third bullet point:       6         7       "For Blancing Transactions" we did not       7         8       identify controls to routinely monitor all centrally       8         9       initiated transactions to verify that they are all       9         10       initiated and actioned through known and governed       10         11       processes, or controls"       11         12       The final one:       12         13       "Controls that would detect where a person with       13         14       authorised privileged access used such access to send       14         14       authorised privileged access used such access to send       14         15       a 'fake' basket into the digital signing process could       15         16       not be evidenced to exist."       16         17       Looking at those now, are those matters that you       17         18       would have expected to have been brought to your       18         18       attention, given to your involvement in the remote       19         20       access issue?       20         21	2		requirement for these transactions to be accepted by	2
5       these features is not available"       5         6       Third bullet point:       6         7       "For Balancing Transactions" we did not       7         8       identify controls to routinely monitor all centrally       8         9       initiated and actioned through known and governed       10         11       processes, or controls"       11         12       The final one:       12         13       "Controls that would detect where a person with       13         14       authorised privileged access used such access to send       14         15       a Take' basket into the digital signing process could       15         16       not be evidenced to exist."       16         17       Looking at those now, are those matters that you       17         18       would have expected to have been brought to your       18         18       would have expected to accept them but to you       18         20       access issue?       20         21       A. Yes. I mean, the first one there doesn't say 'without       22         22       it doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.       25         23       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?       3         4       A	3		subpostmasters Whilst an audit trail is asserted to	3
6Third bullet point:67"For Balancing Transactions" we did not78identify controls to routinely monitor all centrally89initiated transactions to verify that they are all910initiated and actioned through known and governed1011processes, or controls"1112The final one:1213"Controls that would detect where a person with1314authorised privileged access used such access to send1415a 'fake' basket into the digital signing process could1516not be evidenced to exist."1617Looking at those now, are those matters that you1718would have expected to have been brought to your1819attention, given to your involvement in the remote1920access issue?2021A. Yes. I mean, the first one there is interesting because2121it doesn't - the first one there doesn't say 'Without2222it doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.251051051251or -12A. From reading these emails, yes.23Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?34A. Ah45G. We have below that the email from Gareth James, which56sets out deliverables:67"These seek to create a shorter document for you711Could we please turn	4		be in place over these functions, evidence of testing of	4
7"For 'Balancing Transactions' we did not78identify controls to routinely monitor all centrally89initiated transactions to verify that they are all910initiated and actioned through known and governed1011processes, or controls"1112The final one:1213"Controls that would detect where a person with1314authorised privileged access used such access to send1415a 'fake' basket into the digital signing process could1516not be evidenced to exist."1617Looking at those now, are those matters that you1718would have expected to have been brought to your1819attention, given to your involvement in the remote1920access issue?2021A. Yes. I mean, the first one there doesn't say 'without2222it doesn't - the first one there doesn't say 'without2223the knowledge of the subpostmaster, because - it says2324the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it2425doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.25185"These seek to create a shorter document for you73 $A$ . Ah444Q. We have below that the email from Gareth James, which56sets out deliverables:67"These seek to create a shorter document for you78(intended for Board circulation) whi	5		these features is not available"	5
8       identify controls to routinely monitor all centrally       8         9       initiated transactions to verify that they are all       9         10       initiated and actioned through known and governed       10         11       processes, or controls"       11         12       The final one:       12         13       "Controls that would detect where a person with       13         14       authorised privileged access used such access to send       14         15       a 'fake' basket into the digital signing process could       15         16       not be evidenced to exist."       16         17       Looking at those now, are those matters that you       17         18       would have expected to have been brought to your       18         19       attention, given to your involvement in the remote       19         20       access issue?       20         21       A. Yes. I mean, the first one there doesn't say "without       22         22       it doesn't - the first one there doesn't say "without       22         23       the knowledge of the subpostmaster", because it says       23         24       the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it       24         25       decesn't say without the knowledge of the subpos	6		Third bullet point:	6
9       initiated transactions to verify that they are all       9         10       initiated and actioned through known and governed       10         11       processes, or controls*       11         12       The final one:       12         13       "Controls that would detect where a person with       13         14       authorised privileged access used such access to send       14         15       a 'fake' basket into the digital signing process could       15         16       not be evidenced to exist."       16         17       Looking at those now, are those matters that you       17         18       would have expected to have been brought to your       18         19       attention, given to your involvement in the remote       19         20       access issue?       20         21       A. Yes. I mean, the first one there is interesting because       21         21       the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it       24         22       the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it       24         23       the subpostmaster, ses.       2         34       A. Ah -       4         50       We have below that the email from Gareth James, which       5         51       set	7		"For 'Balancing Transactions' we did not	7
10       initiated and actioned through known and governed       10         11       processes, or controls"       11         12       The final one:       12         13       "Controls that would detect where a person with       13         14       authorised privileged access used such access to send       14         15       a 'fake' basket into the digital signing process could       15         16       not be evidenced to exist."       16         17       Looking at those now, are those matters that you       17         18       would have expected to have been brought to your       18         19       attention, given to your involvement in the remote       19         20       access issue?       20         21       A. Yes. I mean, the first one there doesn't say "without       22         22       it doesn't - the first one there doesn't say "without       22         23       the knowledge of the subpostmaster", because it says       23         24       the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it       24         25       doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.       25         185       7       These seek to create a shorter document for you       7         3 <b>A</b> . Ah -       4 </td <td>8</td> <td></td> <td>identify controls to routinely monitor all centrally</td> <td>8</td>	8		identify controls to routinely monitor all centrally	8
11       processes, or controls"       11         12       The final one:       12         13       "Controls that would detect where a person with       13         14       authorised privileged access used such access to send       14         15       a 'fake' basket into the digital signing process could       15         16       not be evidenced to exist."       16         17       Looking at those now, are those matters that you       17         18       would have expected to have been brought to your       18         19       attention, given to your involvement in the remote       19         20       access issue?       20         21       A. Yes. I mean, the first one there doesn't say "without       22         23       the knowledge of the subpostmaster", because it says       23         24       the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it       24         25       doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.       25         185       7       These seek to create a shorter document for you       7         1       or       1       4       5         2       We have below that the email from Gareth James, which       5       6         3       Q. Yes, but do you recall	9		initiated transactions to verify that they are all	9
12       The final one:       12         13       "Controls that would detect where a person with       13         14       authorised privileged access used such access to send       14         15       a 'fake' basket into the digital signing process could       15         16       not be evidenced to exist."       16         17       Looking at those now, are those matters that you       17         18       would have expected to have been brought to your       18         19       attention, given to your involvement in the remote       19         20       access issue?       20         21       A. Yes. I mean, the first one there doesn't say "without       22         21       the subpostmaster, because it says       23         22       the knowledge of the subpostmaster, because it says       23         23       the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it       24         24       the subpostmaster, because it says       23         23       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?       3         3       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?       3         4       A. Ah       4       5         9       (intended for Board circulation) which focuses on       8	10		initiated and actioned through known and governed	10
13       "Controls that would detect where a person with       13         14       authorised privileged access used such access to send       14         15       a 'fake' basket into the digital signing process could       15         16       not be evidenced to exist."       16         17       Looking at those now, are those matters that you       17         18       would have expected to have been brought to your       18         19       attention, given to your involvement in the remote       19         20       access issue?       20         21       A. Yes. I mean, the first one there is interesting because       21         1       doesn't = the first one there doesn't say 'Without       22         23       the knowledge of the subpostmaster", because it says       23         24       the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it       24         25       doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.       25         185       7       18       7         1       or       1       1         2       A. From reading these emails, yes.       2       3         3       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?       3       4         4       A. Ah -       4	11		processes, or controls"	11
14       authorised privileged access used such access to send       14         15       a 'fake' basket into the digital signing process could       15         16       not be evidenced to exist."       16         17       Looking at those now, are those matters that you       17         18       would have expected to have been brought to your       18         19       attention, given to your involvement in the remote       19         20       access issue?       20         21       A. Yes. I mean, the first one there is interesting because       21         it doesn't - the first one there doesn't say "without       22         23       the knowledge of the subpostmaster", because it says       23         24       the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it       24         25       doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.       25         1       or       1       2         2       A. From reading these emails, yes.       2       3         3       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?       3         4       A A       4       5         6       sets out deliverables:       6         7       "These seek to create a shorter document for you       7	12		The final one:	12
15       a 'fake' basket into the digital signing process could       15         16       not be evidenced to exist."       16         17       Looking at those now, are those matters that you       17         18       would have expected to have been brought to your       18         19       attention, given to your involvement in the remote       19         20       access issue?       20         21       A. Yes. I mean, the first one there doesn't say "without       22         23       the knowledge of the subpostmaster", because it says       23         24       the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it       24         25       doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.       25         1       or       1         2       A. From reading these emails, yes.       2         3       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?       3         4       A. Ah       4       4         5       sets out deliverables:       6         7       "These seek to create a shorter document for you       7         8       (intended for Board circulation) which focuses on       8         9       certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider       9         10       wor	13		"Controls that would detect where a person with	13
16       not be evidenced to exist."       16         17       Looking at those now, are those matters that you       17         18       would have expected to have been brought to your       18         19       attention, given to your involvement in the remote       19         20       access issue?       20         21       A. Yes. I mean, the first one there doesn't say "without       22         22       it doesn't - the first one there doesn't say "without       22         23       the knowledge of the subpostmaster", because it says       23         24       the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it       24         25       doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.       25         1       or       1       4         25       doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.       25         185       7       12       A. From reading these emails, yes.       2         3       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?       3       4         4       A. Ah       4       5       6         7       "These seek to create a shorter document for you       7         8       certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider       9         9	14		authorised privileged access used such access to send	14
17       Looking at those now, are those matters that you       17         18       would have expected to have been brought to your       18         19       attention, given to your involvement in the remote       19         20       access issue?       20         21       A. Yes. I mean, the first one there doesn't say "without       22         23       the knowledge of the subpostmaster", because it says       23         24       the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it       24         25       doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.       25         185       1       or       1         2       A. From reading these emails, yes.       2       3         3       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?       3       4         4       A. Ah       4       5       6         3       G. We have below that the email from Gareth James, which       5       6         6       sets out deliverables:       6       6         7       "These seek to create a shorter document for you       7         8       A. Ah       4       10       11       Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then       11         10       work to date."       10	15		a 'fake' basket into the digital signing process could	15
18       would have expected to have been brought to your       18         19       attention, given to your involvement in the remote       19         20       access issue?       20         21       A. Yes. I mean, the first one there doesn't say 'without       22         23       the knowledge of the subpostmaster', because it says       23         24       the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it       24         25       doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.       25         1       or       1         2       A. From reading these emails, yes.       2         3       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?       3         4       A. Ah       4         5       Q. We have below that the email from Gareth James, which       5         6       sets out deliverables:       6         7       "These seek to create a shorter document for you       7         8       (intended for Board circulation) which focuses on       8         9       certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider       9         10       work to date."       10         11       Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then       11         12       on that page we see an email fr	16		not be evidenced to exist."	16
19       attention, given to your involvement in the remote       19         20       access issue?       20         21       A. Yes. I mean, the first one there doesn't say "without       22         23       the knowledge of the subpostmaster", because it says       23         24       the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it       24         25       doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.       25         1       or       1         25       doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.       25         185       7       185         20       Yes, but do you recall it at the time?       3         4       A. Ah       4         5       Q. We have below that the email from Gareth James, which       5         6       sets out deliverables:       6         7       "These seek to create a shorter document for you       7         8       (intended for Board circulation) which focuses on       8         9       certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider       9         10       work to date."       10         11       Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then       11         12       on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells	17		Looking at those now, are those matters that you	17
20       access issue?       20         21       A. Yes. I mean, the first one there is interesting because       21         22       it doesn't - the first one there doesn't say "without       22         23       the knowledge of the subpostmaster", because it says       23         24       the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it       24         25       doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.       25         1       or       1         2       A. From reading these emails, yes.       2         3       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?       3         4       A. Ah       4         5       Q. We have below that the email from Gareth James, which       5         6       sets out deliverables:       6         7       "These seek to create a shorter document for you       7         8       (intended for Board circulation) which focuses on       8         9       certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider       9         10       work to date."       10         11       Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then       11         12       on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:       12         13       "Paula do you want	18		would have expected to have been brought to your	18
21       A. Yes. I mean, the first one there is interesting because       21         11       it doesn't the first one there doesn't say "without       22         23       the knowledge of the subpostmaster", because it says       23         24       the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it       24         25       doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.       25         1       or       1         2       A. From reading these emails, yes.       2         3       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?       3         4       A. Ah       4         5       Q. We have below that the email from Gareth James, which       5         6       sets out deliverables:       6         7       "These seek to create a shorter document for you       7         8       (intended for Board circulation) which focuses on       8         9       certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider       9         10       work to date."       10         11       Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then       11         12       on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:       12         13       "Paula do you want this to go to the Board this       13         1	19		attention, given to your involvement in the remote	19
22       it doesn't the first one there doesn't say "without       22         23       the knowledge of the subpostmaster", because it says       23         24       the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it       24         25       doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.       25         1       or       1         2       A. From reading these emails, yes.       2         3       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?       3         4       A. Ah       4         5       Q. We have below that the email from Gareth James, which       5         6       sets out deliverables:       6         7       "These seek to create a shorter document for you       7         8       (intended for Board circulation) which focuses on       8         9       certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider       9         10       work to date."       10         11       Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then       11         12       on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:       12         13       "Paula do you want this to go to the Board this       13         14       evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he       14         15 </td <td>20</td> <td></td> <td>access issue?</td> <td>20</td>	20		access issue?	20
23       the knowledge of the subpostmaster", because it says       23         24       the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it       24         25       doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.       25         1       or       1         2       A. From reading these emails, yes.       2         3       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?       3         4       A. Ah       4         5       Q. We have below that the email from Gareth James, which       5         6       sets out deliverables:       6         7       "These seek to create a shorter document for you       7         8       (intended for Board circulation) which focuses on       8         9       certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider       9         10       work to date."       10         11       Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then       11         12       on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:       12         13       "Paula do you want this to go to the Board this       13         14       evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he       14         15       has answered the questions in the morning."       15         16       <	21	Α.	Yes. I mean, the first one there is interesting because	21
24       the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it       24         25       doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster.       25         185       185         1       or       1         2       A. From reading these emails, yes.       2         3       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?       3         4       A. Ah       4         5       Q. We have below that the email from Gareth James, which       5         6       sets out deliverables:       6         7       "These seek to create a shorter document for you       7         8       (intended for Board circulation) which focuses on       8         9       certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider       9         10       work to date."       10         11       Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then       11         12       on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:       12         13       "Paula do you want this to go to the Board this       13         14       evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he       14         15       has answered the questions in the morning."       15         16       She says:       16         17 </td <td>22</td> <td></td> <td>it doesn't the first one there doesn't say "without</td> <td>22</td>	22		it doesn't the first one there doesn't say "without	22
25       doesn't say without the knowledge of the subpostmaster. 185       25         1       or 1       A. From reading these emails, yes. 2       1         2       A. From reading these emails, yes. 3       2         3       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time? 3       3         4       A. Ah 5       4         5       Q. We have below that the email from Gareth James, which 5       5         6       sets out deliverables: 7       6         7       "These seek to create a shorter document for you 8       7         8       (intended for Board circulation) which focuses on 9       8         9       certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider 9       9         10       work to date."       10         11       Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then 11       11         12       on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells: 12       12         13       "Paula do you want this to go to the Board this 13       13         14       evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he 14       14         15       has answered the questions in the morning." 15       15         16       She says: 16       17       "We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as 17       17         19 <td>23</td> <td></td> <td>the knowledge of the subpostmaster", because it says</td> <td>23</td>	23		the knowledge of the subpostmaster", because it says	23
185         1       or         2       A. From reading these emails, yes.         3       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?         3       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?         4       A. Ah         5       Q. We have below that the email from Gareth James, which         6       sets out deliverables:         7       "These seek to create a shorter document for you         8       (intended for Board circulation) which focuses on         9       certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider         9       work to date."         10       work to date."         11       Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then         12       on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:         13       "Paula do you want this to go to the Board this         14       evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he         15       has answered the questions in the morning."         16       She says:         17       "We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as         17       "We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as         17       "We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as         18       otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."	24		the subpostmaster hasn't got to accept them but it	24
1       or       1         2       A. From reading these emails, yes.       2         3       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?       3         4       A. Ah       4         5       Q. We have below that the email from Gareth James, which       5         6       sets out deliverables:       6         7       "These seek to create a shorter document for you       7         8       (intended for Board circulation) which focuses on       8         9       certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider       9         10       work to date."       10         11       Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then       11         12       on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:       12         13       "Paula do you want this to go to the Board this       13         14       evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he       14         15       has answered the questions in the morning."       15         16       She says:       16         17       "We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as       17         18       otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."       18         19       Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy </td <td>25</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25</td>	25			25
2A.From reading these emails, yes.23Q.Yes, but do you recall it at the time?34A.Ah45Q.We have below that the email from Gareth James, which56sets out deliverables:67"These seek to create a shorter document for you78(intended for Board circulation) which focuses on89certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider910work to date."1011Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then1112on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:1213"Paula do you want this to go to the Board this1314evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he1415has answered the questions in the morning."1516She says:1617"We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as1718otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."1819Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy1920Horizon and Horizon Online2021A.Yes, yes.2122Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A.Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25			165	
3       Q. Yes, but do you recall it at the time?       3         4       A. Ah       4         5       Q. We have below that the email from Gareth James, which       5         6       sets out deliverables:       6         7       "These seek to create a shorter document for you       7         8       (intended for Board circulation) which focuses on       8         9       certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider       9         10       work to date."       10         11       Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then       11         12       on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:       12         13       "Paula do you want this to go to the Board this       13         14       evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he       14         15       has answered the questions in the morning."       15         16       She says:       16         17       "We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as       17         18       otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."       18         19       Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy       19         20       Horizon and Horizon Online       20         21       A. Yes,	1		or	1
4A.Ah45Q.We have below that the email from Gareth James, which56sets out deliverables:67"These seek to create a shorter document for you78(intended for Board circulation) which focuses on89certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider910work to date."1011Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then1112on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:1213"Paula do you want this to go to the Board this1314evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he1415has answered the questions in the morning."1516She says:1617"We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as1718otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."1819Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy1920Horizon and Horizon Online2021A.Yes, yes.2122Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A.Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25	2	Α.	From reading these emails, yes.	2
5Q.We have below that the email from Gareth James, which56sets out deliverables:67"These seek to create a shorter document for you78(intended for Board circulation) which focuses on89certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider910work to date."1011Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then1112on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:1213"Paula do you want this to go to the Board this1314evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he1415has answered the questions in the morning."1516She says:1617"We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as1718otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."1819Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy1920Horizon and Horizon Online2021A. Yes, yes.2223A. Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25	3	Q.	Yes, but do you recall it at the time?	3
6sets out deliverables:67"These seek to create a shorter document for you78(intended for Board circulation) which focuses on89certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider910work to date."1011Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then1112on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:1213"Paula do you want this to go to the Board this1314evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he1415has answered the questions in the morning."1516She says:1617"We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as1718otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."1819Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy1920Horizon and Horizon Online2021A.Yes, yes.2122Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A.Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25	4	Α.	Ah	4
7"These seek to create a shorter document for you78(intended for Board circulation) which focuses on89certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider910work to date."1011Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then1112on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:1213"Paula do you want this to go to the Board this1314evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he1415has answered the questions in the morning."1516She says:1617"We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as1718otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."1819Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy1920Horizon and Horizon Online2021A. Yes, yes.2122Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A. Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25	5	Q.	We have below that the email from Gareth James, which	5
8(intended for Board circulation) which focuses on certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider910work to date."1011Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then1112on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:1213"Paula do you want this to go to the Board this1314evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he1415has answered the questions in the morning."1516She says:1617"We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as1718otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."1819Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy1920Horizon and Horizon Online2021A. Yes, yes.2122Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A. Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25	6		sets out deliverables:	6
9certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider910work to date."1011Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then1112on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:1213"Paula do you want this to go to the Board this1314evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he1415has answered the questions in the morning."1516She says:1617"We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as1718otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."1819Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy1920Horizon and Horizon Online2021A. Yes, yes.2122Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A. Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25	7		"These seek to create a shorter document for you	7
10work to date."1011Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then1112on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:1213"Paula do you want this to go to the Board this1314evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he1415has answered the questions in the morning."1516She says:1617"We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as1718otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."1819Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy1920Horizon and Horizon Online2021A. Yes, yes.2122Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A. Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25	8		(intended for Board circulation) which focuses on	8
11Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then1112on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:1213"Paula do you want this to go to the Board this1314evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he1415has answered the questions in the morning."1516She says:1617"We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as1718otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."1819Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy1920Horizon and Horizon Online2021A. Yes, yes.2122Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A. Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25	9		certain key (and most relevant) aspects of our wider	9
12on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:1213"Paula do you want this to go to the Board this1314evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he1415has answered the questions in the morning."1516She says:1617"We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as1718otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."1819Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy1920Horizon and Horizon Online2021A. Yes, yes.2122Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A. Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25	10		work to date."	10
13"Paula do you want this to go to the Board this1314evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he1415has answered the questions in the morning."1516She says:1617"We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as1718otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."1819Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy1920Horizon and Horizon Online2021A. Yes, yes.2122Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A. Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25	11		Could we please turn to POL00346396. There is then	11
14evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he1415has answered the questions in the morning."1516She says:1617"We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as1718otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."1819Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy1920Horizon and Horizon Online2021A.Yes, yes.2122Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A.Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25	12		on that page we see an email from you to Paula Vennells:	12
15has answered the questions in the morning."1516She says:1617"We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as1718otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."1819Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy1920Horizon and Horizon Online2021A.Yes, yes.2122Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A.Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25	13		"Paula do you want this to go to the Board this	
16She says:1617"We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as1718otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."1819Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy1920Horizon and Horizon Online2021A. Yes, yes.2122Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A. Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25	14		evening as written by Chris, or should it wait until he	14
17"We should certainly [refer to the] pre/post as1718otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."1819Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy1920Horizon and Horizon Online2021A. Yes, yes.2122Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A. Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25				
18otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."1819Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy1920Horizon and Horizon Online2021A. Yes, yes.2122Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A. Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25			-	
19Do you recall an issue relating to, I think, Legacy1920Horizon and Horizon Online2021A. Yes, yes.2122Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A. Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25				
20Horizon and Horizon Online2021A. Yes, yes.2122Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A. Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25			otherwise it will look like we've forgotten it."	
21A. Yes, yes.2122Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A. Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25				
22Q and not enough detail on that issue?2223A. Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25				
23A. Yes, because Deloitte were, in fact I think they'd2324been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25				
24been asked to do two to do one report but then tell2425us how they whether they were able to and how much it25			-	
25 us how they whether they were able to and how much it 25		Α.		
	25			25

1		So I believed at this point that the subpostmaster could
2		see everything in their account, every you know, like
3		I spoke about earlier, keystroke, every transaction and,
4		even if they were sent a transaction correction and
5		the process changed because they used to have to accept
6		them, even if they were sent them and now we don't have
7		to accept them, they would still see them.
8		That was my understanding at this point. However,
9		I do not remember seeing the long Deloitte report.
10		I remember the Board summary, which came to the Board at
11		a later date.
12	Q.	That's what I would like to ask you about, that summary,
13		and the way that that was drafted. Could we please turn
14		to POL00346391, page 2 and into page 3. You're copied
15		in here, an email from Chris Aujard to Paula Vennells
16		and others.
17		"Dear all Following the 2 longish calls that
18		I have had with Deloitte today, they have now come back
19		in the email below with a revised statement of the
20		'deliverable' that they are proposing to produce for us
21		If the general consensus is this is okay, I would
22		propose to ask Alwen to circulate the email to the Board
23		with following message from me"
24		Do you recall a process by which Deloitte were going
25		to be drafting effectively a summary of the report,
		186
1		
1	0	would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon.
2	Q.	would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon. Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very
2 3	Q.	would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon. Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May
2 3 4	Q.	would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon. Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte:
2 3 4 5	Q.	would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon. Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte: "We have discussed at some length the need to
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon. Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte: "We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon. Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte: "We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon. Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte: "We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly confirm that you still propose to do this?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon. Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte: "We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly confirm that you still propose to do this? "2) On the question of the audience for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon. Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte: "We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly confirm that you still propose to do this? "2) On the question of the audience for the document, this is principally the Board in the first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q.	would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon. Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte: "We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly confirm that you still propose to do this? "2) On the question of the audience for the document, this is principally the Board in the first instance; as discussed we will however, want to be able
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon. Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte: "We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly confirm that you still propose to do this? "2) On the question of the audience for the document, this is principally the Board in the first instance; as discussed we will however, want to be able to use any high level conclusions you may reach as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon. Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte: "We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly confirm that you still propose to do this? "2) On the question of the audience for the document, this is principally the Board in the first instance; as discussed we will however, want to be able to use any high level conclusions you may reach as a foundation for the release of the Linklaters advice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	Q.	would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon. Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte: "We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly confirm that you still propose to do this? "2) On the question of the audience for the document, this is principally the Board in the first instance; as discussed we will however, want to be able to use any high level conclusions you may reach as a foundation for the release of the Linklaters advice at this stage we are not sure what form we would need
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon. Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte: "We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly confirm that you still propose to do this? "2) On the question of the audience for the document, this is principally the Board in the first instance; as discussed we will however, want to be able to use any high level conclusions you may reach as a foundation for the release of the Linklaters advice at this stage we are not sure what form we would need your conclusions to be expressed in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	<ul> <li>would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon.</li> <li>Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte:</li> <li>"We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly confirm that you still propose to do this?</li> <li>"2) On the question of the audience for the document, this is principally the Board in the first instance; as discussed we will however, want to be able to use any high level conclusions you may reach as a foundation for the release of the Linklaters advice at this stage we are not sure what form we would need your conclusions to be expressed in</li> <li>"3) The proposed timings do not work for us"</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	<ul> <li>would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon.</li> <li>Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte:</li> <li>"We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly confirm that you still propose to do this?</li> <li>"2) On the question of the audience for the document, this is principally the Board in the first instance; as discussed we will however, want to be able to use any high level conclusions you may reach as a foundation for the release of the Linklaters advice at this stage we are not sure what form we would need your conclusions to be expressed in"</li> <li>"3) The proposed timings do not work for us"</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	<ul> <li>would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon.</li> <li>Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte:</li> <li>"We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly confirm that you still propose to do this?</li> <li>"2) On the question of the audience for the document, this is principally the Board in the first instance; as discussed we will however, want to be able to use any high level conclusions you may reach as a foundation for the release of the Linklaters advice at this stage we are not sure what form we would need your conclusions to be expressed in</li> <li>"3) The proposed timings do not work for us" It seems as though there was some anger towards Deloitte about the time that it was all taking; is that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		<ul> <li>would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon.</li> <li>Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte:</li> <li>"We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly confirm that you still propose to do this?</li> <li>"2) On the question of the audience for the document, this is principally the Board in the first instance; as discussed we will however, want to be able to use any high level conclusions you may reach as a foundation for the release of the Linklaters advice at this stage we are not sure what form we would need your conclusions to be expressed in</li> <li>"3) The proposed timings do not work for us" It seems as though there was some anger towards Deloitte about the time that it was all taking; is that correct, was that your recollection?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Α.	<ul> <li>would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon.</li> <li>Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte:</li> <li>"We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly confirm that you still propose to do this?</li> <li>"2) On the question of the audience for the document, this is principally the Board in the first instance; as discussed we will however, want to be able to use any high level conclusions you may reach as a foundation for the release of the Linklaters advice at this stage we are not sure what form we would need your conclusions to be expressed in</li> <li>"3) The proposed timings do not work for us" It seems as though there was some anger towards Deloitte about the time that it was all taking; is that correct, was that your recollection?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		<ul> <li>would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon.</li> <li>Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte:</li> <li>"We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly confirm that you still propose to do this?</li> <li>"2) On the question of the audience for the document, this is principally the Board in the first instance; as discussed we will however, want to be able to use any high level conclusions you may reach as a foundation for the release of the Linklaters advice at this stage we are not sure what form we would need your conclusions to be expressed in</li> <li>"3) The proposed timings do not work for us" It seems as though there was some anger towards Deloitte about the time that it was all taking; is that correct, was that your recollection?</li> <li>Yes, yes, sorry.</li> <li>I'll summarise, really, where we get to from there.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Α.	<ul> <li>would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon.</li> <li>Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte:</li> <li>"We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly confirm that you still propose to do this?</li> <li>"2) On the question of the audience for the document, this is principally the Board in the first instance; as discussed we will however, want to be able to use any high level conclusions you may reach as a foundation for the release of the Linklaters advice at this stage we are not sure what form we would need your conclusions to be expressed in</li> <li>"3) The proposed timings do not work for us" It seems as though there was some anger towards Deloitte about the time that it was all taking; is that correct, was that your recollection?</li> <li>Yes, yes, sorry.</li> <li>I'll summarise, really, where we get to from there.</li> <li>There is then produced the summary for the Board and the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Α.	<ul> <li>would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon.</li> <li>Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte: <ul> <li>"We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly confirm that you still propose to do this?</li> <li>"2) On the question of the audience for the document, this is principally the Board in the first instance; as discussed we will however, want to be able to use any high level conclusions you may reach as a foundation for the release of the Linklaters advice at this stage we are not sure what form we would need your conclusions to be expressed in</li> <li>"3) The proposed timings do not work for us" It seems as though there was some anger towards Deloitte about the time that it was all taking; is that correct, was that your recollection?</li> <li>Yes, yes, sorry.</li> </ul> </li> <li>11 summarise, really, where we get to from there.</li> <li>There is then produced the summary for the Board and the summary does not set out the information that I've taken</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q.	<ul> <li>would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon.</li> <li>Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte:</li> <li>"We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly confirm that you still propose to do this?</li> <li>"2) On the question of the audience for the document, this is principally the Board in the first instance; as discussed we will however, want to be able to use any high level conclusions you may reach as a foundation for the release of the Linklaters advice at this stage we are not sure what form we would need your conclusions to be expressed in</li> <li>"3) The proposed timings do not work for us" It seems as though there was some anger towards Deloitte about the time that it was all taking; is that correct, was that your recollection?</li> <li>Yes, yes, sorry.</li> <li>I'll summarise, really, where we get to from there.</li> <li>There is then produced the summary for the Board and the summary does not set out the information that I've taken you to before about remote access.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Α.	<ul> <li>would cost to do a report on Legacy Horizon.</li> <li>Thank you. Could we turn to POL00346406, this is a very early in the morning email from Chris Aujard, 30 May you're copied in to Gareth James at Deloitte: <ul> <li>"We have discussed at some length the need to provide as much comfort as possible in respect of the period prior to 2010. For clarity, could you kindly confirm that you still propose to do this?</li> <li>"2) On the question of the audience for the document, this is principally the Board in the first instance; as discussed we will however, want to be able to use any high level conclusions you may reach as a foundation for the release of the Linklaters advice at this stage we are not sure what form we would need your conclusions to be expressed in</li> <li>"3) The proposed timings do not work for us" It seems as though there was some anger towards Deloitte about the time that it was all taking; is that correct, was that your recollection?</li> <li>Yes, yes, sorry.</li> </ul> </li> <li>11 summarise, really, where we get to from there.</li> <li>There is then produced the summary for the Board and the summary does not set out the information that I've taken</li> </ul>

(47) Pages 185 - 188

3       whether it should or shouldn't include more detail or       3         4       detail about remote access?       4         5       A. I don't recall that and I haven't seen any papers that       5         6       suggest I'm part of that conversation.       6         7       Q. Thank you. I'm going to move on now very quickly to the       7         8       Swift Review. Could we please bring up on screen       8         9       POL00153835. We're on 18 December 2015, an email from       9         10       Mark Underwood to you. Over the page, please, or down       10         11       to the bottom, and the top of page 2. There we go.       11         12       "HI Alwen,       12         13       "Thank you for providing Patrick with the minutes       13         14       for the Sparrow Sub Committee meetings and the extracts       14         15       from other Board meetings relevant to Sparrow. I will       18         16       forward these on to Jonathan Swift QC this afternoon.       14         17       As one would expect, numerous papers and reports are       13         18       cross-referenced in these minutes."       14         19       Can you recall assisting with the provision of       15         10       information to	1	Q.	Do you recall the summary being provided and any	1
4       detail about remote access?       4         5       A. I don't recall that and I haven't seen any papers that suggest I'm part of that conversation.       5         7       C. Thank you. I'm going to move on now very quickly to the 7       7         8       Swift Review. Could we please bring up on screen 8       9         9       POL00153835. We're on 18 December 2015, an email from 9       9         10       Mark Underwood to you. Over the page, please, or down 10       10         11       to the bottom, and the top of page 2. There we go. 11       11         12       "Hi Alwen, 11       11         13       "Thank you for providing Patrick with the minutes 11       11         14       for the Sparrow Sub Committee meetings and the extracts 10       11         15       from other Board meetings relevant to Sparrow. I will 11       11         16       forward these on to Jonathan Swift QC this afternoon. 11       11         17       As one would expect, numerous papers and reports are 11       12       13         18       Can you recall assisting with the provision of 11       14       14         20       information to Jonathan Swift?       22       22         21       A. Not specifically but now that I've seen this, yes, I can 12       report?       22	2		discussions about how that summary would be drafted and	2
5       A. I don't recall that and I haven't seen any papers that       5         6       suggest I'm part of that conversation.       6         7       Q. Thank you. I'm going to move on now very quickly to the       7         8       Swift Review. Could we please bring up on screen       8         9       POL00153835. We're on 18 December 2015, an email from       9         10       Mark Underwood to you. Over the page, please, or down       10         11       to the bottom, and the top of page 2. There we go.       11         13       "Thank you for providing Patrick with the minutes       11         14       for the Sparrow Sub Committee meetings and the extracts       14         16       forward these on to Jonathan Swift QC this afternoon.       16         17       As one would expect, numerous papers and reports are       13         18       cross-referenced in these minutes."       14         19       Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       22         21       A. Not specifically but now that I've seen this, yes, I can       24         22       recall that.       22         23       Q. Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       23         24       report?       24         25       A. I don't thi	3		whether it should or shouldn't include more detail or	3
6       suggest I'm part of that conversation.       6         7       Q. Thank you. I'm going to move on now very quickly to the       7         8       Swift Review. Could we please bring up on screen       8         9       PCL00153835. We're on 18 December 2015, an email from       9         10       Mark Underwood to you. Over the page, please, or down       10         11       to the bottom, and the top of page 2. There we go.       11         13       "Thank you for providing Patrick with the minutes       12         14       for the Sparrow Sub Committee meetings and the extracts       14         15       from other Board meetings relevant to Sparrow. I will       13         16       forward these on to Jonathan Swift QC this afternoon.       14         17       As one would expect, numerous papers and reports are       15         18       cross-referenced in these minutes."       14         20       information to Jonathan Swift QC this afternoon.       14         21       A. Not specifically but now that I've seen this, yes, I can       22         22       G. Can you recall exsisting with the provision of       15         23       Q. Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       22         24       report?       22         2	4		detail about remote access?	4
7       Q. Thank you. The going to move on now very quickly to the       7         8       Swift Review. Could we please bring up on screen       8         9       POL00153835. We're on 18 December 2015, an email from       9         10       Mark Underwood to you. Over the page, please, or down       10         11       to the bottom, and the top of page 2. There we go.       10         12       "Hi Alwen,       11         13       "Thank you for providing Patrick with the minutes       11         14       for the Sparrow Sub Committee meetings and the extracts       14         15       from other Board meetings relevant to Sparrow. I will       11         16       forward these on to Jonathan Swift QC this afternoon.       10         17       As one would expect, numerous papers and reports are       11         19       Can you recail assisting with the provision of       11         10       information to Jonathan Swift?       22         21       A. Not specifically but now that I've seen this, yes, I can       22         22       recall assisting with the decision not to       21         23       Q. Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       22         24       report?       22         25       A. I don't think I ever	5	Α.	I don't recall that and I haven't seen any papers that	5
8       Swift Review. Could we please bring up on screen       9         9       POL00153835. We're on 18 December 2015, an email from       9         10       Mark Underwood to you. Over the page, please, or down       10         11       to the bottom, and the top of page 2. There we go.       11         12       "Hi Alwen,       12         13       "Thank you for providing Patrick with the minutes       13         14       for the Sparrow Sub Committee meetings and the extracts       14         16       for ward these on to Jonathan Swift QC this afternoon.       16         17       As one would expect, numerous papers and reports are       17         18       cross-referenced in these minutes."       17         19       Can you recall assisting with the provision of       18         10       information to Jonathan Swift?       20         21       A. Not specifically but now that I've seen this, yes, I can       21         22       recall that.       22         23       Q. Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       22         24       report?       24         25       A. I don't think I ever saw his report.       23         189       189       189         1       Sorry, it's	6		suggest I'm part of that conversation.	6
9       POL00153835. We're on 18 December 2015, an email from       9         10       Mark Underwood to you. Over the page, please, or down       10         11       to the bottom, and the top of page 2. There we go.       11         13       "Thank you for providing Patrick with the minutes       11         13       "Thank you for providing Patrick with the minutes       11         14       for the Sparrow Sub Committee meetings and the extracts       14         15       from other Board meetings relevant to Sparrow. I will       11         16       forward these on to Jonathan Swift QC this afternoon.       11         17       As one would expect, numerous papers and reports are       12         18       cross-referenced in these minutes."       12         19       Can you recall assisting with the provision of       13         10       information to Jonathan Swift?       22         21 <b>A.</b> Not specifically but now that I've seen this, yes, I can       22         22       recall that.       22         23 <b>Q.</b> Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       22         24       report?       23         25 <b>A.</b> I don't think I ever saw his report.       24         26 <b>A.</b> I don't think I ever saw his re	7	Q.	Thank you. I'm going to move on now very quickly to the	7
10       Mark Underwood to you. Over the page, please, or down       11         11       to the bottom, and the top of page 2. There we go.       12         12       "Hi Alwen,       13         13       "Thank you for providing Patrick with the minutes       13         14       for the Sparrow Sub Committee meetings and the extracts       14         16       for word these on to Jonathan Swift QC this afternoon.       14         16       forward these on to Jonathan Swift QC this afternoon.       14         17       As one would expect, numerous papers and reports are       17         18       cross-referenced in these minutes."       12         19       Can you recall assisting with the provision of       18         10       information to Jonathan Swift?       22         21       A. Not specifically but now that I've seen this, yes, I can       22         22       recall that.       22       22         23       Q. Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       23         24       report?       24       27         25       A. I don't think I ever saw his report.       24         189       1       Sorry, it's at that meeting, in fact, that:       1         27       The CEO explained that the decision	8		Swift Review. Could we please bring up on screen	8
11       to the bottom, and the top of page 2. There we go.       11         12       "Hi Alwen,       11         13       "Thank you for providing Patrick with the minutes       11         14       for the Sparrow Sub Committee meetings and the extracts       14         15       from other Board meetings relevant to Sparrow. I will       11         16       forward these on to Jonathan Swift QC this afternoon.       14         17       As one would expect, numerous papers and reports are       11         18       cross-referenced in these minutes."       14         19       Can you recall assisting with the provision of       15         10       information to Jonathan Swift?       22         21       A. Not specifically but now that I've seen this, yes, I can       22         22       recall that.       22         23       Q. Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       23         24       report?       24         25       A. I don't think I ever saw his report.       24         189       1       Sorry, it's at that meeting, in fact, that:       1         25       A. I don't think I ever saw his report.       26         3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       33 <td>9</td> <td></td> <td>POL00153835. We're on 18 December 2015, an email from</td> <td>9</td>	9		POL00153835. We're on 18 December 2015, an email from	9
12       "Hi Alwen,       13         13       "Thank you for providing Patrick with the minutes       13         14       for the Sparrow Sub Committee meetings and the extracts       14         15       from other Board meetings relevant to Sparrow. I will       11         16       forward these on to Jonathan Swift QC this afternoon.       11         17       As one would expect, numerous papers and reports are       11         18       cross-referenced in these minutes."       12         19       Can you recall assisting with the provision of       13         10       information to Jonathan Swift?       22         21 <b>A.</b> Not specifically but now that I've seen this, yes, I can       22         22       recall that.       22         23 <b>Q.</b> Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       22         24       report?       24         25 <b>A.</b> I don't think I ever saw his report.       21         1       Sorry, it's at that meeting, in fact, that:       1         2       "The CEO explained that the decision not to       22         3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       34         4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       45	10		Mark Underwood to you. Over the page, please, or down	10
13       "Thank you for providing Patrick with the minutes       13         14       for the Sparrow Sub Committee meetings and the extracts       14         15       from other Board meetings relevant to Sparrow. I will       14         16       forward these on to Jonathan Swift QC this afternoon.       14         17       As one would expect, numerous papers and reports are       17         18       cross-referenced in these minutes."       14         19       Can you recall assisting with the provision of       16         20       information to Jonathan Swift?       24         21       A. Not specifically but now that I've seen this, yes, I can       22         22       recall that.       22         23       Q. Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       22         24       report?       22         25       A. I don't think I ever saw his report.       22         1       Sorry, it's at that meeting, in fact, that:       1         2       "The CEO explained that the decision not to       22         3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       33         4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       4         5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in	11		to the bottom, and the top of page 2. There we go.	11
14       for the Sparrow Sub Committee meetings and the extracts       14         15       from other Board meetings relevant to Sparrow. I will       14         16       forward these on to Jonathan Swift QC this afternoon.       14         17       As one would expect, numerous papers and reports are       17         18       cross-referenced in these minutes."       14         19       Can you recall assisting with the provision of       16         20       information to Jonathan Swift?       24         21       A. Not specifically but now that I've seen this, yes, I can       22         22       recall that.       22         23       Q. Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       22         24       report?       24         25       A. I don't think I ever saw his report.       26         1       Sorry, it's at that meeting, in fact, that:       1         2       "The CEO explained that the decision not to       22         3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       3         4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       4         5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in       5         6       court as an expert witness."       6	12			12
15       from other Board meetings relevant to Sparrow. I will       11         16       forward these on to Jonathan Swift QC this afternoon.       10         17       As one would expect, numerous papers and reports are       11         18       cross-referenced in these minutes."       11         19       Can you recall assisting with the provision of       11         20       information to Jonathan Swift?       21         21       A. Not specifically but now that I've seen this, yes, I can       22         22       can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       22         23       Q. Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       22         24       report?       22         25       A. I don't think I ever saw his report.       21         1       Sorry, it's at that meeting, in fact, that:       1         2       "The CEO explained that the decision not to       22         3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       3         4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       4         5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in       56         6       court as an expert witness."       66         7       Was this also the meeting at which you retired?<	13		"Thank you for providing Patrick with the minutes	13
16       forward these on to Jonathan Swift QC this afternoon.       11         17       As one would expect, numerous papers and reports are       11         18       cross-referenced in these minutes."       14         19       Can you recall assisting with the provision of       15         20       information to Jonathan Swift?       22         21 <b>A.</b> Not specifically but now that I've seen this, yes, I can       22         22       recall that.       22         23 <b>Q.</b> Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       22         24       report?       22         25 <b>A.</b> I don't think I ever saw his report.       23         1       Sorry, it's at that meeting, in fact, that:       1         2       "The CEO explained that the decision not to       22         3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       33         4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       4         5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in       55         6       court as an expert witness."       66         7       Was this also the meeting at which you retired?       7         8       Yes, if we go over to page 7, so at that same meeting       8	14			14
17       As one would expect, numerous papers and reports are       11         18       cross-referenced in these minutes."       14         19       Can you recall assisting with the provision of       14         19       Can you recall assisting with the provision of       14         20       information to Jonathan Swift?       22         21       A. Not specifically but now that I've seen this, yes, I can       22         22       recall that.       22         23       Q. Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       22         report?       22       24         1       Sorry, it's at that meeting, in fact, that:       1         2       "The CEO explained that the decision not to       22         3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       33         4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       44         5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in       56         6       court as an expert witness."       66         7       Was this also the meeting at which you retired?       77         8       Yes, if we go over to page 7, so at that same meeting       88         9       where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,       9 <td>15</td> <td></td> <td>from other Board meetings relevant to Sparrow. I will</td> <td>15</td>	15		from other Board meetings relevant to Sparrow. I will	15
18       cross-referenced in these minutes."       14         19       Can you recall assisting with the provision of       15         20       information to Jonathan Swift?       26         21       A. Not specifically but now that I've seen this, yes, I can       27         22       recall that.       27         23       Q. Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       27         24       report?       27         25       A. I don't think I ever saw his report.       28         1       Sorry, it's at that meeting, in fact, that:       1         2       "The CEO explained that the decision not to       28         3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       3         4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       4         5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in       5         6       court as an expert witness."       66         7       Was this also the meeting at which you retired?       7         8       Yes, if we go over to page 7, so at that same meeting       8         9       where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,       9         10       it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company       10				16
19       Can you recall assisting with the provision of       11         20       information to Jonathan Swift?       22         21       A. Not specifically but now that I've seen this, yes, I can       22         22       recall that.       22         23       Q. Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       22         24       report?       22         25       A. I don't think I ever saw his report.       21         1       Sorry, it's at that meeting, in fact, that:       1         2       "The CEO explained that the decision not to       22         3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       33         4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       44         5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in       55         6       court as an expert witness."       66         7       Was this also the meeting at which you retired?       77         8       Yes, if we go over to page 7, so at that same meeting       89         9       where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,       91         10       it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company       101         11       So they were       12       12 <tr< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>17</td></tr<>				17
20       information to Jonathan Swift?       21         21       A. Not specifically but now that I've seen this, yes, I can       22         22       recall that.       22         23       Q. Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       22         24       report?       24         25       A. I don't think I ever saw his report.       23         1       Sorry, it's at that meeting, in fact, that:       1         2       "The CEO explained that the decision not to       24         3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       34         4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       44         5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in       56         6       court as an expert witness."       66         7       Was this also the meeting at which you retired?       7         8       Yes, if we go over to page 7, so at that same meeting       9         9       where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,       9         9       where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,       9         10       it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company       10         11       So they were       12       13				18
21       A. Not specifically but now that I've seen this, yes, I can       22         recall that.       22         23       Q. Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       22         24       report?       24         25       A. I don't think I ever saw his report.       23         1       Sorry, it's at that meeting, in fact, that:       1         2       "The CEO explained that the decision not to       22         3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       33         4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       44         5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in       56         6       court as an expert witness."       66         7       Was this also the meeting at which you retired?       78         9       where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,       99         10       it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company       11         11       So they were       12         12       for your retirement       12         13       A. So they were       13         14       Q or resignation       14         15       A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>19</td></t<>				19
22       recall that.       22         23       Q. Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       22         24       report?       24         25       A. I don't think I ever saw his report.       23         1       Sorry, it's at that meeting, in fact, that:       1         2       "The CEO explained that the decision not to       2         3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       3         4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       4         5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in       5         6       court as an expert witness."       6         7       Was this also the meeting at which you retired?       7         8       Yes, if we go over to page 7, so at that same meeting       8         9       where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,       9         10       it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company       11         11       Scretary". Can you briefly assist us with the reasons       11         12       for your retirement       12         13       A. So they were       12         14       Q or resignation       14         15       A personal reasons because				20
23       Q. Can you recall ever reading his report, his ultimate       22         report?       24         25       A. I don't think I ever saw his report.       24         1       Sorry, it's at that meeting, in fact, that:       1         2       "The CEO explained that the decision not to       25         3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       3         4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       4         5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in       5         6       court as an expert witness."       6         7       Was this also the meeting at which you retired?       7         8       Yes, if we go over to page 7, so at that same meeting       8         9       where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,       9         10       it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company       10         11       Secretary". Can you briefly assist us with the reasons       11         12       for your retirement       12         13       A. So they were       12         14       Q or resignation       14         15       A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in       14         16		Α.		21
24       report?       24         25       A. I don't think I ever saw his report.       25         1       Sorry, it's at that meeting, in fact, that:       1         2       "The CEO explained that the decision not to       27         3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       3         4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       4         5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in       5         6       court as an expert witness."       6         7       Was this also the meeting at which you retired?       7         8       Yes, if we go over to page 7, so at that same meeting       8         9       where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,       9         10       it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company       10         11       Secretary". Can you briefly assist us with the reasons       11         12       for your retirement       12         13       A. So they were       12         14       Q or resignation       14         15       A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in       19         16       the family, close family, and one very ill person in my       10		_		22
25       A. I don't think I ever saw his report. 189       24         1       Sorry, it's at that meeting, in fact, that:       1         2       "The CEO explained that the decision not to       2         3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       3         4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       4         5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in       5         6       court as an expert witness."       6         7       Was this also the meeting at which you retired?       7         8       Yes, if we go over to page 7, so at that same meeting       9         9       where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,       9         10       it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company       10         11       Secretary". Can you briefly assist us with the reasons       11         12       for your retirement       12         13       A. So they were       12         14       Q or resignation       14         15       A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in       19         16       the family, close family, and one very ill person in my       10         17       close family, and I needed to be at home supporting		Q.		23
1       Sorry, it's at that meeting, in fact, that:       1         2       "The CEO explained that the decision not to       2         3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       3         4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       4         5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in       5         6       court as an expert witness."       6         7       Was this also the meeting at which you retired?       7         8       Yes, if we go over to page 7, so at that same meeting       8         9       where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,       9         10       it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company       10         11       Secretary". Can you briefly assist us with the reasons       11         12       for your retirement       12         13       A. So they were       12         14       Q or resignation       14         15       A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in       13         16       the family, close family, and one very ill person in my       10         17       close family, and I needed to be at home supporting       13         18       them, rather than commuting up to London.			•	24
1       Sorry, it's at that meeting, in fact, that:       1         2       "The CEO explained that the decision not to       2         3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       3         4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       4         5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in       5         6       court as an expert witness."       6         7       Was this also the meeting at which you retired?       7         8       Yes, if we go over to page 7, so at that same meeting       8         9       where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,       9         9       where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,       9         10       it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company       10         11       Secretary". Can you briefly assist us with the reasons       11         12       for your retirement       12         13       A. So they were       13         14       Q or resignation       14         15       A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in       15         16       the family, and I needed to be at home supporting       17         17       close family, and I needed to be at home supporting	25	Α.	·	25
2       "The CEO explained that the decision not to       2         3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       3         4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       4         5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in       5         6       court as an expert witness."       6         7       Was this also the meeting at which you retired?       7         8       Yes, if we go over to page 7, so at that same meeting       8         9       where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,       9         10       it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company       10         11       Secretary". Can you briefly assist us with the reasons       11         12       for your retirement       12         13       A. So they were       13         14       Q or resignation       14         15       A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in       15         16       the family, close family, and one very ill person in my       16         17       close family, and I needed to be at home supporting       17         18       them, rather than commuting up to London.       14         19       MR BLAKE: Thank you.       15     <				
3       prosecute agents if they could use the Horizon system as       3         4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       4         5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in       5         6       court as an expert witness."       6         7       Was this also the meeting at which you retired?       7         8       Yes, if we go over to page 7, so at that same meeting       8         9       where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,       9         10       it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company       10         11       Secretary". Can you briefly assist us with the reasons       11         12       for your retirement       12         13       A. So they were       12         14       Q or resignation       14         15       A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in       11         16       the family, and I needed to be at home supporting       11         17       close family, and I needed to be at home supporting       11         18       them, rather than commuting up to London.       14         19       MR BLAKE: Thank you.       15         20       Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some       22				1
4       a defence would be reconsidered once Deloitte had       4         5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in       5         6       court as an expert witness."       6         7       Was this also the meeting at which you retired?       7         8       Yes, if we go over to page 7, so at that same meeting       8         9       where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,       9         10       it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company       10         11       Secretary". Can you briefly assist us with the reasons       11         12       for your retirement       13         13       A. So they were       14         14       Q or resignation       14         15       A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in       15         16       the family, close family, and one very ill person in my       10         17       close family, and I needed to be at home supporting       11         18       them, rather than commuting up to London.       14         19       MR BLAKE: Thank you.       15         20       Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some       22         21       questions from Mr Jacobs and also from Ms Shah on behalf       22				
5       completed their work on Horizon and could be used in       5         6       court as an expert witness."       6         7       Was this also the meeting at which you retired?       7         8       Yes, if we go over to page 7, so at that same meeting       8         9       where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,       9         10       it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company       10         11       Secretary". Can you briefly assist us with the reasons       11         12       for your retirement       12         13       A. So they were       13         14       Q or resignation       14         15       A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in       14         16       the family, close family, and one very ill person in my       10         17       close family, and I needed to be at home supporting       11         18       them, rather than commuting up to London.       14         19       MR BLAKE: Thank you.       14         20       Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some       20         21       questions from Mr Jacobs and also from Ms Shah on behalf       21         22       of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.       22 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
6court as an expert witness."67Was this also the meeting at which you retired?78Yes, if we go over to page 7, so at that same meeting89where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,910it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company1011Secretary". Can you briefly assist us with the reasons1112for your retirement1213A. So they were1314Q or resignation1415A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in1416the family, close family, and one very ill person in my1618them, rather than commuting up to London.1819MR BLAKE: Thank you.1920Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some2021questions from Mr Jacobs and also from Ms Shah on behalf2122of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.2223SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.2324MR BLAKE: Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.2425Questioned by MR JACOBS24				
7Was this also the meeting at which you retired?78Yes, if we go over to page 7, so at that same meeting89where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,910it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company1011Secretary". Can you briefly assist us with the reasons1112for your retirement1213A. So they were1314Q or resignation1415A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in1416the family, close family, and one very ill person in my1617close family, and I needed to be at home supporting1718them, rather than commuting up to London.1819MR BLAKE: Thank you.1920Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some2021of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.2223SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.2324MR BLAKE: Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.2425Questioned by MR JACOBS24				
8       Yes, if we go over to page 7, so at that same meeting       8         9       where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents,       9         10       it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company       10         11       Secretary". Can you briefly assist us with the reasons       11         12       for your retirement       12         13       A. So they were       13         14       Q or resignation       14         15       A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in       14         16       the family, close family, and one very ill person in my       16         17       close family, and I needed to be at home supporting       17         18       them, rather than commuting up to London.       18         19       MR BLAKE: Thank you.       19         20       Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some       20         21       questions from Mr Jacobs and also from Ms Shah on behalf       27         22       of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.       22         23       SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.       24         24       MR BLAKE: Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.       24         25       Questioned by MR JACOBS				
9where there was discussion about not prosecuting agents, it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company910it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company1011Secretary". Can you briefly assist us with the reasons1112for your retirement1213A. So they were1314Q or resignation1415A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in1416the family, close family, and one very ill person in my1617close family, and I needed to be at home supporting1718them, rather than commuting up to London.1419MR BLAKE: Thank you.1920Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some2021questions from Mr Jacobs and also from Ms Shah on behalf2722of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.2223SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.2324MR BLAKE: Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.2425Questioned by MR JACOBS25				
10       it has "Resignation and Appointment of the Company       10         11       Secretary". Can you briefly assist us with the reasons       11         12       for your retirement       12         13       A. So they were       13         14       Q or resignation       14         15       A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in       14         16       the family, close family, and one very ill person in my       16         17       close family, and I needed to be at home supporting       17         18       them, rather than commuting up to London.       18         19       MR BLAKE: Thank you.       19         20       Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some       20         21       questions from Mr Jacobs and also from Ms Shah on behalf       21         22       of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.       22         23       SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.       22         24       MR BLAKE: Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.       24         25       Questioned by MR JACOBS       25				
11       Secretary". Can you briefly assist us with the reasons       11         12       for your retirement       12         13       A. So they were       13         14       Q or resignation       14         15       A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in       14         16       the family, close family, and one very ill person in my       16         17       close family, and I needed to be at home supporting       17         18       them, rather than commuting up to London.       18         19       MR BLAKE: Thank you.       19         20       Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some       20         21       questions from Mr Jacobs and also from Ms Shah on behalf       22         22       of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.       22         23       SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.       22         24       MR BLAKE: Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.       24         25       Questioned by MR JACOBS       25				10
12for your retirement1213A. So they were1314Q or resignation1415A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in1416the family, close family, and one very ill person in my1617close family, and I needed to be at home supporting1718them, rather than commuting up to London.1819MR BLAKE: Thank you.1920Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some2021questions from Mr Jacobs and also from Ms Shah on behalf2722of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.2223SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.2324MR BLAKE: Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.2425Questioned by MR JACOBS25				10
13A. So they were1314Q or resignation1415A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in1416the family, close family, and one very ill person in my1617close family, and I needed to be at home supporting1718them, rather than commuting up to London.1819MR BLAKE: Thank you.1920Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some2021questions from Mr Jacobs and also from Ms Shah on behalf2722of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.2223SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.2324MR BLAKE: Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.2425Questioned by MR JACOBS25				12
14Q or resignation1415A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in1416the family, close family, and one very ill person in my1617close family, and I needed to be at home supporting1718them, rather than commuting up to London.1819MR BLAKE: Thank you.1920Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some2021questions from Mr Jacobs and also from Ms Shah on behalf2222of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.2223SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.2224MR BLAKE: Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.2425Questioned by MR JACOBS25		Δ		13
15A personal reasons because we'd had two bereavements in1116the family, close family, and one very ill person in my1617close family, and I needed to be at home supporting1718them, rather than commuting up to London.1819MR BLAKE: Thank you.1920Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some2021questions from Mr Jacobs and also from Ms Shah on behalf2722of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.2223SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.2324MR BLAKE: Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.2425Questioned by MR JACOBS25				10
16the family, close family, and one very ill person in my1617close family, and I needed to be at home supporting1718them, rather than commuting up to London.1819MR BLAKE: Thank you.1920Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some2021questions from Mr Jacobs and also from Ms Shah on behalf2722of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.2223SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.2324MR BLAKE: Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.2425Questioned by MR JACOBS25			0	15
17close family, and I needed to be at home supporting1718them, rather than commuting up to London.1819MR BLAKE: Thank you.1920Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some2021questions from Mr Jacobs and also from Ms Shah on behalf2722of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.2723SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.2724MR BLAKE: Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.2425Questioned by MR JACOBS25			•	16
18them, rather than commuting up to London.1819MR BLAKE: Thank you.1920Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some2021questions from Mr Jacobs and also from Ms Shah on behalf2222of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.2223SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.2324MR BLAKE: Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.2425Questioned by MR JACOBS25				17
19MR BLAKE: Thank you.1920Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some2021questions from Mr Jacobs and also from Ms Shah on behalf2122of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.2223SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.2324MR BLAKE: Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.2425Questioned by MR JACOBS25	18			18
21questions from Mr Jacobs and also from Ms Shah on behalf2222of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.2223SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.2324MR BLAKE: Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.2425Questioned by MR JACOBS25	19	MR		19
21questions from Mr Jacobs and also from Ms Shah on behalf2222of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.2223SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.2324MR BLAKE: Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.2425Questioned by MR JACOBS25	20		Sir, those are all of my questions. There are some	20
22of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.2223SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right.2324MR BLAKE: Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.2425Questioned by MR JACOBS24	21			21
24MR BLAKE:Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.2425Questioned by MR JACOBS25	22		of the National Federation of SubPostmasters.	22
25 Questioned by MR JACOBS 25	23	SIF	R WYN WILLIAMS: All right.	23
•	24	MR	BLAKE: Shall we start with Mr Jacobs.	24
191	25		Questioned by MR JACOBS	25
			191	

