The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

Monday, 11 November 2024 26 September this year; is that correct? 1 1 2 (10.00 am) 2 A. Well --3 MR BLAKE: Good morning, sir. 3 Q. At least in the bundle --4 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Good morning, Mr Blake. A. In the bundle I've seen it, yes. 5 MR BLAKE: We will shortly be hearing from Mr Reynolds. Q. -- behind tab A two, it should be. Thank you. 5 6 He's not yet in the room. 6 Yes. 7 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: That's fine. Q. Can I ask you please to turn to the final substantive 7 8 MR BLAKE: As you, sir, mentioned on Friday, at 11.00 we 8 page, that's page 8. Thank you. Can you confirm that 9 will be taking a one-minute's silence irrespective of 9 that is your signature --10 10 A. I can confirm that's my signature. 11 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, fine. (Pause) 11 Q. -- and that the statement is true to the best of your 12 MR BLAKE: Sir, I anticipate we won't be starting for at 12 knowledge and belief? 13 least two or three more minutes. 13 A. That is correct yes. SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right. Do you want me to remove Q. Thank you very much. That witness statement has 14 14 myself from the screen or can we just sit here quietly? a unique reference number of WITN11470100, and will be 15 15 MR BLAKE: Entirely a matter for you, sir. 16 uploaded on to the Inquiry's website. 16 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right. Well, I'll sit here quietly. 17 17 As is well known, you are Secretary of State for 18 (Pause) 18 Business and Trade; is that correct? JONATHAN NEIL REYNOLDS MP (sworn) 19 19 A. That's correct, yes. 20 Questioned by MR BLAKE 20 Q. Your predecessor is our next witness, Kemi Badenoch. 21 MR BLAKE: Thank you very much. Can you give your full 21 You have a background as a solicitor; is that correct? name, please? 22 22 A. That's right, yes. 23 A. I'm Jonathan Neil Reynolds. 23 Q. Are you able to assist us in what area of law you 24 Q. Thank you very much. Secretary of State, you should 24 25 have in front of you a witness statement dated 25 A. I worked for Addleshaw Goddard LLP, I did my training contact with them, I left before I completed my training 1 1 you see as your responsibility in respect of overseeing 2 contract, when I was elected in the 2010 general 2 matters relating to the Post Office? 3 election, and the seats that I did my training contract 3 A. First of all, Mr Blake, let me say I'm very grateful for 4 in were corporate and real estate. 4 the chance to be here. I've been following this 5 5 Q. Thank you. I'm going to bring up onto screen a printout Inquiry, as you would expect, and perhaps didn't 6 of your responsibilities as Secretary of State. Can we 6 anticipate a general election meaning I'd have the 7 please bring onto screen RLIT0000349, please. It's 7 chance to participate in it, so I'm extremely grateful 8 simply a printout from the gov.uk website, outlining the 8 for the chance to be in this given how important the responsibilities of the Secretary of State. We see 9 9 10 there, if we scroll down, it says: 10 I would say, first of all, I see it as being my 11 "The Secretary of State has overall responsibility 11 responsibility for everything the Department for 12 for the Department for Business and Trade. 12 Business and Trade is responsible for, that ultimately 13 "Responsibilities include: 13 I'm accountable for everything so the Post Office is 14 "Overall responsibility for delivery and strategy 14 a significant part of that. I also see my role within 15 "Cross-government business engagement 15 the whole of Government when there are matters affecting 16 "Public appointments 16 the Post Office that perhaps touch on other Government 17 "[Free trade agreement] negotiations, mandates and 17 departments, that I am a key member of the Government in 18 18 terms of making sure the rest of the Government is doing 19 "WTO [World Trade Organisation], G7 and G20 19 what we need in regard to the Post Office and, whilst 20 ministerial meetings 20 I work very closely with my junior minister, Minister 21 "Overseas network." 21 Thomas, who you've heard from, as part of the Inquiry, the significance of this particular issue, which 22 Is that correct? 22 23 A. That is correct, Mr Blake. 23 pertains not just to the need for redress for the We also know there is an Under-Secretary of State for 24 survivors of this egregious scandal, but actually for 24 Q. 25 postal affairs and we heard from him on Friday. What do 25 what lessons we take from that for the future of the

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

1		Post Office, whether it's business model for how it's
2		run, for its governance structure, that's a very
3		significant personal priority for me as the Secretary of
4		State.
5	Q.	We've talked a lot about redress in the past few weeks.
6		If there is not full, fair and prompt redress for
7		subpostmasters, do you take ultimate responsibility at
8		a governmental level?
9	A.	Yes, I think this is an example of where, in the past,
10		there has been insufficient accountability and
11		ultimately, as the Secretary of State, I take
12		accountability for everything that is within the
13		portfolio of the Department of Business and Trade.
14	Q.	How do you propose that full, fair and prompt redress is
15		going to be achieved?
16	A.	The first thing I would say is I understand there has
17		been, quite rightly, a lot of analysis in this Inquiry
18		about whether there is a tension between fair redress
19		and the speed at which it is delivered and I understand
20		why that is of such importance to the work that is going
21		on here. Since the general election, there has been
22		a significant increase in the pace at which compensation
23		has been paid, the overall quantum of compensation is up
24		in the last four months by roughly a third, and the
25		number of claims to which there has been an initial
		5

offer being made in response to that claim has roughly doubled in the last four months to what it has been in the four months preceding the generation.

But I would very much want to say that I do not believe that increase in pace has been at the cost of fair or accurate compensation being made.

I think if you look at the key decisions that we've been able to make since the general election -- so obviously the compensation scheme for the overturned convictions, legislation that was passed in the wash-up period between the two governments, the appeal scheme being announced for the Horizon Shortfall Scheme, the publication of the Capture report, the publication of redress data and the fixed-sum awards being offered under the HSS scheme, I think you could put that together into a package and see that we are trying to, wherever possible, offer a reasonable sum of compensation by fixed award, if that is what a claimant themselves wants, a detailed breakdown, if that is the appropriate way forward, greater capacity to process claims, greater deadlines in terms of when the 40-day period we put in place under some of the schemes by which an initial claim is made.

These are all ways in which -- and the position is still not, you know, to everyone's satisfaction but

1 I think you can see we've tried to speed up compensation 2 and redress without that being at the cost of any 3 claimant feeling that's not an accurate or fair level of 4 redress for them to receive.

5 Q. Thank you. We'll get into the specifics of that in due 6 course. There are two matters that you say that are particularly important issues of concern, the first you 8 have described as driving cultural change and the second 9 is redress. We'll get to redress shortly but, in terms 10 of cultural change, what do you see as the most 11 important way in which the culture at the Post Office 12 can be changed?

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I think the scale of this scandal, as I say, cannot be separated out from the business model and the governance structure of the Post Office. So we need, from the work that I lead as Secretary of State and what this Inquiry is seeking to do, to not just respond to the obvious injustice and the need for redress to follow that, but to understand why, as an institution, the Post Office has gone so wrong, and what needs to change in future.

So, for instance, I believe that is everything from, you know, the internal governance structure of the Post Office, right down to the level of remuneration that postmasters receive. I mean, I think, despite the scale of this scandal, Post Office is still an incredibly

1 important institution in national life. I think it 2 still has an incredible role to play in communities. 3 I look at the business model of the Post Office and I think, even accounting for the changes in the Core 5 Services that are provided, I mean, people are familiar with the decline of letter volumes, there's still 7 a whole range of services that are really important but 8 I don't think postmasters make sufficient remuneration 9 from what the public want from the Post Office.

> And I think that's going to require some very significant changes to the overall business model of the Post Office, as well as looking at what the future is in terms of the governance arrangements, that will, I think, to be frank, have a greater level of trust and responsiveness to the people providing the services on the frontline.

17 Q. Okay well, once again, we'll get to the detail of that shortly. If we now focus then on redress and compensation.

> We've seen in this Inquiry at certain stages there has been disagreement between your Department and the Treasury on matters of redress. In your current experience, have there been any disagreements during your term in office?

25 A. No, I can genuinely say, Mr Blake, that there haven't

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

11 November 2024

been, and I know there are a whole range of issues. perhaps perception of historic tensions between the Business Department and the Treasury, specifically on this issue, which is obviously a personal priority for me but also for many members of the Cabinet. I can say the support I've received from the Chancellor and the Chief Secretary to the Treasury, who, of course, formerly was the Chair of the Business and Trade Select Committee and the previous incarnation of the Business Department before that, I have exactly what I needed.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

So if you look at, for instance, the announcements in the budget, first of all you see a greater amount of compensation now appearing in the national accounts, from the previous figure.

Of course, whilst that's an increase, it's actually even more significant than that because you will know the compensation previously for this -- for the four redress schemes was accounted for in the Treasury Reserve, which was heavily overspent, so essentially not only does the budget put a greater sum towards redress, it confirms that is real money in place.

But you may also have seen in the budget that the allocation of money for redress has moved from being DEL -- departmental expenditure limits -- to annually managed expenditure, in other words, it is demand led.

something relating to postal matters?

A. Well, if, because of the designation, as annually managed expenditure, to be frank, my assumption behind that has always been to make sure, if the sum of money for redress was greater than that, that I could guarantee it would be paid. I haven't considered an underspend in any way, if I'm being honest about that. If it was, that would be a conversation that I could have with Treasury colleagues but, to be frank, my efforts have been to make sure that we could absolutely stand behind any sum of redress that the process came to.

13 Q. We've hearing discussions of widening redress to, for example, assistance and managers, or possibly to family members. Does that figure cater for that expansion and, if not, then what is the plan for funding that expansion?

18 A. So you will understand we have, as well as the number of 19 announcements that we've made, been looking at a whole 20 range of issues, Mr Blake, exactly as you describe. 21 A particularly significant one of those is around family 22 members, where we have been looking at, for instance, if 23 there was agreement to extend to family members, would 24 the existing schemes be the right mechanisms through 25 which people would do that; would it require something

So whatever the level of redress is required, I can 2 guarantee that this Government, that the British State, 3 will be able to pay for that, and that has already been 4 agreed with the Treasury and has appeared in the budget.

> So, in terms of the support from Treasury colleagues, I could not have asked for more.

7 Q. Can you assist us, then the £1.8 billion figure that was 8 announced in the budget, we've heard some evidence 9 suggesting that's not new money. Is it new money or, if 10 it isn't, to what extent has that situation changed? 11

A. Well, it is new money because the allocations in the past came from an overall position, and I appreciate we're getting into some of the politics of the budget here, where there were frankly too many claims on that Treasury Reserve for that to be genuinely ever expected to be paid. Now, governments do sometimes, I think, historically, you know, ascribe different policy costs to the Treasury Reserve but, you know, there were more demands on that money than money existed.

So the confirmation that not only is there a greater sum of money being allocated to redress but that can be absolutely guaranteed by myself, by the Treasury and the Government, is, I think, a significant development.

24 Q. If, ultimately, the claims fall under that level, is the 25 rest of that amount of money going to be spent on

else? Obviously a whole range of fairly legal

2 definitions as to who -- how the scope would cover 3 everyone who that needed to do.

If there were significant extensions to the eligible

claimants at present, I would seek Treasury agreement for additional funding for those. It's possible that sum of money might be sufficient but, if there was to be an extension in any way. I think the appropriate cause of action would be to ensure that there are agreements in place with the Treasury that due funding is available for those.

12 Q. Are you personally aware of any cost projections in 13 respect of how much that might cost?

14 A. I haven't seen in any of the official advice I've 15 received a specific sum of money. I think the costs --16 the work being undertaken covers the potential scope but 17 that could be quite wide or quite narrow, depending on 18 the definition of harms you were seeking to provide 19 redress for and, to be frank, you will understand that 20 part of our work will be guided by the findings of this 21 Inquiry as well, and the recommendations that might come 22 from it.

23 Q. Thank you. Could we please bring up onto screen 24 BEIS0000888. This is an email exchange that we took the 25 Minister to on Friday. We now have the underlying

submissions, so we'll go to the submission as well, but if we could perhaps start on page 5 and into page 6. At the bottom of page 5, we have the summary of the submission. It says:

"Timing: We would be grateful for a swift readout.

Post Office has received regular correspondence from postmasters awaiting payment of the £75k fixed sum following the March announcement and we expect it to be raised during next week's redress announcement."

It makes number of recommendations to the Minister.

The first is:

"Implementing your predecessors' announcement to introduce a fixed-sum award of £75k to [Horizon Shortfall Scheme] claimants, to bring the scheme in line with the GLO scheme.

- "b) Capping awards at £50k where postmasters reject the fixed sum and are offered a lower amount with no option to return to the fixed sum.
- "c) Not providing legal advice to claimants to consider the offer of a fixed sum.
- "d) Consider whether to delay the introduction of a fixed-sum award until we have clarity from [the Treasury] on funding for HSS appeals, noting that this could take several weeks or months."

Finally:

the Minister. In the middle of page 2, thank you very much, it says:

"Thank you for attending the meeting today -- for the benefit of the chain, the Minister was content with the recommendations a), b), c) and e) in the [submission] but has asked not to delay the introduction of the fixed sums award outline in recommendation d)."

So the potential delay that might be caused in respect of the appeals mechanism was put to one side, and the announcement made in any event.

If we please scroll to page 1 we can see that you had reviewed the submission as well and agreed with Minister Thomas' steers. Is that something you recall?

- A. That's right, that's right, Mr Blake.
- Q. Perhaps if we're able to, it's only been recently received but the submission of 22 July should have a unique reference number of BEIS0001228. Thank you. It's going to come onto screen. I think it's a submission that we didn't have as at Friday's hearing so I'd like to take you to it, just to clear up a few matters that were addressed on Friday.

22 So this is the underlying submission of 22 July. We 23 see there a summary of the issue:

"This note seeks your agreement on delivering a fixed-sum award to provide redress to postmasters

We'll come on to most of those but, in terms of (d),
we were just speaking about issues with the Treasury.

"e) Note the revised application process ..."

Was there an issue here with the Treasury and was it overcome?

Mr Blake, no, I'm not aware of there being any Treasury element to the conversation around this. As you say, the principles behind the key policy features of this submission had already, I think, broadly been established in Parliament as desirable, that the way the election had fallen meant, essentially, previous announcements were being implemented by ourselves as a new Government, and the key aspect of this is, obviously, the presentation of a fixed-sum for those cases where claimants would feel that that was the best course of action for them, effectively offering an expedited fixed sum, so it as to be able to get compensation and redress to people as quickly as possible and, of course, alongside that, if they believed that would not be an appropriate level of redress, the existing ability to have the individual

Q. The fixed sum seems to be in relation to the HSS appeals mechanism. We can come on to look at that shortly. If we scroll up, please, we see on page 2 confirmation from

participating in the Horizon Shortfall Scheme."
 The "Recommendation" is exactly as we've

assessment go forward.

The "Recommendation" is exactly as we've seen in that covering email.

Can we please turn over the page to page 2. Paragraph 6 addresses the £50,000 cap. I'm just going to read to you that paragraph. It says:

"From a public money perspective, the £75k will be more efficient to administer than the full claims process. If the £75k remains available to those who pursue the full claims process, there is a high risk that many more will choose this option leading to greater costs and delays. We therefore recommend that claimants who reject the £75k should not be able to return to it if, upon full assessment of their claim, they are awarded a lower amount. Instead, we recommend that the award is capped at £50k (or the value of the offer if it exceeds £50k) to ensure fairness and help provide value for money for the taxpayers. This is consistent with the approach on the GLO scheme, although there haven't been any instances of a claimant receiving lower than £75k in practice."

This is a proposal that has been described as a jeopardy issue. It's been criticised by some in respect of the pressure that it places on claimants who may be elderly, may simply be wanting money as quickly

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as possible, and are put in a position where, if they
don't accept the £75,000, they are at serious risk of
losing a considerable amount of money. What is your
view on that?

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

guidance."

A. So, Mr Blake, the public policy perspective on paragraph 6 is obviously that, in order to give people a route to redress as soon as possible, that's what the fixed sum process is there to do, and my view was always that that should be set at a level where, for most people who would consider that, that would be, you know, a decent level of redress that they would feel was appropriate to the situation that they were in.

Obviously you're right to say, when you have a choice between a fixed sum and an individual assessment, you wouldn't want there to be a sense of risk or jeopardy that pushed people to a course of action that they ideally didn't want to go under. So the £50,000 reference in paragraph 6 is effectively a security cap. It's a floor by which you will be aware if you're going through that process that you don't risk, for instance, getting a sum of redress which is considerably below the £75k.

So you will see, I hope, in each of the decisions made, an attempt to provide people with a genuine choice as to what is the fair course of redress for them,

17

bearing in mind quantum of redress and the timescale at which they will receive it, but always trying through, whether there's the £50k floor in this proposal or, for instance, through the HSS appeals scheme that we've announced, a set of checks and balances that hopefully give people assurance that it's not an attempt to force people down a route they wouldn't necessarily want to go down, but to give them some assurance.

Q. How about the consideration of value for money for

taxpayers in setting the £50,000 level where it is?

A. Well, I think in every decision that you make as a government minister you always have to have regard for the fact that this is taxpayers money, ultimately, you're making decisions and you want it spent fairly, but the key determinant, I would say, of the sums of money in this submission, is what are the appropriate levels from the perspective of fairness for redress that are put in place. You will see, obviously, I think people understand, if you didn't have the distinction between the two schemes, you wouldn't be creating essentially the capacity to consider the more complex

Equally, I think you wouldn't want a situation where it was a choice between a fixed sum and no kind of

fair and speedy redress for people in that cohort.

claims in a way that you would want to make sure there's

security, if you went down the other route.

Q. Thank you. If we could scroll down because the submission may be new for a number of the Core Participants. I'll just read to you paragraph 9, which addresses value for money. It says:

"There will be overpayment duty to this intervention. There is a risk that very small claims could make up a significant proportion of expected late applications, and all would be entitled to £75k. As of June 2024, the Post Office have paid [approximately] 600 claimants £5k or less (and have paid over 100 of these claimants less than £1k). However, officials believe the measure provides [value for money] overall as there are no lower cost alternatives that ensure equal treatment of [the Horizon Shortfall Scheme] and GLO claimants. Offering a lower or no fixed payment is unlikely to reduce the overpayment risk and may increase the total costs to [the Government]. The £75k fixed payment also reduces the administrative costs of resolving potential appeals due to under-settlements. As set out above it also mitigates some of the criticisms that the [Horizon Shortfall Scheme] applicants have under-claimed due to the lack of upfront legal advice and problems with the consequential loss

Does that summarise for you the position in respect of the value for money?

- 3 A. Yes, Mr Blake, I have always accepted there will be, in 4 some cases, effectively an overpayment, relative to the 5 actual financial loss but I think we would all accept, when you consider what the Post Office, what the UK 7 State has put some of these people through, there's 8 a wider set of factors to consider, and that the kind of 9 approach articulated in paragraph 9, whilst, yes, on the 10 one hand there would be some people who could nominally 11 be said to receive a considerable sum in relation to the 12 shortfalls that they had been held accountable for, when 13 considered in aggregate, this is a fair and reasonable 14 approach.
- Q. Thank you if we please turn over the page and scroll
 down to paragraph 16, about halfway through that
 paragraph, it says:

"Officials have discussed a potential closure date of 31 March with Post Office, but this timing may need to be reviewed. You will receive separate advice on scheme closure date in the next few weeks."

We're going to come on shortly to the closure dates of both the Horizon Shortfall Scheme and also the GLO. Are you able to confirm whether you did receive subsequent advice on this issue?

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

A. Yes, I have received, Mr Blake, a further advice note on the potential closing dates for some of the compensation schemes.

Q. Thank you. If we scroll over the page, please, there's a section on legal costs, that's in respect of the advice that's provided to applicants to the scheme:

"The current policy on the [Horizon Shortfall Scheme] legal costs is that Post Office will reimburse reasonable fees for postmasters to seek advice on their offer of compensation. For those who wish to accept the £75k fixed sum, either as a top-up where they have already settled their claim below this amount or those who have not yet settled, we do not think that the costs of legal advice should be reimbursed. This is because: the value for money is diminished by incurring significant expenditure on claimant's legal fees; legal involvement will slow delivery down; and postmasters who settled below £75k have already had the opportunity to seek legal advice and are now being offered a higher amount."

Can you assist us, then why is it that applicants who accept the fixed-sum award should not be provided with legal advice?

24 A. So, Mr Blake, the intention of this point, of the25 policy, from my point of view, was always that

claims would technically result in overpayment if they went down the fixed-sum route to redress. So my assumption here has always been that there will be a significant and is a significant group of claimants for whom this decision is relatively straightforward and anyone in that more complex position would go down the route of individual assessment, and, in fact, we'd have greater capacity to give those people appropriate redress at the pace they needed it at, if we were able to free up capacity to do so because of being able to offer a significant fixed-sum award to people who were

in a position to make that decision quite clearly.

I will, just say in relation to that, I'm aware that there has been, you know, at various times, particularly in the public domain, criticisms about, you know, how many different stages this process involves, you know, different sets of lawyers and the cost of those. That has never been a factor, you know, in a decision like this. When you look again at the scale of this injustice, you wouldn't want to, in any way, limit access to legal advice as part of that. This was simply about how to appropriately run the compensation schemes.

Q. Thank you. Paragraph 19 says:

"There is a risk that not offering legal advice will be criticised as unfair on postmasters given previous

I believed people who were able to make a very clear personal choice that they would be in a satisfactory position to accept the fixed-sum award would be able to do so, and the point in relation to legal advice was really to continue with the aspirations that that could be delivered at a significantly faster pace than previous compensation had to date.

It was always my belief, and is my belief, that anybody who has a more complicated case, who believed they were borderline in terms of whether the £75,000 fixed sum would be adequate redress or not, would go down the route of individual assessment, and this was simply a way of getting appropriate redress to a significant group of people as possible who would be able to make that decision without regard to their own legal advice.

- 17 Q. Do you recognise the risks that have been articulated in
 18 the Inquiry about certain individuals who might fall
 19 slightly above the £75,000, say £85,000 or £95,000, and
 20 don't take legal advice and end up in a worse position?
- A. Yes, absolutely. I think whenever there's any kind of
 threshold in public policy it's always people close to,
 above or near on that threshold that some of these
 particular issues will emerge from. You referenced in
 your previous question the fact that a number of the

commentary about low levels of legal representation for claimants in the [Horizon Shortfall Scheme]. However, as this intervention will benefit postmasters and is intended to be delivered swiftly, it is a defensible approach that ensures the benefits of the policy are fully realised and we recommend that approach.

A separate decision to introduce an appeals mechanism would also help here."

Can you assist us, what is the current position on the appeals mechanism, so far as you're aware?

A. Yes. So we have made the announcement that we will accept the Advisory Board's request for an appeals mechanism. That work is proceeding at pace. I believe -- and I hope you will understand I have to formally announce any new initiatives to Parliament itself -- but I hope to be able to have some of the details able to be presented to the House of Commons in a very short period of time, certainly looking towards the very beginning of next year.

Q. Thank you. In terms of the fixed sum, we've heard some evidence about concerns for those who sign up to that fixed sum, that they are waiving any right to an appeals mechanism that they're not yet aware of how it will work. What's your view on that?

25 A. Well, you will have seen in the bundle that the

on p

reference to this that I made in an oral statement I made to Parliament, shortly after becoming the Secretary of State. In that statement, I talk about how the appeals mechanism is, in design, there to make sure people who feel that there hasn't been appropriate consideration of all the evidence, they've got new evidence, can then have that considered through that appeal process.

In practice, I believe that appeals scheme will be open to anyone who is dissatisfied with the result of their claim. Given the appropriate safeguards, caps and a process that's in place already, I'm not sure that will be too large a group of people but I think it's an important safeguard in the system to have. But, effectively, just to repeat that, to be clear, I see that as being available to anyone under the HSS scheme who is dissatisfied with the result of their claim.

- 18 Q. Might that include those who accepted the fixed sum?
 19 A. Not those who have accepted the fixed sum, or I believe
 20 that would result in insufficient capacity in that
- 21 scheme to deal with the kinds of cases we're most 22 interested in making sure have again had that full, 23 speedy and effective redress.
- 24 Q. Can we please turn to RLIT0000413, please.
- **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Before we do, Mr Blake, could I just ask

I think, and I'm expressing a very provisional opinion, to think that the claimant in those borderline cases either has to make a decision without legal advice or pay for it themselves.

So my question to you is, why is it a blanket no recompense for legal advice, as opposed to a more nuanced policy, which would allow for, shall we say, the obtaining of legal advice when it's obviously a borderline case?

A. Yes, thank you, Sir Wyn. I know you've taken a particular interest in this matter, quite rightly.

I would say the view in terms of the submissions that we received, that became the policy, was that to involve legal advice in such a way in the fixed sum would go against the objective of having a route to redress that was speedy, straightforward, easy to deliver at pace.

I have always been of the view, as I said to Mr Blake earlier that anyone with any, perhaps, complexity or grey area in whether that would be the appropriate route for them would anyway go down the individual assessment route and take the offer of the funded legal advice.

That was part of that. I appreciate what you're asking specifically is why not have an area -- a bit of discretion in that, in terms of those particular cases? I think that is a very reasonable point and I can assure

MR BLAKE: Absolutely.
 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: First of all, in relation to
 paragraph 19, since it's still -- well, it was on the
 screen -- this is one of the things that's going around
 in my head, Mr Reynolds, so I would like your help with

one or two questions about this myself?

My understanding is that, under the HSS scheme that operated before fixed offers were introduced, once the scheme got to the point where an offer was made to a claimant, he or she, that is the claimant, at that point could take legal advice and be recompensed for the cost of it, subject to a limit, about whether or not the offer should be accepted or not, all right?

Now, in a sense, all that's happening with a fixed sum is that an offer is being made at a much earlier stage because many of the steps leading to an offer have been left out. So I'm still struggling to see why there's a blanket refusal to allow legal advice about the offer of £75,000. I take your point, Mr Reynolds, that for many people the answer will be obvious and, in those circumstances, I think you can reasonably assume that they wouldn't bother with legal advice.

But there are going to be some cases where there are difficult decisions to be made, and it's quite tough,

you that we are looking very much to the work of this
Inquiry, in terms of lessons for the future and whether
there would be the need, when, you know, dealing with
schemes like this, or indeed this one, to take account
of that. So I take on board your feedback on that point
very much.

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, I am not making a recommendation in advance, Mr Reynolds, I assure you, but I did want to clear my thought process at the very least about that, so thank you very much. Could we go back to paragraph 6, please.

MR BLAKE: That's page 2.

13 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: It's the sentence four lines down:

"We therefore recommend that claimants who reject the £75k should not be able to return to it if, upon full assessment of their claim, they are awarded a lower amount."

Fine, all right. I'll park that and think about it.

But there's an intermediate position, isn't there, which I want to canvas with you again because I'm sort of having these ideas floating in my head. The £75,000 is rejected, and the claimant goes down the route of full assessment but, long before he or she gets to full assessment, he or she realises (a) that their claim may not be as valuable as they thought or (b) just out of

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

frustration, they are fed up with the process.

There is no getting off point, as I understand it,

once you reject the £75k, you've rejected it once and

for all. You can't change your mind, shall we say,

three or six months later but long before any offer is

made to you; is that reasonable, do you think?

A. Well, Sir Wyn, I believe that is the position.

8 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.

9 A. I have to be frank. I've never considered a situation 10 properly where someone is in that place where they may 11 wish, before the final determination is made, to change 12 their route to redress. So I think that is 13 an interesting proposition. I think you'd have to, in order to maintain the objectives here of the two routes 14 15 to redress, decide when and how that would be 16 appropriate to do so. It would also be my aspiration, 17 to be frank, that compensation redress is delivered at 18 such a pace that there aren't significant periods 19 between the claim coming in and the money going out the 20 door to pay for that redress, but that is unfortunately 21 the situation we have with a number of the claims.

So I think there would have to be some appropriate safeguards in place as to when that were possible. But it is something that could happen, if that would be where we believed we needed to make an alteration, again

to provide the right redress to people at a pace thatthey deserve it.

3 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you.

Thanks, Mr Blake. Back to you.

5 MR BLAKE: Thank you very much.

If we could return, then, to that Guardian article at RLIT0000413. It addresses the potential deadline, and this time it addresses the GLO scheme, rather than the Horizon Shortfall Scheme. But I'll just read to you a few passages:

"Post Office operators affected by the Horizon IT scandal will not all receive payouts by the March 2025 deadline called for by the campaigner Sir Alan Bates, the postal minister has admitted.

"Gareth Thomas said it would be difficult to achieve the deadline but promised that there would be 'substantial progress' towards clearing the compensation claim backlog by next summer."

It says a little further down:

"'I wish I could commit to Sir Alan's timeframe', said Thomas, speaking to BBC Breakfast on Wednesday. 'I think we will have made substantial progress by next summer'."

Are you in the same position: are you not able to commit to a timeframe and, if so, when, do you

1 participate?

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Mr Blake, I've thought about this a great deal and, obviously, anything put forward by Sir Alan in particular I'll consider to a significant degree. The position I'm in is I'm trying to make sure people get redress for a horrendous scandal, and that's an important job of work. At a minimum, I don't want to do anything that makes that injustice even worse, and the worry about a deadline, can you imagine a situation where, for whatever reason, a claim has not come in, I think it would be unconscionable to say that is not going to be considered.

Now, where I really do share Sir Alan's frustration is in the fact that the real significant delay in the GLO scheme is in the claims arriving in because of the preparation for them. So I share all of Minister Thomas' concerns but the last note I sent to my own officials was to say that, if there was a situation where we were still, going into next year, frustrated at the lack of claims coming in, even though I've got those concerns I've just articulated, I may wish to go forward with something like that, that would make clear I've got to have those claims in, in order to get people the redress and the justice that they deserve.

Now, I'd obviously want to make sure I was putting

myself in a position where I wasn't committing any further injustice in the future but, if my frustration in those claims not arriving at the Department meant that I felt that was the only way to speed this up, and I felt that wasn't going to prejudice any individual claim, based on the information that I had, it will be

something that I will consider because obviously I want
 to get redress to these people that they deserve, as

9 soon as possible.

Q. Have you done any blue-sky thinking, either in respect
 of the Horizon Shortfall Scheme or the GLO or in respect
 of any of the other schemes, as to whether matters can
 be sped up, whether it's by lowering the evidential
 burden or in some way making it easier and quicker?

15 We think about the pace at which redress has been 16 delivered a great deal, and I said to you in my 17 introductory answers that obviously we have seen 18 an increase since the election, but that has always 19 been, for my personal view, not in such a way that has 20 reduced the accuracy of the redress payments being made 21 or in a way which has meant that claims today are being 22 dealt with to a different evidential threshold to ones 23 in the past. I think there probably would be some 24 judicial review, you know, risk if that were seen to be

the case.

Where we've seen increases in the pace at which redress has been delivered, some of those are just old-fashioned issues of capacity and focus. As I say, it has been clearly an objective of the new ministers, of the new Government, to make sure this is being done at pace but, again, I'd just like to reassure people that there is no sense of that being done at the cost of accuracy or a change to how cases are being considered relative to the past.

- Q. Thank you. I'd like to move on to a new topic which is
 the Post Office Horizon System Offences Act. It came in
 just before the election. I think you've said in your
 statement that you that some involvement. Can you
 assist us with what that involvement was?
- A. That's right. I mean, people will be familiar with the challenge that the then government had and we, as the opposition, were sensitive to, which was you have a large cohort of people for whom they were clearly wrongly convicted. There are two major problems with the traditional route to overturning those convictions: the Criminal Cases Review Process and Commission. Firstly that a lot of those people had lost all faith in the justice system and I think we can understand why that would be the case and, second of all, the time frames for where we had got to and extrapolating that to

a common occurrence for Acts of Parliament to overturn the courts. That is not an easy or attractive proposition to have. So I really led conversations on our side of the aisle within the Labour Party about why I believe this was the best and only vehicle to doing that and, between ourselves, I believe we secured the cross-party agreement that was necessary -- I should say, at this point -- we had no idea the general election was coming, so we had no idea it would be part of the expedited process that happens when an election is called to pass, the so-called wash-up procedure, when legislation goes through much quicker than it usually does.

And I think if you looked at some of the Hansard exchanges around that, we very much tried to indicate the unique circumstances that had led to this position being reached, and the cross-party agreement that lay behind that, and some of those protections we thought were necessary around that. But I will say, Mr Blake, I'm very much aware, in a year, 10 years, 50 years, whatever, there will be people who will say, you know, that was not the right decision because of the constitutional precedent, which I don't believe it did set by the way a precedent because of the unique circumstances of this, but I know people will maybe cite

where we would get to were, for years and years -- I mean, it was absolutely conceivable that if we had relied on the traditional constitutional route to doing that, many of those people would never have had the justice they deserved and obviously would never have got then the redress that they deserved because they would have been, at that point, in the process.

So when -- I felt I'd had a good working relationship with the then Conservative Government's Postal Affairs Minister, Minister Hollinrake, when the potential -- he approached me and told me that there was potential for, you know, an Act of Parliament, very significant constitutional terms, of course, to overturn these convictions, I was immediately aware of just how significant that would be and the reasonable concerns a lot of people would have about constitutional protections and oversight of that, but just, looking at the problem, it was clear to me that was really the only vehicle that we had to deliver justice.

And so you will I think, Mr Blake, appreciate, you know, in any political context, you've got to consider not just the here and now but what are the precedents people might take from that?

You know, I think we'd all say we wouldn't want a system where there were -- you know, where it was

that in future.

But I absolutely believe, without that cross-party agreement and breakthrough, we'd be in a position here today where this cohort of people would not have had their convictions overturned and they would not be receiving redress.

- Q. Are you able to provide us with any update as to how
 many people have been contacted in respect of that
 legislation?
- Yes, Mr Blake. So you will be aware of something, to be frank, at the time when we were having these discussions and it wasn't until I'd become the Secretary of State, and was several weeks into the job, that I became aware of, which is the Ministry of Justice, which obviously --the judicial system held the records for this group of cases -- was not in a position to speedily inform this cohort of people of their eligibility -- that their convictions had been overturned and their eligibility for redress.

I'm really aware of the fact that this is -- this whole issue is an example where there's a sense to different bits of Government, different bits of the State not accepting accountability, so when I talk about my frustrations with the records, it's not me in any way passing blame or the buck for that, but I simply wasn't

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

aware that it wouldn't be relatively straightforward having had this breakthrough to contact these people.

1 2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

For the people in the Horizon Convictions Redress Scheme, there are 172 claims that have been received. That is up considerably obviously because it's a relatively new scheme. Full and final settlements under that scheme are now at 36 but there's still a significant number of people therefore who haven't had those interim claims. Because of the problems with the records we made a direct appeal for people to contact us directly and go into the system, though I again appreciate they will say, "Why don't you have the records to contact us?", and we are regularly in touch with the Ministry of Justice about their efforts to identify specifically claims; the nightmare scenario frankly would be someone receiving a letter who wasn't eligible to receive it.

But it is a frustration and, again, I would repeat the point that people who are in this position can contact the Government directly in order to go into the system and that the Ministry of Justice is working at pace on their side of this to make sure they're identifying the right people.

24 Q. You spoke about those who aren't eligible. We have 25 heard some evidence in relation to a category of people 2 example, those who appealed but who were refused leave 3 to appeal or whose appeals were rejected and, as 4 a result of them having previously appealed, they are 5 then cut out of a scheme that overturns the convictions 6 of those who didn't even go to the appeals stage. What is your view on that position?

who aren't covered by the legislation, that's, for

A. So the specific criteria for eligibility under the Act of Parliament, obviously that was decided by the previous Government but we were aware through the Parliamentary exchanges of the decisions that were taken. I think the two most significant ones were this cohort of people, so people who had already been able to go through the justice system but had not had their convictions overturned, the view being that they had already had the details of their case assessed in that wav.

And the other cohort were people who were not prosecuted by the Post Office, they were, for instance, by the Department of Work and Pensions, where there would be a different set of factors, different position in terms of obviously the well known failure of disclosure process by the Post Office, wouldn't apply to them, and they'd been a different position. I should say as well, of course, that the devolved governments

pass their own legislation because of the devolved nature of justice across the United Kingdom.

But specific to the cohort you referenced, Mr Blake, I believe the previous Government system assessment was they would already have had their individual circumstances assessed and therefore in a different position to the majority of the cohort who were -- then needed this legislation in order to have their convictions overturned.

