

## Message

---

**From:** Andy Cash [REDACTED] **GRO**  
**Sent:** 16/07/2012 10:02:03  
**To:** Jarnail A Singh [/O=MMS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=Jarnail.a.singh6ceadabd-67e9-4eca-94f2-005716658847]  
**CC:** Susan Crichton [/O=MMS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=Susan Crichton5fa6431-dc28-49ab-8f0f-be4237a4ad4f]; Hugh Flemington [/O=MMS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=Hugh.flemington14106a9a-9886-403d-bf5b-e08821f432b3]; Harry Bowyer [REDACTED] **GRO**  
**Subject:** RE: CASE NO 21392 - Prosecution v K Wylie

Jarnail,

Thanks for this. Do we take it that we "resist" defence requests in the terms of your email? I would like formal confirmation bearing in mind the view taken by counsel. If further consultation with Harry or otherwise would assist please let me know. I will need to reply to Kim Wylie's solicitors this week.

Regards

Andy

---

**From:** Jarnail A Singh [REDACTED] **GRO**  
**Sent:** 16 July 2012 09:55  
**To:** Andy Cash  
**Cc:** Susan Crichton; Hugh Flemington  
**Subject:** RE: CASE NO 21392 - Prosecution v K Wylie

Andy,

Thank you.

I agree Defence will approach to stay the prosecution until the review by second sight is completed will become increasingly common. Post office view is that such an approach be resisted. Review to be conducted is limited in scope in few and isolated cases. Second sight would work with a number of MP's (two at present) to review cases that have been raised by their constituents. Second sights appointment is presently being agreed with the MPs and justice for sub postmasters. There is no legal or forensic grounds to argue defendants will not get fair trial or abuse of process. There is no reason to justify the case being stayed, The fact that the review is being carried out is not an acknowledgment that there is an issue with Horizon, the system working properly and is being used up and down the country. when the system has been challenged in criminal courts has been successfully defended. There is no mileage in this position but is in fact superficial.

Regards

Jarnail singh

---

**From:** Andy Cash [REDACTED] **GRO**  
**Sent:** 11 July 2012 12:22  
**To:** Jarnail A Singh  
**Subject:** CASE NO 21392 - Prosecution v K Wylie

Dear Jarnail,

I enclose advice from Harry Bowyer. I know it will be unpalatable, but for what it may be worth I share his view. In the instant case, our response must be dependent on time scales for completion of the inspection. We are only just beginning to see these issues raised by defence solicitors and the

sooner we grasp the problem the better. If you want any more do call, otherwise I will await the outcome of your consultations before responding to the defence.

sincerely,

Andy Cash

andy.cash@GRO

Direct: GRO

## CartwrightKing

SOLICITORS

Nottingham | Birmingham | Derby | Leicester | Sheffield | Newcastle Gateshead

Majority House, 51 Lodge Lane, Derby, DE1 3HB

[www.cartwrightking.co.uk](http://www.cartwrightking.co.uk)

This message is confidential and may contain legally privileged information. If you have received this in error please delete this message and let us know by email or telephone. A list of directors is available at each office. Authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority No: 312459. VAT Registration No: 737837295.

\*\*\*\*\*

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and then delete this email from your system.

ROYAL MAIL GROUP LIMITED registered in England and Wales at 100 VICTORIA EMBANKMENT, LONDON EC4Y 0HQ with the registered company number 04138203

POST OFFICE LIMITED registered in England and Wales at 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ with the registered company number 02154540

\*\*\*\*\*