1	0	Did	/011	ever	discussi	his r	renort	or the	drafting	of his
	ω.	Diu	/uu	6161	uiscuss	1113 1	eport		uraning	011113

- report with any senior executives at the Post Office?
- **A.** No.
- **Q.** Would a report of that nature be something that you
- would expect to know about or to be told about, or to read?
- A. So can I just clarify, is this the report that Tim
- Parker, when he became Chairman, was asked to --
- 9 **Q.** Yes.
- A. So I never saw this report and I don't remember Tim
  Parker ever sharing that report with the Board.
- 2 Q. So you were, to some extent, involved in the provision
  - of information?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 **Q.** Do you remember any other involvement, other than that?
- 16 **A.** No.
- 17 Q. Did you ever discuss it with the Chair?
- 8 A. So I believe the Chair made it very clear that he'd been
- 9 asked to do this independently and, therefore, there was
- 20 no discussion about it.
- 21 Q. Thank you.
  - 2 Very finally, your resignation. Could we just turn
  - 3 to POL00021549. 25 July 2017, we have a Board meeting
- and it's at page 4:
  - "(e) The CEO explained that the decision ..." 190

1	MR JACOBS: I'd like to take you to a document, please,
2	POL00058155.
3	While that's waiting to come up, I should tell you
4	I represent a large number of subpostmasters,
5	subpostmistresses and assistants who were affected by
6	this scandal and are represented by Howe+Co.
7	So this is a document about a story, and all will be
8	made clearer. Could we go, please, to page 2 of 3 at
9	the bottom of that page. It's an email from Hugh
10	Flemington if we could scroll down 24 July 2012 to
11	Susan Crichton, you and Simon Baker:
12	"This the story text which J put together [and we
13	can see that 'J' is Jarnail Singh] following our meeting
14	last week. Any comments, please, before we release it?"
15	So the story from Mr Singh is entitled "Second Sight
16	Review Draft". To summarise it, the first paragraph
17	says that Post Office are going to undertake a review;
18	second paragraph says Second Sight are going to be
19	instructed and it will focus on cases raised by Members
20	of Parliament, and that JFSA want their own forensic
21	accountant to monitor the work of Second Sight, that
22	accountant is Kay Linnell.
23	But I want to focus on the third paragraph, please,
24	so if we could maybe highlight that. I'll read:
25	"All of the above is accepted based on the terms of 192

1		the Review being carried out, but it must be stressed	1
2		that this is not an acknowledgement by the Post Office	2
3		Limited that there is an issue with Horizon. The	3
4		Horizon system is working properly, robust and is being	4
5		used up and down the country"	5
6		Then importantly:	6
7		" when the system has been challenged in the	7
8		criminal courts, it has been successfully defended."	8
9 10		I want to ask you about that last statement, "When	9 10
10 11		the system has been challenged in the criminal courts it	10
12		has been successfully defended", and what I want to suggest is that that is not true; would you agree?	12
12	Α.	So, at this point, I would have as Mr Singh is the	12
14		criminal lawyer, I would have accepted that that what he	13
15		was telling me was the truth.	15
16	Q.	You would have accepted that it was or wasn't true?	16
17	а. А.	Was the truth at that point because he's telling me that	10
18	Α.	this is what's happened in the criminal courts.	18
19	Q.	Well, were you aware that Suzanne Palmer, who is	19
20	۹.	a client who we represent and who was one of the case	20
21		studies in an earlier phase of this Inquiry, had been	21
22		acquitted by a jury in Southend Crown Court in January	22
23		2007, and she had asserted in her defence that the	23
24		Horizon system had prevented her from challenging any	24
25		Horizon figures which she hadn't agreed. There had	25
		193	
1		not only attended the hearings, attended those trials,	1
2		they gave evidence against our clients and they were	2
2 3		they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that	2 3
2 3 4		they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew?	2 3 4
2 3 4 5	А.	they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No.	2 3 4 5
2 3 4 5 6	A. Q.	they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did	2 3 4 5 6
2 3 4 5 6 7		they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did Mr Baker consider, that it would have been appropriate	2 3 4 5 6 7
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did Mr Baker consider, that it would have been appropriate before accepting this statement or putting this out that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did Mr Baker consider, that it would have been appropriate before accepting this statement or putting this out that there should be some fact checking that went on?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did Mr Baker consider, that it would have been appropriate before accepting this statement or putting this out that there should be some fact checking that went on? Absolutely. I would have expected if there was any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did Mr Baker consider, that it would have been appropriate before accepting this statement or putting this out that there should be some fact checking that went on? Absolutely. I would have expected if there was any doubt in this last sentence here from Mr Singh, I would	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did Mr Baker consider, that it would have been appropriate before accepting this statement or putting this out that there should be some fact checking that went on? Absolutely. I would have expected if there was any doubt in this last sentence here from Mr Singh, I would have expected other members of the Legal Team to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did Mr Baker consider, that it would have been appropriate before accepting this statement or putting this out that there should be some fact checking that went on? Absolutely. I would have expected if there was any doubt in this last sentence here from Mr Singh, I would have expected other members of the Legal Team to challenge that, either Mr Flemington or Ms Crichton, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q.	they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did Mr Baker consider, that it would have been appropriate before accepting this statement or putting this out that there should be some fact checking that went on? Absolutely. I would have expected if there was any doubt in this last sentence here from Mr Singh, I would have expected other members of the Legal Team to challenge that, either Mr Flemington or Ms Crichton, and to say this is not a correct statement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q.	they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did Mr Baker consider, that it would have been appropriate before accepting this statement or putting this out that there should be some fact checking that went on? Absolutely. I would have expected if there was any doubt in this last sentence here from Mr Singh, I would have expected other members of the Legal Team to challenge that, either Mr Flemington or Ms Crichton, and to say this is not a correct statement. Well, if we could just go up to page 2 of 3 at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q.	they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did Mr Baker consider, that it would have been appropriate before accepting this statement or putting this out that there should be some fact checking that went on? Absolutely. I would have expected if there was any doubt in this last sentence here from Mr Singh, I would have expected other members of the Legal Team to challenge that, either Mr Flemington or Ms Crichton, and to say this is not a correct statement. Well, if we could just go up to page 2 of 3 at the bottom, please, so just scrolling up slightly, you will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q.	they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did Mr Baker consider, that it would have been appropriate before accepting this statement or putting this out that there should be some fact checking that went on? Absolutely. I would have expected if there was any doubt in this last sentence here from Mr Singh, I would have expected other members of the Legal Team to challenge that, either Mr Flemington or Ms Crichton, and to say this is not a correct statement. Well, if we could just go up to page 2 of 3 at the bottom, please, so just scrolling up slightly, you will see that you wrote an email to Simon Baker, and it says:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q.	they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did Mr Baker consider, that it would have been appropriate before accepting this statement or putting this out that there should be some fact checking that went on? Absolutely. I would have expected if there was any doubt in this last sentence here from Mr Singh, I would have expected other members of the Legal Team to challenge that, either Mr Flemington or Ms Crichton, and to say this is not a correct statement. Well, if we could just go up to page 2 of 3 at the bottom, please, so just scrolling up slightly, you will see that you wrote an email to Simon Baker, and it says: "Simon can you go to Alana with this request for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q.	they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did Mr Baker consider, that it would have been appropriate before accepting this statement or putting this out that there should be some fact checking that went on? Absolutely. I would have expected if there was any doubt in this last sentence here from Mr Singh, I would have expected other members of the Legal Team to challenge that, either Mr Flemington or Ms Crichton, and to say this is not a correct statement. Well, if we could just go up to page 2 of 3 at the bottom, please, so just scrolling up slightly, you will see that you wrote an email to Simon Baker, and it says: "Simon can you go to Alana with this request for the 'story' [Post Office's story] as they are the experts."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. A. Q.	they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did Mr Baker consider, that it would have been appropriate before accepting this statement or putting this out that there should be some fact checking that went on? Absolutely. I would have expected if there was any doubt in this last sentence here from Mr Singh, I would have expected other members of the Legal Team to challenge that, either Mr Flemington or Ms Crichton, and to say this is not a correct statement. Well, if we could just go up to page 2 of 3 at the bottom, please, so just scrolling up slightly, you will see that you wrote an email to Simon Baker, and it says: "Simon can you go to Alana with this request for the 'story' [Post Office's story] as they are the experts." Who was Alana?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q.	they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did Mr Baker consider, that it would have been appropriate before accepting this statement or putting this out that there should be some fact checking that went on? Absolutely. I would have expected if there was any doubt in this last sentence here from Mr Singh, I would have expected other members of the Legal Team to challenge that, either Mr Flemington or Ms Crichton, and to say this is not a correct statement. Well, if we could just go up to page 2 of 3 at the bottom, please, so just scrolling up slightly, you will see that you wrote an email to Simon Baker, and it says: "Simon can you go to Alana with this request for the 'story' [Post Office's story] as they are the experts." Who was Alana? Alana was Mark Davies' deputy in the Communications	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A.	they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did Mr Baker consider, that it would have been appropriate before accepting this statement or putting this out that there should be some fact checking that went on? Absolutely. I would have expected if there was any doubt in this last sentence here from Mr Singh, I would have expected other members of the Legal Team to challenge that, either Mr Flemington or Ms Crichton, and to say this is not a correct statement. Well, if we could just go up to page 2 of 3 at the bottom, please, so just scrolling up slightly, you will see that you wrote an email to Simon Baker, and it says: "Simon can you go to Alana with this request for the 'story' [Post Office's story] as they are the experts." Who was Alana? Alana was Mark Davies' deputy in the Communications Team.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. A. Q.	they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did Mr Baker consider, that it would have been appropriate before accepting this statement or putting this out that there should be some fact checking that went on? Absolutely. I would have expected if there was any doubt in this last sentence here from Mr Singh, I would have expected other members of the Legal Team to challenge that, either Mr Flemington or Ms Crichton, and to say this is not a correct statement. Well, if we could just go up to page 2 of 3 at the bottom, please, so just scrolling up slightly, you will see that you wrote an email to Simon Baker, and it says: "Simon can you go to Alana with this request for the 'story' [Post Office's story] as they are the experts." Who was Alana? Alana was Mark Davies' deputy in the Communications Team. Okay. Why did you call it the "story" with speech	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. A. Q. A.	they gave evidence against our clients and they were present when the jury delivered their verdict. Is that not something that you knew? No. Did you consider, or did Ms Crichton consider, or did Mr Baker consider, that it would have been appropriate before accepting this statement or putting this out that there should be some fact checking that went on? Absolutely. I would have expected if there was any doubt in this last sentence here from Mr Singh, I would have expected other members of the Legal Team to challenge that, either Mr Flemington or Ms Crichton, and to say this is not a correct statement. Well, if we could just go up to page 2 of 3 at the bottom, please, so just scrolling up slightly, you will see that you wrote an email to Simon Baker, and it says: "Simon can you go to Alana with this request for the 'story' [Post Office's story] as they are the experts." Who was Alana? Alana was Mark Davies' deputy in the Communications Team.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

1		been, at her trial, a jury question directed at the Post
2		Office to the effect of "What is Mrs Palmer supposed to
3		do if she didn't agree it or doesn't agree with the
4		figure that Horizon produced?", and the Post Office had
5		been unable or unwilling to answer that question. So
6		an acquittal in relation to a subpostmistress who
7		challenged the Horizon system in January 2007. Quite
8		a significant event.
9	Α.	Yes.
10	Q.	Is that something that you were aware of?
11	Α.	So I don't believe I was aware of that.
12	Q.	Okay. Moving on, and you may give the same answer, but
13		I need to put it to you. Also in 2006, in Northern
14		Ireland, in Dungannon court, Maureen McKelvey, another
15		one of our clients, was acquitted by a jury and, in her
16		trial, it came to light that an Area Manager had
17		experienced problems with balancing on the Horizon
18		system at Ms McKelvey's branch terminal and she had
19		maintained, right from the start, that the losses that
20		were alleged were due to errors on the computer system.
21		Is that something that you knew about?
22	Α.	Not that I remember, no.
23	Q.	Now, the Inquiry you may or you may not know this
24		has heard evidence from the Post Office Investigators in
25		both of those cases, Ms Allan and Ms Winter, and they
		194
		194
		194
1		194 in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that
1 2		in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point.
	Q.	in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your
2	Q.	in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point.
2 3	Q.	in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your
2 3 4	Q.	in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your request Mr Baker wrote to Alana Renner, copying you in
2 3 4 5	Q.	in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your request Mr Baker wrote to Alana Renner, copying you in with Mr Flemington and Ms Crichton:
2 3 4 5 6	Q.	in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your request Mr Baker wrote to Alana Renner, copying you in with Mr Flemington and Ms Crichton: "Please can help us craft our message around the
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q.	in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your request Mr Baker wrote to Alana Renner, copying you in with Mr Flemington and Ms Crichton: "Please can help us craft our message around the Second Sight review. We need to combat the assertion
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q.	in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your request Mr Baker wrote to Alana Renner, copying you in with Mr Flemington and Ms Crichton: "Please can help us craft our message around the Second Sight review. We need to combat the assertion that the review is acknowledgement that there is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your request Mr Baker wrote to Alana Renner, copying you in with Mr Flemington and Ms Crichton: "Please can help us craft our message around the Second Sight review. We need to combat the assertion that the review is acknowledgement that there is a problem with Horizon. "Jarnail has drafted some words below. Do they strike the right tone?"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q.	in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your request Mr Baker wrote to Alana Renner, copying you in with Mr Flemington and Ms Crichton: "Please can help us craft our message around the Second Sight review. We need to combat the assertion that the review is acknowledgement that there is a problem with Horizon. "Jarnail has drafted some words below. Do they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q.	in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your request Mr Baker wrote to Alana Renner, copying you in with Mr Flemington and Ms Crichton: "Please can help us craft our message around the Second Sight review. We need to combat the assertion that the review is acknowledgement that there is a problem with Horizon. "Jarnail has drafted some words below. Do they strike the right tone?" So what we see here is the purpose of the story is to stop anyone saying that Post Office are acknowledging
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q.	in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your request Mr Baker wrote to Alana Renner, copying you in with Mr Flemington and Ms Crichton: "Please can help us craft our message around the Second Sight review. We need to combat the assertion that the review is acknowledgement that there is a problem with Horizon. "Jarnail has drafted some words below. Do they strike the right tone?" So what we see here is the purpose of the story is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q.	in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your request Mr Baker wrote to Alana Renner, copying you in with Mr Flemington and Ms Crichton: "Please can help us craft our message around the Second Sight review. We need to combat the assertion that the review is acknowledgement that there is a problem with Horizon. "Jarnail has drafted some words below. Do they strike the right tone?" So what we see here is the purpose of the story is to stop anyone saying that Post Office are acknowledging
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your request Mr Baker wrote to Alana Renner, copying you in with Mr Flemington and Ms Crichton: "Please can help us craft our message around the Second Sight review. We need to combat the assertion that the review is acknowledgement that there is a problem with Horizon. "Jarnail has drafted some words below. Do they strike the right tone?" So what we see here is the purpose of the story is to stop anyone saying that Post Office are acknowledging that there's a problem with Horizon.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your request Mr Baker wrote to Alana Renner, copying you in with Mr Flemington and Ms Crichton: "Please can help us craft our message around the Second Sight review. We need to combat the assertion that the review is acknowledgement that there is a problem with Horizon. "Jarnail has drafted some words below. Do they strike the right tone?" So what we see here is the purpose of the story is to stop anyone saying that Post Office are acknowledging that there's a problem with Horizon. I think it's important here that, in the Second Sight
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your request Mr Baker wrote to Alana Renner, copying you in with Mr Flemington and Ms Crichton: "Please can help us craft our message around the Second Sight review. We need to combat the assertion that the review is acknowledgement that there is a problem with Horizon. "Jarnail has drafted some words below. Do they strike the right tone?" So what we see here is the purpose of the story is to stop anyone saying that Post Office are acknowledging that there's a problem with Horizon. I think it's important here that, in the Second Sight review, Horizon was used as a more generic term than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your request Mr Baker wrote to Alana Renner, copying you in with Mr Flemington and Ms Crichton: "Please can help us craft our message around the Second Sight review. We need to combat the assertion that the review is acknowledgement that there is a problem with Horizon. "Jarnail has drafted some words below. Do they strike the right tone?" So what we see here is the purpose of the story is to stop anyone saying that Post Office are acknowledging that there's a problem with Horizon. I think it's important here that, in the Second Sight review, Horizon was used as a more generic term than just the computer. So here I don't know if Simon is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your request Mr Baker wrote to Alana Renner, copying you in with Mr Flemington and Ms Crichton: "Please can help us craft our message around the Second Sight review. We need to combat the assertion that the review is acknowledgement that there is a problem with Horizon. "Jarnail has drafted some words below. Do they strike the right tone?" So what we see here is the purpose of the story is to stop anyone saying that Post Office are acknowledging that there's a problem with Horizon. I think it's important here that, in the Second Sight review, Horizon was used as a more generic term than just the computer. So here I don't know if Simon is saying problem with Horizon as the computer, rather than
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your request Mr Baker wrote to Alana Renner, copying you in with Mr Flemington and Ms Crichton: "Please can help us craft our message around the Second Sight review. We need to combat the assertion that the review is acknowledgement that there is a problem with Horizon. "Jarnail has drafted some words below. Do they strike the right tone?" So what we see here is the purpose of the story is to stop anyone saying that Post Office are acknowledging that there's a problem with Horizon. I think it's important here that, in the Second Sight review, Horizon was used as a more generic term than just the computer. So here I don't know if Simon is saying problem with Horizon as the computer, rather than the wider Horizon. Clearly, the wider Horizon is also very important.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Α.	in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your request Mr Baker wrote to Alana Renner, copying you in with Mr Flemington and Ms Crichton: "Please can help us craft our message around the Second Sight review. We need to combat the assertion that the review is acknowledgement that there is a problem with Horizon. "Jarnail has drafted some words below. Do they strike the right tone?" So what we see here is the purpose of the story is to stop anyone saying that Post Office are acknowledging that there's a problem with Horizon. I think it's important here that, in the Second Sight review, Horizon was used as a more generic term than just the computer. So here I don't know if Simon is saying problem with Horizon as the computer, rather than the wider Horizon. Clearly, the wider Horizon is also very important. Okay. If we could then scroll up to the next email, which is Ronan Kelleher, 27 July 2012 at 11.27, so he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Α.	in the email and I'm not reading anything else into that at this point. Okay, well, if we could move up, then, after your request Mr Baker wrote to Alana Renner, copying you in with Mr Flemington and Ms Crichton: "Please can help us craft our message around the Second Sight review. We need to combat the assertion that the review is acknowledgement that there is a problem with Horizon. "Jarnail has drafted some words below. Do they strike the right tone?" So what we see here is the purpose of the story is to stop anyone saying that Post Office are acknowledging that there's a problem with Horizon. I think it's important here that, in the Second Sight review, Horizon was used as a more generic term than just the computer. So here I don't know if Simon is saying problem with Horizon as the computer, rather than the wider Horizon. Clearly, the wider Horizon is also very important. Okay. If we could then scroll up to the next email,

4 says: 5 "As this message will most probably find its way 196

1		into the media, we need to get the message across from
2		the start that we continue to have full confidence in
3		the robustness of the Horizon system and I suggest
4		the following tweaking to the proposed wording from
5		Jarnail."
6		If you scroll down to the bottom of that letter, the
7		"tweak", so to speak, and then you can see the final
8		sentence; it's not part of a sentence, it's a sentence
9		on its own:
10		"When the system has been challenged in criminal
11		courts, it has been successfully defended."
12		So the expert, as you put it, looked at this, looked
13		into this and, when it was came back and it was given
14		a sort of final draft treatment, that sentence remained,
15		didn't it?
16	Α.	Yes, and that's appalling.
17	Q.	Then, going up to the top, then, we've got or the
18		second from the top email:
19		"Ronan
20		"That works. Thanks.
21		"Simon."
22		So everything seems to be fine with that. Then the
23		last email in the chain, which was Jarnail Singh to Hugh
24		Flemington, there's been some redaction there but we can
25		see your name and
		197
1		known. Whether Mr Singh knew, I don't know. But, you
1 2		known. Whether Mr Singh knew, I don't know. But, you know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this
		<b>o</b>
2		know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this
2 3	Q.	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions
2 3 4	Q.	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters.
2 3 4 5	Q. A.	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the
2 3 4 5 6		know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the Company Secretary at that point, weren't you?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Α.	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the Company Secretary at that point, weren't you? I was.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Α.	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the Company Secretary at that point, weren't you? I was. Did you present the story or show the story to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the Company Secretary at that point, weren't you? I was. Did you present the story or show the story to the Board?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Q.	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the Company Secretary at that point, weren't you? I was. Did you present the story or show the story to the Board? I cannot remember doing so but I may have shared it with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Q. A.	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the Company Secretary at that point, weren't you? I was. Did you present the story or show the story to the Board? I cannot remember doing so but I may have shared it with Alice.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Q. A.	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the Company Secretary at that point, weren't you? I was. Did you present the story or show the story to the Board? I cannot remember doing so but I may have shared it with Alice. Okay. I'm just going to see if I have any more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Q. A.	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the Company Secretary at that point, weren't you? I was. Did you present the story or show the story to the Board? I cannot remember doing so but I may have shared it with Alice. Okay. I'm just going to see if I have any more questions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Q. A.	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the Company Secretary at that point, weren't you? I was. Did you present the story or show the story to the Board? I cannot remember doing so but I may have shared it with Alice. Okay. I'm just going to see if I have any more questions. Finally, can you explain why it was called "our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	А. Q. А. Q.	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the Company Secretary at that point, weren't you? I was. Did you present the story or show the story to the Board? I cannot remember doing so but I may have shared it with Alice. Okay. I'm just going to see if I have any more questions. Finally, can you explain why it was called "our story" in inverted commas?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	А. Q. А. Q.	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the Company Secretary at that point, weren't you? I was. Did you present the story or show the story to the Board? I cannot remember doing so but I may have shared it with Alice. Okay. I'm just going to see if I have any more questions. Finally, can you explain why it was called "our story" in inverted commas? I think it's because right at the beginning of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	А. Q. А. Q.	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the Company Secretary at that point, weren't you? I was. Did you present the story or show the story to the Board? I cannot remember doing so but I may have shared it with Alice. Okay. I'm just going to see if I have any more questions. Finally, can you explain why it was called "our story" in inverted commas? I think it's because right at the beginning of the email, Hugh Flemington unfortunately calls it a "story"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	А. Q. А. Q.	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the Company Secretary at that point, weren't you? I was. Did you present the story or show the story to the Board? I cannot remember doing so but I may have shared it with Alice. Okay. I'm just going to see if I have any more questions. Finally, can you explain why it was called "our story" in inverted commas? I think it's because right at the beginning of the email, Hugh Flemington unfortunately calls it a "story" and that's continued all the way up the email, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Q. A. Q.	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the Company Secretary at that point, weren't you? I was. Did you present the story or show the story to the Board? I cannot remember doing so but I may have shared it with Alice. Okay. I'm just going to see if I have any more questions. Finally, can you explain why it was called "our story" in inverted commas? I think it's because right at the beginning of the email, Hugh Flemington unfortunately calls it a "story" and that's continued all the way up the email, and I think that's why. So it's a deliberate use of words, isn't it? Yes, it's deliberate in this email but, in terms of "our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Q. A. Q. A.	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the Company Secretary at that point, weren't you? I was. Did you present the story or show the story to the Board? I cannot remember doing so but I may have shared it with Alice. Okay. I'm just going to see if I have any more questions. Finally, can you explain why it was called "our story" in inverted commas? I think it's because right at the beginning of the email, Hugh Flemington unfortunately calls it a "story" and that's continued all the way up the email, and I think that's why. So it's a deliberate use of words, isn't it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Q. A. Q. A.	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the Company Secretary at that point, weren't you? I was. Did you present the story or show the story to the Board? I cannot remember doing so but I may have shared it with Alice. Okay. I'm just going to see if I have any more questions. Finally, can you explain why it was called "our story" in inverted commas? I think it's because right at the beginning of the email, Hugh Flemington unfortunately calls it a "story" and that's continued all the way up the email, and I think that's why. So it's a deliberate use of words, isn't it? Yes, it's deliberate in this email but, in terms of "our story", I think it's just saying what's what do the Post Office want to say about this? That's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. MR	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the Company Secretary at that point, weren't you? I was. Did you present the story or show the story to the Board? I cannot remember doing so but I may have shared it with Alice. Okay. I'm just going to see if I have any more questions. Finally, can you explain why it was called "our story" in inverted commas? I think it's because right at the beginning of the email, Hugh Flemington unfortunately calls it a "story" and that's continued all the way up the email, and I think that's why. So it's a deliberate use of words, isn't it? Yes, it's deliberate in this email but, in terms of "our story", I think it's just saying what's what do the Post Office want to say about this? That's JACOBS: I have no further questions. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. MR	know, you would have imagined that the lawyers on this email chain would have known about the prosecutions brought against subpostmasters. Thank you. The date of this, 31 July, you were the Company Secretary at that point, weren't you? I was. Did you present the story or show the story to the Board? I cannot remember doing so but I may have shared it with Alice. Okay. I'm just going to see if I have any more questions. Finally, can you explain why it was called "our story" in inverted commas? I think it's because right at the beginning of the email, Hugh Flemington unfortunately calls it a "story" and that's continued all the way up the email, and I think that's why. So it's a deliberate use of words, isn't it? Yes, it's deliberate in this email but, in terms of "our story", I think it's just saying what's what do the Post Office want to say about this? That's

1	Α.	Yeah.
2	Q.	Susan Crichton's name:
3		"Dear Hugh, Susan Alwen
4		"You have seen the final draft of 'our story' can
5		this now be released to our agents and counsel for
6		consistent approach and submissions when there is
7		[I think that's probably a typing mistake]
8		"Challenges to the Horizon.
9		"Regards
10		"Jarnail."
11		So the question I wanted to ask you is who are "our
12		agents" who this was going to go out to?
13	Α.	l don't know who he means by "our agents", unless its
14		subpostmasters.
15	Q.	So other subpostmasters?
16	Α.	Yes, that is what I'm assuming but
17	Q.	Then, perhaps more troubling, this was going to go out
18		to counsel. Surely that means that this was going to be
19		used by barristers in cases against subpostmasters?
20	Α.	Well, that's why I'm I said it was appalling.
21	Q.	Do you accept, having said that it was appalling, that
22		Post Office knew, must have known, that there had been
23		Horizon acquittals and deliberately put out a false
24		account to cover that up?
25	Α.	So I don't know who, on this email chain, would have
		198
1	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you.
1 2		WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you. BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me
2 3		-
2 3 4	MR	<b>BLAKE:</b> We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well.
2 3	MR	<b>BLAKE:</b> We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have,
2 3 4 5 6	MR SIR	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH
2 3 4 5	MR SIR	<b>BLAKE:</b> We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR SIR MS A.	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH SHAH: Good afternoon, Ms Lyons, can you hear me? I can, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR SIR MS	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH SHAH: Good afternoon, Ms Lyons, can you hear me? I can, yes. I represent the NFSP at this Inquiry. I'm going to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR SIR MS A.	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH SHAH: Good afternoon, Ms Lyons, can you hear me? I can, yes. I represent the NFSP at this Inquiry. I'm going to be remembering to sections of your witness statement but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR SIR MS A.	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH SHAH: Good afternoon, Ms Lyons, can you hear me? I can, yes. I represent the NFSP at this Inquiry. I'm going to be remembering to sections of your witness statement but this does not need to be brought up unless you wish to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR SIR MS A.	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH SHAH: Good afternoon, Ms Lyons, can you hear me? I can, yes. I represent the NFSP at this Inquiry. I'm going to be remembering to sections of your witness statement but this does not need to be brought up unless you wish to review these sections.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR SIR MS A.	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH SHAH: Good afternoon, Ms Lyons, can you hear me? I can, yes. I represent the NFSP at this Inquiry. I'm going to be remembering to sections of your witness statement but this does not need to be brought up unless you wish to review these sections. So we saw in your witness statement that your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 13	MR SIR MS A.	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH SHAH: Good afternoon, Ms Lyons, can you hear me? I can, yes. I represent the NFSP at this Inquiry. I'm going to be remembering to sections of your witness statement but this does not need to be brought up unless you wish to review these sections. So we saw in your witness statement that your parents ran a sub post office and I think that your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR SIR MS A.	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH SHAH: Good afternoon, Ms Lyons, can you hear me? I can, yes. I represent the NFSP at this Inquiry. I'm going to be remembering to sections of your witness statement but this does not need to be brought up unless you wish to review these sections. So we saw in your witness statement that your parents ran a sub post office and I think that your father was also a previous General Secretary of the NFSP
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR SIR MS A. Q.	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH SHAH: Good afternoon, Ms Lyons, can you hear me? I can, yes. I represent the NFSP at this Inquiry. I'm going to be remembering to sections of your witness statement but this does not need to be brought up unless you wish to review these sections. So we saw in your witness statement that your parents ran a sub post office and I think that your father was also a previous General Secretary of the NFSP from 1980 to 1991; is that correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR SIR A. Q.	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH SHAH: Good afternoon, Ms Lyons, can you hear me? I can, yes. I represent the NFSP at this Inquiry. I'm going to be remembering to sections of your witness statement but this does not need to be brought up unless you wish to review these sections. So we saw in your witness statement that your parents ran a sub post office and I think that your father was also a previous General Secretary of the NFSP from 1980 to 1991; is that correct? That's correct, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR SIR MS A. Q.	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH SHAH: Good afternoon, Ms Lyons, can you hear me? I can, yes. I represent the NFSP at this Inquiry. I'm going to be remembering to sections of your witness statement but this does not need to be brought up unless you wish to review these sections. So we saw in your witness statement that your parents ran a sub post office and I think that your father was also a previous General Secretary of the NFSP from 1980 to 1991; is that correct? That's correct, yes. In paragraphs 32 and 33 of your witness statement, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR SIR A. Q.	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH SHAH: Good afternoon, Ms Lyons, can you hear me? I can, yes. I represent the NFSP at this Inquiry. I'm going to be remembering to sections of your witness statement but this does not need to be brought up unless you wish to review these sections. So we saw in your witness statement that your parents ran a sub post office and I think that your father was also a previous General Secretary of the NFSP from 1980 to 1991; is that correct? That's correct, yes. In paragraphs 32 and 33 of your witness statement, you describe your role as including the disciplining of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR SIR A. Q.	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH SHAH: Good afternoon, Ms Lyons, can you hear me? I can, yes. I represent the NFSP at this Inquiry. I'm going to be remembering to sections of your witness statement but this does not need to be brought up unless you wish to review these sections. So we saw in your witness statement that your parents ran a sub post office and I think that your father was also a previous General Secretary of the NFSP from 1980 to 1991; is that correct? That's correct, yes. In paragraphs 32 and 33 of your witness statement, you describe your role as including the disciplining of subpostmasters. This Inquiry has heard a great deal
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR SIR A. Q.	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH SHAH: Good afternoon, Ms Lyons, can you hear me? I can, yes. I represent the NFSP at this Inquiry. I'm going to be remembering to sections of your witness statement but this does not need to be brought up unless you wish to review these sections. So we saw in your witness statement that your parents ran a sub post office and I think that your father was also a previous General Secretary of the NFSP from 1980 to 1991; is that correct? That's correct, yes. In paragraphs 32 and 33 of your witness statement, you describe your role as including the disciplining of subpostmasters. This Inquiry has heard a great deal about the overzealous, aggressive and intrusive way in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR SIR A. Q.	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH SHAH: Good afternoon, Ms Lyons, can you hear me? I can, yes. I represent the NFSP at this Inquiry. I'm going to be remembering to sections of your witness statement but this does not need to be brought up unless you wish to review these sections. So we saw in your witness statement that your parents ran a sub post office and I think that your father was also a previous General Secretary of the NFSP from 1980 to 1991; is that correct? That's correct, yes. In paragraphs 32 and 33 of your witness statement, you describe your role as including the disciplining of subpostmasters. This Inquiry has heard a great deal about the overzealous, aggressive and intrusive way in which audits and investigations were carried out with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR SIR A. Q.	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH SHAH: Good afternoon, Ms Lyons, can you hear me? I can, yes. I represent the NFSP at this Inquiry. I'm going to be remembering to sections of your witness statement but this does not need to be brought up unless you wish to review these sections. So we saw in your witness statement that your parents ran a sub post office and I think that your father was also a previous General Secretary of the NFSP from 1980 to 1991; is that correct? That's correct, yes. In paragraphs 32 and 33 of your witness statement, you describe your role as including the disciplining of subpostmasters. This Inquiry has heard a great deal about the overzealous, aggressive and intrusive way in which audits and investigations were carried out with an attitude of guilty from the outset, terminology used
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR SIR A. Q.	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH SHAH: Good afternoon, Ms Lyons, can you hear me? I can, yes. I represent the NFSP at this Inquiry. I'm going to be remembering to sections of your witness statement but this does not need to be brought up unless you wish to review these sections. So we saw in your witness statement that your parents ran a sub post office and I think that your father was also a previous General Secretary of the NFSP from 1980 to 1991; is that correct? That's correct, yes. In paragraphs 32 and 33 of your witness statement, you describe your role as including the disciplining of subpostmasters. This Inquiry has heard a great deal about the overzealous, aggressive and intrusive way in which audits and investigations were carried out with an attitude of guilty from the outset, terminology used such as "offender reports"; in fact you would have been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR SIR A. Q.	BLAKE: We have Ms Shah from the NFSP, she's assured me she's going to be seven minutes, and then we have, briefly, Ms Patrick as well. WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay. Questioned by MS SHAH SHAH: Good afternoon, Ms Lyons, can you hear me? I can, yes. I represent the NFSP at this Inquiry. I'm going to be remembering to sections of your witness statement but this does not need to be brought up unless you wish to review these sections. So we saw in your witness statement that your parents ran a sub post office and I think that your father was also a previous General Secretary of the NFSP from 1980 to 1991; is that correct? That's correct, yes. In paragraphs 32 and 33 of your witness statement, you describe your role as including the disciplining of subpostmasters. This Inquiry has heard a great deal about the overzealous, aggressive and intrusive way in which audits and investigations were carried out with an attitude of guilty from the outset, terminology used

1

2

6

7

No, I don't believe so. I mean, I had no part of the 1 Α. 2 investigation procedures or -- I was the Area Manager or 3 the Retail Network Manager between '91 and '95, as you 4 say in paragraph 32. I spent most of my time trying to 5 help subpostmasters and support them. If something 6 happened, which meant that there was an issue at 7 an office, I believe that I would approach things in 8 an even-handed way and would have listened to the 9 subpostmaster as well as listening to investigation or 10 whatever. Q. So you wouldn't accept that, given that we have heard 11 12 about this culture of guilty until proven innocent, 13 that, given your family background in a sub post office 14 and the family background in the NFSP, there is not much 15 empathy being shown in this disciplining of 16 subpostmasters when you were in your role? 17 A. So I'm saying that I don't agree with you in terms of my empathy with subpostmasters. In '91 to '95, the 18 19 Investigation part of the business was in Roval Mail 20 Group and that was a very different culture to how we 21 dealt with subpostmasters and tried to help 22 subpostmasters during this time. So I would really 23 disagree that I was heavy-handed with subpostmasters at 24 all. 25 Q. Okay. At paragraph 49, of your witness statement, you 201 1 been discussed today, can you describe the differences 2 in the level of disciplinary action and sanctions 3 between subpostmasters and Crown Office cases? 4 A. So, at this point, I wasn't dealing with subpostmasters 5 at all. I believe in the Crown Office cases, if someone 6 had a loss on the counter, they would be -- I believe 7 they could have so many losses within so many months of 8 time. I can't remember specifically but they would 9 have -- they would get some additional training, maybe, 10 if they were new or -- they would have support because, 11 in a Crown Office, you've got a branch manager standing 12 there who can be helping them with any issues on the 13 counter. 14 Q. So are you aware of the reason for the differences 15 between Crown Office staff who are employed and 16 self-employed, small business people, as to the level 17 and attitude towards disciplinary action and/or 18 sanctions? 19 So they were on a very different contract, so that's why Α. 20 it was different: one was an employee and one was 21 an agent. 22 Q. So would your evidence be that it's nothing to do with

- 23 the ability to recovery funds from subpostmasters?
- 24 Α. I don't believe it was.
- 25 Okay. At paragraph 360 of your witness statement you Q. 203

- talk about your role as Head of Directly Managed
- Branches. What was taking place during that time with
- 3 the disciplining and/or prosecutions of Crown Office
- 4 personnel?
- 5 A. So it was a very different regime because they were
  - employees not agents and there was an HR process, which
  - meant that, if someone at an office -- there was
- 8 a problem with someone in a Crown Office, then they
- 9 would be part of the -- they're employees so they would
- 10 be dealt with very differently than subpostmasters.
- 11 Q. How about prosecutions?
- 12 I can't remember any prosecutions but I might have --Α. 13 I might have misremembered that but I can't remember any
- 14 prosecutions specifically about Horizon issues.
- 15 **Q.** In your role, what discussions did you have with unions
- 16 who represented Crown Office employees about the
- 17 approach to disciplining and prosecutions, albeit you
- 18 can't remember any prosecutions regarding Horizon 19 specifically?
- 20 A. So I had a lot of discussions with the CWU about the
- 21 people issues and trying to work with them, actually, to
- 22 try and make sure that our people were looked after as
- 23 well as, you know -- so I had lots of discussions with
- 24 the CWU
- 25 Q. So, from your knowledge in your various roles which have 202
- 1 say that your pride in working for the Post Office is
- 2 now tainted by what has happened. Do you not understand
- 3 or accept your own either active or passive role in what 4 has happened?
- 5 A. Yes, I do understand that. That's why my 34 years at 6 the business, which I was very proud of when I left, is
- 7 tainted, and it's very difficult because, you know,
- 8 something that you are very proud of like that, it's
- suddenly not right to be proud of it any more. 9
- 10 Q. Would you accept that, given your family background in
- 11 a sub post office and your family background in the
- 12 NFSP, it might be said that you took the saying "poacher
- 13 turned gamekeeper" to the extreme?
- 14 A. I find that quite offensive, actually. My family
- 15 background, I think, enabled me to understand some of
- 16 the issues that subpostmasters were going through and,
- 17 at no point, did I think I was, you know, "poacher
- 18 turned gamekeeper". I believe that, through all my
- 19 career, I did a lot to help subpostmasters.
- 20 MR BLAKE: Thank you, sir. It's Ms Patrick.
- 21 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Is that it, Ms Shah?
- 22 MS SHAH: I've got a few more short questions, sir. Sorry.
- 23 Would you accept that you shut your mind to the
- 24 consequences of everything you knew and ought to have
- 25 taken further and that directly or indirectly led to

1	subpostmasters being wrongly prosecuted?	1		You r
2 <b>A</b> .		2		I want to a
	<b>R WYN WILLIAMS:</b> I don't think the witness can answer that	3		that Mr Bl
4	because she wasn't in any relevant post at any relevant	4		you about
5	time to this Inquiry when people were being prosecuted.	5		to look at
	S SHAH: Okay, sir.	6		which is P
7	Okay. Would you agree that your actions, directly	7		third of pa
8	or indirectly, led to organisations and unions such as	8		We c
9	the NFSP and the CWU being misled to keep their concern	9		page, we
10	to a minimum and avoid them putting their support behind	10		that?
11	the JFSA?	11	Α.	Yes.
12 <b>A</b> .	,	12	Q.	It's dated
13	I was acting in good faith. I believed that the	13	_	names bu
14	information I was given from experts within the business	14	Α.	Yes.
15	and I relied on that information. If I had a regret,	15	Q.	So it's a m
16	it's that I relied on information from people who	16		forwarding
17	I considered to be experts without demanding more proof.	17		Briefing
	S SHAH: That concludes my questions. Thank you.	18		Sewell", a
	R WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you, Ms Shah.	19		Confident
20	Ms Patrick.	20		The n
21 22 <b>M</b> S	Questioned by MS PATRICK	21 22		Chris Auja Deloitte bi
22 WG 23	S PATRICK: Thank you, sir.	22		Just f
23 24	Ms Lyons, my name is Angela Patrick. I represent	23 24		see the m
24 25	a number of subpostmasters who were convicted and have since had their convictions overturned.	24 25		We don't
20	205	25		weddrif
1	long sorry, scroll down. You say:	1		reflected t
2	"Dear All,	2	Α.	No, becau
3	"As detailed in the Board update sent last Saturday,	3	Q.	You don't
4	please find attached Deloitte's final draft 'Board	4		time?
5	Briefing', received by us late this afternoon."	5	Α.	No, I don'
6	As you go down, it talks about:	6	Q.	l just want
7	" features of the Horizon system which operate to	7		your mem
8	provide subpostmasters with full ownership and	8		the Board
9	visibility of their branch ledger, and which maintains	9		it Mr Aujaı
10	a complete and accurate audit trail."	10		circulate t
11	The next paragraph:	11	Α.	Can we ke
12	"The briefing strives to be succinct and	12		signatory
13	intelligible. However, given the subject matter and	13	Q.	Of course
14	scope of the review, it remains somewhat technical."	14	Α.	Yes. So t
15	There are some bullet points which provide	15		that's who
16	a summary. We don't need to go to those for now but	16		doesn't m
17	I just want to ask you one question.	17		that. So I
8	You circulate this message with the attachment and	18		It doesn't
19	the summary to the Board. Now, you've said you didn't,	19		expecting
20	in April and May, read the Deloitte report, the original	20		circulating
21	version, and I think your evidence is that, until you	21	Q.	Okay. If v
22	were shown it by the Inquiry, you hadn't seen the	22		we see the
23	original report; is that right?	23		Williams.
	That's my belief, yes.	24	Α.	l can, yes
24 <b>A</b> .				roun, you

You might be glad to hear I've only got one document ant to ask you about and it's about the Deloitte work at Mr Blake has already asked you about. He's asked u about April and May in the minutes in 2014. I want look at one document which comes a little after that, ich is POL00029733. If we could look at the bottom rd of page 1, I'd be grateful. We can see there, at the very bottom third of that ge, we see an email from you, Ms Lyons. Can you see at? s. dated 4 June 2014. I don't need to read those mes but do you accept that's the Board? s it's a message from you to the Board and it's warding, and we see the header there, "Deloitte efing -- Message from Chris Aujard and Lesley well", and it's marked, "Strictly Private and nfidential -- Subject to Legal Privilege". The message says you're forwarding a message from iris Aujard and Lesley Sewell and you attach the loitte briefing. Just for fullness, if we scroll up a little, we can e the message. It goes over on to page 2, as well. e don't need to read it all out because it's quite 206 lected the original? , because I wouldn't be able to do so. u don't recall asking to see the original at this ne? , I don't recall asking. ist want to ask you and to check whether this helps ur memory on who might have completed the summary for Board and helped with the Deloitte summary; now was Ir Aujard and Ms Sewell who would have asked you to culate this message? in we keep going down for a minute to see who the natory -course. s. So this message has come from Lesley and Chris and at's who I am circulating it on behalf of. Now, that esn't mean I didn't check with someone before I did at. So I might have checked with Paula, for instance. loesn't look as if I checked with Alice. So we were pecting this update from Lesley and Chris, so I'm culating it on their behalf. ay. If we scroll up to the very top of this document, see that you circulate it separately to Rodric

lliams. Can you see that there, at the top?