- Q. How about that other group that you identified: those who were prosecuted by other agencies?
- A. So again, I believe -- if I recall the conversations that were had and exchanges in Parliament, that was based on a genuine sense that the circumstances of those cases were different, so there wasn't the same failure of disclosure that we were aware of in the Post Office prosecutions. And that frankly there would be, within that cohort, a greater number of cases where there were legitimate issues that had been pursued, and the blanket exoneration of the Act of Parliament wouldn't be the appropriate vehicle to include for those cases.
- 22 Q. Thank you. My final topic today relates to the future of the Post Office. If we put aside what external consultants may be planning, so Boston Consulting Group, I think, have been involved in planning. We've heard

about a strategic review from a company called Teneo. 1 2 If we put those all aside, do you have any ideas as to 3 how the Post Office might be changed to avoid a repetition of what we've seen in the past?

5 A. Yes, Mr Blake. So as I said this in my earlier answers, 6 I believe you cannot separate out the issues of justice 7 and redress that this Inquiry is rightly dealing with 8 from those wider conversations about the future of the 9 Post Office. I think the governance has to change. 10 I think one of the most legitimate, you know, questions 11 that I get, you know, from members of the public and 12 constituents is the question of how did the Post Office 13 not believe something must have gone wrong when all of 14 a sudden after Horizon was introduced, there was a huge 15 spike in convictions; what did they think was going on?

> And I think you have to assume that what they thought was that Horizon was catching people, rather than something could have gone wrong with the system and it's just inconceivable that that number of people were all of a sudden committing, you know, criminal offences and needed to be prosecuted.

And so the governance model has to change. There has to be connected to the change in the business model where postmasters have to earn more money from the services the public want. I want to say specifically,

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

6

7

8

9

23

24

25

because I know it's come up in the media, that any 1 2 question about the future structure/governance of the 3 Post Office would not be this Government just, you 4 know -- for instance, on the point of potential 5 mutualisation, it wouldn't be just passing it over and 6 saying "Look, there that you go, you're going to run 7 yourselves in a different way". We're very much aware 8 that the structure and the business model has to change 9 in order to be sustainable. There are obviously some 10 particular issues like the funding of a replacement for 11 Horizon, which couldn't be funded at the minute from the 12 Post Office itself.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So those issues have to be addressed before we then look to what the future relationship is, but I am absolutely certain that the future has to be one that addresses the lack of trust, the lack of reciprocity and, you know, genuine regard for people who are providing the front-door services of the Post Office.

And frankly I believe anything should be on the table as to the right way forward around that. But, as I say again, it has to come after we make sure that the position of the Post Office is a long-term sustainable one.

24 Q. Thank you, Secretary of State. I have one or two more questions, we are very close to 11.00, perhaps we can

> the specific breakdown of trust between different parts of the organisation and provide a route going forward.

Some of the work that you mention, I mean, the distinction I'd have between, say, the Post Office's work with Teneo is that that's really about the kind of nuts and bolts of the business model, where is money coming in; where does it go; how can that change; what's the course of action required for the future?

Whereas the Boston Consulting Group work with my Department is really more about the kind of fundamental purpose as, you know, technology has impacted on some of the traditional services the Post Office operates, the future of cash, we're very keen as Government on Banking Hubs, which is -- don't necessarily have to be run by the Post Office but usually are. They are in my hometown of Stalybridge, so there's a set of factors both for the Post Office as a business, if you like, and then its fundamental purpose, both being looked at by the appropriate levels of Government.

The future, in terms of what that structure might look like, and it could be something different --I mean, I give that some thought. You will understand, to address some of the problems I'm talking about, that can't just be a top-down decision from the Secretary of State. The aspirations around the Green Paper, which

take a pause? 2 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Mr Blake, I make it 10.59 and I'm sure no 3 one would regard it as disrespectful if we take 4 a natural pause, which is now. So I propose to start the minute's silence now, and people in the hall may 5 6 stand if they wish or sit as they prefer. I am in a room on my own, so I will continue to sit down. But 8 the minute begins now.

(Pause for one-minute's silence)

10 MR BLAKE: Thank you very much.

> Secretary of State, have you given any consideration to some fundamental issues, such as whether a self-sustainability, self-sufficiency model is ever a realistic ambition? We heard from one of your predecessors, Greg Clark, some novel ideas about a fundamental change to the type of company that the Post Office is, some sort of public organisation that is not a private company; are you able to assist us with your thinking on that?

A. Yes, Mr Blake.

I mean, as I say, I don't think anything should be off the table for the future. I think alternative governance models, mutualisation is one, I think, that's been in some of the media coverage. You know, it would have some particular advantages in terms of dealing with

I think Minister Thomas mentioned, which we intend to 1 2 publish next year, is a chance for that wider 3 conversation, a national conversation, to be frank about

> I believe as an institution, as a brand, there's still tremendous public affection for and desire for the Post Office to have a strong future but we've got to consider all of these issues as to its future business and how it is run as part of that process.

10 Q. Can you see a time prior to the Green Paper on which the 11 Inquiry could be updated as to your thinking?

12 I think we certainly are progressing conversations with 13 the Post Office as to how they wish to make sure the 14 business model side of change is progressing. I think 15 there will be the opportunity to update the Inquiry 16 about some of that. I believe the guestions about 17 governance are probably best suited to the Green Paper 18 because, again, fundamentally, we want to be getting the 19 input of postmasters themselves at the frontline as to 20 what they would like to see, what would give them 21 reassurance that the culture and effectiveness of the 22 organisation was changing as part of that.

> But, if there were any developments at Departmental level, I think it would be, you know, straightforward to be able to provide the Inquiry with an update to that

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 regard. 2 MR BLAKE: Thank you very much, Secretary of State. There 3 are a small number of questions from Core Participants. 4 Sir, unless you have any questions, I will turn 5 to --SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Please do. If I have any at the end,

6 7 I'll ask them at the end, all right, Mr Blake?

8 MR BLAKE: It's Mr Stein.

9

20

21

22

23

24

25

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Questioned by MR STEIN

10 MR STEIN: Mr Reynolds, my name is Sam Stein. I represent 11 a large number of subpostmasters affected by the 12 scandal. Many of them engaged in the High Court case, 13 the GLO litigation, as we call it, in 2019, and the spread of people I represent include people that were 14 15 not subpostmasters but also managers, people working in 16 branches, and importantly, at least for today's 17 discussion, as regards what's going on, strategy-wise 18 for the Post Office, people that are still serving as 19 subpostmasters.

> In answer to Mr Blake's question just a second ago, which was about the timing or timeline for the delivery of a Green Paper, and your answer, which was that you felt it was best put within a Green Paper, you're a very experienced, long-term serving politician. Also,

> currently, you're in place as the Minister in charge of

things in the way you've just said them, in a way, which 1 2 is, "We aim to do things really guite soon, we're 3 getting on with it", and so on. Can you give us even 4 a time bracket for the delivery of a Green Paper: as 5 an example, next year?

6 A. Yes, next year. That's the --

Q. Right. First half of next year; second half of next vear?

9 A. It would be my personal aspiration for the first half of 10 next year.

Q. Now, you understand that this Inquiry was set up with terms of reference which included obviously learning lessons from the criticisms made by Mr Justice Fraser in the High Court, and also assessing whether the processes and information provided by Post Office to postmasters are sufficient. So I'm reading from the terms of reference.

So the processes and information provided by Post Office are sufficient to enable both parties to meet their contractual obligations, to enable postmasters to run their businesses. This includes assessing whether Post Office Limited's related processes, such as recording/resolving postmaster queries, dispute handling, suspension, termination, are fit for purpose. In addition, determine whether the quality of the

DBT. Can you give us the actual timeline, likely 2 timeline for such a Green Paper?

So the aspiration for the Green Paper that Minister Thomas referenced in his evidence is for next year. I'm afraid I can't give you any more specific guidelines than that, but I, if it would be appropriate, can check with the Department and maybe write, if we've got an indicative part of, how we believe that would be ready. I should say that that's simply because, you'll be aware, some of the very earliest, most significant priorities of the new Government have been delivered by the Department for Business and Trade, the Employment Bill, for instance, or the Investment Summit. So please don't take any sort of sense that it's not an urgent priority; it's just that the number of things the Department has had to do in the first three to four

But the conversations we're having about the future of the Post Office, which are almost on a weekly basis in the Department, should be an indication of just how significant we see this work, and it would be my aspiration, given I think the level of national interest in the future of the Post Office, to have that available and start that conversation as soon as possible.

months of the Government have been extensive.

25 Q. We've had a number of witnesses recently that have said

service offer for postmasters and their relationship with Post Office Limited has materially improved since the conclusions reached by Mr Justice Fraser.

So the terms of reference of this Inquiry are not just historic.

6 A. Mm.

Q. The word "are" means currently. At the moment, we're in this rather odd position, which is this Inquiry has been now running for a number of years. There have been grave difficulties with disclosure, obtaining of material, that have contributed to the length of this 12 Inquiry. The judgment at the High Court in 2019, which was a seminal judgment -- two judgements, sorry, in 2019 -- heavily criticising the Post Office is the starting point for this Inquiry.

> Yet we hear from you that the changes to take place within the Post Office, the ones that you spoke about at 10.11 this morning, which are about a new business model, about the new direction of the Post Office, we hear from you and other witnesses that these are still yet to take place. So that means that the terms of reference of this Inquiry are simply, we are going to submit to the Inquiry chair, not going to be complete until we know, until we can see and examine what is happening to the Post Office.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

How is this Inquiry going to be able to examine 2 whether the new model that you've discussed --3 Mr Railton has called it change in polarity of the Post 4 Office -- how are we going to examine that, Mr Reynolds, 5 considering all of these discussions are ongoing at the 6 moment and that we may get a Green Paper somehow in the first part of the next year? 8 A. Well, I can understand the frustration that underlines 9

1

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a question like that. In fact, I can't speak for the previous Government, although, you know, I would say on all of this we've played this completely straight without any politics trying to be cooperative in opposition and then continue some of that work into government. So I can't speak for how significant the conversations were, you know, before 4 July. I can only say, for our part, if you look at the first, you know, three to four months, there was an urgent need, first of all, to make some of the decisions we've been through this morning, on the redress scheme for the overturned convictions following legislation, the appeal scheme, the fixed-sum awards, the work around the Capture system

There was an immediate need around issues of redress to respond to that. I believe, potentially, the previous government may have been looking for some sort

as to progress and changes being made, are you prepared

3 A. Of course, if Sir Wyn wanted me to return I would be 4 more than happy to.

Q. Now, compensation issues, at one point, in dealing with a question from Sir Wyn, you thanked him for his feedback. Again, we're left in this odd position: the length of time it's taken to get to where we are regarding compensation means that we're about to close 10 the evidence of this Inquiry as of this moment, unless Sir Wyn directs otherwise, this week. We get to closing 12 submissions through the remainder of the year and we 13 have oral submissions on, I think, 16 and 17 December. 14 I'll be corrected if I have that date wrong.

> That means, in effect, that the oversight of compensation issues directly from this Inquiry, essentially, is going to go, unless Sir Wyn directs otherwise, to return to these matters in another hearing date. It also means that the questions of the appeal mechanism, the question of whether the thresholds are correctly placed, the question of whether lawyers should be allowed to advise people that have justifiable claims but perhaps don't realise the extent of them, those won't that have the Inquiry's oversight.

How are we to determine whether, in fact, you and

51

of steer or guide from this Inquiry, and you quite rightly make the point that you see that as part of the process itself.

> But I do know, in terms of the speed at which we've been looking, not just at the issues of redress but the future, and some of the decisions that are going to be in the public domain fairly soon, that sense of, you know, transformation is happening now, and I respect very much your point that you'd like to be able to assess that for a plan now. I don't think, to be frank, in opposition that plan could have been drawn up in any adequate level of detail but, if you are telling me specifically that you feel that needs to be expedited in order to be a part of the kind of consideration that you are having in this Inquiry, I understand that very much, and can tell you, that will inform my decisions going back to the Department.

But I don't think, given where we are sat here today, we could have increased the speed any further than we have, either for redress or the future business model of the Post Office.

22 Q. Mr Reynolds, are you prepared to return to this Inquiry? 23 It's a matter for Sir Wyn to decide but, if Sir Wyn, as 24 an example, would wish to hear from you again and 25 possibly Mr Thomas, the Post Office Minister, and hear

1 your colleagues are going to be doing the right thing 2 about these issues? Can we have a commitment from you 3 to report back as to the changes that you are going to make on those very self-same issues: that is the 5 threshold question, the access to lawyers, the date of 6 the appeal mechanisms. Can we have that commitment from 7 you, Mr Reynolds?

8 Well, I can give you a commitment that we keep the 9 performance of all of the compensation schemes under 10 review. I'm very sensitive to the fact that Sir Wyn was 11 clear he's not making a specific recommendation under 12 any of those schemes. Of course, it has always been my 13 view that the work we do as ministers, both in relation 14 to the compensation schemes and the future of the Post 15 Office, will of course have a considerable and indeed 16 total regard to the findings of this Inquiry. So you 17 ask a slightly different question, which is about 18 keeping the review and potential changes to those 19 schemes available while the Inquiry is going on. So I'd 20 have to be guided by Sir Wyn in relation to that.

21 Q. You see there's a bit of a trap here, Mr Reynolds. What has happened is there have been delays to those schemes. We've had witness after witness saying there have been problems with getting these schemes worked out, problems in setting them set up, difficulties in assessing their

breadth, and so on, a litany of problems that have delayed the activities of those schemes. But that delay itself has now led to a lack of potential oversight from Sir Wyn, so there's a trap that's been set up by a failure to grasp the issues. So what we need is, rather than you saying, "Well, you've heard Sir Wyn", we need a commitment to change on those very self same issues?

We can ask, of course, Sir Wyn to frankly give an interim report or set out his own view on these matters. That will be a matter for him. What we need is for you to say, "There are problems here, we need to resolve them and they need to be resolved in favour of subpostmasters". I've called this before, with other witnesses, a spirit of generosity --

Forgive my voice, it seems to be going today, which is an unfortunate problem for an advocate.

But I've called it the spirit of generosity that decisions such as this should be made in favour of the subpostmaster, and they need to be made in favour of the subpostmasters frankly sooner rather than later; don't you agree?

A. Yes, I would agree with that and I would say, if you look at the actions we've taken to date, I think we can demonstrate that through each of the decisions that

of Fujitsu, he has come to the Inquiry, he has given evidence to the Select Committee. There's been an apology from Fujitsu for its role within this scandal.

We've asked questions of Mr Patterson, which is to what extent is Fujitsu prepared to put its financial muscle behind redress. Now, redress comes in two different ways. Fujitsu have said that they would consider contributions towards compensation. But they will not do so until the close of this Inquiry, okay? That appears to be their current position. Mr Patterson is giving evidence about such matters later on today.

Have there been any approaches from Fujitsu to
Government to actually set out the structure of what it
is proposing by way of contributions to compensation?

A. So no, I've not received any specific information in
relation to that. My understanding is the same as
yours: that there's been an acceptance of, I believe,
the phrase used was a moral responsible to make
a contribution, but that they were waiting for the
findings of the Inquiry before taking that forward.
I would welcome to see what the evidence will be in the
remaining sessions but I have always envisaged, at some

point, those kind of conversations being necessary.

25 Q. Well, can we try it another way round. Has the

we've made, whether that's the approach to the
publication of data under the different redress schemes,
whether that's the openness around the Capture report
that we published, whether it's the changes with the
route to fixed-sum compensation or the appeals scheme or
indeed the redress scheme for Overturned Convictions.

So where there is a need, where I receive direct
feedback that this part of the scheme, perhaps through
the evidence of this Inquiry or a direct approach to me,
where I believe there are changes that need to be made,
I think we've demonstrated that we will make them and we
will remain open to doing so in respect of any part of
the system of redress, if we feel or see evidence that
it's not working as it should.

Q. Sitting just two across from me is Mr Enright, he's partner at Howe+Co solicitors. He has been involved in this matter and his firm has been involved in this matter for many, many years. Are you prepared to meet with him to continue to keep an eye on these very self-same issues directly, so that he can discuss with you the progress and report back, as far as he can, the information that you'll you give him as to these issues?

23 A. Yes, more than happy to give that commitment.

Q. Can I turn then to Fujitsu and its role in this matter.
 Fujitsu has Mr Patterson, who is the European Director

Government, to your knowledge, that's in the last four months, under your own leadership with the DBT, or to your knowledge prior to a Labour Government, has the Government reached out to Fujitsu and said, "Well, hang on, we are spending an awful lot of money on compensation, you've made a promise, it seems, to contribute. Why are you waiting for the close of this Inquiry? That seems to be running out the Inquiry so you're not under observation".

10 A. That's --

Q. Has the Government done that; has the Government12 approached Fujitsu?

13 A. I have not had that direct conversation. I am not aware
 14 if officials have had it at that level. It was
 15 genuinely always my understanding that the Inquiry would
 16 conclude before that element would be addressed.
 17 Q. Would you consider approaching Fujitsu? It's quite

convenient Mr Patterson is here today. Maybe there's an opportunity, given time restraints in the busy timetable for a minister, just to have a word to set up a meeting?

A. Yes, I believe that will be a necessary meeting to have.
 I should say I've also been confronted with some rather
 unwelcome decisions I will have to make about the
 replacement for Horizon, the budget that I have walked

in to find that being allocated, which is very, very large and I think there are questions as to whether that is the right way forward that we're talking to the Post Office about, and frankly the need to have further involvement with Fujitsu to make sure there is a transition between the existing system and what the future will be, which I believe neither Fujitsu themselves would ideally want to be involved in and, frankly, I wouldn't ideally want to be in the position I'm in where I might have to make a decision to extend that involvement in order to make sure that system is properly accounted for before a new system is in place.

So there are some issues already of engagement between the DBT and Fujitsu that will have to be there and, as I say, some of that is frankly less welcome than it would be, but that's simply the situation I've walked through the door to find.

Q. Lastly, and only this: this scandal affected families. It affected people in small branches up and down the country. It affected the classic Post Office that we see in the high streets and the villages and towns. It affected a lot of people living in these premises, their partners, their children, their education, their ability to consider their children's needs, and we know that there is a voice for children that needs to be expressed

all shapes and sizes -- you wouldn't want to commit further injustice by getting some of that wrong.

You mentioned whether specifically Fujitsu could be a part of the answer to that. I think that is an interesting proposal. I wouldn't necessarily want to limit the involvement of Fujitsu just to that element of redress but the impact on wider family members is something that is being looked at within Government, yes.

MR STEIN: I said I'd finished and I really will, but we made that suggestion to Mr Patterson on the last occasion when he gave evidence. It's not a new proposal. So again, could that form part and parcel of the wider discussions?

Frankly, there's a need to restore the families back to what they hoped to be when they started their journey through the Post Office. At the moment that doesn't seem to have been grasped. Thank you, Mr Reynolds.

19 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

20 MR BLAKE: Sir, we have questions from Ms Shah as well.

Questioned by MS SHAH

MS SHAH: There we go, can you hear me now; is that working now?

24 A. Yes, I can, thank you.

Q. Good morning, Mr Reynolds. I ask questions on behalf of

properly before this Inquiry, which is that they're
damaged as well. They feel affected by what's happened.
They've been hurt. Their aspirations have been limited.
Questions about their education need to be addressed.

In other words, there is a need for a restorative justice that considers the wider implications of this scandal and what has happened.

Now, we heard, I think, from Mr Recaldin that the discussions have already taken place within Government on this very issue. It is an issue that we, on behalf of the client group we represent, we have suggested that Fujitsu could contribute to but, actually, this might be something to be grasped by both Government and Fujitsu and really good work could be done here, and it needn't cost that much. It'll cost a bit but it's about ideas, and about making it possible for those families that have had such great difficulties. What's happening in that regard, Mr Reynolds?

A. That's absolutely right. So the issue of wider family impact was very much on our minds when we came to office. We have commissioned some preliminary work around that, you'll understand a whole range of questions as to the kind of harms you're looking at, the kind of impact, making sure the definition of family members is a modern one that understand families come in

the National Federation of SubPostmasters. So Mr Read in his evidence to the Inquiry said that he thought the culture at the Post Office had changed and improved under his five-year tenure as CEO, although that does not align with the key findings of Grant Thornton's report of the Post Office. There's no need to turn it up, but for the transcript that report is POL00448771.

That reported earlier this year and the key findings on page 13 includes that there's an inability to unlock a unified purpose and shared ambition around a longer term vision and strategy between POL and its shareholder; there's an unconscious bias around the lack of accountability; there's a lack of clear understanding of objective roles, responsibilities and purpose; and that, in relation to culture, there's a misalignment on accountabilities between POL and DBT/UKGI, which is culminating in a failing working relationship.

Would you agree that these findings show that there continues to be significant issues in relation to culture and governance at the Post Office?

A. Well, thank you for that question. I would say, having been the Secretary of State for four months, I can't comment on claims from, you know, the progress over the last five years. But I would say that we start from the position that things need to change extensively, as I've

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

11 November 2024

said in some of the previous answers, with regard to the 1 2 business model, the accountability, the governance 3 structure. I think the job at the Post Office that's 4 required is one of transformation, not accepting that things are in a position now that is to anyone's 5 6 satisfaction and, broadly, I think that is something 7 which is held by people involved at every level of the 8 Post Office.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Just to pick up on something that Mr Stein has raised, whilst the Grant Thornton review shows that there are continuing issues with the culture of the Post Office, five years after the GLO judgments, what confidence can this Inquiry and the UK's postmasters have that, once the scrutiny of the Inquiry is removed, that the Post Office and Government will ensure that culture and governance is improved? In other words, when the spotlight of this Inquiry is turned off, is there not a danger that things will just revert to business as usual and this will remain a problem just too big, just too hard and just too expensive for government to solve?

A. No, I think that is absolutely the right question and when I talked about the nature of the job, as I see it at the Government level, being not just sorting out the routes to redress and the pace at which redress is received, but they can't be divorced from those wider

questions about the future of the Post Office, that is what I mean by that. I think there is a danger, there is a perception that we could simply, even if we do a good job on redress, maybe not link it to the wider future and questions of transformation, and that's an absolutely reasonable question.

So the commitment we have as a Government is to deal with these things together and hope there is some assurance of that, making sure that is held to because I know Government ministers change, they come and go and different people take over these departments. I think that is a job that will have to be held, not just by the Government but by the Parliament, I think the Select Committee in particular will play a role in ensuring that but I think we should all acknowledge that that is what success looks like here. It's not just about resolving issues of redress and justice. It's about the future of the Post Office, playing the role I believe it can and should play in national life, but in a way which recognises just how wrongs thing have gone in the past.

21 Q. Okay, well, this Inquiry has shown the prolonged and consistent history of Post Office with Government oversight treating postmasters as subservient and unworthy, making choices that benefit Post Office as a company and that are detrimental to postmasters, and

not valuing or listening to postmaster input. Would you agree that there may need to be a number of different cultural change initiatives run by or implemented by Post Office and Government, or your Department, in order to restore the confidence of a group of people that have been consistently failed?

A. Yes, I would agree with that statement entirely and I would say that there absolutely has to be a position where people delivering -- postmasters delivering services on the frontline for the organisation feel that the overall organisation itself is there to support them, rather than to have what's clearly been an adversarial relationship, one that has been lacking in trust, one that has been lacking in respect, to be frank, and that is at the heart of what we talked about with Mr Blake. You know, some of the potential governance changes have to be a part of that.

I think there's -- you know, the people I speak to, who have been affected by this fairly widespread recognition about the nature of a business model needing to change to provide greater remuneration to people, delivering services to postmasters themselves, and that will necessitate some quite considerable changes to the organisation centrally in order to do that. I think there's an open-mindedness to different governance

1 models as to the right way to do that, I don't think 2 anyone needs to be prescriptive at this stage about it. 3 But you should always have oversight of what's your objective in those changes, not governance changes for 5 the sake of it. It's about what would produce that much 6 stronger, healthier relationship going forward.

7 Q. Thank you. We have heard from other witness to this 8 Inquiry that it might not be practical for Government 9 alone to play a more active role in the oversight of the 10 Post Office due to the nature of the arm's-length 11 relationship but would you agree that it could only be 12 a good thing for there to be an increased oversight and 13 transparency and that this would be key in detecting and 14 resolving any potential issues in the Post Office going 15 forward?

16 A. So I'd say this issue of how arm's-length bodies and how 17 Government and UKGI functions is one that's got to be 18 central to the conversation and the answers that we're 19 having. Now I honestly cannot tell you, having been 20 a Secretary of State for no more than four months, 21 whether I am confident that the arrangements of the UK 22 state in this way will always work effectively or 23 whether this was just the wrong questions being asked of 24 the wrong people, you know, the wrong mechanism or 25 whether there's something more fundamental at stake in

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 November 2024

that.

I would say that I look at a whole range of things that I have seen from the perspective of being a Member of Parliament for over a decade and, obviously, this is a significant one but I think about Hillsborough, I think about Bloody Sunday, and I think about what we've recently seen around Grenfell, and I think there are some quite profound questions that we as a country have to ask ourselves, that go beyond individual mechanisms for oversight as to how we establish and run these kinds of organisations.

There are things that have been going, you know, fundamentally wrong with how power is wielded in the UK, how accountability is provided for. So I think, yes, there are some specific questions around the future governance structure and oversight, but I think there are some wider changes we've got to think about as a country, whether that is -- you know, there's been, I know, as part of this Inquiry some conversation about how we would address in future historic miscarriages of justice and abuses of power and whether there's a sort of different mechanism, standing mechanism we can have to do that.

You'll know the Government has committed to something called the Hillsborough Law, the duty of

He suggested that special measures are required, because of the failings that the organisation have gone through. Just for the transcript, that's pages 167 to 168 of that transcript.

Now, the NFSP has drafted a proposal for an oversight committee, which it has discussed with various key individuals within Post Office, Government and others. Again, no need to turn it up but, for the transcript, that is WITN00370110. I'm not sure if you've seen this proposal but it says that the aim is to expand on the existing shareholder relationship framework that currently exists between the Government and Post Office, whilst retaining its social purpose, to ensure a situation similar to the Horizon scandal is never able to occur again, whilst securing the future of the network. It says that lack of expertise, scrutiny and accountability were key elements of how this scandal continued for so many years. It is therefore the aim of this proposal to bring together a committee of people with specific Post Office knowledge, alongside those from a wider social perspective to ensure that the social purpose of the Post Office remains key.

Then at page 5 of the proposal, it outlines the proposed membership of the committee, and that includes postmaster representative bodies, such as the NFSP,

candour. I think all of these things are connected to each other and, whilst we've got to address the specific problems of this Inquiry, there are some wider lessons that we've got to consider because there have been too many cases in modern British history of fundamental abuses of power and that is just how it is. And we've got to recognise that -- we've got to have the humility to recognise lessons have to be learnt from all of these things going forward.

Q. Just to focus on the question of oversight, you might have heard the evidence of Sir Alex Chisholm on 7 November. In his evidence he proposed an independent oversight committee, with reporting obligations to the Post Office Board, the authority to write to the Secretary of State with concerns, and obligations to report periodically to Parliament. In support of that, he states that, whilst this would add an extra layer, the particular circumstances here, where the Post Office Board has failed in its oversight responsibilities which clearly lie with the Post Office Board and the management executive being a part of that, their internal legal teams over many years have failed to provide effective service, then that has caused a terrific breakdown of trust, not only with the subpostmasters but with the wider public.

unions such as the CWU and Unite, representatives from
the Government, consumer champions, and representative
bodies for groups who rely upon the social purpose of
the Post Office, such as older people and people from
economically deprived areas, as well as a temporary role
for individuals who could offer advice on specific
issues, pertinent to the agenda, among others.

So in light of this scandal, would you agree that it could only be a good thing for stakeholders to work together for the betterment of the Post Office in an open and transparent forum such as the one proposed here?

A. Look, I'll certainly look at that. It's clearly a thoughtful proposal responding to what we have seen. I would want to make sure that any governance change was, first of all, one that was going to be effective. I mean, on paper, the existing structure could have been effective but clearly wasn't. I also wouldn't want anything which is seen to sort of be outsourcing responsibility for fixing these problems to a committee of people or whatever. I think that might be perceived as the opposite of what we're trying to do in terms of facing up to and providing redress in relation to this and providing a future which is one where we've got confidence we've put these problems right.

I'd he coutious about that but leading Lean see

1	But the kind of thoughtful proposal you're putting	1	To be cautious about that but, again, i can see,
2	forward, I can see where that has come from and what	2	you know, the positive nature of that proposal and where
3	that would mean. So I promise we would absolutely	3	it's come from and it's obviously worthy of further
4	consider anything of this kind in this way it would also	4	discussion.
5	have to be consistent of course with any future	5	MS SHAH: Okay, thank you very much.
6	governance or business model changes but I appreciate	6	MR BLAKE: Thank you, sir. There are a small number of
7	the good faith nature of what you're putting forward and	7	questions from Ms Patrick. If I'm not going to get in
8	it's something we'll look at.	8	trouble with the stenographer, can I propose that we
9 Q .	So would you be willing to make a commitment, then, to	9	take those
0	discuss this proposal with NFSP and others to explore	10	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: No, I'm sorry. It's over an hour and
1	this option and give the public and postmasters	11	a half now and I think small numbers of questions seem
2	confidence in the future, because you'd agree it's	12	to become longer, not shorter, this morning. So I think
3	important to help and ensure that the Post Office meets	13	we need to take a break.
4	its social purpose as a Government-owned company, rather	14	MR BLAKE: Okay, sir. That's absolutely fine. After that
5	than acting as a company with only profit as its goal?	15	break, we'll need to take at least a 15-minute break
6 A .	I would be absolutely willing to meet with anyone to	16	before Ms Badenoch attends.
7	discuss the future of the organisation. I would as well	17	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine. So what time shall we resume?
8	that, whilst I can see the very good spirit and good	18	MR BLAKE: Perhaps if we take a short break now, say five
9	faith nature of that proposal, fundamentally that	19	minutes?
20	I think, if postmasters felt that was something that	20	SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, let's make it 11.45.
21	they still didn't have the power, they still didn't have	21	MR BLAKE: Thank you very much.
22	a structure that gave them authority, and they would	22	(11.37 am)
23	just be, you know the perception of that being	23	(A short break)
24	a talking shop for grievances or not getting to the	24	(11.45 am)
25	source of what had gone wrong here.	25	MR BLAKE: Thank you, sir. Ms Patrick.

14

15

16

Questioned by MS PATRICK	
--------------------------	--

But the kind of thoughtful proposal you're putting

MS PATRICK: Good morning, Minister.

3 A. Hi.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. Good morning my name is Angela Patrick. I act for a number of subpostmasters who were wrongfully convicted and have since had their convictions quashed. I'm instructed by Hudgells Solicitors. I only have two topics to cover with you.

The first is a question about mechanics, almost. In Phase 2, we heard evidence about handover from one administration to another, and back again, and one topic that came up was the issue of a new administration not being able to access the papers of the previous administration. I just want to ask you, Minister, has that practice changed?

16 A. Yeah, absolutely, really interesting question. So bear in mind, this is all still relatively new for the new ministers. That is my understanding, that we can't access papers and decisions from previous administrations. It comes up in a number of occasions, to be honest, particularly, actually, around the Department consideration of things like the trade talks, and so forth. We have to refresh all of that as a new Government. I would say specifically, in relation to the Post Office, I've never felt that there's, you know,

something I needed that I haven't had access to. Some 1 2 of the broad policy decisions, for instance, you're 3 saying the appeal scheme for HSS, had already sort of been -- they were in the public domain through the Advisory Board's recommendation, so it wasn't like there 5 was something I felt we didn't know where it had come from or where it had originated, or a piece of information, and I think as far -- or certainly from my 9 point of view, I would say had a fairly good 10 relationship with particularly Kevin Hollinrake, who had been the Postal Affairs Minister before the election 11 12 and, frankly, the ability to talk or raise issues 13 between us quite readily.

> So that is my understanding of our constitution but I don't feel or haven't felt that it's been a barrier for me in this case.

17 Q. In practical terms, just to think about what that might 18 mean, thinking about one or two of the topics that are 19 still very much live, the Strategic Review and NBIT, if 20 there had been, for example, some work done under the 21 previous administration, a report produced or piece of 22 research or a piece of thinking, that was set down on 23 paper and in a drawer somewhere in the Department, that 24 couldn't be fished out and given to you as a minister 25 but civil servants would have access to it; is that

11 November 2024

1		a reflection of the rule?	1		Chances?
2	A.	I believe what you're saying is right and I just want to	2	A.	I don't think I am aware of that, no, sorry.
3		say that I don't consider myself an expert on this	3	Q.	So there is an organisation called Lost Chances for
4		having just been through it recently through it fairly	4		Subpostmaster Children who represent the children of
5		recently. I also don't quite know the situation in	5		subpostmasters who have suffered as a result of the
6		relation to an arm's-length body that is sponsored by	6		scandal. Were you aware that they had met with Paul
7		the Department that would essentially be doing its own	7		Patterson of Fujitsu, on 9 August this year?
8		work and then referring that back to the Department for	8	A.	No, I'm not aware of that meeting, sorry.
9		oversight or for decision making. But again, I would	9	Q.	Since that date, Hudgells Solicitors have been chasing
10		say I don't feel that there's sort of anything that I am	10		for Lost Chances, chasing Fujitsu for follow-up on that
11		aware of that has happened or would be beneficial to	11		action. Have you had any conversation or contact with
12		know about that I haven't had access to, and when I have	12		Fujitsu since that date in early August to discuss
13		said in exchanges in Parliament, either in responses to	13		precisely that issue?
14		questions from the oral statement or in departmental	14	A.	No, I haven't had that but I'm pleased, first of all,
15		questions, some of the things I've shared with you this	15		that that conversation has happened, though I'm
16		morning about the direction of travel I want to see the	16		frustrated to hear that it obviously hasn't resulted in
17		Post Office in, I've felt there's broadly a degree of	17		the follow-up that people would have wanted from that.
18		consensus between the benches on that future direction,	18		And I'd be very happy to be, as a Government, as
19		though I haven't had a sort of specific conversation	19		a Department, a sort of party to those conversations, if
20		with the former government about what they were thinking	20		that is deemed to be helpful, going forward into the
21		in terms of the future of the Post Office.	21		future, and I think, similar to the exchange we had
22	Q.	Thank you, Minister. The second topic I want to talk	22		earlier about possible options for redress in future,
23		about is Mr Stein raised the issue of families and	23		this is obviously an element of those, though I wouldn't
24		compensation.	24		necessarily limit the conversations with Fujitsu just to

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 particular and appropriate interest. 2 MS PATRICK: Thank you. We have no further questions.

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Questioned by SIR WYN WILLIAMS

Now, are you aware of an organisation called Lost

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Mr Reynolds, before you finish, can I just ask you this: I have heard evidence from some witnesses which suggest that, in effect, the Post Office cannot be rescued. Those are my words, not their words, but that's what it amounts to at the extreme end, all right?

You have expressed guite firmly to me this morning, at the beginning of your evidence, that despite all the things that have happened, the Post Office should be preserved. So what I'd like, if it's possible for you to do, is to just give me the two, three, four, whatever they are, bullet points which lead, I take it, your Government and you personally to think that it's worth preserving the Post Office?

A. Sir Wyn, that's an incredibly thoughtful question. I would say, despite the scale of what went wrong here, and it was very, very large indeed -- I mean, people if they recognise me as a Cabinet Minister in the street, this will be one of the things that they bring up, you know, that's on their minds: wanting to know what the progress is, what the pace of redress is, and so forth. Despite that registering with the public in this way,

I do genuinely feel the public still want a Post Office. 1 2 They still want the service it provides. When I go 3 into, you know, the post offices in my local community, they're actually always pretty busy. I think, as I say, 5 the regret is that I don't think postmasters are earning appropriate remuneration from that level of business 7 taking place and, frankly, when it comes to new initiatives like Banking Hubs, I think the Post Office 9 has been -- and in every case actually -- has been the

that. But I can see why this would be an area of

I think there is, Sir Wyn, fundamentally, a need for something, whatever you call it, whatever the future is, that is the Post Office on the high street, providing the kind of services that it currently does, and potentially some new things in the future. I think the big public policy questions like the future of cash in our society, and the closure of high street banking in many communities, I think the Post Office is part of the answer to those other wider public policy concerns, and I do foresee, potentially, in future, services that aren't currently delivered by the Post Office, either Post Office being a potential vehicle for delivering them, in that way.

natural partner picked to run that in a local community.

But I think the future is definitely one that has, to be frank, a significantly smaller centre and, you

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

- know, is based much more around power authority and 1 2 governance being provided to postmasters on the 3 frontline, really a central organisation serving those 4 people. In a way, I don't think that's been the 5 relationship leading into this scandal in particular. 6
 - I would also say, to be frank, even when there are -- I've had circumstances in my constituency, Sir Wyn, where someone success providing postal services wishes to move on, retire, whatever, and you ask for -is there another business in the local community willing to provide these things? If that can't be found, there is still genuine regret amongst the public at the loss of those services. So I feel both the public demand and policy rationale is still there and that underpins these conversations and work that we're doing and what the future might look like.
- SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you very much, Mr Reynolds. I'm 17 18 very grateful to you for taking the time to come to give 19 evidence at the Inquiry. All I need do is to thank you 20 for doing that.
- 21 THE WITNESS: Thank you for the opportunity to be here, 22
- SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Right, Mr Blake. A 15-minute break, yes? 23 24 MR BLAKE: Well, we'll need 15 minutes in which everybody
- 25 clears the room, I'm afraid.

1 A. Yes, they are.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Thank you very much. If you turn on a tab to your 2
- 3 second witness statement, which is dated 7 October 2024,
- 4 that's 14 pages in length, excluding the appendix.
- 5 I think there's one correction to make, paragraph 2 on 6 page 1.
- 7 A. That's right.
- 8 Q. Second line. Should the date be 26 September 2024?
- A. Yes, that's correct. That's the correction required. 9
- 10 Q. Thank you very much. Is that your signature on page 14?
- A. Yes, that is another electronic signature but this is 11 12 mine.
- 13 Q. Is that witness statement, with that correction brought 14 into account, true to the best of your knowledge and belief? 15
- 16 A. Yes, it is.
- 17 Q. Thank you very much. By way of background, I think it's 18 right that, before coming to Parliament in 2017, you
- 19 worked firstly as a software engineer in the CGI group;
- 20 is that right?
- 21 A. For a company called Logica CMG, which then became the 22 CGI Group.
- 23 Q. Which is, I think, a Canadian IT consulting firm; is 24 that right?
- 25 A. Not when I was there but, yes, I believe that is what it

- 1 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Right, okay.
- 2 MR BLAKE: Thank you very much. Thank you.
- 3 (11.59 am)

4

10

(A short break)

- 5 (12.14 pm)
- 6 MR BEER: Good afternoon, sir. Can you see and hear us?
- 7 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you very much.
- 8 MR BEER: May I call Kemi Badenoch, please.
- 9 KEMI BADENOCH MP (sworn)

Questioned by MR BEER

- 11 MR BEER: Good afternoon, Ms Badenoch. My name is Jason
- 12 Beer and I ask questions on behalf of the Inquiry. Can
- 13 you give your full name, please?
- A. My full name is Kemi Badenoch. 14
- Q. Thank you. You've provided kindly two witness 15
- 16 statements to the Inquiry. The first of them is dated
- 17 26 September 2024. Could you please open it? I think
- 18 it's tab A2 in your bundle there. For the transcript,
- the URN is WITN11480100. I think it's nine pages in 19
- 20 length, excluding the appendix. Is that your signature
- 21 on page 9?
- 22 A. Yes, that is an electronic signature but, yes, I did
- 23 sign electronically.
- 24 Q. Thank you very much. Are the contents of that statement
- 25 true to the best of your knowledge and belief?

- 1 is now.
- Q. You then worked as a systems analyst I think at RBS --2
- 3 A. Yes.
- Q. -- is that right? The retail and commercial bank. Then
- 5 I think you moved to be an Associate Director at Coutts,
- 6 the private bank and wealth management firm; is that
- 7 riaht?
- 8 Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Then, finally, before Parliament, you worked as the 9
- 10 Digital Director at The Spectator magazine; is that
- 11 riaht?

14

- 12 A. Yes, that is correct.
- Q. Thank you. You became an MP, the member for Saffron 13
 - Waldon, as that constituency was then described, in
- 15 2017, and I think you remained on the backbenches until
- 16 July 2019; is that right?
- 17 A. Yes, that is correct.
- 18 Q. In July 2019 you took up the position of Parliamentary
- 19 Under-Secretary of State for Education?
- 20
- 21 Q. Then from February 2020, until 15 September 2021 you
- 22 were the Exchequer Secretary to the Treasury?
- 23 A. Yes, I was.
- 24 Q. That was when Mr Johnson was Prime Minister and Mr Sunak
- 25 was Chancellor.

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

1 A. Yes, that's right.

- 2 Q. From 16 September 2021 until 6 September 2022, I think
- 3 you were a Minister of State at the Department for
- 4 Levelling Up, Housing and Communities?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. You were the Secretary of State for International Trade
- 7 and, indeed, the President of the Board of Trade between
- 8 6 September 2022 and 7 February 2023?
- 9 A. Yes, I was.
- 10 Q. Then most relevantly for us, from 7 February 2023 until
- 11 5 July 2024, you were Secretary of State for Business
- 12 and Trade?
- 13 A. That is correct, yes.
- 14 **Q.** So it's that 17-month period, February '23 until July
- 15 '24 that we're most interested in, you understand?
- 16 A. Yes, thank you.
- 17 Q. Then I ought to record in the evidence that after 5 July
- 18 2024 you've been a member of His Majesty's Opposition,
- 19 more recently Leader of the Conservative Party and
- 20 therefore Leader of the Opposition?
- 21 A. That's right.
- 22 Q. Can I turn right back to the beginning, then, of the
- 23 relevant period, February 2023. I think you tell us in
- 24 your witness statement that you received an introductory
- briefing concerning the Post Office on 21 February 2023;
- 1 Scheme, all of the things you were told about in that
- 2 briefing of February 2023, they were essentially news to
- 3 you; is that right?
- 4 A. In the sense that the detail of what they were was
- 5 provided. I am sure that, as of that time, I would have
- 6 heard about schemes and references to them but, in terms
- 7 of the detail, that was news to me. I was fully
- 8 engrossed in my other ministerial roles. In fact, the
- 9 only thing that's missing from the --
- 10 Q. Minister for Equalities --
- 11 A. Yes, I was Minister for Equalities from 2019 right up
- 12 until July 2024, so I also had another ministerial job,
- so most of what I was doing was focused on my
- ministerial role and my work as a constituency MP.
- 15 Q. Understood. Can we look at the briefing, please. It
- will come up on the screen. BEIS0001061. You'll see
- 17 this is headed "Post Office introductory brief for the
- 18 Secretary of State". You'll see it's dated February
- 19 2022. I think that must be a typo because this was
- 20 February 2023.
- 21 A. It could have been a typo but it may also have been
- 22 a lightly updated version of the standard briefing which
- 23 they would provide to Secretaries of State.
- 24 **Q.** So still a typo because the date to have been updated as
- 25 well?

- 1 is that right?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So that was about two weeks into your time as Secretary
- 4 of State?
- 5 A. Yes.

8

- 6 Q. What was your state of understanding about the issues
- 7 concerning the Post Office and, in particular, the
 - Horizon scandal, before you took up your role as
- 9 Secretary of State in February 2023?
- 10 A. No more than a lay MP. So I was aware that there had
- 11 been an issue with the Horizon system and I was also
- 12 vaguely aware about the outcome of the court case, which
- 13 was that an injustice had occurred and a lot of
- 14 postmasters required redress. But the full detail of
- what had gone wrong with Horizon and what the
- 16 postmasters had endured I was not familiar with.
- 17 Q. So the previous ministerial and similar appointments you
- 18 had held hadn't brought you into contact with the Post
- 19 Office or the scandal in any substantial way?
- 20 A. Not that I recall, no.
- 21 Q. So the Common Issues Judgment, the Horizon Issues
- 22 Judgment, the settlement of the Group Litigation, the
- 23 overturning of convictions by the Court of Appeal in
- 24 April 2021, the Overturned Convictions Scheme, the
- 25 Horizon Shortfall Scheme, and the GLO Compensation
 - 8:
- 1 A. Yes, that's right, yes.
- 2 Q. Can we please look at page 2. If we just pan out
- 3 a little bit, please, an overview is given of the Post
- 4 Office. You'll see, for example, on the last bullet
- 5 point, it gives some dates or a date of January 2023.
- 6 So it is updated, perhaps even lightly. These kind of
 - facts and figures, these would have been new to you?
- 8 A. Yes, definitely.
- 9 Q. If we go over, please, to page 3. There's a diagram
- 10 setting out the Government's relationship with Post
- 11 Office Limited. It records in the rubric that the
- 12 Secretary of State became the sole shareholder in the
- 13 Post Office Limited in 2012, by virtue of the Postal
- 14 Services Act 2011.
- 15 A. Mm.

- 16 Q. Then you'll see the boxes set out, two boxes on the
- 17 left, two in the middle and then two on the right. On
- the left-hand side, two boxes, one within the other, one
- 19 referring to you, the Secretary of State, and one
- 20 referring to the Minister, Mr Hollinrake.
- 21 A. Mm.
- 22 Q. Did that position, as is set out in those boxes, reflect
- the state of affairs on 21 February 2023, ie the date of
- 24 the briefing?
- 25 A. Yes, because if I can describe the nature of the

- Machinery of Government Change, Kevin Hollinrake had 1
- 2 already been in the Department for Business before it
- 3 was joined up with Trade, so he was already carrying out
- 4 that work. So, effectively, the delegation existed even
- 5 before he had come to the Department, but what the main
- 6 change was that I was becoming the Secretary of State
- for Business as well as Trade, and I was taking on the 8
 - sole shareholder responsibilities.
- 9 Q. I see. So as at the date of this meeting, 21 February
- 10 2023, five functions were retained by you, the five
- 11 bullet points under "Sole Shareholder", namely Board
- 12 appointments, remuneration, Post Office's strategic
- 13 plan, the funding envelope and the size of the network?
- 14 A.
- Q. But delegated to Mr Hollinrake ministerial oversight and 15
- 16 the Parliamentary spokesman?
- 17 A. Yes, but I'm not sure that fully describes how it would
- 18 have worked. The five items which are listed as my
- 19 responsibilities, Kevin would have also been working on
- 20 them as well. So the delegation works in the sense that 21
- both ministers are aware of everything that's happening. 22 He tends to -- the junior minister looks at the detail,
- 23 and I serve as an escalation point, usually given
- 24 updates and decision-making capacity after he has
- 25 provided advice to me, based on what he thinks should
- bullet points in the first box and two bullet points in 1
- 2 the second box? 3
- A. I think it would be more accurately described as a way 4 of working. So a more comprehensive restating of what
- 5 sort of delegation I wanted to see. It was effectively
- 6 the same sort of relationship that you would have had in
- 7 any other ministerial Department, where the Junior
- 8 Ministers look after the detail. But this conversation,
- 9 if I recall correctly, it was just me restating my ways
- 10 of working to David Bickerton, and looking at just the
- 11 sheer scale of what needed to be done, ensuring that we
- 12 weren't dropping the ball on anything because, as of
- 13 this point, I was still carrying out all of my duties as
- 14 Trade Secretary.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- So I was effectively taking on the work of two departments and I was guite concerned about how much needed to be done on the Post Office and I wanted to make it clear that Kevin had authority and they shouldn't wait for everything to come to the Secretary of State. That's one of the things that does tend to happen, where, even when a junior minister has opined on things, people wait for the Secretary of State and that ends up slowing things down. So it was a restating of
- 24 how I saw of the delegation working. 25 Q. This paragraph here refers to the delegation of Post

- 1 happen. That was the main nature of the role,
- 2 delegation and responsibilities.
- 3 Q. In your witness statement, let's look at it, please,
- 4 it's the second witness statement, it will come up on
- 5 the screen. So WITN11480200, page 3, paragraph 10. You
- 6 sav:

14

15

- "Two days later (on 23 February [so after the
- 8 meeting we've just looked at] 2023) I had another 9 meeting with David Bickerton at which it was suggested
- that Post Office business should be delegated to the
- 10 11 Minister, Kevin Hollinrake. It was entirely normal as
- 12
- Secretary of State to delegate aspects of my large
- 13 portfolio to ministers ..."
 - Then you referenced a list of your ministerial responsibilities, which was indeed large:
- 16 "... it seemed entirely sensible to delegate Post
- 17 Office issues to Kevin Hollinrake, given his interest in 18 and grip of the issues and the fact that he was already
- 19
- doing this work as Minister within BEIS, prior to the
- 20 creation of DBT and my arrival as Secretary of State",
- 21 which you've just essentially said.
- 22
- 23 Q. Do we take it correctly from that that there was
- 24 essentially a further delegation beyond that which was
- 25 described in the two boxes, which overlapped with five
- Office business or the delegation of Post Office 1
- 2 issues --
- 3 A. Mm.
- 4 Q. -- to Kevin Hollinrake, in the second and about the
- 5 sixth line/seventh line. Was that delineated in any
- 6 way, ie which things you were formally delegating to
- 7 him?
- 8 I don't believe so because I was still the official
- 9 decision maker. So delegating from my perspective,
- 10 apart from the Parliamentary work carrying out oral
- 11 statements, and so on, was the day-to-day work on the
- 12 Post Office: meeting people, so the meetings, going to
- 13 the Board meetings, for example, talking to the staff
- 14 within the Department for Business and Trade. So the
- 15 day-to-day ministerial work of the Post Office was for
- 16 him to look after and then the decision points would
- 17 come to me.
- 18 Q. So you retained formal responsibility for decision
- 19 making, that wasn't delegated, but day-to-day business
- 20 was?
- 21 A. I would -- well, yes, that is correct. So I retained
- 22 formal responsibility for decision making, but I also
- 23 allowed him to make decisions and then let me know what 24 he had wanted to do, and I would decide whether I needed
- 25 to take a different option, which rarely happened. But
 - 88

(22) Pages 85 - 88

all -- I believe that all ministers should have the capacity to take decisions without constantly being micromanaged by the Secretary of State.

So when decisions would come to me, it would often because there might have been a difference between what Kevin wanted to do and official advice, and I would need to decide which way to go, or if he just wanted me to be aware of conversations that had occurred before he ended up making a particular decision.

- 10 Q. Thank you. Can we go back to the diagram, please, which 11 was BEIS0001061, and look back again at page 3, please. 12 Do you see, after the Hollinrake box, I'm going to call 13 it, there is a line up to Post Office Policy Team and a line down to UKGI Shareholder Representative? 14
- 15 A. Mm. Yes, I do.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

- 16 Q. Within the Post Office Policy Team box, the first bullet 17 point is setting overall policy direction for Post 18 Office. Did the DBT Post Office Policy Team have the 19 responsibility for setting the overall policy direction 20 for Post Office?
- 21 A. Well, it depends on what you mean by policy versus what 22 the officials mean when they've put that on the slide. 23 Can you elaborate exactly: when you say "policy", how do 24
- 25 Q. I can only go on the words on the page, Ms Badenoch,

about 30 other arm's-length management organisations which we're looking at.

However, the policy teams do have the time to carry out that bit of work. And, remember, policy teams also carry out the research which advises ministers on what the options are and then we decide which direction to take. So I suspect this bullet point is trying to explain to me what the Policy Team does, rather than trying to define to outside observers how the Post Office is being managed.

- 11 Q. In the answer before last that you gave, you said that the Policy Team was -- and I'm summarising here -- more responsible for taking the decisions made by the politicians and passing them on, or carrying them into effect.
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. You'll see that there isn't arrow coming out of the Post 18 Office Policy Team box. This isn't just me picking 19 apart a diagram, I'm trying to actual understand, on 20 behalf of the Inquiry, what role the Policy Team had in 21 setting the policy direction for the Post Office. If it 22 was responsible for carrying into effect the will of 23 ministers, how did it do that?
- 24 Well, this was one of the things which UKGI, for A. 25 example, was doing. As the shareholders --

which suggest that the Post Office Policy Team had 2 responsibility for setting the overall policy direction 3 for Post Office.

- 4 A. So I don't think that that is what they are referring to. Ministers decide -- ministers decide policy but the 5 6 Post Office policy was decided long before Kevin and 7 I had become ministers. I suspect what they were trying 8 to say there was ensuring that they passed on what we 9 were talking about in terms of policy direction on to 10 the Post Office. But, in terms of setting overall 11 policy direction, that would happen at ministerial 12
- 13 Q. So that's one issue, responsibility for setting, and you 14 say that that rested with the politicians rather than 15 the civil servants?
- 16 Well, yes. I mean, the funding comes from the 17 politicians. The nature of what the Post Office should 18 or shouldn't be doing comes from politicians. But this 19 is one of the things that's quite interesting about the 20 Post Office itself as an entity. It's one of those 21 organisations that's neither fish nor fowl. It's not 22 fully public service, it's also commercial, as the 23 solicitor shareholder, as ministers, we can't get 24 involved in commercial decisions. We don't often have 25 the detail and the expertise. This was just one of
- Q. Sorry to speak over you, I can understand that, but 1 2 that's not what this diagram shows, is it? Here, the 3 narrow goes down to UKGI, and it suggests under the first bullet point that its responsibility was to 5 challenge the Post Office's strategy, rather than 6 setting it.
- 7 Mm. So I think that this diagram needs to be looked at 8 in context. It is not a definitive diagram of exactly 9 how everything works. It is really a short briefing 10 document, so that we can see how all of the teams are 11 interacting and give a high-level overview of what it is 12 they do. This PowerPoint is not a bible for exactly how 13 things should work. We as ministers will have multiple 14 teachings, which teams are rushing through in half-hour, 15 one-hour meetings, how an organisation is put together 16 and how it all -- and how it all works.

But the real learning goes on over a longer period of time, not the half hour meeting. So I wouldn't read too much into this diagram as the definitive sort of explanation of how things worked. You know, even as I described the delegation, this is simply what they're showing me on day one, "This is officially what you do, here's our team, this what we do", and so on and so forth.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would not use this diagram as a full description 92

1	of how every single thing happened. It's a usef	ul
2	illustration.	

- Q. You said that in fact UKGI had responsibility for
 carrying into effect the politicians' decisions as to
 Post Office's strategy?
- A. No, that's not quite what I said. I said UKGI also
 carried out that sort of representation of what
 ministers wanted, as a shareholder's representative but
 they were not a Policy Team.
- Q. Who did have responsibility for carrying into effect
 minister's decision as to the policy direction of Post
 Office?
- 13 A. Well, it depends specifically on the issue. We have a team that has a director, there's a Director General 14 who covers the Post Office, as well as many other 15 16 departments' business areas, as well as arm's-length 17 bodies -- British Business Bank being a classic 18 example -- and there will be quite a few people within 19 the Policy Team who have an interaction with the Post 20 Office, sometimes at Board level, but it's split amongst 21 various individuals.
- Q. As between the Post Office Policy Team and UKGI, who had
 principal responsibility for carrying into effect
 ministers' policy directions for Post Office?
- 25 **A.** Well, again, it depends on what specifically it is we

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 are talking about. So --

- Q. I'm essentially asking, did the Government use, its
 representative on the Board as the primary means by
 which it sought to influence the policy direction for
 the Post Office?
- 6 A. Well, quite often, the policy teams would also speak to 7 the UKGI shareholder representative. So most of this 8 day-to-day interaction was carried out by Minister 9 Hollinrake, and I know that he was meeting our UKGI rep 10 Lorna, as well as our policy teams. So I saw them as 11 being more integrated than perhaps the diagram would 12 show, and I think that's a natural expectation for the 13 size of the organisation but, in terms of setting 14 policy, there were really just two things we were doing 15 one was trying to make sure that the Post Office did not 16 fall over, looking to the future, you know, the Board 17 representation, the future of the Post Office, and so

And beyond that, there was very little new policy being created. So when we talk about policy, we're really talking about the day-to-day management of policy that has been set over a longer period of time, and this was something that worked together really well broadly as a team with Kevin leading.

on, and managing the issues that had come out of

Q/I

- Q. Thank you. That can come down. Can I turn to my second
 topic, which is compensation and redress.
 - You tell us in your second witness statement, let's turn it up, it's page 11, paragraph 39, you say:

"Right from my first briefing, I was concerned with the pace at which the compensation was being delivered. Kevin Hollinrake also told me that we should be going faster, and he needed some help from his Secretary of State to accelerate things. We had briefings on the issue with officials, and it was quite clear to me that we were allowing bureaucracy to get in the way of redress too much of the time. Kevin and I wanted to get the money out there, and we were always given a reason why we couldn't. For example, officials suggested we wait until the end of the Inquiry so we knew precisely what to do. I was adamant that we could not wait that long and we had to get the money out. I was particularly concerned that postmasters would die waiting for compensation. I remember saying in one meeting that I don't want any of that happening on my watch, and that we wanted to get the redress out to

needed to do whatever we could to make it happen."

Can I ask, firstly, as Exchequer Secretary for

people before it is too late. I wanted to know what we

could do to get the payments out the door, and said we

- 1 18 months from February 2020 until September 2021, were 2 you aware of any blockers in the Treasury to the payment 3 of full and fair compensation?
- 4 A. No.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Horizon

- Q. Was that something that crossed your desk, the payment
 of compensation and the provision of redress arising
 from the Horizon scandal when you were Exchequer
 Secretary?
- 9 A. It may have done but I don't recall, I don't recall
 10 looking at that. I'm not sure that that sort of thing
 11 would have been the Exchequer Secretary's remit, so I'd
 12 be surprised if it did. The Exchequer Secretary didn't
 13 look at spending. It looked -- the Exchequer
 14 Secretary's role is around economic growth and where
- Secretary's role is around economic growth and where money is coming from, rather than where money needs to go to. So I would be surprised if that crossed my desk.
- 17 Q. So that would be more responsibility for the Chief18 Secretary to the Treasury?
- 19 A. Very likely, yes.
- 20 Q. Thank you. At this time, once you became Secretary of
 21 State, why were you concerned with "the pace at which
 22 the compensation was being delivered"?
- A. Well, because after the briefing, and maybe during the
 briefing as well, Kevin had told me how he had been
 looking at this issue from the time when he was

a backbench MP, and I was aware of the injustice, you know, just from reading newspapers rather than any Parliamentary briefing, and I had seen this sort of thing happen before, where justice delayed is effectively justice denied, and I am more interested in making sure that we get things done, rather than every single box is ticked.

I feel that there is often too much bureaucracy in the way of getting things done because people are worried about process, they are worried about, if things go wrong, them being on the hook for that, and so they carry out lots of checks and balances, well beyond what I think is required in order to deliver the right

- Q. You say something along those lines in this paragraph here, the sentence about five lines in:
 - "... we were allowing bureaucracy to get in the way of redress too much of the time."

Who was allowing bureaucracy to get in the way of redress too much of the time?

A. Well, the Government machine. So I think I remember
asking a question like, "Why can't we just give them the
money now, if we know this" — I can't remember
specifically what we were talking about, but I just like
to ask the simple questions, why can't we just do this?

I think we know from other evidence that the letter that issued was dated 9 August 2023.

3 A. Okay.

Q. The cross-heading is "Accelerating Post Office Horizon
 compensation" and, broadly, Ms Badenoch, was this letter
 in pursuance of your strategy to try and get
 compensation paid quickly?

A. Yes, it was and, bearing in mind that this is now five/six months after the initial briefing, this letter is an expression of my frustration that things are still not happening. And when I ask officials shortly before I send this out, "What do we need to do?", they tell me that I need to write to the Chancellor, there's no other way of getting what I want in terms of delivering the compensation swiftly.

So that's the reason why the letter is being written at this point.

Q. You say:

"Kevin Hollinrake and I are both determined that postmasters affected by the Post Office Horizon scandal should get proper compensation -- and that they should get it as rapidly as possible."

You then describe in the second paragraph the three sets of compensation schemes or arrangements that were then afoot. In the third paragraph, you say:

And then I'd be told, "Well, there could be judicial review and the Treasury has these value for money requirements and, if we don't meet them, then we might end up having to go to court". So I just kept trying to find a way through, how do we -- you know, I put myself in the shoes of the subpostmasters, that if I was this person or if I was a member of their family, what would I want?

And in my view, what they would want is for the matter to be dealt with as quickly as possible and to be able to move on with their lives and, when I would have conversations with officials, I would be told, "Well, there's still an inquiry going on and if you make a decision like this without going through all the checks and balances or without waiting for the Inquiry to conclude, then you might have a problem later".

But I thought that it was better to err on the side of ensuring the people got their compensation quickly, rather than making sure that we didn't get into any trouble for not doing it in the perfect way.

- Q. Thank you. I think in August 2023 you wrote a letter to
 the then Chancellor of the Exchequer, Jeremy Hunt MP?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Can we look at that, please. BEIS0000808. You will see
 it's not fully dated, it's dated "XX August 2023", but

1 "This scandal ruined many postmasters' lives. The
2 longer compensation goes unpaid, the more criticism we
3 shall face -- including from the Williams Inquiry. If
4 we were to fail to compensate all the GLO members in
5 time we would face severe criticism from all sides."

A. Mm.

Q. "Kevin and I have therefore been looking at ways in which we could radically speed up the processes. We have already made interim payments of £163k to almost all postmasters whose convictions are overturned, and we undertake only limited scrutiny of GLO claims for certain hearing loss under £10k and HSS ones under £8k. We are looking to extend these measures substantially in relation to the GLO, which has started to receive claims

"Some of the options we are considering would actually save money on the cost of lawyers or other advisers than they would cost in extra compensation.

Others do have additional costs -- but in my view these would be well worthwhile in light of the non-financial benefits of accelerating the schemes. In particular, I would like us to be able to offer a £100k fixed payment to every claimant who applies to the GLO scheme. I recognise that announcing this will create significant pressure to offer the same for HSS claimants, which we

should consider separately, but I believe that is the
right route forward for the GLO scheme. Such radical
action would offer great advantages in terms of the
speed of the process. The DBT Accounting Officer has
expressed some concerns about the value for money giver
the repercussive risk and raised the potential need for
a direction for this idea. I would welcome your views
on the best approach, being mindful of value for money
considerations, and whether you agree that we should
pursue this."

Then there's a passage I needn't read.

So it appears from this letter that, by this time, you and Mr Hollinrake were fully engaged into the need for urgent compensation to be paid to GLO members?

15 A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

- 16 Q. This is essentially a joint letter, is that right, from 17 you and Mr Hollinrake?
- Well, it's my letter but we both agreed that this was 18 19 the best way forward. He had come to me on one or two 20 occasions saying that he was having trouble getting 21 through to the Treasury and also separately getting 22 officials to agree. So the reference to the DBT Accounting Officer is our Permanent Secretary, and he 23 24 asked for my help, and I said I will go to the 25 Chancellor myself and ask for this money and try and cut

personal objective of making sure that we did right by the postmasters and we showed that we were delivering on the scheme as promised after the court case and that we were just being seen to do the right thing.

Being seen to do the right thing, in my view, is just as important as doing the right things. Making explanations and excuses for why things take so long shouldn't go on beyond a few weeks or months, and that was my attempt to short-circuit the process and create options. So this was not a solution that we had got advice from; it was something that Kevin himself had recommended and said, "Why don't we try this and see how far we get?"

- 14 Q. Presumably doing the right thing is actually more important than being seen to do the right thing?
- 16 A. Both of them are important. Doing the right thing when 17 no one knows that you're doing it often creates 18 different problems elsewhere. So as a politician, it is 19 not enough to be doing the right thing; it is important 20 to be seen to be doing the right thing. Perception 21 matters too, otherwise you lose trust.
- 22 Q. In paragraph 47 of your witness statement, you say: 23 "Kevin and I agreed that we should prioritise money 24 out of the door, even if this risked paying more than 25 was due."

1 through the bureaucracy.

- 2 Q. So this is an escalation from him to you, essentially --
- A. Yes, and this was my response to the escalation. 3
- 4 Q. -- and a similar escalation in the sense that you're 5 writing directly to the Chancellor of the Exchequer?
- 6 A. That's right.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 7 Q. Do you think you should have assumed this level of 8 engagement immediately after your appointment in February 2023, or shortly thereafter? 9
 - No, I would not have known enough to have carried out this level of escalation. This is coming after multiple attempts, which I can't recall the full detail of, of trying to get compensation out, move quickly. So this letter is happening after a period of frustration that things still aren't happening, and I also remember a conversation, where I was, you know, advised to wait until the Inquiry. This is the point I was referencing in the witness statement, and I am not one of life's natural bureaucrats. My view is that we just need to get things done because, if you don't, more problems will arise.

And what I was seeing, as I observed the way the Department and the Post Office were carrying on, was that we would just never get to the end of it, and I wanted to start seeing things happening. I had my own

Is that the approach that you were advocating: that 1 2 speed should triumph accuracy? 3 A. Yes.

Q. Can we look at the Chancellor's reply the same month, BEIS0000705. You'll see his reply. If we look at the second page and scroll down, you'll see it's a letter from Mr Hunt. Go back to the first page, please. It's dated August 2023. He says, in reply to you:

"Thank you for your letter of 9 August ..."

That's one of the pieces of evidence that we can establish that your letter was dated 9 August from:

"... regarding the acceleration of Post Office Horizon Compensation.

"I am wholly committed to ensuring the postmasters achieve the full and fair compensation that they rightly deserve."

Then moving on to paragraph 5, where he addresses the substance of your request:

"In relation to the specific proposal for fixed-sum awards on the GLO scheme, while successful delivery is paramount, we must also have regard to our responsibility for the public finances and to ensuring that the treatment of claimants on each Horizon compensation scheme is fair to that of their peers."

Then paragraph 6: 104

1		"As you note, making fixed-sum awards on the GLO
2		would incur significant repercussive risk and cost,
3		including to the [HSS]. Given the extent of this risk
4		and its high likelihood of crystallisation, I would
5		encourage you to explore the full breadth of other
6		options to advance the ultimate objective of timely and
7		successful delivery of full and fair compensation."
8		Then, over the page, he says he's grateful to you
9		and Mr Hollinrake.
10		So paragraph 6 was essentially a rejection of the
11		proposal by the Chancellor?
12	A.	Yes.
13	Q.	You tell us in your witness statement, it's at
14		paragraph 44, this is your second witness statement, if

16 that I read from you to the Chancellor, you say: 17 "Despite some initial resistance from the Treasury

we turn that up. It's page 13. Having cited the letter

19 Then you crossrefer to the letter we've just read, 20 Mr Hunt's reply.

21 A. Mm yes.

...'

15

18

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

22 "... I was prepared to issue a Ministerial Direction to 23 get this over the line."

24 You describe this as "initial resistance". Wasn't 25 it just a refusal?

105

Q. You'll see that this is the first email in the chain. 1 It's the originating email. Your Private Secretary says 2 3 that she had:

> "... a meeting this morning with [you], which touched on [amongst other things]: (1) Horizon -- in particular the ongoing work to speed up compensation for the GLO postmasters ... The Secretary of State was particularly concerned about the pace at which compensation schemes are being delivered and is keen to ensure we're doing all we can to speed things up.

"Conscious that Minister Hollinrake and [the Permanent Secretary] have very much been gripping this, so my sense is we need a couple of paragraphs to bring [the Secretary of State] up to speed on the following

If we just look to the first bullet point but the sub-bullet point:

"It is worth noting that [the Secretary of State] is supportive of the £100k flat offer to GLO postmasters and is content to provide a Ministerial Direction to see this get over the line. As such, it would be good if the update focuses on how we can deliver this proposal."

I think that's the crossreference you make in your witness statement to a willingness to provide a ministerial direction to get it over the line --

A. Well, the witness statement is written at a point when 2 we did get some money over the line, so that's what I'm 3 referring to.

4 Q. I see. So, in the context of the payment of 5 compensation as a whole --

6

7 Q. -- this rejection is to be seen as "initial resistance"?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Got it. You say you were prepared to issue 10 a ministerial direction to get it over the line.

11 Can we look, please, at the reference to 12 a ministerial direction. BEIS0000716. It's page 23. You'll see here an email of 21 August 2021, if we scroll 13 down, and a bit more, just to see the signature block. 14 15 I think Rose was one of your Private Secretaries --

16 A. That's right.

Q. -- or in fact the Private Secretary; is that right? If 17 18 you scroll up, please --

19 A. Do you have the reference in the pack for the hard copy 20 that I could look at?

21 Q. Yes, B28.

22 A. Thank you.

23 Q. It would be in the page in the bottom right-hand corner, 24

25 A. Thank you.

106

A. Yes. 2

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. -- is that right? Can you explain the circumstances in 3 which a ministerial direction may be given?

4 A. I think this is best seen in the context of the question 5 you asked me earlier, about speed versus accuracy. So 6 in Government we have to make sure we're delivering 7 value for money for the taxpayer and there are some 8 times when an amount that's being paid is not really 9 value for money, but there are other considerations, 10 which a minister might think make that worthwhile. In 11 this case, the ministerial direction, which I had

12 wanted, was to overrule the value for money, because 13 I was looking at a scenario where, if you're 14 a subpostmaster, would you rather have compensation that

15 might be £10,000 more or £10,000 less than what you 16 would have done, versus passing away and your family not

17 getting the money or you not getting the money?

> And, in my view, the worst risk was someone not getting money at all, rather than them not getting the exact amount of money right down to the penny.

That does not meet value for money considerations because the Government will be worried about overpaying and I felt that, given the context, given how long this had been taken, it was better that we overpay, if that's the worst-case scenario, but make sure people get their

8

9

10

11

12

13

- money quickly, than wait until we derive the perfect 1 2 amount and they are not there to receive the money at 3
- 4 Q. Would such a direction overrule or resolve all of the 5 objections from the Treasury?
- 6 A. So this is where ministerial directions can be funny. 7 So if the Treasury doesn't give you the money at all, 8 you can't direct anything. They need to give you the 9 money first and then you can decide how it is best used. 10 So, yes, it can overrule certain requirements which they 11 might place on things but, quite often, a ministerial 12 direction comes in long after money has been given. But 13 this was also not just me trying to solve the problem, 14 but showing willing to my officials, so that they didn't 15 feel that they had to bear the responsibility.

A ministerial direction is often a short way of saying, "Don't worry about it, it'll be my problem not yours".

19 Q. I was going to ask, was this exercising soft power, essentially, an unkind way of describing it would be sort of posturing, but in order to get a result?

16

17

18

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

22 It could be seen that way but I was very happy to make 23 the direction. So it wasn't just posturing but it was 24 signalling the direction which I wanted the Department 25 to take, and to make it very clear -- and I am happy

resistance, and I do tend to choose my words carefully. I did not see that as the end of the line. I saw it very much as the first -- this is -- I've been in the Treasury, I know how Treasury works. The Treasury often starts with "No", and eventually you haggle your way through.

I was not expecting the documentary in January, which helped speed things along but, knowing how the Department worked, I knew that that was an opening move, you'd get a response, and eventually, you would get something and then we could use a ministerial direction to try to move the process along for that specific proposal.

- 14 Q. You wanted to look at the letter again, BEIS0000705?
- 15 Yes. There might be something there which I can point 16 to, there might not, but I can't remember off the top of 17 my head.
- 18 Q. It'll just come up on the screen. If there is, it will 19 be in paragraphs 5 and 6?
- 20 A. Yes, the line, "I am receptive to measures which will 21 increase the likelihood of successful delivery", in my 22 view was not a flatout, "No" but maybe "Try something 23 else, we don't think this is value for money", and 24 that's where I could see room for manoeuvre. So the 25 Treasury was supportive of us of making sure that

111

that we did this because now I can talk about it at the 2 Inquiry -- to make it very clear that we need to change the way we do things in Government. There is far too 4 much going around and around in circles and avoiding 5 taking serious real decisions because everybody is 6 worried about getting into trouble later.

This is something which I tend not to worry about, maybe I should do, but I do trust my judgement, and I think that you look at the way this case has panned out that we should have just done it in August 2023. I am glad we got there in the end but I think the worries were -- that people were overly worried, that's the best way to put it.

- 14 Q. Why was no ministerial direction simply issued by you?
- 15 Because there was no money to haggle over what the right 16 value should be. So, in order to issue a ministerial 17 direction around value for money, you need to have the 18 money in the first place and, at this point, no money 19 had been outlined specifically for this proposal. At 20 least, that's what I recall.
- 21 Q. So threatening or raising the possibility of 22 a ministerial direction would be ineffective?
- 23 Δ. Well, I don't think so because, if you look at the 24 letter, which the Chancellor had written, if we can just 25 go back to it because you said refusal, and I said

compensation was paid in theory but, in practice, it was 1 2 very hard for them to move away from their usual 3 day-to-day behaviours, a lot of which, I think, was just them acting by rote.

5 I had seen this myself as a minister in the Treasury you get briefings and the briefing is often to say, "Well, nothing can be done unless the Treasury says so, 8 it's got to be value for money". It just happens in 9 a way that's almost robotic, rather than looking 10 specifically at individual circumstances and seeing 11 whether you can -- you know, whether you can be 12 flexible. Inflexibility, I think, is one of the 13 Treasury's flaws, and that would have been the advice 14 that I am certain was given to the Chancellor before he 15 wrote the letter which he wrote to me.