- an, yes.

1		"Sorry should have cc'd you in as you did all the
2		work!"
3		Now, you're circulating a message from Mr Aujard and
4		Lesley Sewell. Can you remember now which of both of
5		them might have asked you to circulate the document?
6	Α.	No, I can't.
7	Q.	You're forwarding it to Mr Williams, saying:
8		" should have cc'd you in [because, essentially]
9		you did all the work!"
10		Does that help your memory on who was involved in
11		the preparation of the final summary for the Board with
12		Deloitte?
13	Α.	So Rodric was a lawyer and I am reading into this now
14		that he had the connection with Deloitte and that he
15		was and so I would have said it was Chris Aujard but
16		that's only because I'm saying here that Rod, a lawyer,
17		did all the work.
18	мс	<b>PATRICK:</b> Thank you, Ms Lyons. That's all the questions
19	WIG	we have for you.
	ein	-
20		R WYN WILLIAMS: Is that it, Mr Blake?
21		BLAKE: It is, yes. Thank you, sir.
22	SIR	<b>WYN WILLIAMS:</b> Well, thank you very much, Ms Lyons, for
23		producing a detailed witness statement and for coming to
24		the Inquiry today to answer very many questions. I'm
25		grateful for your participation.
		209

### INDEX

ALWEN LYONS (affirmed)	1
Questioned by MR BLAKE	1
Questioned by MR JACOBS	192
Questioned by MS SHAH	200
Questioned by MS PATRICK	205

1 A. Thank you.

# 2 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: So we'll resume tomorrow morning with

3 Ms Vennells, I take it?

4 MR BLAKE: That's correct, sir, yes.

5 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Jolly good. Thank you.

6 (4.28 pm)

7 (The hearing adjourned until 9.45 am the following day)

	'RMG [1] 28/11	18 December [1]	156/10 156/16 159/24	
MR BLAKE: [31] 1/3	'situations [1] 75/6	189/9	171/9 174/23	<b>49 [1]</b> 201/25
1/5 1/8 21/11 34/2	'story' [1] 195/19	<b>180 [1]</b> 5/7	<b>2013/2020 [1]</b> 129/18	E
	'there [3] 87/23 87/24	19 August [1] 175/15	<b>2014 [11]</b> 38/9 160/6	5
53/16 53/19 53/24	88/7	19 July [1] 54/3	160/8 160/12 161/16	<b>5.30 [2]</b> 166/22
54/1 93/10 94/18	'unfair [1] 111/24	<b>1980 [1]</b> 200/16	161/16 168/11 175/25	
94/22 94/24 95/3	'viral' [1] 99/19	<b>1984 [1]</b> 4/22	178/15 206/4 206/12	<b>5.55 [3]</b> 167/20
100/17 102/10 120/14				167/21 169/5
120/17 120/21 120/23	'What [1] 118/18	<b>1991 [2]</b> 5/4 200/16	<b>2015 [3]</b> 38/11 38/16	107/21 109/3
152/2 152/5 152/22	1	<b>1993 [3]</b> 10/20 10/22	189/9	6
169/24 170/3 191/19		10/23	<b>2016 [2]</b> 36/12 36/14	
191/24 200/2 204/20	1 April [1] 24/18	<b>1995 [1]</b> 5/4	<b>2017 [2]</b> 8/4 190/23	6 February [2] 121/9
	<b>1.04 [1]</b> 120/18		<b>2020 [6]</b> 3/9 3/10	122/2
209/21 210/4	<b>1.14 [1]</b> 175/10	2	3/13 3/13 3/16 129/18	6 March [2] 26/10
MR JACOBS: [2]	<b>1.2 [2]</b> 162/8 163/5	2 July [2] 95/25	<b>2024 [2]</b> 1/1 1/15	28/18
192/1 199/24	<b>1.4 [1]</b> 164/3	118/16	<b>21 June [2]</b> 95/5	6.00 [1] 87/19
MS PATRICK: [2]	<b>1.7 [1]</b> 174/25	<b>2-3 [1]</b> 80/2		<b>62 [1]</b> 2/24
205/22 209/18			96/14	<b>64 [4]</b> 2/18 103/7
MS SHAH: [4] 200/7	10 April [1] 67/13	<b>2.00 [3]</b> 120/15	21 May [6] 79/8	
204/22 205/6 205/18	10 July [1] 130/14	120/16 120/20	79/10 80/20 178/15	103/8 103/22
	<b>10.30 [1]</b> 45/4	<b>2.2.17 [1]</b> 12/5	179/4 179/5	6th [1] 171/7
SIR WYN WILLIAMS:	10.58 [1] 58/16	<b>2.2.33 [1]</b> 12/22	21 May 2024 [1] 1/1	7
<b>[33]</b> 1/4 21/7 21/10	100 [1] 89/2	<b>2.2.34 [1]</b> 13/21	<b>23 [1]</b> 11/18	
32/14 33/5 33/7 53/18	<b>102 [1]</b> 15/13	<b>2.2.9 [1]</b> 11/20	<b>23 May [6]</b> 93/2	7 May [1] 71/10
53/20 53/25 92/5	<b>104 [1]</b> 3/6	<b>2.3 [4]</b> 165/16 166/15		7 years [1] 45/17
94/21 94/23 100/12		166/16 167/16		<u> </u>
101/22 102/9 120/16	<b>108 [1]</b> 59/13		179/8 181/18	8
120/22 151/24 152/3	10k [1] 49/17	<b>2.51 [1]</b> 58/17	23rd [1] 101/25	8 February [1]
152/6 152/17 152/21	11 April [1] 41/12	<b>20,000 [1]</b> 47/3	<b>24 [2]</b> 12/5 12/6	122/15
	11 July [2] 130/13	<b>2000 [2]</b> 5/18 5/25	24 April [1] 1/14	
169/22 191/23 200/1	130/15	2000s [1] 6/25	24 July [1] 192/10	8 July [4] 95/12
200/5 204/21 205/3	11 June [1] 172/14	<b>2001 [3]</b> 5/18 5/25	<b>24 June [4]</b> 100/4	124/18 124/21 174/23
205/19 209/20 209/22	11 March [1] 63/12	6/5	100/19 102/7 173/19	<b>8.2 [1]</b> 134/8
210/2 210/5	<b>11.00 [1]</b> 53/21	<b>2002 [2]</b> 6/6 6/11		8th [1] 131/3
THE WITNESS: [1]			<b>25 July [1]</b> 190/23	
199/25	<b>11.10 [1]</b> 53/18	<b>2005 [2]</b> 6/12 119/10	27 July [1] 196/22	9
	11.11 [1] 53/23	<b>2006 [1]</b> 194/13	28 June [5] 49/1	9 July [1] 129/21
•	<b>11.27 [1]</b> 196/22	<b>2007 [2]</b> 193/23	102/11 105/17 106/14	<b>9.00 [1]</b> 111/8
<b>14 4 [4]</b> 117/16	<b>11.30 [1]</b> 45/5	194/7	110/21	
<b>'14 [1]</b> 117/16	11th [1] 130/23	<b>2008 [3]</b> 49/12 175/8		<b>9.45 [1]</b> 1/2
'90s [1] 6/23	<b>12 [2]</b> 136/8 174/24	175/15	148/25	9.45 am [1] 210/7
<b>'91 [2]</b> 201/3 201/18	<b>12 January [1]</b> 3/1	<b>2009 [1]</b> 9/15		<b>90 [1]</b> 1/18
<b>'95 [2]</b> 201/3 201/18			3	<b>96 [1]</b> 2/18
'Balancing [2]	<b>12 July [2]</b> 131/9	<b>2010 [10]</b> 49/14		
184/24 185/7	135/9	74/12 77/6 102/19	3 cases [2] 170/21	<b>A</b>
'beyond [1] 108/22	12 March [1] 2/8	103/9 103/11 172/25	170/21	AB [1] 136/18
	<b>12.00 [1]</b> 45/5	178/9 178/12 188/7	3 July [2] 120/24	abilities [2] 30/6 30/8
<b>'Board [1]</b> 207/4	<b>12.13 [1]</b> 94/25	<b>2011 [7]</b> 8/1 9/10	123/2	
'bugs' [2] 111/17	<b>12.25 [2]</b> 94/22 95/2	49/15 49/16 49/17	3 May [1] 44/18	ability [3] 50/8 167/2
131/23	<b>13 [1]</b> 121/20	103/5 117/15	<b>3.16 [1]</b> 169/25	203/23
'caring' [1] 51/24		<b>2012 [22]</b> 2/8 2/11	<b>3.29 [1]</b> 170/2	able [20] 3/14 26/19
'covered [1] 107/12	<b>13 March [2]</b> 2/11			28/16 41/18 43/16
'culture [1] 15/23	39/11	3/1 9/13 24/18 26/10	<b>3.30 [1]</b> 169/23	44/15 56/7 61/11
'defend [1] 61/12	<b>14 [7]</b> 102/20 103/4	37/21 39/10 39/11	<b>30 [2]</b> 148/25 149/1	64/25 83/13 88/2 90/9
'deliverable' [1]	103/22 103/25 117/19		30 April [1] 175/25	91/2 157/6 164/5
186/20	121/7 122/16	54/1 54/3 60/2 121/9	30 July [1] 153/8	175/3 181/8 187/25
	<b>15 [1]</b> 2/24	122/7 122/13 124/15	30 May [1] 188/3	
'Exception [1]	<b>15 July [1]</b> 136/13	159/23 192/10 196/22		188/11 208/2
118/21	<b>15th [2]</b> 138/9 138/16		<b>31 July [1]</b> 199/5	about [200] 3/17 4/10
'fake' [1] 185/15		37/25 38/3 38/6 38/8	<b>32 [2]</b> 200/18 201/4	5/15 7/15 8/9 9/3 9/8
'Final [1] 51/5	<b>16 April [2]</b> 80/8			9/23 10/6 10/8 11/13
'Gareth [1] 130/20	81/18	38/13 38/16 60/17	<b>33 [1]</b> 200/18	18/2 19/13 19/24
'help' [1] 45/11	16 July [1] 140/18	60/18 63/12 66/15	33 years [1] 4/14	20/23 21/5 21/5 22/25
<b>'J' [1]</b> 192/13	16 May [3] 74/2	67/13 71/11 74/2	34 years [1] 204/5	23/19 24/3 24/9 24/12
	75/12 79/10	75/12 79/8 86/4 93/12	<b>345 [1]</b> 3/5	24/13 24/14 24/17
'meant' [1] 68/6	163 [1] 60/21	95/5 96/14 97/9 98/6	<b>360 [1]</b> 203/25	
'or [4] 88/2 90/10	<b>16th [2]</b> 136/15	100/5 101/9 101/15	3rd [2] 96/1 96/8	24/21 24/23 24/24
91/2 92/20	136/16	105/2 110/21 120/24		31/16 32/15 33/24
'our [1] 198/4			4	34/6 34/7 34/10 34/13
'phantom' [1] 178/4	17 May [1] 45/3	122/2 122/9 122/14		34/14 34/18 35/9
'Post [1] 174/1	<b>17th [1]</b> 136/18	122/15 122/16 134/5	<b>4 June [2]</b> 171/13	35/11 38/25 39/17
	<b>18 [2]</b> 5/20 8/9	140/18 153/8 155/3	206/12	
			I	1
				(54) MR BLAKE: - about

(54) MR BLAKE: - about

	1011	a a multita d [0] (02/00	140/04 454/0 454/44	200/24
Α	164/4	acquitted [2] 193/22 194/15	142/24 151/9 151/11 151/12 151/16 151/17	200/21 ago [2] 16/16 70/1
about [159] 40/19	absolutely [10] 89/5			
43/1 50/20 52/11 53/8	101/21 114/22 116/24		153/23 153/25 155/5	agree [21] 12/1 12/18
55/8 61/5 62/4 62/6	127/21 136/6 150/6	across [2] 61/11 197/1	155/6 155/22 156/3	13/2 13/16 14/11
62/7 62/10 62/13	152/2 173/13 195/10		156/9 161/5 161/17	
64/22 65/13 69/24	accept [14] 92/21	act [2] 10/15 168/1		26/22 50/5 64/16
71/5 73/4 78/9 78/15	97/5 97/6 97/7 98/6	acted [1] 154/10	162/10 162/11 162/12	68/18 100/7 139/18
78/20 79/15 79/23	185/24 186/5 186/7	acting [4] 58/3 69/7	167/12 168/13 169/6	181/4 193/12 194/3
81/22 82/3 82/13 83/2	198/21 201/11 204/3	69/12 205/13	169/10 188/13	194/3 201/17 205/7
83/11 85/20 86/22	204/10 204/23 206/13		advise [1] 12/25	agreed [11] 54/17
86/24 88/9 92/2 92/7	accepted [6] 10/2	55/15 133/2 145/18	advised [2] 46/20	62/19 131/2 146/24
94/3 94/10 94/15	145/10 185/2 192/25	145/19 145/23 174/4	181/17	146/24 157/2 170/20
94/15 98/3 99/2 100/9	193/14 193/16	203/2 203/17	advising [3] 116/19	171/21 178/10 181/23
100/15 102/1 104/9			124/1 159/5	193/25
104/14 104/20 105/16	195/8	actions [6] 66/19	Affairs [1] 165/19	agreement [2] 26/11
105/22 107/9 107/10	accepts [1] 100/6	76/12 133/6 133/8	affected [4] 24/4	55/16
107/15 107/17 107/18	access [19] 12/16	205/7 205/12	99/19 121/24 192/5	agreements [1] 35/3
108/2 108/5 109/14	99/8 100/1 160/9	active [2] 48/4 204/3	affidavit [1] 172/24	agrees [1] 55/14
109/22 109/25 110/4	170/4 170/24 171/3	activities [1] 153/16	affirmed [2] 1/6	Ah [1] 187/4
110/6 111/24 112/11	171/10 172/11 172/21	activity [1] 150/21	211/2	ahead [4] 51/4 62/21
112/14 113/7 114/5	173/16 175/18 176/7	actually [17] 2/16	affront [1] 29/5	117/3 117/8
114/8 115/8 115/9	176/19 185/14 185/14	24/19 32/18 32/25	afraid [2] 153/20	air [3] 61/9 62/8
116/2 116/4 116/5	185/20 188/24 189/4	49/24 54/13 83/15	172/17	175/21
117/14 119/9 121/2	accessible [1]	91/18 126/4 148/9	after [28] 3/6 7/3 7/25	aired [1] 88/5
121/7 122/12 123/1	177/25	149/13 179/9 180/23	10/11 22/23 25/9	al [1] 171/17
128/2 128/12 131/1	accompanied [2]	182/9 184/4 202/21	32/20 52/16 80/11	Alan [5] 54/18 136/19
	12/13 40/3	204/14	80/15 80/21 100/8	137/2 138/2 138/6
131/6 132/8 132/10	according [1] 162/21	add [1] 65/9	100/12 100/13 110/2	Alana [5] 50/10
134/5 134/18 135/24	accords [1] 11/19	added [1] 181/25	112/5 114/8 117/16	195/18 195/20 195/21
136/2 136/4 137/2	account [10] 43/15	addition [2] 90/24	137/23 148/5 148/6	196/4
137/21 138/7 139/25	43/16 103/4 121/15	181/20	149/20 176/22 176/24	albeit [1] 202/17
140/13 143/15 148/1	164/7 165/8 170/24	additional [6] 17/10	180/25 196/3 202/22	alert [5] 75/8 76/12
148/3 149/15 150/12	171/23 186/2 198/24	104/10 154/25 178/11	206/5	76/14 76/23 76/25
150/22 150/25 151/1	accountabilities [4]	185/1 203/9	aftermath [2] 68/13	Alice [64] 2/7 19/3
151/15 155/4 156/5	12/10 13/21 13/22	additions [1] 184/1	68/20	25/17 39/11 40/18
156/11 157/8 157/9	1/0/2	address [5] 143/1	afternoon [7] 54/10	40/18 40/20 41/6
157/13 159/13 159/25	accountability [4]	148/10 148/12 166/17	111/3 120/21 169/21	41/10 42/11 44/24
161/1 163/10 163/23	13/7 18/6 19/25 20/21		189/16 200/7 207/5	52/7 52/8 57/24 58/19
164/24 165/7 165/10	accountable [2] 12/7		again [32] 13/18	58/21 58/25 59/1 59/3
165/13 165/15 166/1	13/12	122/8 167/6	19/14 20/6 45/18	59/10 59/24 60/6
167/1 167/17 171/3	accountancy [1] 11/8		50/25 52/5 59/23	66/20 67/9 68/6 79/10
174/6 175/17 176/4	accountant [5] 11/1	addresses [5] 54/25	67/14 68/21 69/3	79/13 81/2 81/13 82/4
176/11 177/13 177/14	56/3 111/16 192/21	129/17 155/23 165/23		82/5 82/7 82/8 82/15
178/4 178/5 181/20	192/22	174/24	76/22 83/10 84/2 84/3	
181/24 182/16 186/3				
186/12 188/18 188/24	Accountants [1] 10/20	addressing [3] 143/20 148/14 168/15	95/7 98/12 99/10	86/15 87/7 87/17 112/19 114/17 114/19
189/2 189/4 190/5				
190/5 190/20 191/9	accounts [5] 43/3	adequate [4] 16/1	124/8 135/21 147/15	114/21 114/22 124/12
192/7 193/9 194/21	43/5 99/18 119/18	16/4 50/17 50/22	156/8 159/22 160/24	127/1 128/25 129/13
199/3 199/23 200/21	121/21	adjourned [1] 210/7	168/8 169/23 196/23	142/12 149/21 150/9
201/12 202/1 202/11	accredited [1] 10/19	adjournment [4] 40/1	against [7] 27/2	
202/14 202/16 202/20	accurate [10] 90/19	50/5 51/20 120/19	109/18 155/10 155/14	
206/2 206/2 206/3	91/18 92/23 93/10	adjustments [1]	195/2 198/19 199/4	164/22 165/7 171/15
206/4 207/6	93/13 93/19 93/19	172/1	aged [1] 47/2	199/11 208/18
above [21] 46/15	116/18 180/24 207/10		agenda [13] 14/6	Alice's [6] 9/6 66/24
75/16 77/2 77/3 87/1	accurately [1] 207/25		21/23 21/24 21/25	67/1 83/14 84/5 86/23
87/5 97/24 99/25	accused [1] 4/3	advance [4] 96/2	22/4 22/5 22/12 80/13	
116/13 117/24 118/24	accused's [1] 155/1	115/13 172/15 177/6	80/17 160/17 160/25	aligned [1] 111/22
123/16 133/2 133/6	accuses [1] 50/21	advice [48] 37/24	161/4 161/5	all [94] 9/18 11/22
133/8 139/6 154/9	acknowledgement		agent [3] 27/5 162/25	
155/13 171/18 174/7	<b>[2]</b> 193/2 196/8	98/24 99/3 99/3 99/4	203/21	15/21 16/23 17/20
192/25	acknowledging [1]		agents [7] 27/4 191/3	
Absence [1] 146/8	196/13	131/1 131/3 132/11	191/9 198/5 198/12	25/18 29/18 30/12
absent [2] 110/6	acquittal [1] 194/6	135/1 135/22 138/11	198/13 202/6	30/13 32/24 37/15
	acquittals [1] 198/23	138/19 139/21 139/24	aggressive [1]	39/4 40/8 42/8 42/10
				(FF) about al

(55) about... - all

٨	38/19 50/17 50/21	animated [1] 99/13	34/19 34/23 40/22	approximately [3]
A	55/6 63/6 66/3 75/20	announcement [1]	53/12 58/8 65/13 67/7	54/20 74/7 125/9
all [72] 43/3 43/17	79/24 80/17 81/21	55/5	71/24 72/1 89/5 89/13	
50/8 53/7 53/18 59/24	82/2 92/2 96/9 99/22	anomalies [2] 120/10		37/21 41/12 67/13
68/10 73/5 73/7 75/10 80/18 82/25 83/22	101/18 103/21 110/12	121/20	115/2 147/25 153/1	80/8 81/18 122/16
84/25 85/1 86/22 92/7	111/21 111/22 112/11	anomaly [4] 77/10	158/13 179/20 196/1	175/25 206/4 207/20
92/10 92/16 92/23	114/18 116/6 126/6	120/1 120/4 122/17	anyway [1] 123/7	Arbuthnot [51] 2/7
94/23 103/21 105/14	127/15 131/23 132/16		anywhere [1] 75/23	39/13 39/16 39/19
108/23 110/2 111/13	165/12 165/20 168/16		AP [1] 164/21	40/14 40/19 41/21
114/14 116/14 117/2	177/10 191/7 191/21	73/13 76/23 76/25	apart [1] 158/13	44/18 45/3 45/5 47/25
117/5 121/5 122/7	194/13 196/19 200/15		Apologies [1] 146/8	48/10 54/18 55/1 68/14 68/20 69/5
123/10 124/25 126/13	alter [1] 171/22 although [9] 37/4	194/14 answer [6] 118/16	apologise [3] 79/13 82/19 82/20	79/11 80/19 80/25
127/25 128/9 132/11	38/24 45/16 66/1	118/20 194/5 194/12	apologised [3] 81/12	81/5 81/7 93/2 95/11
139/10 147/21 148/17	122/8 138/5 143/17	205/3 209/24	85/18 87/9	96/8 97/3 97/18 99/1
151/18 152/13 153/10	174/5 179/12	answered [2] 174/1	apologising [4]	99/15 99/17 100/4
154/14 166/14 167/4 167/8 168/24 169/22	Altman [3] 158/20	187/15	80/22 81/11 82/14	100/5 100/14 100/20
172/23 173/14 174/18	159/1 159/21	anticipate [1] 102/3	85/19	100/24 101/3 101/9
177/4 185/8 185/9	altogether [3] 40/6	anticipated [1]	apology [1] 81/9	101/15 102/1 102/5
186/17 188/18 191/20	158/22 159/2	181/17	appalling [3] 197/16	113/11 115/22 123/8
191/23 192/7 192/25	always [9] 15/1 21/24		198/20 198/21	124/3 124/4 124/13
199/18 201/24 203/5	25/8 41/9 64/19 67/6	7/10 7/21 10/10 11/1	apparent [1] 82/10	148/7 163/8 163/11
204/18 206/25 207/2	85/13 144/8 165/7		Apparently [1] 51/12	170/12 171/17
209/1 209/9 209/17	ALWEN [21] 1/6 1/10 39/14 44/24 56/1	18/13 20/6 21/2 22/25	appeal [1] 109/18 appeals [2] 5/21 7/18	Arbuthnot's [2] 54/12 111/8
209/18	66/20 67/16 68/10	30/24 30/24 31/15	appears [2] 5/21 7/16 appear [1] 76/19	ARC [4] 157/13
Allan [1] 194/25	77/5 107/14 108/14	31/23 32/17 33/12	appearances [2]	157/20 160/25 161/13
allegation [2] 61/14	108/17 127/2 127/13	35/25 36/24 38/20	14/9 149/7	are [190] 4/4 6/20
173/14	131/13 156/20 170/8	39/2 44/13 44/16	appeared [2] 62/1	12/7 13/9 13/11 13/20
allegations [7] 49/18 50/16 50/20 61/22	186/22 189/12 198/3	45/15 48/8 50/5 50/16		13/21 14/2 16/25
61/24 108/6 178/4	211/2	53/7 55/11 55/14	appears [3] 50/15	22/13 23/24 24/1
alleged [2] 46/22	am [29] 1/2 19/15	56/22 61/22 65/10	50/18 58/2	24/25 26/13 29/21
194/20	28/8 35/17 40/10	70/17 71/14 72/2	application [1] 50/6	30/16 31/14 31/15
alleges [1] 171/21	53/21 53/23 62/23	75/12 76/15 77/11	apply [1] 16/20	33/3 38/22 38/22
alleging [1] 47/9	68/6 70/10 70/25	79/4 83/22 85/1 91/17		39/23 40/13 41/16
Alliance [8] 54/6	72/15 72/19 73/12 95/22 96/24 97/15	98/10 106/9 106/20 106/24 107/12 108/2	22/25 66/6 appointment [2]	41/17 43/20 45/8 45/10 45/23 50/20
54/19 55/7 79/19	98/16 98/20 99/1	109/24 112/20 112/21		51/25 60/13 61/4 61/6
79/20 80/7 95/14 96/7	111/7 111/21 131/14	113/13 120/9 120/11	appraised [2] 151/9	61/21 63/12 64/17
allow [2] 26/18 28/15	147/14 160/18 174/5	123/11 129/23 132/10		65/4 65/9 65/17 65/18
allowed [2] 56/14	208/15 209/13 210/7	135/5 143/7 143/13	appreciated [1]	66/19 68/10 72/24
157/15 allows [2] 162/21	amalgamate [1]	144/3 144/25 145/3	101/23	75/4 75/11 77/25 78/1
184/25	22/11	145/4 145/5 145/5	apprehend [2] 26/20	79/16 80/1 81/3 83/3
allude [1] 81/16	amend [2] 123/20	146/12 146/13 149/15		83/20 87/23 87/24
alluding [1] 123/22	134/19	149/17 150/8 150/10	apprehended [1]	87/25 88/7 88/15
almost [6] 25/11	amended [3] 132/16	150/21 150/25 152/7	27/6	88/15 88/15 88/20
40/21 56/14 83/17	132/19 181/13	154/15 156/8 157/12 159/12 163/3 163/19	apprehension [2] 26/18 28/15	89/2 89/16 89/17 90/7 90/21 90/21 90/22
86/18 98/12	amending [2] 180/13 182/2	167/24 168/3 168/4	approach [8] 26/22	90/21 90/21 90/22 90/22 90/24 91/1 91/13
along [6] 19/12 19/14	amongst [6] 5/3	175/3 176/22 177/2	28/24 111/10 111/15	91/13 92/8 92/9 93/23
20/18 31/22 167/8	33/12 40/11 99/19	177/3 184/5 188/12	123/20 198/6 201/7	95/12 95/18 95/20
179/13	155/19 163/13	189/1 189/5 190/2	202/17	96/3 96/15 96/22
alongside [3] 76/23 133/11 162/6	amounts [1] 63/7	190/15 192/14 193/24		97/24 98/3 98/4 98/4
already [18] 49/6	analysis [1] 110/5	195/10 199/12 202/12	8/14	98/17 98/18 98/23
50/10 57/18 71/17	Andrew [2] 117/13	202/13 202/18 203/12		98/25 99/14 99/16
72/5 72/10 72/23	135/11	204/9 205/4 205/4	11/24 12/13 13/8	99/23 100/6 100/20
73/10 73/17 79/22	Andy [2] 64/9 121/5	anybody [10] 34/23	53/16 58/9 60/23 93/4	100/21 101/5 101/6
100/13 100/14 112/4	Angela [7] 56/24	44/4 58/5 92/4 93/18	94/19 102/1 120/14	106/20 110/7 111/13
118/15 126/5 166/12	57/17 61/7 71/11 71/24 127/15 205/23	102/5 108/10 143/11 153/4 184/22	127/17 128/13 148/10 148/12 169/21 195/7	111/14 111/14 112/6 114/9 116/19 117/22
169/12 206/3	anger [1] 188/17	anyone [6] 46/2 46/3	approval [2] 71/15	118/3 120/3 121/4
also [44] 3/15 5/21	angles [1] 127/10	90/19 146/25 151/15	72/23	123/6 123/8 125/2
7/19 10/5 13/20 25/11	angry [2] 173/25	196/13	approximate [1]	126/19 127/6 127/9
33/18 35/23 37/5	174/14	anything [21] 10/15	141/7	128/19 129/15 131/17
L				(56) all - aro

Α	181/20 186/12 186/22	200/2	autumn [1] 156/16	basically [5] 29/20
	193/9 198/11 206/2	at [282]	available [5] 43/12	81/15 82/9 141/8
are [72] 131/18 132/5 132/7 133/17	207/17 208/6	ATM [11] 46/18 46/23		144/22
135/11 136/8 136/10	asked [41] 32/4	47/3 47/4 47/8 47/9	185/5	basis [5] 28/19 37/3
136/22 138/7 139/10	65/24 69/10 70/17	47/14 47/17 48/20	avoid [1] 205/10	39/15 108/25 154/11
139/23 139/25 139/25	70/22 71/4 71/7	48/21 50/15	aware [48] 2/15 7/12	basket [1] 185/15
140/17 140/19 147/13	108/17 120/12 128/25		7/14 7/19 7/23 7/24	Bates [5] 54/19
150/18 152/2 154/4	129/13 133/1 139/3	47/3	9/10 9/16 9/25 14/2	136/19 137/2 138/2
159/14 159/15 159/25	142/1 142/6 142/8	attach [2] 39/15	31/3 31/15 31/17	138/6
160/4 161/16 163/1	142/15 143/6 144/2 146/14 146/18 147/3	206/21	31/19 32/18 32/24 33/13 33/15 33/22	be [253]
163/8 163/13 163/14	151/19 157/17 157/17	attached [5] 102/17 135/13 138/22 156/25		bear [1] 131/13
163/14 164/6 165/3	157/24 158/1 161/21	207/4	75/1 76/2 79/1 79/4	113/16
165/5 166/25 168/1	161/22 161/25 162/12		93/15 100/5 105/17	became [11] 2/17
170/22 171/6 171/20	171/16 176/17 178/8	attachment [2]	106/24 122/6 122/10	7/14 8/1 22/19 22/23
171/25 172/2 173/1 174/10 174/19 175/12	187/24 190/8 190/19	124/25 207/18	122/16 122/25 131/5	23/17 70/24 78/23
175/13 176/2 179/5	206/3 206/3 208/9	attend [4] 19/6	155/3 156/11 157/9	105/12 180/16 190/8
179/14 179/16 180/11	209/5		158/25 159/25 160/3	because [125] 6/20
180/12 181/5 182/2	asking [21] 32/14	attendance [4]	160/4 171/9 193/19	8/13 10/23 17/7 18/10
182/8 182/21 183/5	40/10 40/19 41/23	140/19 140/20 146/11		
185/9 185/17 186/20	53/7 65/11 70/15	176/2	away [7] 87/23 88/7	20/25 21/25 22/9 23/6
188/14 189/17 191/20	73/16 89/24 93/17	attended [6] 19/22	91/12 91/12 158/3	23/8 24/3 24/13 24/15
191/20 192/6 192/17	120/6 124/8 125/7 148/6 152/11 156/1	20/14 145/20 150/18 195/1 195/1	158/4 183/24	24/18 25/12 27/17 33/16 34/19 34/22
192/18 195/19 196/13	158/1 158/2 158/11	attendee [3] 59/22	B	35/4 35/11 38/25
198/11 203/14 203/15	208/3 208/5	60/9 65/18	back [40] 15/1 18/20	43/20 43/23 48/10
204/8 207/15	aspect [4] 24/3 24/6	attendees [3] 45/20	20/9 23/20 23/23 30/2	
area [8] 5/3 51/25	151/25 184/13	59/14 63/16	33/3 40/7 44/4 49/9	56/2 56/6 56/12 57/12
52/13 53/1 53/9 72/18 194/16 201/2	aspects [2] 125/1	attending [5] 19/19	69/4 69/18 70/21 85/7	59/9 59/23 60/6 62/23
areas [10] 17/24 22/8	187/9	70/4 115/10 115/11	87/11 93/3 93/18	62/25 63/4 64/17
23/24 71/23 72/2	asserted [2] 185/3	115/13	114/20 114/22 120/15	
98/17 98/25 126/15	193/23	attention [11] 2/20	121/15 122/7 127/20 140/1 142/15 151/25	68/23 71/23 72/3 78/5
128/7 168/21	assertion [1] 196/7	13/10 21/16 21/18 55/1 83/24 85/4 85/6	160/21 162/15 164/20	78/20 82/20 83/13
aren't [5] 43/21 89/9	assertions [1] 61/8 asset [1] 28/23	85/10 155/24 185/19	169/14 173/20 180/22	
89/16 90/25 157/21	assist [18] 5/10 8/6	attitude [2] 200/23	182/6 182/25 183/2	101/16 101/20 101/22
argument [1] 174/11	16/10 16/15 30/13	203/17	183/13 184/2 184/4	105/8 105/8 107/24
arise [1] 41/1	47/20 52/3 66/23	Attorney [1] 139/11	186/18 197/13	112/18 112/25 113/4
arises [1] 89/17 arising [3] 155/20	80/18 81/10 125/17	audience [1] 188/9	backed [1] 64/1	114/4 115/7 115/11
169/16 169/16	133/15 135/10 136/24		background [7] 4/13	116/17 123/13 124/5
Armstrong [1] 63/21	144/9 161/18 176/6	45/16 46/7 133/5	69/3 201/13 201/14	124/12 138/3 139/25
arose [1] 114/21	191/11	133/9 160/12 167/11	204/10 204/11 204/15	
around [13] 31/9	assistant [3] 54/13	171/3 171/25 172/7	bad [3] 83/19 112/7 113/16	142/21 143/9 145/10
40/21 78/24 95/19	114/10 115/24	185/3 207/10 audits [1] 200/22	Baker [23] 55/25	146/4 146/21 147/22 148/13 148/18 152/18
95/19 97/25 97/25	assistants [1] 192/5 assisted [1] 155/1	August [4] 38/3	56/11 59/15 63/16	155/7 155/25 156/13
97/25 105/17 105/21	assisting [1] 189/19	155/3 156/10 175/15	67/13 71/11 72/21	157/12 157/19 161/5
125/9 127/15 196/6	assists [2] 115/22	Aujard [22] 19/12	74/2 77/2 77/3 77/23	161/11 161/11 161/21
<b>ARQ [3]</b> 44/9 46/7 46/8	140/24	35/16 35/18 36/15	99/10 100/3 106/15	164/1 166/5 166/12
arranged [1] 149/10	associated [2] 28/18	37/13 37/13 38/8	119/7 119/22 153/9	166/15 166/19 168/13
arranging [3] 14/4	153/15	38/18 38/21 177/10	173/22 192/11 195/7	169/5 176/14 179/25
14/7 149/5	assume [2] 136/20	178/2 179/1 179/14	195/17 196/4 196/23	183/16 184/16 185/21
arrive [1] 135/19	161/22	180/12 182/1 186/15	balance [7] 74/18	185/23 186/5 187/23
arriving [1] 45/4	assumed [2] 142/3	188/3 206/17 206/21	74/22 76/1 76/4 76/19 121/15 121/22	191/15 193/17 199/16
article [1] 9/16	143/9 assuming [7] 30/16	208/9 209/3 209/15 authorisation [1]	balanced [1] 42/22	202/5 203/10 204/7 205/4 206/25 208/2
as [249]	assuming [7] 30/16 73/12 73/12 160/24	57/5	balancing [4] 7/11	209/8 209/16
ASAP [1] 179/17	168/18 168/20 198/16		49/13 74/12 194/17	become [2] 7/12
ask [26] 1/17 3/11	assumption [1]	185/14	bandwagon [1] 50/9	69/21
8/15 9/23 35/9 41/15	33/23	authorities [1] 168/3	banking [1] 15/16	becomes [3] 40/15
84/2 104/1 104/6 108/10 108/25 112/22	assurance [5] 178/5	authority [2] 56/17	Barnsley [1] 47/1	56/23 102/4
112/25 123/7 126/2	178/8 178/11 178/21	133/11	barristers [1] 198/19	becoming [3] 9/19
134/25 142/8 157/21	181/15	automatically [1]	based [1] 192/25	31/10 82/10
	assured [2] 45/10	77/10	basic [2] 55/9 173/7	been [147] 2/13 2/15

(57) are... - been

В	beginning [5] 17/21	182/23 183/13 190/18	blown [2] 83/17	38/17 38/24 54/9
been [145] 3/15 4/5	54/23 107/21 146/5	194/11 201/1 201/7	86/18	206/17 206/22 207/12
5/13 7/21 8/8 9/1 23/6	199/16	203/5 203/6 203/24	board [272]	Briefing' [1] 207/5
24/18 26/12 26/23	begins [2] 28/9	204/18 205/12	Board's [6] 13/9	briefings [1] 28/19
27/15 28/6 31/21	108/20	believed [12] 20/24	21/16 37/6 125/20	briefly [5] 5/11 80/19
31/22 31/24 31/25	behalf [11] 15/3 69/7	21/18 23/4 62/19	135/5 163/14	110/8 191/11 200/4
32/3 32/7 33/18 34/13	70/6 70/16 85/8	62/24 64/20 65/15	boardroom [2] 15/23	briefs [1] 28/20
34/15 35/5 35/7 36/4	124/20 138/24 138/25	65/23 169/11 186/1	141/15	bring [12] 22/2 23/23
37/11 46/20 46/21	191/21 208/15 208/20	205/12 205/13	body [2] 31/7 134/7	33/3 83/24 85/3 85/6
47/10 50/10 52/6	behave [1] 111/16	believes [4] 39/24	Bogerd [2] 61/7	85/10 132/16 138/8
52/18 52/21 56/3	behaved [2] 37/3	59/1 99/17 136/22	71/12	141/13 162/6 189/8
58/19 59/23 62/13	37/7	believing [2] 106/5	Bond [1] 153/23	bringing [1] 54/25
65/6 65/21 66/6 69/10	behaviour [2] 15/24	106/6	books [1] 13/24	broad [1] 166/1
73/4 73/13 77/13	29/1	Belinda [15] 160/20	boss [4] 19/11 25/12	broader [3] 11/6 11/7
77/14 81/4 81/21	behind [2] 140/4	161/5 161/10 179/2	25/13 30/16	163/1
84/12 84/13 84/17	205/10	179/14 179/24 180/1	both [13] 15/8 41/16	brought [12] 13/9
87/16 88/2 88/11	being [72] 2/8 7/24	180/11 180/18 181/2	77/25 78/1 80/5	21/16 121/15 121/21
88/12 89/3 89/6 90/10	14/16 22/25 24/11	182/1 182/21 183/3	108/21 115/16 150/7	128/10 155/13 155/18
90/24 91/2 91/21	24/13 29/18 30/2	183/10 184/4	156/25 161/23 168/13	I I
92/20 93/14 95/8 95/8		below [13] 36/9 37/1	194/25 209/4	199/4 200/11
99/15 101/8 101/14	47/20 53/1 56/14	52/2 57/15 77/7 85/18		budget [1] 22/9
101/14 101/24 102/21	56/21 57/10 61/1 62/7		15/14 27/10 27/21	bug [19] 103/13
103/1 103/8 103/22	63/7 63/25 64/15	126/17 186/19 187/5	44/20 50/23 51/11	103/14 104/17 105/4
104/22 106/7 106/8	65/19 66/9 69/18	196/10	54/24 55/23 59/11	105/6 106/19 107/2
106/21 107/10 108/1	70/17 70/21 71/4 71/7		63/13 63/14 67/13	107/15 107/23 117/14
108/6 109/11 109/18	81/23 85/25 89/15	191/15	71/11 75/3 99/9	117/19 119/9 120/4
109/24 112/4 118/3		best [9] 1/22 2/4 3/21	102/12 106/15 119/19	I I
119/15 120/12 122/11	98/4 98/4 104/14	6/3 16/23 32/23 45/6	124/19 129/7 131/9	122/13 122/25 123/1
127/18 129/25 130/3	104/19 105/23 106/6	54/20 98/19	132/14 148/25 156/17	
133/15 134/22 135/17	106/24 107/22 111/14		156/18 173/16 184/20	
137/15 139/16 142/10	113/16 114/13 114/14		189/11 192/9 195/16	87/23 87/24 88/7
143/10 143/18 144/14	119/14 123/25 125/23		197/6 206/6 206/8	88/16 88/19 89/5
146/5 146/18 146/18	129/11 143/1 145/4	between [29] 5/4	Bracknell [1] 174/10	89/16 89/16 90/2
147/7 149/25 150/13	145/23 145/25 148/9	5/18 6/5 6/11 17/17	braincells [1] 160/18	91/13 91/13 92/1 92/9
151/13 152/14 152/24	153/21 157/24 158/18			92/9 92/16 93/23
159/1 160/3 160/4	165/12 168/10 173/15		branch [12] 74/21	93/24 94/5 99/12
161/11 161/21 161/25	174/14 183/9 189/1	67/4 67/6 80/6 86/6		99/14 99/16 99/18
162/11 163/25 165/20	193/1 193/4 201/15	87/12 87/17 102/5	121/10 121/18 121/20 122/4 122/16 194/18	I I
167/5 168/15 170/12	205/1 205/5 205/9	102/6 122/15 137/17 162/19 162/24 163/10		100/21 101/6 101/6 102/25 104/10 104/13
174/16 178/21 179/25	belief [10] 1/23 2/5 2/12 3/21 42/13 62/21			102/23 104/10 104/13
181/13 181/16 183/1	76/7 82/8 150/10	203/15	branches [6] 6/11 8/1 29/9 103/8 121/24	I I
183/15 183/25 185/18	207/24	beyond [3] 116/22	202/2	106/7 106/10 106/20
187/24 190/18 193/7	believe [77] 3/12	116/24 167/23	branches' [1] 121/21	106/24 107/6 110/25
193/8 193/10 193/11		big [2] 163/7 163/23	break [10] 53/17	112/2 112/11 112/13
193/21 194/1 194/5	16/7 16/12 19/21 20/3		53/22 94/20 95/1	112/14 113/3 113/7
195/7 197/10 197/11	20/4 20/25 26/11 31/8		115/20 117/11 119/5	114/5 114/8 115/8
197/24 198/22 200/24	34/18 35/4 35/7 37/12		130/19 169/21 170/1	116/3 116/5 118/1
203/1	38/18 38/24 39/21	bit [19] 6/17 6/25	Brian [3] 158/20	118/4 118/6 118/10
before [41] 2/3 3/25	40/20 42/10 45/7 48/8		159/1 159/21	118/18 119/2 119/4
9/1 9/19 10/2 10/13	65/25 80/14 88/17	74/15 85/14 85/17	brief [33] 37/21 44/8	119/4 120/10 122/12
10/23 16/13 22/19	91/6 91/21 98/10	111/25 137/6 137/8		bullet [3] 45/13 185/6
23/7 25/10 25/15	105/20 115/15 115/25		88/13 88/14 88/14	207/15
25/19 51/1 64/24	115/25 116/8 123/19	145/8 152/25 168/19		bundle [3] 1/12 51/13
78/20 80/2 81/6 100/8	125/2 125/8 139/21	179/16 180/3	90/5 90/19 91/7 91/9	51/14
	142/9 142/9 142/13	bits [1] 131/17	91/11 91/21 92/1 92/3	
117/11 118/5 119/5	142/16 142/18 143/22		92/7 92/18 93/20	8/14 9/6 9/9 10/25
120/25 132/1 132/2	144/14 144/17 144/19		97/14 100/14 100/16	11/23 23/4 23/5 24/2
142/3 142/5 142/22	148/20 149/23 150/1	152/21 206/3 209/20	101/2 104/23 105/14	24/4 24/7 30/9 50/21
148/19 151/24 161/12 161/14 167/18 169/19	151/10 151/10 151/19		117/10 123/23 127/14	
188/24 192/14 195/8	151/22 155/5 155/6	blame [1] 50/18	briefed [3] 38/21	63/3 69/19 69/23
208/16	156/4 156/6 156/7	blamed [1] 50/15	38/25 50/10	69/25 71/5 84/14
begin [2] 4/3 4/12	161/9 169/7 176/13	blanket [1] 56/8	briefing [11] 31/7	85/21 86/10 87/4
	176/16 180/1 182/6	blind [1] 80/11	31/16 32/1 32/8 32/12	119/13 122/3 143/6
				(58) been - business

(58) been... - business

В	92/23 96/7 97/2 97/17	48/13	ceases [1] 134/6	challenged [5] 183/3
	98/3 99/2 99/7 102/10		ceasing [3] 135/6	193/7 193/10 194/7
business [22] 144/1 144/5 144/11	103/17 104/1 106/2	55/20 180/4 193/1	159/13 159/14	197/10
144/12 145/12 145/13	108/13 108/16 109/2	200/22	cent [1] 89/2	Challenges [1] 198/8
145/14 145/14 145/15	110/20 111/1 111/9	carry [4] 8/25 66/6	centrally [3] 172/1	challenging [5] 46/2
152/13 152/14 157/11	116/13 117/22 118/1	69/10 166/10	185/1 185/8	80/13 143/18 178/3
163/7 163/23 166/13	118/5 118/8 118/9	carrying [2] 70/22	Centre [2] 121/9	193/24
167/11 173/17 181/18	120/21 120/23 124/19	86/11	122/4	chance [4] 39/17
201/19 203/16 204/6	125/17 125/23 127/7 127/20 129/20 129/21	Cartwright [6]	<b>CEO [16]</b> 8/19 13/11	40/7 71/14 82/8
205/14	131/8 131/13 132/23	130/17 130/24 135/15 138/20 139/1 139/5	21/8 35/19 97/21	change [11] 23/3 37/2 101/4 101/5
but [217]	133/15 136/24 144/9	cascading [1] 118/13		117/22 131/16 134/15
buttressed [1] 92/11	144/16 145/2 145/7	case [43] 42/3 49/4	148/13 156/9 163/22	134/22 134/23 169/13
Byfleet [2] 102/19	145/17 150/24 151/3	49/5 55/9 55/17 58/12		175/7
109/14	151/23 153/6 153/22	63/21 77/9 84/16	certain [4] 14/24	changed [9] 10/15
С	154/7 155/15 156/15	84/18 102/15 102/18	71/22 154/19 187/9	19/16 37/7 98/4
call [39] 28/5 39/7	157/23 160/23 161/18	102/21 103/2 103/11	certainly [13] 18/9	131/23 132/24 133/7
59/13 80/14 88/4 93/2	164/8 164/20 164/21	103/13 104/11 105/3	58/2 72/24 82/4 94/13	153/3 186/5
95/15 95/25 96/1	167/18 168/24 171/8	105/19 106/20 107/3	94/13 104/3 112/6	changes [7] 15/15
99/12 99/13 99/13	172/1 177/23 178/14			15/18 25/7 28/6 53/6
102/2 104/10 111/3	181/2 181/6 181/9	108/16 108/22 109/7	169/22 187/17	131/18 183/5
118/1 118/8 118/10	183/7 184/20 189/19 189/21 189/23 190/7	109/17 109/21 110/3 110/14 155/1 167/24	<b>cetera [16]</b> 5/16 28/7 92/10 96/6 101/7	changing [1] 152/12 Characteristically [1]
120/12 121/5 127/11	199/21 189/23 190/7	167/25 171/21 172/11		82/25
128/25 129/18 129/21	196/6 197/7 197/24	172/19 172/21 173/24		charge [1] 49/8
130/1 130/2 130/5	198/4 199/14 200/7	173/24 174/24 175/11		charging [2] 49/23
130/6 130/7 130/10 136/18 137/2 161/2	200/8 203/1 203/12	193/20	168/25 168/25	51/5
170/11 170/17 172/16	205/3 206/8 206/9	cases [50] 43/2 43/3	chain [12] 25/24 30/1	Chartered [1] 10/19
173/11 173/23 195/23	206/23 208/11 208/23	45/8 52/16 54/6 54/21	30/19 39/10 121/4	chase [3] 52/2 62/17
called [8] 3/12 46/9	208/24 209/4	54/24 54/25 55/8 56/8		62/17
120/12 120/13 143/1	can't [61] 7/14 16/16	56/14 56/23 57/9 80/2		chasing [3] 78/4 78/8
146/13 146/15 199/14	56/22 58/14 58/19	95/14 104/12 105/8	199/3	159/8
Callendar [7] 103/13	59/1 59/8 59/20 61/17 63/3 67/21 70/2 70/12	107/4 107/18 107/22	<b>chair [79]</b> 8/10 9/3 9/4 11/21 12/10 13/8	chat [2] 62/4 83/2
104/17 105/4 105/6	72/14 73/3 75/23	107/24 108/2 108/3 128/9 135/18 136/21	13/12 14/1 14/6 14/25	check [11] 21/17 21/19 21/23 74/22
110/9 119/9 120/4	76/16 77/13 80/23	136/22 136/24 137/3	15/4 15/4 15/7 17/2	76/1 94/3 104/1
calling [3] 118/5	88/10 88/12 90/17	138/3 138/5 138/7	19/8 21/17 21/18	180/23 184/2 208/6
119/4 120/9 calls [5] 23/18 95/16	90/22 91/1 91/24	138/23 139/12 139/17		208/16
167/3 186/17 199/17	91/24 93/11 94/1	140/1 140/8 147/17	22/3 22/6 22/12 31/22	checked [10] 21/24
calm [1] 139/12	96/18 109/7 125/10	151/2 154/16 156/21	41/1 44/25 58/7 58/9	91/8 111/19 147/9
came [22] 19/12	133/23 133/25 134/9	170/18 170/21 170/21		
19/14 21/17 22/8 22/9	134/14 137/1 137/25	171/20 192/19 194/25		207/25 208/17 208/18
22/10 24/16 52/22	144/18 145/4 149/19	198/19 203/3 203/5	67/8 68/25 69/1 69/7	checking [2] 92/4
68/23 74/7 94/16	149/23 150/4 150/4	cast [1] 168/4	69/17 69/18 69/25 70/6 70/9 70/13 70/13	195/9
94/17 119/17 141/9	150/10 153/2 153/5 156/8 159/3 159/20	Castleton [5] 102/22 107/2 107/16 108/14	70/08 70/9 70/13 70/13 70/13 70/13 70/13	<b>checks [2]</b> 75/6 76/13
142/3 142/6 157/13	160/14 163/16 163/18		82/19 85/17 85/23	Chief [17] 21/11
157/20 176/16 186/10 194/16 197/13	164/2 169/17 176/20	catch [1] 10/14	85/25 86/4 86/6 94/2	21/12 26/7 33/17
can [143] 1/3 1/8	184/5 202/12 202/13	catch-up [1] 10/14	94/13 94/14 112/3	36/10 36/13 36/16
1/14 1/20 2/10 5/10	202/18 203/8 209/6	category [1] 136/22	115/2 126/2 142/9	44/25 78/6 78/12
8/6 9/22 15/12 16/10	Cancel [1] 74/16	caught [1] 21/7	142/9 142/10 142/18	78/17 98/18 98/21
16/15 20/8 24/22 25/2		cause [5] 76/15	146/14 146/24 147/8	111/8 115/23 171/13
30/13 30/21 36/3 36/5	116/7 116/22 116/25	95/23 108/5 168/8	149/13 150/1 150/12	172/12
39/5 41/14 43/5 44/6	117/7	168/22	151/18 156/9 163/22	choice [2] 115/20
46/15 47/20 51/8 52/3	cannot [11] 3/16 4/3	caused [5] 41/19	163/25 181/1 190/17 190/18	115/25
53/24 53/25 54/1	4/5 23/21 26/22 55/11 78/18 78/22 94/14	42/14 75/12 77/17 170/18	chair's [5] 21/25 37/5	choosing [1] 170/22 chosen [1] 8/22
54/11 56/1 58/21	96/17 199/10	causing [1] 87/3	69/19 69/23 69/25	Chris [32] 19/12
59/11 62/4 66/10	capacity [2] 167/22	cc'd [2] 209/1 209/8	Chairman [2] 177/11	36/15 37/13 37/13
66/23 72/22 74/7 77/3 77/20 78/9 80/18	169/11	CCRC [6] 140/1	190/8	38/18 38/21 50/10
81/10 82/23 87/8	care [1] 163/2	140/3 140/7 142/22	Chairs [1] 12/7	156/4 161/21 161/22
88/19 89/1 90/3 91/23	career [5] 5/1 65/22	148/5 148/18	challenge [3] 15/20	161/22 177/10 178/2
92/5 92/19 92/19	139/15 150/7 204/19	cease [3] 133/3	44/16 195/13	179/1 179/14 179/24
	careful [2] 47/18	133/14 158/21	challenge' [1] 15/23	180/12 181/4 181/16
				(50) huginggo Chrig