- 16 So were you disappointed or encouraged?
- 17 A. Neither. It's just how things work. I'd seen -- I saw 18 enough there to know that it wasn't over but there 19 wasn't very much that I could do with that letter, and 20 we would need to try something else, try a different 21 angle, make a different case, or something.
- 22 Q. You referenced earlier the documentary or the drama on 23 ITV. Was it essentially that which made the difference?
- 24 I think it suddenly turned it from a value for money A. 25 question to a public perception question, and this is

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the point, you know, that we were talking about: it's not enough to do the right thing; you also have to be seen to be doing the right thing. And many people had not known the arguments that were taking place behind the scenes in Whitehall. There was an assumption that nothing was being done, and it was the documentary that made things happen.

But actually work was being done, it was too slow, it wasn't happening quickly enough. No one could see what was taking place. So what the documentary did in my view was change the priority of this issue from something which was behind the NHS and security, and so on, you know, defence to, "We need to solve this now". So it brought the urgency, which I had wanted us to have right from the get-go, it brought the urgency to it and raised the prioritisation.

- 17 Q. It's a bit disappointing, isn't it, if you step back,
 18 that it takes three or four nights on ITV whilst we were
 19 all at home in the New Year --
- 20 A. It's extremely disappointing.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. -- watching a programme to give something sufficient
 attention that the Government thinks that perception has
 changed and therefore takes action?
- A. Well, I think that, if you look at it in the context of
 what is happening in Government, there are 1,000 things

do anything wrong". And that might work in a very simple system but Government is now too complex. The problems we're trying to resolve are far too complex and I don't think that works any more. We need to simplify quite a few things.

6 MR BEER: Sir, on the absence of common sense in Whitehall,
 7 might we take our lunch break?

8 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Certainly, Mr Beer, yes. When shall we 9 resume?

10 MR BEER: 2.00 pm, please.

11 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Right.

12 MR BEER: Thank you very much.

13 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

14 (1.09 pm)

(The Short Adjournment)

16 **(2.00 pm)**

15

21

22

23

24

25

17 MR BEER: Good afternoon, sir. Can you see and hear us?

18 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, thank you.

19 MR BEER: I'll just wait for the room to quieten down beforewe start the questions.

Thank you, everyone. Ms Badenoch can we turn to the issue of Henry Staunton, please. In your first witness statement, you deal with the dismissal of Henry Staunton as we had asked you to do. Just by way of background, is it right that he was appointed as the Chair of Post

that are being asked -- that money is being requested for. And I think, after a while, the decision makers or the analysts become very dispassionate. They're not looking at it the way a politician might be looking at it or the way a member of the public might be looking at it, which is what is the human story here, who is being impacted?

After a while, it just becomes another line in a ledger and, well, if we take money away from schools -- you know, if we give money to this, that's money that's going to go away from schools.

It is not irrational but it has to change: it is not helpful either. And I think that the way we go about doing things, making sure that every single box is ticked and there's value for money and following all of the rules and regulations which we've put in place, we actually make things more expensive in the long run, that we end up paying out more than we would do if we just brought in common sense.

There is an absence of common sense in a lot of Whitehall because people are afraid to trust themselves and trust their judgement, follow principles and do the right thing. People want legal cover. They want to do things in a way that they can show a court or, you know, a lawyer that, "Well, I followed the rules, and I didn't

Office back on 2 September 2022, and so pre-dated your appointment as Secretary of State by about five months?

3 A. Yes. I'm not exactly sure of his appointment date but
 4 it certainly pre-dated me.

5 Q. He wasn't, in any event, one of your appointments?

6 A. No, he was not.

Q. When you started your position as Secretary of State in
 February 2023 and were briefed, was anything said to you
 about Mr Staunton being problematic or an unsuitable
 person to be the Chair of the Post Office?

A. Not when I first started. There were issues around
 general -- there were general issues around the Board
 finding the right people, and so on, and that it was not
 a happy place, being at the Post Office. But nothing
 specific or personal, no.

16 Q. So nothing specific or personal about him?

17 A. No.

18 Q. Nothing of the order of magnitude that was suggested to19 you the following year in January 2024?

20 A. No, nothing at all.

Q. Okay. Can we go back to the briefing you received,
BEIS0001061. Can we go to page 9, please. This
briefing to you sets out the key stakeholders. The
first bullet point refers to Mr Staunton, Post Office
Chair, Henry Staunton, and it says that it's recommended

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 November 2024

1 that you meet him.

2 Did you meet Mr Staunton?

- 3 A. No. No, I did not.
- 4 Q. Why not?

6

17

18

19

20

- 5 A. I don't know. There was a recommendation that I met him
 - and I didn't say no to that, but nothing was ever
- 7 organised. It may be that something was and it fell
- 8 through, but I remember at this point I'm still trying
- 9 to carry out a lot of my Trade Secretary work, I'm
- 10 travelling a lot. So if people weren't sort of banging
- 11 on the door to meet, the meetings didn't necessarily
- 12 happen. And, remember, the Post Office is one of very
- 13 many arm's-length bodies. I didn't meet the people
- 14 running a lot of them unless there was often an issue.
- 15 I would get updated. They tended to meet with the
- 16 Department Director General or with the junior minister.

So a Secretary of State meeting was not necessarily prerequisite to doing the job. But those that wanted to meet me did meet me. There was never any requests from Mr Staunton, either.

21 Q. When you subsequently came to inform Mr Staunton on 22 27 January the following year, 2024, in the course of

23 a phone call that he was being dismissed from his

24 position as Chair of the Post Office, you made a number

25 of comments about the fact that the pair of you had

- him that he was being dismissed? 1
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. But we've got a verbatim note of that and I needn't go
- 4 back to that. Can you help us, why was the call
- recorded? 5
- 6 A. To help the notetaker carry out a good summary of 7 minutes.
- 8 Q. Is that usual?
- A. I don't know. I don't know; I don't take the notes. It 9 10 may be, it may not be.
- Q. Did you know that it was recorded? 11
- A. Not at the time. 12
- 13 Q. Okay, so this was something that was going on by --
- 14 A. This was something I discovered after Mr Staunton had
- made the false allegations to The Sunday Times, and when 15
- 16 I asked for the transcripts and the notes, I didn't --
- 17 you know -- explaining that I know I had not said the
- 18 things which he said, I was then made aware there was 19
 - a recording which would prove that as well.
- 20 Q. Do you know whether Mr Staunton was informed that it was 21 recorded at the time of the --
- 22 A. If I wasn't, I suspect he wasn't as well. The purpose
- 23 of the recording was to get minutes written accurately
- 24 and probably they would have been disposed of
- 25 immediately and maybe retrieved from deleted place,

- 1 never met --
- 2 A. Yes.

6

8

- 3 Q. -- over the course of that year, didn't you?
- 4 A. Yes, yes, I did.
- 5 Q. Can we look, please, at a transcript of a recording of
 - that call, BEIS0001059. You can see this is
- 7 a transcription of the phone call that you had with
 - Mr Staunton on 27 January 2024. Now, I think you didn't
- 9 have this transcript when you first made your first
- 10 witness statement?
- 11 A. No no I didn't.
- 12 Q. You said you very much wanted to have it and you'd asked
- 13 Departmental officials to track down a copy of the tape?
- 14
- 15 Q. I think you worked in your first witness statement from
- 16 a note -- a near verbatim note -- taken by
- 17 an official --
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. -- and then a summary to that note which was published
- 20
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Now, we've now got the tape and, therefore, this
- 23 transcript of it. You'll see that it starts up sort of
- 24 mid-sentence and doesn't include the introductions at
- 25 the beginning, nor the part where you actually informed
- 1 I don't know.
- 2 Q. Is it normal, then, for all your calls to be recorded?
- 3 A. I don't know because I've never had -- I've never
- needed -- never had this situation before, so it
- 5 probably isn't, but I suspect, on this occasion, it was
- just to make sure that the minutes were very accurate,
- given the severity and the media interest, that the
- minutes were very accurate. That's certainly what I was
- 9 made to understand at the time, that it wouldn't
- 10 necessarily be usual to have a recording.
- Q. Let's read through this. Mr Staunton, in mid-sentence, 11
- 12 savs:
- 13 "... change anything that requires the government
- 14 civil servant where we get whistleblowed and whatever,
- whatever. You can -- it is a massive, massive problem 15
- 16 here in terms of trying -- at least you've got training
- 17 going much better now, things are moving in certain
- 18 direction. But it's a handful and, I've got to say,
- 19 I've chaired some companies, a lot of companies, very
- 20 successfully but this one's -- this one's a bit of
- 21 a nightmare. But we could have got through it but it
- 22 needed UKGI to be onside and I didn't feel they were
- 23 onside for a moment. And I'm sure that UKGI was talking
- 24 to the journalist. That's what they did before, not
- 25 actually sorting out the business."

9

10

11

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The context of that is, by the time you're speaking,
the fact that you were to dismiss him had already been
leaked.

- A. Yes, which was extremely frustrating because I had 5 wanted to do it in a particular sequence and spare him 6 embarrassment where I could.
 - Q. You say:

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

"I know. I'm sorry to hear that but why didn't you get in touch with me?"

Him: "Because I had an inkling only last week with the Chairman and Chief Executive UKGI and was I was going to tell them all this. I know it's UKGI but I never met you, you see, and it's just -- but it's ...

"I've chaired so many companies you would not believe. I'm working on this business 60 hours a week, whereas I can take Swiss, despite -- I chose Swiss as an international company where the share price more than quadrupled and I could do that on 15 hours a week. This is four times the time. You just wouldn't know the half of it, Secretary of State."

You: "I do know, I do know. This is what, this is what the world is like today within the public sector. What I did find out of the ordinary was that of all the arm's-length bodies that I'm responsible for, the Post Office was the one where I never heard from you

directly. You know the CMA, UCES" --

2 A. That would have been "UKEF", UK Export Finance.

3 Q. "... the British Business Banks, the chairs of all these 4 organisations would reach out to my office fairly 5 frequently. And Kevin Hollinrake did manage the 6 relationship very well, but there didn't seem to be much interest in doing much more."

> Just stopping there, what did you mean by that, "Kevin Hollinrake did manage the relationship very well, but there didn't seem to be any interest in doing much more"?

- 12 A. In that Kevin did have meetings with him and he fed back 13 to me how that relationship was going, and so, in terms 14 of making sure that he was staying in touch with the 15 Post Office, that was going well. But what I was trying 16 to signal to Mr Staunton, and which I do believe now, is 17 that he didn't seem to want to meet me. And, you know, 18 one can speculate on the reasons from a hindsight 19 perspective but, at the time, I simply assumed that he 20 was perfectly happy having meetings with Kevin 21 Hollinrake. In hindsight, I think that he did not want 22 to meet me
- 23 Q. So "there didn't seem to be any interest in doing much 24 more", you're saying interest by you, Mr Staunton?
- 25 A. Yeah, interest in Mr Staunton doing much more with, you

1 know, in terms of relationships with ministers.

- 2 Q. So, by that, you mean "but you didn't seem to have any 3 interest in doing much more"?
- 4 Yes. That's what that --
- 5 Q. So that's directed towards Mr Staunton not 6 Mr Hollinrake?
- 7 A. No, no, no, no. I think Mr Hollinrake did an excellent 8 job.
- 9 Q. You continue:

"I've been given a very, very high level overview of the government's issue that has led to the advice given to me about the decisions which I have now taken, but I want to make sure that you have had a chance to make your views known as well. But, given where we are and what I've just said, what would you like to see happen

Him: "Right. So what we need to do is actually I think -- it's a very difficult one with regard to the CEO, who's very unstable and actual I said to Lorna ..."

That's Lorna Gratton, yes, UKGI?

- 21 A. Mm-hm.
- 22 Q. "... we need to have an adult conversation with him to 23 say, look, stay through the Inquiry and we'll treat you 24 as a good leaver -- nail him down for 12 months. Well, 25 of course she says, oh, well, in this government it's

123

very difficult to offer could leaver status. If we have 1 2 a crisis, it's not a big deal; he might get an extra 3 100,000 that he wouldn't get if he wasn't a good leaver but at least we nail him down for a year and the money 5 he would get for good leaver status actually seems to be more important to him than actually the sums involved. So I think we need to nail the Chief Exec down."

> That's the first things. The second thing he goes on to address:

"We must do something about -- we must make this organisation more postmaster centric. I said we need to have an oversight committee chaired jointly by the two Postmaster Directors, we need to introduce a third Postmaster Director, so the message will get out to the network that the Post Office is changing. And, trust me, at the moment it is terrible the view of the Post Office out in the network. So have a third postmaster.

"We're really then, Secretary of State, starting this journey towards demutualisation. We're not to say that, but that's what you need to do. The SID process, it's six for two. Never mind what Lorna thinks and the fact that we've gone outside. The fact is when the facts change, you change your decision. We need to have an internal policy -- if you met Darfoor you'd recognise this is a class act which ought to be asked so we can --

8

9

10

11

12

I can guite see why everyone's got there. And the fact that we started out on a public journey -- it was a different world two months ago. I mean, we were just -- you know, it's just been horrific the last four weeks. We need to get on the front foot and I think that postmaster centric does that for you."

Then moving on:

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

"There's a feeling within the business -- Richard Taylor said all the postmasters are on the take and they're all guilty as charged, we wrote to the Lord Chancellor stating that was our view, and that would be Peters & Peters. That's not my view and I don't think it's the view of the majority of the Board but I think that UKGI and people sending that letter with (unclear) it was very -- it was actually contrary to what the Government ministers were trying to do and in fact you'd be surprised [at] the sort of things your civil servants say about ministers.

"So it's a mess. But the fact is 'you are guilty as charged, postmasters are on the take' it's got to change and we need a massive cultural shift in this organisation to do that. And most of the directors are on side and the UKGI director is not. She couldn't -she couldn't run a bath, let alone run a company. We've got a big problem there. We need to take some very

there's a lot that needed to be done. That's what I'm alluding to there: it's not just about UKGI.

But what I wasn't trying to do was give an opening for him to pick apart particular individuals on the Board. I didn't think that that was a helpful road to go down. I did want to get his view about what had happened and I didn't want to have an argument with him. So I'm agreeing where we thought we could find some kind of agreement and then moving the conversation on to the things that I was trying to elicit from him.

Q. Can we move much further into the call and turn to page 9, please. This is you speaking at the top of the page and this is about whether he should turn up to the Board meeting that was going to happen on Monday or Tuesday of that week, and you were saying, "No, you should not".

You say: "I'm sorry but that would need to be the case given the conversation we've had and what I suspect the media after going to be doing."

20 A. Sorry, could you let me know where in the hard copy 21 I could find that?

- 22 Q. B19.
- **A.** B19? 23
- Q. Yes. 24
- A. Bear with me. Ah, thank you. 127

tough business-like decisions in terms of culture to 2 change it. And when we talk about culture, as Nick 3 said, Lorna thinks it would just be a crusade for female 4 diversity. It's not that. It goes to the heart of how 5 we operate Post Office, this cultural shift. It's 6 enormous what we need to do but I think it's possible.

"But I don't think it's -- you know, we need to have Andrew as the SID. The Chairman would put it through. I think you need to change the UKGI Directors and just get to the core. And it's a battle that's winnable but, by God, it's going to be a battle."

You say: "It certainly is ..."

13 What in that previous passage were you agreeing 14 with?

15 A. That it's going to be -- that sorting things out is 16 going to be a battle.

17 Q. Specifically, what did you think was going to be a battle? 18

19 A. I think that the conversation here was about the general 20 Board. So he says, "We need to change the UKGI 21 Directors and just get to the core", but he is giving 22 personal view about Lorna Gratton, and he thinks that the issue is between the Post Office and UKGI. I am, 23 24 you know, being amicable and saying "Yes, it is going to

25 be a battle". The Board, in my view, was the issue and

- Q. We're at the top of page 9. 1
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So we dealt at the bottom of page 8 with not turning up 4 at the next Board meeting and you say, "I'm sorry but 5 that will need to be the case".
- 6 A. I think I'm talking about his leaving and about not giving reasons. Am I looking at the wrong --7
- 8 Q. Yes, you are. Bottom of page 8.
- 9 A. Bottom of page 8. So I'm saying, "I'm sorry but that 10 will need to be the case".
- Q. If we just go back on the screen to the bottom of 11 12 page 8, please.
- 13 A. Sorry, yes, I've found it. It's page 9 on mine. I've 14 found it. Thank you.
- 15 Q. We can see that on this passage here --
- 16 A. Yes, that's right this is about --
- 17 Q. Immediately beforehand, you were trying to arrange some 18 contact details --
- 19 A. Yes, that's right --
- 20 Q. -- and you couldn't get anyone on the call that was 21 listening in to acknowledge that they were listening in, 22 so you had to write down his mobile phone --
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. - or his email address?
- 25 A. I think that when the -- the way that the Government 128

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 November 2024

		,,
2		muted and so they were unable to join the conversation.
3	Q.	So looking at the bottom of page 8, he says:
4		" therefore, I won't turn up to the Board meeting
5		on Monday or Tuesday."
6		You say: "Yes, that is correct."
7		He says: "Fine."
8		Then we go to the top of page 9, you say:
9		"I'm sorry but that will need to be the case"
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	Which is why I said the context of you saying that
12	A.	Thank you.
13	Q.	was not turning up. Anyway, you carry on, third
14		line:
15		" if it's any consolation at all, I doubt it will
16		be, but I'm very angry about the fact that this has been
17		leaked without us doing this properly."
18		He says: "Appalling. Appalling."
19		You say: "It is appalling and I have noted what you
20		have said about UKGI. I do think that they have been
21		part of the problem and that is the next step."
22		What problem were UKGI a part of?
23	A.	I think that the communication channels between myself
24		and UKGI should have been there should have been more
25		information passing direct to me, not just through 129

does these calls it was a switch call that they were

Kevin. And Kevin would, of course, give me a summary, but there were some times that we actually ended up coming to different views on things. So we had different views, for example, on Nick Read, the CEO, not problematically but we just had a different -- we just came to different conclusions.

And I felt that my own interactions with UKGI were very limited and I didn't actually meet Lorna herself until it was -- the issues with Henry Staunton had come to light and we were in the process of sacking him.

And also, I was giving him the benefit of the doubt with what he had said earlier on in the conversation about issues with UKGI. If you remember, the views I have about Henry Staunton now are different from where I was at the time of this conversation, where this is -- where I was thinking this is someone who's made too many mistakes, the position is untenable, but he was probably in his own way doing his best, to where I am now, where there's just been repeated uses of misinformation, and so on.

I took it in good faith that his complaints about UKGI were well meant and true from his perspective. Given everything that has happened since then, I don't think that his complaints about UKGI are well founded.

Q. So were you just saying I think UKGI are part of the

A. No not so much as a sop but, if a chair feels that way, then UKGI should have been aware and should have been made me aware as the sole shareholder, not just told Minister Hollinrake. There was no note, for example. Just because I wasn't meeting them on a day-to-day basis doesn't mean they couldn't have sent a note to me for me to review, and that didn't happen either. So these are the sort of processes which I would recommend to the new Secretary of State to ensure that UKGI are giving a full and frank view, rather than just the vanilla view of

problem as a sop to him?

what's going on.

There's too much vanilla in terms of reporting and, actually, it's very hard to know early when problems are occurring.

Q. What do you mean, "there is too much vanilla"?
A. That the way notes are written in the Civil Service is often too -- in a way that too much isn't said. For me -- and I'm speaking for myself now -- other ministers may not necessarily want this -- I prefer to just have the detail unvarnished, "We think this person a problem. We don't think they're doing a good job. We think they are dishonest", and so on. People tend not to like writing things down, maybe because of inquiries like this or an FOI request or who knows.

So, instead, you get what I call a vanilla version of "There are concerns", and that could mean anything. It could mean that there are minor concerns which you don't need to worry about or there are very significant concerns which we don't want to put on paper. And as a minister who is getting 100 pieces of information a day where you have to prioritise, you might not recognise that there's a big red flag because of how a note has been written.

This is standard across the Civil Service. It's just the way things are written, probably to not alarm people, to not create panic, and to keep the discretion there because it's very hard to keep all notes secret, even when they are marked "Official Sensitive". And maybe UKGI didn't have this view, I don't know, but I do find that the unvarnished truth is more helpful than the vanilla version.

18 Q. Can we take that down and go back to the process that
19 led to the dismissal of Mr Staunton, by looking at your
20 first witness statement, please. That will come up on
21 the screen for you. It's page 2, paragraph 10. If we
22 just go down, under the heading, "Concerns regarding
23 Mr Staunton's conduct", you say, "On 24 January", so
24 that's three days before this call we're speaking about.

25 A. Yes.

- Q. "... I received a written submission date 23 January
 prepared by UKGI and Departmental officials, in which
 I was advised of specific concerns in relation to
 Mr Staunton's conduct and his suitability for office.
 [They] were", then you list them 1 to 5. It goes over
 the page.
 - That fairly reflects the documents. I am going to read them out.
- 9 A. Okay.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 10 Q. "(1) That he had repeatedly attempt to shut down
 a whistleblowing investigation into his conduct.
 - "(2) That he had engaged in aggressive, intimidating and disrespectful behaviour to other Board members and members of the POL Executive Team.
 - "(3) That he showed poor understanding of the public sector aspect of POL's work and poor judgement.
 - "(4) That he had disregarded proper governance processes as [the] Chair, most recently by announcing the appointment of a new Senior Independent Director for [Post Office] without following formal consultation with the Board, following Board processes or sharing shareholder approval as required.
 - "(5) That he had failed to provide constructive support to [the Post Office's] CEO."
 - I think it follows that, so far as you were aware,

together with a box note, and the box note -- and you give us the reference there -- stated that the recommendation to remove Mr Staunton came from the Senior Independent Director and then Remuneration Committee Chair. The concerns included allegations of misogyny and racism against Mr Staunton and that officials agreed that his conduct and judgement made him unsuitable to continue as Chair.

Was it on that basis that you decided to dismiss him?

A. It was on the basis of everything that had come to light: a conversation with Kevin, with Kevin Hollinrake, but also, going back to the point that I made earlier about doing it the right thing and being seen to do the right thing, I think that we should always be clear about what we are doing and, if you are sacking someone, then giving them the opportunity to resign can mean that a different story is communicated to the public. And I wanted people to be clear about what was happening. I didn't want him to have a huge amount of embarrassment. I wanted us to have a controlled story about why we'd asked him to leave, which we lost because of the leak.

But the initial recommendation, which I was considering, about giving him the opportunity to resign,

- 1 none of the issues that were set out for you included
- 2 the speed at which compensation was to be paid by the
- 3 Government or the Post Office to subpostmasters arising
- 4 from the scandal, nor a dispute between Mr Staunton and
- 5 the Government and or Post Office about that speed.
- 6 A. No.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. In particular, the go-slow suggestion that he was
 subsequently to make did not feature in the list of five
 issues that were brought to your attention?
- 10 A. No. They had nothing to do with that submission. And,
 11 you know, from our earlier session, the letter that
 12 I wrote to Treasury showed that we were not -- that's
 13 certainly not what my Department was doing.
- 14 Q. "11. The submission recommended that I should decide
 15 that Mr Staunton could not continue in his role as Chair
 16 and that if [you] agreed [you] should offer him the
 17 opportunity to resign rather than excise [your] power
 18 under [the] Articles of Association to remove him."

You record, and this is backed up by the documents you refer to, that submission was referred, in paragraph 13, to your Private Office by email, with a covering text that said that the submission reflects the Permanent Secretary's view as well as that of Government Legal Department and UKGI lawyers.

The submission was placed in an overnight box,

1 I did not think was the right one, given the detail of

the allegations, the seriousness of them, and the sheer number of issues that we were having with him as Chair.

4 **Q.** Thank you. You refer in that series of documents to the

5 initial note, the submission that came up to you in the 6 box.

7 A. Mm.

14

15

16

8 Q. Can we look at that, please, BEIS0000868. This is the
9 submission that came up to you, as you've said, on the
10 24th; it's date the 23rd. It's addressed to Kevin
11 Hollinrake and you, addressed to you by Lorna Gratton,
12 and Carl Creswell from UKGI and the Department
13 respectively. Then in the first line, it says:

"We have previously expressed concerns to you about Henry Staunton's suitability to be Chair of the Post Office Board."

17 Firstly, is that accurate: that they, either Lorna
18 Gratton or Carl Creswell, or both, or their
19 Department's, had expressed previously concerns to you
20 about Henry Staunton?

A. Yes. It isn't just accurate, it's also illustrating the
 point I made earlier about the vanilla -- you know, the
 vanilla update. Concerns had been expressed but not
 detail. So it was statements like, "We don't think it's
 going to work out with the Post Office, we have some

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

concerns" and I would ask what's happening? "Well, 2 there's an investigation, we can't really talk about it. 3 We're not supposed to know, we don't want to prejudice 4 but we'll let -- you know, eventually we'll let you 5 know", by which time it's time to sack.

1

6

7

8

9

So it is true that they had previously expressed concerns but the strength of the concerns was not clear until the submission, which we just referred to in January.

- 10 Q. Can you recall anything in more detail about the 11 substance of the calls?
- 12 A. No, no. If what came out in the submission had been said previously, I would have taken much more of 13 an interest but the way that the information had been 14 15 conveyed was that there was a problem and the processes 16 were in place to handle them, and I didn't need to worry 17 about it Minister Hollinrake would look more but, at the 18 right escalation point, I would be informed. So, from 19 my perspective, the system was -- the system was 20 working. There was a problem, it wasn't yet Secretary 21 of State level issue, they were trying to resolve it, 22 and because we had had other issues -- there was the 23 problem with the Inquiry metric and the bonuses which 24 had been paid -- it felt at that point like one of those 25 things, where they just weren't getting a handle of the
- 1 people are innocent until proven guilty. I think if 2 we'd acted much faster than that, that would have been 3 against that principle.
- 4 Q. Were you aware before you accepted the advice to dismiss 5 Mr Staunton, that he was a strong advocate and supporter 6 of the Subpostmaster Non-Executive Directors?
- 7 A. No, I was not aware but I am not sure that is 8 necessarily true given what I remember people saying when I did visit the Post Office. There were a lot of 9 10 subpostmasters who did not like Mr Staunton, so that's 11 his word, and, you know, there's no reason for us not to 12 believe him, but the evidence of that I didn't think was 13 much.
- 14 Q. I'm referring specifically to being a strong supporter 15 of the Subpostmaster NEDs on the Board?
- 16 A. Again, that's actually what I did mean, both the 17 subpostmasters and the ones on the Board. He always 18 said that, he certainly said that in his call to me but 19 of course that's a call we're having after he's just 20 been relieved of his position.
- 21 Q. Thank you. That can come down.

22 You, I think, were party to the appointment of Nigel 23 Railton as POL's Chair, at least Interim Chair; is that 24 right?

25 A. Yes. 1 iob.

2 Q. Do you think the Department should have acted sooner in 3 dealing with and/or removing Mr Staunton?

4 A. I don't know. I think it's hard to carry out 5 a significant action like that in terms of removing 6 someone when the processes haven't been followed, 7 because of the unfair dismissal, you know, Employment 8 Tribunal type repercussions, which could come from not 9 doing things properly. So when it comes to acting 10 quickly, I always start from the perspective of who is 11 impacted. So with the acting quickly in terms of

12 getting postmasters compensation, yes, because we want 13 to make sure that they're treated properly and fairly.

14 But acting quickly, in terms of sacking a chair, creates 15 the opposite issue, where someone may not necessarily be 16 treated properly and fairly because we're trying to move 17 in haste, so it's always about what is the impact on the 18 person; what is the outcome we're trying to achieve?

> I would rather make some mistakes and get compensation out to postmasters quickly but I would rather take some time and act slowly in order to make sure that we don't sack someone unfairly or give them a right of appeal or right to a fair hearing where a process demands that.

And I also believe very much in the concept that

- Q. Can we look, please, at BEIS0001070. This is a letter 1 from you to him in May 2024, in which, in the opening 2 3 paragraph, you extend your congratulations to him on his 4 appointment as Interim Chair of the Post Office and welcome him in your role as the sole shareholder. Yes? 5
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. I just want to look at the strategic priorities. You'll 8 see that's the heading of the letter. "Strategic Priorities for 2024/25". 9

10 Can you help us, what's the purpose and status of 11 a letter like this?

- 12 A. So it is to formally let someone know what you're 13 expecting them to do in the job.
- 14 Q. Is it what you're expecting them to do in the job or 15 what you're expecting Post Office to do as 16 an organisation?
- 17 A. Well, specifically in this case it was what I wanted him 18 to look at as Chair of Post Office. I can't remember 19 whether this had been done for the Post Office. It 20 wouldn't be an annual -- it's written in an annual way 21 now but it wouldn't necessarily be an annual -- a set of 22 priorities. We'd normally do those sorts of things over 23 several years. So if strategic priorities had been done 24 the previous Secretary of State would have done them.

25 We tend to have policy statements for regulators and

140

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

1		arm's-length bodies about what we expect them to do, but	1		Office's] historic failures and setting the business up
2		2024/25 was very much a focus on conversations which I'd	2		for future success."
3		had with him about urgently turning things around for	3		Then over the page:
4		the Post Office.	4		"2. Supporting the cultural transformation of the
5	Q.	I should have said that this is a draft, hence the date	5		Company and focusing on improving on [Post Office's]
6		and the passage in grey. You tell us in your second	6		capacity, capability and resilience at all levels."
7		witness statement no need to turn it up in	7		Then:
8		paragraph 36 that this is a draft version but that you	8		"3. Enabling the future success of [the Post
9		understand that the final version cannot be located by	9		Office], including effective financial management and
10		the Department, although you believe it would have been	10		performance to ensure medium-term viability."
11		in very similar terms?	11		Then particulars are give in bullet points under
12	A.	Mm. Yes.	12		each of those three strategic objectives.
13	Q.	If we scroll down, please. You say:	13	A.	Yes.
14		" I would like you to focus on the following	14	Q.	One of the points that you mention under 3, and it's the
15		priorities for the"	15		fourth sub-bullet point under 3, is:
16	A.	Sorry, can you tell me where in the hard copy I can see	16		"Maintain stringent cost control and a focus on
17		this?	17		value for money and efficient, delivery across the cost
18	Q.	Yes, BB25.	18		base including on the New Branch IT (NBIT) and IT
19		BB25. Thank you.	19		projects, legal costs, acceleration of the network
20		So the main paragraph from the bottom, highlighted:	20		strategy, of the approach to directly-managed branches
21		"In this capacity, I would like you to focus on the	21		and measures aimed at reducing central costs."
22		following priorities for the Financial Year 2024/25"	22		Now, I don't think NBIT, the New Branch IT
23		Then there are three priorities set out in bold,	23		Programme, is mentioned in your witness statements. Can
24		number 1:	24		you recall when you first became aware of NBIT?
25		"Intensifying existing workstreams to address [Post 141	25	A.	No, I can't recall when I first became aware of NBIT. 142
1		It might have been in that initial briefing or in	1		experience. But I also would not expect to be getting
2		subsequent meetings about the Post Office. But the most	2		into the detail of the IT projects of the Post Office.
3		substantive conversation I had about NBIT was when	3		I would have been expecting a high level overview of
4		I visited the Aldwych branch of the Post Office, and	4		what they were trying to achieve and how much money they
5		spoke to them about how that project was going and what	5		needed.
6		they needed from me.	6	Q.	Government ministers said the same to us when they gave
7	Q.	Were you consulted or provided with updates regarding	7		evidence to the Inquiry about the development and
8		the development of NBIT?	8		rollout of Horizon: "I wouldn't expect to be getting
9	A.	No, not directly. I imagine Kevin was but I was not.	9		involved in that kind of thing".
10	Q.	Did you have any oversight of the Post Office's approach	10	A.	Mm.
11		to and the rolling out of NBIT?	11	Q.	Does it follow that you have not been made aware of any
12	A.	No, and I wouldn't expect to. That's the sort of thing	12		issues with the development of NBIT?
13		which I think the Post Office has to do itself. Having	13	A.	Well, the project is overrunning and it's requiring
14		political direction is very different from the sort of	14		a lot more than was budgeted for it. That, in my view,
15		an arctional day to day decisions which would have been	4.5		is an issue. But in terms of how it is working no

operational day-to-day decisions which would have been

property, because I'd had that meeting and I was quite

concerned, just from my own background in technology,

Office's engagements regarding NBIT were limited to

might not have been Minister Hollinrake's experience or

previous Secretary of State's experience but that was my

143

taken but this is -- this was put in as a strategic

about the direction the project was going.

20 Q. Does it follow that, so far as you're concerned, Post

A. Yes, certainly -- well, that was my experience. It

essentially funding requests?

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

and I would not expect to see that. I did have some conversations about how to extend the new Horizon 17 18 system, which Fujitsu had been supporting, because that 19 would have -- we needed something in place. That ending 20 would cause a serious problem for postmasters. So 21 managing the day-to-day contract, and ensuring that that continued until a replacement was ready was very

is an issue. But, in terms of how it is working, no,

22

23 important to me because, otherwise, everything would 24 fall over.

15

16

25 Q. Thank you. That can come down, that document.

Just lastly, then, from me, you tell us in your a cultural significance that should not be lost. 1 2 witness statement -- I'll read it out without turning it 2 How we maintain that going forwards, given how much 3 3 it costs, is very difficult. The sort of transformation up: 4 "If it [the Post Office] was a private organisation 4 that you might have in a commercial organisation just it would have disappeared in its current form long ago. 5 5 doesn't work in Government. The sort of decisions which 6 We are keeping it alive. The result is that it is in 6 you would take which are around profit and profit for 7 a permanent state of stress. We will need to make sure the shareholder just don't come into -- they don't come 8 that we're recruiting with that in mind." 8 into play. And that, I think, is what I was alluding to 9 Would you agree that it's imperative not merely to 9 in my witness statement when I say it's just in 10 keep Post Office alive but to transform it? 10 a permanent state of stress. 11 Yes, yes, I would. 11 Lam -- well. I was the sole shareholder. The Α. 12 Q. What, in your view, is essential, in terms of what needs 12 Business Secretary is the solicitor shareholder but even 13 13 to be done in order to change or to transform the Post they aren't the ones who provide the money. It's 14 a totally different department. So it just doesn't make 14 A. Well, this is a very difficult question. Because the 15 sense the way a commercially structured organisation in 15 16 fundamentals which underpin the Post Office are very 16 the private sector would be run. And any sort of 17 17 difficult to manage in the age that we live in. When -transformation, in my view, needs to start from the 18 pre-Internet, the Post Office would have been 18 objectives which we have in mind for what the Post 19 a commercial entity that any organisation would bite its 19 Office needs to deliver, how it works within that 20 hand off to run. You know, the universal service it 20 universal service obligation, which goes with Royal 21 21 effectively provided just meant that it had a very easy Mail, making sure that everybody has access to post, 22 stream of cash. Now, that is not the case. But we 22 given that there's, you know, just a lot of competition. 23 believe, certainly when the Conservatives were in 23 That's quite difficult to do within the restricted 24 Government, we did believe that this is an entity that 24 funding environment which is expected for every single 25 public sector organisation. It is not the customer's 25 has not just operational significance but also money; it's taxpayers' money as well. We subsidise this 1 1 us more than once today: 2 organisation. How do we do so in a way that can keep it 2 3 going, that can refresh it for the 21st century? It is 3 Civil Service, which is ... baked in." 4 a labour of love. That's one of the reasons why I had 5 to make a direct appointment for Nigel Railton, rather 5 bottom there, it says: 6 than an open one. 6 7 7 People are simply not interested now in going to 8 work for the Post Office at that level because of the 8 Can you see that?

intense levels of public scrutiny, because of the difficulties in making decisions which you would be able to do very easily in a private sector organisation. You need people who are prepared to do a difficult job, as if they were public servants, even though that's not quite the case, and I think that's a huge challenge in terms of transformation for the Post Office. I don't know how we do it. It requires its own piece of work. I know that there have been reviews. I don't think anyone has come up with the correct answers yet.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q. Thank you. Then in terms of Government's role in this, I just want -- the very last thing -- to ask you about a sentence or two in your witness statement. If we look

at it, please, I think it's your second witness statement. It's page 12, paragraph 41. At the top of paragraph 41, you're dealing here with decision making

24 within Government and you say something that you said to "There is a cautious, risk-averse culture within the

But then, do you see about three lines from the

"If we want our Government to make decisions faster, we need to reduce some of the public law burden ..."

9 A. Yes. I do.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10 Q. "... and if we choose not to do so, we must accept this 11 has consequences for speed and efficiency of Government. 12 Every time we create more public law to hold [the] 13 Government to account, it is slower to deliver for 14 people."