(59) business... - Chris

С	client [1] 193/20	133/5 133/9 160/13	compliance [2] 2/16	188/8
	clients [2] 193/20	189/14	13/6	confirmation [1]
Chris [13] 182/1	195/2	committees [4]	comply [1] 16/22	93/16
182/21 183/3 183/10	close [5] 8/21 26/11	11/22 14/4 23/10	comprehensive [1]	confirming [1]
184/4 186/15 187/14	121/9 191/16 191/17	23/22	168/15	175/14
188/3 206/17 206/21	closed [1] 49/14	committing [1]	computer [23] 9/15	conflict [3] 17/22
208/14 208/19 209/15	closure [1] 80/16	158/23	20/23 40/4 47/18	17/22 175/12
Christmas [1] 7/7	Co [1] 192/6	comms [2] 95/24	48/14 82/6 82/9 82/12	conflicts [2] 17/17
chronologically [2] 137/13 151/8	code [3] 15/18	111/2	83/11 84/6 84/7 84/8	17/19
CIMA [1] 8/12	126/20 126/22	communicate [1]	86/24 87/24 89/17	confused [1] 137/8
CIO [4] 20/24 21/4	codes [1] 13/1	114/25	92/10 109/17 110/8	confusion [1] 170/18
21/8 21/9	collating [1] 97/9	communicated [3]	118/18 144/23 194/20	
circle [1] 124/1	colleague [2] 13/13	55/10 101/9 111/1	196/17 196/18	114/16 115/12 209/14
circulate [6] 181/19	30/8	communicates [1]	concern [20] 56/22	consensus [1]
186/22 207/18 208/10	colleagues [2] 3/6	77/2	58/22 75/12 76/9	186/21
208/22 209/5	28/4	communicating [4]	77/17 81/21 83/14	consequences [2]
circulated [5] 3/2 3/4	collected [1] 98/10	64/2 112/16 115/2	96/5 96/25 97/2	56/6 204/24
137/21 178/22 181/16	College [1] 157/1	115/4	101/14 144/12 155/19	
circulating [3]	colour [1] 181/7	communication [2]	163/21 163/25 168/8	164/9
208/15 208/20 209/3	combat [1] 196/7	64/6 64/19	168/22 168/23 173/6	consider [16] 9/24
circulation [3]	Combined [1] 15/18	communications [6]	205/9	16/19 18/6 19/25
131/12 132/8 187/8	come [31] 2/20 10/17 14/22 18/17 20/12	3/18 118/7 127/18 127/25 128/13 195/21	concerned [27] 33/5 34/3 39/20 61/5 77/12	20/21 31/18 37/2 40/8 109/11 133/3 133/10
circumstances [3]	20/19 21/4 21/22	commuting [1]	77/13 77/15 81/9 82/2	
26/22 154/9 162/10	22/13 30/21 32/5 39/5	191/18	99/14 100/20 102/19	195/6 195/7
cites [1] 167/24	44/4 57/20 58/5 87/11	companies [2] 10/15	104/9 105/21 143/5	considerable [1]
civil [4] 17/12 49/5	94/12 114/1 115/18	15/21	143/25 159/4 163/11	42/18
107/3 156/21	120/15 131/18 141/11	company [73] 7/19	164/24 165/7 165/12	considerably [1]
claim [3] 155/9 164/9	141/18 146/23 147/4	8/1 8/11 8/16 8/20 9/1		
167/3	161/13 173/20 180/23	9/19 9/23 9/25 10/4	173/3 174/6 177/14	considered [9] 19/2
claims [5] 47/3 143/6 144/1 155/13 155/17	186/18 192/3 208/14	10/17 11/5 11/10	concerns [30] 22/25	19/3 35/25 37/5 53/5
	comes [4] 113/20	11/14 11/21 12/12	23/8 55/8 55/13 62/7	119/15 152/12 155/9
clarification [2] 2/2 3/19	118/7 122/22 206/5	12/15 12/23 12/24	70/17 76/15 80/1	205/17
clarifications [2]	comfort [6] 77/9	13/22 15/13 16/2	81/23 85/20 86/13	considering [2]
1/24 3/20	77/11 77/18 77/19	16/20 17/5 17/13 18/4		110/2 159/14
clarify [2] 80/4 190/7	106/8 188/6	18/8 18/9 20/2 22/20	132/8 132/10 137/22	consistent [1] 198/6
clarity [10] 79/13	comfortable [2]	22/23 36/7 36/12	149/15 149/20 149/22	
81/10 81/13 86/23	93/21 171/6	36/17 36/18 38/15	150/22 151/1 155/4	constituent's [1]
175/18 175/19 176/7	coming [16] 15/1	38/23 40/23 40/25	156/11 157/9 159/25	45/8
176/22 181/22 188/7	20/18 22/14 25/8 33/19 41/17 59/25	48/16 52/18 52/19	182/16	consultant [1] 23/15
Clarke [11] 132/11	83/13 85/7 87/1 93/18	69/12 69/15 69/21	concluded [1] 110/13	Consumer [1] 165/18
139/5 139/21 139/24	94/15 98/15 141/6		concludes [1] 205/18	
151/9 151/11 151/12	170/8 209/23	73/23 112/15 113/17	conclusion [2]	59/21 60/6 74/8
151/16 151/16 169/6	commas [1] 199/15	113/23 113/25 114/13		112/20 128/15 132/5
169/9	comment [13] 33/20	114/15 115/6 116/22	conclusions [2]	138/2
Clarke's [3] 37/24	48/13 48/23 92/1	118/11 124/9 124/10	188/12 188/15	contacted [4] 3/9
38/2 138/10	111/23 112/1 134/14	125/13 147/11 149/2	conditions [1] 66/21	56/4 121/11 122/3
clear [15] 29/12	144/9 145/15 163/5	153/24 154/2 155/14	conduct [2] 11/23	contacting [4] 56/11
29/13 51/7 51/18 85/9 89/6 92/2 93/1 105/12	166/3 166/11 168/7	191/10 199/6	47/5	56/12 79/25 157/8
111/1 123/8 156/14	comments [9] 2/3	company's [3] 12/25	conducted [2]	contained [1] 94/9
175/20 182/11 190/18	71/15 72/22 124/24	13/7 13/24	135/18 150/23	content [1] 62/11
clearer [5] 96/4 97/1	179/17 181/4 181/7	compared [1] 17/4	conduit [4] 14/16	contents [1] 77/12
123/11 123/13 192/8	181/10 192/14	compensation [2]	14/19 22/6 78/6	context [2] 74/8
clearly [18] 16/22	commercial [1]	26/17 28/13	conference [4] 23/18	160/23
33/16 44/23 68/21	16/20	complaint [4] 50/12	28/5 59/13 129/20	continue [4] 26/19
76/18 87/13 94/16	commission [6] 40/2	60/25 61/15 63/8	confidence [1] 197/2	28/16 123/24 197/2
97/9 110/1 139/23	138/23 139/12 139/18 147/18 151/2	complaints [3] 9/20 63/6 110/6	confident [4] 88/23 89/22 89/24 171/2	continued [1] 199/18 continuing [1]
147/7 149/25 161/4	commissioned [1]	complete [1] 207/10	Confidential [1]	175/13
161/23 169/3 174/19	166/6	completed [2] 191/5	206/19	contract [10] 19/24
179/22 196/19	committed [1] 27/2	208/7	confidentiality [2]	23/6 46/21 47/2
clerk [4] 74/16 74/22	committee [8] 3/12	completely [3] 48/22	12/16 66/20	162/13 162/14 162/14
76/1 76/7	23/24 57/4 57/15	130/2 182/22	confirm [2] 1/20	162/20 176/11 203/19

(60) Chris... - contract

С	correction [1] 186/4	198/18	138/23 139/12 139/17	
contractual [2] 20/1	correctly [1] 47/8	Counsel's [2] 37/4	147/17 151/1 154/14	127/17 127/22
163/2	correspondence [6]	37/8	154/20 156/21 193/8	Davies' [2] 118/13
contrary [1] 29/6	47/21 51/13 91/17	Counsels [1] 35/12	193/10 193/14 193/18	
contribute [1] 41/9	91/20 131/4 141/22 cost [8] 121/15	counter [2] 203/6 203/13	197/10 criminally [1] 154/11	Dawson [1] 11/12 day [38] 7/9 10/17
contribution [1] 41/5	121/22 144/6 144/17	counting [1] 95/21	crisis [1] 15/16	37/3 37/3 71/15 87/19
control [2] 144/7	157/19 165/15 178/10		crisp [1] 173/12	95/16 102/2 106/14
144/17	188/1	couple [5] 5/23 10/5	critical [1] 32/18	118/2 118/3 119/6
controls [5] 12/17	costs [1] 46/6	40/11 131/24 171/19	criticised [2] 85/25	119/18 120/3 120/24
172/3 185/8 185/11 185/13	could [130] 1/17 3/11	course [7] 5/24 16/14		123/3 129/16 130/3
convened [1] 130/9	11/16 11/17 12/22	63/6 92/15 118/19	criticism [5] 84/12	130/5 131/9 132/1
conversation [26]	21/22 23/4 25/16	147/4 208/13	144/25 145/3 145/4	132/1 135/9 137/20
41/2 46/20 58/18 67/3	25/24 26/2 32/4 32/23		145/5	137/22 138/10 138/13
67/9 70/12 73/4 73/14	34/20 34/23 41/11	16/12	cross [1] 189/18	138/19 140/5 140/14
73/18 78/19 78/21	41/12 42/12 43/15	court [22] 49/4 49/5	cross-referenced [1]	142/22 148/19 148/19 156/5 172/16 181/25
79/15 88/4 93/2 93/5	43/19 44/17 45/11 46/10 48/25 54/2 55/3	49/20 51/12 79/4 102/18 102/22 103/1	189/18 Crowe [6] 160/20	182/20 210/7
94/15 126/20 129/11	55/23 56/8 56/14	103/22 106/21 106/25		days [2] 118/15
143/8 150/8 150/10	56/14 56/23 57/2	107/10 107/19 107/22		174/3
161/10 179/25 180/4 180/15 189/6	60/17 60/17 61/19	107/25 108/1 108/3	Crown [10] 6/20	deal [5] 55/17 68/13
conversations [5]	62/13 63/15 64/14	108/4 108/8 191/6	102/18 193/22 202/3	115/19 141/9 200/20
45/22 82/13 128/23	65/16 65/24 66/9	193/22 194/14	202/8 202/16 203/3	dealing [3] 152/3
128/24 164/1	67/12 67/22 69/14	courts [6] 26/17	203/5 203/11 203/15	170/23 203/4
convey [1] 3/25	71/10 71/14 73/25	28/13 193/8 193/10	crucial [1] 128/5	dealt [9] 47/5 106/7
convicted [3] 4/3	76/8 77/22 79/7 79/7 84/21 85/20 86/14	193/18 197/11	cryptic [1] 152/25 culture [2] 201/12	106/8 111/18 112/3 112/4 112/9 201/21
168/6 205/24	97/15 97/19 99/22	cover [3] 67/19 90/2 198/24	201/20	202/10
conviction [4] 109/18	89/22 90/11 90/13	covers [1] 102/20	current [3] 45/9 56/4	Dear [3] 186/17
154/16 167/24 168/5	95/4 100/10 102/11	craft [1] 196/6	56/7	198/3 207/2
convictions [2] 154/8 205/25	100/13 112/9 112/9	create [1] 187/7	customer [2] 29/3	debate [1] 40/1
convictions' [1]	112/11 116/24 117/11		63/24	debating [2] 26/12
111/24	117/20 120/15 123/2	Crichton [68] 2/12	customers [1] 29/2	26/25
convinced [1] 123/20	123/7 123/22 124/17 125/19 126/3 126/13	2/16 29/15 30/10 35/13 38/5 39/12	cut [2] 52/2 52/15	<b>Debbie [2]</b> 8/15 8/24
convincing [1] 39/23	127/5 130/12 130/13	46/14 47/13 50/25	<b>cut-off [2]</b> 52/2 52/15 <b>CV [1]</b> 156/25	49/21 49/22 51/20
coordinate [1]	132/14 132/15 132/22	52/20 53/13 53/15		
159/10	135/8 136/7 140/17	54/4 55/24 56/11 57/1		December [1] 189/9
coordinating [1] 129/2	141/16 141/20 142/8	58/16 59/14 60/20	202/24 205/9	decide [7] 68/13
copied [25] 27/15	147/7 147/15 148/1	62/3 62/6 62/10 67/14		68/19 117/7 125/21
28/1 30/15 46/14	148/23 152/9 155/9	68/9 68/16 71/12	180/15	133/9 136/14 138/16
47/20 47/23 52/3 52/5	155/17 156/16 156/17 158/17 160/6 162/6	87/21 95/7 99/11 119/23 119/25 127/5	D	decided [2] 11/2 59/7 decision [15] 5/14
75/11 78/10 80/9	162/8 167/2 170/4	127/12 128/22 130/18	damaging [5] 83/19	10/8 19/4 23/11 57/13
87/20 95/6 106/16	171/12 171/16 171/22	130/25 131/11 131/19		57/23 98/21 98/23
130/25 131/4 138/20	172/6 175/25 176/2	132/5 132/6 132/19	86/17	108/22 109/1 109/8
139/2 139/7 142/23 148/6 153/9 186/14	178/8 178/25 185/15	133/19 133/22 136/9	Dame [1] 11/12	151/21 166/1 190/25
188/4 196/23	186/1 186/13 187/11	137/18 138/19 140/10		191/2
copies [1] 80/11	188/2 188/7 189/8	140/15 140/21 140/22		decisions [1] 52/23
copy [3] 51/15 81/16	190/22 191/3 191/5	141/23 142/24 143/7	168/19 dark [1] 181/11	declaration [1] 17/20
124/22	192/8 192/10 192/24 195/15 196/3 196/21	144/2 145/7 145/18 146/6 146/15 148/8	data [7] 44/9 44/12	deep [2] 4/2 4/7 defect [1] 107/9
copying [2] 130/17	203/7 206/6	149/16 152/7 152/15	44/12 46/7 46/8	defects [1] 118/19
196/4	couldn't [3] 40/21	173/22 192/11 195/6	121/19 172/5	defence [7] 107/5
Core [1] 169/20	86/1 148/1	195/13 196/5	date [15] 2/8 24/15	108/21 110/1 154/23
corporate [6] 16/19 89/19 89/21 120/5	counsel [28] 2/13	Crichton's [4] 18/23	32/19 67/21 78/18	155/2 191/4 193/23
155/12 176/18	2/17 18/22 18/24 19/5	38/13 146/9 198/2	100/11 122/21 136/8	defendant [1] 108/23
correct [11] 2/22	19/9 19/11 19/11	crime [4] 26/17 26/19	138/16 151/5 167/23 179/8 186/11 187/10	defended [3] 193/8
4/15 89/23 89/24	19/15 19/17 35/17 35/22 35/23 36/2	28/14 28/15 criminal [26] 49/5	199/5	193/11 197/11 defensive [1] 62/1
149/11 179/21 188/19	36/11 36/15 36/19	79/1 105/3 105/25	dated [2] 1/14 206/12	defensiveness [2]
195/14 200/16 200/17	37/9 37/12 45/1 52/20	107/4 108/21 109/10	Davies [12] 87/20	61/10 62/8
210/4 correcting [1] 33/8	143/7 144/2 146/6	130/22 133/3 133/15	88/12 103/17 103/19	define [1] 126/15
	147/21 154/23 198/5	134/6 135/15 135/17	103/19 117/21 117/25	definitely [4] 14/21
			11	1) contractual - definitely

(61) contractual - definitely

D definitely [3] 16/5 107/17 170/18 delay [1] 160/22 deliberate [2] 199/20 199/21 deliberately [1] 198/23 deliver [1] 150/14 deliverables [1] 187/6 delivered [1] 195/3 delivering [2] 80/2 143/23 Deloitte [34] 176/3 176/4 176/6 176/9 176/13 176/17 177/5 177/6 177/9 177/19 178/5 179/8 179/11 181/16 181/23 182/16 184/8 184/10 184/11 184/15 186/9 186/18 186/24 187/23 188/4 188/18 191/4 206/2 206/16 206/22 207/20 208/8 209/12 209/14 Deloitte's [2] 177/15 207/4 demanding [1] 205/17 den [2] 61/7 71/12 Department [2] 10/25 11/2 depend [1] 123/18 depth [1] 166/17 deputy [1] 195/21 describe [2] 200/19 203/1 describes [1] 165/23 description [2] 12/1 114/23 detail [22] 11/13 33/22 44/13 50/19 72/18 72/20 92/25 109/11 110/24 112/13 112/14 117/14 122/11 140/4 140/10 140/11 142/19 171/8 178/17 187/22 189/3 189/4 detailed [5] 12/22 110/11 135/19 207/3 209/23 detailing [1] 125/1 detailed [5] 12/22 110/11 135/19 207/3 209/23	30/4 30/6 30/23 31/6 31/18 37/15 38/18 41/9 42/25 43/19 44/4 44/9 46/16 57/10 57/25 58/12 59/16 60/16 61/17 62/17 62/17 69/12 70/3 70/17 73/23 74/2 74/4 74/5 75/10 76/14 76/14 82/15 82/17 83/8 84/1 84/11 85/3 85/16 89/21 98/9 102/25 104/19 108/5 108/10 109/3 109/5 120/9 128/12 132/6 134/10 134/25 139/20 142/4 142/6 143/11 145/3 145/5 145/14 147/16 147/23 148/8 150/3 150/20 150/25 156/6 157/21 170/11 175/15 183/18 184/8 185/7 190/1 190/17 195/6 195/6 195/6 195/23 199/8 202/15 204/17 204/19 205/12 208/16 209/1 209/9 209/17 didn't [52] 7/10 7/15 18/9 23/7 29/11 30/7 35/4 35/17 37/2 37/2 37/3 44/13 44/15 46/8 57/12 60/12 66/8 76/11 81/19 82/21 86/1 91/7 94/5 106/9 108/8 108/11 115/7 117/8 120/11 135/24 146/23 147/21 147/25 148/3 155/5 157/16 158/11 158/12 166/8 169/7 174/17 174/17 179/20 182/4 182/6	165/5 202/10 difficult [6] 80/12 105/7 155/11 180/16 181/9 204/7 difficulties [1] 39/20 dig [2] 61/8 102/24 digital [1] 185/15 dinner [2] 3/15 150/20 direct [7] 6/11 6/17 7/25 114/8 161/21 177/19 183/12 directed [1] 194/1 directions [1] 9/7 directly [8] 6/20 35/18 36/13 106/17 115/22 202/1 204/25 205/7 director [8] 2/16 9/14 20/4 20/12 27/23 118/7 155/10 164/18 directors [7] 12/25 13/25 16/2 25/18 150/19 153/24 155/16 disadvantage [1] 90/14 disadvantaged [5] 88/1 88/21 89/7 89/19 91/14 disagree [1] 201/23 disappointed [1] 80/5 discipline [2] 5/22 7/17 disciplining [5] 5/10 200/19 201/15 202/3 202/17 disclosed [1] 168/5 disclosure [4] 31/4 126/21 126/22 167/23 disclosures [1] 154/24 disconnect [1] 65/16	distribution [3] 99/10 118/24 118/25 district [1] 51/7 do [201] 1/13 3/13 6/2 8/22 9/24 11/3 12/1 12/3 12/18 12/20 13/2 13/4 13/5 13/16 13/17 13/18 13/19 14/11 14/13 14/19 15/5 15/9 16/6 18/13 19/25 20/6 21/2 24/11 24/12 24/19 25/7 26/6 27/12 27/23 27/25 29/8 31/23 32/2 32/11 33/25 34/6 34/25 39/14 39/21 40/1 40/8 40/23 42/1 44/11 44/22 46/2 53/7 56/10 58/4 58/6 58/7 58/7 58/9 61/17 62/6 62/24 64/14 64/15 65/11 65/13 66/9 66/10 66/17 67/9 67/24	14/23 28/7 97/9 117/10 122/20 123/7 123/22 160/7 170/8 172/17 172/22 174/16 <b>does [23]</b> 14/18 28/17 29/6 33/4 33/7 51/10 51/18 63/13 74/22 76/1 76/19 82/11 90/12 91/17 113/25 120/1 122/20 123/11 140/7 183/25
209/23 detailing [1] 125/1 details [5] 3/11 46/25 50/12 108/16 108/25	148/3 155/5 157/16 158/11 158/12 166/8 169/7 174/17 174/17	disclosure [4] 31/4 126/21 126/22 167/23 disclosures [1] 154/24	58/9 61/17 62/6 62/24 64/14 64/15 65/11 65/13 66/9 66/10	74/22 76/1 76/19 82/11 90/12 91/17 113/25 120/1 122/20

(62) definitely... - doesn't

D	190/10 194/11 196/17	175/7 194/20	39/11 41/13 46/11	empathy [2] 201/15
doesn't [2] 208/16	198/13 198/25 199/1 201/1 201/17 203/24	Dulay [1] 157/1	46/16 47/13 49/2 50/24 52/4 52/6 52/8	201/18
208/18	201/1 201/17 203/24 205/3 205/12 206/12	<b>Dungannon [1]</b> 194/14	52/9 54/3 55/24 58/2	emphasis [1] 84/25 employed [3] 4/13
dog [1] 131/22	206/25 207/16 208/3	duplicate [2] 39/16	58/16 59/9 60/19	203/15 203/16
doing [30] 8/8 10/14	208/5	119/16	61/18 62/11 62/12	employee [2] 27/5
15/3 42/4 57/18 62/23 62/25 65/13 70/14	done [17] 37/12	during [10] 5/24 6/16	68/9 68/16 71/20	203/20
85/8 85/8 87/13 114/3	37/14 58/1 64/4 64/15	23/1 35/18 37/10 87/1	72/14 73/13 74/1	employee/agent [1]
114/15 115/6 117/6	65/5 65/20 66/1 81/17	142/17 147/3 201/22	74/15 75/11 77/3	27/5
124/6 139/10 149/13	83/25 85/11 105/13	202/2	77/12 77/14 77/19	employees [5] 27/3
158/3 158/3 158/4	125/11 137/5 149/23	duties [8] 12/14 14/2	78/178/1178/11	163/1 202/6 202/9
159/12 159/21 169/3	162/2 176/21	14/11 31/3 31/7 31/16 163/2 167/23		
169/12 174/18 180/11	door [5] 141/8 141/10 141/13 142/1	duty [1] 163/2	85/16 85/18 86/1 87/8 87/19 89/20 90/12	165/18
183/3 199/10	145/22		90/15 90/16 90/18	enable [4] 31/6 41/2
domain [1] 107/11	doubled [1] 47/5	E	91/5 91/8 91/24 95/5	80/17 178/11
dominate [1] 61/10	doubt [3] 82/7 168/4	each [2] 44/1 55/17	96/17 96/17 97/4 97/8	
don't [164] 7/24 17/25 18/23 19/8	195/11	ear [1] 111/25	97/8 99/3 99/7 99/9	162/15 204/15
19/21 24/23 27/14	doubt' [1] 108/23	earlier [15] 32/14	100/8 100/13 100/22	enabling [1] 84/19
30/16 30/17 33/7 35/4	doubts [1] 167/1	33/20 100/9 100/23		enclosed [2] 172/18
37/6 38/23 38/23 39/2	down [68] 22/3 26/13	101/13 102/21 112/3		
42/2 43/22 44/23	26/24 28/8 30/21 39/5	130/3 131/3 147/20 159/12 167/17 173/20	102/13 103/15 105/2	encountered [1] 77/6
45/23 45/24 45/25	39/24 45/12 46/11 50/7 50/12 58/15	186/3 193/21	106/15 106/17 110/21 114/8 114/8 117/13	encourage [1] 50/6
46/1 47/18 47/23	60/19 63/20 66/14	early [5] 3/16 6/25	118/2 118/5 118/9	end [7] 64/11 71/15 86/3 127/19 141/11
47/23 48/8 48/14	66/19 77/25 78/1 78/1	9/13 39/6 188/3	118/13 118/15 119/6	170/10 175/10
48/19 48/23 48/24	81/17 83/22 85/1	ears [3] 40/21 69/19	119/24 120/6 120/25	ended [2] 93/20
50/5 52/2 52/5 52/7	96/19 100/10 109/14	69/23	121/1 123/3 123/4	177/2
52/7 52/8 53/2 53/10 56/21 57/24 61/10	110/4 119/11 119/11	East [1] 6/9	123/12 123/13 123/21	ending [1] 119/16
61/20 62/9 62/9 62/12	121/1 123/11 124/19	Easter [1] 40/8	124/22 126/5 127/16	engaged [1] 171/1
62/12 63/10 63/10	127/20 127/21 129/4	easy [3] 82/20 83/17	128/20 130/15 130/23	
64/18 65/10 65/12	129/7 129/20 129/21	173/12	131/10 132/18 135/9	enough [6] 30/7
65/15 65/25 66/12	130/14 131/8 138/18 140/19 141/20 142/2	economic [2] 52/2 52/15	136/2 137/20 139/6 139/24 148/5 148/6	95/22 96/24 135/2 169/4 187/22
66/18 67/11 68/1	140/19 141/20 142/2	Edwards [5] 79/23	148/6 153/8 156/18	enquiries [1] 175/13
69/14 70/15 70/20	145/8 146/8 158/16	87/20 88/11 103/19		ensure [9] 13/6 13/8
71/20 72/1 72/7 72/15	158/20 165/16 166/22		169/16 170/6 171/13	14/1 31/18 38/15
72/20 73/9 73/23	167/20 173/6 173/21	effect [5] 74/25 102/3		
77/17 77/25 78/3 78/8 78/14 81/1 81/4 81/4	175/10 178/20 181/6	152/18 183/23 194/2	173/21 174/7 175/5	80/1
81/12 84/13 84/13	181/8 183/7 189/10	effective [1] 39/22	175/7 179/1 179/23	ensuring [4] 11/22
86/6 86/16 87/17	192/10 193/5 195/25	effectively [4] 11/24	180/5 186/15 186/19	12/8 12/12 60/22
90/22 91/6 93/20	197/6 207/1 207/6	22/6 67/4 186/25	186/22 187/5 187/12	entering [1] 50/18
93/20 96/22 97/8 98/9	208/11	effort [1] 61/13 efforts [1] 61/9	188/3 189/9 192/9 195/17 196/1 196/21	entirely [3] 31/15 87/6 129/17
98/20 98/20 100/23	dozen [2] 126/14 158/10	eg [4] 11/24 40/1	197/18 197/23 198/25	
102/8 105/8 105/12	Dr [2] 11/12 154/18	64/9 95/20	199/3 199/17 199/18	164/8 192/15
105/14 108/2 113/13	Dr Gareth [1] 154/18	eg adjournment [1]	199/21 206/9	entity [1] 63/4
115/25 119/3 120/6 122/10 123/11 123/21	Dr Steward [1] 11/12	40/1	emailing [5] 77/23	entry [1] 46/23
123/21 123/23 128/24	draft [16] 54/5 54/16	eg of [1] 64/9	119/7 136/8 138/20	environment [1]
129/13 131/16 134/7	55/4 124/23 138/23	either [10] 19/8 21/18		172/5
134/15 135/4 135/7	139/3 177/11 178/20	32/15 32/19 43/12	emails [20] 25/25	environments [1]
139/21 141/19 142/17	179/13 180/12 180/17	92/13 106/25 161/6 195/13 204/3	34/9 39/9 67/14 71/11	
144/8 146/8 146/11	181/14 192/16 197/14 198/4 207/4	elapsed [2] 80/6	74/6 79/8 93/1 93/3 95/8 106/16 114/18	envisage [1] 155/15 equal [1] 74/19
147/7 149/10 151/7	drafted [4] 178/23	175/2	124/15 132/2 158/10	equipment [1] 12/16
151/9 151/10 151/16	186/13 189/2 196/10	element [1] 28/24	159/23 160/4 160/25	erroneous [1] 46/23
151/19 151/20 151/21	drafting [4] 26/2	else [9] 3/23 40/6	175/8 187/2	error [3] 109/17
153/1 153/2 153/3 153/20 153/20 155/6	181/22 186/25 190/1	40/24 46/1 69/13 99/9	embarking [3] 83/7	117/16 173/7
156/6 156/7 157/24	drawn [1] 92/22	114/6 118/8 196/1	83/16 86/20	errors [1] 194/20
158/2 158/12 161/9	drink [1] 150/21	else's [1] 72/6		
162/3 177/3 182/11	drive [1] 80/17			182/15
183/3 184/19 184/21	drop [1] 107/21	email [156] 3/10 26/4 27/11 28/18 29/14		escalating [1] 174/12
186/6 189/5 189/25	due [7] 47/2 49/14 63/6 119/13 175/1	29/15 30/1 33/2 39/10	emotive [2] 118/18 119/1	escalation [1] 39/25 escorted [1] 179/24
				(63) doesn't escorted

(63) doesn't... - escorted

E	89/2 92/11 96/23 98/8	expecting [4] 161/3	137/3 137/4	fellow [1] 39/23
	101/8 101/11 102/8	161/4 161/12 208/19	facilitate [1] 71/8	felt [4] 24/8 52/10
especially [2] 150/7	104/11 105/18 105/24		facilitating [3] 69/24	80/8 93/21
150/12				
essence [1] 182/15	106/6 110/3 111/13	7/10 8/14 8/25 16/10	98/12 98/13	few [11] 11/18 21/4
	112/3 116/14 117/3	experienced [1]	facing [1] 29/4	23/7 23/8 42/7 121/18
essential [1] 156/22	131/20 135/16 140/24		fact [29] 4/17 18/24	131/17 160/7 162/5
essentially [1] 209/8				
et [17] 5/16 28/7	154/17 156/12 165/19		33/9 56/3 66/10 70/10	
92/10 96/6 101/7	171/3 175/12 185/4	9/11 9/21	70/18 70/23 75/1 85/7	<b>field [1]</b> 98/11
	194/24 195/2 203/22	expert [20] 11/11	88/16 100/15 108/5	figure [2] 47/5 194/4
101/7 104/25 104/25	207/21	72/3 98/11 109/20	111/12 112/6 115/3	figures [1] 193/25
117/17 128/10 165/9				
165/9 166/15 166/15	evidenced [2] 119/20			file [2] 41/14 41/24
	185/16	113/22 148/24 154/17	146/14 167/13 168/14	files [2] 41/16 44/7
168/25 168/25 171/17	evidential [1] 27/8	156/22 156/23 157/2	175/15 175/19 181/25	
et al [1] 171/17		157/14 157/22 158/13		
et cetera [16] 5/16	evolved [1] 124/11			filter [1] 58/3
28/7 92/10 96/6 101/7	<b>ex [1]</b> 3/6	159/9 159/16 191/6	200/24	final [19] 1/17 74/17
	ex-colleagues [1] 3/6	197/12	facts [2] 79/17 117/2	74/22 75/17 76/1 76/4
101/7 104/25 104/25	exacerbate [1] 29/1	expertise [2] 128/8	factually [1] 125/2	90/4 102/17 109/23
117/17 128/10 165/9				
165/9 166/15 166/15	exactly [3] 64/18	148/4	failed [2] 149/9	119/5 124/23 161/14
168/25 168/25	155/25 163/6	experts [9] 24/2	154/19	179/11 185/12 197/7
	example [15] 3/7	71/23 107/5 157/8	failing [3] 32/2 50/21	197/14 198/4 207/4
etc [8] 40/1 51/23	9/15 14/24 31/3 34/12	158/9 158/18 195/19	149/17	209/11
51/24 52/1 52/2				
123/20 170/19 171/4	48/6 58/22 60/15 65/1	205/14 205/17	failure [2] 63/25	finally [3] 49/14
	81/23 94/9 107/6	EXPG000006 [2]	64/19	190/22 199/14
Etheridge [2] 50/15	134/11 150/19 174/25	11/16 148/24	failures [1] 64/6	finance [6] 10/24
51/12			faint [1] 85/14	
Etheridge's [1] 51/5	examples [1] 106/20			
even [11] 4/3 7/17	excellent [1] 172/22	41/19 45/14 80/9	fair [3] 6/17 6/25	121/8
19/5 55/9 96/14 107/4	exchange [1] 80/6	117/1 122/20 157/6	168/11	financial [1] 15/20
	excluded [2] 149/25	199/14	faith [1] 205/13	find [16] 34/25 43/5
114/14 168/9 186/4	152/17			61/19 83/19 84/6 84/7
186/6 201/8		explained [12] 52/23	Falkirk [3] 106/19	
evening [5] 71/14	ExCo [1] 57/2	87/11 110/12 114/16	107/2 110/10	128/7 138/2 146/16
79/25 120/25 129/1	exec [3] 17/9 22/10	127/1 142/22 143/17	fall [1] 114/22	156/25 157/18 157/19
	36/16	172/24 177/12 178/2	falls [1] 36/9	175/5 196/25 204/14
187/14	execs [1] 16/4	190/25 191/2	false [1] 198/23	207/4
event [3] 119/21				
147/6 194/8	executive [29] 9/14	explaining [1] 46/3	familiar [2] 66/8	finding [6] 25/18
	13/12 16/1 17/9 17/15	explains [1] 99/21	78/24	39/22 65/4 79/17
events [2] 119/21	17/23 20/17 22/1	explanation [3]	familiarisation [1]	108/23 157/14
136/10	25/18 36/10 36/13	110/15 142/25 157/14		findings [2] 95/13
ever [23] 9/1 46/2				
49/8 49/24 51/10	44/25 54/12 57/4	explicable [1] 28/20	family [8] 191/16	153/17
51/23 70/9 132/10	57/15 78/17 98/18	explicit [2] 133/13	191/16 191/17 201/13	
	98/21 115/23 141/6	152/10	201/14 204/10 204/11	53/20 102/9 168/24
151/22 156/2 157/21	142/5 150/19 164/15	express [1] 4/7	204/14	197/22
157/24 158/1 158/1				
158/2 158/12 159/20		expressed [5] 55/13	far [7] 33/11 81/19	firm [1] 48/7
163/22 189/23 189/25	180/19 181/14 183/20	144/4 169/15 177/15	90/11 98/8 107/19	firms [1] 10/16
	executives [2]	188/15	165/21 165/22	first [48] 7/12 9/10
190/1 190/11 190/17	163/23 190/2	expression [1]	father [2] 4/19	11/17 32/15 32/24
every [16] 7/7 18/16		181/22		
24/3 24/6 26/23 43/8	exercised [2] 32/16		200/15	35/12 39/9 42/7 53/17
44/2 44/3 45/16 61/14	32/19	extend [2] 163/2	fault [2] 46/18 47/4	55/23 55/24 59/12
	exercising [1] 32/25	167/23	fearing [1] 55/3	64/3 64/13 65/4 65/19
87/24 89/17 92/9	exhibit [1] 36/4	extent [3] 16/19 24/9	features [2] 185/5	67/13 72/6 74/7 76/19
95/16 186/2 186/3				
everybody [2] 72/6	exist [2] 167/4	190/12	207/7	77/15 80/18 92/6 97/5
118/9	185/16	external [7] 33/25	February [6] 49/21	99/21 99/22 99/24
	existing [1] 96/10	111/2 115/4 126/20	60/18 121/9 122/2	102/12 106/4 107/2
everyone [4] 111/15	exit [1] 12/16	130/17 135/12 136/16		112/11 112/19 117/16
127/9 147/8 165/14				119/10 121/8 122/7
everything [5] 24/17	expect [7] 18/20	extracts [2] 108/19	February 2013 [1]	
43/15 186/2 197/22	64/11 111/16 146/16	189/14	60/18	124/19 127/16 128/20
	146/19 189/17 190/5	extreme [1] 204/13	fed [1] 18/20	141/19 148/23 163/15
204/24	expectation [2] 53/3	Eye [1] 39/25	Federation [1]	168/25 180/24 185/21
evidence [52] 2/4	140/12	eyes [3] 17/14 69/19	191/22	185/22 188/10 192/16
3/25 18/24 35/11 45/7				
57/25 61/14 61/23	expected [16] 18/22	69/23	feed [3] 20/9 114/20	firstly [2] 2/6 169/2
	20/9 20/12 57/19	<b>F</b>	127/7	five [4] 57/9 57/12
64/3 64/7 64/13 65/5	62/24 63/2 66/3 81/17	F	feedback [2] 18/14	138/3 172/22
65/8 65/10 65/11	106/10 112/14 112/22	face [10] 32/20 73/11	21/3	fixed [1] 40/9
65/19 65/25 79/1 79/4		72/11 102/4 102/4		
82/4 84/8 87/16 88/25	136/4 146/21 185/18	73/11 102/4 102/4	Feeding [1] 114/22	flag [4] 41/1 41/2
	195/10 195/12	115/21 136/21 136/21	feel [2] 57/13 82/18	49/4 140/7
				(64) especially - flag

F	206/16 206/20 209/7	131/1 145/8 178/13	99/23 108/13 108/16	going [73] 1/5 11/18
Flemington [19]	forwards [2] 27/11	195/25 199/24 204/25		17/25 28/8 33/9 33/14
46/12 46/13 46/15	119/22	future [6] 5/14 51/20	111/20 114/4 116/6	34/19 36/18 38/22
47/12 47/22 49/2 50/1	found [15] 33/24 75/5		116/21 127/15 134/10	42/13 43/1 52/16
50/24 117/20 117/25	87/25 88/16 88/19	93/6	141/12 145/23 162/15	68/10 69/18 73/16
119/23 139/6 158/16	88/20 89/7 89/18	G	164/25 173/15 174/9	83/17 86/16 89/4
192/10 195/13 195/25	90/13 91/13 109/24	gain [2] 83/6 86/20	174/20 176/7 180/22	92/22 93/5 95/13
196/5 197/24 199/17	111/18 112/2 131/23	gamekeeper [2]	188/21 197/1 203/9	96/20 100/10 101/3
flexibility [1] 51/23	154/12	204/13 204/18	getting [13] 11/3 61/4 61/6 71/8 73/7 105/7	111/7 111/22 112/7 113/6 115/15 115/17
flowing [1] 59/25	foundation [1] 188/13	gap [1] 176/17	123/5 134/11 149/12	115/18 115/19 117/10
fobbed [1] 64/9	four [6] 6/14 10/12	gaps [2] 116/15	159/10 166/20 174/19	118/9 120/2 136/10
focus [6] 26/15 28/12	10/18 10/24 11/3	176/18	176/22	136/14 136/14 137/13
87/15 144/22 192/19	41/16	Gareth [47] 37/25	give [20] 1/8 2/4 3/25	137/23 138/6 138/15
192/23	four years [2] 10/24	74/1 74/3 102/13	20/18 25/14 31/6	138/17 138/17 140/1
focused [2] 80/1	11/3	104/2 104/19 105/2	37/20 56/8 76/25	140/4 142/2 143/8
174/4	fourth [2] 50/13	105/18 106/16 106/17	108/24 111/9 120/9	144/7 144/22 148/3
focuses [2] 96/5	111/5	106/18 108/13 109/20	127/2 129/1 129/9	152/18 153/6 156/15
187/8	Fourthly [1] 2/24	110/10 114/9 119/7	139/9 141/7 149/11	159/15 160/6 160/8
focusing [2] 79/17 144/21	frame [1] 82/11	130/15 131/1 131/2	180/21 194/12	174/9 174/10 181/5
folder [1] 125/20	frank [1] 83/1	131/6 132/3 132/6	given [29] 30/2 30/24	186/24 189/7 192/17
follow [5] 3/10 14/10	Friday [2] 51/4	132/9 132/11 135/16	32/21 35/11 59/3 59/3	
25/4 149/8 183/22	181/18	135/21 137/22 138/11	77/19 79/1 79/4	198/17 198/18 199/12
follow-up [1] 3/10	friendly [1] 115/21	142/25 154/18 155/4	100/23 106/6 106/8	200/3 200/9 204/16
followed [3] 69/8	frightened [1] 56/5	156/12 157/9 157/12	111/14 121/12 121/24	208/11
152/9 178/13	front [3] 1/11 1/12	157/15 158/6 158/10	142/25 161/20 161/24	
following [15] 2/2	179/12	158/12 158/12 160/1	176/11 178/8 184/13	32/4 43/4 58/6 58/8
53/7 67/10 67/19	frosted [1] 141/16	169/6 172/24 177/8	184/16 185/19 197/13	64/21 81/14 82/18
115/17 119/18 126/7	frustrated [1] 166/19	177/11 178/10 187/5 188/4	201/11 201/13 204/10	87/9 127/14 136/4
137/16 146/25 155/15	FSC [2] 121/11 121/14	gather [1] 174/6	205/14 207/13	149/24 161/24 182/24 183/2 184/3
186/17 186/23 192/13	Fujitsu [17] 21/1	gathering [1] 74/10	gives [4] 46/25 49/11 99/20 109/8	good [26] 1/3 8/20
197/4 210/7	76/10 77/21 78/7	gave [7] 17/20 32/17	giving [2] 161/17	9/5 13/14 34/23 49/15
follows [17] 39/18	104/7 109/21 122/15	39/16 104/11 105/18	161/19	82/21 88/1 90/8 90/9
45/13 50/1 51/21	130/21 135/16 154/18		glad [1] 206/1	91/2 92/19 112/18
54/16 55/5 55/25 61/2	171/2 171/5 171/7	gear [1] 83/5	glass [1] 141/16	113/8 113/12 120/21
107/7 107/13 121/4	171/22 171/24 172/9	general [38] 2/13	Glenda [2] 40/10	123/6 127/10 131/22
123/17 158/19 163/5 179/6 179/15 181/13	175/3	2/17 4/19 6/5 6/19	41/15	164/20 170/17 172/6
force [1] 51/8	fulfilled [6] 12/3	18/22 18/24 19/4 19/9	glitches [1] 118/19	180/2 200/7 205/13
forensic [8] 47/19	12/20 13/4 13/18	19/11 19/11 19/15	go [68] 7/7 7/8 7/9	210/5
48/15 56/2 82/5	14/13 16/6	19/16 34/19 35/12	9/8 22/12 23/15 23/25	
111/16 167/11 167/18	fulfilling [1] 112/15	35/16 35/22 35/23	26/24 27/10 40/7	41/21 44/6 44/7 48/13
192/20	full [5] 1/8 118/24	36/2 36/10 36/15	41/16 57/11 57/20	48/21 51/19 51/22
forgotten [2] 3/8	181/17 197/2 207/8	36/19 37/4 37/8 37/9	62/21 72/19 77/25	65/9 72/5 73/5 93/7
187/18	fullness [1] 206/23	37/11 44/25 48/15	82/19 88/2 90/9 90/11	96/21 96/23 102/8
form [3] 55/11	fully [1] 9/25	52/20 58/6 126/19 130/11 1/3/7 1///2	91/3 92/18 93/3 99/19	116/1 116/9 122/12
162/20 188/14	function [13] 7/13	139/11 143/7 144/2 146/6 147/21 186/21	99/22 115/8 116/3	124/12 126/1 140/3
formal [4] 23/10	7/23 18/2 18/7 24/22 26/1 31/15 32/11	200/15	117/8 124/2 124/2	174/20 185/24 197/17 203/11 204/22 206/1
23/12 34/17 150/16	32/16 32/19 33/1	generally [4] 21/21	125/21 125/22 127/20 140/3 141/5 141/10	
format [1] 181/11	34/13 55/21	21/23 21/24 125/14	140/3 141/5 141/10	governance [16] 13/1 13/9 16/19 17/3
formed [1] 68/17	functioning [1] 13/10		151/25 152/3 152/9	17/7 17/7 17/11 17/13
former [3] 46/21 47/3	functions [2] 30/23	64/20	157/18 158/3 158/4	25/3 25/16 25/17
47/7	185/4	generic [1] 196/16	160/7 160/21 162/5	25/21 31/21 32/10
forward [18] 14/24	fundamental [1]	genuine [1] 4/8	166/22 170/11 170/14	
53/12 61/14 68/12	167/4	genuinely [1] 39/19	171/5 171/6 174/25	governed [2] 162/20
68/15 79/15 125/16	funding [2] 17/24	get [50] 11/1 42/10	181/1 184/2 184/21	185/10
128/3 135/20 136/14	111/23	49/9 61/11 61/19 62/4	184/22 187/13 189/11	
137/24 138/17 144/7	funds [1] 203/23	62/22 67/18 71/6	191/8 192/8 195/15	16/17 17/8 17/12
160/20 165/11 173/11 177/4 189/16	further [21] 2/9 11/1	73/15 73/18 81/19	195/18 198/12 198/17	17/18 17/25 23/13
forwarded [2] 46/14	26/19 28/15 40/9	81/20 82/2 83/5 84/19	207/6 207/16	graduate [1] 4/24
103/18	40/10 51/23 66/14	84/20 84/20 87/14	goes [7] 11/13 12/9	granted [1] 51/5
forwarding [3]	73/7 75/6 76/13 77/25		83/18 83/20 86/15	grasped [1] 61/7
	78/1 81/16 123/11	97/15 98/14 98/14	170/16 206/24	grateful [2] 206/7
L	l			(GE) Eleminaton arotoful

(65) Flemington - grateful

G	148/15 148/16 148/18	hannens [3] 64/19	110/4 110/11 110/12	49/15 49/16 51/8 51/8
	149/10 149/25 150/11		110/13 110/17 110/17	58/20 66/21 66/23
grateful [1] 209/25	150/13 152/12 152/23		110/17 110/18 110/18	
great [4] 23/3 41/18	158/6 158/10 159/1	72/19 87/10 87/11	110/19 117/4 117/5	98/21 98/23 98/23
127/10 200/20	160/2 160/21 161/10	111/15 131/17 147/9	121/4 121/4 123/18	112/21 115/8 116/5
greater [4] 15/19	161/10 162/11 162/12		123/19 126/7 131/2	117/16 127/15 129/1
175/19 176/7 176/22	164/1 166/13 167/12	has [89] 2/20 4/1 4/4	136/22 137/3 158/18	131/20 131/20 140/24
grips [1] 61/6	167/13 169/7 169/15	4/8 26/23 28/6 29/24	164/15 164/16 164/16	143/1 146/3 147/4
group [24] 2/14 2/19	171/24 173/23 176/10		165/12 171/14 171/21	148/16 149/11 149/12
4/14 4/22 8/9 10/4	176/11 176/12 178/3	46/21 47/10 50/10	171/22 172/16 173/6	160/22 163/15 180/3
23/23 26/15 27/1 27/3	179/25 180/4 180/23	54/17 56/18 64/3 65/5	173/6 181/19 181/21	193/23 193/24 194/1
27/23 27/23 30/23	180/25 181/16 181/24		181/23 181/24 182/15	
40/13 40/17 44/25	182/16 183/17 183/20			
82/23 95/7 96/10	184/13 186/18 191/4	80/12 81/13 81/14	190/8 193/14 196/22	here [69] 14/24 26/4
96/19 117/20 159/22	191/15 193/21 193/23		196/23 198/13 209/14	27/18 28/1 29/25 30/3
159/22 201/20	193/24 193/25 194/4	84/17 87/9 88/2 89/15	209/14	30/18 40/13 41/10
Group's [1] 7/13	194/16 194/18 198/22	90/10 90/24 92/20	he'd [3] 79/4 157/16	42/13 42/14 43/25
groups [1] 23/10	201/1 202/20 202/23	93/14 99/15 100/3	190/18	48/6 54/3 60/25 61/10
growing [1] 150/25	203/6 205/15 205/25	102/25 103/18 107/6	he's [10] 73/16 74/13	61/18 65/4 65/9 66/2
guidelines [1] 154/21	209/14	108/17 109/10 109/17	76/20 78/3 109/6	66/2 66/9 67/2 75/23
Guildford [1] 102/18	hadn't [15] 64/22	116/8 118/15 126/5	128/17 128/18 173/23	76/20 76/21 85/8
guilty [4] 108/24	82/18 91/8 101/14	127/13 128/25 129/13		86/25 87/7 88/18
163/8 200/23 201/12	109/24 116/14 137/5		he/she [2] 74/23 76/2	88/25 89/25 90/21
Н	143/10 145/12 169/7	139/16 140/9 142/19	head [11] 5/17 6/11	98/2 98/16 99/20
had [184] 2/12 3/8	183/1 183/1 184/3	148/14 151/15 151/15		103/10 104/16 112/6
3/9 3/17 5/15 6/17	193/25 207/22	154/21 165/20 167/5	43/22 84/5 127/17	115/15 116/12 116/20
8/11 8/12 8/20 8/24	half [4] 26/3 38/5	167/19 167/22 169/10	128/13 202/1	117/6 124/22 125/23
9/20 10/5 10/11 11/2	74/1 126/13	170/12 170/17 171/1	headed [1] 5/20	129/2 130/16 137/8
15/9 16/11 17/6 17/7	halfway [5] 50/14	175/2 181/2 181/13	header [1] 206/16	139/20 140/4 143/4
17/8 18/10 18/15 19/8	109/14 109/22 110/4	187/15 191/10 193/7	health [1] 49/14	149/14 159/8 160/24
20/16 20/25 22/1 23/6	119/11	193/8 193/10 193/11	hear [6] 1/3 1/5 53/24	
23/22 25/8 31/19	halves [1] 176/14	194/24 196/10 197/10	120/21 200/7 206/1	170/16 174/18 177/5
31/25 32/1 34/6 34/12	hand [12] 36/6 36/11	197/11 200/20 204/2	heard [14] 5/21 9/15	179/16 181/13 183/11
34/13 35/23 38/19	36/17 132/21 132/22	204/4 206/3 208/14	9/20 18/15 29/23	186/15 195/11 196/12
39/17 40/7 42/17	133/21 162/8 162/18	hasn't [5] 73/13	78/12 92/11 102/18	196/15 196/17 209/16
42/20 42/22 43/17	165/16 166/3 166/16	166/14 167/10 168/15		here's [1] 121/5
48/8 49/6 49/13 49/17	166/21	185/24	194/24 200/20 201/11	
51/14 56/3 56/4 57/18	handed [5] 163/7	have [470]	hearing [3] 50/2 51/4	189/12
58/5 58/11 58/18	103/12 103/23 201/0	haven't [6] 102/8	210/7	hierarchy [1] 30/17
58/19 58/24 59/20	201/23	113/4 138/4 140/3	hearings [1] 195/1	high [2] 135/14
60/11 62/19 62/21	handing [1] 169/20	174/1 189/5	heavy [4] 163/6	188/12
64/21 64/21 66/6	handling [3] 14/8	having [23] 2/7 3/2	163/12 163/23 201/23	
69/10 70/13 72/2 73/4	45/9 149/6	17/15 29/11 32/12	heavy-handed [1]	192/24
73/14 77/15 79/1	handover [1] 33/19	66/13 67/3 70/12 83/2	201/23	highlighted [2]
79/14 81/18 82/22	hang [1] 142/2	96/15 97/10 103/15	held [6] 5/1 7/25 8/4	143/18 182/9
83/12 83/15 85/22	happen [16] 25/19	103/16 105/24 116/16		highlighting [1]
92/13 93/15 94/14	33/14 75/10 75/14		help [18] 3/11 7/7 9/6	
95/15 98/7 102/20	75/15 75/16 75/19	149/24 178/21 182/21		highly [1] 116/19
104/13 104/22 105/14	75/21 76/11 76/22 98/16 118/19 136/11	184/17 198/21	80/15 112/21 123/8 126/15 127/14 127/15	him [22] 10/5 30/5
106/7 107/6 107/10	142/4 142/6 157/18	he [93] 4/21 19/12 21/20 26/9 28/3 28/9	133/25 196/6 201/5	30/7 30/8 38/25 39/23 40/3 40/7 66/3 73/5
110/9 112/4 112/10		28/17 29/17 29/19	201/21 204/19 209/10	
112/12 112/18 112/18	happen' [1] 75/7 happened [29] 4/1	32/14 33/18 39/24	helped [1] 208/8	99/17 99/21 101/1
112/20 113/8 113/12	4/4 4/8 5/16 24/15	46/18 46/19 50/1 50/4		101/18 101/19 102/3
114/18 115/7 115/12	35/2 41/19 43/6 43/7	50/16 50/17 50/21	31/25 93/9 93/10	115/22 123/20 182/15
116/12 119/15 120/6	43/18 64/21 76/18	61/2 67/20 67/20	93/11 165/25	himself [1] 113/11
122/8 122/11 122/25	76/18 77/15 77/21	71/12 72/22 74/5	helping [4] 25/17	hind [1] 205/2
122/25 125/19 128/15	83/15 101/11 104/24	74/15 74/23 75/3 76/2		hindsight [1] 182/19
132/18 132/19 134/22	122/21 129/14 146/22	79/1 102/23 103/11	helps [2] 107/11	his [26] 28/17 30/6
136/2 136/4 137/5	148/18 150/11 180/6	103/12 105/4 106/16	208/6	30/7 38/8 39/23 40/5
141/15 141/22 143/18	180/25 193/18 201/6	106/17 106/22 107/13		51/13 51/21 67/21
143/18 144/5 144/11	204/2 204/4	108/19 109/9 109/13	54/7 59/16 63/16	80/16 109/23 110/11
144/14 144/21 146/14	happening [3] 23/9		her [42] 3/11 8/20 9/7	115/24 117/3 122/4
146/18 146/18 147/25	77/20 153/21	109/22 109/23 109/25		123/9 123/20 128/16
				(66) gratoful – big

(66) grateful... - his

Η	88/7	I also [2] 10/5 101/18	156/6	100/23 102/8 105/8
his [8] 131/3	Horizon's [2] 77/9	l always [3] 21/24	I checked [1] 208/18	105/12 105/14 108/2
170/18 177/11 189/23	169/1	41/9 64/19	I clearly [1] 161/4	113/13 115/25 119/3
189/23 189/25 190/1	Horizon/Second [2]	l am [20] 19/15 28/8	I collected [1] 98/10	120/6 122/10 123/11
190/1	161/1 161/8	40/10 70/25 72/15	I considered [2] 37/5	123/21 123/21 123/23
historic [1] 154/19	hosting [1] 95/12	72/19 73/12 95/22	205/17	128/24 129/13 134/7
history [1] 49/11	hour [1] 23/19		l could [8] 3/11 23/4	134/15 135/4 135/7
hm [3] 38/12 114/12	hours [1] 58/18 house [2] 51/6	98/20 99/1 111/7 111/21 131/14 147/14	32/4 71/14 84/21 116/24 142/8 148/1	139/21 141/19 142/17 147/7 149/10 151/7
163/9	127/14	174/5 208/15 209/13	l couldn't [1] 148/1	151/9 151/10 151/16
holder [1] 59/13	how [70] 4/5 8/13 9/3		I decided [1] 11/2	151/19 151/20 153/1
holding [1] 67/21	17/11 19/24 21/15		I did [18] 10/2 10/12	153/2 153/3 153/20
home [1] 191/17 honest [1] 88/18	24/4 36/19 38/13	161/22	10/18 14/14 15/2	153/20 155/6 156/6
honestly [2] 24/11	38/14 40/5 45/8 48/21		16/12 57/25 60/16	156/7 157/24 158/2
169/17		I became [1] 7/14	61/17 62/17 62/17	158/12 162/3 177/3
Honourable [1] 54/18	68/13 68/14 74/5 77/2		73/23 74/4 82/17 98/9	183/3 184/19 184/21
hoped [2] 81/20	81/14 86/22 88/23	37/7	139/20 204/19 208/16 I didn't [28] 7/10 7/15	189/5 189/25 190/10 194/11 196/17 198/13
82/22	89/22 91/23 92/5 96/6 97/15 97/22 98/4	3/23 9/12 12/4 12/21	23/7 29/11 30/7 37/2	198/25 199/1 201/1
hopefully [3] 49/9	98/19 98/22 104/24	16/7 16/12 20/3 20/4	44/13 44/15 46/8	201/17 203/24 205/3
139/12 170/9	111/15 111/18 112/2	20/25 31/8 35/7 37/12		205/12 206/12 208/5
hopelessly [1] 93/8	112/8 114/17 115/16	38/18 40/20 42/10	108/8 108/11 115/7	l escorted [1] 179/24
hoping [1] 92/23 Horizon [125] 5/25	115/18 115/18 116/4	80/14 88/17 105/20	117/8 147/25 148/3	l ever [1] 189/25
7/6 7/10 7/11 9/11	122/10 122/20 123/18		155/5 157/16 158/11	I find [1] 204/14
9/21 20/22 20/23 21/5	125/21 130/19 135/1	142/9 142/9 142/13	158/12 169/7 174/17	I found [1] 33/24
24/9 24/12 31/11 39/7	139/25 139/25 150/12	142/18 143/22 144/17	174/17 182/4 182/6	I give [2] 2/4 3/25
40/2 40/5 42/22 42/23	150/22 157/19 161/25 165/3 165/5 165/10	144/19 148/20 149/23 150/1 156/4 176/13	208/16 I discussed [1] 54/9	I got [4] 93/7 116/1 122/12 124/12
45/11 45/14 46/23	166/1 166/11 166/12	176/16 180/1 190/18	I do [33] 1/13 3/13	I had [30] 3/8 8/11
46/24 47/3 47/9 47/14	169/12 170/11 175/10	201/7 203/5 203/6	13/5 13/17 13/19	8/12 8/20 8/24 10/11
48/11 48/22 50/19	178/23 183/12 187/25		24/12 27/25 61/17	18/10 19/8 23/6 40/7
50/20 52/10 56/5 59/25 64/1 64/3 64/14	187/25 189/2 201/20	I believed [9] 20/24	78/18 78/18 83/22	48/8 58/24 62/21
64/20 65/5 65/20 82/6	202/11	21/18 23/4 62/19	85/1 86/12 88/4 90/18	70/13 72/2 112/10
83/11 88/19 89/16	Howe [1] 192/6	62/24 169/11 186/1	91/20 94/1 111/16	112/18 114/18 115/7
92/9 92/16 94/5 95/21	however [15] 2/9 2/14 13/12 35/5 49/18	205/12 205/13	112/1 113/2 113/18 113/19 125/7 132/10	115/12 120/6 122/11 122/25 147/25 149/10
96/10 99/12 99/14		I came [1] 68/23	140/2 141/1 142/16	171/24 201/1 202/20
99/16 100/3 100/21		I can [13] 2/10 9/22	155/5 156/5 176/5	202/23 205/15
101/6 101/6 102/25 104/20 106/25 107/1	184/1 186/8 188/11	20/8 25/2 44/6 87/8	182/24 186/9 204/5	I hadn't [2] 169/7
107/6 109/12 110/7	207/13	145/2 145/17 150/24	I doing [1] 62/23	184/3
110/22 125/17 129/23	HR [2] 27/7 202/6	151/3 189/21 200/8	I don't [139] 7/24	I have [26] 32/13
138/14 141/20 143/2	huge [4] 83/6 83/9	208/24	17/25 18/23 19/8	38/20 46/20 57/25
147/16 149/17 152/4	83/16 86/20	I can't [52] 7/14	24/23 27/14 30/16	58/25 67/17 73/4
153/11 153/15 153/18	hugely [5] 83/18 85/20 86/14 86/15	16/16 58/14 58/19 59/1 59/8 59/20 61/17	30/17 33/7 37/6 38/23 38/23 43/22 44/23	79/14 79/22 79/24 101/11 116/2 118/6
154/12 154/20 156/24	86/17	70/2 70/12 72/14 73/3		131/16 135/20 138/1
157/6 158/18 160/16	Hugh [24] 46/12	75/23 76/16 77/13	46/1 47/23 47/23 48/8	139/3 139/20 155/25
161/1 161/8 164/4	46/13 46/15 47/23	80/23 88/10 88/12	48/23 48/24 50/5 52/5	161/24 171/1 174/3
164/6 165/20 165/24 166/18 166/24 167/9	48/9 49/1 50/24 51/18	90/17 90/22 91/1	52/7 52/7 52/8 53/2	174/6 186/18 199/12
167/14 167/18 168/14	52/10 52/10 104/1	91/24 91/24 93/11	53/10 57/24 61/20	199/24
168/16 172/4 172/23	108/14 108/15 117/20		62/9 62/9 62/12 62/12	
176/12 176/15 176/16	117/25 119/23 127/13		63/10 63/10 64/18	140/3 189/5
177/13 178/3 178/9	128/22 157/4 158/16	134/14 137/1 137/25 144/18 145/4 149/19	65/10 65/12 65/15 65/25 66/12 66/18	I honestly [2] 24/11 169/17
178/21 181/15 187/20	192/9 197/23 198/3	149/23 150/4 150/10	67/11 68/1 69/14	l just [10] 30/8 49/4
187/20 188/1 191/3	husband [2] 49/17	153/5 156/8 159/3	70/15 70/20 71/20	58/21 104/13 108/3
191/5 193/3 193/4 193/24 193/25 194/4	50/13	159/20 160/14 163/16		119/3 119/3 190/7
193/24 193/25 194/4	1	163/18 164/2 176/20	73/23 77/17 77/25	207/17 208/6
196/14 196/16 196/18	I	202/12 202/13 203/8	78/3 78/8 81/1 81/4	I knew [10] 8/12 23/5
196/19 196/19 197/3	l accept [3] 92/21 97/5 97/6	209/6	81/4 81/12 84/13	23/5 30/5 87/10
198/8 198/23 202/14	I accepted [1] 10/2	I cannot [8] 3/16 4/5 23/21 78/18 78/22	84/13 86/6 86/16 87/17 90/22 91/6	104/25 157/11 157/11 161/11 161/12
202/18 207/7	I actually [1] 149/13	94/14 96/17 199/10	93/20 93/20 96/22	I know [6] 31/25
Horizon' [2] 87/24	I allude [1] 81/16	I certainly [2] 18/9	98/9 98/20 98/20	84/17 107/20 128/15
				(67) bis I know

(67) his... - I know

	I right [1] 35/17	82/1 82/4 82/4 82/7	97/12 106/8 110/19	161/9 208/2
I know [2] 131/16	I said [4] 56/8 142/18	82/12 83/2 83/10	112/13 112/18 112/22	I'd [19] 1/24 8/8 8/13
	173/20 198/20	83/12 84/14 85/5	113/13 114/4 115/11	59/9 67/1 104/13
151/18	I sat [1] 142/15	86/22 86/24 88/9	115/12 116/1 120/16	111/5 120/12 138/15
I left [2] 179/24 204/6	I saw [4] 16/23	88/25 92/6 92/25 93/9		150/11 158/10 158/12
I made [4] 10/7 33/23				I I I
48/23 115/25	139/21 155/5 184/10	94/8 94/12 94/12 96/7	124/5 124/6 124/8	161/11 161/20 162/5
I may [7] 21/7 57/24	I say [7] 65/23 72/14	96/17 96/20 97/2	137/1 142/6 142/15	173/20 180/3 192/1
57/25 58/20 58/25	73/3 86/1 114/13	97/12 97/17 98/20	142/20 143/8 149/12	206/7
	169/8 184/1	101/16 101/23 102/25	149/13 151/19 153/20	I'II [9] 39/7 39/9 74/14
152/1 199/10	I seem [3] 5/23 24/16	104/7 104/22 104/25	153/21 158/11 159/10	
I mean [26] 15/5 22/8	179/23	105/13 107/24 109/4	160/14 161/22 173/2	178/18 188/21 192/24
34/2 35/23 37/20 42/7		112/13 116/2 121/3	194/11 199/7 201/2	l'm [111] 11/18 16/16
43/7 58/22 84/5 85/17	I sent [3] 151/10			
97/3 97/20 114/8	155/6 156/7	121/7 122/21 122/25	201/23 204/6 204/17	24/14 29/22 30/2
124/14 134/10 134/24	I shared [1] 113/14	123/18 124/4 125/6	205/13 205/14	31/17 32/17 33/2 33/5
142/4 150/5 151/16	I should [5] 10/8 32/4	128/16 128/17 130/2	I wasn't [13] 19/19	33/8 33/15 35/7 41/9
	65/24 80/9 192/3	130/3 130/7 134/24	33/15 33/22 66/1 72/3	43/23 51/18 57/19
152/23 159/12 163/21	I shouldn't [1] 179/22	136/11 137/1 138/6	89/24 93/17 98/11	58/24 61/17 61/22
180/7 182/8 185/21	I specifically [1]	143/3 144/14 144/15	107/16 116/3 126/2	64/22 66/2 72/4 72/4
201/1	150/4	148/12 149/19 151/13		72/5 72/16 72/20 73/8
I meant [2] 43/1				
180/9	I spent [1] 201/4	152/4 153/1 153/21	I went [7] 10/4 24/13	73/12 75/13 75/17
I met [1] 3/15	I spoke [4] 59/2 59/3	154/2 156/4 157/4	40/18 59/23 106/5	76/6 76/6 77/1 78/14
I might [4] 22/1	127/1 186/3	157/12 157/23 157/23	182/6 183/13	80/23 82/21 84/9
	I started [1] 10/13	159/12 161/20 161/25	I will [11] 41/15 96/12	84/21 88/25 89/11
202/12 202/13 208/17	I still [3] 33/21 34/18	162/9 162/12 162/16	104/3 111/12 111/24	89/24 89/25 90/1 90/6
I misinterpreted [1]	65/23	163/25 165/3 165/6	112/8 135/19 149/19	90/8 91/1 92/2 93/13
90/15				98/2 98/16 98/25
I missed [1] 33/4	I stood [1] 142/1	165/14 165/14 166/11		
I missing [1] 160/18	I stupidly [1] 180/4	166/12 166/15 166/18		101/16 101/23 105/7
I must [3] 38/25	I suddenly [1] 131/22	168/22 168/23 169/2	I worked [2] 19/10	106/24 108/7 110/18
48/18 48/19	I suggest [1] 197/3	169/20 173/17 173/17	19/14	112/12 113/6 113/7
	I suggested [1]	174/9 176/9 176/14	I would [99] 2/2 3/25	115/14 116/8 116/15
I naively [1] 94/4	133/25	177/25 179/12 179/18		117/5 117/10 118/5
I need [7] 22/2 24/1	I suppose [3] 34/16	181/7 183/13 187/19	16/24 17/1 18/10	122/23 123/6 125/23
68/11 127/2 129/9	67/6 165/10	187/23 196/15 198/7	18/22 20/12 20/24	129/2 134/14 135/7
160/21 194/13				
I needed [6] 48/12	I suspect [1] 81/19	199/16 199/19 199/22		137/6 137/12 137/25
52/10 114/7 114/19	I take [1] 210/3	200/14 204/15 204/17	22/3 22/3 22/11 23/15	138/15 140/2 140/3
116/25 191/17	I tell [1] 92/5	207/21	23/20 23/23 34/18	148/18 153/6 153/20
	I then [1] 19/13	I thought [14] 10/8	40/8 41/9 43/2 43/25	159/14 160/6 160/24
I never [1] 190/10	I think [201] 4/13	21/10 43/24 71/1	52/9 53/10 53/15	160/24 160/25 161/21
I now [3] 22/16 35/9	4/17 4/19 5/6 5/21	101/3 101/12 134/16	57/19 58/6 58/8 59/9	163/17 169/8 169/9
115/9		135/1 135/2 159/8		
I only [3] 103/15	5/23 7/14 8/4 8/20		64/23 65/8 65/15	
133/23 169/19	8/24 9/5 9/13 10/12	159/10 160/16 160/21		174/18 177/24 178/17
I performing [1]	10/16 10/18 10/19	161/10	71/13 72/21 73/11	184/10 184/11 184/16
70/10	14/14 14/15 15/8	I took [5] 16/13 84/3	76/17 76/20 77/13	189/6 189/7 195/25
	15/11 15/11 16// 17/6		77/14 80/4 82/18	196/1 198/16 198/20
I personally [1] 90/16	18/17 18/18 19/3 21/4		82/19 85/22 88/5	199/12 200/9 201/17
I please [1] 1/17	23/12 23/14 23/16	I understand [3] 78/5		
I probably [1] 71/3	24/16 26/7 27/17 31/8			
I promised [1] 40/7			100/24 103/25 106/10	
I read [4] 76/7 92/5	31/11 31/24 33/21	I want [12] 4/7 4/12	112/20 112/25 120/7	3/17 6/23 24/1 28/11
93/7 125/8	34/8 39/19 40/25 41/6		125/6 125/10 125/10	73/5 73/13 73/14
I realised [2] 7/16	42/3 44/6 44/6 44/19	178/23 192/23 193/9	126/2 128/24 137/2	73/17 81/12 84/25
80/4	48/18 48/18 50/2 52/1	193/11 206/2 206/4	138/1 140/10 141/5	87/9 88/12 88/13
	52/20 56/12 56/16	I wanted [4] 11/3	141/7 141/10 141/12	92/11 96/23 100/14
I really [1] 78/14	56/18 57/8 57/17	79/13 101/17 198/11	144/15 148/15 149/20	
I received [2] 151/19	57/17 59/8 59/23 60/1		149/23 150/1 150/11	161/23 161/25 162/2
156/7				
I refer [1] 2/6	60/5 60/20 61/18	5/19 6/19 6/19 7/2	161/20 180/14 180/17	163/20 168/18 168/20
I relied [2] 205/15	61/21 61/23 63/15	8/14 10/13 10/24	180/21 180/22 181/20	
205/16	65/15 67/2 68/5 69/15	10/25 15/2 15/3 19/10		189/21 204/22 206/1
	69/17 69/20 69/24	19/19 25/16 36/1 37/4	184/1 184/3 186/12	lan [10] 54/3 56/1
I remember [6] 9/17	70/7 70/24 71/5 71/7	37/7 38/24 41/6 41/6	186/21 193/13 193/14	
17/20 17/23 79/6	71/20 72/4 72/15	42/4 42/10 43/22	195/10 195/11 201/7	67/21 67/24 99/13
186/10 194/22	73/14 74/9 74/13	43/24 47/23 52/5 60/5		173/23
I reported [1] 36/16				
I represent [3] 192/4	76/17 77/13 77/14	60/7 62/24 66/1 67/6	I wouldn't [9] 7/21	idea [4] 96/10 118/23
200/9 205/23	77/17 77/18 80/23	67/7 70/14 71/7 77/18		131/22 155/25
	80/24 81/1 81/15 82/1	78/4 85/8 85/8 93/17	146/21 160/2 160/4	identified [3] 102/21
				(68) I know identified

(68) I know... - identified

1	incorrect [1] 2/22	innocent [2] 47/10	78/10 83/5 91/19	94/9 98/5 99/21 100/5
	increased [3] 29/4	201/12	104/10 110/25 124/7	107/21 115/3 116/19
identified [2]	86/9 87/1	input [15] 18/10 22/1	131/4 131/10 138/8	116/23 124/10 135/9
135/16 141/22	independent [14]	68/12 68/17 68/21	138/20 140/22 142/3	146/13 152/25 199/20
identify [7] 26/20	8/10 13/11 25/9 25/15		143/1 143/10 146/23	issue [58] 9/12 23/9
28/16 92/17 153/13	40/2 55/8 66/4 66/7	119/15 119/15 124/6	148/25 149/12 158/13	28/6 31/23 35/6 42/15
154/15 175/3 185/8	71/8 71/9 84/15 128/6	180/20 180/21 180/23		46/21 47/14 52/10
identifying [1] 76/10	145/11 164/18	181/1	167/14 170/20 175/11	53/14 66/12 74/14
ie [2] 12/7 51/19	independently [2]	inputting [2] 42/11	177/24 185/15 186/14	75/11 77/24 79/20
ie no [1] 51/19	53/12 190/19	128/14	196/1 197/1 197/13	83/6 98/6 99/8 100/1
if [275]	index [1] 2/22	Inquiry [15] 2/9 25/1	209/13	100/3 103/4 103/7
Ignore [2] 123/5	indicate [1] 164/6	37/20 103/16 118/15	intrusive [1] 200/21	103/8 103/14 121/7
123/14	indicated [1] 2/8	126/5 150/8 174/17	inverted [1] 199/15	121/8 121/23 122/17
ill [2] 49/14 191/16	indirectly [2] 204/25	193/21 194/23 200/9	investigate [1]	122/17 126/22 132/3
ill health [1] 49/14	205/8	200/20 205/5 207/22	110/10	132/6 135/14 141/19
illness [1] 49/16	individual [4] 45/8	209/24	investigated [1]	141/24 148/5 148/11
illogical [1] 110/6	138/4 164/7 175/3	Inquiry's [1] 4/11	121/14	157/20 159/17 167/9
imagine [4] 4/5 52/9	individually [1]	inserted [1] 183/22	investigation [15]	167/10 170/23 171/10
128/24 138/1	144/18	insight [1] 164/25	18/7 30/23 40/15 66/7	172/10 173/16 175/13
imagined [2] 125/10			86/5 86/14 87/2 96/16	175/18 176/7 176/19
199/2	95/7 145/6 145/16	58/20 71/24 99/1	153/12 153/15 153/18	176/23 179/19 182/5
immunity [1] 55/11	induct [1] 37/9	114/4 188/11 208/17	154/13 201/2 201/9	182/20 185/20 187/19
impact [4] 29/2	induction [4] 9/6	instigation [1] 62/18	201/19	187/22 193/3 201/6
101/20 156/23 167/2	12/14 37/13 37/14	Institute [1] 10/20	investigation/Interim	issued [1] 49/20
Imperial [1] 157/1 implicated [3] 143/7	inexplicable [1]	institutional [1]	<b>[1]</b> 154/13	issues [40] 9/11
144/3 152/8	83/21	38/15	investigations [7]	20/22 31/12 38/9
	infer [2] 145/3 145/5	instruct [1] 156/22	25/25 26/16 27/16	38/13 38/22 39/7 41/1
implications [1] 105/22	inference [1] 92/21	instructed [2] 109/20	28/12 29/8 34/10	41/3 46/23 48/9 48/20
implies [1] 43/8	inferred [1] 109/2	192/19	200/22	49/13 56/5 57/14 61/6
important [14] 15/5	inflicted [2] 83/20	integrity [6] 83/12	investigators [2]	64/8 74/12 95/19
17/15 17/16 24/25	84/11	109/11 167/19 169/1	66/4 194/24	97/24 97/25 110/22
32/21 35/6 37/17	influential [1] 13/15	176/12 178/6	invitation [1] 153/11	112/20 129/23 130/1
37/24 103/20 111/20	inform [1] 99/17	intelligible [1] 207/13		138/14 140/8 143/2
112/16 166/25 196/15	information [73] 3/11		invited [4] 60/7 60/9	143/21 148/17 148/21
196/20		intending [1] 57/3	140/22 143/10	149/17 158/18 163/4
importantly [2]	23/20 23/23 24/2 26/7		involved [32] 5/9	168/13 168/16 202/14
165/17 193/6	29/18 30/3 33/17 39/3		5/11 5/13 7/17 7/21	202/21 203/12 204/16
impossible [1] 24/19	42/12 43/11 46/3 51/2		10/1 11/10 22/19 25/3	
impression [1] 32/17	55/3 55/4 55/9 55/12		25/16 25/20 38/24	it's [139] 1/10 11/7
improved [1] 98/4	55/15 61/1 62/15	101/23	40/20 46/6 56/21	11/7 12/5 15/14 16/16
improvement [2]	62/22 63/8 65/2 65/14		60/21 95/24 107/16	26/3 29/11 29/13
143/19 153/16	71/6 71/8 72/13 72/15		114/20 121/25 124/5	30/12 31/8 36/15
improvements [2]	72/17 73/6 73/7 73/16		124/12 128/4 132/5	39/10 39/11 42/3
96/9 97/18	73/22 74/10 78/6	35/16 37/23 60/1	134/10 134/11 144/24	46/13 46/14 46/18
improving [1] 57/19	78/13 90/3 90/5 90/7	66/13 87/15 95/13	154/3 174/9 174/20	50/13 59/8 60/21
inaccurate [4] 28/9	90/20 98/10 108/2	97/13 97/16 111/12	190/12 209/10	64/18 67/14 68/20 72/17 75/24 76/4 76/6
28/10 50/19 125/3	108/9 108/10 113/6 113/11 114/5 121/7	115/14 116/12 117/1 137/5 154/13 156/24	involvement [4] 47/25 48/8 185/19	72/17 75/24 76/4 76/6 78/7 80/25 81/13
incident [1] 63/22	123/1113/22135/3	137/5 154/13 156/24	47/25 48/8 185/19 190/15	82/20 82/20 83/10
incidents [4] 64/5	148/17 154/22 154/25			84/5 84/14 84/15
103/21 118/1 118/10	157/17 165/21 174/4	176/24 176/25 177/3	involves [1] 10/8 involving [2] 102/21	84/16 84/18 84/19
include [4] 13/23	175/14 175/17 176/11		155/16	85/14 85/18 85/19
149/3 171/21 189/3	183/9 183/11 183/14	125/1 135/12	Ireland [1] 194/14	86/16 86/22 89/12
included [7] 5/3	188/23 189/20 190/13		irrelevant [5] 63/8	91/6 92/22 93/1 93/4
15/22 48/12 56/18	205/14 205/15 205/16		107/4 110/13 173/1	93/11 93/12 93/22
60/5 60/7 91/21	informed [2] 10/7	interrogated [1]	173/4	97/5 97/6 98/12 99/9
including [13] 3/7	151/5	107/18	irrespective [2] 97/7	99/12 100/13 102/13
13/25 14/4 14/8 27/3	informing [1] 69/22	interrupt [1] 60/12	148/5	111/5 113/18 113/18
51/13 96/9 97/18	initial [3] 59/24 60/6	interview [1] 5/15	is [462]	115/3 115/3 116/18
130/21 149/6 153/16	124/12	into [47] 9/6 11/13	Ismay [5] 41/13	116/24 121/2 121/7
178/12 200/19	initiated [2] 185/9	15/23 22/12 23/20	41/23 44/4 110/24	122/21 123/13 123/18
incomplete [5]	185/10	27/15 43/4 48/22 52/3		124/11 129/18 130/4
111/12 116/13 116/18	initiatives [1] 126/9	52/5 52/13 56/15	isn't [19] 47/17 68/4	130/7 130/23 133/7
117/1 117/9	inner [1] 124/1	56/15 58/20 61/8 74/7	85/15 87/7 89/12 91/4	141/18 143/4 143/4
1				

(69) identified... - it's

<b>170/24 171/17 177/9 Judgment [1]</b> 110/8 110/13 132/14 133/11 177/14 183/2 183/13 27/6 74/26 136/14 136/2 136/23 138/17	164/1 170/11 170/17       Judge [2] 21/15 51/7       Reep [7] 54/20       169/10 171/15 174/18       164/18         170/24 171/17 177/9       judgment [1] 110/8       111/15 132/14 139/11       177/14 183/2 183/13       27/6 74/20 136/14
--	---

(70) it's... - lead

	letters [2] 80/6 80/10	litigator [1] 50/11	167/3 167/4 194/19	97/21 165/8
	Letwin [8] 41/20	little [9] 29/7 74/15	203/7	manage [1] 50/9
lead/parent [1] 27/5	41/21 42/5 44/19 45/4		lost [1] 102/23	managed [6] 6/20
leading [4] 52/21	45/4 48/2 69/5	164/21 181/9 206/5	lot [13] 27/18 35/2	144/5 144/11 145/12
152/13 152/14 152/25	level [14] 34/12	206/23	37/17 59/20 71/1	166/14 202/1
learn [2] 9/8 83/3	34/14 34/16 35/1 35/4		87/13 128/15 160/3	management [6]
learned [2] 160/15	46/3 58/10 93/25	local [3] 75/5 103/3	183/9 183/14 183/25	10/20 10/25 19/24
161/3	135/14 159/19 183/2	121/3	202/20 204/19	20/1 49/19 144/6
least [5] 1/12 33/11	188/12 203/2 203/16	log [5] 2/25 3/3 46/18		manager [10] 5/3 5/4
40/9 90/13 92/11	levels [2] 52/2 52/15	46/23 46/24	124/6 145/13 202/23	6/5 6/11 6/19 8/1
leave [1] 139/13	liability [1] 155/20	logs [2] 119/21 178/6	luck [1] 180/2	194/16 201/2 201/3
leaving [3] 38/5 45/4	liaised [1] 158/6	London [5] 5/18 6/24		203/11
45/5	liaising [1] 144/25	7/2 157/1 191/18	lunch [4] 117/11	managers [3] 5/20
led [3] 44/2 204/25 205/8	liaison [1] 114/9	long [13] 8/13 16/16	120/15 120/25 150/20	6/14 7/3
ledger [1] 207/9	lid [1] 164/20	70/1 70/1 84/4 86/22	lying [1] 18/9	managing [6] 23/6
Lee [1] 102/21	lie [3] 18/7 18/11	111/9 144/20 166/14	Lyons [16] 1/5 1/6	80/12 84/17 84/18
left [18] 36/11 81/13	155/9	184/10 184/11 186/9	1/10 1/11 4/9 92/5	144/16 175/5
87/10 132/22 133/17	light [3] 87/2 175/14	207/1	93/7 95/3 98/5 152/11	manner [1] 55/18
133/19 134/1 134/2	194/16	longer [4] 45/10	200/7 205/23 206/9	many [4] 35/5 203/7
134/16 145/22 162/8	like [38] 1/24 2/2	157/15 157/24 158/3	209/18 209/22 211/2	203/7 209/24
162/18 165/16 166/16	3/24 3/25 8/15 9/3	longish [1] 186/17	Μ	March [13] 2/8 2/11
166/21 175/12 179/24		look [45] 11/20 12/11	M	3/9 3/13 26/10 28/18
204/6	39/17 40/8 40/14	14/22 22/3 27/21 36/3		39/9 39/11 63/12
left-hand [7] 36/11	43/25 52/1 52/15	36/11 39/9 41/18	MacLeod [6] 19/14	66/14 160/12 161/16
132/22 162/8 162/18	56/21 58/5 69/19	43/16 43/16 43/19	35/15 36/5 37/14	168/11
165/16 166/16 166/21	70/25 71/13 72/17	43/25 44/13 54/2	38/10 38/14	March 2014 [2]
Legacy [2] 187/19	72/21 80/4 92/1 111/5	66/19 68/9 79/7 79/8	made [26] 2/15 10/7	160/12 161/16
188/1	127/3 129/10 138/15	82/6 99/9 113/6	10/22 28/6 33/23	Mark [22] 79/22 81/3
legal [17] 2/16 10/16	151/25 162/3 162/5	117/24 124/18 128/9	48/23 50/20 51/7	87/20 88/12 103/17
13/7 18/11 30/25	181/20 186/2 186/12	131/18 132/22 147/15		103/19 103/19 111/2
31/20 33/19 35/23	187/18 192/1 204/8	154/6 156/16 166/3	98/21 105/4 109/1	111/19 117/21 117/24
38/19 38/22 111/19	liked [1] 43/2	167/21 170/20 171/12		118/6 118/13 126/5
125/12 126/19 140/11	likely [13] 13/15 27/8	172/7 174/23 175/10	134/22 134/23 151/20	
140/23 195/12 206/19	58/17 59/6 59/6 59/8	176/1 176/16 183/25	156/13 166/1 172/1	127/22 127/24 128/15
length [4] 5/1 107/18	78/16 81/13 92/14	184/7 187/18 206/5	178/3 190/18 192/8	189/10 195/21
175/1 188/5	96/3 130/4 130/7	206/6 208/18	mail [32] 2/14 2/19 4/13 4/22 7/13 8/9	marked [1] 206/18
Les [1] 9/13	130/11	looked [15] 16/24		marks [1] 195/24
Lesley [45] 21/13	limited [5] 54/22 64/5	57/10 72/16 79/9	10/4 22/16 23/5 23/6	Marsh [4] 27/22
24/16 30/12 30/15	135/18 155/14 193/3	91/19 114/23 121/14	23/13 23/14 23/25 25/12 26/15 27/1 27/3	27/24 29/21 29/23
30/16 39/12 71/25	line [11] 5/20 27/7	138/3 138/4 155/19		Martin [8] 79/22
77/4 77/5 77/23 78/5	36/18 36/22 66/14	159/11 167/14 197/12	28/25 29/9 30/23	87/20 88/11 103/18
78/12 78/12 95/6	89/15 89/15 89/19	197/12 202/22	32/15 32/22 32/24	130/24 138/22 139/8 171/13
96/12 99/11 102/13	89/21 92/17 147/23 line' [1] 174/2	looking [24] 4/12 7/3 42/14 44/11 51/25	33/10 33/20 35/3	
102/16 103/18 104/2	lines [2] 35/9 179/14	52/13 53/8 57/18	42/18 170/15 201/19	massively [1] 103/20 material [5] 2/10 27/9
104/5 106/10 110/24	Linklaters [11]	70/21 77/8 77/11	mails [1] 123/6	168/3 173/4 175/9
111/19 117/25 119/24	161/18 161/24 162/1	77/18 82/11 86/23	main [5] 28/5 83/14	materials [1] 38/2
121/2 127/13 157/4	162/6 162/10 162/11	95/9 113/22 118/3	134/7 168/22 176/9	matter [14] 35/1 47/5
157/5 158/17 171/1	167/12 168/13 168/23		Mainly [1] 74/6	47/11 72/3 92/25
171/5 171/24 173/22	176/10 188/13	160/14 169/14 173/11		101/22 117/23 118/14
174/7 174/9 174/12	Linnell [2] 56/2	185/17	194/19	118/19 124/2 136/3
174/19 177/8 206/17	192/22		Maintaining [1] 13/24	
206/21 208/14 208/19	list [4] 99/10 118/24	113/4	maintains [1] 207/9	matters [7] 13/1
209/4	118/25 146/4	Lord [5] 2/7 93/2	majority [1] 124/24	14/25 24/25 57/15
less [2] 59/6 181/10	listed [4] 15/21 17/4	100/5 102/1 102/5	make [20] 1/24 2/2	96/15 155/20 185/17
lessons [2] 160/15	67/2 145/19	Lord Arbuthnot [5]	19/21 47/18 48/14	Maureen [1] 194/14
161/2	listen [2] 41/4 41/7	2/7 93/2 100/5 102/1	53/6 56/3 67/22 96/7	may [64] 1/1 5/11
let [2] 123/6 171/7	listened [2] 103/16	102/5	97/2 97/17 98/23	21/7 28/20 32/20
let's [5] 63/11 84/7 143/15 166/22 184/7	201/8	lose [1] 174/10	108/22 120/11 123/11	44/18 45/3 48/9 52/6
letter [10] 64/9 81/16	listening [1] 201/9	loss [4] 47/4 47/10	154/24 167/10 175/20	55/7 57/24 57/25
81/18 139/15 139/17	listens [1] 123/19	164/9 203/6	177/24 202/22	58/20 58/24 58/25
147/17 148/1 148/2	literate [1] 43/24	losses [11] 29/1	makes [2] 43/9 49/18	71/10 73/3 74/2 74/23
148/3 197/6	litigation [4] 18/15	49/17 50/16 162/16	making [6] 5/13	75/12 76/2 79/8 79/10
	18/18 48/5 156/21	162/22 164/5 164/8	23/11 52/24 85/9	79/10 80/20 81/15

(71) lead/parent - may

М	202/7	meetings [21] 10/5	29/24 30/1 33/17 34/9	110/1
	meantime [1] 83/5	14/4 14/5 17/19 19/6	Mike's [1] 29/25	missing [2] 91/25
may [38] 86/4 87/16 88/11 88/11	measures [1] 13/9	19/20 20/17 48/11	mind [10] 68/22	160/18
93/2 98/23 99/22	mechanism [2] 21/2	59/17 59/18 60/7	68/23 68/24 68/25	mistake [2] 43/6
100/17 101/9 101/15	58/3	60/16 68/18 69/8 70/4	69/1 86/23 93/11	198/7
101/25 102/7 112/5	mechanisms [2]	98/15 147/22 150/16	120/11 131/21 204/23	mitigation [1] 153/14
123/14 139/13 152/1	18/13 20/6	163/19 189/14 189/15	minded [1] 158/20	mixed [1] 123/5
154/16 154/18 154/25	media [5] 95/24	member [15] 18/25	mindful [1] 15/3	Mm [4] 38/12 114/12
158/21 165/4 167/9	110/7 123/20 123/23	19/5 23/10 36/24	mindset [1] 182/22	128/11 163/9
171/9 176/20 178/15	197/1	36/25 37/4 37/5 37/6	minimum [1] 205/10	Mm-hm [3] 38/12
179/3 179/4 179/5	Mediation [1] 38/10	37/7 141/6 142/6	ministers [1] 168/2	114/12 163/9
179/8 181/18 188/3	medicinal [1] 95/11	153/20 180/18 180/19		model [2] 40/4 95/21
188/12 194/12 194/23	meet [2] 80/24 171/5	180/19	16/14 142/2 144/19	moment [16] 30/12
194/23 199/10 206/4	meeting [156] 2/6	members [14] 12/15	146/22 180/17 180/25	
207/20	2/10 2/25 9/12 18/16 20/15 20/20 21/23	14/1 14/7 17/20 20/16 20/17 22/1 50/18 55/6		76/6 94/6 94/19 96/5 96/25 97/2 129/25
maybe [7] 43/23 62/1	22/13 23/12 23/15	149/5 151/4 156/8	147/6 147/8 147/10	139/13 139/19 141/9
78/14 128/16 128/17	39/14 40/9 40/10	192/19 195/12	minutes [41] 11/25	150/5
192/24 203/9	40/20 41/15 42/12	Memorandum [2]	14/9 16/8 34/15 94/3	Monday [4] 104/4
McCausland [1]	44/19 45/6 45/20	26/2 34/10	94/9 129/15 130/6	111/8 116/2 135/19
164/13	45/22 45/23 45/24	memory [7] 6/3 20/3	130/8 140/18 143/3	money [6] 49/7 49/24
McKelvey [1] 194/14	59/24 63/11 63/18	85/25 115/15 176/10	143/22 144/8 144/15	158/23 162/15 165/1
McKelvey's [1]	64/24 65/17 66/21	208/7 209/10	146/1 146/14 146/16	165/2
194/18	66/22 66/24 66/25	mention [7] 48/6	146/20 146/24 147/9	monies [1] 168/24
McKinseys [1] 23/14	67/4 67/10 67/18	106/19 111/22 129/22		monitor [2] 185/8
me [69] 1/3 2/8 3/9 3/12 10/23 23/19 32/3	67/24 68/1 68/14	131/23 132/8 147/17	151/25 152/2 152/24	192/21
32/17 33/8 33/11	68/20 69/4 69/5 79/11	mentioned [7] 45/25	153/3 155/18 178/23	monitoring [1] 77/9
34/20 39/16 40/20	79/14 80/15 80/19	105/10 107/19 152/22	179/4 179/17 180/9	month [2] 49/20
45/25 53/24 56/12	80/25 81/1 81/2 81/5	152/24 153/4 163/20	180/12 182/2 183/12	100/19
56/18 57/13 58/5		mentioning [1] 173/2		months [4] 8/9 19/13
62/13 62/14 70/15	81/19 82/21 87/9	mentions [3] 48/11	189/18 200/3 206/4	23/8 203/7
72/17 72/19 73/19	87/10 88/6 88/10 93/5		minuting [3] 14/4	months' [1] 162/22
77/17 77/19 78/8 80/3	95/12 96/22 98/17	Merritt [1] 42/3	16/8 180/2	Moore [1] 8/15
84/21 85/7 86/2 88/13	100/14 102/4 102/5	mess [1] 119/18	mirrors [4] 179/16	more [50] 8/24 9/8
88/13 88/17 91/24	111/21 111/25 112/5 112/19 114/10 115/7	message [17] 3/10	179/20 180/4 180/7	13/20 14/18 15/13
96/18 96/20 97/6	115/8 115/10 115/11	61/11 99/23 99/23 186/23 196/6 196/25	misbalances [4] 56/23 57/14 57/17	23/17 24/1 25/21 25/23 33/8 35/25
102/24 111/9 112/20	115/13 115/15 116/1	197/1 206/15 206/17	57/20	36/19 42/8 48/4 50/6
113/18 113/18 118/8	116/3 116/7 116/8	206/20 206/20 206/24		59/6 59/8 60/15 62/14
118/13 120/1 120/21	116/16 116/21 116/25			65/25 70/25 80/17
123/7 125/7 130/11	117/4 117/7 124/4	209/3	113/13	81/18 82/10 82/11
134/25 138/6 147/20	124/6 124/12 127/4	met [3] 3/15 158/12	misinformed [1]	83/17 86/18 92/14
160/2 160/5 162/17 168/18 168/20 180/2	128/20 129/16 130/9	175/3	117/5	98/7 98/12 98/13
181/4 184/16 184/21	136/16 140/5 140/21	method [1] 184/24	misinterpreted [2]	102/24 104/13 106/9
186/23 193/15 193/17	140/22 140/23 140/25	mid [1] 169/21	90/15 90/16	106/12 135/18 157/12
200/2 200/7 204/15	141/1 141/3 141/4	mid-afternoon [1]	misleading [3] 125/2	159/12 164/24 165/10
mean [37] 15/5 22/8	141/15 141/17 141/25		180/8 180/10	166/17 169/19 183/7
34/2 34/16 35/23	142/16 142/21 143/16		misled [1] 205/9	189/3 196/16 198/17
37/20 42/7 42/25 43/7	144/9 145/1 145/20	might [38] 22/1 29/3	mismatch [9] 74/14	199/12 204/9 204/22
51/7 51/19 58/22	146/6 146/16 146/25	40/1 57/12 74/25 81/3		205/17
60/12 84/5 85/13	147/2 147/4 147/16	84/13 91/18 94/19		morning [24] 1/3 1/5
85/17 87/5 97/3 97/20	147/24 148/9 149/21 149/24 149/25 150/12	96/18 96/20 104/10	103/7	23/18 53/17 65/1
114/8 124/14 127/9	150/18 150/22 152/18		mismatches [1] 75/13	67/17 68/7 68/10 69/4 87/19 94/20 111/9
134/10 134/24 142/4	157/13 160/14 160/18		MISRA [9] 102/15	123/25 124/8 127/1
150/5 151/16 152/23	163/16 166/13 171/24			133/23 134/15 139/4
157/5 159/12 163/21	172/8 175/6 175/14	168/4 169/20 177/18	105/19 106/19 107/11	148/24 170/11 173/2
179/20 180/7 182/8	176/1 177/9 177/10	181/8 182/17 182/20	107/17 109/13	187/15 188/3 210/2
185/21 201/1 208/16	178/13 178/15 178/16			mornings [1] 28/20
means [5] 83/22 85/1	179/3 179/6 179/25	204/12 206/1 208/7	misremembered [1]	mortem [2] 160/15
94/23 198/13 198/18	180/21 180/24 182/10		202/13	161/2
meant [9] 43/1 84/2 109/3 138/1 148/2	182/24 183/19 183/20		misrepresented [1]	most [13] 26/22 27/8
153/1 180/9 201/6	184/3 190/23 191/1	26/7 26/10 28/18	29/24	35/21 60/23 63/17
	191/7 191/8 192/13	28/19 29/16 29/17	missed [2] 33/4	65/18 66/1 123/24
			1	