> I just want to make sure we've understood what you mean by those sentences. Is it your evidence that the Government's accountability to the courts is that which prevents it from acting with speed?

It does slow things down. If, as a minister, you make a decision and every decision is open to challenge on the basis of judicial review, it is quite rational the civil servants will do every single thing they can to make sure that you don't end up getting taken to court. All of those things which they do end up slowing down the process. It is just part and parcel of, you know,

of creating more accountability, that you add extra processes. Those will take time. Those will need to be followed.

It is a trade-off that is baked in; it is a part of creating accountability. The thing is you can't just have someone making a decision and saying, "Do this" and the thing happens instantly. It means that things never happen instantly because you have to create an audit trail, you have to go through the checks and balances, you have to have more meetings, you need to consult, consultations can take months. All of those things slow down the pace of delivery. And it's not about criticising accountability: it is about understanding where the opportunity costs are and making sure that we can see where it is that perhaps these things might need to change in order to stop the sort of injustice which we saw take place around Horizon or, in fact, with delays to paying compensation.

And it's also the same thing, even within the case of Henry Staunton, you know, you asked the question why didn't we remove him earlier? If we had done that, then we could have created more problems in the future by not providing the evidence and the audit trail. It's just part and parcel of accountability but the more Government does, the bigger Government gets, the more it

look at how we can reform public law. The law is not above criticism. We should be able to say that, actually, this isn't working well, what can we do to improve it? Rather than what is happening now where people say, "Oh, it's the rule of law, we can't too much. Let's not do anything", and then there's more and more injustice.

We shouldn't be afraid to challenge ourselves. We shouldn't be afraid to challenge the system, we shouldn't be afraid to challenge Government and we shouldn't be afraid to challenge the law, if we think the law is not delivering for the people.

13 MR BEER: Ms Badenoch, those are my questions. Thank you14 very much for answering some of them.

15 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MR BEER: Can I hand over to Core Participants and I'd ask
 them to be mindful, please, of the time limits we're
 operating under, thank you.

Questioned by MR STEIN

MR STEIN: Ms Badenoch, with the (unclear) of time in mind,
 can I take you to a document, please. BEIS0001061. It
 will come up on your screen in a moment.

23 A. Okay. Is there a hard copy reference for that?

Q. There'd probably is but I'm going to look to Mr Beer to
 provide the hard copy reference, as I don't have it. It

has to do these things, the more requirements there are on the Treasury, the more likely it is that the Treasury is going to be saying no or creating value for money arguments that mean that it's salami slicing everything, and everybody is just getting a little bit of what it needs rather than a big chunk to deliver and perhaps provide transformation.

Q. Can I summarise that: it's your view that the rule of
 law stands in the way of delivery of services by the
 Government to people?

A. No, that's not what I'm saying. This not about the rule of law; this is about the burden of regulation. The Burton of regulation is not the rule of law. You can have the rule of law without an excessive burden of regulation. But if you keep adding more and more rules, that will slow things down. It doesn't mean that you don't want the rule of law but the rule of law has a purpose and that is to create a fair system which everybody is treated equally and where everyone can receive justice. If you keep creating more regulations and people aren't getting justice then something has gone wrong.

And we should be able to look at that without assuming that this is a criticism of the entire system of the rule of law. It means that we should be able to

was a document you were shown earlier on in your
evidence, which if we go to the first of the two-page 5s
within the document --

4 MR BEER: BB3

5 A. BB3, thank you.

6 MR STEIN: Thank you. Do you have the document --

A. I do.

Q. The page I'm looking at is Post Office strategy. There
 are two page 5s within this document, which I think you
 commented on with Mr Beer, that it's probably a document
 that's been reworked for your purposes.

A. Yes.

13 Q. If we look there under "Post Office Strategy",14 Ms Badenoch, it then says this, final bullet point:

"Recent ministers have been more cautious about going public on this work than their predecessors. As a result we are taking this strategy/policy work forward only internally within HMG and together with POL. We will then advise ministers of findings and ministers, (including with HMT ministers) will then decide whether they want to make any changes to the current policy framework and if so, when to proceed with the necessary public consultation."

Just help us unpack that. Recent ministers. Now, since let me see, 2019, which is when the High Court 152

litigation, what we call the GLO litigation, took place,

Mr Railton, when he decides that, well, something ought

No, that wouldn't have been the case. Nothing had been

to be done about this, really and maybe we ought to

decided but, if you recall looking at the strategy --

strategic priorities that I set out for Nigel Railton,

155

nudge Government's arm?

20

21

22

23 A. 24

25

of the Post Office; and the future transformation, the

-		g,,g,, ,,		
2		and essentially the Post Office was found in two seminal	2	NBIT IT programme and also thinking about how and where
3		judgments to have failed subpostmasters, all right?	3	this might interact with Royal Mail, which of course we
4	A.	Mm.	4	also had some responsibilities for in terms of
5	Q.	So since that time, we had Ms Andrea Leadsom in 2019 to	5	delivering its universal service obligation.
6		2020; and then from 2020 to 2021 Lord Alok Sharma; after	6	So the issue here around revolving ministers,
7		that, in 2021 to 2022, we had Mr Kwarteng; and then in	7	I think, can often be overstated. Primarily because
8		mid-2022, so 2022 to the middle of 2022, we had	8	people often don't understand the difference between
9		Mr Rees-Mogg; and then your immediate predecessor was	9	setting political policy direction and company policy
10		Mr Shapps.	10	direction, and it was one of the questions which counsel
11		So we had a revolving door of ministers, really,	11	asked right at the beginning, in terms of who is
12		going through, I'm afraid, the role that you then took	12	carrying out the policy work.
13		up as Secretary of State. So the ministers that we seem	13	There is policy, in terms of internal company policy
14		to be being referred to here in the final bullet point,	14	which officials might have some view on, but general
15		"Recent ministers have been more cautious about going	15	overview that comes from ministers is often political in
16		public on this work than their predecessors", the title	16	nature. So I'm not surprised, for example, that it says
17		of the work being Post Office strategy. When you came	17	that recent ministers had been cautious about going
18		into post, did you find that any work had taken place	18	public because there's a lot of deliberation which takes
19		regarding Post Office strategy?	19	place, which in a commercial company, just wouldn't
20	A.	I believe that there had been some work being done.	20	happen, but because it's a public company, people are
21	Q.	What was it?	21	more interested in, but it's not necessarily helpful
22	A.	I mean, I don't have the documents in front of me. But	22	when you have not come to a final conclusion or
23		I remember us looking at what the Post Office was doing	23	a decision.
24		in three buckets: dealing with compensation claims under	24	Listening to ministers and officials and the Post
25		the fallout of Horizon; managing the day-to-day running 153	25	Office Executives deliberate is not helpful until you 154
1		actually have a clear sense of direction of where the	1	I also asked him to have a look again because I did not
2		Post Office wants to go, and the strategy work, which	2	think that we were getting anywhere with the previous
3		had come back, was often very, very speculative in	3	strategy discussions. And I seem to remember that some
4		nature: demutualisation, do we even need a Post Office?	4	consultants had been brought in I can't remember
5		Having those sorts of conversations in public is very	5	whether that was Grant Thornton and what their remit
6		alarming for people who are hearing the conversation	6	had been, but this was something that had been worked on
7		happen before you've actually settled on a direction.	7	but never concluding, and I wanted something that was
8		So that's not surprising to me at all.	8	specific with the proper outcome, which was viable to
9	Q.	The judgments, which were the starting point for this	9	deliver because, at the end of the day, we would have to
10		Inquiry, on which this Inquiry is based, were in 2019.	10	go to the Treasury rather than the public for the money.
11		Now, we finally hear this year from Mr Railton who comes	11	But this is, in my view, not as a result of changing
12		to this Inquiry to give evidence, and he says, "Well,	12	ministers. This is actually more as a result of other
13		there's been a submission up to Government this year",	13	things that are happening in the background: spending
14		after your time in office	14	review settlements, changes in Government more
15	A.	Mm.	15	generally, it wouldn't have mattered if the same person
16	Q.	setting out a proposal for the forward looking	16	had stayed in if you had a Prime Minister who's dealing
17		strategy to govern the Post Office into the future. It	17	with the fallout of perhaps Covid, when these
18		doesn't seem as though, frankly, anything has been done,	18	conversations starts its before a pandemic, priorities
19		Ms Badenoch, from 2019 until after your appointment of	19	change. So you have to have all of those things in

20

21

22

23

24

25

organisation.

mind. We as ministers aren't just dealing with one

about to be 30 or 40 different bodies and that's

Even I, as Secretary of State, was dealing with

something that would have an impact in terms of the

priorities of the Post Office, and this also alludes to

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- the final point which I was making: that the nature of 1 2 this organisation sitting in the public sector throws up 3 all sorts of issues which you just wouldn't have in the 4 private sector. And that's what -- you know, the 5 questions you're asking are in that vein, they're 6 showing up what some of these tensions are.
 - Q. In fact, the strategic direction that you asked Mr Railton to take in the document that you'll find within your pack at BB25, I note from Mr Beer's direction to that earlier, that says at bullet point three, the first bullet point at 3, and I'll read out what it says, that says to Mr Railton:

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Oversee a strategic review of Post Office and provide ministers with your conclusions."

So by the time we've gone from 2019 to your time as Secretary of State, to your time as Secretary of State to May 2024, we get within your letter to Mr Railton, who you've appointed, your general request of him that he is to oversee a strategic review of POL, the Post Office, and "provide ministers with your conclusions".

Now, hang on, that's 2019-24, okay, let's be generous, just under five years where you're having to go to the new chair that you've appointed and say, "Look, honestly, give this some thought", and that's a bit more than just problems with a revolving door.

essentially, we know that Fujitsu have committed to providing something by way of a contribution towards compensation. Lastly, with Mr Patterson, he answered my questions, which was about restorative justice, making sure that the wider range of people that have been affecting by this scandal, in other words family members, he said in the positive -- he answered my questions positively and said that that's something that ought to be looked into, and seemed to be approving of the suggestion.

So we know that Fujitsu are saying, essentially, "We'll put hand in pocket"; did they ever come to you and say, "Secretary of State, we really mean that, what can we do to, you know, to help and provide money?" Did they have a meeting with you or arrange a meeting with

A. Well, we did have meetings, I can't remember the names of the executives who I did meet but that included the global CEO or global Chairman, but it was -- they had expressed that sentiment but it was I who was asking for specifics, dates, times. I recall a meeting where I asked if they could do something early to show goodwill, being mindful that, if they didn't and not knowing when the Inquiry would end, that there could be a change of Government, the revolving door of ministers, That seems to be that nothing has happened since 2019.

A. I disagree. Just because there is not a strategy that's being implemented doesn't mean that no work on strategy is taking place. But clearly there are unsatisfactory conclusions and also, which is part of the reason why we're having this Inquiry, the organisation itself is bogged down in dealing with historic and current issues. It is very hard to create a strategy for the future when the Board itself and the organisation is dysfunctional. It doesn't make sense to demand a strategy when the entire financial world in which we live in has changed.

So whatever strategy was worked on would not have been something which would have been viable for the future, which is why I, as Secretary of State, ask the Chair, "Can you start again and look at what we can do, given what we know now".

17 Q. Five years after, or just under five years after, the 18 GLO litigation was concluded.

> Let's move on to Fujitsu. Now, we know that the European Director of Fujitsu, Mr Patterson, gave evidence before the Select Committee. I can't really ask questions about that, they're subject to Parliamentary privilege. He came along to this Inquiry to give evidence and he expressed his concerns about Fujitsu's operation within the scandal. Secondly,

1 you know, moves again, and conversations could start 2 from scratch. 3 But, in terms of them proactively offering, which

4 I think is what you're asking, then the answer is no. 5 Q. You see the commitment they've made, and we know from 6 Mr Patterson -- I anticipate his evidence will be that 7 essentially they're prepared to do something after the 8 close of this Inquiry: they await the report of this 9

10 **A**. That's certainly the impression that I was given.

Q. Did they provide any reasons as to why they need to wait 11 12 until the close of this Inquiry?

13 A. Well, this is now recall, which may not be 100 per cent 14 accurate, but I think it was about making sure that it 15 was done properly. They seemed reluctant to start off 16 with making payments before the Inquiry was concluded, 17 not dissimilar to the reasons that officials made or 18 advised when we asking for some of the compensation 19 payments, about just wanting to make sure they do it 20 properly and do it at the right time, and that, they 21 felt, was when the Inquiry had given them an appropriate 22 figure of something or that they could judge what 23 an appropriate figure would be.

24 Q. There seems to be a certain irony about Fujitsu's 25 operation because they continued to operate the Horizon 160

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

system and they continued to operate other contracts of 2 Government, and they continued to take the Government 3 pound, and yet they don't appear to want to contribute within the lifetime of this Inquiry to compensation or 5 indeed restorative justice in real terms, ie financial 6 terms?

1

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

A. Well, certainly that was the conversation that I had. I look at what the Government is doing with Fujitsu in other areas completely differently because they are different arms of Fujitsu and not everybody can deliver on what they are doing, and simply yanking away contracts would not necessarily be what's right for the taxpayer or the consumer at the end. So I think we need to look at those things quite separately. What is the right thing to do here and does provide need to provide compensation? I believe that's absolutely right. Should they have done so in some form earlier? I would have liked to have seen that but that does not preclude them doing so in the future.

I imagine that they definitely will. I know that they've had an impact on their share price, for example, just from that documentary being aired at the beginning of this year. But I think we should also look at that very separately from Fujitsu's other operations, many of which have nothing to do with the branch that caused the

Q. We asked some very specific questions about one 1 2 organisation. Are you aware of the organisation Lost 3 Chances for Subpostmaster Children? They're 4 an organisation focused on securing financial support 5 from Fujitsu to address the lost opportunities and 6 trauma they experienced as children due to the impact of 7 the scandal on their families?

8 A. No, I'm not aware. I know that there are many organisations but not specifically this one. 9

10 Q. Okay. Well, Katie Downey, Rebekah Foot and Katie Burrows from Lost Chances are sitting with me here today. You've given evidence, just now and before lunch, about your own personal objective to ensure you did right by the subpostmasters, and being seen to be right and to be doing the right thing.

> Now, Mr Stein has asked some questions about the contact you might have had with Fujitsu. Did those conversations with Fujitsu at any time cover the trauma and the lost opportunities experienced by the children of subpostmasters?

21 A. No, I did not specifically speak about the children of 22 the subpostmasters. I simply talked about compensation 23 for postmasters and their families.

Q. While in post as Secretary of State, had there been, as 24 25 far as you're aware, any discussion within Government

Horizon issue and, of course, Fujitsu is still providing

2 the software which the postmasters are using, and which

3 they depend on.

4 Q. Lastly, you've only just started in your most recent

5 post as Leader of the Opposition. From that

6 perspective, do you have the opportunity to reach out to

7 Fujitsu and put your weight and the Conservative Party's

8 weight with behind engagement with Fujitsu, to encourage

9 them to perhaps do something perhaps sooner rather than

10

16

22

23

24

7

11 A. Not in the way that a Secretary of State would. It has 12 to be the Secretary of State who does that. This is 13 a Government issue, not a Parliament issue.

14 MR STEIN: Thank you, Ms Badenoch.

15 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

Questioned by MS PATRICK

MS PATRICK: Good afternoon, Ms Badenoch. My name is Angela 17 18 Patrick and I act for a number of subpostmasters who 19 were wrongly convicted and have since had their 20 convictions quashed and you'll be glad to hear I only 21 want to cover two topics with you.

The first is one you've covered with Mr Stein about compensation and families. Did you hear the questions we asked the Secretary of State this morning?

25 A. No, no, I did not.

162

about the question of redress or restorative justice for 1 2 the families of subpostmasters?

3 A. The conversations were always about financial

4 compensation for subpostmasters and their families.

Q. And their families? 5

6 A. I believe so because, specifically in the context

I remember having these discussions, where

8 a subpostmaster had passed away, and what could be done

9 for the families.

10 Q. When you were in Government, can you recall if there was 11 any discussion at all about creating any specific route 12 or scheme to ensure redress specifically for the lost

13 chances and trauma experienced by children of

14 subpostmasters?

15 There was a conversation I recall us having about these 16 requests being made but I wouldn't be able to give you

17 anything more specific than that, I'm afraid.

18 Q. Thank you. Would you accept, looking back and knowing

19 the experiences that you had, and your objective as

20 Secretary of State, if the concern was really to do the

21 right thing and to be seen to do the right thing, can

22 the whole question of compensation, redress, restorative

23 justice, really truly be answered if those lost chances

24 are overlooked entirely?

25 **A**. Well, I don't think that they should be overlooked.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I think they certainly should be considered because compensation isn't just about financial -- it's not just about financial loss. Reputational loss also took place, as well as other things. But what I was not able to do was look into specific cases of postmasters or their families. It was about the principle of what we were trying to do, which was to make sure that we were being seen to be fair, being fair, and ensuring that justice was delivered.

How justice -- what justice looks like and how it is delivered is not always the case for ministers. It's something that we may have a view on, but this is what I believe the purpose of the Inquiry is, so that everyone can see and hear and a fair conclusion is made.

- Q. Okay, and moving on, the second thing I wanted to ask you about was to return very briefly to something you said this morning, and you have had covered decision making in Government on value for money, and your correspondence with the Treasury, and the rule of law, most latterly, with Mr Beer.
- 21 A. Mm.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 22 Q. I just want to ask you about something you said, when 23 you were asked this morning about what caused delay in 24 compensation, you referred to the Government machine. Very briefly, what is the Government machine?
- 25

Government machine and the system is broken, and I think you well find that I am actually in a minority of opinion when I say that. And I think you'll find that the Government does not necessarily -- we have a new Government -- does not necessarily believe so. That is an argument that I've been making for a long time but, as you can see, given that I'm Leader of the Opposition, not Prime Minister and not Secretary of State, that is not an argument that I am winning.

I think this is something that we need to examine in and of itself. The way that we can have some reforms to improve the system need looking at, and I hope that that's one of the outcomes from this Inquiry.

- 14 Q. I can just pause you there. We're here, in the whole of 15 this Inquiry, dealing with what's been described as one 16 of the biggest miscarriages of justice in modern legal 17 history. From your last responses to Mr Beer, I suspect 18 you'll accept whatever change is made to that machine, 19 the machine must respect the rule of law?
- 20 A. Absolutely. The machine must respect the rule of law 21 but we must also make sure that the laws are good. If 22 you have bad laws then the rule of law will not work out 23 the way people want it to. We need to make sure the 24 laws themselves are good and that is to the job of 25 legislators.

A. It is all of those processes, procedures, decisions. consultations, reviews, submissions, all of those things which need to be done to evidence decisions and all of the people who are involved in that. It's not any specific individual. There is, in my view, nobody who wanted to slow down compensation. That was not the objective of anyone. There, of course, were people who quite rightly wanted to make sure that there was value for money, that is something that is part of, you know, the principles which we, as ministers and Government officials need to make sure, we can't just do what we like because it is not our money, the money belongs to people.

But as more and more checks and balances are created, more and more accountability, more and more rules and regulations, these things do have an impact and those things do tend to slow down processes where you just want to get people money out the door as quickly as possible.

- 20 Q. Now, Mr Stein has also given you a rundown of the 21 individual ministers who came before you. If it is 22 believed the Government machine is broken or if it isn't 23 working very well, whose responsibility is it to take 24 the steps needed to fix it?
- 25 A. Well, there needs to be an acknowledgement that the
- MS PATRICK: Thank you, Ms Badenoch, nothing else from me. 1 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 3 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Where are we now, Mr Blake?
- 4 MR BEER: In fact it is me, sir. Just Mr Henry to go.
- 5 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: What about an afternoon break?
- 6 MR BEER: Could I just urge upon you to just let Mr Henry 7 ask his questions and then take a break, so we can then 8 switch the witnesses.
- 9 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right but I'm becoming very concerned 10 about the time left this afternoon, Mr Beer.
 - Over to you, Mr Henry.

Questioned by MR HENRY

13 MR HENRY: Thank you, very much, sir.

> I just want to go to a little bit of your [draft] evidence where you stated:

"I think the communication channels between myself and UKGI should have been [and then you paused] there should have been more information passing direct to me, not just through Kevin, and Kevin would, of course, give me a summary, but there were some times that we actually ended up coming to different views on things. So we had different views, for example, on Nick Read the CEO ..."

Then it reads "but problematically, but we just" --

- 24 "Not problematically". That's "not".
- 25 Q. Exactly, I was going to -- "not problematically". What 168

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

23

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1	were the different views that you held to Mr Hollinrake
2	on Mr Read?

- 3 A. It was, in terms of whether Nick Read was doing the best 4 that he could as CEO and I felt of the issue was less
- 5 Nick Read but more about the difficulties of running
- 6
- that sort of organisation in the first place and, of 7 course, yes, mistakes had been made but I did not think
- 8 that Nick Read was a bad CEO. He may not have been the
- 9 right CEO, given what we know now. I don't think that
- 10 when he was appointed, that that was certainly an issue,
- 11 but I saw someone who was trying to do his best. Kevin
- 12 had a different view but we're all entitled to our
- 13 different opinions.
- Q. He had a different view but, of course, you were very 14 15 clear in the course of your evidence -- and there's no
- 16 criticism at all because you had a huge portfolio --
- 17 that you delegated considerable responsibility to him,
- 18 although you were the ultimate decision maker?
- 19 A. Yes, that's right.
- 20 Q. So therefore he had a direct and far more firsthand 21 grasp of the issues, would you agree, than you?
- 22 A. Not necessarily, because he gave me his full and frank 23 view, but I also was able to observe CEOs across a whole
- 24 number of arm's-length bodies, which Kevin was not. So
- 25 I was also able to make a comparison, and I was also
- THE WITNESS: Thank you. 1
- 2 MR BEER: Sir, that is it.
- 3 Questioned by SIR WYN WILLIAMS
- 4 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Right, there's one question I want to ask 5 you, Ms Badenoch, and it arises from a sentence in your
- 6 second witness statement, and it's at paragraph 38.
- 7 A. Okav.

10

11

12

- 8 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: I don't think we need to have it on 9 screen because I'm just going to read the one sentence:
 - "The Post Office, on the other hand, is caught in this awkward halfway house where it is given only enough to exist in a state of permanent starvation."
- 13 All right? Those are, if I may say so, very
- 14 powerful words.
- 15
- 16 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: If it is to continue to exist in a state
- 17 of permanent starvation, can it exist at all?
- 18 A. Yes, it can exist in that state. I don't think that
- 19 that is what is best for the Post Office but, in order
- 20 for this to change, I think what we ask the Post Office
- 21 to do and how we ask it to do it will need to change.
- 22 It may be the case that, in order to save money in the 23 future, a lot more needs to be given right now. But it
- 24 is also the case, as I saw when I looked at NBIT, I did
- 25 not think that what the Post Office was doing with that

- making a comparison to CEOs who I'd seen in the private 2 sector. But also, Kevin was looking at this very much 3 from the perspective of what was going on at the Post
- 4 Office at that particular time. 5
 - As a Secretary of State attending Cabinet and looking at what other Cabinet ministers were doing, talking about and dealing with, I was taking a much broader view, and it is very often the case that people will look at the same facts and come to very different conclusions. People often witness the same events and give completely different witness statements.
 - So I do not think that it is the case that just because I had delegated to Kevin, that his view must have been the correct one and mine not.
- 15 But you definitely differed on this?
- 16 A. On that point, yes. Not -- and, as I said, not 17 problematically because, in terms of conclusions, I did 18 not think that we were going to change very much in 19 terms of how we needed to manage the organisation. My 20 view was what Nick needed was a Chair who was a little 21 bit more hands on, and that was why we made the direct 22 appointment of Nigel Railton, so that he could provide
- 24 MR HENRY: Right. Well, there's no need to I go any further 25 than we have done, so I shall pause there.

1 IT programme was value for money.

support during Nick Read's tenure.

So there are things going wrong on both sides. I am not sure that the way that the Post Office commissioned and carried out the delivery of that IT programme was done properly, which would justify the Treasury believing that it shouldn't just be given more money, if it is not spending it judiciously.

However, I do know that the way that Government works in terms of providing money, those one-year spending review settlements, where we decide what we're giving to education and what we're giving to the NHS making a decision about what the Post Office gets, when you're deciding how much we're going to fund health, the Post Office will always lose that battle. If you are deciding what's going to happen to children, what's going to happen to sick people, oh, and here's the Post Office, the Post Office will always lose. We need to find a different way of making those decisions.

- 19 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Right. So can I take it that you agree 20 with that which Mr Reynolds told me this morning: that, 21 despite all its problems, it's still worth saving the 22 Post Office?
- 23 A. Yes, I absolutely do agree with that. The challenge is 24 where we find the money --
- 25 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, sure.

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 November 2024

4		and making our the Coursement has the manay to do as	4		to hoov from Mr Deltonor
1	Α.	and making sure the Government has the money to do so	1	CIE	to hear from Mr Patterson.
2		is absolutely key. It's a different question entirely	2	SIF	R WYN WILLIAMS: Yes.
3		but should we have a Post Office? Yes, I think it	3		WILLIAM PAUL PATTERSON (sworn)
4		a cultural institution that's absolutely essential for	4		Questioned by MR BLAKE
5	OI.	us to keep, and I support it wholeheartedly.	5	IVIF	R BLAKE: Thank you very much. Can you give your full
6	SIF	R WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you very much.	6		name, please?
7		Well, thank you, Ms Badenoch, for giving evidence to	7	Α.	
8		me well, I think it's all been this afternoon, albeit	8	Q.	Mr Patterson, you have previously appeared at this
9		it started before lunch. So thank you for taking the	9		Inquiry. You have produced five witness statements, the
10		time to come to the Inquiry and thank you for making two	10		second and third witness statement you have already
11		witness statements.	11		confirmed the truth of their content but I'll just like
12		E WITNESS: Thank you, Sir Wyn.	12		to address all of them at once, please. The first
13	SIF	R WYN WILLIAMS: Right. So we'll now have our break, and	13		witness statement, it doesn't need to be brought up on
14		can I tell everyone that my cut-off point this afternoon	14		screen, WITN06650100, dated 28 September 2014, related
15		has to be 5.00, so I urge counsel to agree between them	15		to Phase 2; the second witness statement, FUJ00126035,
16		how we manage that time.	16		dated 29 December 2022, related to Phase 3; the third
17	IVIK	R BEER: Thank you, sir. Mr Blake will have heard that.	17		witness statement, WITN06650300, dated 14 September
18		3.35, please.	18		2023, relates to Phase 4; the fourth witness statement,
19		R WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, fine.	19		WITN06650400, dated 8 August 2024, relates to Phases 5
20	(3.2	23 pm)	20		and 6; and finally, the fifth witness statement,
21		(A short break)	21		WITN06650500, dated 23 September 2024, relates to
22	•	35 pm)	22		Phase 7.
23		R BLAKE: Good afternoon, sir. Can you see and hear me?	23		Can you confirm that you have copies of those in
24		R WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I than, thank you.	24		front of you and, in respect of those which you haven't
25	MK	R BLAKE: Thank you very much. This afternoon we're going 173	25		already addressed, so that's the first, the fourth and 174
1		the fifth statements, that you can assure signature on	1		very much. Could we please look at page 6 of that
1 2		the fifth statements, that you can see your signature on those statements?	2		statement. It's paragraph 14 I'd like to ask you about.
3	۸	Yes, I can, Mr Blake.	3		You say at 14:
4		Can you confirm that all of those statements are true to	4		" Fujitsu accepts that there have been failures
5	Q.	the best of your knowledge and belief?	5		to meet the standards expected."
6	۸	Yes, they are.	6		Can you assist us with what specifically those
7		Thank you very much. All of those statements, or the	7		failures are, so far as Fujitsu is concerned?
8	Œ.	ones that haven't yet been published, will be published	8	Λ	So I think I cover a number of the topics inside the
9		on the Inquiry's website.	9	Λ.	statement but, as I reflect on my previous evidence as
10		As I've said, you have previously given evidence to	10		well, the prosecutory support clauses in the contract
11		this Inquiry, and you have been called back today	11		meant that we put too much focus on the clauses in the
12		principally address two issues. The first is the	12		contract, rather than on the impacts on the
13		current state of Horizon and the use of Horizon in	13		subpostmasters. I think there was a second topic for
14		respect of actions against subpostmasters, and the	14		me, which is about the support of our employees when
15		second is the issue of redress or compensation. As we	15		they flagged up concerns, and my third issue was around
16		established last time, you are the Director of Fujitsu	16		the whole industrialisation of witness statements, which
17		Services Limited is that correct	17		I thought was and we think is completely inappropriate.
18	A.	Yes.	18	Q.	
19		and the Executive Vice President and Chief Executive	19	٠.	substantial work to be done. Is it your view that the
20	.	Officer of the Europe region?	20		failings insofar as Fujitsu are concerned were
21	A.	Yes.	21		substantial?
22	Q.	You joined Fujitsu, I think, in 2010; is that correct?	22	A.	Well, the three examples I've just given you, I think
23	A.	Yes.	23	,	they are substantial and they resulted, and they
	-		20		and the same and they received, and they

24

25

24 Q. Thank you very much. If we could then bring on screen

25

your fifth statement, that's WITN06650500. Thank you

contributed to, a miscarriage of justice on an enormous

scale. So, yes, I think they were substantive.

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

25

11 November 2024

- Q. I won't be going into the detailed evidence, we won't be 1 2 looking at the who, what, where, when, how, but it's 3 important to understand Fujitsu's corporate position on 4 what it accepts. I just want to see, in respect of 5 three propositions: the first is, does Fujitsu accept 6 that it provided a witness or witnesses in criminal 7 proceedings who provided evidence that was, at 8 a minimum, incomplete or otherwise should not have been 9 relied upon?
- A. So I'm not a lawyer, Mr Blake. So my reading of the
 evidence and what was submitted, I think, they were
 exactly as you just described.
- Q. Thank you. Second of all, does Fujitsu accept that it
 provided audit data, ARQ data, that was at certain
 points also unreliable?
- 16 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 17 Q. Third, does Fujitsu accept that there have been
 18 occasions in the context of enforcement action against
 19 subpostmasters, where information that was provided by
 20 Fujitsu was unreliable, inaccurate or otherwise
 21 incomplete?
- A. So I don't know about all the cases. I'm not familiar
 with all the cases and where witness statements were
 given. But I think there is a general theme which is
 that they were -- and I seem to recall in evidence,

12 months. Unexplained discrepancies, 57 per cent of those who responded said that they had experienced unexplained discrepancies with Horizon in the past 12 months; we have there unexplained transactions, 19 per cent; missing transactions, 14 per cent; double entry of transactions, 10 per cent.

Do you have any reason to doubt those figures or experiences of the subpostmasters?

A. So when I look at this report and I had my team try and decode it, my concern is the term "Horizon" is being used to cover everything inside the Post Office's complete supply chain. So if you are a subpostmaster, this is exactly the view you would get because you would look on your screen and these are some of the problems or discrepancies or issues that they are experiencing. So it is absolutely correct from their experience. But screen freezes could be caused by multiple things.

The Post Office has its network provided by a third party. Issues with PIN Pads have nothing to do with the Horizon system, they are provided for and maintained by another organisation. And when I listened to Melanie Park's, I think, evidence around discrepancies and innocence, actually, in some cases, innocent double keying, or whatever, all of that told me that these things need to be properly investigated, not to rely on

1 witness statements being edited and being adjusted by

2 Post Office lawyers. So I think there was a number of

those areas where they were inaccurate, incomplete, yes.

4 Q. Thank you. Moving on then to the current position and5 operation of Horizon, can we bring up the expert report

from YouGov EXPG0000007, can we please turn to page 17.

7 As you're aware, this YouGov report is based on

8 responses from approaching 1,000 current subpostmasters.

9 Have you read this report?

10 A. Yes, I have.

Q. Looking at page 17, we saw there that the net dissatisfaction in respect of how Horizon currently operates is a clear majority as between those dissatisfied and those satisfied. We have 49 per cent net dissatisfied. If we could turn over the page, please. It says there the vast majority, that's 92 per cent of subpostmasters surveyed, reported experiencing some form of issues with the Horizon IT system in the last 12 months. It gives an example, if we scroll down, of an open-ended comment:

"In my opinion Horizon is still flawed. I regularly have unexplained discrepancies often altering daily or manifesting at balance."

If we scroll over the page, we have the list there at figure 8 of issues experienced within the last

17

a very narrow definition of Horizon or very narrowdefinition of where a problem would be.

- Q. Do you think that the system that is provided by Fujitsu
 could be, at least in some part, to explain for those
 unexplained discrepancies, unexplained transactions,
 missing transactions?
- 7 So Fujitsu has been clear all the way along: there 8 continues to be bugs, errors and defects; there continues to be end of life. This application, the 9 10 Horizon application, as I think was covered in the 11 witness statement earlier today is end of life. It was 12 meant to be end of life some years ago and a new system 13 was meant to be in play. So all of those things are 14 correct and could be or could not be causing those 15 problems, which again is why I made point a moment ago, 16 any accusation to a subpostmaster needs to be properly 17 investigated and not rely on one data point.
- 18 Q. Perhaps we can see your reflections in respect of the
 19 end of life situation. Could we please turn to
 20 FUJ00243299, please. It's correspondence that the
 21 Inquiry has seen from 15 December 2023, to Mr Brocklesby
 22 and it relates to the extension of the contract. I'll
 23 just read to you a few passages. At paragraph 1 there
 24 if we scroll down, it says:

"The risks associated with the continued provision 180

7

20

21

22

of the Horizon system (including the audit archive) on end-of-life IT infrastructure. Understandably, Post Office has historically been strategically focused on alternative solutions to Horizon rather than investing in the existing infrastructure. Due to the age and consequent end of service life status of the underpinning Horizon infrastructure there is an increasing risk of failure of the infrastructure that could result in adverse impact in the delivery of services to the public."

Is that one of the points that you were just making, that, as time goes on, the end of life status means that there is an increasing risk of failure?

- There is an enormous increase in risk. The Post Office have rightly been focused on their other suppliers and building their new system and the Horizon application was meant to be retired so they put their efforts and focus, understandably, into the new world and not into the old world. To give this some context, we have not turned off some of this equipment because it is so old.
- **Q.** Another challenge to the feasibility and continued delivery of the Horizon system, number 2, is:

"The technical complexity and risk associated with (i) updating infrastructure at various states of obsolescence and compatibility, and (ii) delivering new

there's only one passage that I'd like to draw your attention to. It says there:

"As the Post Office is well aware, there have been and there continued to be bugs, errors and defects in the Horizon system. Further, [Fujitsu] currently has, and previously had, access to branch transaction records."

Two points you're highlighting there: one is bugs, errors and defects and the other is what has come to be known as remote access; is that correct?

11 A. Yes

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

12 Q. Thank you. Could we please then turn to FUJ00243303.
13 A further letter of 23 August. This one is to
14 an investigator at the City of London Police. If we
15 could scroll down the first page, please. Under (a), it
16 says:

"As discussed, the Horizon system has had and continues to have bugs, errors and defects, some of which may not have been detected at this time."

So not only an acceptance that the current system has bugs, errors and defects but also there are effectively unknown unknowns?

A. Yes, but I think the second sentence is also important,
 which is the Horizon application is fed from other
 third-party systems and business processes. So as well

system requirements, including because of lessons
learned and commitments to end users. These activities
are increasingly risky and technically challenging given
the End of Service Life Horizon system noted in
paragraph 1 ..."

So those are the challenges in respect of making changes to the Horizon system going forward?

- 8 Yes, and, as I mentioned a moment ago, the Horizon 9 application is one of many applications which are all 10 interconnected inside the Post Office's supply chain. 11 When you change one part, you need to make sure that it 12 connects with Credence, with POLSAP, with all the other 13 systems that the Post Office uses directly, and with 14 other third parties. When something is this old, and 15 you make that change, it has consequential impacts on 16 all of those other systems, which you need to be super 17 careful about.
- 18 Q. We're going to look at some of the correspondence 19 between yourself and the Post Office, Mr Read (sic), in 20 due course, but could we just quickly go through a few 21 of those letters, a few lines in a few of those letters. 22 If we start with FUJ00243204, it's a letter that we've 23 already seen in great depth of 8 July 2024 between 24 yourself and Nick Read. As I said, we'll come to the 25 context of these letters but, if you scroll down,

1 as Horizon may well have unknown, I cannot attest to the 2 validity or performance of those other systems.