(72) may... - most
Μ	Mr Etheridge [2]	95/3 98/5 152/11	182/25 183/14 183/15	177/22
	50/15 51/12	205/23 206/9 209/18	184/1 184/2 186/8	negatively [1] 29/2
most [5] 148/14 155/12 187/9 196/25	Mr Flemington [7]	209/22	191/16 191/20 201/4	negotiate [1] 17/25
201/4	47/12 47/22 50/1	Ms McKelvey's [1]	201/17 204/5 204/14	negotiating [1] 25/12
MOU [1] 27/16	139/6 195/13 195/25	194/18		Neil [2] 164/13 165/9
move [18] 22/16 39/6	196/5	Ms Merritt [1] 42/3	205/23 207/24	neither [2] 160/19
43/9 60/17 87/23 88/7	Mr Henderson [2] 54/7 63/16	<b>Ms Patrick [3]</b> 200/4 204/20 205/20	myself [6] 20/16	175/2
91/12 91/12 92/8	Mr Ismay [1] 44/4	Ms Sewell [1] 208/9	23/13 31/22 35/25 37/5 86/6	net [1] 74/25 Network [9] 5/4 5/17
116/4 116/19 156/16	Mr Jacobs [4] 191/21			7/3 20/3 20/4 20/10
160/6 160/8 161/14	191/24 191/25 211/6	200/2 204/21 205/19	Ν	20/12 71/25 201/3
173/19 189/7 196/3 moved [3] 38/16 80/8	Mr Jenkins [6] 76/9	Ms Vennells [3]	NAILS [1] 173/13	never [14] 28/25
177/4	78/23 103/10 104/11	51/17 152/12 210/3	naively [1] 94/4	42/22 49/15 50/16
movement [3] 12/12	105/24 107/13	Ms Winter [1] 194/25		75/7 75/9 75/14 75/15
37/10 37/17	Mr Marsh [2] 27/24	much [29] 1/4 1/8	63/14 146/9 152/23 152/24 153/4 197/25	75/16 75/18 76/11
moving [10] 80/16	29/21 Mr Pardoe [2] 46/13	3/23 4/9 5/12 47/4 51/18 53/19 56/3	198/2 205/23	76/22 83/17 190/10 Nevertheless [1]
95/4 130/13 153/8	46/16	62/22 79/18 82/11	named [5] 27/12	155/14
158/9 158/15 170/4	Mr Parsons [1]	85/19 94/24 98/11	144/13 144/14 145/6	new [17] 8/10 31/15
172/14 175/25 194/12 MP [5] 48/10 51/14	137/21	98/13 120/17 122/11	146/4	40/2 45/14 45/15
80/2 95/14 128/17	Mr Rudkin's [4]	131/24 157/19 165/9	names [1] 206/13	89/15 89/15 89/19
MPs [15] 39/23 44/8	172/11 172/19 174/24		National [2] 122/3	105/5 157/8 158/9
44/15 66/21 83/13	175/11 Mr Singh [5] 110/16	183/10 187/25 188/6	191/22 natural [1] 29/5	158/13 159/8 159/16
83/14 83/15 95/13	Mr Singh [5] 110/16 192/15 193/13 195/11	201/14 209/22 multiple [1] 127/9	naturally [1] 28/22	175/14 176/15 203/10 news [6] 99/20 105/5
99/19 123/20 128/5	199/1	must [13] 4/5 33/18	nature [1] 190/4	112/7 113/16 115/20
128/10 128/15 165/8	Mr Smith [1] 139/1	38/25 48/18 48/19	nearer [1] 23/16	130/20
173/9 MR [69] 1/7 27/24	Mr Warmington [4]	64/17 65/6 65/21 68/5	necessarily [8] 8/21	next [30] 26/24 40/11
28/20 29/21 32/14	60/19 61/2 63/17	83/5 131/21 193/1	34/16 58/7 75/21	47/18 48/14 67/17
33/8 35/16 35/18	173/3	198/22	76/11 85/14 130/8	87/19 95/16 96/4
37/24 38/2 38/8 44/4	Mr Williams [1]	mustn't [2] 65/7 91/3	183/23 necessary [1] 17/1	96/13 97/1 110/22
46/13 46/16 47/12	209/7 Mr Young's [1] 28/20	mute [1] 120/16	NED [1] 17/15	120/3 121/18 126/7 126/14 126/15 126/18
47/22 50/1 50/15	Mrs [4] 51/5 110/14	2/12 2/15 2/18 2/20	need [56] 13/14 22/2	127/4 131/9 136/11
51/12 54/7 55/25	117/15 194/2	2/24 3/5 3/6 3/7 3/19	24/1 47/17 50/9 61/7	138/8 138/13 140/5
56/11 60/19 61/2 63/16 63/17 71/11	Mrs Etheridge's [1]	3/25 4/2 4/7 6/3 7/2	61/21 68/11 68/13	140/14 141/13 141/13
72/21 74/2 76/9 77/3	51/5	8/13 17/14 19/11 20/3	71/18 72/6 72/7 73/1	172/16 174/3 196/21
77/23 78/23 92/6	Mrs Misra's [1]	21/18 27/17 29/17	73/6 73/9 73/15 73/18	
100/3 103/10 104/11	110/14 Mrs Balmar [4] 104/2	29/23 29/25 33/20 38/17 39/1 40/3 42/13	73/21 79/15 85/10 88/17 88/18 88/18	NFSP [7] 4/19 200/2 200/9 200/15 201/14
105/24 107/13 110/16	Mrs Palmer [1] 194/2 Mrs Wall [1] 117/15	43/14 43/22 44/23	88/25 89/1 89/5	200/9/200/15/201/14
137/21 139/1 139/6	<b>Ms [41]</b> 1/5 1/11 4/9	45/22 52/21 53/3 56/2		night [1] 129/12
151/24 152/21 172/11	18/23 42/3 47/13	56/22 57/6 58/22 59/4		nine [1] 19/13
172/19 173/3 174/24 175/11 191/21 191/24	51/17 92/5 93/7 95/3	61/18 62/21 62/24	138/7 139/9 144/6	NM [1] 164/12
191/25 192/15 193/13	98/5 142/24 146/15	68/4 68/21 70/7 71/2	147/21 158/13 159/15	
195/7 195/11 195/13	152/7 152/11 152/12	71/17 72/5 72/7 72/10		
195/25 196/4 196/5	152/15 191/21 194/18 194/25 194/25 195/6	72/23 72/25 73/6 73/9 73/10 76/7 81/8 82/8	174/5 177/15 181/4	19/1 20/8 20/15 23/2 23/4 23/8 27/14 29/22
199/1 206/3 208/9	194/25 194/25 195/6	83/24 85/4 85/6 85/10		29/22 29/22 30/12
209/3 209/7 209/20	200/4 200/6 200/7	90/23 91/1 92/10	196/7 197/1 200/11	30/14 30/19 30/20
211/4 211/6 Mr Aujard [5] 35/16	204/20 204/21 205/19		206/12 206/25 207/16	
35/18 38/8 208/9	205/20 205/21 205/23		needed [23] 10/16	32/9 33/12 33/15 37/6
209/3	206/9 208/9 209/18	98/13 99/20 102/14	21/15 44/7 48/12	37/16 39/4 44/10 46/5
Mr Baker [10] 55/25	209/22 210/3 211/8	102/17 102/20 111/10	52/10 61/20 62/15 62/22 72/12 97/14	46/8 48/23 50/19
56/11 71/11 72/21	211/10 <b>Ms Allan [1]</b> 194/25	111/20 115/12 116/6 120/11 121/5 121/5	98/14 98/15 114/7	51/19 52/20 57/25 60/16 61/12 63/10
74/2 77/3 77/23 100/3	Ms Alian [1] 194/25 Ms Crichton [8]	120/11 121/5 121/5		66/18 68/1 69/11 70/7
195/7 196/4	47/13 142/24 146/15	129/2 131/21 135/1	116/25 135/3 137/3	72/9 72/21 78/2 78/3
MR BLAKE [9] 1/7 32/14 33/8 92/6	152/7 152/15 195/6	140/11 141/5 143/9	140/13 141/8 142/11	79/3 79/6 80/22 82/7
151/24 152/21 206/3	195/13 196/5	148/22 150/10 161/20		86/6 87/23 87/25 88/7
209/20 211/4	Ms Crichton's [1]	161/25 162/2 162/4	needs [12] 21/21	88/15 88/20 89/7
Mr Clarke's [2] 37/24	18/23 Ms Lyons [12] 1/5	165/3 166/11 176/10 177/22 180/9 180/14	22/13 30/12 84/20 88/5 89/9 90/2 91/19	89/11 89/18 90/9 90/14 91/6 91/13
38/2	Ms Lyons [12] 1/5 1/11 4/9 92/5 93/7	180/22 180/24 182/6	128/9 140/2 166/2	90/14 91/6 91/13 91/14 92/8 93/9 93/23
	.,	100/24 102/0		

N	184/2	167/2 183/10	155/10 155/14 156/22	80/21 80/22 83/18
no [84] 96/23 97/4	nothing [3] 92/7	occasion [5] 26/23	158/21 158/24 159/18	86/18 91/6 100/8
97/5 97/24 98/20	100/15 203/22	83/18 86/18 109/1	162/15 162/20 162/21	105/10 105/17 114/6
99/16 100/21 101/5	notice [1] 162/23	149/9	162/25 163/3 164/5	119/5 122/11 122/20
101/11 104/13 106/5	noticed [1] 49/17	occasions [2] 3/13 21/5	164/8 167/13 167/22 168/4 168/9 169/10	124/5 124/6 126/17 130/14 134/2 134/16
107/24 107/24 108/11	November [1] 49/17 now [92] 22/16 26/13		174/1 175/2 190/2	135/4 140/20 147/20
109/17 109/18 114/6	28/21 29/11 31/25	150/15 175/15	192/17 193/2 194/2	151/24 154/2 154/17
118/6 118/23 119/3	32/5 32/20 33/14 35/9		194/4 194/24 196/13	157/21 161/14 162/25
	39/6 41/11 41/20 42/2		198/22 199/23 200/14	167/5 169/19 170/23
123/15 126/24 126/25 129/22 129/24 130/2	48/19 48/25 59/25	117/16	201/7 201/13 202/3	174/10 176/6 176/14
131/7 132/10 134/9	60/17 66/14 70/1	occurring [1] 102/2	202/7 202/8 202/16	176/14 176/15 176/18
134/21 134/22 135/25	70/21 71/3 71/10	October [3] 38/8	203/3 203/5 203/11	185/12 185/21 185/22
141/20 142/20 143/4	73/20 77/20 91/13	102/19 103/11	203/15 204/1 204/11	187/24 189/17 191/16
143/12 143/14 145/21	93/11 93/13 95/15	October 2010 [1]	Office's [9] 51/9	193/20 194/15 203/20
145/25 146/10 146/17	98/5 100/4 100/19	103/11	92/13 93/22 112/17	203/20 206/1 206/5
146/17 146/21 147/19	102/11 103/15 107/21 110/21 112/13 113/10		159/5 165/21 167/2 168/17 195/19	207/17
147/20 149/10 150/6	115/9 116/11 118/1	off [32] 12/13 52/2	officer [10] 12/24	ones [5] 70/13 133/17 133/17 133/25
150/17 154/1 155/25	118/10 120/0 124/14	52/15 54/8 56/14 60/4		134/1
156/13 157/14 157/15	124/18 130/12 130/13			ongoing [3] 10/11
157/21 157/24 158/3	132/24 133/7 137/25	72/2 72/7 72/10 72/12	78/13 155/10	31/3 80/13
163/1 164/9 165/19 165/23 166/10 167/9	138/15 139/16 144/8	72/15 72/16 72/19	officers [1] 155/16	online [7] 10/6 10/13
175/19 177/3 177/22	146/23 148/22 152/9	72/20 72/23 72/24	offices [4] 5/7 6/8	16/14 107/1 172/4
178/17 179/19 180/9	152/14 153/6 153/8	73/2 73/7 73/9 73/10	6/20 7/8	172/23 187/20
182/5 190/3 190/16	153/22 155/18 156/15		offsite [1] 67/18	only [42] 5/12 7/7
190/20 194/22 195/5	159/1 159/11 160/6	85/17 85/22 139/9	often [1] 154/11	17/19 17/22 21/5 25/6
199/24 201/1 201/1	160/12 167/17 169/14 171/13 171/20 172/14		Oh [11] 14/21 30/12 34/18 60/3 60/10 87/7	36/1 36/15 47/17 49/20 51/2 52/21 57/9
204/17 208/2 208/5	176/3 179/8 179/18	offender [4] 26/20	123/15 129/19 143/4	60/13 61/6 66/14 67/2
209/6	181/6 181/25 182/3	28/17 29/4 200/24	153/4 180/2	78/10 78/14 83/23
noises [4] 96/8 97/3 97/17 98/25	184/17 185/17 186/6	offending [1] 29/1	okay [44] 1/25 11/15	85/3 85/5 85/6 87/22
non [13] 9/14 14/7	186/18 189/7 189/21	offensive [1] 204/14	22/18 33/6 34/18 39/8	91/11 91/25 92/22
16/1 16/4 17/9 25/18	194/23 198/5 204/2	offered [1] 10/17	43/22 60/3 60/5 78/3	96/22 103/15 109/10
74/20 118/18 119/1	207/16 207/19 208/8	offers [1] 49/19	87/7 100/18 103/6	133/23 134/14 145/7
149/5 150/19 164/15	208/15 209/3 209/4	office [136] 4/14 4/17	106/3 133/20 134/4	161/20 162/2 169/19
178/5	209/13 number [24] 2/20	5/13 7/12 9/19 16/17 16/21 16/22 17/18	137/12 137/14 137/14 141/5 141/21 142/12	172/17 174/16 181/21 195/1 206/1 209/16
non-Board [2] 14/7	2/21 2/22 3/17 14/22	22/17 23/20 23/22	160/6 160/10 164/3	onto [1] 4/11
149/5	26/12 26/14 26/25	25/4 25/8 25/11 26/1	169/18 169/23 170/16	
non-execs [1] 16/4	27/11 56/4 60/13 95/8	26/5 26/21 27/3 27/4	178/24 179/10 181/12	
Non-Executive [3] 16/1 25/18 150/19	99/12 107/3 119/20	27/20 28/7 29/10 31/1	186/21 188/25 194/12	opened [2] 143/5
non-traceable [1]	120/2 121/24 150/18	32/16 32/22 32/25	195/23 196/3 196/21	143/25
178/5	150/19 158/8 177/12	33/10 33/20 35/3 40/5		operate [1] 207/7
nor [1] 175/2	183/5 192/4 205/24	41/14 42/17 43/13	203/25 205/6 205/7	operating [2] 47/8
normal [1] 145/18	number 2 [2] 26/25 99/12	46/4 51/8 52/13 54/17		95/21
normally [3] 13/6	numbers [1] 48/21	54/17 55/6 55/10 55/11 55/13 55/14	old [4] 45/14 45/17 174/2 176/16	operations [1] 12/15 opinion [5] 100/21
13/22 149/2	numerous [1] 189/17	55/17 55/20 56/6	older [1] 176/15	148/7 161/25 162/2
Northern [1] 194/13	nutshell [1] 109/23	58/19 58/20 60/14	Oliver [9] 41/17	162/4
not [250] notable [1] 147/6		61/2 61/5 61/12 62/8	41/20 41/21 42/5	opportunities [1]
note [20] 39/14 40/19	0	63/17 64/8 64/8 65/18		143/19
41/7 42/12 44/17	<b>objective [4]</b> 111/20	66/6 78/10 85/25	69/5	opportunity [1] 83/11
44/19 44/23 45/22	116/6 116/20 165/23 objectives [2] 15/6	88/23 92/8 92/15	on [313]	opposite [1] 115/3
54/9 60/15 63/11	70/5	92/17 93/15 99/15 100/6 101/5 102/6	once [7] 31/11 39/17 40/7 45/10 135/20	optics [2] 164/24 165/8
64/17 123/5 123/14	obligation [1] 154/21	102/19 102/22 103/1	173/14 191/4	or [192] 1/12 4/3 5/14
125/1 130/7 130/10	obligations [4] 13/7	110/9 115/16 121/8	one [71] 4/20 8/21	5/15 7/12 7/18 10/12
133/2 133/8 135/19	30/25 125/13 168/1	122/3 122/7 122/18	12/18 13/20 14/15	10/15 10/18 10/23
noted [3] 121/20 154/9 165/19	observed [2] 167/25	131/6 133/3 133/10	15/13 16/8 16/12	11/6 15/7 16/10 17/12
notes [9] 42/11 63/12	184/25	133/14 134/6 135/18	23/12 27/12 39/9	18/17 19/5 20/18
143/9 180/14 180/22	<b>obtaining [1]</b> 46/6	136/23 140/9 151/1	45/12 47/17 50/17	20/18 21/8 21/18
182/7 182/25 183/14	obviously [6] 56/7 88/14 98/16 144/18	154/7 154/10 154/14 154/18 154/21 154/23	51/2 59/1 63/20 67/2 70/13 75/4 76/12 80/4	21/20 22/2 22/10 23/10 25/21 27/4
		104/20	10/13/13/4/10/12 00/4	20/10/20/21/21/4
				(74) no - or

(74) no... - or

0	organise [1] 41/15	157/19 158/4 158/5	15/13 15/14 15/25	page 7 [1] 191/8
or [166] 29/21 31/6	organogram [1] 36/4	161/6 172/25 174/2	26/3 26/3 26/4 26/24	page 8 [1] 166/22
32/7 32/16 33/4 33/25	original [6] 132/21	174/3 179/24 180/18	26/24 27/10 27/11	page 9 [1] 178/18
34/25 37/3 38/23 40/3	160/25 207/20 207/23		27/21 27/21 29/14	page 90 [1] 1/18
40/6 40/9 40/24 41/4	208/1 208/3	195/8 198/12 198/17	41/12 45/12 49/1 50/3	
43/12 43/20 43/24	originally [4] 114/16	198/23 200/22 206/25		
44/4 44/5 44/12 45/21	132/25 133/22 144/21	outcome [3] 45/6	54/3 55/23 55/24	194/2
45/25 46/2 46/3 46/7	originals [1] 119/17	51/19 51/22	58/15 59/12 67/13	paper [26] 20/18 22/2
46/8 46/9 52/10 53/13	originate [1] 89/22	outgoing [1] 37/11	71/11 74/1 75/3	96/19 127/3 129/2
54/12 56/24 58/13	other [37] 3/18 6/23 7/25 15/2 15/21 35/24	outlining [1] 11/13	102/11 102/12 102/13 102/20 106/14 106/15	
58/17 59/1 59/6 59/12	36/20 45/20 59/2 81/2		106/16 110/20 117/12	
61/14 61/17 64/5 66/8	86/7 86/7 92/12 92/19		119/6 122/14 124/19	137/15 138/13 140/23
66/24 68/18 68/25	104/12 105/10 106/20			141/9 141/11 142/5
69/13 69/16 70/22	106/24 107/10 107/18		126/10 128/21 129/7	143/23 156/4 160/18
71/15 71/25 72/23 78/8 78/11 78/17	118/12 120/6 121/20	over [48] 5/1 9/19	131/10 131/10 132/13	161/4 161/8 161/9
84/12 85/16 87/17	121/21 138/7 148/21	12/5 14/23 15/15	132/14 132/14 132/23	papers [9] 11/24
88/3 88/23 89/3 89/4	150/21 153/7 157/20	15/25 26/24 27/10	138/18 138/18 141/20	51/13 133/23 134/25
90/10 90/14 90/24	163/19 172/22 184/22		146/7 147/15 148/25	160/13 160/15 161/6
90/25 91/1 91/3 92/14	189/15 190/15 190/15			189/5 189/17
92/20 93/10 93/14	195/12 198/15	50/3 51/5 117/12	152/10 154/6 155/7	paragraph [22] 2/18
93/14 93/16 93/19	others [10] 5/3 6/16			2/24 3/5 11/9 28/9
99/4 99/15 100/8	18/1 45/11 45/24	124/24 126/4 136/11	158/15 162/9 166/22	60/21 76/21 97/1
104/1 104/11 106/10	112/22 112/24 130/18			97/24 109/15 109/22
106/11 106/25 114/14	139/7 186/16	150/20 150/20 150/21 152/10 152/21 154/6	170/14 171/12 173/10 174/24 174/25 176/3	111/5 119/12 119/19 167/21 192/16 192/18
118/11 118/21 121/12	otherwise [3] 25/11 34/22 187/18	152/10 152/21 154/6	178/18 179/1 183/7	192/23 201/4 201/25
122/17 125/2 125/22	ought [2] 168/5	159/23 162/16 169/20		203/25 207/11
126/19 127/9 128/13	204/24	170/6 170/14 173/10	186/14 187/12 189/10	
130/1 130/4 132/11	our [44] 7/8 17/14	174/25 183/7 185/4	189/11 190/24 191/8	60/21
132/11 134/13 134/16	45/7 46/20 50/7 50/10		192/8 192/9 195/15	paragraph 29 [1]
134/17 134/20 135/3	53/17 61/9 79/25	overall [2] 99/23	206/7 206/9 206/24	11/9
136/11 137/5 138/24	87/23 88/7 88/8 89/15		page 1 [10] 27/21	paragraph 32 [1]
148/2 148/19 148/19	89/15 91/12 94/20	overdisclosure [1]	29/14 50/23 50/24	201/4
150/9 151/4 155/1	96/10 99/23 109/20	173/4	126/10 131/10 146/7	paragraph 345 [1]
155/10 156/6 156/9	111/14 123/7 123/22	oversee [2] 37/15	158/15 170/14 206/7	3/5
157/16 157/16 158/1	124/24 135/15 138/24		page 102 [1] 15/13	paragraph 360 [1]
159/11 160/18 160/18	138/25 141/15 157/22		page 104 [1] 3/6	203/25
161/2 161/6 163/14	158/21 169/21 173/8	18/6 19/25 20/21	page 12 [1] 174/24	paragraph 62 [1]
163/19 165/2 166/1	173/25 175/13 187/9 192/13 194/15 195/2	overturned [1] 205/25	Page 14 [1] 102/20	2/24
169/16 170/18 170/18	192/13 194/13 195/2	overview [1] 77/6	page 15 [1] 2/24 page 2 [13] 27/21	paragraph 64 [1] 2/18
172/11 174/10 175/17			49/1 54/3 117/12	paragraphs [2] 2/21
179/13 180/18 183/12	202/22	200/21	119/6 131/10 138/18	200/18
184/22 185/11 187/1	ourselves [2] 40/11	owed [2] 49/7 163/3	156/17 186/14 189/11	paragraphs 32 [1]
187/14 189/3 189/3	103/3	Owen [1] 9/14	192/8 195/15 206/24	200/18
189/10 190/1 190/5 190/5 191/14 193/16	out [74] 6/4 8/25 11/9		page 23 [1] 11/18	paraphrasing [1]
190/5 191/14 193/16	13/21 15/15 29/20	own [13] 48/17 57/23		162/17
194/3 194/3 194/23	33/24 34/20 43/5 49/9		page 29 [2] 12/22	Pardoe [2] 46/13
195/13 197/17 199/8	49/12 50/16 55/20	109/20 126/15 157/22		46/16
201/2 201/2 201/9	64/1 65/4 66/7 67/20	163/14 174/20 192/20		parent [1] 27/5
202/3 203/10 203/17	68/11 69/10 70/22	197/9 204/3	41/12 50/3 50/4	parenthesis [1] 90/4
204/3 204/3 204/25	74/13 79/17 82/16		106/14 106/15 132/13	
205/8	85/24 86/2 86/11 91/25 97/15 97/20	33/18 71/22 71/24 71/25 72/1	132/14 132/14 132/23 155/7 186/14	
oral [2] 127/2 129/9	98/15 99/23 99/23	ownership [2] 17/18	page 30 [2] 148/25	Parker [2] 190/8 190/11
order [6] 26/19 28/16	102/24 107/19 107/22	207/8	149/1	Parliament [2]
49/23 100/10 100/11	107/24 108/1 108/3		page 31 [2] 184/8	127/14 192/20
151/8	108/4 108/8 112/21	P	184/20	Parliamentarian [3]
Order' [1] 51/5	113/21 114/5 115/18	<b>PA [1]</b> 54/12	page 4 [3] 26/3 26/3	114/11 115/23 116/20
organisation [3] 27/5 27/8 29/2	118/7 118/9 133/2	Paddison [1] 124/20	190/24	Parliamentary [1]
organisations [1]	133/6 133/8 135/3	page [108] 1/17 1/18	page 5 [1] 26/4	165/17
205/8	135/14 138/2 140/25	2/24 3/6 11/18 12/5	page 6 [4] 141/20	Parsons [3] 117/13
	153/6 154/3 155/17	12/6 12/22 13/20	147/15 152/4 176/3	135/11 137/21
L	I	l	1	(75) or Barcons

(75) or... - Parsons

P	Paula's [1] 125/7 pausing [2] 55/19	personnel [3] 19/24 20/1 202/4	132/23 135/8 136/7 140/17 140/19 147/15	120/23
part [30] 14/15 16/3	83/8	perspective [2]	148/25 149/1 151/23	206/6
20/19 27/18 40/17	pay [2] 63/24 164/21	130/22 157/5	152/10 154/6 156/15	POL00031352 [1]
40/23 40/25 41/2	paying [2] 165/1	persuade [2] 177/16	156/16 156/25 158/15	
60/16 62/16 69/21	165/13	177/20	160/6 162/7 166/10	POL00057656 [1]
70/3 95/21 99/22 123/25 124/9 124/10	payment [1] 49/19	phase [1] 193/21	167/20 170/5 170/5	44/17
128/16 134/17 142/16	payments [7] 74/14	phone [4] 63/24	173/10 175/25 176/1	POL00058155 [1]
149/18 164/9 178/18	74/18 74/19 74/24	67/22 88/4 93/1	176/2 178/14 178/25	192/2
180/20 189/6 197/8	75/2 76/3 103/7	picked [7] 66/3 76/20	179/17 181/8 184/20	POL00096052 [1]
200/25 201/1 201/19	penalties [1] 29/4	77/10 79/21 107/3	186/13 187/11 189/8	39/10
202/9	penny [1] 107/21	128/17 173/7	189/10 192/1 192/8	POL00098655 [1]
Participants [1]	penultimate [1] 96/25	picking [1] 53/11 picture [1] 151/1	192/14 192/23 195/16 196/6 207/4	95/4 POL00099063 [1]
169/20	noonlo [46] 27/12	piece [12] 57/18	pleased [1] 81/14	174/22
participation [3] 14/7	27/12 27/18 33/10	75/16 79/21 90/19	plenty [1] 123/6	POL00099149 [1]
149/5 209/25	33/11 33/13 33/16	101/19 128/17 138/8	pm [7] 94/25 95/2	126/3
particular [22] 4/2	21/2 25/24 26/1 12/10	158/23 158/25 159/9	120/18 120/20 169/25	
8/7 30/19 30/25 58/12	44/13 60/13 60/14	161/1 169/5	170/2 210/6	136/7
65/13 94/10 107/23 109/2 117/14 118/22	61/19 62/14 62/17	pierce [1] 155/12	PO [1] 169/8	POL00105632 [1]
122/6 127/22 134/24	62/17 71/22 73/8	pivotal [2] 83/23 85/2	poacher [2] 204/12	87/18
144/10 145/16 147/24	78/10 81/3 86/8 92/15	place [28] 2/7 2/10	204/17	POL00107317 [1]
150/22 154/17 167/24	96/19 97/14 120/6	25/10 28/5 31/19	point [45] 2/13 6/21	162/7
168/5 177/20	134/25 139/11 139/23		8/8 9/7 26/25 29/25	POL00107712 [1]
particularly [1]	139/24 144/13 144/14 150/18 159/22 160/3	76/22 77/15 77/22 80/20 84/19 87/14	43/14 50/18 51/11 53/2 61/20 61/23 77/8	41/11 POI 00122552 [1]
175/13	171/8 177/12 177/17	93/6 95/16 97/12	80/4 83/3 88/5 102/25	
partner [1] 177/9	177/20 177/23 202/21		105/1 105/12 109/2	POL00143704 [1]
parts [2] 9/8 71/22	202/22 203/16 205/5	112/2 113/2 116/6	109/21 116/1 116/18	59/11
party [2] 115/4 168/6	205/16	151/14 172/3 175/6	125/5 125/6 126/17	POL00144909 [1]
passages [1] 171/19	per [3] 46/20 49/20	185/4 202/2	126/23 131/3 132/7	110/20
passed [4] 18/19 38/14 46/21 122/14	89/2	placed [1] 149/16	141/25 142/17 142/17	
passing [2] 32/22	perceived [1] 121/23	places [1] 164/12	145/19 145/23 167/16	
39/3	perception [1] 29/3	plan [2] 95/24 172/7 plans [1] 153/14	173/8 185/6 186/1 186/8 193/13 193/17	POL00145426 [1]
passive [1] 204/3	performance [1] 145/16	play [3] 50/7 111/24	196/2 199/6 203/4	POL00145427 [1]
past [2] 57/24 58/21	performing [1] 70/10	171/17	204/17	131/8
patch' [1] 61/13	perhaps [6] 6/16	played [2] 60/15	points [7] 26/12	POL00146545 [1]
Patrick [7] 189/13 200/4 204/20 205/20	52/15 58/15 68/6	109/17	45/13 67/19 67/20	156/17
205/21 205/23 211/10	132/13 198/17	playing [1] 17/13	101/24 126/14 207/15	POL00146548 [1]
Paula [70] 3/7 3/9	period [15] 6/2 23/1	please [118] 1/9 1/17		158/15
3/15 3/18 8/14 8/17	23/3 35/15 35/18	2/1 11/16 11/16 11/17		POL00147542 [1]
8/24 19/13 39/12	36/14 36/16 37/10	12/5 15/12 25/24 26/3		160/7
42/11 44/24 49/2 49/3	38/9 42/18 87/2   121/10 121/19 139/14	27/10 27/22 29/14 36/3 41/11 41/12	POL00021525 [1] 178/14	POL00147834 [1]
50/24 79/14 79/22	121/10 121/19 139/14	44/17 46/10 47/12	POL00021549 [1]	POL00153835 [1]
80/5 81/2 81/24 87/17	Perkins [9] 2/7 39/11	48/25 49/1 50/3 50/23		189/9
87/20 87/22 91/11	67/9 79/11 82/15		POL00027573 [1]	POL00179491 [1]
91/25 95/5 95/10 96/20 97/6 98/2 98/17	142/12 150/0 160/11	55/23 60/19 62/2	129/15	25/24
99/20 101/2 101/2	164/22	67/12 68/2 71/10 74/1		POL00180773 [1]
102/6 104/23 105/13	Perkins' [1] 82/23	79/7 87/18 94/22 95/4		46/10
110/21 110/23 112/5	person [22] 38/16	100/10 102/10 102/12		POL00180830 [1]
112/12 112/25 113/1	52/21 60/23 66/1 66/2 67/22 72/18 78/14	106/13 106/14 106/15 106/22 108/14 108/17		48/25 POL00180986 [1]
118/16 119/1 123/3	97/5 113/12 140/20	110/20 110/21 117/20		54/2
123/22 124/1 124/20	141/13 141/14 141/23		POL00029588 [1]	POL00184716 [1]
126/6 126/10 127/8	148/10 148/12 152/12		73/25	60/18
128/25 136/9 148/13 148/20 149/21 150/2	152/14 152/25 158/23			POL00185741 [1]
150/8 151/18 161/23	185/13 191/16	121/1 123/2 124/17	170/5	63/11
170/6 170/15 171/14	personal [5] 7/5 32/2	124/20 126/3 126/3	POL00029590 [1]	POL00186290 [1]
171/15 172/11 172/12	39/15 84/21 191/15	126/9 127/5 128/21	171/12	67/12
186/15 187/12 187/13	noroonally IEI UU/16	130/12 130/14 130/23 131/8 131/9 131/14	POL00029601 [1] 172/14	POL00186602 [1]
208/17	158/6	131/15 132/15 132/16		POL00186943 [1]
				(76) part - POI 0018694

(76) part - POL00186943

Р	17/18 22/17 23/20	pre-2010 [2] 178/9	102/20 103/4 109/24	90/24 186/20
POL00186943 [1]	23/22 25/4 25/8 25/11		109/25 110/8 110/10	pros [1] 89/4
79/7	26/1 26/5 26/18 26/21		110/11 118/6 119/13	prosec [1] 51/25
POL00188912 [2]	27/3 27/4 27/20 28/6	precisely [1] 144/8	119/20 121/3 122/6	prosecute [5] 55/4
99/7 173/19		predicated [3] 69/17	130/21 139/20 165/20	I I
POL00191966 [1]	32/22 32/25 33/10 33/20 35/3 42/17	78/22 176/12	196/9 196/14 196/18 202/8	191/3
135/8	43/12 46/4 51/8 51/9	preparation [1] 209/11		prosecuted [6] 7/16 90/25 93/14 140/9
POL00192017 [1]	52/12 54/17 55/6	prepared [1] 181/15	problems [5] 9/21 76/14 87/3 154/19	205/1 205/5
124/18	55/10 55/10 55/12	preparing [1] 131/1	194/17	prosecuted' [4] 88/3
POL00192758 [1]	55/14 55/16 55/20	present [6] 44/24	procedures [3] 12/17	90/11 91/3 92/21
151/23	56/6 60/14 61/1 61/5	95/13 141/6 141/11	25/21 201/2	prosecutes [1]
POL00193019 [1]	61/12 62/8 63/17 64/8		proceed [1] 50/2	154/10
153/6 DOI 00103585 [4]	64/8 65/18 66/6 78/10	presentation [3]	proceedings [3]	prosecuting [12]
POL00193585 [1] 153/22	85/25 88/23 92/8	20/18 141/12 149/11	49/21 79/5 105/25	7/19 31/7 32/22 33/3
POL0029638 [1]	92/12 92/15 92/17	presented [1] 29/18	proceeds [1] 49/10	33/10 33/10 33/23
119/5	93/15 93/22 99/15	presenting [1] 173/8	process [5] 179/5	133/11 158/22 159/2
POL00296821 [1]	100/5 101/5 102/6	press [3] 51/23 99/19		168/2 191/9
117/12	102/19 102/22 103/1	99/22	202/6	prosecution [29]
POL00297607 [1]	112/16 115/16 121/8	presses [2] 74/16	processed [2] 63/25	18/7 26/1 26/16 26/21
130/12	122/3 122/7 122/18 131/5 133/3 133/10	74/17	processes [1] 185/11	27/2 27/6 27/7 27/9 28/13 28/23 30/22
POL00346391 [1]	133/14 134/6 135/18	pressure [7] 62/14 86/9 87/1 87/7 87/12	produce [5] 153/14	31/9 32/16 33/1 34/13
186/14	136/23 140/9 151/1	87/13 87/16	156/23 173/12 178/10	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
POL00346396 [1]	154/7 154/9 154/14	presumably [8] 6/17	186/20	55/15 55/21 101/18
187/11	154/17 154/21 154/23		produced [7] 36/4	108/21 135/17 144/1
POL00346406 [1] 188/2	155/10 155/14 156/22	105/5 139/9 139/14	56/1 174/22 175/20	154/20 155/1 156/21
POL00371710 [1]	158/21 158/24 159/5	179/4	179/9 188/22 194/4	168/17 169/5
102/10	159/18 160/15 161/2	prevent [1] 39/25	producing [2] 82/3	prosecution' [1]
POL00380985 [1]	162/15 162/20 162/21	prevented [1] 193/24	209/23	28/17
118/14	162/24 163/3 164/5	prevention [3] 26/18	product [2] 181/24	prosecutions [30]
POL00384388 [1]	164/7 165/20 167/2	28/14 28/22	182/17	7/22 18/10 32/1 32/5
178/25	167/13 167/22 168/3	previous [7] 79/9	products [1] 27/3	32/6 34/1 52/1 53/1
police [1] 33/25	168/9 168/17 169/10 175/2 187/17 190/2	93/1 93/3 122/5 124/22 141/22 200/15	professional [2] 9/5	53/9 79/2 92/2 133/4 133/15 134/6 135/6
policies [1] 25/20	192/17 193/2 194/1	previously [2] 61/4	Professor [2] 156/25	144/3 152/8 154/8
policy [2] 27/7 54/5	194/4 194/24 195/19	154/22	157/1	154/11 154/15 159/6
political [2] 164/24	196/13 198/22 199/23		Professor Dulay [1]	159/13 159/15 199/3
165/7	200/14 201/13 204/1	primarily [2] 26/15	157/1	202/3 202/11 202/12
popped [1] 58/20	204/11 205/4	28/12	progressed [1]	202/14 202/17 202/18
position [17] 11/10 27/4 36/6 36/20 38/8	post-apprehension	principal [1] 162/25	142/16	prosecutor [6] 31/1
45/7 51/9 64/24 68/23	<b>[1]</b> 26/18	principally [4] 34/3	project [1] 153/16	167/22 168/9 169/5
74/20 80/16 92/8	post-mortem [1]	57/14 153/23 188/10	prolong [1] 28/25	169/9 169/11
92/13 93/23 112/17	161/2	principle [1] 166/25	promised [3] 40/7	prosecutorial [3]
128/5 133/10	post-mortem/lesson	principles [1] 15/19	80/3 124/22	7/13 18/2 24/22
positions [2] 28/20	s [1] 160/15	prior [3] 36/12	proof [2] 164/4	prosecutors [1] 167/25
165/1	posting [2] 184/24 185/1	175/16 188/7 private [3] 39/25	205/17 proper [3] 84/8	protects [1] 51/9
positive [6] 96/8 97/3	potential [5] 48/5	167/25 206/18	167/11 167/18	proud [3] 204/6
97/17 97/21 98/25	105/22 106/11 155/19		properly [4] 106/9	204/8 204/9
143/19	157/8	privileged [1] 185/14	151/5 167/14 193/4	prove [2] 168/25
possession [1] 168/3 possibility [1] 103/21	potentially [2] 87/3	prize [5] 39/22 83/6	property [4] 49/8	172/6
possible [15] 44/5	159/2	83/9 83/16 86/20	49/23 49/25 51/8	proven [1] 201/12
44/5 47/14 57/8 62/22	power [2] 63/25 64/5	proactive [2] 42/8	proposal [4] 56/13	provide [17] 3/14
62/23 108/17 108/25	powers [1] 14/2	139/10	56/16 126/18 178/11	32/3 32/7 41/4 41/23
155/15 155/17 180/15	PR [2] 50/9 127/25		Proposals [1] 15/21	42/12 44/14 50/21
181/21 182/8 183/11	practice [9] 16/24	121/11	propose [2] 186/22	55/3 55/11 171/3
188/6	34/19 83/23 85/2 141/5 180/14 180/25	probably [13] 8/24 24/20 53/16 54/8 59/8	188/8	171/16 174/4 174/5 188/6 207/8 207/15
possibly [4] 113/18	183/15 184/1	63/19 71/3 82/2 120/7		provided [12] 10/10
149/21 152/20 152/20	pragmatic [1] 165/10	129/13 158/10 196/25		55/15 61/1 63/7 84/15
post [137] 4/14 4/17	praise [1] 85/14	198/7	proposes [2] 50/1	104/22 105/24 110/11
5/7 6/8 7/8 7/12 9/18	pre [5] 80/24 81/6	problem [23] 75/5	126/8	110/16 148/16 175/8
16/17 16/20 16/22	178/9 178/12 187/17	75/7 77/6 99/21	proposing [3] 55/2	189/1
			(77)	

(77) POL00186943... - provided

Р	191/25 200/6 205/21	76/7 76/17 85/13	179/23 186/24 187/3	2/20 2/22 15/13 42/14
-	211/4 211/6 211/8	85/16 85/16 88/14	187/19 189/1 189/5	45/18 65/1 75/9 79/17
provider [1] 16/15 provides [2] 110/5	211/10	88/19 92/5 93/7	189/19 189/22 189/23	80/12 81/23 81/25
126/7	questioning [1]	103/15 108/19 111/5	208/3 208/5	103/10 103/13 104/16
providing [14] 14/3	167/13	125/4 125/8 125/14	receipts [7] 74/14	105/4 107/22 129/4
16/1 16/4 44/14 62/15	questions [16] 40/3	131/13 131/21 143/15		136/25 144/10 145/13
72/17 98/18 98/23	42/7 53/8 60/22 71/6	158/13 165/6 171/18	75/1 76/3 103/7	145/25 146/2 146/3
117/14 127/18 135/17	89/21 174/2 187/15	182/21 183/12 184/8	Receipts/Payments	146/12 146/13 152/10
136/9 171/25 189/13	191/20 191/21 199/13	184/15 184/17 190/6	<b>[1]</b> 74/18	176/3 178/20
proving [1] 175/5	199/24 204/22 205/18 209/18 209/24	192/24 206/12 206/25 207/20	153/11 156/6 183/24	referenced [2] 103/22 189/18
provision [5] 71/19	quick [1] 136/23	reading [25] 42/2	received [14] 80/10	referred [8] 2/21 5/9
72/12 73/22 189/19	quicker [1] 104/1	73/20 75/13 75/17	138/22 139/15 139/16	
190/12	quickly [8] 29/16	76/16 76/16 77/1 84/9		I I I
public [3] 29/3	29/19 30/1 62/23	84/21 92/10 93/12	156/7 161/17 167/12	referring [8] 30/18
107/11 168/2	84/20 131/13 184/7	93/13 112/13 125/17	182/1 182/3 182/19	117/22 120/3 126/19
publication [2] 126/8	189/7	125/19 125/20 137/25	207/5	169/6 172/4 182/2
publicly [2] 17/4 17/4	quieten [1] 39/24	160/24 169/9 169/10	receives [1] 182/18	195/25
pull [1] 50/13	quite [25] 11/13 15/5	177/24 187/2 189/23	receiving [4] 105/2	refers [4] 2/25 3/6
pulling [1] 127/24	21/7 24/25 32/21	196/1 209/13	142/24 156/2 182/17	15/16 50/17
purely [1] 27/17		reads [2] 72/24 112/6		reflect [3] 32/2 98/5
purpose [4] 22/7	93/22 94/6 96/15	ready [2] 95/17 161/6		144/8
153/13 176/9 196/12	101/23 105/7 124/14 139/18 144/24 148/9	real [2] 39/22 110/8 realise [1] 182/4	recess [1] 80/15 reclaim [1] 168/24	reflected [2] 98/8 208/1
purposes [1] 176/6	150/5 179/25 180/16	realised [3] 7/16	recognise [5] 44/22	reflective [1] 48/15
pursues [1] 28/22	180/16 194/7 204/14	32/15 80/4	44/23 63/9 184/18	reflects [1] 134/2
pursuing [2] 15/6	206/25	really [25] 7/14 30/8	184/19	refuting [1] 61/13
70/5	quoted [2] 90/21		recognised [1] 61/15	regarding [6] 48/5
put [36] 4/4 25/10 44/7 48/21 49/8 59/9	90/22	61/8 78/14 85/15	recognises [1] 55/6	54/5 81/24 139/10
61/14 62/14 71/21	quotes [1] 28/11	89/21 107/9 120/11	recognition [1] 55/13	170/11 202/18
75/6 76/13 76/21	R	123/25 133/25 134/14		regards [3] 13/10
77/21 84/9 84/25		134/18 145/14 145/21		46/22 198/9
87/25 88/20 89/7	raise [6] 22/10 53/14 75/8 76/14 104/20	145/21 145/22 164/2	135/5 143/21 148/22	regime [1] 202/5
89/18 89/25 90/13	148/4	173/11 173/13 188/21 201/22		region [1] 6/9
91/7 91/14 92/3 95/16		reason [7] 25/9 109/7	recommend [1]	regional [2] 6/5 6/14 registers [1] 13/25
97/12 104/24 108/22	31/14 42/5 62/7 64/7	116/11 116/15 152/17	recommendation [4]	regret [2] 4/2 205/15
144/15 164/20 179/23	81/21 81/24 93/24	162/23 203/14	131/17 131/24 133/13	
183/9 192/12 194/13	94/1 94/2 100/3	reasonable [2]	133/14	59/16 59/18 59/19
197/12 198/23 putting [6] 8/10	100/15 101/15 101/17	108/23 177/16	recommendations	59/20 82/17
88/10 96/19 111/2	101/19 107/2 107/23	reasons [5] 108/7	<b>[6]</b> 132/15 132/19	regularly [1] 82/15
195/8 205/10	119/10 129/25 149/20	108/24 123/6 191/11	132/24 134/8 134/11	regulatory [3] 30/25
	149/20 149/22 150/1	191/15	134/19	31/20 125/13
Q	152/7 159/25 168/16	reassuring [1] 77/8	reconciliation [1]	regurgitate [1]
QC [3] 158/21 159/5	170/25 175/17 192/19 raises [2] 168/13	recall [76] 3/13 3/16 6/2 18/13 20/6 20/8	47/8	183/16
189/16	173/6	21/2 25/7 26/6 27/13	reconciliations [1] 48/21	reiterate [1] 79/25 reject [1] 49/20
qualification [5] 8/11	raising [6] 61/6 66/17	27/14 29/8 39/2 42/1	reconsidered [1]	rejected [1] 110/2
8/12 10/22 11/4 11/5	85/19 149/15 150/22	42/2 44/11 46/2 48/19		rejoined [1] 177/10
qualified [1] 11/2 qualify [1] 10/24	172/10	53/7 54/11 62/6 62/9	recorded [1] 154/12	relate [2] 25/25
quality [2] 181/24	ran [2] 4/17 200/14	62/12 66/17 67/9	recording [1] 43/8	172/23
182/16	rarely [1] 172/2	67/24 68/1 74/7 78/19	records [3] 154/12	related [6] 40/3 46/18
quantify [1] 153/14	rather [8] 3/3 47/14	80/19 81/23 85/24	164/6 178/6	75/7 106/25 129/23
quarterly [2] 18/17	57/20 93/5 161/22	86/9 86/13 93/18	recover [2] 164/5	153/15
22/9	166/7 191/18 196/18 re [5] 119/15 126/15	100/21 100/23 103/14		relates [3] 100/1
question [19] 73/1	126/17 126/20 136/21	103/15 118/22 120/5 123/10 126/22 128/22	recovering [1] 165/2	130/15 160/12 relating [15] 20/22
89/25 102/4 104/10	re your [1] 126/15	128/24 129/11 132/18		27/16 41/20 55/15
107/9 118/17 118/22	re-input [1] 119/15	134/5 140/21 145/2	203/23	96/15 105/19 117/18
124/8 134/18 152/6	reach [2] 35/1 188/12	145/17 150/8 150/10	redaction [1] 197/24	132/2 148/7 148/7
157/21 164/9 167/3 167/4 188/9 194/1	reached [1] 34/12	153/18 153/24 156/2	refer [8] 2/6 2/18	159/1 159/6 164/10
194/5 198/11 207/17	reaching [1] 170/10	160/23 162/10 169/15		168/16 187/19
Questioned [8] 1/7	read [36] 28/8 28/11	173/15 174/14 174/17		relation [8] 2/3 5/21
	29/11 39/18 74/14	176/4 176/22 178/15	reference [29] 2/19	42/7 60/25 80/22
L				(78) provider - relation

(78) provider - relation

R	remembered [1]	188/1 189/23 189/24	57/22 58/17 62/2 68/2	revised [1] 186/19
relation [3] 86/10	112/10	189/25 190/1 190/2	71/16 82/23 97/11	revisit [2] 32/23
142/25 194/6	remembering [1]	190/4 190/7 190/10	99/25 102/20 103/23	180/22
Relations [1] 165/18	200/10	190/11 207/20 207/23		
relationship [16]	remind [1] 103/3	reported [7] 35/12	123/16 126/10 127/23	
8/17 8/20 9/3 13/14	reminded [2] 3/8	35/18 36/16 61/4	127/25 128/1 131/15	8/3 9/7 33/14 35/17
13/15 20/25 112/19	3/15	110/7 122/7 181/14	131/19 139/3 157/3	35/20 36/6 36/17
113/8 113/12 114/18	reminder [1] 59/12	reporting [14] 6/14	171/18 171/19 174/1	39/21 41/24 53/18
162/19 162/24 163/10	remorse [1] 4/8	18/13 20/7 21/2 35/9	174/7	54/18 56/18 58/10
163/12 163/24 174/20	remote [15] 99/8	35/21 36/1 36/12	responsibilities [3]	61/19 73/17 76/6 78/7
relatively [1] 105/5	100/1 160/9 170/4	36/22 36/25 64/4 65/6		84/9 87/25 88/20 89/7
release [6] 71/13	170/24 171/10 172/11		responsibility [3]	89/18 90/13 91/14
72/21 72/25 73/2	172/21 173/16 175/18		20/5 32/12 151/14	91/22 92/10 92/16
188/13 192/14	176/7 176/19 185/19	11/17 18/16 44/16	responsible [10] 5/7	92/24 96/21 100/18
released [1] 198/5	188/24 189/4	122/12 156/23 189/17	6/8 11/22 31/18 32/10	102/9 104/25 111/17
relevance [1] 52/25	removed [2] 133/15	200/24	39/2 143/23 147/13 147/14 180/20	112/1 112/7 113/2
relevant [17] 8/11	133/16	represent [5] 23/4		129/19 132/21 133/21 134/1 136/11 139/10
14/9 16/25 52/19 81/3	rename [1] 118/4 Renner [1] 196/4	192/4 193/20 200/9 205/23	rest [3] 77/18 121/18 139/23	152/13 154/6 166/3
125/12 141/23 141/25	reopened [1] 190/4	representative [2]	result [4] 29/4 56/9	169/22 183/25 191/23
149/7 163/3 172/18	reopens [1] 168/8	17/10 63/18	75/5 154/13	194/19 196/11 199/16
175/9 178/18 187/9	repayment [1] 51/20	represented [3]	resume [3] 94/21	200/5 204/9 207/23
189/15 205/4 205/4	replace [1] 57/4	23/14 192/6 202/16	169/22 210/2	right-hand [5] 36/6
reliability [13] 104/20	reply [2] 138/23	representing [1]	Retail [5] 5/4 5/17	36/17 132/21 133/21
131/6 132/8 155/4	138/24	41/10	5/20 7/3 201/3	166/3
156/11 157/9 165/24	report [128] 3/3	request [6] 54/20	retention [1] 38/2	rightly [1] 127/2
166/18 166/24 167/1	11/11 11/19 15/12	56/2 117/24 133/5	rethought [1] 53/1	rigorous [1] 110/5
167/14 168/14 177/14	35/17 37/23 44/14	195/18 196/4	retired [2] 157/16	risk [10] 104/24
relied [4] 53/13 53/15	60/1 64/20 66/13	requested [2] 51/15	191/7	111/11 116/16 117/4
205/15 205/16 rem [1] 54/21	74/18 74/23 74/23	159/1	retirement [2] 3/7	133/5 133/9 153/12
remained [1] 197/14	75/17 76/2 76/2 76/4	required [5] 59/14	191/12	153/18 156/5 160/13
remaining [1] 125/1	78/21 87/15 94/16	59/22 60/9 109/11	retiring [1] 3/17	risks [8] 12/16 95/18
remains [1] 207/14	95/17 95/18 95/22	154/24	retrospective [1]	153/14 153/23 154/3
remember [93] 5/23	96/2 96/24 97/13	requirement [1]	154/24	154/7 155/8 155/23
7/24 9/17 9/22 17/20	97/16 97/22 98/19	185/2	return [3] 99/8 100/2	risky [1] 123/18
17/23 23/21 24/11	98/22 105/9 111/12	requiring [1] 81/9	148/23	robust [1] 193/4
24/12 24/16 24/22	111/19 111/22 113/20		returned [2] 28/7	robustness [1] 197/3
24/23 25/2 27/24	113/22 114/7 115/14		133/18	Rod [8] 41/13 41/14
38/23 44/6 52/7 52/8			review [53] 2/9 15/16	
58/14 61/17 63/10	116/15 116/17 117/2 117/9 122/4 124/23	resignation [3] 190/22 191/10 191/14	40/2 45/10 45/15 47/19 48/15 55/8 56/7	111/19 121/2 209/16
63/10 65/10 65/11	125/2 125/4 125/8	resigned [1] 49/16	62/20 63/1 64/11 71/9	
65/13 66/12 70/2	125/12 125/14 126/8	resolution [1] 39/20	71/22 73/2 82/5 82/6	Rodric [8] 117/13
70/12 70/15 71/20	127/23 134/7 137/5	resolved [1] 122/15	84/16 84/17 84/19	121/1 130/16 130/24
72/14 73/3 76/16	137/17 137/23 139/16		84/19 84/20 96/5	135/11 137/20 208/22
77/14 78/18 78/22	143/18 148/24 150/14		111/11 116/5 137/4	209/13
79/6 80/24 81/12 86/1	152/9 154/14 155/22	20/7 21/3 23/11 25/21	138/23 139/12 139/17	
86/3 86/6 88/10 88/12	156/24 163/4 165/23	43/13 55/12 86/4	143/5 143/25 144/5	8/23 8/25 9/1 9/10
90/17 90/22 91/1 94/1	165/25 166/7 166/17	163/3 188/6	144/20 145/11 145/12	
94/2 94/14 95/11	168/15 168/21 168/23		147/18 151/2 167/11	10/6 10/8 10/10 10/12
96/17 96/18 96/21 97/7 97/8 118/25	169/14 173/8 174/21	71/6 96/7 97/22 98/19		
123/21 132/10 134/9	174/23 175/16 175/20	98/22 107/7 153/16	178/21 181/15 181/17	11/8 11/20 12/1 12/3
135/4 137/25 141/1	176/3 176/4 176/6	162/1 165/4 165/6	189/8 192/16 192/17	12/20 12/23 13/18
145/4 149/19 149/24	176/9 176/10 176/13	166/12	193/1 196/7 196/8	14/16 14/19 14/22
150/4 150/4 150/24		responded [4] 64/23	196/16 200/12 207/14	16/3 16/6 16/13 17/12
151/3 153/2 153/5	176/25 177/4 177/6	162/3 165/4 181/3	review/audit [1]	17/15 22/22 23/1
157/24 158/2 159/3	177/6 177/12 178/14	responding [7] 17/11	167/11	27/17 38/16 39/1
159/20 163/16 163/18	179/9 179/12 182/1	61/23 103/17 139/23	reviewed [1] 175/9	40/23 40/25 42/9 48/4
169/17 173/2 176/20	182/3 182/20 182/22		reviewing [1] 154/14	52/19 52/21 60/15
183/3 186/9 186/10	183/15 183/16 183/17		reviews [12] 71/13	61/18 62/16 66/21
190/10 190/15 194/22	183/18 183/19 183/22		71/19 71/21 72/16	66/23 66/24 66/24 67/1 60/10 60/12
199/10 202/12 202/13	183/24 184/7 184/9 184/10 184/12 184/15	response [33] 27/22	72/22 72/25 73/5 73/15 73/17 138/3	67/1 69/10 69/12 69/12 69/15 69/20
202/18 203/8 209/4	184/10 184/12 184/15	29/17 30/10 47/16 51/16 51/21 56/20	138/4 138/5	70/3 70/8 70/18 70/18
	100/3 100/20 107/24	51/10 51/21 30/20	100/4 100/0	

(79) relation... - role

Tote [14]         Ouzz         Satety [11]         Deck         Total	R	S	103/24 107/7 107/8	172/16 173/6 177/7	86/13 86/23 87/2
70/22 70/23 70/25       said [61] 56 10/19       123/16 13/24 14/311       10/16 12/21 12/16	role [34] 70/22	safety [1] 168/4	108/12 113/2 114/13	179/12 181/3 185/23	87/14 92/13 94/16
1/12 / 1/4 3/22 30/2       22/19 2/40 3/42 30/6       150/3 156/8 160/20       scales [1] 4/9       9/10 97/1197/16         38/9 6015 92/0       50/5 55/7 58/9 56/21       150/3 156/8 160/20       scales [1] 4/9       9/10 97/1197/16         38/1 18/41 11/21/2 11/21/6 11/22/11       12/17 11/21/6 11/22/11       12/17 11/21       scales [1] 4/9       9/17 10/01/9 10/2/11         11/4/1 11/4/2 11/102/2       12/17 11/21/6 11/22/11       10/16 5/10       scales [1] 4/9       9/17 10/01/9 10/2/11         12/17 11/21/6 11/22/11       10/16 10/16       12/17 11/11       16/17 11/11/11       16/17 11/11       16/17 11/11       16/17 11/11       16/17 11/11       16/17 11/11       16/17 11/11       16/17 11/11       16/17 11/11       16/17 11/11       16/17 11/11       16/17 11/11       16/17 11/11       16/17 11/11       16/17 11/11       16/17 11/11       16/17 11/11       16/17 11/11       16/17 11/11       16/17					
Base Solvason, Solvason, Base Solvason, Bas					
Bart J					
11/216       11/225       16/21       13/25       16/21       16/21       16/21       16/21       16/21       16/21       16/21       16/21       16/21       16/21       16/21       10/21       <					
Inst. I 11/22 12/24/11         Color J 22/2 12/04/12         Color J 22/24         Color J 22/24 <thcolor 22="" 24<="" j="" th="">         Color J 22/24</thcolor>					
The The Trans         Trans         Trans         Schedule [1]         G772         G772 <thg772< th="">         G77</thg772<>					
12411         12/12         12/12         12/12         12/14 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
Index         Index <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>182/11 184/1 184/5</td><td></td><td>110/20 111/3 111/13</td></th<>			182/11 184/1 184/5		110/20 111/3 111/13
204/3 roles [10] 5/1 6/16 6/23 7025 9/18 11/10         147/20 152/23 1534         199/23 / 2014 20/41         50/15         50/15         11/14 12/14           6/23 7/25 9/18 11/10         189/18 16 7/3 020 176/11         29/21 20/22 29/23         50/15         50/15         50/15         11/14 12/11 17/14 12/33           70112 01/14 12/18 16/8         189/2 20 176/11         29/21 20/22 29/23         50/15         50/15         11/14 12/14 12/21 17/14 12/33           70116 01/19 1/15         199/21 20/14 20/11         29/21 20/22 29/23         50/15         50/15         12/2/3 12/24 13/14           70116 01/19 1/16         20/91 5         199/15         50/15         50/15         50/16         12/2/3 12/24 13/14         12/2/14 13/24           70110 11/1         19/24 20/14         20/17 12/20 17/26         16/24 13/14         14/16 14/20 14/25           70110 10/15         29/23 30/14 50/16         80/15 80/17 86/25         50/16 80/13         30/10 45/12 40/11         14/16 14/16 14/15           70117 10/17 12/20 17/27 116/77 13/23 12/26 12/20         12/21 12/21 12/20         12/21 12/21 12/21         12/21 12/21 12/21         12/21 12/21 12/21         12/21 12/21 12/21         12/21 12/21 12/21         12/21 12/21 12/21         12/21 12/21 12/21         12/21 12/21         12/21 12/21         12/21 12/21         12/21 12/21         12/21 12/21         1			185/22 185/25 195/14		113/20 114/7 115/14
roles [10]         6/16/16         163/6         163/16         163				56/15	115/17 116/4 116/9
6/23         7/25         9/18         10/2         11/1/4         12/2/1         Scott [1]         20/2/2 <th20 2<="" th=""></th20>					116/14 116/17 116/17
11/14         12/16         179/18         160/2         129/21         29/22         29/24         29/26         <					
20225         184/4         19525         182/7         33/15         Scotts [1]         30/16         T2/8         12/8					
Totilad [1]         172/25         196/21 204/12 201/19         64/23 65/9 72/4 72/4         132/14 132/16 162/6         136/16 137/4 139/16           Tollover [2]         52/4         same [26]         13/20         13/20         14/217 14/46 154/11           7/417         57/15 59/15         15/20 50/25 71/3 89/2         86/15 66/16 66/13         30/10 45/12 46/11         14/26 14/4/11           67/21 67/24 99/13         13/20 21/21 121/19 122/4         88/13 88/17 88/25         scroll [69] 28/8 29/14         14/26 14/21           67/21 67/24 99/13         136/16 137/2 139/16         14/27 14/46 14/4/11         14/26 14/4/21           172/15 17/22 01/21 14/21         14/19 14/22         16/20 16/21 17/2         15/21 66/24 16/21         15/21 66/24 16/21           197/19         18/16 14/13/23 17/42         13/8/16 13/14 13/14         16/20 16/21 17/05         16/20 16/21 17/05         16/20 16/21 17/05           197/19         18/21 20/21 13/21 14/21         16/21 16/21 14/22         17/21 12/21 12/21         17/21 12/21 12/21         17/21 12/21 12/21         17/21 12/21 12/21         17/21 12/21 12/21         17/21 12/21         17/21 12/21         17/21 12/21         17/21 12/21         17/21 12/21         17/21 12/21         17/21 12/21         17/21 12/21         17/21 12/21         17/21 12/21         17/21 12/21         17/21 12/21         17/21 12/2					
Onling [1]         6/4/2         209/15         209/15         130/17         130/	rolled [1] 172/25	198/21 204/12 207/19			
collour [1]         5/24         same [26]         13/20         12/21/200         10/30         14/31 <td>rolling [1] 6/4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	rolling [1] 6/4				
Hollover [2] (4/16)         45/12 30/25 / 1/3 39/2         86/15 86/16 88/13         30/10 45/12 46/11         148/8 148/21 154/13           Ron [7] 59/15 59/15         12/0/24 12/119 12/2/         12/0/24 12/119 12/2/         86/15 86/16 88/13         30/10 45/12 46/11         166/16 161/1 161/8           Ron [2] 16/2/2         14/8 19/12 11/22         13/6/8 137/20 137/22         89/3 89/17 89/25         16/16 62/0 58/15         160/16 161/1 161/8           Ronan [2] 16/2/2         14/8 19 148/19         13/8/17 89/25         16/16 161/1 161/8         18/25 20/19 07/7 108/21         17/0/17 17/0/22         17/0/21 7/17 16/7/20         12/2/17/17 17/17           19/10 4/16 4/18 59/13         sactions [2] 20/2         20/3/8         11/12/14         18/9/2 29/18 98/18 96/21         100/10 10/17 10/2/2         17/0/21 7/17/17/17/17/17/17/17/17/17/17/17/17/17	rollout [1] 5/24				
Baylor 10         Baylor 10         Baylor 14         Baylor 18         Baylor 18 <thbaylor 18<="" th=""> <thbaylor 18<="" th=""> <thb< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></thb<></thbaylor></thbaylor>					
Ron [7]         15/16         16/16         <					
b1/21 b1/24 93/13         123/3 124/6 133/6         163/3 124/6 133/6         88/25 90/1 90/7 90/8         60/19 62/2 63/20 66/2         162/9 166/7 166/14           Ronan [2] 196/2         148/19 148/19 167/16         90/9 91/1 91/25 92/3         71/16 77/3 99/25         166/20 169/3 170/5           Top/19         188/1 73/22 174/2         90/9 91/1 91/25 92/3         10/10 10 103/17 103/23         170/22 170/17 170/20           125/17 125/19 125/20         203/18         sanctions [2] 203/2         114/19 116/11 11/15         119/23 121/11 119/22         170/12 170/17 170/20           125/17 125/19 125/20         203/18         sanch [1] 11/12         130/16 137/1 138/6         127/21 128/20 129/1         174/28 172/15 173/21 174/14           149/12         146/12 146/22 146/2         sat [4] 20/3 20/5         156/19 157/1 158/11         129/71 129/21 219/21         178/16 177/1 170/12         178/25 182/13 192/15           149/12         130/16 137/1 138/6         137/21 138/2         131/8 130/23 131/8         secoretaria [1] 14/3           149/12         146/12 146/2         166/21 166/7         156/16         166/21 166/7         166/24           14/12         163/71 166/6         167/5         169/71 166/1         166/71 166/4         166/71 166/4         166/71 166/6           16/21 26/5 25/155/16         10/17 181/2         10/17 120/2					
17/21/13       130/6       137/20       130/6       137/20       130/6       137/20       130/6       137/20       130/6       137/20       130/6       137/20       130/6       137/20       130/6       137/20       130/6       137/20       130/6       137/20       130/6       137/20       137/21       137/20       137/21       137/21					
Rohan [2]         197/19         146/19         147/					
room [18]         17/24         182/20 191/8 194/12         97/6 98/2 98/16 98/29         106/22 107/1 108/12         17/108/12         17/102/2 17/17 17/17/2           19/10 41/6 41/8 59/13         sanctions [2] 203/2         106/20 109/6 113/91         119/23 121/11 122/14         17/12/2 17/17 17/17/2         17/12/2 17/28 172/15           125/17 125/19 125/20         Sandr [1] 11/12         11/12         17/12/2 17/28 172/15         17/14/17 17/17/2         17/17 17/17/17/28 172/15           143/10 145/24 146/21         Sandr [1] 11/12         Sandr [1] 11/12         17/12         17/17 167/17         17/17 17/17/17/17/28 172/15           149/12         Sandr [1] 11/12         Sarah [1] 12/12         130/16 137/1 136/6         127/17 12/12/12 12/12 12/12         17/17 16/17 15/17           149/12         Satisfactorily [1]         130/16 137/1 158/11         129/17 146/7 15/73         196/15 197/18           56/22         Satisfactorily [1]         167/5         110/19 18/122         10/17 204/12 209/7         168/16 18/18 165/16         Secretaria [1] 14/3         32/25 77/20 89/22           70/16 70/17 16/6         Satisfactorily [1]         10/17 204/12 209/7         166/17 46/7 15/73         Secretaria [1] 14/3         32/15 32/25 7/12 08/9         39/14 9/14         32/15 32/25 7/12 08/9         32/25 7/12 08/9         32/25 7/12 08/9         32/25 7/12 08/9         32/25 7/12					170/12 170/17 170/20
19/10       41/6       41/8       59/13       sanctions [2]       203/2         12/21			97/6 98/2 98/16 98/25	106/22 107/7 108/12	170/22 171/7 171/12
125/17       125/19       125/19       125/10       127/11					
142/4 142/19 143/1       Sandra [1] 11/12       118/9 12/23 128/16       120/16 120/9 12/15       174/18 15/16 15/5/1         143/10 145/24 146/2       Sarah [1] 124/20       130/16 137/1 138/6       127/21 128/20 129/4       178/25 182/13 192/15         149/12       23/21 142/15       satisfaction [1] 29/3       satisfaction [1] 29/3       130/16 137/11       130/16 1310/23 131/8       130/23 131/8       192/15 129/17       192/18 192/18       192/17 129/20 129/4       178/25 182/13 192/15         70und [2] 45/11       satisfaction [1] 29/3       satisfaction [1] 29/3       satisfaction [1] 29/3       168/24 174/18 182/15       131/15 138/18 139/1       secretaria [1] 14/3         56/22       satisfaction [1] 20/3       satisfaction [1] 20/3       satisfaction [1] 20/3       168/24 174/18 182/15       136/16 158/16 158/16       Secretaria [1] 36/16         70/4 67/7 166/6       satisfaction [1] 20/3       satisfaction [1] 20/3       36/13 36/12 36/12       11/12/2 26/9 28/3       13/21 18/20       138/16 185/16       Secretaria [1] 36/16         86/4       36/19 36/25 5/1/ 65/1       36/19 36/25 5/1/165/1       39/13 45/13 45/13       39/11 36/12       18/16 18/17       18/22 119/20       12/23 12/24 15/14       11/11 12/12 12/12       20/170 20/17 20/17       26/23 20/17 20/77       26/23 20/17 20/77       26/23 20/17 20/77       26/23 20/17 20/77       26/25 20/9					173/15 173/21 174/14
143/10       145/24       146/2       Sarah [1]       124/2       126/20       126/21       126/20       129/21       126/20       129/21					
146/12 146/22 146/23 149/12       sat [4]       20/3 20/5 23/21 142/15       150/19 15//3 159/11       129/17 129/20 129/21       192/18 192/18 192/18 192/18         149/12       23/21 142/15       23/21 142/15       130/13 130/23 130/21 313/18       196/7 196/15 197/18         roomful [1] 173/9       satisfactorily [1] 167/5       110/19 181/22       130/13 196/18 199/22       146/7 146/7 146/7 157/3       secretariat [1] 4/3         56/22       satisfactorily [1] 167/5       110/19 181/22       20/16       130/13 20/22 2167/20 173/6       secretariat [1] 4/3         704 G7/6 6777 166/6       110/19 181/22       Satisfactorily [1] 20/17 204/12 20/97       133/21 178/20 181/2       secretariat [1] 40/3         80/19 36/22 51/1 65/1       Saturday [1] 207/3       satisfactorily [1] 21/14 12/27 16 132/1       146/17 46/71 46/71 56/73       secretariat [1] 40/3         10/4 22/16 23/5 23/6       satisfactorily [1] 22/16 23/5 23/6       36/19 36/22 51/1 65/1       36/19 36/22 51/1 65/1       36/19 36/22 51/1 65/1       36/19 36/22 51/1 65/1       36/19 36/22 51/1 65/1       36/19 36/22 51/1 65/1       36/19 36/22 51/1 65/1       36/19 36/22 51/1 65/1       36/13 36/22 51/1 65/1       36/13 36/22 51/1 65/1       36/13 36/22 51/1 65/1       36/13 31/2 51/1 11/2       36/13 31/2 51/1 11/2       36/13 31/2 51/1 11/2       36/13 31/2 51/1 11/2       36/13 31/2 51/1 11/2       36/13 31/2 51/1 11/2       36/13 31/2 51/1 11/2					
149/12       23/21 14/2115       23/21 14/2115       13/15 138/18 139/1       secondly [4] 2/12         roomful [1] 173/9       satisfactorily [1]       13/3       satisfactorily [1]       20/17       20/17 20/12       20/17       20/17 20/12       20/17       20/17 20/12       20/17       20/17 20/12       20/12       20/17 20/12       20/12       20/17 20/12       20/12	146/12 146/22 146/23				
roomdul [1]       173/9       satisfactorin [1]       22/3         satisfactorin [1]       22/3       196/13       111/11       111/11	149/12				
round [2]         satisractoriiy [1]         satisractoriiy [1]         201/17 204/12 209/7         146/7 146/7 157/3         secretarial [1]         14/3           route [6]         56/22         54/25         satisractoriiy [1]         201/17 204/12 209/7         146/7 146/7 157/3         secretarial [1]         14/3           route [6]         56/22         54/25         satisractoriiy [1]         201/17 204/12 209/7         146/7 146/7 157/3         secretarial [1]         14/3           routinely [2]         58/4         54/25         satisractoriiy [1]         201/17 204/12 209/7         146/7 146/7 157/3         secretarial [1]         14/3           85/8         saw [20]         16/23 18/23         39/3 45/13 45/13         145/14         132/11 12/21         173/21 173/21         173/21 173/21         181/6 181/8 183/7         secretarial [1]         14/3           7/14         52/12 52/61         31/3 23/13 2/11 48/23         39/3 3/23 139/21 148/23         39/3 3/23 139/21 148/23         11/17 11/11 11/21 12/12         11/11 11/21 12/12         11/11 11/21 12/12         11/17 11/12         55/5 55/25 57/15 59/4         second [162] 26/3         36/7 36/12 36/12         36/7 36/12 36/12         36/7 36/12 36/12         36/7 36/12 36/12         36/7 36/12 36/12         36/7 36/12 36/12         36/7 36/12 36/12         36/7 36/12 36/12         36/7 36/12 36/12	roomful [1] 173/9	satisfaction [1] 29/3			
50/22       16/7       50/22       16/7       209/16       158/16 158/18 165/16       Secretariat [1] 36/18         route [6] 56/23 56/23       5atisfied [3] 110/15       satisfied [3] 12/14       209/16       166/22 167/20 173/6       secretarias [1] 10/17         route [6] 56/23 56/23       5atisfied [3] 12/14       209/16       158/16 158/18 165/16       Secretarias [1] 10/17         route [6] 56/23 56/23       5atisfied [3] 12/14       209/16       12/11 12/23 26/9 28/3       173/21 178/20 181/2       secretarias [1] 10/17         route [3] 2/14 2/19       36/19 36/22 51/1 65/1       36/19 36/22 51/1 65/1       36/19 36/22 51/1 65/1       36/19 36/22 51/1 65/2       39/13 45/13 45/13       192/10 196/21 197/6       9/23 9/25 10/4 11/6         4/13 4/22 7/13 8/9       121/14 127/16 132/1       13/23 139/21 148/23       150/15 00/4 50/14 51/11       scrolling [1] 195/16       12/23 1/22 41/2 12/2       2/2 /2 2/2 2/2         25/12 26/15 27/1 27/12       184/10 184/11 189/25       155/5 55/5 55/15 57/1 59/4       56/25 55/2 55/1 55/1 55/3       56/20 56/2 55/1 55/1 55/3       56/20 56/2 55/1 55/1 55/1 55/9       69/21 70/4 70/8 70/11         201/19       20/12 22/24 49/15 41/13       20/23 73/3 73/9 79/12       20/23 73/3 73/9 79/12       20/23 73/3 73/9 79/12       20/23 73/3 73/9 79/12       20/23 73/3 73/9 79/12       13/21 12/2/1 12/2/1 12/2/1 12/2/1 12/2/1 12/2/1 12/2/1 12/2/1 12/2/1 12/2/1					
route [6]         solve [6] <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
Saturday [1]         207/3         207/3         206/23         207/1         208/21         206/23         207/1         208/21         207/3         206/23         207/3         207/3         20/3         20/21         20/22         20/22/22         20/22         20/22/22         20/22         20/22/22         20/22         20/22/22         20/22         20/22         20/22/22         20/22         20/22         20/22         20/22         20/22         20/22         20/22         20/22         20/21         20/21         20/					
Number [2]       Same [2]       <					
Royal [31]       2/14 2/19       36/19 36/22 51/1 65/1       39/18 45/18 45/19 49/11       132/16 190/21 197/6       19/25 9/26 10/4 11/2         4/13 4/22 7/13 8/9       121/14 127/16 132/1       139/23 139/21 148/23       206/23 207/1 208/21       11/11 11/21 12/12         10/4 22/16 23/5 23/6       133/23 139/21 148/23       155/5 155/18 156/8       50/15 50/15 57/1 59/4       second [162] 26/3       36/7 36/12 36/18         25/12 26/15 27/1 27/2       184/10 184/11 189/25       55/5 55/25 57/1 59/4       second [162] 26/3       36/7 36/12 36/18         27/19 27/23 28/21       190/10 200/13       53/24 7/14       57/5 55/25 57/1 59/4       second [162] 26/3       36/7 36/12 36/18         32/15 32/22 32/24       190/10 200/13       53/24 7/14       57/15 74/15 75/3       54/23 55/1 55/1 55/9       69/21 70/4 70/8 70/11         32/9 33/20 35/3 42/18       23/24 29/15 41/13       90/3 97/24 102/15       60/1 60/22 60/25       73/21 73/23 112/15         30/19       171/21       57/7 58/19 59/1 59/3       106/17 106/22 107/13       61/19 62/7 62/15       113/23 113/25 114/13         172/14 173/24       59/8 59/20 63/3 63/13       109/15 109/19 109/22       63/12 64/17 64/23       114/11 154/3 165/8         Rudkin's [4] 172/11       63/24 69/14 77/14       71/7 71/13 71/19       72/23 73/3 73/9 79/12       117/21 118/7 11/21       72/13 72/19 72/22			28/9 30/11 36/12		8/2 8/12 8/16 9/1 9/19
4/i3 4/22 7/13 8/9       121/14 127/16 132/1       46/18 46/19 49/11       20/32 20/11 20/21       11/11 11/21 12/12         10/4 22/16 23/5 23/6       133/23 139/21 148/23       50/1 50/4 50/14 51/11       scrolling [1] 195/16       12/23 12/24 15/14         23/13 23/14 23/25       155/5 155/18 156/8       55/5 155/18 156/8       55/5 55/25 57/1 59/4       second [162] 26/3       36/7 36/12 36/18         27/19 27/23 28/21       190/10 200/13       say [98] 3/24 7/14       21/8 21/21 22/4 22/12       73/15 74/15 75/3       54/23 55/1 55/15 5/9       69/21 70/4 70/8 70/11         28/25 29/9 30/23       say [98] 3/24 7/14       23/24 29/15 41/13       67/20 71/12 73/9       40/15 54/4 54/21       52/19 69/13 69/15         30/1 30/19       23/24 29/15 41/13       23/24 29/15 41/13       67/20 71/12 73/9       40/16 50/17 59/18       69/21 70/4 70/8 70/11         30/19 31/21/1       59/8 59/20 63/3 63/13       90/3 97/24 102/15       61/19 62/7 62/15       113/23 113/25 114/13         172/14 173/24       59/8 59/20 63/3 63/13       109/15 109/91 109/22       63/12 64/17 64/23       118/11 124/9 124/11         17/21 17/24 172/14       63/18 65/23 68/3       109/15 109/19 109/24       63/26 63/6 61/13       114/15 115/6 116/23         172/24 15/14       57/24 58/21       68/24 69/14 72/14       11/21 118/7 119/11       63/26 63/6 61/13       114/11 14			39/13 45/13 45/13	192/10 196/21 197/6	9/23 9/25 10/4 11/6
10/4 22/16 23/5 23/6       133/23 139/21 148/23       50/1 50/4 50/14 5					
23/13       23/14       23/25       155/5       155/18       156/5       155/5 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
25/12 26/15 27/1 27/2       184/10 184/11 189/25       55/5 55/25 57/1 59/4       second [162] 26/3       36/7 36/12 36/18         27/19 27/23 28/21       190/10 200/13       say [98] 3/24 7/14       26/25 35/15 37/23       40/24 40/25 52/18         28/25 29/9 30/23       say [98] 3/24 7/14       73/15 74/15 75/3       54/23 35/1 55/1 55/1 55/9       69/21 70/4 70/8 70/11         32/15 32/22 32/24       21/8 21/21 22/4 22/12       23/24 29/15 41/13       73/15 74/15 75/3       54/23 35/1 55/1 55/9       69/21 70/4 70/8 70/11         30/19       23/24 29/15 41/13       23/24 29/15 41/13       90/3 97/24 102/15       60/1 60/22 60/25       73/21 73/23 112/15         Rudkin [3] 171/21       57/7 58/19 59/1 59/3       59/20 63/3 63/13       108/15 109/9 109/14       62/20 62/25 63/6       114/15 115/6 116/23         172/4 173/24       59/8 59/20 63/3 63/13       108/15 109/9 109/14       62/20 62/25 63/6       114/15 115/6 116/23         172/19 174/24 175/11       68/24 69/14 72/14       110/4 111/9 117/20       65/9 65/17 66/4 66/13       114/11 154/3 165/18         16/23 16/24       run [4] 57/24 58/21       88/18 88/23 89/1 89/4       111/22/1 123/13       72/13 72/19 72/22       149/2         12/4 150/13       90/3 90/8 90/10 90/13       12/2/1 123/13       72/13 72/19 72/22       149/2       149/2         12/24 122/4					
27/19       27/23       28/25       29/9       30/23       say [98]       3/24       7/14       73/15       74/15       75/3       54/23       55/1       55/1       55/9       69/21       70/4       70/8       70/11         32/15       32/22       32/24       29/15       41/13       40/15       54/23       55/1       55/1       55/9       69/21       70/4       70/8       70/11       70/19       71/27       71/18       73/15       74/15       53/3       55/1       55/1       55/1       55/1       59/20       69/21       70/4       70/8       70/11       70/19       71/27       71/18       73/11       73/21       73/23       71/14       73/21       73/23       73/21 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
28/25 29/9 30/23       say [93] 3/24 //14       73/15 74/15 75/3       54/23 55/1 55/1 55/9       69/21 70/4 70/8 70/11         32/15 32/22 32/24       21/8 21/21 22/4 22/12       73/15 74/15 75/3       54/23 55/1 55/1 55/9       69/21 70/4 70/8 70/11         33/9 33/20 35/3 42/18       23/24 29/15 41/13       90/3 97/24 102/15       60/1 60/22 60/25       73/21 73/23 112/15         Rudkin [3] 171/21       57/7 58/19 59/1 59/3       106/17 106/22 107/13       61/19 62/7 62/15       113/23 113/25 114/13         172/4 173/24       59/8 59/20 63/3 63/13       108/15 109/9 109/14       62/20 62/25 63/6       114/15 115/6 116/23         172/19 174/24 175/11       63/18 65/23 68/3       109/15 109/19 109/22       63/12 64/17 64/23       118/11 124/9 124/11         16/23 16/24       72/23 73/3 73/9 79/12       117/21 118/7 119/11       67/4 67/6 67/24 69/8       118/11 124/9 124/11         16/23 16/24       88/18 88/23 89/1 89/4       121/4 121/4 121/17       71/7 71/13 71/19       54/23 72/3 72/19 72/22       149/2         run-up [1] 124/4       90/3 90/8 90/10 90/13       126/11 126/25 127/5       73/19 73/22 73/25       73/19 73/22 73/25       54/24 152/3 154/7         8/19 12/7       93/21 94/10 95/19       92/22 93/11 93/12       149/13 155/8 157/23       79/16 79/24 79/25       54/24 152/3 154/7         8/19 12/7       96/2 96/3 99/4 101/					
32/15       32/22       32/24       21/21       22/24       22/12       22/11       22/12       22/11 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
33/9 33/20 35/3 42/18       23/24 29/15 41/13       90/3 97/24 102/15       60/1 60/22 60/25       73/21 73/23 112/15         201/19       42/22 44/4 53/5 56/20       90/3 97/24 102/15       61/19 62/7 62/15       113/23 113/25 114/13         Rudkin [3] 171/21       57/7 58/19 59/1 59/3       106/17 106/22 107/13       62/20 62/25 63/6       114/15 115/6 116/23         Rudkin's [4] 172/11       63/18 65/23 68/3       109/15 109/19 109/22       63/12 64/17 64/23       118/11 124/9 124/11         rules [4] 16/20 16/23       72/23 73/3 73/9 79/12       111/21 118/7 119/11       67/4 67/6 67/24 69/8       147/11 15/3 165/18         121/4 150/13       90/3 90/8 90/10 90/13       122/1 123/4 123/13       72/13 72/19 72/22       149/2         runup [1] 124/4       91/2 91/4 92/18 92/20       92/22 93/11 93/12       138/21 143/3 143/15       74/10 78/20 79/8       155/7 178/19         8/19 12/7       93/21 94/10 95/19       96/2 96/3 99/4 101/16       158/2 158/19 160/13       80/10 81/22 81/24       10/7 200/10 200/12         16/23 170/7 171/14       96/2 96/3 99/4 101/16       16/23 170/7 171/14       82/3 82/10 86/4 86/10       sectors [1] 15/21					
42/22 44/4 53/3 50/20       106/17 106/22 107/13       61/19 62/7 62/15       113/23 113/25 114/13         Rudkin [3] 171/21       57/7 58/19 59/1 59/3       108/15 109/9 109/14       62/20 62/25 63/6       114/15 115/6 116/23         Rudkin's [4] 172/11       63/18 65/23 68/3       63/18 65/23 68/3       09/15 109/19 109/22       63/12 64/17 64/23       118/11 124/9 124/11         rules [4] 16/20 16/23       72/23 73/3 73/9 79/12       121/4 121/17       65/9 65/17 66/4 66/13       147/11 154/3 165/18         124/4 150/13       72/23 73/3 73/9 79/12       121/4 121/4 121/17       67/4 67/6 67/24 69/8       191/11 199/6 200/15         sceretary's [2] 13/22       88/18 88/23 89/1 89/4       122/1 123/4 123/13       72/13 72/19 72/22       73/15       54/24 152/3 154/7         run-up [1] 124/4       91/2 91/4 92/18 92/20       92/22 93/11 93/12       138/21 143/3 143/15       74/10 78/20 79/8       54/24 152/3 154/7         8/19 12/7       93/21 94/10 95/19       96/2 96/3 99/4 101/16       158/2 158/19 160/13       80/10 81/22 81/24       110/7 200/10 200/12         16/23 170/7 171/14       16/23 170/7 171/14       82/3 82/10 86/4 86/10       sectors [1] 15/21					
Rudkin [3]       171/21       51/7 58/19 59/1 59/3       108/15 109/9 109/14       62/20 62/25 63/6       114/15 115/6 116/23         172/4 173/24       59/8 59/20 63/3 63/13       63/18 65/23 68/3       109/15 109/19 109/22       63/12 64/17 64/23       118/11 124/9 124/11         172/19 174/24 175/11       68/24 69/14 72/14       10/14 111/9 117/20       65/9 65/17 66/4 66/13       114/15 115/6 116/23         rules [4]       16/20 16/23       72/23 73/3 73/9 79/12       110/4 111/9 117/20       67/4 67/6 67/24 69/8       191/11 199/6 200/15         16/23 16/24       82/20 86/1 87/21 88/2       88/18 88/23 89/1 89/4       121/4 121/17       72/13 72/19 72/22       72/25 73/2 73/15       149/12         124/4 150/13       90/3 90/8 90/10 90/13       127/8 129/5 131/20       73/19 73/22 73/25       54/24 152/3 154/7         138/21 143/3 143/15       91/2 91/4 92/18 92/20       138/21 143/3 143/15       74/10 78/20 79/8       155/7 178/19         8/19 12/7       93/21 94/10 95/19       96/2 96/3 99/4 101/16       158/2 158/19 160/13       80/10 81/22 81/24       10/7 200/10 200/12         8/10 8/23 170/7 171/14       96/2 96/3 99/4 101/16       166/23 170/7 171/14       82/3 82/10 86/4 86/10       sectors [1] 15/21					
17/2/4       11/2/4       11/2/2					
Rudkin's [4]       172/19       16/23       16/24       172/19       172/19       172/19       172/19       172/19       110/4       111/9       111/14       111/19       111/14       111/19       111/14       110/14       111/14       110/14       111/14       110/14       111/14       110/14       111/14       111/14       111/14       111/14       111/14       111/14       110/14       111/14					
rules [4] 16/20 16/23 16/23 16/24       72/23 73/3 73/9 79/12 82/20 86/1 87/21 88/2       117/21 118/7 119/11 121/4 121/17 121/4 121/17       67/4 67/6 67/24 69/8 71/7 71/13 71/19       191/11 199/6 200/15         run [4] 57/24 58/21 124/4 150/13       82/20 86/1 87/21 88/2 88/18 88/23 89/1 89/4 90/3 90/8 90/10 90/13       121/4 121/17 126/11 126/25 127/5 127/8 129/5 131/20       72/13 72/19 72/22 72/25 73/2 73/15       Secretary's [2] 13/22         run-up [1] 124/4 running [4] 6/8 7/2 8/19 12/7       91/2 91/4 92/18 92/20 92/22 93/11 93/12 93/21 94/10 95/19       91/2 14/3/3 143/15 149/13 155/8 157/23       73/19 73/22 73/25 74/10 78/20 79/8 79/16 79/24 79/25       54/24 152/3 154/7 155/7 178/19         Ruth [1] 95/24       96/2 96/3 99/4 101/16       158/2 158/19 160/13 166/23 170/7 171/14       80/10 81/22 81/24 82/3 82/10 86/4 86/10       sectors [1] 15/21					
16/23 16/24       82/20 86/1 87/21 88/2         run [4] 57/24 58/21       82/20 86/1 87/21 88/2         124/4 150/13       90/3 90/8 90/10 90/13         run-up [1] 124/4       91/2 91/4 92/18 92/20         91/2 91/4 92/18 92/20       92/22 93/11 93/12         92/22 93/11 93/12       93/21 94/10 95/19         96/2 96/3 99/4 101/16       158/2 158/19 160/13         16/23 170/7 171/14       82/3 82/10 86/4 86/10					191/11 199/6 200/15
run [4] 57/24 58/21 124/4 150/13 run-up [1] 124/4 running [4] 6/8 7/2 8/19 12/7       88/18 88/23 89/1 89/4 90/3 90/8 90/10 90/13 91/2 91/4 92/18 92/20 92/22 93/11 93/12 93/21 94/10 95/19 96/2 96/3 99/4 101/16       122/1 123/13 126/11 126/25 127/5 127/8 129/5 131/20 138/21 143/3 143/15 149/13 155/8 157/23 166/23 170/7 171/14       72/13 72/19 72/22 72/25 73/2 73/15 73/19 73/22 73/25 74/10 78/20 79/8 79/16 79/24 79/25 80/10 81/22 81/24       149/2         Ruth [1] 95/24       96/2 96/3 99/4 101/16 96/2 96/3 99/4 101/16       122/1 123/14 123/13 126/11 126/25 127/5 127/8 129/5 131/20 138/21 143/3 143/15 149/13 155/8 157/23 158/2 158/19 160/13 166/23 170/7 171/14       72/13 72/19 72/22 72/25 73/2 73/15 73/19 73/22 73/25 74/10 78/20 79/8 79/16 79/24 79/25 80/10 81/22 81/24 82/3 82/10 86/4 86/10       149/2					Secretary's [2] 13/22
124/4 150/13       90/3 90/8 90/10 90/13       126/11 126/25 127/5       72/25 73/2 73/15       section [6] 12/23         run-up [1] 124/4       91/2 91/4 92/18 92/20       127/8 129/5 131/20       73/19 73/22 73/25       54/24 152/3 154/7         running [4] 6/8 7/2       92/22 93/11 93/12       138/21 143/3 143/15       74/10 78/20 79/8       54/24 152/3 154/7         8/19 12/7       93/21 94/10 95/19       149/13 155/8 157/23       79/16 79/24 79/25       sections [4] 11/18         96/2 96/3 99/4 101/16       158/2 158/19 160/13       166/23 170/7 171/14       80/10 81/22 81/24       110/7 200/10 200/12         sectors [1] 15/21					
run-up [1]       124/4       91/2 91/4 92/18 92/20       127/8 129/5 131/20       73/19 73/22 73/25       54/24 152/3 154/7         running [4]       6/8 7/2       92/22 93/11 93/12       138/21 143/3 143/15       74/10 78/20 79/8       155/7 178/19         8/19 12/7       93/21 94/10 95/19       96/2 96/3 99/4 101/16       158/2 158/19 160/13       80/10 81/22 81/24       80/10 81/22 81/24       110/7 200/10 200/12         8/23 82/10 86/4 86/10       15/21       15/21       15/21       15/21					
running [4]       6/8       7/2       92/22       93/11       93/12       138/21       143/3       143/3       143/15       74/10       78/20       79/8       155/7       155/7       178/19         8/19       12/7       93/21       94/10       95/19       149/13       155/8       157/23       79/16       79/24       79/25       sections [4]       11/18         96/2       96/3       99/4       101/16       166/23       170/7       171/14       82/3       82/10       86/4       86/10       sectors [1]       15/21					
8/19 12/7       93/21 94/10 95/19       149/13 155/8 157/23       79/16 79/24 79/25       sections [4] 11/18         Ruth [1] 95/24       96/2 96/3 99/4 101/16       158/2 158/19 160/13       80/10 81/22 81/24       110/7 200/10 200/12         8/10 12/7       96/2 96/3 99/4 101/16       166/23 170/7 171/14       82/3 82/10 86/4 86/10       sectors [1] 15/21	running [4] 6/8 7/2				
<b>Ruth [1]</b> 95/24 96/3 99/4 101/16 166/23 170/7 171/14 82/3 82/10 86/4 86/10 sectors [1] 15/21		93/21 94/10 95/19			
	Ruth [1] 95/24	96/2 96/3 99/4 101/16			
			100/20 110/1 111/14	02/0 02/10 00/4 00/10	Sectors [1] 10/21
					(00)

(80) role... - sectors

	120/04 144/00 140/00		110/10 110/00 110/0	
S	139/24 141/22 142/23		112/18 112/20 116/6	63/7 63/12 64/23 65/9
Security [10] 26/5		Service [2] 17/13	117/16 123/4 126/10	65/17 66/4 67/4 67/7
26/15 26/21 27/1 27/4	163/20 169/7 169/8	121/9	127/3 127/13 129/1	67/24 69/8 71/7 71/14
27/23 28/12 28/21	176/25 179/3 184/21	services [3] 14/3	129/10 131/20 138/21	71/19 72/13 72/20
28/25 29/9	189/5 189/21 198/4	15/20 54/22	140/24 142/19 146/2	72/22 72/25 73/2
see [96] 1/3 1/14	207/22	set [12] 11/9 13/21	146/22 147/2 152/12	73/16 73/19 73/22
2/10 10/4 11/19 14/18	sees [2] 49/7 109/7	25/17 25/19 40/10	152/17 152/18 160/13	74/10 78/20 79/16
15/25 16/3 17/3 17/12	Select [1] 3/12	118/22 133/2 133/6	163/16 163/17 163/18	79/24 79/25 80/10
17/17 18/1 22/12	seir [3] 83/20 84/11	133/8 136/21 155/17	170/7 171/16 181/3	81/22 81/24 82/3
30/10 31/23 36/5 36/9	203/16	188/23	187/16 193/23 193/25	82/11 86/4 86/10
36/17 39/9 41/16 43/2	self-employed [1]	sets [5] 15/15 50/16	194/3 194/18 205/4	86/13 86/23 87/14
43/15 48/6 49/24	203/16	67/20 135/14 187/6	she'd [1] 164/1	92/13 94/16 95/12
53/24 56/22 57/22	self-inflicted [2]	setting [1] 74/13	she's [14] 40/19	95/15 95/20 95/25
63/6 64/25 65/8 65/16	83/20 84/11	settled [7] 107/19	56/12 78/5 78/6 85/9	96/16 97/10 97/11
65/24 69/12 70/3	sell [3] 49/24 51/8	107/22 107/24 108/1	86/16 86/24 87/10	97/16 97/22 98/6 98/9
75/10 75/23 75/23	51/10	108/3 108/4 108/8	146/4 146/4 148/13	98/13 98/19 98/22
76/8 77/3 77/20 77/22	sells [1] 49/9	seven [2] 172/17	152/22 200/2 200/3	99/11 99/17 100/19
78/9 82/23 87/8 93/3	send [6] 34/23 72/5	200/3	shift [4] 93/22 94/4	103/5 103/8 104/15
101/3 103/17 116/3	125/23 134/25 151/20		94/7 112/16	105/9 111/3 111/13
116/13 118/5 120/21	185/14	Sewell [24] 21/13	Shoosmiths [3]	113/20 114/7 115/14
122/22 124/19 125/16	sending [7] 47/13	30/15 39/12 77/4	46/22 48/6 48/9	115/17 116/4 116/9
125/23 126/16 128/2	78/3 90/17 128/18	77/23 78/12 78/12	short [5] 53/22 95/1	116/14 116/17 116/17
129/20 132/23 134/13	135/11 161/21 180/11	95/6 99/11 102/14	120/19 170/1 204/22	116/21 117/4 124/23
139/13 139/20 140/18	sends [2] 49/2 50/24	103/18 104/5 117/25	shorter [1] 187/7	125/4 125/8 126/8
141/16 144/16 154/7	senior [12] 33/13	119/24 121/2 158/17	shortly [5] 22/22 79/9	
157/3 160/15 172/2	33/16 34/2 35/21	173/22 174/7 174/12	100/2 153/11 181/5	137/4 139/16 143/17
178/19 178/23 181/2	35/25 63/17 65/18	177/8 206/18 206/21	should [49] 1/11 10/8	144/5 144/11 144/16
181/6 181/8 181/9	66/1 115/21 163/22	208/9 209/4	21/19 27/6 32/4 35/7	144/20 144/25 148/8
181/10 182/5 183/7	164/18 190/2	Shah [6] 191/21	39/24 50/5 54/18	148/21 149/7 160/16
186/2 186/7 187/12	sense [2] 13/13	200/2 200/6 204/21	65/24 72/18 75/7 75/9	161/1 161/8 166/7
192/13 195/17 196/12	32/18	205/19 211/8	75/13 75/15 75/16	166/14 166/20 169/3
197/7 197/25 199/12	sensitive [1] 55/18	shall [2] 94/21	75/18 76/11 76/22	170/12 170/17 170/20
206/8 206/9 206/9	sent [25] 18/16 21/21	191/24	78/7 80/8 80/9 85/5	170/22 171/7 171/20
206/16 206/24 208/3	34/20 34/21 78/11	share [10] 20/18	90/5 94/12 96/1 97/22	172/8 172/15 173/15
208/11 208/22 208/23	101/10 120/6 125/6	111/12 111/17 112/2	117/7 124/2 124/2	174/14 174/19 175/17
seeing [9] 65/10	126/1 132/12 133/21	112/14 113/2 113/6	133/9 135/19 138/23	192/15 192/18 192/21
97/13 112/18 113/7	139/6 148/6 151/10	113/10 113/19 116/2	138/24 147/6 147/24	196/7 196/15
114/6 129/2 132/10	151/13 155/6 156/7	shared [14] 112/12	151/12 157/4 164/5	Sight's [10] 40/15
171/15 186/9	161/6 161/9 161/23	113/4 113/14 113/14	164/5 166/6 174/5	54/23 60/22 64/17
seek [7] 13/6 26/18	174/16 180/18 186/4	123/7 151/17 151/17	187/14 187/17 189/3	66/13 87/2 154/13
28/15 28/25 39/19	186/6 207/3	151/18 160/2 163/12	192/3 195/9 209/1	155/22 156/24 175/16
61/9 187/7	sentence [8] 90/3	163/15 163/17 163/18	209/8	sign [15] 56/14 71/17
	90/4 177/18 195/11	199/10	shouldn't [6] 75/20	71/19 72/2 72/7 72/10
seeking [3] 118/3 118/16 119/1	197/8 197/8 197/8	shareholder [4] 17/9	100/16 153/4 179/22	72/12 72/23 73/2 73/7
seem [9] 5/23 24/16	197/14	17/14 17/15 17/23	180/5 189/3	73/9 73/10 73/21
61/11 64/5 81/9 90/12	separate [5] 48/22	shareholders [1]	show [5] 43/3 83/11	73/24 157/4
172/18 179/23 181/10	60/16 130/1 130/2	13/25	83/13 83/14 199/8	signals [1] 139/9
	160/8	sharing [5] 61/21	showed [1] 109/16	signatory [1] 208/12
Seema [2] 103/10 109/13	separated [1] 24/18	61/21 104/3 112/12	shown [3] 40/5	signature [1] 1/20
	separately [3] 106/2	190/11	201/15 207/22	signed [2] 62/25
seemed [1] 124/11	156/10 208/22	she [80] 3/12 8/19	shredding [1] 38/3	73/13
seemingly [1] 87/3	separation [20] 2/14	8/19 9/7 19/1 19/4	shut [1] 204/23	significant [19] 18/18
seems [16] 49/13	2/17 8/8 22/16 22/22	21/20 30/11 38/19	<b>SID</b> [1] 164/16	23/1 25/7 65/7 65/22
49/16 61/7 61/10	23/11 23/17 23/22	40/21 41/8 47/8 47/10		86/3 93/22 94/6 96/15
64/13 67/23 68/16	24/5 24/15 24/17	49/7 49/8 49/12 49/13		98/7 101/20 121/23
81/21 84/12 89/20	24/19 25/5 25/10	49/16 51/9 51/17	132/22 133/21 162/8	139/14 139/19 142/21
96/14 120/2 155/22	25/15 27/17 27/19	55/25 56/3 57/3 59/1	162/18 165/16 166/4	142/24 150/5 159/6
168/23 188/17 197/22	32/20 35/2 37/21	62/3 62/12 62/13	166/16 166/21	194/8
seen [33] 18/23 34/9	September [4] 103/9	74/23 76/2 78/7 81/17	sight [132] 14/9	signing [6] 72/15
37/9 47/25 53/10	117/15 133/12 172/25		37/23 51/14 54/4	72/16 72/18 72/20
57/14 61/22 64/14	September 2011 [1]	84/2 84/23 85/11	54/21 55/1 55/2 55/9	72/24 185/15
97/23 97/23 105/18	117/15	87/10 87/11 97/22	57/10 59/17 59/18	silent [2] 88/15 88/16
114/8 114/18 118/16	serious [4] 23/9	97/23 98/3 98/23 99/1		similar [3] 92/6
126/5 127/19 134/14	66/12 140/8 173/14	111/9 111/9 111/21	62/15 62/20 62/25	126/13 159/22