- Q. Thank you very much. That letter can come down, and
 we'll return to it shortly. Are we to understand from
 that correspondence and from your evidence that there
 are currently reliability issues with the Horizon
 system?
- 8 So the Horizon system, as far as I understand today, is 9 performing to its contractual performance levels in 10 terms of its SLAs. If I -- last week, I got an update 11 on where we are with bugs, errors and defects. There 12 are currently 16, and we have patches, which is the 13 technical term for the fixes cited to go in in February, 14 after the Christmas peak. So I think there is good 15 understanding of where there are bugs, errors and 16 defects, and a process, which is well communicated with 17 the Post Office. There is a weekly session with the 18 Post Office, and we're told they communicate that to the 19 subpostmasters.

But there will continue to be, Mr Blake, with changes and with age, bugs, errors and defects that may well arise.

Q. Does the system that Fujitsu provides operate in
 a way -- and I'm going to use the language of a letter
 from Ernst & Young that we saw in Phase 2 of this

.

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

- 1 Inquiry, it's a 1999 letter -- does it operate in a way
- 2 that would allow subpostmasters to produce their
- 3 accounts to a suitable degree of integrity?
- 4 A. I couldn't answer that question.
- 5 Q. Do you consider it to be sufficiently reliable for 6 subpostmasters to produce their accounts?
- 7 A. So Mr Blake you're asking me to comment on audit and
- 8 accounting processes, which I don't have any
- 9 professional understanding of. What I can say is that
- 10 the system today performs to the SLAs that the Post
- 11 Office require us to do -- to perform to. I can't
- 12 comment on whether that document that's produced at the
- 13 back and what its contents or whether it's appropriate
- for them to legally sign off. That would be massively 14
- 15 overstepping my capability.
- 16 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Sorry, Mr Patterson, I've forgotten what
- 17 "SLA" stands for
- A. Forgive me, service level agreements. 18
- 19 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Sorry, yes. Fine.
- MR BLAKE: Subpostmasters up and down the country use 20
- 21 a system where the fundamentals are provided by Fujitsu.
- 22 That system, as far as you are aware, is it sufficient
- 23 to produce reliable accounts?
- 24 A. So I think in all the evidence we've seen and all the
- 25 Inquiry have seen, the reporting that comes out of
- Q. Mr Patterson --1
- A. It's very clear -- forgive me, it's very clear, in all 2
- 3 the evidence in this Inquiry, that there is level of
- 4 unreliability, an unreliability, I've said at the start
- 5 of this particular evidence, around bugs, errors and
- 6 defects, and the whole end-to-end. Horizon in some
- 7 respects represents the end-to-end of what goes on
- 8 inside a subpostmaster's branch and what happens in the
- Post Office, Head Office. So for me to sit here and say 9 10 I think there's enough in that documentation to allow
- 11 HMRC or an accountant to sign off, I'm not qualified to
- 12 answer that and neither are my colleagues.
- 13 Q. Mr Patterson, you are presumably somebody who goes up
- 14 and down the country bidding for contracts. If you are
- 15 selling Horizon as a new system, would you be able to
- 16 tell the person who you are selling that system to that,
- 17 at the end of the day, the accounts that it produces are
- 18
- 19 A. So we do not sell this system. This system is end of
- 20 life. At the Public Accounts Committee, when I gave
- 21 evidence, this is not a product that we've ever sold to
- 22 another customer. It is bespoke to the Post Office and
- 23 it is bespoke to the Post Office's requirements. So
- 24 again, I would ask -- that would be a question I would
- 25 be asking the Post Office: is the documentation and the

- Horizon, whether it's appropriate or not, may have bugs,
- 2 errors and defects, may have been fed bugs, errors and
- 3 defects, may not be reflecting the accuracy of what's
- 4 gone on in the Post Office -- in the subpostmaster's
- 5 branch. Whether the -- what is the document that's
- 6 required by the Post Office signed off by the
- subpostmaster, I have no idea. 7
- 8 Q. Not the Post Office; I mean the taxman, for example.
- 9 A. I have no idea, Mr Blake. I've never seen one of those
- 10 documents about what would be presented to an accountant
- 11 or to the HMRC. Is it -- I don't believe it's in my
- 12 evidence.
- 13 Q. Are you confident, for example, that somebody could come
- 14 to the Inquiry from Fujitsu, and say, where you're
- 15 sitting, that, as somebody who operates Horizon -- and
- 16 of course individual cases have to be taken on their own
- 17 basis and their own facts -- but as somebody who
- 18 operates Horizon, the system, so far as they are
- concerned, is reliable for accounting purposes? 19
- 20 A. Mr Blake, again, I'm going to answer it, I don't know.
- 21 If that question was being posed, I would expect the
- 22 Post Office to be sitting here, as well, as well as the
- 23 engineers on our side, to determine whether the
- 24 information required is appropriate to be able to sign
- 25 those things off.

186

- material that comes out of it reflecting what is 1
- 2
- 3 Q. Can you see how that is an issue if you're --
- 4 A. Ido.
- 5 Q. -- a current subpostmaster who operates that system, who
- 6 produces accounts, who relies on the system day in, day
- 7 out, that the head of the company in Europe who produces
- 8 that system can't say that what's coming out of it is
- 9 reliable?
- 10 So I'll give you a slightly different example. If in
- 11 a cash pouch a subpostmaster inadvertently puts in two
- 12 extra zeros and that cash pouch gets to the other end of
- 13 the line and doesn't have those two extra zeros of
- 14 money, that's a discrepancy. Horizon has just reported
- 15 what was keyed in on one end and what was counted on the
- 16 other end. Forgive me, do I then say that the Horizon
- 17 system its accurate? Well, in that example, I can't say
- 18 that because I don't know what's gone on.
- 19 Q. Are we again repeating the words "user error"?
- 20 No, far from it. I use that as an example. Please
- 21 forgive me, Mr Blake, please forgive me, I am very
- 22 respectful for the subpostmasters and what they've gone
- 23 through, but you're --
- 24 Q. What I'm asking about is not the individual user making
- 25 an error. I'm purely asking about the system that you

1		provide?	1	If we scroll over the page, please, to page 3, the
2	A.	Well, I'll take it away from being the user. Does the	2	response from Mr Walton of Fujitsu, is:
3		POLSAP system feed into the Horizon system correctly?	3	"As this is a legal matter, [Fujitsu Legal] are
4	_	I don't know the answer to that.	4	communicating with the City of London Police. I am not
5	Q.	That's a third-party system?	5	involved in those communications, and in any event,
6	Α.	But Horizon reflects all of that, and that's the	6	[Fujitsu] considers it to be inappropriate for Post
7		transactions, in fairness to the subpostmaster, that he	7	Office and [Fujitsu] to be discussing a police
8		or she are representing, which is why we've been	8	investigation."
9		consistent, since the very start of the Inquiry that	9	If we go to page 2, please. If we scroll down, we
10		there have been bugs, errors and defects, there will	10	can see, this is an email we saw with Mr Bartlett, he
11		continue to be unreliability in the front end, and in	11	Says:
12		the rest of the supply chain, I do not know the answer	12	"[City of London Police] has informed us that they
13		to that, which is why, when we get to the next set of	13	have not had any, in information or contact with Fujitsu
14 15		questions, we've been so keen to make sure that	14 15	after the single exploratory and inconclusive
15 16		investigations take in the entire system and don't rely on a very narrow point of view.	16	conversation. They left that conversation with the feeling that they were indirectly being told that the
17	Q.	Let's move to that correspondence's. If we start with	17	Horizon system was unreliable and so the case could not
18	Q.	FUJ00243157. If we start, please, on page 4. Thank	18	progress. We really need to explore this as this is not
19		you. This is an email exchange that you'll be familiar	19	the nuanced impression Simon Oldnall has given me.
20		with, the Inquiry is familiar with it. It relates to	20	"As the potential victim in this case, the Post
21		a City of London Police investigation in April this	21	Office would be grateful if you could provide me with
22		year. Simon Oldnall of the Post Office says:	22	contact details for either the equivalent person in
23		"Can I ask that you help with any conversations that	23	Fujitsu to my role or an appropriate person in your
24		City of London Police need to have with Fujitsu Services	24	Legal Team. I will then pass those details on to the
25		Limited."	25	City of London Police who are looking to have
		189		190
1		a trilateral conversation with Fujitsu, Post Office and	1	"We have had a call with City of London Police
1 2		a trilateral conversation with Fujitsu, Post Office and City of London Police.	1 2	"We have had a call with City of London Police following your email below and they will be in touch
		•		•
2		City of London Police.	2	following your email below and they will be in touch
2 3		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this	2	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness
2 3 4		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post	2 3 4	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for
2 3 4 5		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post Office as to evidentially established reliability or not	2 3 4 5	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for Fujitsu to extend any and all assistance to [City of
2 3 4 5 6		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post Office as to evidentially established reliability or not of data that is being used every day in establishing	2 3 4 5 6	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for Fujitsu to extend any and all assistance to [City of London Police City] to aid their objective understanding
2 3 4 5 6 7		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post Office as to evidentially established reliability or not of data that is being used every day in establishing outcomes with postmasters and potentially to be	2 3 4 5 6 7	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for Fujitsu to extend any and all assistance to [City of London Police City] to aid their objective understanding and assessment as to the reliability of the Horizon
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post Office as to evidentially established reliability or not of data that is being used every day in establishing outcomes with postmasters and potentially to be presented to the criminal justice system by the police	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for Fujitsu to extend any and all assistance to [City of London Police City] to aid their objective understanding and assessment as to the reliability of the Horizon system and the admissibility of evidence produced from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post Office as to evidentially established reliability or not of data that is being used every day in establishing outcomes with postmasters and potentially to be presented to the criminal justice system by the police and the three public prosecuting agencies. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for Fujitsu to extend any and all assistance to [City of London Police City] to aid their objective understanding and assessment as to the reliability of the Horizon system and the admissibility of evidence produced from it relating to the investigation they are conducting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post Office as to evidentially established reliability or not of data that is being used every day in establishing outcomes with postmasters and potentially to be presented to the criminal justice system by the police and the three public prosecuting agencies. The non-provision of relevant witness statements from the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for Fujitsu to extend any and all assistance to [City of London Police City] to aid their objective understanding and assessment as to the reliability of the Horizon system and the admissibility of evidence produced from it relating to the investigation they are conducting following [Post Office] making a crime report to them as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post Office as to evidentially established reliability or not of data that is being used every day in establishing outcomes with postmasters and potentially to be presented to the criminal justice system by the police and the three public prosecuting agencies. The non-provision of relevant witness statements from the Post Office and Fujitsu will rightly be interpreted by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for Fujitsu to extend any and all assistance to [City of London Police City] to aid their objective understanding and assessment as to the reliability of the Horizon system and the admissibility of evidence produced from it relating to the investigation they are conducting following [Post Office] making a crime report to them as a potential victim."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post Office as to evidentially established reliability or not of data that is being used every day in establishing outcomes with postmasters and potentially to be presented to the criminal justice system by the police and the three public prosecuting agencies. The non-provision of relevant witness statements from the Post Office and Fujitsu will rightly be interpreted by the police and prosecutors as Post Office and Fujitsu	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for Fujitsu to extend any and all assistance to [City of London Police City] to aid their objective understanding and assessment as to the reliability of the Horizon system and the admissibility of evidence produced from it relating to the investigation they are conducting following [Post Office] making a crime report to them as a potential victim." As we have seen, that then sparks a number of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post Office as to evidentially established reliability or not of data that is being used every day in establishing outcomes with postmasters and potentially to be presented to the criminal justice system by the police and the three public prosecuting agencies. The non-provision of relevant witness statements from the Post Office and Fujitsu will rightly be interpreted by the police and prosecutors as Post Office and Fujitsu not having faith in the reliability of the data with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for Fujitsu to extend any and all assistance to [City of London Police City] to aid their objective understanding and assessment as to the reliability of the Horizon system and the admissibility of evidence produced from it relating to the investigation they are conducting following [Post Office] making a crime report to them as a potential victim." As we have seen, that then sparks a number of letters between yourself and Mr Read. I will take them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post Office as to evidentially established reliability or not of data that is being used every day in establishing outcomes with postmasters and potentially to be presented to the criminal justice system by the police and the three public prosecuting agencies. The non-provision of relevant witness statements from the Post Office and Fujitsu will rightly be interpreted by the police and prosecutors as Post Office and Fujitsu not having faith in the reliability of the data with the obvious outcome resulting."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for Fujitsu to extend any and all assistance to [City of London Police City] to aid their objective understanding and assessment as to the reliability of the Horizon system and the admissibility of evidence produced from it relating to the investigation they are conducting following [Post Office] making a crime report to them as a potential victim." As we have seen, that then sparks a number of letters between yourself and Mr Read. I will take them as quickly as we can. If we start, please, at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post Office as to evidentially established reliability or not of data that is being used every day in establishing outcomes with postmasters and potentially to be presented to the criminal justice system by the police and the three public prosecuting agencies. The non-provision of relevant witness statements from the Post Office and Fujitsu will rightly be interpreted by the police and prosecutors as Post Office and Fujitsu not having faith in the reliability of the data with the obvious outcome resulting." If we go on to page 1, please. We see there the response from the solicitor at Fujitsu's Legal Team saying:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for Fujitsu to extend any and all assistance to [City of London Police City] to aid their objective understanding and assessment as to the reliability of the Horizon system and the admissibility of evidence produced from it relating to the investigation they are conducting following [Post Office] making a crime report to them as a potential victim." As we have seen, that then sparks a number of letters between yourself and Mr Read. I will take them as quickly as we can. If we start, please, at FUJ00243199. We have there the letter of 17 May, where in the first paragraph, you explain the serious concerns. You say:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post Office as to evidentially established reliability or not of data that is being used every day in establishing outcomes with postmasters and potentially to be presented to the criminal justice system by the police and the three public prosecuting agencies. The non-provision of relevant witness statements from the Post Office and Fujitsu will rightly be interpreted by the police and prosecutors as Post Office and Fujitsu not having faith in the reliability of the data with the obvious outcome resulting." If we go on to page 1, please. We see there the response from the solicitor at Fujitsu's Legal Team saying: "Please refer any correspondence to me rather than	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for Fujitsu to extend any and all assistance to [City of London Police City] to aid their objective understanding and assessment as to the reliability of the Horizon system and the admissibility of evidence produced from it relating to the investigation they are conducting following [Post Office] making a crime report to them as a potential victim." As we have seen, that then sparks a number of letters between yourself and Mr Read. I will take them as quickly as we can. If we start, please, at FUJ00243199. We have there the letter of 17 May, where in the first paragraph, you explain the serious concerns. You say: "To be clear, Fujitsu will not support the Post
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post Office as to evidentially established reliability or not of data that is being used every day in establishing outcomes with postmasters and potentially to be presented to the criminal justice system by the police and the three public prosecuting agencies. The non-provision of relevant witness statements from the Post Office and Fujitsu will rightly be interpreted by the police and prosecutors as Post Office and Fujitsu not having faith in the reliability of the data with the obvious outcome resulting." If we go on to page 1, please. We see there the response from the solicitor at Fujitsu's Legal Team saying: "Please refer any correspondence to me rather than Mr Walton.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for Fujitsu to extend any and all assistance to [City of London Police City] to aid their objective understanding and assessment as to the reliability of the Horizon system and the admissibility of evidence produced from it relating to the investigation they are conducting following [Post Office] making a crime report to them as a potential victim." As we have seen, that then sparks a number of letters between yourself and Mr Read. I will take them as quickly as we can. If we start, please, at FUJ00243199. We have there the letter of 17 May, where in the first paragraph, you explain the serious concerns. You say: "To be clear, Fujitsu will not support the Post Office to act against postmasters. We will not provide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post Office as to evidentially established reliability or not of data that is being used every day in establishing outcomes with postmasters and potentially to be presented to the criminal justice system by the police and the three public prosecuting agencies. The non-provision of relevant witness statements from the Post Office and Fujitsu will rightly be interpreted by the police and prosecutors as Post Office and Fujitsu not having faith in the reliability of the data with the obvious outcome resulting." If we go on to page 1, please. We see there the response from the solicitor at Fujitsu's Legal Team saying: "Please refer any correspondence to me rather than Mr Walton. "I note your comments, which aren't accepted.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for Fujitsu to extend any and all assistance to [City of London Police City] to aid their objective understanding and assessment as to the reliability of the Horizon system and the admissibility of evidence produced from it relating to the investigation they are conducting following [Post Office] making a crime report to them as a potential victim." As we have seen, that then sparks a number of letters between yourself and Mr Read. I will take them as quickly as we can. If we start, please, at FUJ00243199. We have there the letter of 17 May, where in the first paragraph, you explain the serious concerns. You say: "To be clear, Fujitsu will not support the Post Office to act against postmasters. We will not provide the support for any enforcement actions, taken by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post Office as to evidentially established reliability or not of data that is being used every day in establishing outcomes with postmasters and potentially to be presented to the criminal justice system by the police and the three public prosecuting agencies. The non-provision of relevant witness statements from the Post Office and Fujitsu will rightly be interpreted by the police and prosecutors as Post Office and Fujitsu not having faith in the reliability of the data with the obvious outcome resulting." If we go on to page 1, please. We see there the response from the solicitor at Fujitsu's Legal Team saying: "Please refer any correspondence to me rather than Mr Walton. "I note your comments, which aren't accepted. "It is not appropriate for Fujitsu to discuss with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for Fujitsu to extend any and all assistance to [City of London Police City] to aid their objective understanding and assessment as to the reliability of the Horizon system and the admissibility of evidence produced from it relating to the investigation they are conducting following [Post Office] making a crime report to them as a potential victim." As we have seen, that then sparks a number of letters between yourself and Mr Read. I will take them as quickly as we can. If we start, please, at FUJ00243199. We have there the letter of 17 May, where in the first paragraph, you explain the serious concerns. You say: "To be clear, Fujitsu will not support the Post Office to act against postmasters. We will not provide the support for any enforcement actions, taken by the Post Office against postmasters, whether civil or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post Office as to evidentially established reliability or not of data that is being used every day in establishing outcomes with postmasters and potentially to be presented to the criminal justice system by the police and the three public prosecuting agencies. The non-provision of relevant witness statements from the Post Office and Fujitsu will rightly be interpreted by the police and prosecutors as Post Office and Fujitsu not having faith in the reliability of the data with the obvious outcome resulting." If we go on to page 1, please. We see there the response from the solicitor at Fujitsu's Legal Team saying: "Please refer any correspondence to me rather than Mr Walton. "I note your comments, which aren't accepted. "It is not appropriate for Fujitsu to discuss with Post Office the nature and substance of its cooperation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for Fujitsu to extend any and all assistance to [City of London Police City] to aid their objective understanding and assessment as to the reliability of the Horizon system and the admissibility of evidence produced from it relating to the investigation they are conducting following [Post Office] making a crime report to them as a potential victim." As we have seen, that then sparks a number of letters between yourself and Mr Read. I will take them as quickly as we can. If we start, please, at FUJ00243199. We have there the letter of 17 May, where in the first paragraph, you explain the serious concerns. You say: "To be clear, Fujitsu will not support the Post Office to act against postmasters. We will not provide the support for any enforcement actions, taken by the Post Office against postmasters, whether civil or criminal, for alleged shortfalls, fraud or false
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post Office as to evidentially established reliability or not of data that is being used every day in establishing outcomes with postmasters and potentially to be presented to the criminal justice system by the police and the three public prosecuting agencies. The non-provision of relevant witness statements from the Post Office and Fujitsu will rightly be interpreted by the police and prosecutors as Post Office and Fujitsu not having faith in the reliability of the data with the obvious outcome resulting." If we go on to page 1, please. We see there the response from the solicitor at Fujitsu's Legal Team saying: "Please refer any correspondence to me rather than Mr Walton. "I note your comments, which aren't accepted. "It is not appropriate for Fujitsu to discuss with Post Office the nature and substance of its cooperation with an ongoing police investigation."	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for Fujitsu to extend any and all assistance to [City of London Police City] to aid their objective understanding and assessment as to the reliability of the Horizon system and the admissibility of evidence produced from it relating to the investigation they are conducting following [Post Office] making a crime report to them as a potential victim." As we have seen, that then sparks a number of letters between yourself and Mr Read. I will take them as quickly as we can. If we start, please, at FUJ00243199. We have there the letter of 17 May, where in the first paragraph, you explain the serious concerns. You say: "To be clear, Fujitsu will not support the Post Office to act against postmasters. We will not provide the support for any enforcement actions, taken by the Post Office against postmasters, whether civil or criminal, for alleged shortfalls, fraud or false accounting."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22		City of London Police. "It is impossible to overstate how important this is. I need to advise both the police and the Post Office as to evidentially established reliability or not of data that is being used every day in establishing outcomes with postmasters and potentially to be presented to the criminal justice system by the police and the three public prosecuting agencies. The non-provision of relevant witness statements from the Post Office and Fujitsu will rightly be interpreted by the police and prosecutors as Post Office and Fujitsu not having faith in the reliability of the data with the obvious outcome resulting." If we go on to page 1, please. We see there the response from the solicitor at Fujitsu's Legal Team saying: "Please refer any correspondence to me rather than Mr Walton. "I note your comments, which aren't accepted. "It is not appropriate for Fujitsu to discuss with Post Office the nature and substance of its cooperation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	following your email below and they will be in touch with you again to arrange the taking of a witness statement or statements. We would be grateful for Fujitsu to extend any and all assistance to [City of London Police City] to aid their objective understanding and assessment as to the reliability of the Horizon system and the admissibility of evidence produced from it relating to the investigation they are conducting following [Post Office] making a crime report to them as a potential victim." As we have seen, that then sparks a number of letters between yourself and Mr Read. I will take them as quickly as we can. If we start, please, at FUJ00243199. We have there the letter of 17 May, where in the first paragraph, you explain the serious concerns. You say: "To be clear, Fujitsu will not support the Post Office to act against postmasters. We will not provide the support for any enforcement actions, taken by the Post Office against postmasters, whether civil or criminal, for alleged shortfalls, fraud or false

1		investigation, and you say:	1	prosecutions. He explains, over the page, please, that:
2		"The approach of Fujitsu is to cooperate with the	2	"Post Office requests the use of Horizon data for
3		police and any other third party, exercising independent	3	a number of day-to-day business reasons, including
4		investigative, prosecutorial, regulatory or judicial	4	supporting postmasters with their branches. In terms of
5		powers. However, we are concerned by the behaviour of	5	enforcement, the Post Office's requests only relate to
6		the Post Office Investigation Team on this matter."	6	cases where our teams are supporting criminal
7		You address the concern there relating to, for	7	investigations or prosecutions pursued by independent
8		example, reference to the Post Office being a victim	8	third parties."
9	A.	Mm.	9	He says:
10	Q.	and that's something we have already addressed.	10	"In response to the specific case you raised,
11		The second in relation to the "Pursuit of shortfalls	11	potential criminal activities were identified in the
12		from subpostmasters", you say:	12	branch and Post Office therefore reported the matter to
13		"It seems that the Post Office may be continuing to	13	the police. We have assisted with the police's
14		pursue postmasters for shortfalls in their accounts. We	14	investigation, including providing supporting data"
15		would have expected that the Post Office has changed its	15	He says:
16		behaviour. It should not essentially be relying on	16	"Naturally, it is vital for the police's
17		Horizon data as the basis for that enforcement."	17	investigation that it can rely on the Horizon data it
18		You then address the issue of redress and say that	18	has received."
19		you will continue to provide data in relation to	19	In respect of shortfalls, he says that:
20		redress.	20	"Horizon data isn't currently being used but the
21		Sorry, before I get to a question, I'll just quickly	21	Post Office data for a range of key day-to-day business
22		take you through two more letters, the first is	22	activities, including supporting its postmasters with
23		FUJ00243201. This is the response from Mr Read thank	23	resolving discrepancies on their accounts", is necessary
24		you very much. At the bottom of the page, he reassures	24	to have your assistance.
25		you that the Post Office won't be undertaking their own 193	25	If we go over the page, please. He says there: 194
1		"Post Office sees reliance on Horizon data as	1	Then you say:
2		essential to supporting postmasters with understanding	2	"A witness statement from [Fujitsu] attesting to the
3		and remediating discrepancies.	3	reliability of the Horizon system, and of data from it
4		"Post Office relies on Fujitsu and the services it	4	in criminal proceedings would amount to expert opinion
5		provides to ensure that it can continue to support	5	evidence. [Fujitsu] is incapable of providing expert
6		postmasters in that way."	6	opinion evidence, as it is neither independent nor has
7		Then, finally, he addresses the same topic: redress.	7	it sufficient information to provide such an opinion."
8		The final document before I ask the question is at	8	Now, you're not a lawyer and I'm not asking you for
9		FUJ00243204. This is your response of 8 July. I'll	9	a legal answer to this question but why do you
10		take that slightly more slowly. If we scroll down,	10	understand Fujitsu couldn't provide a witness statement,
11		please, you say there:	11	not purporting to be an independent witness statement,
12		"In simple terms, the Post Office is requesting that	12	not purporting to be an independent expert, but just
13		Fujitsu give expert opinion evidence to be used in	13	simply evidence of the personal experience of the author
14		criminal proceedings against postmasters and post office	14	in respect of the reliability, with whatever caveats it
15		workers."	15	may require?
16		You say:	16 A .	So first of all, we are actively supporting the police
17		"In your letter, you rightly note that the content	17	in their enquiries. There's evidence, I think, in the
18		of any witness statement is a matter between the police	18	pack, in the bundle, of the comprehensive set of
19		and [Fujitsu]. However, I consider it necessary to	19	correspondence with the police, drawing their attention
20		address this issue with you because the request was made	20	to a number of areas directly from this Inquiry.
21		by Post Office and because I consider the request to be	21	A witness statement from us on what comes out of the
22		entirely inappropriate, particularly in the light of the	22	Horizon application is still a problem to us, for
23		evidence being uncovered at the Inquiry.	23	exactly the reasons I said earlier, because the Horizon
24		"I enclose with this letter an email chain", and you	24	system is one lens on the entire supply chain in the
25		refer to that email chain that we saw. 195	25	Post Office, and we can't attest to everything that goes 196

on in that, from left to right.

My other concern about the email from Mr Bartlett was just trying to narrow things down. So what I've — as I've tried to pay close attention to this Inquiry, one of the topics that came up was people flagging things, did executives flag things; were we being transparent; were we being curious? This is Fujitsu trying to be very transparent, very curious, around what has gone on and what is going on day-to-day. So that was why we didn't feel like we could give a witness statement, but the material that we have given to the police at the moment — and I don't know the state of those cases — may still require us to give that in due course, Mr Blake, but at this point in time, we felt we couldn't.

- Q. In the email chain, it explained from the Post Office's
 perspective that they considered that the police had
 been given the impression that the system was
 unreliable
- 20 A. Mm-hm.

Q. That may, in fact, be consistent with the evidence that
 you've given to the Inquiry today. But is there not
 some way in which Fujitsu could provide a statement that
 at least speaks about its own system that it operates,
 subject to the caveats of the third parties, that goes

everything was silent to us, and then suddenly we get these two requests, which made us very suspicious, very curious, hence why I wrote to Mr Read.

Q. The next paragraph, I think I may have referred you to this already, it says:

"As the Post Office is well aware, there have been and there continue to be bugs, errors and defects in the Horizon system. Further, [Fujitsu] currently has and previously had, access to branch transaction records."

You also say:

"In addition to [Fujitsu] the Horizon system is reliant on services by Post Office and third parties retained by the Post Office."

That's the point you've also made today.

- 15 A. Mm.
- 16 Q. If we scroll over the page, please. It says:

"Based on the evidence which has been seen and heard in the Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry, Fujitsu considers that all of the matters management above would need to be investigated carefully by the Post Office and the police, with the assistance of an independent technical IT expert, and possibly also a forensic accounting expert, to ascertain proper explanations for branch account discrepancies. Fujitsu considers that only after such an investigation has been undertaken could

to, in some way, reassure the police in respect of the current reliability of the system?

A. So again, what I've learnt -- what I've learnt and what our company has learnt from the Inquiry in its earlier stages is, it just is very narrow, containing questions, "was this correct at this point in time from 9.00 to 4.00?" We feel that is completely inappropriate and I think the evidence during this Inquiry suggests that is also inappropriate. You need to take a holistic view and, in fairness to the subpostmasters, that's what they need as well: they need to see the whole system that they're engaging with, not just a window which is Horizon, but all the other parts of the components.

It is impossible for Fujitsu, even with all those caveats. The other thing I've learnt about caveats is nobody reads them and people only then pick out the paragraph that they want to pick out, and that was one of your first questions to me. So that is why we felt we couldn't.

Now, evidence may well transpire, you know, there's a number of other cases going through. I think there's 33 prosecutions which the police are looking into at the moment. We are only aware of four. So, again, this point of transparency — and we were aware of none before January/February, when we got that email. So

a meaningful expert witness statement be made in subsequent criminal proceedings, which addresses the reliability of the Horizon system and the relevant data produced. For the reasons I have mentioned above, [Fujitsu] cannot provide such a statement."

Putting a statement to one side, what about an independent investigation into the reliability of the system? We've heard evidence that the Post Office are looking for some sort of independent statement from an IT expert. In respect of their investigation, do you commit to fully cooperating, opening up your books, your warehouses, your data, to an expert for that purpose?

- A. I think anything that helps the subpostmasters in the
 entire end-to-end be confident about the systems that
 they are using, I welcome, Fujitsu would welcome, yeah.
 I have no problem with that at all.
- 17 Q. In respect of opening up your books to that expert, so
 18 they can work out for themselves the reliability of the
 19 system?
- A. Indeed. And I think, you know, back to the earlier
 comment: this is a complex environment, which is, in
 parts, 25 years old, and we are applying today's, you
 know, computing standards to some of those things. So
 I think it would be difficult, hence why the system is
 end of life, hence why it should not be being extended,

1		hence why it should have been replaced.	1		I think it's right and proper that the whole system
2		So I have no problem at all in opening up to any	2		end-to-end is examined, not just the Horizon
3		analysis that a third party would wish to do.	3		application, which is and whether we should be partly
4	Q.	Has Fujitsu had an independent company report on the	4		funding that or doing it, I think that's perfectly
5	~	current reliability of the Horizon system?	5		sensible for us to have that conversation with the Post
6	A.	Now, I don't know the answer to that. I don't know the	6		Office. Why we haven't done that in the past, well,
7	Λ.	answer to that, Mr Blake.	7		frankly, it's been end of life. We're not meant to be
8	Q.	We've seen some evidence, for example, back in 2012,	8		
9	Œ.		9		maintaining this system and, in fact, we have not been
		that Fujitsu were going to obtain a KPMG report.	10		doing any material investment in it, or the Post Office
10		I think it was stopped in light of the Second Sight			haven't, for several years. And I'm sure we'll come on
11		Report. Is that your understanding or do you have	11	_	to that question in a moment.
12		a different understanding?	12	Q.	I'll take you to how this correspondence with the Post
13	A.	I don't have much understanding. I saw that document in	13		Office ends, and we can start with FUJ00243209.
14		my bundle and I don't have an opinion why it was stopped	14		A 23 July 2024 response from Owen Woodley, I won't go
15	_	or didn't go ahead. I read the email traffic, and	15		into the detail. If we scroll down he says he shares
16	Q.	Focusing on the present, though, people may be	16		your commitment, the Post Office has unquestionably
17		interested in why the burden is being placed on the Post	17		changed its mindset. Post Office will never again take
18		Office to obtain that independent report, why it is that	18		a prosecutorial role. He addresses the question of
19		Fujitsu themselves, in the time that's been available,	19		expert evidence, he says:
20		haven't commissioned an external investigation into the	20		"As you rightly say, Fujitsu is not able to provide
21		reliability of a system that they produce?	21		expert opinion evidence and it is not sufficiently
22	A.	So I think again it's about we're expanding what the	22		independent for any statements that it may provide to be
23		system is, and our definition of what the Horizon	23		deemed expert evidence by the Crown Prosecution Service.
24		application is. There are multiple things in this	24		Post Office is aware that it has not and would not
25		supply chain which Horizon touches or is fed with. 201	25		request that [Fujitsu] provides expert opinion 202
1		evidence."	1		correspondence that Fujitsu has confirmed it will engage
2		If we scroll over, he then says in that top	2		further with the police in this matter."
3		paragraph, about halfway through, he says:	3		He then goes on to address criminal investigations
4		"The police have raised questions regarding the data	4		and prosecutions. If we go over the page, please, he
5		and the Horizon system, and the AC&I [I think it's A&CI]	5		says:
6		team referred the police to [Fujitsu] as Post	6		"To get the right checks and balances in any of
7		Office's provider of the system to obtain answers to	7		these investigations processes, data will be required
8		those questions. The feedback they received in April	8		from the Horizon system, along with analysis of any
9		2024 was that police had only been able to have one	9		known bugs, errors or defects."
10		conversation with [Fujitsu] at that time and the	10		He then addresses the victim point. He moves on to
11		investigation officer's impression from the conversation	11		postmaster shortfalls:
12		was that they were indirectly being told by [Fujitsu]	12		"Thank you for confirming [Fujitsu] will continue to
13		that the Horizon system was unreliable. As a result,	13		deliver its contractual obligations, including reporting
14		the police told the team that the investigation could	14		promptly and transparently on branch impacting
15		not progress.	15		incidents.
16		"In light of that feedback from the police,	16		"While the Post Office does not currently take civil
17		Mr Bartlett sent the email"	17		recovery action to recover established losses from
18		He's explaining the background to the email and why	18		postmasters, this may be necessary in future to
19		it was sent:	19		establish a fair, transparent and consistent approach to
20		"[He's] not asking Fujitsu to act as an independent	20		recoveries."
21		expert witness in this case. He asks that [Fujitsu]	21		So he's referring there to potential actions to
22		engage with the police and provide a statement when	22		recover apparent shortfalls.
23		requested to do so by the police, regarding the	23	A.	
24		reliability of the data, which was informing the	24	Q.	
25		police's investigation. I understand from your previous	25	-	"I welcome your commitment that [Fujitsu] will work
		203			204

3

4

5

6

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

collaboratively with Post Office over the coming months so that together we can achieve the best outcome for the postmasters and your offer to work collaboratively on the future of the Horizon system is much appreciated." We then turn to your response. It's FUJ00243211. It's a response dated 26 July this year, and you

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

say:

"It is unfortunate that Nick [Nick Read] was not able to attend the meeting as discussed. The original purpose of writing to Nick was to escalate, CEO to CEO, the concerns relating to certain behaviours within the Post Office. It seems clear that the Post Office continues to have significant cultural issues, sees itself as the 'victim', with the enforcement and prosecution of postmasters considered as business as usual activity of a commercial retail company. As I stated in my correspondence to Nick and during our discussion, Fujitsu finds the language and the suggested behaviour unacceptable from Post Office Investigators.

"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised. We completely trust in Sir Wyn and the Inquiry process, which will examine the extent of the Post Office's change in Phase 7."

It might be suggested that that is a rather petulant response to a serious letter from the Post Office. What is your view on that?

2 A. It's not petulant at all, and I also heard the term being used, it was schoolboy playground things. I take all of this matter personally really, really seriously. So seriously, which is why I wrote to Nick Read because, as I said earlier, I've learnt in the evidence in this Inquiry too much -- or lack of transparency, lack of clarity, lack of curiosity, on a very, very complex set 9 of material and environments. So it's not petulant at 10

> I felt it was really serious, particularly when I'm contacted as a company by the police, accusing subpostmasters of very serious offences and, given what I have heard in this Inquiry, I felt it was really important that I lay out clearly that we will not be supporting the Post Office.

However, Mr Blake, we are actively supporting the police in those inquiries, and I know it has also come up in this Inquiry whether we are or not. I think you've seen some of the material. They are very comprehensive. I saw some material this morning also on this very matter with the police. So we are actively supporting the police, and sharing with them all the material that we've got, as well as the material obviously from this Inquiry.

1 **Q.** What about that second paragraph there: 2

"I do not intend to engage further with the Post Office on the matters I raised."

I mean, why was it this summer you couldn't say to the Post Office, "Of course we'll supply to the police whatever witness statements they ask for"?

A. So in our statement to the Select Committee in 2020, we made it clear in that we will continue to support the police in their investigations. It's in my corporate statement here, I think on the back paragraphs, we will continue to support the police in any investigations. I felt, having -- there's been a number of changes in the Executive Team in the Post Office. I met Nick early on when we were both appointed. I've now met Mr Woodley, I've now met their new Acting CEOs as well. And one of my other concerns, as you will have seen in my notes, was just about the continuity of that understanding about how serious Fujitsu takes for his

matter. And, therefore, I wasn't going to engage any further with the Post Office but would with the police.