(81) Security - similar

S	35/11 35/25 39/9 43/8	140/6 143/4 158/17	110/9 119/9 120/4	Sticking [1] 54/1
	55/6 58/3 60/4 60/5	163/20 166/9 182/13	SR005 [4] 172/18	still [25] 28/1 33/21
similarities [1] 41/17	62/14 64/7 67/18	188/20 191/1 204/22	173/1 173/23 174/1	34/18 46/11 60/2 60/4
Simon [30] 56/1	68/11 71/5 75/6 76/13		SR05 [1] 174/25	61/10 65/15 65/23
59/15 59/15 63/16	81/21 83/3 83/3 85/20		<b>SS [1]</b> 171/20	69/17 69/20 76/8
66/2 67/13 68/11	88/5 88/19 93/6 96/7	58/3 84/9 112/21	staff [5] 50/18 111/8	95/18 100/20 103/1
71/17 72/4 73/4 73/14	97/3 97/10 97/17	158/4 158/4 167/16	171/14 172/12 203/15	
77/2 99/10 106/15	103/16 106/8 108/3	174/3 197/14	stage [29] 4/20 8/18	137/22 145/11 159/25
106/23 119/7 119/8	108/19 110/7 118/12	sorted [2] 29/19	8/19 9/4 14/15 42/17	161/16 175/21 186/7
119/22 131/1 138/10	126/7 128/2 128/18	56/24	44/9 48/4 53/1 53/8	188/8
139/3 139/5 153/9	129/15 130/19 138/5	sought [1] 162/11	55/19 57/9 57/16	stood [5] 82/16 83/6
173/22 192/11 195/17	140/8 142/17 143/18	sounds [1] 112/8	59/17 84/18 92/12	86/2 86/20 142/1
195/18 196/17 196/23	150/21 169/12 175/9		92/16 96/14 100/4	stop [2] 142/8 196/13
197/21	176/15 180/8 188/5	sources [1] 92/12	104/9 114/9 119/1	
simple [1] 63/22	188/17 190/12 191/20	south [4] 5/17 6/8 6/24 7/2	128/12 155/3 157/7	stopped [3] 57/12 119/3 149/12
simply [6] 14/19				
33/12 41/4 48/16	195/9 196/10 197/24	Southend [1] 193/22	157/11 159/24 174/15	
61/12 97/20	203/9 204/15 207/15	Sparrow [6] 7/15	188/14	story [11] 192/7
since [6] 2/14 3/17	somebody [13] 7/8	178/19 180/1 181/9	stakeholder [1]	192/12 192/15 195/19
80/6 81/18 167/3	32/10 36/25 38/14	189/14 189/15	79/21	195/23 196/12 199/8
205/25	66/7 115/21 115/22	speak [8] 17/21	stamped [2] 29/16	199/8 199/15 199/17
sincere [2] 4/1 4/4	144/24 145/19 146/18		30/1	199/22
Singh [10] 108/18	147/3 152/23 159/4	145/14 148/17 149/17	stand [1] 141/10	story' [1] 198/4
110/16 156/19 157/7	somehow [1] 43/5	197/7	standard [1] 162/20	straight [1] 183/24
192/13 192/15 193/13	someone [15] 40/4	speaking [2] 62/6	standing [3] 141/8	straightforward [1]
195/11 197/23 199/1	46/178/4141/16	181/5	150/13 203/11	83/1
single [2] 24/3 43/9	145/22 145/23 150/13		stands [1] 85/24	strategic [5] 14/25
sir [16] 1/3 21/9 33/2	158/2 160/2 160/5	14/8 18/13 20/6 20/19		97/10 115/20 116/19
53/16 53/24 94/19	171/22 202/7 202/8	21/2 24/11 50/16	41/12 46/16 49/13	129/18
120/14 120/21 169/19	203/5 208/16	50/19 61/18 68/1 72/2		strategy [3] 12/15
191/20 204/20 204/22	something [66] 3/23	106/24 137/2 145/5	120/7 156/17 170/5	28/22 68/12
205/6 205/22 209/21	9/16 19/2 19/3 21/15	149/6 150/10 152/6	191/24 194/19 197/2	strengthen [1] 15/19
210/4	21/17 21/22 22/11	157/18 171/9	started [9] 4/24	stressed [2] 144/6
sit [3] 22/3 142/2		specifically [24] 3/16		193/1
142/6	40/24 48/16 52/18	8/22 23/21 38/23 70/2	69/4 82/5 113/22	Strictly [1] 206/18
sitting [1] 146/12	53/3 58/4 59/6 64/4	70/15 80/23 90/17	124/14 141/18	strike [2] 75/10
situation [1] 45/9	64/14 65/5 65/20 66/9	96/18 135/4 137/1	state [4] 28/10	196/11
situations [3] 75/4	66/10 68/18 69/13	147/3 149/19 149/23	165/18 171/17 171/22	strives [1] 207/12
75/9 76/10	69/24 70/9 76/22	150/4 157/7 170/25	statement [39] 1/12	strong [1] 144/4
size [2] 87/25 121/12	77/21 78/4 78/8 81/15	172/10 174/17 176/20		structural [2] 25/22
	82/14 82/15 82/16	189/21 202/14 202/19		
skill [1] 16/11	82/18 85/20 85/24	203/8	4/9 5/6 11/9 14/15	structure [1] 36/9
skip [2] 138/16 153/6	93/15 93/24 97/20	specify [1] 162/23	54/5 54/16 54/16	structures [1] 25/4
slightly [6] 42/8	99/9 108/6 115/4	speech [1] 195/23	60/21 89/6 102/14	studies [1] 193/21
46/15 58/15 181/7	118/8 125/21 126/1	speed [1] 82/3	102/17 105/3 108/13	stuff [1] 160/16
181/10 195/16	126/13 126/18 134/13		110/12 110/13 129/17	
slow [2] 50/7 158/20	134/18 140/12 146/15		129/22 179/18 186/19	
small [13] 3/17 40/13	147/24 157/13 159/13		193/9 195/8 195/14	189/14 200/14 201/13
44/25 50/25 60/13	163/21 173/12 179/13		200/10 200/13 200/18	
95/7 99/10 121/24	190/4 194/10 194/21		201/25 203/25 209/23	
121/25 159/22 163/7	195/4 201/5 204/8	59/2 59/3 127/1 186/3		33/7 39/13 71/23 72/3
163/24 203/16	sometimes [3] 83/24		status [3] 66/21	74/12 79/11 99/11
smaller [1] 52/16	85/11 142/4	58/25 59/9 79/24	66/23 111/11	102/14 131/11 144/12
Smith [2] 130/24		107/10 107/15 107/17		160/9 168/1 206/19
139/1	somewhere [2]	150/12 171/1 182/9	66/23	207/13
smoke [4] 179/16	104/23 157/23	spot [16] 64/11 71/13		submissions [1]
179/19 180/3 180/7	soon [4] 83/3 108/17	71/19 71/21 71/22	step [2] 44/2 44/3	198/6
so [454]	170/10 181/21	72/16 72/22 72/25	steps [5] 49/23	submit [1] 54/20
social [1] 150/21	sorrow [1] 4/1	73/2 73/5 73/15 73/17	110/22 126/7 126/16	submitted [2] 54/6
solicitors [1] 135/15	sorry [29] 15/4 16/16	138/3 138/3 138/5	126/19	55/17
some [72] 1/24 4/14	21/7 21/9 59/5 60/3	174/24	Steward [1] 11/12	submitting [2] 2/15
6/16 10/2 10/5 10/11	60/12 68/25 82/21	spouting [1] 174/2	stewardship [1]	55/8
10/11 10/12 10/14	88/22 101/5 120/16	SPSO [1] 47/1	15/19	subordinate [1]
10/16 17/10 22/8 22/9	129/7 131/16 135/7	Square [7] 103/14	stick [3] 33/21 46/15	13/13
22/10 28/19 32/11	137/6 137/9 137/9	104/17 105/4 105/6	166/21	subpostmaster [45]
				nilaritios - subpostmastor

(82) similarities - subpostmaster

S	suddenly [2] 131/22	surmising [1] 73/19	Т	temporarily [1] 49/14
	204/9	surprised [1] 159/14		temporary [1] 36/20
subpostmaster [45] 5/14 43/9 43/15	sufficient [3] 11/23	surprising [4] 32/21	table [1] 15/15	tensions [5] 29/8
	15/9 148/17	34/25 158/8 159/24	tabled [2] 127/3	29/12 86/3 86/7 87/12
46/22 47/3 47/7 49/7 54/6 64/2 64/4 64/9	suggest [8] 88/6	Susan [84] 2/12 2/15	129/10	term [4] 45/10 45/20
	91/17 99/20 101/25	29/15 30/10 35/12	tactics [1] 50/7	46/2 196/16
64/15 64/21 64/25	172/3 189/6 193/12	37/12 38/5 38/13	tainted [2] 204/2	terminable [1]
65/6 65/16 65/21	197/3	38/18 38/18 39/12	204/7	162/22
65/23 66/11 74/25	suggested [5] 60/14	44/24 46/13 50/25	take [28] 10/8 10/22	terminal [1] 194/18
75/22 75/24 76/25	130/19 133/25 166/5	52/20 53/10 53/13	11/11 11/18 27/1 27/6 28/5 32/11 41/7 53/4	terminated [3] 49/15
77/20 77/22 88/1 88/21 89/7 89/18	177/18	53/15 54/4 55/24	53/17 55/14 60/16	117/15 117/17
90/14 91/14 101/4	suggesting [3]	56/11 56/18 57/1	68/14 93/6 94/20	termination [2] 46/21
121/12 121/16 121/22	101/13 113/18 166/16	58/16 59/14 59/14	95/16 106/2 117/10	47/2
122/2 155/12 163/24	suggestion [5] 29/6	60/20 61/3 62/3 62/6	135/3 140/1 141/12	terminology [1]
164/10 175/4 185/23	40/5 97/21 165/12	62/10 67/14 67/16	165/11 169/21 178/18	200/23
185/24 185/25 186/1	166/13	68/9 68/16 71/12	180/14 192/1 210/3	terms [20] 17/11
201/9	suggestions [1]	79/24 87/21 91/8 95/6		23/19 25/6 25/17
	128/2	99/11 119/23 119/24	taken [12] 2/7 15/20 53/12 85/22 130/10	30/22 31/14 31/21
subpostmaster's [6]	suggestive [2]	126/17 127/5 127/12		57/5 78/23 79/16
162/13 162/13 162/14 164/7 170/24 171/23	177/19 180/7	128/22 130/17 130/25	159/20 161/4 166/6 174/4 180/17 188/23	81/22 81/25 87/12
	suggests [3] 3/1 33/3	131/10 131/19 132/5	204/25	119/13 145/15 162/21
subpostmasters [59] 4/6 5/10 6/18 6/22 7/1	60/11	132/6 132/18 133/18	takes [1] 111/9	180/1 192/25 199/21
7/4 7/18 7/18 7/20	summarise [2]	133/22 136/8 137/17	taking [25] 10/3	201/17
9/11 9/20 20/1 42/20	188/21 192/16	138/19 140/10 140/15	10/12 14/24 16/13	test [1] 172/5
43/12 54/19 55/2 55/7	summarises [1]	140/21 140/21 141/17	16/14 23/19 30/22	testers [1] 172/4
56/4 64/7 79/18 79/20	100/13	141/23 142/3 142/19	31/14 32/5 38/8 38/11	testing [1] 185/4
80/7 95/14 96/6 96/11	summarising [1]	143/6 143/10 144/2	42/8 47/21 48/4 60/15	text [2] 3/10 192/12
99/18 119/14 154/10	163/13	145/7 145/18 146/5	68/12 75/4 76/13 84/4	than [23] 3/3 14/19
162/16 162/19 162/24	summary [20] 13/16	146/9 148/8 149/10	86/22 143/8 144/20	14/23 35/25 36/20
163/1 163/7 163/11	47/7 110/11 121/5	149/16 149/25 158/20	166/14 188/18 202/2	47/14 57/20 60/15
165/1 178/3 185/3	178/21 181/15 183/20		talk [12] 5/15 24/17	69/15 70/7 82/11
191/22 192/4 198/14	186/10 186/12 186/25		39/17 43/1 62/10	83/18 86/18 98/7
198/15 198/19 199/4	188/22 188/23 189/1	Susan's [1] 30/17	62/13 99/2 116/4	107/10 161/22 164/2
200/20 201/5 201/16	189/2 207/16 207/19	suspect [1] 81/19	136/23 137/2 138/7	166/7 190/15 191/18
201/18 201/21 201/22	207/25 208/7 208/8	suspended [7] 88/3	202/1	196/16 196/18 202/10
201/23 202/10 203/3	209/11	89/4 90/10 90/25 91/3	talked [7] 24/3 58/25	thank [75] 1/4 1/8
203/4 203/23 204/16	summer [5] 52/12	92/20 93/14	100/8 104/14 112/11	1/14 3/19 3/20 3/23
204/19 205/1 205/24	54/1 80/2 97/8 98/6	suspense [3] 75/5	157/13 167/16	4/9 12/6 26/9 30/21
207/8	sums [1] 121/25	103/4 121/3	talking [11] 7/15	39/5 46/10 46/12
subpostmasters' [1]	support [22] 11/21	Suzanne [1] 193/19	20/23 21/5 21/5 24/14	51/18 53/19 53/24
154/23	11/23 16/1 16/4 26/21	Swift [3] 189/8	83/10 86/24 88/9	54/14 57/7 59/11
subpostmistress [6]	27/9 41/7 45/8 50/22	189/16 189/20	109/25 116/5 163/10	73/25 81/6 82/25
49/12 49/19 49/22	54/21 57/19 95/20	Swinson [1] 165/17	talks [1] 207/6	85/18 93/9 94/19
109/13 121/12 194/6	96/9 97/19 98/1 99/2	system [49] 7/6 7/10	tasked [2] 114/13	94/24 95/3 120/14
subpostmistress's	122/3 128/9 135/17	7/11 9/21 20/22 20/24	114/14	120/15 120/17 120/22
<b>[1]</b> 50/13	201/5 203/10 205/10	24/10 24/12 45/15	team [15] 5/20 7/2	124/14 126/3 126/12
subpostmistresses	supported [2] 26/17	45/16 45/17 47/9	18/12 27/19 33/19	130/4 130/12 132/12
<b>[1]</b> 192/5	28/14	47/18 48/14 48/22	37/4 37/5 37/6 37/8	138/9 142/14 152/21
subsequent [3]	supporting [4] 69/18	50/7 50/19 50/20 55/2	51/1 67/15 135/12	153/22 156/15 158/17
80/15 107/4 116/21	69/20 128/7 191/17	66/9 87/25 89/17	140/11 195/12 195/22	161/14 162/7 162/9
substantive [7] 14/18	suppose [3] 34/16	92/10 95/19 96/11	teams [2] 35/24	164/19 165/16 166/21
41/5 83/19 84/6	01/00100/10	97/25 100/6 104/21	56/24	166/22 169/18 169/24
125/14 128/2 138/10	supposed [1] 194/2	109/12 154/20 156/24	technical [3] 171/8	170/3 174/21 178/1
substitute [1] 57/2	sure [27] 19/15 24/15		184/25 207/14	179/2 184/7 188/2
successfully [3]	33/2 35/7 58/12 61/17	177/15 178/6 178/9	technically [1] 13/11	189/7 189/13 190/21
193/8 193/11 197/11	61/22 70/25 90/11	178/12 191/3 193/4	telephone [1] 102/2	191/19 199/5 199/24
succinct [1] 207/12	91/22 91/23 93/17	193/7 193/10 193/24	tell [11] 53/4 64/20	199/25 200/1 204/20
such [15] 22/25	95/22 96/24 108/7	194/7 194/18 194/20	92/5 102/1 112/8	205/18 205/19 205/22
26/20 28/17 57/14	109/16 123/6 125/10	197/3 197/10 207/7	115/8 116/20 116/24	209/18 209/21 209/22
76/13 128/3 164/4	126/2 148/18 163/18	systemic [2] 165/19	170/21 187/24 192/3	210/1 210/5
165/25 166/7 167/3	165/8 184/10 184/11	167/9	telling [5] 66/10	thanked [1] 177/11
177/16 177/23 185/14	184/16 188/14 202/22		85/17 85/22 193/15	thanks [5] 108/13
200/24 205/8	Surely [1] 198/18	31/19 45/14 77/10	193/17	108/14 139/8 170/8
	surfaced [1] 121/8	175/7		197/20

(83) subpostmaster... - thanks

-	50/00 55/A 50/01	there'd [1] 102/25	127/0 127/10 120/12	166/1
<u>T</u>	52/23 55/4 59/21 63/21 71/6 80/10	there'd [1] 183/25	137/9 137/10 139/13 139/13 139/25 140/15	166/1
that [1187]	80/12 84/9 87/15 89/8	there's [44] 3/23 12/22 15/13 26/4	141/7 141/11 142/11	5/3 6/16 6/20 10/18
that I [1] 151/25	89/19 90/14 91/15	27/22 33/9 37/17	144/20 144/22 145/10	14/11 14/13 15/6
that's [115] 1/17 1/25	92/14 98/10 98/14			
4/16 8/3 15/5 18/18		40/13 41/12 45/18	145/11 145/14 147/1	16/23 16/23 16/24 22/14 33/25 35/25
21/10 33/4 35/20	105/15 105/16 110/25 110/25 111/18 112/3	46/11 51/16 55/24 60/19 63/11 64/19	155/17 157/6 162/18 163/14 165/3 165/4	
36/14 36/22 39/10				38/13 41/3 43/3 43/4
42/4 42/13 43/7 43/23	112/8 112/9 113/4 114/6 117/8 118/6	84/8 87/7 92/7 97/4 99/25 100/15 121/1	165/6 165/6 166/19	43/20 43/23 45/23
44/4 46/12 52/1 56/18		123/3 123/16 129/21	166/19 168/20 170/20	48/5 48/21 54/24
57/6 59/14 59/15 60/7	118/8 120/12 120/12 120/13 124/25 128/7	132/2 137/15 140/12	170/21 171/2 171/22 171/25 172/1 172/3	58/18 68/17 71/23
61/1 63/4 66/14 68/23	128/9 136/9 138/24	144/10 145/13 146/2	172/25 172/172/3	75/18 77/8 77/11 79/8 84/7 90/21 91/1
73/12 73/19 75/17	140/1 141/7 141/8	151/24 152/8 152/10	172/25 175/17 174/5	105/21 106/2 107/5
76/19 76/25 81/8 82/6	140/1141/7141/8	155/7 158/15 159/13	175/10 175/11 175/20	
82/8 82/12 83/12 84/9	148/1 148/2 150/22	175/19 176/3 178/19	177/16 180/12 180/17	128/22 128/24 129/25
85/7 86/24 88/22	150/25 161/23 161/25	179/19 196/14 197/24		134/15 137/21 144/15
91/25 92/17 93/7 93/9	167/8 167/10 170/21	therefore [12] 17/8	182/19 182/23 182/24	
93/17 93/19 94/17	174/4 174/5 181/8	41/8 62/1 96/2 113/20		
97/20 97/21 98/1 99/2	182/7 182/11 182/12	114/5 151/11 158/22	183/19 183/19 183/20	
99/4 99/6 101/12	184/5 185/24 186/6	168/5 173/1 184/11	183/23 185/9 186/4	179/13 182/8 185/17
101/16 103/4 104/7	186/6 186/7 186/7	190/19	186/5 186/6 186/7	185/17 191/20 194/25
105/1 114/17 115/25	191/18 201/5 202/21	these [55] 2/21 4/3	186/18 186/20 187/25	195/1 206/12 207/16
116/22 117/5 118/8	203/12 205/10 209/5	4/7 16/25 22/12 23/24		though [17] 14/18
118/13 127/10 128/17	them' [2] 88/1 88/21	44/16 50/7 56/7 60/16		31/8 47/17 52/12
129/20 130/20 133/18	theme [1] 123/24	61/8 63/12 64/5 64/7	195/19 196/10 202/5	67/23 72/8 72/24
134/8 135/21 137/17	themes [1] 170/18	69/8 70/4 72/5 73/8	202/8 202/9 203/6	83/16 91/9 107/4
138/10 138/16 139/5	themselves [3] 128/5	73/18 74/6 80/10	203/7 203/8 203/9	112/6 163/21 165/12
146/23 148/22 148/24	172/22 179/4	82/12 89/1 89/25 92/3		165/25 180/11 183/18
159/9 161/15 162/2	then [109] 5/4 5/17	96/22 98/17 106/7	they'd [2] 106/8	188/17
162/16 162/17 164/22	6/5 7/9 10/13 12/9	128/19 129/15 133/23		thought [37] 8/24
166/19 168/19 168/19	15/25 19/13 19/14	139/24 140/17 143/20		10/8 16/25 18/11
168/20 169/3 172/19	20/17 21/23 23/19	143/22 146/14 147/9	67/23 90/13 90/23	21/10 29/17 40/3
173/19 174/22 174/22	25/16 26/17 26/24	153/2 154/11 154/24	91/13 140/1 173/25	43/24 48/10 57/2 66/8
177/24 180/9 182/22	28/14 28/19 33/4 36/9	157/5 160/3 160/24	181/6 202/9	71/1 90/12 100/24
186/12 192/3 197/16	36/10 36/17 38/10	165/3 165/5 170/23	they've [3] 65/9 89/6	101/3 101/12 103/25
198/7 198/20 199/18	40/9 42/8 46/14 49/11	178/23 185/2 185/4	175/19	112/4 113/1 120/9
199/19 199/23 200/17	49/18 49/22 53/4 54/5	185/5 187/2 187/7	thing [4] 76/19 91/11	122/8 131/22 134/16
203/19 204/5 206/13	57/7 59/5 59/15 60/17	189/16 189/18 200/12		135/1 135/2 137/3
207/24 208/15 209/16	62/2 71/1 73/6 73/11	they [165] 4/4 4/18	things [48] 15/2	144/17 144/19 144/22
209/18 210/4	74/17 74/17 82/19	7/2 13/6 13/11 13/13	22/14 24/24 25/19	145/11 159/8 159/10
theft [2] 26/19 28/15	84/6 84/23 85/11	15/11 15/11 17/14	35/2 39/21 39/23	160/16 160/21 161/10
theft/crime [2] 26/19	85/19 92/18 92/25	17/21 18/17 20/19	43/21 43/23 52/1	166/19 169/2
28/15	98/14 99/3 103/23	20/25 21/20 25/14	52/15 59/24 60/6	thoughts [10] 126/7
their [52] 11/17 11/23	104/5 104/16 105/9	26/18 28/15 31/19	68/22 75/18 75/20	127/7 127/19 128/18
13/7 13/15 14/2 23/15	105/16 107/3 108/15	35/8 39/24 41/1 41/19	80/8 83/3 83/19 83/24	
27/7 31/7 31/16 31/20	108/18 114/7 117/6	43/16 43/19 43/21	84/4 84/6 84/7 84/8	161/20 165/3 166/11
35/24 43/15 43/16	117/21 120/3 122/1	45/7 45/10 45/11	84/15 85/3 85/6 85/7	three [14] 10/12
45/7 49/25 54/20	125/16 126/25 129/3	47/18 48/14 50/8	85/10 87/22 89/1 90/1	10/18 24/20 60/13
54/25 56/24 79/16	131/19 132/15 139/6	54/20 56/16 59/19	96/4 97/1 98/3 98/4	67/15 67/18 104/14
81/22 81/25 95/13 95/17 108/6 108/22	142/15 143/22 143/24	61/20 61/20 61/21	112/21 114/20 114/20	
	145/10 149/4 152/8	62/15 63/7 63/15	114/21 125/7 134/15	105/23 106/7 154/15
108/24 109/1 109/8 111/14 137/5 141/9	160/8 160/20 164/8	64/13 64/17 65/19	139/10 143/19 147/20	162/22
141/11 141/12 144/12	164/20 167/1 167/24	68/19 68/21 71/23	151/12 158/11 201/7	three years [1]
155/19 164/10 174/23	171/6 172/7 173/6		think [284]	154/15
175/11 175/20 180/21	174/21 175/5 175/10	80/3 80/3 80/11 87/14		through [25] 12/9
180/23 181/1 183/15	176/15 178/1 178/13	87/25 88/20 89/2	67/19 110/2 138/1	17/7 35/2 41/16 44/7
186/2 191/5 192/20	180/12 180/17 180/21	89/17 90/22 95/23	160/25	50/14 57/20 64/22
195/3 205/9 205/10	180/22 180/25 181/14	96/3 98/14 99/14	thinks [1] 163/8	95/17 104/24 114/17
205/25 207/9 208/20	182/22 187/11 187/24	105/12 106/7 108/1	third [7] 103/13	119/17 127/6 136/23
them [83] 5/15 5/15	188/22 193/6 196/3	108/7 109/1 111/13	104/16 171/5 185/6	149/24 161/24 162/5
10/18 15/3 18/16 20/5	196/21 197/7 197/17	119/15 122/8 122/8	192/23 206/7 206/8	170/9 171/6 171/6
24/1 29/13 31/10	197/17 197/22 198/17	123/8 124/23 128/4	Thirdly [1] 2/18	184/21 184/22 185/10
31/14 35/5 39/18 52/6	200/3 202/8	128/8 133/17 135/3	this [515]	204/16 204/18
	there [253]	137/4 137/5 137/6	thorough [2] 109/22	throughout [3] 4/7
	L		I	(84) that - throughout

(84) that - throughout

Т	tomorrow's [1]	trial [3] 109/10 194/1	typing [1] 198/7	205/8
throughout [2]	173/11	194/16	typos [1] 131/24	unless [6] 130/8
38/9 146/13	tone [1] 196/11	trials [2] 108/21	U	160/2 160/5 161/10
Thursday [1] 80/14	tonight [3] 127/3	195/1		198/13 200/11
thus [1] 119/16	129/6 129/10	tried [4] 9/6 168/21	UKGI00019321 [1]	unlikely [5] 58/17
Tim [3] 21/20 190/7	Tony [2] 27/22 29/23	169/4 201/21	176/1 ultimate [2] 134/2	63/15 103/25 130/4 155/11
190/10	too [9] 16/16 33/5 35/5 56/5 83/19 84/4	triggered [1] 122/21	189/23	
time [72] 5/23 7/16	104/1 144/20 166/14	troubling [1] 198/17 true [9] 1/22 3/21	ultimately [5] 40/14	unprofessional [3] 29/6 179/22 180/5
15/11 15/15 21/13	took [18] 2/10 9/10	89/2 89/3 89/6 91/4	63/4 74/20 169/14	unsafe [1] 154/16
26/8 29/11 30/4 37/18	9/24 10/23 16/13	101/18 193/12 193/16		unsurprising [1]
38/13 38/19 42/18	38/16 49/22 80/20	truth [4] 61/9 177/14	unable [1] 194/5	34/25
44/12 47/15 48/19 48/20 53/18 61/16	84/3 102/22 120/25	193/15 193/17	unclear [1] 64/22	until [11] 3/8 8/4 8/8
64/3 65/4 65/19 67/19	124/24 131/20 143/22		uncomfortable [1]	19/12 32/19 33/23
68/11 70/1 70/20	165/8 173/20 175/6	61/18 71/5 78/9	147/1	94/4 187/14 201/12
70/24 78/24 80/5 86/9	204/12	111/10 111/15 112/21		207/21 210/7
86/11 93/6 93/23	top [15] 36/5 36/6	127/15 202/22	114/22 152/6 154/20 165/17 181/9	unusual [8] 82/16
93/25 94/4 94/6 94/10	50/3 68/9 71/2 102/12 128/21 130/14 145/7	61/12 67/23 87/14	undermined [1]	134/12 134/23 145/21 145/21 145/22 145/25
94/21 98/2 98/5	170/14 189/11 197/17	87/15 97/12 97/13	154/25	183/5
105/21 111/7 112/11	197/18 208/21 208/23		underneath [1] 36/10	
113/10 117/18 120/14	topic [4] 129/18	177/19 201/4 202/21	understand [26]	up [92] 3/10 8/8
122/13/123/3/121/10	158/18 169/19 170/4	Tuesday [2] 1/1	43/17 43/19 78/5	10/14 14/10 16/13
127/22 131/5 135/5 136/1 139/15 141/7	topics [1] 170/19	95/16	80/16 83/8 84/1 84/11	17/21 25/17 25/19
1/0/11 150/5 156/10	Total [2] 74/19 74/19	turn [57] 1/17 11/16	85/3 97/13 107/15	29/14 30/10 33/3
158/22 158/25 169/21	touch [1] 170/13	11/17 12/5 12/22	109/3 109/5 109/6	40/10 41/1 41/3 44/2
174/6 175/1 175/19	touched [1] 131/2	15/12 25/24 41/11		47/5 47/12 50/13
175/25 187/3 188/18	tough [1] 23/8	44/17 46/10 48/25	115/18 136/22 157/6 165/22 169/12 173/13	51/12 51/16 53/7
201/4 201/22 202/2	towards [6] 38/5 86/3 116/4 142/1 188/17	54/2 55/23 59/11 63/11 67/12 71/10	177/23 204/2 204/5	53/11 56/20 60/1 62/2 62/25 66/3 68/2 71/16
203/8 205/5 208/4	203/17	73/25 79/7 87/18 95/4		76/20 77/10 79/21
timeline [8] 37/21	toxic [2] 136/21	99/7 102/10 102/11	understandable [1]	87/6 93/20 96/10
101/22 105/7 135/10	136/24	106/13 110/20 117/11	182/12	98/15 103/17 103/23
136/10 137/8 137/23 138/9	trace [1] 69/3	117/12 118/14 119/6	understanding [18]	106/22 107/3 107/7
timelines [1] 80/13	traceable [1] 178/5	120/23 123/2 124/17	11/20 26/2 29/23	108/12 114/10 119/16
timely [1] 11/24	trading [5] 74/20	126/3 129/15 130/12	34/10 34/21 38/17	119/22 119/24 120/2
times [2] 15/1 71/1	74/21 121/10 121/19	131/8 132/13 132/23	43/11 43/14 57/3 57/6 81/8 123/9 127/24	123/5 124/4 125/16
timing [3] 14/9	122/5 traditional [4] 69/14	135/8 136/7 138/15 140/17 147/15 151/23		126/9 127/5 128/17 128/20 130/23 131/15
111/22 149/1	70/7 71/2 124/10	153/6 153/22 160/7	177/22 186/8	132/16 136/21 139/1
timings [3] 22/4	trail [4] 45/16 171/25	162/8 176/2 178/14	understood [3] 28/21	141/10 141/12 142/1
22/13 100/10	185/3 207/10	178/25 184/20 186/13		143/6 144/1 146/7
tipped [1] 92/14 tired [1] 131/14	trails [2] 171/3 172/7	187/11 188/2 190/22	undertake [2] 157/2	149/8 151/5 157/3
today [15] 4/12 34/3	trainee [1] 4/24	turned [4] 51/12	192/17	157/13 157/20 158/18
79/14 82/22 95/9	training [21] 7/9	180/2 204/13 204/18	undertaken [1]	162/6 173/7 175/21
95/15 101/8 106/20	10/10 10/11 10/11	turning [1] 109/21	167/11	176/14 177/2 179/5
113/22 127/7 163/20	12/14 16/10 16/12	tweak [1] 197/7	undertaking [1] 56/9 Underwood [1]	181/2 189/8 191/18
171/2 186/18 203/1	30/24 30/24 32/7 50/17 69/21 69/22	tweaking [1] 197/4 two [33] 3/1 3/3 3/12	189/10	192/3 193/5 195/15 195/16 196/3 196/21
209/24	70/2 95/20 96/6 96/9	24/20 24/25 26/13	undisclosed [1]	197/17 198/24 199/18
together [6] 8/10	97/18 98/1 99/2 203/9		154/22	200/11 206/23 208/21
44/7 71/21 88/11	transaction [7] 44/1	43/2 43/3 43/20 43/23		up' [1] 107/12
127/25 192/12 told [23] 24/1 33/11	44/2 44/12 64/1	44/8 78/10 80/11	55/19	update [22] 51/2 52/7
65/19 66/10 89/10	154/12 186/3 186/4	89/21 89/25 104/15	unfair [1] 102/22	96/12 110/22 127/2
98/1 99/15 101/1	transactions [10]	105/9 106/2 117/10	unflagged [1] 28/6	129/1 129/3 129/4
102/3 106/11 125/23	43/4 43/17 43/20	123/7 123/10 123/22	unfortunate [1] 175/1	129/6 129/9 133/2
132/18 140/13 140/15	119/14 119/16 119/17 178/4 185/1 185/2	125/6 133/23 134/15 157/8 172/17 176/13	unfortunately [2] 175/7 199/17	133/8 134/16 137/16 141/20 142/18 147/16
142/20 148/1 148/2	185/9	187/24 191/15	unhappy [2] 173/25	152/4 163/17 171/16
152/15 153/21 160/5	Transactions' [2]	type [2] 17/6 64/8	174/14	207/3 208/19
160/16 182/24 190/5	184/24 185/7	typed [1] 179/5	unheard [1] 4/6	updated [1] 133/18
	transferred [1] 47/4	typical [1] 134/10	unhelpful [2] 111/23	updates [1] 148/14
139/3 171/15 210/2	transition [1] 33/9	typically [2] 16/2	166/5	updating [3] 10/16
	treatment [1] 197/14	134/19	unions [2] 202/15	52/8 95/24
				) throughout undating

(85) throughout... - updating

U	129/3	54/15 111/7 113/8	58/10 62/25 81/18	145/12 146/2 149/16
upfront [1] 88/17	verbatim [5] 180/14	walking [1] 131/21	191/15	151/7 151/10 151/15
uploaded [1] 4/10	180/22 182/7 182/25	Wall [1] 117/15	we'll [7] 14/22 63/6	156/14 157/19 157/25
upon [1] 109/1	184/2	want [43] 4/7 4/12	67/12 82/1 167/21	158/10 160/2 161/7
urgency [1] 117/23	verdict [3] 108/24	9/23 11/11 22/16 35/9		164/16 167/16 169/7
urgent [1] 41/15	109/16 195/3	39/6 47/19 48/14 51/23 53/6 56/7 57/10	we're [41] 1/5 15/12 20/23 23/24 26/11	173/16 173/18 176/20 179/22 182/11 183/8
us [51] 5/10 8/6	verify [1] 185/9 version [7] 132/16	62/10 68/19 68/21	34/3 41/11 43/1 46/10	183/13 193/19 195/15
16/10 16/15 16/25	132/22 132/23 133/18	70/15 78/9 89/1 89/4	48/25 59/25 60/2 60/4	196/3 198/20 200/4
25/14 30/13 33/22	133/21 134/2 207/21	95/23 99/8 99/22	61/23 66/14 71/10	201/9 202/23 206/24
39/22 41/16 47/20	versus [2] 163/7	115/7 117/8 131/16	89/4 100/4 100/10	209/22
49/7 49/8 52/3 61/8 61/22 66/23 67/18	163/23	142/19 161/2 174/19	100/19 100/19 102/10	went [21] 4/6 10/4
67/22 78/3 80/15	very [78] 1/4 1/8 3/23	178/23 182/11 182/12	110/21 120/24 124/14	24/13 35/4 40/18 51/4
80/17 80/18 81/10	4/9 5/11 7/7 9/5 13/14	187/13 188/11 192/20		
81/17 95/17 96/12	13/15 17/14 17/16	192/23 193/9 193/11	137/22 153/8 156/15	94/13 104/15 104/24
105/13 106/11 111/11	23/9 29/12 29/13	199/23 206/2 206/4	158/3 158/3 160/8	106/5 117/3 134/3
123/19 125/17 133/16	29/16 29/19 30/1 37/20 42/18 44/25	207/17 208/6	160/12 161/16 161/16 171/12 172/14 179/8	135/9 151/22 169/14
135/10 136/24 139/13	51/18 53/19 60/13	wanted [27] 9/7 11/3 19/9 19/9 22/10 25/14		182/6 183/13 195/9
141/9 144/9 157/18	63/22 65/21 80/12	40/20 49/4 51/19	we've [33] 9/15 18/23	were [239] weren't [7] 7/19 60/9
161/18 171/25 172/8	80/19 81/14 85/9	51/22 62/12 62/13	29/22 33/16 34/9 37/9	
175/8 176/11 177/4	85/10 87/0 87/10	62/20 63/24 65/8	41/20 47/25 53/5	151/13 199/6
186/20 187/25 188/16	87/11 87/18 92/6	79/13 82/5 82/9 82/19		West [2] 102/19
191/11 196/6 207/5 use [14] 7/9 50/7	94/24 99/10 99/13	90/18 101/17 101/18	96/21 97/23 100/13	109/14
56/24 96/11 120/7	115/3 117/10 120/3	113/1 142/5 183/10	101/24 105/18 112/9	what [169] 3/16 4/4
157/15 157/24 165/24	120/17 128/21 138/13		112/11 114/8 114/18	4/8 5/9 5/11 5/15 8/17
166/7 166/17 178/9	139/14 142/24 149/16		127/19 138/3 144/24	9/3 9/25 10/3 10/6
188/12 191/3 199/20	155/23 156/13 164/21	39/19 111/21 116/7	148/5 152/14 159/23	10/6 10/22 11/3 16/10
used [13] 42/22	165/4 165/13 169/24	128/25 129/1	163/19 166/12 176/25	16/10 16/19 17/3
45/21 55/4 84/17	170/14 172/2 173/25 176/23 178/6 179/2	Warmington [6] 59/16 60/19 61/2	179/3 187/18 197/17 website [1] 4/11	17/12 17/17 19/7 21/10 22/13 23/10
113/16 116/14 185/14	179/22 184/7 188/2	63/17 172/15 173/3	Wednesday [3] 67/17	
186/5 191/5 193/5	189/7 190/18 190/22	warrant [1] 154/9	68/7 96/13	25/7 29/22 30/17
196/16 198/19 200/23	191/16 196/20 201/20		week [14] 49/1 64/12	31/24 33/11 39/6
Useful [1] 117/21 user [1] 96/10	202/5 202/10 203/19	wasn't [36] 17/23	96/4 96/13 97/1	39/18 40/14 40/14
user [1] 90/10 using [8] 7/5 33/25	204/6 204/7 204/8	18/24 19/1 19/19 25/9	115/17 126/20 127/4	42/4 42/25 43/1 43/2
107/5 111/13 120/5	206/8 208/21 209/22	33/15 33/22 41/8 66/1		
120/7 157/12 157/21	209/24	66/8 72/3 87/11 89/24		43/24 44/9 44/11
usual [1] 56/25	via [4] 3/10 26/17	90/19 91/9 91/10	weekend [1] 124/25	45/24 46/6 46/8 46/8
v	28/13 34/20	93/17 98/11 101/2	weekly [4] 9/15 23/16	52/23 53/5 53/18 54/5
	viable [1] 155/13 victim [1] 47/11	107/16 116/3 116/12 126/2 128/13 131/22	40/4 70/13 weeks [3] 40/12	56/10 61/20 61/21 62/12 64/18 64/21
vague [1] 110/6	view [13] 19/7 19/8	140/22 147/2 147/2	80/11 121/18	64/21 64/22 64/25
valuable [1] 178/7	26/16 28/13 30/6 30/7	151/9 155/24 155/24	welcomed [1] 177/8	65/8 65/9 70/2 70/5
van [2] 61/7 71/12 various [14] 5/1 6/16	48/16 48/17 68/17	159/7 180/19 193/16	well [96] 3/24 8/19	72/16 73/12 74/13
7/25 9/18 11/9 12/9	69/7 127/17 127/21	203/4 205/4	19/19 23/4 23/5 23/5	76/20 80/18 82/6
13/20 15/15 37/24	128/12	waste [1] 158/22	23/9 25/2 27/19 30/7	82/10 82/12 83/8
49/18 67/20 126/9	views [5] 135/6 144/4		33/5 34/16 35/2 40/18	
170/8 202/25	163/14 163/14 177/15			
veil [1] 155/12	visibility [1] 207/9	39/2 39/22 54/8 56/22		88/25 89/9 93/11
Vennells [32] 3/8	visible [4] 75/21 75/24 76/24 119/14	59/1 60/4 60/5 64/18 75/13 75/17 76/17	58/25 67/6 68/19 73/3 75/25 77/13 82/18	94/10 94/21 95/18 96/2 96/2 96/19 97/23
8/15 8/17 39/12 49/2	visit [2] 40/4 40/18	77/1 77/12 79/15	84/2 84/13 87/7 87/9	98/1 98/2 98/14 98/15
50/25 51/17 81/24	voice [1] 128/6	91/18 93/13 107/12	87/16 88/19 88/25	99/16 101/3 101/12
87/20 95/6 102/6 110/22 112/5 118/16	voices [1] 4/6	117/22 124/14 128/2	89/24 90/24 91/6	101/16 104/24 105/1
119/1 123/3 124/1	volume [1] 170/9	128/14 143/7 144/3	91/20 94/12 97/23	105/14 109/5 109/6
124/21 126/6 126/10	W	147/9 149/17 152/7	101/2 101/11 103/12	116/2 117/18 120/11
127/8 136/9 148/13		163/6 177/16 177/20	105/7 110/17 112/9	122/22 123/10 124/8
150/9 152/12 170/6	wait [1] 187/14	177/23 180/8 186/13	112/25 113/13 114/2	125/17 126/14 126/18
170/15 171/14 172/11	waiting [5] 141/17 146/12 150/14 172/2	196/25 199/18 200/21	116/22 118/5 122/17	127/21 128/19 128/22
186/15 187/12 210/3	192/3	200/25 201/8 ways [2] 17/10 128/7	123/13 124/10 125/19 127/13 128/16 137/4	134/11 136/24 138/1 140/2 142/17 142/25
Vennells' [1] 172/12	walked [1] 142/1	ways [2] 17/10 120/7 we [544]	140/24 143/19 144/5	140/2 142/17 142/25
verbal [2] 129/1	Walker [4] 15/16	we'd [6] 22/14 33/2	144/11 144/16 145/7	146/22 148/2 148/2
				(86) unfront - what

(86) upfront - what

W	where's [2] 146/3	40/13 44/13 45/25	164/2 178/12 187/9	159/16 179/18 191/6
what [37] 149/13	161/7	54/11 56/4 63/2 66/6	196/19 196/19	200/10 200/13 200/18
150/3 152/9 155/25	whereas [1] 176/10	66/8 74/3 74/5 79/24	will [79] 4/10 13/6	201/25 203/25 205/3
159/8 160/18 162/2	whereby [1] 55/2	81/3 81/4 87/5 88/8	26/21 27/7 28/5 39/14	209/23
163/13 165/8 166/19	wherever [1] 180/15	88/10 92/15 95/8	39/21 39/24 41/15	witnesses [1] 184/22
168/9 168/18 168/20	whether [43] 10/8	96/11 97/13 97/14	50/6 50/8 50/9 56/24	woefully [1] 110/5
168/20 169/4 172/3	21/15 58/12 59/2 61/5	101/1 102/22 108/22	74/18 74/19 75/10	won [1] 103/1
174/5 174/19 177/24	66/7 78/4 78/8 78/21	113/12 115/22 118/7	76/23 76/24 79/21	won't [1] 39/16
178/8 179/11 180/9	86/7 94/14 97/7	118/22 130/10 132/5	80/15 83/18 86/15	word [7] 84/17 84/25
180/11 182/23 186/12	101/11 104/9 107/9	140/20 141/6 142/8	95/18 95/22 96/2 96/4	98/13 118/18 119/1
188/14 193/11 193/14	108/5 117/7 123/19	142/10 143/20 144/24		120/7 120/8
194/2 195/25 196/12	124/2 125/21 128/12	146/11 146/12 147/8	97/23 99/7 99/19	wording [3] 45/21
198/16 199/22 202/2	133/3 133/9 133/14	150/18 151/14 151/15		130/19 197/4
202/15 204/2 204/3	134/5 134/18 135/2	151/16 151/20 154/10		words [14] 4/3 45/23
what's [7] 34/21	135/3 147/23 151/17	157/1 171/1 175/3	111/24 112/8 126/15	83/10 90/21 90/23
42/13 71/20 109/3	151/18 152/7 155/9	177/9 177/13 178/3	127/6 127/15 128/4	91/1 92/19 96/22
140/4 193/18 199/22	162/14 163/16 163/18		131/18 135/19 149/19	177/21 179/19 182/8
whatever [12] 20/19	163/20 167/3 167/13	193/19 193/20 193/20		183/22 196/10 199/20
22/2 43/24 46/9 97/15	187/25 189/3 199/1	194/6 195/20 198/11	157/6 162/1 164/12	work [32] 15/10 39/6
148/20 159/11 161/2	208/6	198/12 198/13 198/25	164/20 164/24 165/6	54/23 57/18 68/11
161/6 183/1 183/2	which [103] 15/17	202/16 203/12 203/15		70/14 70/16 71/1
201/10	15/20 16/25 23/18	205/16 205/24 208/7	168/8 168/19 168/20	82/12 86/10 87/13
when [69] 6/3 6/19	23/21 26/23 27/9 29/4	208/9 208/11 208/15	168/22 170/9 170/20	98/5 114/15 133/11
6/19 7/2 7/12 7/14	33/13 36/14 39/15	209/10	170/21 170/23 171/3	138/8 150/15 157/2
7/15 7/17 9/7 9/10	41/18 42/14 43/3 48/6		171/5 171/18 181/4	158/23 158/25 159/9
9/24 17/24 19/10	49/19 52/2 71/16 72/3		187/18 188/11 189/15	159/21 169/12 178/11
19/14 20/17 21/20	73/15 73/18 74/19	whoever [3] 32/4	192/7 192/19 195/16	187/10 188/16 191/5
22/10 32/14 32/15	79/21 80/2 80/6 81/16		196/25	192/21 202/21 206/2
32/24 33/24 34/2	82/3 83/19 83/24	whole [6] 49/21	Willen [1] 122/2	209/2 209/9 209/17
36/15 40/21 41/6 41/8	85/11 92/6 92/17 93/5		Williams [8] 117/13	worked [8] 8/13
52/22 53/3 62/4 64/19	95/20 100/1 101/6	53/9 165/14	121/2 130/16 130/24	19/10 19/13 19/14
71/1 71/21 73/5 73/19	102/2 102/23 103/11	wholly [1] 16/17	135/11 137/20 208/23	20/15 42/17 42/20
74/7 76/7 82/5 94/16	104/10 104/23 104/23		209/7	82/9
97/14 97/14 98/14	105/4 105/12 105/18	62/18 62/19	willing [2] 39/21	working [15] 10/24
99/17 101/9 114/21	109/1 111/18 112/24	why [76] 8/6 8/22	116/3	13/14 14/1 14/6 23/22
116/20 119/17 127/10	122/18 123/21 125/2	19/21 27/15 40/17	win [1] 45/11	79/16 81/22 81/24
141/7 141/9 158/11		41/19 47/20 47/21	Wincor [1] 46/18	110/24 127/6 164/4
165/6 169/8 169/14		47/23 48/23 52/3 52/5		171/20 193/4 200/25
178/9 179/23 179/24	137/15 138/2 138/7	56/11 56/18 57/10	Winn [2] 64/10 121/5	204/1
180/15 181/21 182/17	144/22 152/4 162/11	59/22 60/7 62/10	Winter [1] 194/25	works [2] 40/5
190/8 193/7 193/9	162/21 163/2 164/6 165/23 166/25 167/5	62/23 70/10 71/4 71/18 72/3 72/4 72/12	wish [2] 27/1 200/11	197/20
195/3 197/10 197/13	167/23 168/4 170/21	72/1 72/21 72/21 72/22 72/1	wishful [1] 110/2 within [18] 2/21 9/18	workshop [3] 153/12 153/13 153/19
198/6 201/16 204/6	170/22 170/24 171/21	78/5 78/9 78/13 80/18		worms [1] 164/21
205/5	172/1 172/1 172/24	81/10 87/11 93/17	32/11 81/25 118/14	
whenever [1] 10/14	174/4 176/2 178/4	99/21 108/1 108/2	119/6 125/20 131/5	worry [3] 120/1 167/8 167/15
where [53] 18/6	179/8 184/25 186/10	108/7 109/7 110/13	158/24 159/18 171/2	worth [1] 83/2
19/24 20/21 23/12	186/24 187/5 187/8	111/18 112/15 112/22		would [312]
23/12 23/23 23/25	191/7 192/12 193/25	114/3 114/13 114/15	without [20] 55/3	would [312] wouldn't [22] 7/21
24/1 35/16 36/22 43/5	196/22 197/23 200/22		55/16 56/17 64/1 64/4	
43/6 45/7 49/6 55/9	201/6 202/6 202/25	120/9 128/17 130/21	64/14 65/5 65/20	35/1 35/24 56/16
65/17 65/18 69/4	201/0 202/0 202/23	133/16 133/25 134/15		75/21 82/17 101/25
73/13 75/16 75/23	207/7 207/9 207/15	134/16 140/21 143/11		113/14 146/17 146/21
83/12 87/14 89/21	209/4	145/25 155/23 157/15		160/2 160/4 161/9
90/7 90/21 91/5 91/17	while [4] 15/12 63/24		185/22 185/25 205/17	183/23 200/25 201/11
92/21 95/12 97/24	173/8 192/3	161/18 166/7 167/10	WITN00580100 [1]	207/25 208/2
106/20 107/17 107/18	whilet [5] 10/13 /7/7	184/15 195/23 198/20		writes [1] 196/23
109/10 111/21 113/25	55/10 131/21 185/3	199/14 199/19 203/19		writing [3] 126/18
114/19 116/6 125/12	who [92] 9/14 12/24	204/5	36/3	139/11 140/7
128/8 131/20 132/7	13/14 16/15 18/20	widely [3] 158/24	witness [23] 1/11	written [10] 42/13
135/10 149/24 154/9	20/9 23/21 26/5 26/6	159/7 159/17	60/20 102/14 102/17	64/18 80/7 80/11 91/6
154/16 154/24 157/7	27/18 27/22 31/18	wider [14] 14/23 15/1		97/5 142/22 156/4
171/21 185/13 188/21	32/10 33/17 36/25	21/6 69/15 69/16 70/7		177/23 187/14
191/9	37/1 37/9 38/16 38/20		157/14 158/14 159/9	wrong [16] 29/21

(87) what... - wrong

W	Young's [1] 28/20		
wrong [15] 30/3	your [128] 1/8 1/20		
36/13 36/14 64/25	1/22 3/21 4/12 4/17		
76/18 83/18 83/20	4/19 5/1 5/6 8/17 9/3 11/9 11/19 11/20		
83/20 84/6 84/7 84/8	12/18 14/15 14/16		
84/22 86/15 93/8	14/22 16/3 19/7 20/16		
121/14	32/23 35/9 36/6 36/22		
wrongful [1] 144/1	39/6 43/11 44/19		
wrongfully [5] 4/2	44/19 45/21 47/16		
90/10 90/25 92/20 93/14	47/25 48/13 48/17		
wrongly [4] 88/2 89/3	51/2 52/19 56/20 57/3		
91/3 205/1	57/22 57/23 56/10		
wrote [2] 195/17	59/7 60/20 62/16		
196/4	63/12 64/24 65/1		
Y	65/22 66/4 66/24 68/12 68/17 69/7		
	69/12 69/21 70/3		
yeah [12] 28/2 29/13	70/16 70/00 71/14		
38/4 38/7 44/21 46/12 52/14 120/16 152/21	71/16 72/22 88/6 98/5		
158/7 180/9 198/1	98/8 101/8 104/20		
year [7] 49/21 63/23	112/3 113/10 116/22		
75/6 122/5 122/18	118/11 126/15 127/17		
122/22 124/17			
years [13] 4/7 4/14	132/16 139/15 143/21		
9/19 10/24 11/3 14/23	148/7 149/18 150/7 152/15 154/2 155/24		
23/7 24/20 45/17	161/19 163/5 163/14		
154/15 158/8 159/23	166/3 168/7 171/18		
204/5	171/19 175/23 177/20		
yes [249] yet [8] 78/15 113/4	179/18 184/13 185/18		
137/5 137/7 139/23	185/19 188/15 188/19		
167/5 175/8 183/18	190/22 191/12 196/3		
you [857]	197/25 200/10 200/13		
you'd [6] 7/8 7/8 7/9	200/13 200/14 200/18		
22/9 84/2 112/25	200/19 201/13 201/16 201/25 202/1 202/15		
you'll [3] 82/7 82/7	201/25 202/1 202/15		
93/3	203/25 204/1 204/3		
you're [37] 27/12 28/1 41/23 58/12 66/9	204/10 204/11 204/23		
67/2 70/17 72/7 72/10	205/7 207/21 200/7		
73/7 80/22 82/14	209/10 209/25		
89/12 90/9 92/22	yourself [3] 41/13		
92/23 105/2 106/16	84/12 160/11		
114/14 121/3 126/6	Z		
131/4 136/9 138/20	zero [1] 74/20		
139/2 147/11 153/9			
172/10 174/9 174/12	ü		
180/11 186/14 188/4 196/23 206/20 209/3	über [2] 158/21 159/5		
209/7			
you've [26] 5/6 5/9			
10/19 11/9 22/19 24/6			
27/18 33/11 35/11			
39/17 60/20 72/4 73/5			
85/17 90/16 129/16			
129/22 139/15 139/16			
147/3 172/18 174/20 179/18 184/21 203/11			
207/19			
Young [8] 26/6 26/6			
26/7 28/18 28/19			
29/25 33/17 34/9			
			(88) wrong über