21 Q. Does that, in some way, signify a quite serious 22 breakdown in the relationship between Fujitsu and the

23 Post Office?

A. So operationally, we are very well engaged, and 24 25 delivering against our contract to the Post Office.

I -- on Friday evening, I spoke to two executives from 1 2 the Post Office about their request on an extension. So 3 we continue to focus on the day to day and what needs to be done. But on this particular matter about 5 prosecutions, I will not engage with the Post Office --

6 we will not engage with the Post Office on it.

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Right. I understand that, Mr Patterson. 7 8 Let me just ask you this: as we are talking to each 9 other now, how many, if not exactly, approximately, 10 cases are you -- and I'm using these words completely 11 neutrally -- having correspondence or discussions with 12 the police?

13 A. Four.

14 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Four, right. In those four cases, to the 15 best of your knowledge and belief, has Fujitsu answered 16 the questions or tried to answer the questions that the 17 police have posed to them?

18 A. Yes, Sir Wyn. In fact, one of the documents was 19 33 pages laying out the answers to those questions.

20 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Hang on. That's fine. In those four 21 cases, if the police has asked for documentary material, 22 has it been provided?

23

24 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: If the police have asked for data from 25 Horizon, has that been provided?

1	A.	No, I don't know whether they have asked for that yet,	1		extension) which [Post Office] envisages to be
2		Sir Wyn.	2		approximately two years) to facilitate an orderly exit
3		WYN WILLIAMS: If they haven't, that's fine. But	3		and transition to occur. In light of the evidence that
4		But if they do, absolutely.	4		has emerged in the Inquiry in relation to [the Post
5	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Right. In any of the cases to date, has	5		Office's] conduct and culture, and the challenges that
6		the police asked Fujitsu to nominate a person to make	6		Fujitsu has faced with regard to visibility over [the
7		a witness statement?	7		Post Office's] use of Horizon data and/or records,
8		I don't believe so.	8		Fujitsu's position will be that any extension to the
9	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Right. So far as you are aware, if the	9		Horizon Contract should necessarily see the removal of
10		police made such a request of Fujitsu, would you at	10		the Court Case Support Services provisions."
11		least try to find a person who could make a witness	11		Can you assist us with what you mean by the Court
12		statement?	12		Case Services provisions?
13	A.	Absolutely, Sir Wyn. Absolutely.	13	A.	So I don't have all the clauses in my head but there is
14	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Fine. Okay. So that's the	14		a set of responsibilities placed on Fujitsu, back when
15		current position.	15		this contract was agreed in 1999, which had a number of
16		Right, back to you, Mr Blake.	16		activities and responsibilities. Fujitsu will not sign
17	MR	BLAKE: Thank you very much, sir.	17		any extension if those conditions are still in the
18		We'll move on, then, to the extension of the	18		contract.
19		contract. Could we bring back onto screen your fifth	19	Q.	Are you able to assist us with whether Fujitsu will be
20		witness statement at page 30, please. It's	20		able to assist the Post Office in respect of the
21		paragraph 77. Page 30, paragraph 77. You say there:	21		investigations of shortfalls, putting aside historic
22		"As noted above, the Horizon contract is due to end	22		criminal matters, in respect of current shortfalls
23		on 31 March 2025. However, in the event that it is not	23		experienced by postmasters?
24		possible, Fujitsu is currently discussing with [the Post	24	A.	So I think I also say in here somewhere about the ARQ
25		Office] (at its request) the potential for a short-term	25		data is moving, so that all the historical data will be
		209			210
1		under the Post Office's control and, in fact, when I was	1		years, and this morning, as I walked into this building,
2		talking to Mr Railton a few weeks ago, it was very	2		I had another request, which was for four years.
3		important to him. So I think, actually, that Post	3		So this continues to move around in terms of what
4		Office, by early February, will have all of the data	4		the requirements are from the Post Office. I am
5		inside their own control to support any investigations	5		encouraged by the new leaders who seem to be getting
6		that they wish to do.	6		more understanding and clarity around what the actual
7	Q.	In respect of matters after February, so let's say in	7		business requirements from from the Horizon system
8		a year's time, there needs to be an investigation into	8		will be but, to your question, I am very worried about
9		a shortfall, is that something that you will be	9		it. And, in fact, my correspondence and my commentary
10		assisting the Post Office on or not?	10		to them as well is it needs to be the shortest possible
11	A.	Yes, I would expect those types of operational	11		time entirely, because this system is not meant to be
12		engagements to continue, and I think they are quite	12		continuing and it's not had any material investment in
13		different in tone to Court Case Support Services and my	13		the last four years, while the attention has been on
14		very earlier comment around the industrialisation of	14		NBIT or versions of NBIT.
15		witness statements.	15		My earlier comment also around Horizon is one
16	Q.	Thank you. We've seen in other documents discussion of	16		part this application is one part of an incomplete
17		potentially a five-year extension, five more years of	17		supply chain and, in fairness to the Post Office, that
18		Horizon. Would it be possible, in light of what you've	18		means it's got to have multiple tests of all their other
19		said, to improve the reliability of Horizon data over	19		systems as well. So if you change one part, you have to
20		that time or do you anticipate that in fact it may get	20		test everything else. So I think it's very, very
21		worse over that time?	21		difficult to know whether three years, four years or
22	A.	So the first correspondence, I think, was December, with	22		five years will be required will be possible, to your
23		the request for five years. In my meeting with the	23		question.
				_	

25

acting CEO at the time, Owen Woodley, I think the

211

request was two years. We've had the request for three

24 Q. Thank you. I want to look at current use of Horizon

25

data. If we could bring back onto screen your witness

1		statement, WITN06650500, page 25, paragraph 66.	1		inappropriate and didn't accept that change, but that
2		Thank you, it's the bottom of page 25.	2		was the reason for the change.
3		You say there:	3		If I go back to what Fujitsu has learnt through this
4		"Fujitsu continues to provide the Post Office with	4		Inquiry, it's that curiosity topic again, you know, what
5		Horizon data, including ARQ data, when requested as part	5		is the data being used for, rather than just handing
6		of its provision of services related to the Horizon	6		over the data without any context to it?
7		system."	7	Q.	Perhaps we could bring onto screen the forms, the new
8		Over the page, please.	8		form and the old form, FUJ00243213, and, if it's
9		"While Fujitsu has informed the Post Office that it	9		possible to bring that on screen alongside FUJ00243227.
10		will not provide support for enforcement actions taken	10		Is this the ARQ form you were referring to?
11		against postmasters, whether civil or criminal, Fujitsu,	11	A.	Yes, I think it is. I think it is, yes.
12		has as a result to verify the purpose to which the Post	12		If we have a look at the one now on the left-hand side
13		Office intends to put this data, or what the data is in	13		there are two additional questions there that are said
14		fact used for."	14		to be mandatory. First asks:
15		Can you assist us with what you mean by "struggled	15		"Is this request related to either the investigation
16		to verify the purpose"?	16		of or action being taken or intended to be taken by the
17	A.	So I think it came up in some of the Post Office	17		Post Office against a postmaster or Post Office worker
18		Executive's evidence as well. So one of the things that	18		in connection with potential fraud, theft, breach of
19		we are very concerned about, again, this narrow use of	19		contract or any other potential impropriety which is
20		information, is information being requested and used	20		suspected to have occurred at the relevant Post Office
21		for in the concern here, for prosecutions. And what	21		branches?"
22		we are asking for and what we did on a very simple form,	22		The second is.
23		which is an ARQ form, is could you tick a box to say is	23		"Will this information be used to support either
24		it used for redress or management information, or for	24		a postmaster or a Post Office worker to achieve
25		prosecution? And the Post Office felt that was	25		financial redress?"
		213			214
4		Co they are previded with his entires are	4		with a brief automorphy of all provides a good providence to
1		So they are provided with two options: one	1		with a brief summary of all previous and new request(s)
2		presumably is one that you have absolutely no issue	2		since our last ARQ Requests."
3		with: the second one, providing information in relation	3		If we scroll up we can see a response, at the bottom
4		to redress?	4		of page 3. Thank you. The response there from Fujitsu
5		Correct.	5		is:
6	Q.	In respect of the first, what is the position, if I were	6		"Thank you for your recent request. Unfortunately,
7		to tick "Yes"?	7		as it is not on the latest template, we are unable to
8	Α.	So the Post Office have not accepted the change in the	8		process it. Please resubmit your request using the
9		form, is my understanding. So we are still reverting to	9		attached template."
10	_	the old ways.	10		If we scroll up, we can see the response from the
11	Q.	There is another option, at least one more option, isn't	11		Remediation Team:
12		there, that the Post Office might need the data to	12		"As you can see below, we again sent our ARQ
13		assist a subpostmaster in understanding and discussing	13		requests through to Fujitsu using the older process of
14		their current shortfalls; is that something that is	14		master tracker and summary table. It was returned
15		missing in this mandatory section?	15		refusing to complete the request without us completing
16	Α.	So that would be, wouldn't it? Yes, I'd agree with you	16		the updated form.
17		on that.	17		"Could you please advise next steps as we have ten
18	Q.	There has been, we've seen in an email chain, a dispute	18		ARQ requests outstanding."
19		between the Post Office and Fujitsu in respect of this	19		If we scroll up, please. The response:
20		new form. Can we please turn to FUJ00243233. If we	20		"Further to my earlier emails on this topic, we are
21		start on page 4, please, the bottom of page 3 and into	21		now accumulating a backlog of requests, potentially
22		page 4., you have somebody from the Remediation Team.	22		delaying postmaster redress.
23		If we scroll down, we can see their name. It says as	23		"Can you confirm that [Fujitsu] will process these
24 25		follows: "Please find attached an updated ARQ request tracker	24 25		requests without further delay?" If we turn to page 1, it says as follows:

"We introduce the updated ARQ form to clarify the intended use of ARQs requested by Post Office. Fujitsu does not accept that the introduction of this amended form has caused delay to redress activities. Since it was introduced, the Post Office has requested over 60 ARQs to support redress activities and these have been processed in the usual way. As you have now clarified that the ARQs referred to in your email below are intended to be used to support postmaster redress, I will instruct the team to process those received on the previous version of the form and they will not be subject to additional charges."

If we please look again at page 4, I said that the request came from an email address called the Remediation Team. We see that the requester has the job title or team of Remediation Admin Support Team, Remediation Unit.

Has distrust between Fujitsu and the Post Office reached such a level that, where somebody from the Remediation Admin Support Team in the Remediation Unit requests ARQ data, they are not trusted to be requesting data for that purpose?

- 23 A. The date of this request is 18 June? Am I reading it --
- 24 Q. If we scroll up, yes, 18 June?

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25 A. I think the response from Dan Walton was when?

> "... gave evidence last month, and is due to do so again later this year.

"He admitted to the MPs on the Business Select Committee this year that there had been 'bugs, errors and defects' with Horizon from the start, and apologised for [your] firm's role in the scandal.

"[You now face] the prospect that Fujitsu may be asked to contribute to the compensation fund for the victims, something he has said the firm has a 'moral obligation' to do."

Can you assist us, what do you understand by "moral obligation" or for what purpose did you use that form of words?

14 A. Because the legal process, I think, allows lawyers to spend a lot of time litigating. I think, from my standpoint and from my company's standpoint, and in Tokyo, we felt it was a moral obligation. Now, when I said that back in January, you know, we've learnt an awful lot in the last several months of this Inquiry around what other people and organisations did or didn't do. So I stand by, and the company does stand by, we see ourselves contributing morally to redress to the subpostmasters.

Q. If we scroll down and over the page, please, it quotes 24 25 a spokesperson from Fujitsu to say:

219

Q. 19 June.

A. So one day later?

Q. Yes.

4 A. So --

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

5 Q. Sorry, the Daniel Walton email on page 1 is 21 June.

6 So about four days later, three or four days later. So 7 I don't agree with the premise of the question. I think 8 we're dealing with these matters as speedily as we can 9 do. I think everybody inside Fujitsu is very, very 10 nervous about any documentation, any data that relates 11 to prosecutions or redress. So this is just a natural 12 nervousness. The management team, I think, in this 13 example got to it very quickly, so Simon very quickly 14 flagged it with Dan and it was dealt with very quickly, 15 I would say in three/four days.

16 Q. That can come down and I'll move to my very final topic 17 of today, which is compensation and redress. Could we 18 please bring up on to screen RLIT0000276, please.

> This is an article on the BBC website, addressing pay that was received by Fujitsu bosses during the Horizon contract. There is a section on you from page 7, if we go down and look at page 8, and into page 9. I'll just read to you a few passages from that report. It refers to you, and if we scroll down, it says that you:

> > 218

"Based on the findings of the Inquiry, we will also be working with the UK Government on the appropriate actions, including contribution to compensation. The Fujitsu Group hopes for a swift resolution that ensures a just outcome for the victims."

The Inquiry's evidence has now effectively come to an end, we have our expert witnesses tomorrow but that is the end of the final phase of the Inquiry. You have had an opportunity, Fujitsu have had an opportunity, to hear all of the evidence that has been heard in this Inquiry. Having heard that evidence, how are you going to compensate those affected by Fujitsu's failures?

13 A. So what we've said all the way through is we expect to 14 contribute to redress. We see that as going through the 15 Government, who are the shareholder, and I heard the 16 evidence earlier today. So we see that as being 17 a conversation with Government about what our 18 contribution would or would not look like.

19 Q. What have you suggested to Government that your 20 contribution be?

21 A. I have not suggested any particular number. I heard the 22 questions earlier previous Secretary of State, and 23 I think she's used the term "gesture". We don't feel that this is a gesture conversation. This is too 24 25 serious. But we do want to sit down with the Government

1		and talk about that frankly, and very openly. I thought
2		what Mr Reynolds said this morning as well would be very
3		helpful to that. I have been in constant contact with
4		the Department for Business and Trade, so the Department
5		for Business and Trade and me, and with the Post Office,
6		have been in constant communication around one extension
7		and also about evidence or not providing evidence. So
8		I welcome the opportunity to talk to the new Secretary
9		of State in due course.
10	Q.	You outlined at the beginning of your evidence today

- Q. You outlined at the beginning of your evidence today
 a number of things that you accepted, insofar as
 Fujitsu's role was concerned. The Inquiry, is, as
 I say, coming to the end of its evidence taking what are
 you able to say to the Inquiry about what you are
 proposing by way of compensation?
- 16 A. I can't put a number on it, and I think, if I reflect on
 17 what I've heard from subpostmasters and the families,
 18 there are a number of areas that they need help in, and
 19 we would like to sit down with Government and figure out
 20 how we contribute to that.
- 21 Q. Which in particular?
- 22 A. Well --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. What would you like -- let's assume there is a pot of
 money from Fujitsu. What, in particular, do you
 consider Fujitsu should be contributing to?

221

"According to government procurement data provider Tussell, the Japanese group has won six public sector contracts worth an estimated total of 1.4 million since making this commitment. Two of these contracts have been awarded as recently as September."

We can see there it sets out below in the tables the various contracts. Can you assist us, to what extent were these existing bids, to what extent is that new work that falls under that caveat that has been provided?

A. So I believe the MoD is where we have a contractual obligation to provide hardware. So I think that's what that one is about. We have -- I think, this article talks about 33 -- there it is at the bottom of the page, 33 public sector contracts. So these are existing contracts that we have obligations within. I think the Nuclear Laboratory one, they have stated that this is the last -- this is a software contract and they are moving off that software platform, and that was the licence for the final year, I think it was.

So these were existing contracts where we have contractual obligations to provide those -- either the hardware or, in this case, software.

Q. It says in the article that the pause is a voluntary one
 while the Public Inquiry was ongoing. How do you see

- 1 A. So I'm not going to put number on it, Mr Blake. I think
 2 that's --
- Q. I didn't ask for a number but just in terms of how it
 is. There are existing schemes. Is your plan to give
 the Government money towards the redress that has
 already occurred or operate a separate fund?
- A. Sorry, we are not an organisation that can set up new
 funds or charities, or what have you. We see ourselves
 contributing to whichever scheme the Government and the
 subpostmasters agree is the most appropriate one.
- 11 Q. Can I please take you to RLIT0000433. It's the final
 12 document I'll take you to today. This is a recent
 13 article in The Financial Times. The headline is "Post
 14 Office IT provider Fujitsu won £1.4 million public
 15 sector contracts since promise to stop bidding". I'll
 16 just read a passage from that, if we scroll down,
 17 please. It says:

"After a public outcry, Fujitsu said in January that it would 'voluntarily pause bidding for future public sector contracts' while a public inquiry into the scandal was ongoing.

"However, it did not rule out continuing to bit for work with existing public sector customers, or when the Government needed its 'skills and capability'."

If we go over the page, please, it says:

222

1 that in the future?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I think in due course we'll sit down with Government anddiscuss it.
- 4 Q. Do you intend to resume bids once the Inquiry has5 finished?
- 6 No, I don't intend to resume bidding. I think this is a very emotive topic and we would want to sit down with 7 8 Government and discuss that. We do some things in Government, particularly in quite a dangerous area, 9 10 which we would like to talk to the Government about in 11 due course, but I'm not going to say here today that we 12 are going to start bidding for new contracts. We have 13 not done that for the last several months and my current 14 intention is not to start that tomorrow or the day 15 after.
- 16 MR BLAKE: Thank you.

17 Sir, that brings us to questions from Core
18 Participants. I think we only have two sets of
19 questions, one from Ms Patrick and one from Mr Stein.
20 I'm in your hands, sir, as to whether we can complete
21 those today or whether we return tomorrow morning.
22 They've been allocated one topic each.

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Can I please have, as best you
 can, Mr Stein and Ms Patrick, what are you talking about
 in terms of time?

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

MS PATRICK: Sir, I think --1

2

MR STEIN: On behalf of Howe+Co we'll be 10 to 15 minutes.

3 MS PATRICK: Sir --

4 I apologise, Mr Stein.

5 Sir. I think we'd be around five to six minutes.

6 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Right. So it's now 4.50 and, as you 7 know, my powers of concentration are limited to a normal

8 court day but I think we are all in agreement that it's

9 better for Mr Patterson to finish if he possibly can; is

10 that right, do you both agree?

11 MS PATRICK: Yes. sir.

12 MR STEIN: Sir, yes. There's one difficulty. I'll need to have two minutes to speak to Mr Blake about one 13

particular question. 14

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: All right. 15

MR STEIN: So that will take me two minutes. 16

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: So we'll have a five-minute break and 17

resume at 4.55 and finish by 5.20. 18

19 MR STEIN: Thank you, sir.

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fair? 20

MR STEIN: Yes, sir. 21

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine. 22

23 (4.49 pm)

24 (A short break)

25 (4.54 am)

2

10

12

14

15

16

17

25

225

subpostmasters, including Katie Downey, Rebekah Foot, 1

and Katie Burrows, who sit next to me today. Again,

3 you're nodding. You have to say "yes" or "no" for the 4 transcript.

5 A. Yes, I recognise them now, yes.

6 Q. I think you might be aware that their group is called Lost Chances for Subpostmaster Children? 7

8 A. Yes, I am.

9 Q. Lost Chances for Subpostmaster Children, affected by the

Horizon scandal, they're campaigning for financial

support to address chances and opportunities lost or, as 11

they would say, taken from them, during their childhood

13 as a result of the experiences of their families.

> Now, today, perhaps coincidentally, or fortuitously, while we raised your contact that you'd had with their group with the Secretary of State during his evidence. they've had a response from the Post Office to their

18 request for a meeting with them. But you have met their 19

group, haven't you?

20 A. Yes, I have.

21 Q. During that meeting, they did something that was quite

22 painful for them: they'd written down their stories and

23 they shared each of them with you, everything that they

had gone through, didn't they? 24

A. Yes, they did.

MR BLAKE: Thank you, sir, we're going to hear from

2 Ms Patrick and then Mr Stein.

3 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine.

Questioned by MS PATRICK

5 MS PATRICK: Mr Patterson, good afternoon.

6 A. Sorry.

4

7 Q. Are you okay?

8 A. Yes, indeed, yes. Thank you.

Q. My name is Ms Patrick and I ask questions on behalf of 9

10 a number of subpostmasters who were wrongfully convicted

11 and have since had their convictions guashed. I only

12 want to raise one topic. When you gave evidence in

13 January, at the end of your evidence you committed to

14 meeting subpostmasters and their families; is that

15 riaht?

17

16 A. I committed to meeting subpostmasters and the families

contacted me.

Q. Yes. In August, early August, seven months on, you met 18

19 then with representatives of the children who suffered

20 as a result of their parents being prosecuted and

21 convicted.

22 You're nodding, Mr Patterson. You have to say "yes"

or "no". 23

24 A. Oh, sorry, yes, yes.

25 Q. In that meeting, there were nine children of former

226

Q. It was in very moving terms, to be frank, wasn't it? 1

2 A. It was.

3 Q. They explained that their lost opportunities and their

trauma, it just isn't caught by any existing

5 compensation redress or restorative justice scheme; is

6 that fair?

A. That's my understanding, yes. 7

8 You listened to what they had to say and you said you

would take it away and you would come back to them?

10 A. Yes.

9

14

Q. That's over three months ago now, August, isn't it? 11

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Yes. Now, Hudgells Solicitors has regularly sought

updates on their behalf from Fujitsu as to what would

15 happen and when; is that fair?

16 A. I believe so.

17 Q. Just very briefly, we don't have to go through the

18 detail, in September, on the 13th, your solicitors for

19 Fujitsu replied and said that your team were continuing

20 to study what you had learned during your meeting in

21 August. Can I just ask briefly, very briefly, what had

22 you learned in your August meeting?

23 So the -- I think the two or three colleagues -- sorry,

24 the three members of the group sitting beside you, it

25 was a very difficult day for them and it was a very

10

11

12

13

14

24

25

difficult day to listen. They had a number of ideas, some of which I had no -- I've got no experience of personally. I don't know even how we would execute those things and I think I said in the meetings we are not the right people to -- the right organisation to do some of those things. But let us think about could we find organisations. But, equally, I think the group were also structuring themselves differently to allow us to potentially engage with them in a more structured way than the way that it was previously.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

So we do want to engage in that way. I'm still unclear about what things we can do other than sums of money, the list of -- I think, the education topic that we talked about, the mental health topic that we talked about, how we can, as a company help, help in that way, and I think there was also a conversation around legacy on education. I didn't bring my notes with me on that particular meeting.

So we still want to do that. Frankly, we've struggled, though, to figure out how.

Q. Can I ask you to pause there for one moment because I know we're very short of time. The last reply they received, I believe, suggests you would revert with the timetable around engagement towards the end of this year but that you had no further updates at this time, and

we think we could help with. I think that we'd want to explore those again, not to -- I think, in fact, Rebekah, you made a point about kicking the ball down the road -- not to do that, but we struggled, frankly, to find a way to engage it.

Now, I think, if my memory is right, you've also structured yourself slightly different now, I believe. I owe it to you to have a look at what that new structure looks like and is there a way we could engage with before the end of the calendar year.

11 Q. Thank you, Mr --

12 A. And I don't know off the top of my head what your new 13 structure looks like. Okay.

14 MS PATRICK: Thank you, Mr Patterson. There have been 15 updates provided to Fujitsu and I'm very grateful for 16 your indication that there would be further engagement.

17 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Before Mr Stein asks you any questions, 18 Mr Patterson, can I just get clarity about one point. 19 So far as Fujitsu is concerned, does the end of the 20 Inquiry for taking steps mean at the close of play on 21 17 December, when the last final oral submissions are 22 made, or does it mean when I report?

23 A. I haven't given it, Sir Wyn, any practical thoughts, 24 actually. I am hoping, once you finish taking all the 25 evidence, we can sit down with Government, given that

that came through Fujitsu's solicitors. Does that mean 2 that it will be the end of the year before you can take 3 a position on whether you can engage further with the 4 Lost Chances organisation and with the people sitting 5 here: is that what that means?

6 A. So I think there was something else that happened today 7 with the Secretary of State, where he also said he 8 thought there was a theme in here or topic in here that 9 we could be involved in.

> I don't know what format that engagement with two of you, three of you, the nine of you, could be before the end of the calendar year but I won't stay silent. So I won't stay silent.

14 So you won't stay silent. Can I just ask, you said 15 before the end of the year. Now, given everything that 16 you've said today, and we know what you've said about 17 waiting until the end of the Inquiry, given everything 18 you've said today about that meeting and Fujitsu's role 19 in the scandal, is there really any need for this work, 20 this engagement, to wait until the end of the Inquiry to 21 decide where Fujitsu might fit?

22 So for us as a company, it's very difficult to 23 understand, when we had the first conversation, very 24 difficult to understand where that engagement could be, what could we do. You had a list of several topics that 25

1 we'll know a number of themes and a number of 2 conclusions, shall we say, given everybody has listened 3 to it. But I hadn't given it a definitive date, sir.

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Well, that's all right but I would like 5 you to ponder that because I think everybody will 6 realise that there is bound to be a period of time, 7 I won't commit myself to any particular period of time, 8 between 17 December and when I produce a report, and 9 I think it would help both clarity and transparency if 10 Fujitsu did clarify that.

A. I will take that up with the Department as well. Thank 11 12 you, sir.

SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, all right. Mr Stein. 13

Questioned by MR STEIN

15 MR STEIN: Thank you, Mr Patterson. We've met before.

16 Can we go to straight to a document which is 17 FUJ00243199. That's the letter dated 17 May that you're 18 familiar with. On that first page, can we scroll down, 19 please, to (ii), "pursuit of shortfalls from 20 postmasters". Let's just read through that together. 21 So this is a letter from you to Mr Read and the relevant 22 part that I'm going to take you to therefore says: 23

"It seems that the Post Office may be continuing to pursue postmasters for shortfalls in their accounts using Horizon data. We would have expected that the

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

- Post Office has changed its behaviour in light of the 1
- 2 criticisms and is appropriately circumspect with respect
- 3 to any enforcement actions. It should not be relying on
- 4 Horizon data as the basis for such shortfall
- 5 enforcement."

6

- Now, this appears to be a fairly serious letter.
- 7 Did you mean what this says?
- 8 A. I know what I wrote.
- Q. Did you mean it? 9
- 10 A. Well, it's what I wrote, Mr Stein.
- 11 Q. Well, you either mean something or you don't,
- 12 Mr Patterson. Is that correct in what it seems to say,
- 13 which is that the Post Office should not be using
- Horizon data to go after subpostmasters for shortfalls? 14
- A. I think, as I've said during the last hour or so, there 15
- 16 is multiple data points that the Post Office should be
- 17 using before they conclude whether a shortfall or
- a theft has taken place. 18
- 19 Q. Let's try it one more time. Well, let's try it again.
- 20 "It should not be relying on Horizon data as the 21 basis for shortfall enforcement."
- 22 When you wrote that, was that what you felt?
- 23 A. Yes, Mr Stein.
- Q. Do you still stand by that? 24
- A. I think, as I've given in evidence today several times,
- data point, in other words that there should be 1 2
 - something else outside of Horizon data. Is that what
- 3 you're trying to say here in your evidence?
- 4 A. Yes, and that was my point earlier about the complete
- 5 supply chain that provides information to Horizon, or
- 6 actually to subpostmasters themselves. You cannot rely
- solely -- forgive me -- solely on one data source. 7
- 8 Q. Right. So on its own, Horizon data its insufficient to
- mount a case against a subpostmaster for a shortfall? 9
- 10 Is that what you're trying to say?
- A. So I am not a legal person. I'm not a legal -- in my 11
- 12 view and in our company's view, you cannot rely on that
- 13 solely for the data.
- 14 Q. To pursue people for shortfalls?
- 15
- 16 Q. Right. Fujitsu's position on this: will you support the
- 17 Post Office in pursuing subpostmasters for shortfalls?
- 18 A. We've said we won't do that.
- 19 Q. Right, okay. So let's add this all together. Fujitsu
- 20 will not support the Post Office if the Post Office is
- 21 going after a postmaster for a shortfall either in, I
- 22 suppose, correspondence with that subpostmaster, or in
- 23 court? Is that correct?
- A. I thought you were going to add another sentence: "based 24
- 25 solely on Horizon data."
 - 235

- you cannot rely on just one data source.
- 2 Q. Well, that's not quite the same thing, is it?
- 3 A. No, it is exactly the same thing. It's Horizon data,
- 4 and I've said you can't rely on one source of data.
- 5 Q. Right. So Horizon data should not be used by the Post
 - Office to go after subpostmasters for what the Post
- 7 Office reckons may be money owed by the subpostmaster?
- 8 Is that right?

6

17

- A. So Mr Stein, there's four investigations at the moment 9
- 10 with the police. We have given material to the police
- 11 which talks about all the data that they could --
- 12 should, frankly -- be looking at to determine whether
- 13 a theft has taken place, or whatever the crime is.
- 14 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Does it amount to this, Mr Patterson:
- 15 that perhaps with, to use that phrase much loved of in
- 16 this Inquiry, "with the benefit of hindsight", this
 - sentence should read: "It should not be relying solely
- 18 on Horizon data", et cetera?
- 19 A. Yes, Sir Wyn, yes.
- 20 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Fine. Thank you.
- 21 A. I have the words "basis" and "solely" as
- 22 interchangeable.
- SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Right. 23
- 24 MR STEIN: The point you made earlier in your evidence,
- 25 Mr Patterson, was one whereby you referred to it as one
- Q. Well, that's the one you've added today, prompted by 1
- 2
- 3 A. Well, I'll go the other way. Based on shortfall data.
- 4

12

- A. So whether you want to use "basis" or "solely", the same 5 6 thing applies.
- Q. So what's going to have to happen: you're going to have 7
- 8 to be persuaded that there's something else other than
- 9 Horizon data before you provide support to the Post
- 10 Office? How's it going to work, Mr Patterson?
- A. So, as I've said in my evidence today, there are 11
- 13 data, look at the YouGov data about different places

multiple data sources, and if you look at the YouGov

- 14 where errors or omissions or issues can occur, all of
- 15 that needs to be in play and the investigation needs to
- 16 be not taking a narrow view, but a holistic view.
- So are you going to get the Post Office to come to you, 17 Q.
- 18 provide the data, and then see what else comes up, or do
- 19 you need the support, in other words something other
- 20 than Horizon data, before you hand over the Horizon
- 21 data? Which is it?
- 22 So Horizon data, as I said earlier, will be inside the
- 23 Post Office's house by February, all historical data.
- 24 So they'll have all the data that is required. And
- 25 I think in evidence from several members of the Post

1	Office Executive, they've got that data already. So
2	they've got the data that they need. My evidence point
3	is I would like to be satisfied that they are using more
4	than just one data source. And I've not seen anything,
5	anything, which tells me that they're using more than
6	one data source.

- Q. I see. So in that sense, if we put this all together,
 frankly, you don't trust the Post Office in order to get
 this right?
- 10 A. On this particular topic, I absolutely don't trust the11 Post Office.
- 12 Q. You mentioned one further point in your evidence to
 13 Mr Blake. This is about the age of the system, okay?
 14 You said this:

"To give this some context, we have not turned offsome of this equipment because it's so old."

- 17 A. Mm-hm.
- 18 Q. Help us understand what would happen if you did turn it 19 off?
- A. I've got no idea. So in my experience of 35 years in
 this industry, you know, if you do not do disaster
 recovery, if you do not turn things off, if you don't
 keep them upgraded, I cannot determine what will or will
 not happen, which is part of our nervousness about any
 extension.

You said this:

"Mr Stein, the Inquiry and the explanation of the history of all of this is getting a great deal of attention and support from us. Our company here in the UK has many things in society, around the country, around the UK. I think the suggestion you've just made, and clearly [the transcript says 'clearly in a conversation', I think it means 'is a conversation'] if I were able to come to and engage with subpostmasters and their representation, their representatives, we would -- would be absolutely something we would like to consider."

So you appeared to be giving a positive answer to the general suggestion, which is providing some way to provide support.

Today, in answer to the questions on such matters, you've said that Fujitsu wishes to engage in a discussion with Government -- you mentioned also subpostmasters -- as to providing the financial support that would go to Government.

Now, has Fujitsu written off doing something more creative than that? In other words, setting up a fund, bursaries for the children, for the families, for the people with lost opportunities? Have they written that off, or is it just going to be money to Government?

Q. Let's turn back to the questions that I asked you now so
 many months ago in January of this year. Now, I asked
 you these questions, which was:

"You're aware of the effect of this scandal upon subpostmasters. It's felt by their families, by their loved ones, by their partners, by their children. This devastated not only the subpostmasters' individual lives but everyone around them. Subpostmasters, because they could not see what was going on, would sometimes blame their own partners or their employees, and the effect, therefore, of this scandal is much more widespread than on the subpostmasters themselves, whose lives sometimes have been terminated by this scandal."

Then I asked you this:

"Will Fujitsu commit to providing funds in recompense for all those hurts to others outside of subpostmasters and the contribution scheme? In other words, financial redress to support others that have been affected?"

I asked this:

"You may want to think that what could be done by Fujitsu is supporting people in the future, subpostmasters in future entrepreneurial endeavours, their families in such, or in education; will Fujitsu consider that type of support?"

A. So when I met the subpostmasters there were many, many suggestions, and in fact one subpostmaster made it clear to me the company should not be giving any money to Government at all. We are not a -- we've got no experience of setting up these things or doing these things. Or, as I just said to the Lost Families, we were hoping that through Government channels we'd be able to provide funds to contribute to some of those areas. To be more creative, we're struggling to find out how we can be more creative, frankly, because that's not something that we've got any experience in.

I was hoping, equally, from this morning's conversation, that there would be more activity in and around the law in changing the law or lobbying for the law to change, because I was personally, as a normal citizen, surprised that the families did not have recourse to some of those other areas of compensation. But I haven't written it off, Mr Stein. I'm just not quite sure where to go.

Q. Well, where to go, Mr Patterson, is to go back to the
 representatives representing people that have been in
 this situation, and say, "Can you help? We are" -- if
 this is right -- "Fujitsu is trying to see what can be
 done. Do you have experience with compensation,
 frameworks, redress schemes, restorative justice

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 November 2024

1 schemes?"

2

3

4

14

15

16

17

4

5

6

You will find that Howe+Co, Mr Enright, who sits to my left, who sits to Mr Kelly's right -- you may recall Mr Kelly from meeting him --

- 5 A. Yes, I do.
- 6 Q. -- he does have that expertise. The people are out 7 there, Mr Patterson. Why haven't you reached out since 8 January of this year to those people that can provide 9 such assistance for you on these important queries?
- 10 A. Sir, there's no reason why I haven't reached out. I've 11 had the meetings, Mr Stein. Thank you for the 12 suggestion. We'll have the conversation in due course 13 with the colleagues.

As an IT company, we are unsure where to go and what to do about this. We were hoping that the compensation schemes and contributing to the Government compensation schemes would be a suitable vehicle for redress.

- Q. But you know that those compensation schemes are not 18 19 providing this type of support to the wider family. So 20 all that appears to be happening is Fujitsu is 21 contemplating a contribution, subbing the Government for 22 the compensation schemes that are currently in place. 23 That's what you seem to be saying.
- 24 A. Sir, that is what I was saying earlier. And what you're 25 suggesting to me is that your organisational colleagues

Is that this year, or is that at some point next year 1 2 when the Inquiry reports?"

3 Okay, fine.

> Why is it that Fujitsu wants to wait until the end of the Inquiry before dealing with such matters? What's the rationale?

A. So when I sat in this room in January, there were some 7 8 things I knew, and I didn't know. I've now spent the 9 last seven months, as you have, listening to evidence 10 about what other people in other organisations did or didn't do. The notion that this is all down to 11 12 a software bug and has contributed to the largest 13 miscarriage of justice in the United Kingdom is 14 incorrect. These are complex matters, as you well know, 15 based over many, many decades, and we have learnt, as an 16 organisation, a great deal about the causes of this 17 miscarriage of justice. 18 Q. Yes, well, let's go back to my question: why is it that

19 Fujitsu needs to await the close of this Inquiry before 20 it deals with matters such as compensation or 21 contributions towards compensation? 22 A. For the reasons I've just given: these are complex 23 matters which we need to understand all those 24 components. Now, what we do know, and as I said to 25 Sir Wyn just a moment ago, by the time we get to the end

243

may have some expertise in these other areas, and we'll 2 have that conversation.

3 Q. Is there a standstill agreement between the civil action

4 from the Post Office and Fujitsu as to whether the one, 5

Post Office, will sue the other, Fujitsu?

6 A. Yes, there is.

7 Q. So in essence, what may be happening here, do you agree, 8 Mr Patterson, is Fujitsu is considering paying some

9 compensation towards the compensation funds in order to

10 stave off, as a way of settling the action from the Post

11 Office against Fujitsu? That's what it appears to be,

12 Mr Patterson.

A. Well, it's not, Mr Stein. 13

14 Q. So any funds committed by Fujitsu towards compensation 15 will not be in settlement to the claim between Post 16 Office and Fujitsu is that what you're saying?

17 A. So, Mr Stein, I have had no conversation with the Post 18 Office about the standstill agreement. That's our legal 19 teams and our legal teams. As far as I'm concerned, if 20 there's any contribution to compensation, it will always 21

be outside that conversation. 22 Q. Lastly, where in all of this is the situation that's 23 been described: which is that Fujitsu awaits the close 24 of the Inquiry? Now, Sir Wyn has asked you some 25 questions: "What do you mean by the end of the Inquiry?

- of this week we'll have a better idea on a number of 1 2 those topics about how we can contribute. You have
- 3 given me a suggestion here, and I've already committed

to meeting with the Lost Families.

- Q. But Mr Patterson, you already accept that there is 5 6 a need for Fujitsu to put its money where its mouth is.
- 7 You would accept that there is at least a discussion to
- 8 be had about supporting people that have been affected
- by the scandal. Why wait? Is this legal advice: wait 9 10 until the end of the Inquiry when it's all gone guiet?
- A. Far from it, gone quiet. I don't expect this to go 11 12 quiet at all. Why should it go quiet? These are

13 serious matters.

14 Q. But is this your decision to wait until the end of the Inquiry, or is it legal advice? What is it, Mr Fujitsu 15 16 (sic)? Who is telling you to wait until the end of the 17 Inquiry?

18 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: I'm not sure about answering that 19 question fully. He can say, "I'm not going to tell you 20 about our legal advice", can't he?

21 MR STEIN: That's true, but it is his choice.

22 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, well I'm just reminding him he has 23 a choice.

24 MR STEIN: Yes.

25 Mr Patterson?

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

1	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: I mean it is the reality, Mr Patterson,	1	A.	Mr Stein, you've asked me that question before as well,
2		isn't it, that by listening to all the evidence first,	2		and the answer is I won't commit to that.
3		you will have a much better idea about the culpability	3	Q.	You won't commit to that?
4		of Fujitsu, if any; isn't that the reality of it?	4	A.	No, not sooner rather than later. You've just given me
5	A.	Yes, it is, Sir Wyn.	5		a whole bunch of caveats to that meeting. You've just
6	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Fine. Thank you.	6		offered to me that you've got some experience in those
7	MR	STEIN: So the end result of this is that we know the	7		areas. I will talk to my team about how do we get the
8		Post Office has indicated that they wish to bring	8		value from that conversation. But I'm not going to sit
9		forward restorative justice proposals. Will Fujitsu	9		down and commit to meeting Mr Enright tomorrow or today
10		commit to supporting that?	10		or whenever. I need to have that conversation first.
11	A.	So you asked me a question a moment ago: do I trust the	11	Q.	All right, well that's avoiding tomorrow
12		Post Office?	12	A.	Well, you said the words "tomorrow" and "today" and you
13	Q.	Well, you've got a choice, Mr Patterson. You can reject	13		gave me a number of caveats.
14		working with the Post Office as you like. Will Fujitsu	14	Q.	How about before Christmas, Mr
15		commit to supporting restorative justice proposals?	15	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: That's enough, gentlemen. That's enough.
16	A.	So the new management team inside the Post Office,	16		I think I've got the picture.
17		I would welcome that opportunity to sit down and talk to	17		Thank you very much, and it's finishing dead on
18		them about those topics, yes, Mr Stein.	18		time, Mr Stein, so well done.
19	Q.	Sooner rather than later, Mr Patterson?	19	MR	STEIN: Thank you, sir.
20	A.	I spoke to the Post Office Executives on Friday, and I'm	20	SIR	WYN WILLIAMS: Right. Good.
21		speaking to them again on Thursday. So sooner rather	21		We'll resume again at 10.00 tomorrow, but first
22		than later, yes.	22		or lastly, today I should thank you, Mr Patterson,
23	Q.	Avoiding any other problems, will you commit also to	23		for appearing again at the Inquiry, for producing
24		meeting with Mr Enright and his team from Howe+Co sooner	24		witness statements on behalf of Fujitsu, and making the
25		rather than later	25		commitments to the extent that you have. Thank you.
		245			246

1	THE WITNESS: Thank you, Sir Wyn.
2	(5.21 pm)
3	(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am the following day)
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	247

	Ν	n	=	v
•	N	υ		Λ

JONATHAN NEIL REYNOLDS MP (sworn)	1
Questioned by MR BLAKE	1
Questioned by MR STEIN	45
Questioned by MS SHAH	59
Questioned by MS PATRICK	71
Questioned by SIR WYN WILLIAMS	75
KEMI BADENOCH MP (sworn)	78
Questioned by MR BEER	78
Questioned by MR STEIN	151
Questioned by MS PATRICK	162
Questioned by MR HENRY	168
Questioned by SIR WYN WILLIAMS	171

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

WILLIAM PAUL PATTERSON (sworn)	174
Questioned by MR BLAKE	174
Questioned by MS PATRICK	226
Questioned by MR STEIN	232

'I [2] 30/20 30/22 81/2 21 February [3] **5.00 [1]** 173/15 **5.20 [1]** 225/18 'I think [1] 30/22 **163k [1]** 100/9 81/25 84/23 85/9 MR BEER: [15] 78/6 'I wish [1] 30/20 **167 [1]** 67/3 **21 June [1]** 218/5 **5.21 [1]** 247/2 78/8 78/11 115/6 **50 years [1]** 35/20 'is [1] 239/8 **168 [1]** 67/4 **21st [1]** 147/3 115/10 115/12 115/17 **17 [3]** 51/13 178/6 **50,000 [3]** 16/5 17/18 'moral [1] 219/9 **22 July [2]** 15/16 115/19 151/13 151/16 'skills [1] 222/24 178/11 15/22 18/10 152/4 168/4 168/6 **23 [2]** 106/12 106/24 **50k [4]** 13/16 16/16 substantial [1] 30/17 17 December [2] 171/2 173/17 16/17 18/3 'victim' [1] 205/14 231/21 232/8 **23 August [1]** 183/13 MR BLAKE: [27] 1/3 'voluntarily [1] **17 May [2]** 192/15 **23 February [1]** 86/7 **57 [1]** 179/1 1/5 1/8 1/12 1/16 1/21 222/19 232/17 23 January [1] 133/1 5k [1] 19/11 26/2 28/12 30/5 42/10 'you [1] 125/19 **17-month [1]** 81/14 23 September [1] **5s [2]** 152/2 152/9 45/2 45/8 59/20 70/6 **172 [1]** 37/4 174/21 70/14 70/18 70/21 **18 [2]** 217/23 217/24 **23rd [1]** 136/10 70/25 77/24 78/2 **1,000 [2]** 113/25 **18 months [1]** 96/1 6 September [1] 81/8 **24 [1]** 157/21 173/23 173/25 174/5 178/8 **19 [3]** 23/23 26/4 6 September 2022 [1] 24 January [1] 185/20 209/17 224/16 **1.09 [1]** 115/14 81/2 218/1 132/23 226/1 **1.4 million [2]** 222/14 **60 [1]** 217/5 19 per cent [1] 179/5 **24th [1]** 136/10 MR HENRY: [2] 223/3 **60 hours [1]** 121/15 **1999 [2]** 185/1 **25** [5] 140/9 141/2 168/13 170/24 **1.8 billion [1]** 10/7 **600 [1]** 19/10 210/15 141/22 213/1 213/2 **MR STEIN: [16] 10 [4]** 86/5 132/21 **66 [1]** 213/1 **1k [1]** 19/12 **25 years [1]** 200/22 45/10 59/10 151/20 179/6 225/2 **26 July [1]** 205/6 152/6 162/14 225/2 10 years [1] 35/20 26 September [3] 2/1 225/12 225/16 225/19 7 February [2] 81/8 **10,000 [2]** 108/15 2 September [1] 78/17 79/8 225/21 232/15 234/24 81/10 108/15 116/1 **27 [1]** 176/18 244/21 244/24 245/7 7 November [1] **10.00 [3]** 1/2 246/21 **2.00 [2]** 115/10 27 January [2] 246/19 66/12 247/3 115/16 117/22 118/8 MS PATRICK: [9] **10.11 [1]** 48/18 **2010 [2]** 3/2 175/22 **7 October [1]** 79/3 28 September [1] 71/2 75/2 162/17 **72 [1]** 176/18 **10.59 [1]** 42/2 **2011 [1]** 84/14 174/14 168/1 225/1 225/3 **2012 [2]** 84/13 201/8 **75,000 [5]** 17/2 22/10 **100 [2]** 19/11 132/6 29 December [1] 225/11 226/5 231/14 22/19 26/20 28/21 100 per cent [1] **2014 [1]** 174/14 174/16 MS SHAH: [2] 59/22 75k [13] 13/7 13/13 160/13 **2017 [2]** 79/18 80/15 70/5 3 16/7 16/9 16/13 16/21 **100,000 [1]** 124/3 **2019 [12]** 45/13 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS: 3.23 [1]** 173/20 17/22 19/9 19/18 100k [2] 100/22 48/12 48/14 80/16 **[66]** 1/4 1/7 1/11 107/19 80/18 83/11 152/25 **3.35 [2]** 173/18 21/11 21/18 28/15 1/14 1/17 25/25 26/3 29/3 **10k [1]** 100/12 153/5 155/10 155/19 173/22 28/7 28/13 29/8 30/3 **11 [2]** 95/4 134/14 **77 [2]** 209/21 209/21 157/15 158/1 **30 [4]** 91/1 156/23 42/2 45/6 70/10 70/17 11 November 2024 209/20 209/21 **2019-24 [1]** 157/21 70/20 75/4 77/17 2020 [5] 80/21 96/1 **[1]** 1/1 31 March [2] 20/19 77/23 78/1 78/7 115/8 8 August [1] 174/19 **11.00 [2]** 1/8 41/25 153/6 153/6 207/7 209/23 115/11 115/18 168/3 **8 July [2]** 182/23 33 [3] 198/22 223/14 **11.37 [1]** 70/22 **2021 [7]** 80/21 81/2 168/5 168/9 171/4 195/9 **11.45 [2]** 70/20 70/24 82/24 96/1 106/13 223/15 171/8 171/16 172/19 **85,000 [1]** 22/19 153/6 153/7 **11.59 [1]** 78/3 **33 pages [1]** 208/19 172/25 173/6 173/13 **8k [1]** 100/12 **35 years [1]** 237/20 **12 [1]** 147/23 **2022 [9]** 81/2 81/8 173/19 173/24 174/2 12 months [4] 83/19 116/1 153/7 **36 [2]** 37/7 141/8 185/16 185/19 208/7 123/24 178/19 179/1 153/8 153/8 153/8 38 [1] 171/6 208/14 208/20 208/24 9 August [3] 74/7 179/4 174/16 39 [1] 95/4 209/3 209/5 209/9 99/2 104/9 **12.14 [1]** 78/5 **2023 [20]** 81/8 81/10 209/14 224/23 225/6 9.00 [1] 198/6 **13 [3]** 60/9 105/15 81/23 81/25 82/9 83/2 92 [1] 178/16 225/15 225/17 225/20 **4 July [1]** 49/15 134/21 83/20 84/5 84/23 225/22 226/3 231/17 **95,000 [1]** 22/19 **4.00 [1]** 198/7 13th [1] 228/18 85/10 86/8 98/21 232/4 232/13 234/14 **4.49 [1]** 225/23 **14 [4]** 79/10 176/2 98/25 99/2 102/9 234/20 234/23 244/18 **4.50 [1]** 225/6 176/3 179/5 104/8 110/10 116/8 244/22 245/1 245/6 **A2 [1]** 78/18 **4.54 [1]** 225/25 14 pages [1] 79/4 174/18 180/21 246/15 246/20 ability [3] 14/21 **4.55 [1]** 225/18 **2024 [18]** 1/1 19/10 14 September [1] THE WITNESS: [9] 57/23 72/12 78/17 79/3 79/8 81/11 **40 [1]** 156/23 174/17 59/19 77/21 115/13 able [44] 2/23 6/8 **40-day [1]** 6/21 **15 [2]** 77/24 225/2 81/18 83/12 116/19 151/15 162/15 168/2 10/3 14/17 15/15 **41 [2]** 147/23 147/24 15 December [1] 117/22 118/8 140/2 171/1 173/12 247/1 16/13 20/24 22/1 22/3 157/17 174/19 174/21 44 [1] 105/14 180/21 22/15 23/9 23/10 47 [1] 103/22 **15 hours [1]** 121/18 182/23 202/14 203/9 24/16 24/17 28/15 49 [1] 178/14 15 September 2021 **2024/25 [3]** 140/9 '23 [1] 81/14 30/24 36/7 38/13 **[1]** 80/21 141/2 141/22 '24 [1] 81/15 42/18 44/25 49/1 50/9 **16 [3]** 20/16 51/13 **2025 [2]** 30/12 'bugs [1] 219/4 67/15 71/13 98/11 5 July [2] 81/11 184/12 209/23 'clearly [1] 239/7 100/22 147/10 150/23 81/17 16 September [1] **21 August [1]** 106/13

able... [16] 150/25 151/2 164/16 165/4 169/23 169/25 186/24 187/15 202/20 203/9 205/9 210/19 210/20 221/14 239/9 240/8 about [242] 5/5 5/18 11/7 14/3 18/9 20/16 22/18 23/15 23/22 24/1 24/21 25/3 26/1 26/13 26/19 28/9 28/18 31/2 31/9 32/15 34/16 35/4 36/23 37/14 37/24 39/10 40/1 40/8 41/2 42/15 43/5 43/10 43/23 44/3 44/16 44/16 45/21 46/18 48/17 48/18 48/19 51/9 52/2 52/17 55/12 56/24 57/4 58/4 58/15 58/16 61/22 62/1 62/16 62/17 63/15 63/20 64/2 64/5 65/5 65/6 65/6 65/17 65/19 70/1 71/9 71/10 72/17 72/18 73/12 73/16 73/20 73/23 74/22 82/3 82/6 82/12 83/1 83/6 87/16 88/4 90/9 90/19 91/1 94/1 94/21 94/22 97/10 97/10 97/16 97/24 101/5 107/8 108/5 108/22 109/17 110/1 110/6 110/7 113/1 114/13 116/2 116/9 116/16 117/25 123/12 AC [1] 203/5 124/10 125/18 126/2 126/19 126/22 127/2 127/6 127/13 128/6 128/6 128/16 129/16 129/20 130/13 130/14 130/21 130/24 132/4 132/24 134/5 135/14 135/16 135/19 135/22 135/25 136/14 136/20 136/22 137/2 137/10 137/17 138/17 141/1 141/3 143/2 143/3 143/5 143/19 144/7 144/17 147/20 148/4 149/12 149/13 150/11 150/12 152/15 153/15 154/2 154/17 155/21 156/23 158/22 158/24 159/4 160/14 160/19 160/24 162/22 163/1 163/13 163/16 163/21 163/22 164/1 164/3 164/11 164/15 165/2 165/3 165/6 165/16 165/22 165/23 168/5

168/10 169/5 170/7 172/12 176/2 176/14 177/22 182/17 186/10 188/24 188/25 197/2 197/24 198/15 200/6 200/14 201/22 203/3 207/1 207/17 207/18 208/2 208/4 210/24 212/8 213/19 218/6 218/10 220/17 221/1 221/7 221/14 223/13 223/14 224/10 224/24 accountant [2] 225/13 229/6 229/12 229/14 229/15 230/16 accounted [2] 9/18 230/18 231/3 231/18 234/11 235/4 236/13 237/13 237/24 241/15 242/18 243/10 243/16 244/2 244/8 244/18 244/20 245/3 245/18 246/7 246/14 above [7] 19/21 22/19 22/23 151/2 199/19 200/4 209/22 absence [2] 114/20 115/6 absolutely [27] 10/22 11/11 22/21 26/2 34/2 accurate [8] 6/6 7/3 36/2 41/15 58/19 61/21 62/6 63/8 69/3 69/16 70/14 71/16 161/16 167/20 172/23 119/23 173/2 173/4 179/16 209/4 209/13 209/13 215/2 237/10 239/11 abuses [2] 65/21 66/6 accelerate [1] 95/9 accelerating [2] 99/4 100/21 acceleration [2] 104/12 142/19 accept [16] 17/2 20/5 21/10 21/22 22/3 24/12 148/10 164/18 167/18 177/5 177/13 177/17 214/1 217/3 244/5 244/7 acceptance [2] 55/18 acted [2] 138/2 139/2 183/20 accepted [8] 20/3 25/18 25/19 26/14 139/4 191/20 215/8 221/11 accepting [2] 36/23 61/4 accepts [2] 176/4 177/4 access [11] 23/21 52/5 71/13 71/19 72/1

72/25 73/12 146/21

183/6 183/10 199/9

According [1] 223/1

account [4] 28/4 79/14 148/13 199/24 accountabilities [1] 60/16 accountability [13] 5/10 5/12 36/23 60/13 240/13 61/2 65/14 67/17 148/17 149/1 149/5 149/13 149/24 166/15 accountable [2] 4/13 20/12 186/10 187/11 57/12 accounting [7] 8/4 101/4 101/23 185/8 186/19 192/23 199/22 accounts [10] 9/13 185/3 185/6 185/23 187/17 187/20 188/6 193/14 194/23 232/24 add [4] 66/17 149/1 accumulating [1] 216/21 accuracy [5] 32/20 33/8 104/2 108/5 186/3 120/6 120/8 136/17 136/21 160/14 188/17 accurately [2] 87/3 accusation [1] 180/16 accusing [1] 206/12 achieve [6] 30/15 104/15 138/18 144/4 205/2 214/24 achieved [1] 5/15 acknowledge [2] 62/15 128/21 acknowledgement **[1]** 166/25 across [5] 39/2 54/15 202/18 204/10 132/10 142/17 169/23 addressing [1] act [11] 33/11 34/12 38/8 39/20 71/4 84/14 adequate [2] 22/11 124/25 138/21 162/18 50/12 192/19 203/20 acting [8] 69/15 112/4 138/9 138/11 138/14 148/18 207/15 Admin [2] 217/16 211/24 action [13] 12/9 14/16 17/17 43/8 74/11 101/3 113/23 138/5 177/18 204/17 214/16 242/3 242/10 actions [7] 53/24 175/14 192/20 204/21

213/10 220/3 233/3

actively [3] 196/16

active [1] 64/9

206/17 206/22 activities [7] 53/2 182/2 194/11 194/22 210/16 217/4 217/6 activity [2] 205/16 Acts [1] 35/1 actual [5] 20/5 46/1 91/19 123/19 212/6 actually [31] 4/24 9/15 55/14 58/12 71/21 76/4 76/9 100/17 103/14 113/8 114/17 118/25 120/25 123/17 124/5 124/6 125/15 130/2 130/8 131/14 139/16 151/3 155/1 155/7 156/12 167/2 168/20 179/23 211/3 231/24 235/6 adamant [1] 95/16 235/19 235/24 added [1] 236/1 adding [1] 150/15 addition [2] 47/25 199/11 additional [4] 12/6 100/19 214/13 217/12 advocate [2] 53/17 Addleshaw [1] 2/25 address [16] 43/23 65/20 66/2 124/9 128/24 141/25 163/5 174/12 175/12 192/24 affected [13] 30/11 193/7 193/18 195/20 204/3 217/14 227/11 addressed [8] 15/21 41/13 56/16 58/4 136/10 136/11 174/25 193/10 addresses [10] 16/5 19/5 30/7 30/8 41/16 104/17 195/7 200/2 218/19 adjourned [1] 247/3 Adjournment [1] 115/15 adjusted [1] 178/1 217/20 administer [1] 16/8 administration [4] 71/11 71/12 71/14 72/21 administrations [1] 71/20 administrative [1] 19/19 admissibility [1] 192/8

admitted [2] 30/14 219/3 adult [1] 123/22 advance [2] 28/8 105/6 advantages [2] 42/25 101/3 adversarial [1] 63/13 adverse [1] 181/9 advice [34] 12/14 13/19 19/24 20/20 20/25 21/1 21/6 21/9 21/14 21/19 21/23 22/4 22/16 22/20 23/21 23/24 26/12 26/19 26/23 27/3 27/6 27/8 27/14 27/21 68/6 85/25 89/6 103/11 112/13 123/11 139/4 244/9 244/15 244/20 advise [4] 51/22 152/19 191/4 216/17 advised [3] 102/16 133/3 160/18 advisers [1] 100/18 advises [1] 91/5 **Advisory [2]** 24/12 72/5 139/5 advocating [1] 104/1 **affairs [4]** 3/25 34/10 72/11 84/23 45/11 57/18 57/19 57/20 57/22 58/2 63/19 99/20 220/12 227/9 238/19 244/8 **affecting [2]** 4/15 159/6 affection [1] 44/6 afoot [1] 99/25 afraid [9] 46/5 77/25 114/21 151/8 151/9 151/10 151/11 153/12 164/17 after [39] 25/2 40/14 41/21 52/23 61/12 70/14 81/17 85/24 86/7 87/8 88/16 89/12 96/23 99/9 102/8 102/11 102/14 103/3 109/12 114/2 114/8 119/14 127/19 139/19 153/6 155/14 155/19 158/17 158/17 160/7 184/14 190/14 199/25 211/7 222/18 224/15 233/14 234/6 235/21 afternoon [11] 78/6 78/11 115/17 162/17 168/5 168/10 173/8 173/14 173/23 173/25 226/5

again [46] 8/17 23/19 25/22 28/20 29/25 33/6 37/11 37/18 39/12 41/21 44/18 50/24 51/7 59/13 67/8 alive [2] 145/6 67/15 70/1 71/11 73/9 89/11 93/25 111/14 139/16 156/1 158/15 160/1 180/15 186/20 187/24 188/19 192/3 198/3 198/23 201/22 202/17 213/19 214/4 216/12 217/13 219/2 227/2 231/2 233/19 245/21 246/21 246/23 against [13] 27/15 135/6 139/3 175/14 177/18 192/19 192/21 195/14 207/25 213/11 214/17 235/9 242/11 age [4] 145/17 181/5 184/21 237/13 agencies [2] 39/11 191/9 agenda [1] 68/7 **aggregate [1]** 20/13 aggressive [1] 133/12 ago [11] 45/20 125/3 145/5 180/12 180/15 182/8 211/2 228/11 238/2 243/25 245/11 agree [20] 53/22 53/23 60/18 63/2 63/7 64/11 68/8 69/12 101/9 101/22 145/9 169/21 172/19 172/23 173/15 215/16 218/7 222/10 225/10 242/7 agreed [7] 10/4 15/12 101/18 103/23 134/16 135/7 210/15 agreeing [2] 126/13 127/8 agreement [11] 3/17 11/23 12/5 15/24 35/7 35/17 36/3 127/9 225/8 242/3 242/18 agreements [2] 12/9 185/18 Ah [1] 127/25 ahead [1] 201/15 aid [1] 192/6 aim [3] 47/2 67/10 67/18 aimed [1] 142/21 aired [1] 161/22 aisle [1] 35/4 Alan [2] 30/13 31/3 Alan's [2] 30/20 31/13 alarm [1] 132/11

alarming [1] 155/6 albeit [1] 173/8 **Aldwych [1]** 143/4 Alex [1] 66/11 align [1] 60/5 145/10 all [140] 1/14 1/17 4/3 4/10 6/24 9/12 19/9 20/5 25/6 26/3 26/14 26/15 28/18 29/4 30/12 31/16 33/22 33/24 34/24 40/2 40/13 40/20 44/8 45/7 49/5 49/11 49/18 52/9 59/1 62/15 66/1 66/8 68/16 71/17 71/23 74/14 75/8 75/11 77/19 83/1 87/13 89/1 89/1 92/10 92/16 92/16 98/14 100/4 100/5 100/10 107/10 108/19 109/3 109/4 109/7 113/19 114/15 116/20 120/2 121/12 121/23 122/3 125/9 125/10 129/15 132/13 142/6 148/24 149/11 153/3 155/8 156/19 157/3 164/11 166/1 166/2 166/3 168/9 169/12 169/16 171/13 171/17 172/21 173/8 174/12 175/4 175/7 177/13 177/22 177/23 179/24 180/7 180/13 182/9 182/12 182/16 185/24 185/24 187/2 189/6 192/5 196/16 198/13 198/14 199/19 200/16 201/2 206/2 206/4 206/10 206/23 210/13 210/25 211/4 212/18 216/1 220/10 220/13 225/8 225/15 231/24 232/4 232/13 234/11 235/19 231/6 239/18 245/23 236/14 236/23 236/24 alteration [1] 29/25 237/7 238/16 239/3 240/4 241/20 242/22 243/11 243/23 244/10 244/12 245/2 246/11 allegations [3] 119/15 135/5 136/2 alleged [1] 192/22 allocated [3] 10/21 57/1 224/22 allocation [1] 9/23 allocations [1] 10/11 allow [5] 26/19 27/7 185/2 187/10 229/8 allowed [2] 51/22 88/23 allowing [3] 95/11

97/17 97/19 allows [1] 219/14 alludes [1] 156/25 alluding [2] 127/2 146/8 almost [4] 46/19 71/9 100/9 112/9 Alok [1] 153/6 alone [2] 64/9 125/24 along [6] 97/15 111/8 111/12 158/23 180/7 204/8 alongside [3] 14/19 67/20 214/9 aiready [25] 10/3 14/9 21/12 21/18 25/12 38/13 38/16 39/5 57/13 58/9 72/3 85/2 85/3 86/18 100/9 121/2 174/10 174/25 182/23 193/10 199/5 222/6 237/1 244/3 244/5 also [74] 3/24 4/14 9/5 9/22 19/19 19/21 20/23 24/8 29/16 45/15 45/24 47/14 51/19 56/23 68/18 69/4 73/5 77/6 82/11 83/12 83/21 85/19 88/22 90/22 91/4 93/6 analyst [1] 80/2 94/6 95/7 101/21 102/15 104/21 109/13 **Andrea [1]** 153/5 113/2 130/11 135/13 136/21 138/25 144/1 145/25 149/19 154/2 154/4 156/1 156/25 158/5 161/23 165/3 169/25 169/25 170/2 171/24 177/15 183/21 183/23 198/9 199/10 199/14 199/22 206/2 206/18 206/21 210/24 212/15 220/1 221/7 229/8 229/16 230/7 altering [1] 178/22 alternative [2] 42/22 181/4 alternatives [1] 19/14 although [5] 16/19 49/10 60/4 141/10 169/18 always [27] 11/4 17/8 18/2 18/12 20/3 21/25 22/8 22/22 23/3 27/17 32/18 52/12 55/23 56/15 64/3 64/22 76/4 95/13 135/15 138/10 138/17 139/17 164/3 165/11 172/14 172/17

242/20 am [39] 1/2 4/17 28/7 41/14 42/6 56/13 64/21 70/22 70/24 73/10 74/2 78/3 83/5 97/5 102/18 104/14 109/25 110/11 111/20 112/14 126/23 128/7 130/18 133/7 139/7 146/11 167/2 167/9 172/2 188/21 190/4 212/4 212/8 217/23 225/25 227/8 231/24 235/11 247/3 ambition [2] 42/14 60/10 amended [1] 217/3 amicable [1] 126/24 among [1] 68/7 amongst [3] 77/12 93/20 107/5 amount [14] 9/12 10/25 13/17 16/15 17/3 21/12 21/20 28/17 108/8 108/20 109/2 135/20 196/4 234/14 amounts [1] 75/8 analysis [3] 5/17 201/3 204/8 analysts [1] 114/3 **Andrew [1]** 126/8 Angela [2] 71/4 162/17 angle [1] 112/21 angry [1] 129/16 166/20 167/21 169/23 announce [1] 24/15 announced [3] 6/12 10/8 18/5 announcement [5] 24/11 announcements [3] 9/11 11/19 14/12 announcing [2] 100/24 133/18 annual [3] 140/20 140/20 140/21 annually [2] 9/24 another [14] 51/18 55/25 71/11 77/10 79/11 83/12 86/8 114/8 179/21 181/21 187/22 212/2 215/11 235/24 answer [19] 26/21 45/20 45/22 59/4 76/19 91/11 160/4 185/4 186/20 187/12 189/4 189/12 196/9 201/6 201/7 208/16

239/13 239/16 246/2 answered [4] 159/3 159/7 164/23 208/15 answering [2] 151/14 244/18 answers [7] 32/17 40/5 61/1 64/18 147/18 203/7 208/19 anticipate [4] 1/12 4/6 160/6 211/20 any [110] 7/2 8/23 11/7 11/11 12/8 12/12 12/14 14/6 15/10 16/20 22/21 23/20 24/15 24/22 27/18 29/5 32/1 32/5 32/10 32/12 34/21 36/7 36/24 40/2 41/1 42/11 44/23 45/4 45/6 46/5 46/14 49/12 50/11 50/19 52/12 54/12 55/13 55/16 64/14 68/15 69/5 74/11 82/19 87/7 88/5 95/20 96/2 97/2 98/19 115/4 116/5 117/19 122/10 122/23 123/2 129/15 143/10 144/11 145/19 146/16 152/21 153/18 160/11 163/18 163/25 164/11 164/11 166/4 170/24 179/7 180/16 185/8 189/23 190/5 190/13 191/18 192/5 192/20 193/3 195/18 201/2 202/9 202/22 204/6 204/8 207/11 207/19 209/5 210/8 210/17 211/5 212/12 214/6 214/19 218/10 218/10 220/21 228/4 230/19 231/17 231/23 13/8 13/9 13/12 15/10 232/7 233/3 237/24 240/3 240/11 242/14 242/20 245/4 245/23 anybody [1] 22/9 anyone [9] 23/6 25/10 25/16 27/18 64/2 69/16 128/20 147/18 166/7 anyone's [1] 61/5 anything [20] 31/3 31/8 41/19 42/21 68/19 69/4 73/10 87/12 109/8 115/1 116/8 120/13 132/2 137/10 151/6 155/18 164/17 200/13 237/4 237/5 anyway [2] 27/20 129/13 anywhere [1] 156/2 apart [3] 88/10 91/19 127/4

55/13 239/5 239/6 240/14 46/3 46/22 47/9 226/18 228/11 228/21 approaching [2] **ARQ [11]** 177/14 aspirations [3] 22/5 228/22 apologise [1] 225/4 56/17 178/8 210/24 213/5 213/23 43/25 58/3 author [1] 196/13 apologised [1] 219/5 appropriate [26] 6/20 214/10 215/25 216/2 assess [1] 50/10 authority [4] 66/14 apology [1] 55/3 12/8 14/20 17/12 216/12 216/18 217/1 69/22 77/1 87/18 assessed [2] 38/16 appalling [3] 129/18 18/16 22/13 23/8 25/5 217/21 available [6] 12/10 129/18 129/19 **ARQs [3]** 217/2 217/6 25/11 27/19 29/16 assessing [3] 47/14 16/9 25/16 46/23 apparent [1] 204/22 29/22 39/21 43/19 52/19 201/19 217/8 47/21 52/25 appeal [10] 6/11 25/8 46/6 75/1 76/6 160/21 arrange [3] 128/17 assessment [11] averse [1] 148/2 37/10 38/3 49/20 159/15 192/3 160/23 185/13 186/1 14/22 16/14 17/15 avoid [1] 40/3 51/19 52/6 72/3 82/23 186/24 190/23 191/21 arrangements [3] 22/12 23/7 27/20 avoiding [3] 110/4 138/23 220/2 222/10 8/13 64/21 99/24 28/16 28/23 28/24 245/23 246/11 appealed [2] 38/2 appropriately [2] arrival [1] 86/20 39/4 192/7 await [2] 160/8 38/4 23/22 233/2 arriving [2] 31/15 assist [14] 2/23 10/7 243/19 appeals [14] 13/23 approval [1] 133/22 32/3 21/21 24/9 33/14 awaiting [1] 13/7 14/23 15/9 18/4 19/20 approving [1] 159/9 arrow [1] 91/17 42/18 176/6 210/11 awaits [1] 242/23 24/7 24/10 24/12 210/19 210/20 213/15 award [9] 6/18 13/13 approximately [3] article [5] 30/6 24/22 25/4 25/9 38/3 218/19 222/13 223/13 13/22 15/7 15/25 19/10 208/9 210/2 215/13 219/11 223/7 38/6 54/5 April [3] 82/24 223/24 assistance [5] 11/14 16/16 21/22 22/3 appear [1] 161/3 189/21 203/8 192/5 194/24 199/21 23/11 Articles [1] 134/18 appeared [3] 10/4 archive [1] 181/1 articulated [3] 20/9 241/9 awarded [3] 16/15 174/8 239/13 28/16 223/5 22/17 31/21 assisted [1] 194/13 are [275] appearing [2] 9/13 assisting [1] 211/10 area [5] 2/23 27/19 awards [5] 6/14 as [388] 246/23 27/23 74/25 224/9 ascertain [1] 199/23 13/16 49/21 104/20 **Associate [1]** 80/5 appears [5] 55/11 areas [10] 68/5 93/16 ascribe [1] 10/17 associated [2] 105/1 101/12 233/6 241/20 aside [3] 39/23 40/2 161/9 178/3 196/20 180/25 181/23 aware [50] 12/12 242/11 14/6 17/19 23/13 221/18 240/9 240/17 210/21 Association [1] appendix [2] 78/20 242/1 246/7 ask [38] 2/7 25/25 24/10 24/23 34/14 134/18 79/4 aren't [9] 29/18 37/24 45/7 52/17 53/9 59/25 assume [3] 26/22 35/20 36/10 36/13 applicants [3] 19/23 38/1 76/21 102/15 65/9 71/14 75/5 77/9 40/16 221/23 36/20 37/1 38/10 21/6 21/21 146/13 150/21 156/20 78/12 95/25 97/25 assumed [2] 102/7 39/16 41/7 46/10 application [10] 14/1 191/20 99/11 101/25 109/19 122/19 56/13 73/11 73/25 180/9 180/10 181/16 assuming [1] 150/24 argument [3] 127/7 137/1 147/20 151/16 74/2 74/6 74/8 82/10 182/9 183/24 196/22 158/14 158/22 165/15 assumption [3] 11/3 82/12 85/21 89/8 96/2 167/6 167/9 201/24 202/3 212/16 97/1 119/18 131/3 arguments [2] 113/4 165/22 168/7 171/4 23/3 113/5 applications [2] 19/9 131/4 133/25 139/4 150/4 171/20 171/21 176/2 **assurance** [3] 18/6 182/9 arise [2] 102/21 187/24 189/23 195/8 18/8 62/9 139/7 142/24 142/25 applies [2] 100/23 assure [2] 27/25 28/8 184/22 207/6 208/8 222/3 144/11 163/2 163/8 236/6 226/9 228/21 229/21 arises [1] 171/5 at [270] 163/25 178/7 183/3 **apply [1]** 38/23 arising [2] 96/6 134/3 230/14 at page 8 [1] 218/22 185/22 198/23 198/24 **applying [1]** 200/22 arm [1] 155/22 asked [33] 10/6 15/6 attached [2] 215/25 199/6 202/24 209/9 appointed [5] 115/25 157/18 157/23 169/10 arm's [9] 64/10 64/16 55/5 64/23 101/24 216/9 227/6 238/4 73/6 91/1 93/16 108/5 114/1 115/24 attempt [4] 17/24 away [8] 108/16 207/14 117/13 121/24 141/1 118/12 119/16 124/25 18/6 103/9 133/10 112/2 114/9 114/11 appointment [9] 169/24 135/22 149/20 154/11 attempts [1] 102/12 161/11 164/8 189/2 102/8 116/2 116/3 arm's-length [8] 156/1 157/7 159/22 attend [1] 205/9 228/9 133/19 139/22 140/4 64/10 64/16 91/1 162/24 163/1 163/16 attending [2] 15/3 awful [2] 56/5 219/19 147/5 155/19 170/22 93/16 117/13 121/24 165/23 208/21 208/24 170/5 awkward [1] 171/11 appointments [4] 141/1 169/24 209/1 209/6 219/8 attends [1] 70/16 3/16 82/17 85/12 В arms [1] 161/10 238/1 238/2 238/14 attention [7] 113/22 116/5 **B19 [2]** 127/22 around [44] 11/21 238/20 242/24 245/11 134/9 183/2 196/19 appreciate [5] 10/12 14/7 26/5 35/15 35/19 246/1 197/4 212/13 239/4 127/23 27/22 34/20 37/12 41/20 43/25 49/21 **B28 [1]** 106/21 asking [14] 27/23 attest [2] 184/1 69/6 back [38] 28/10 30/4 49/23 54/3 58/22 94/2 97/22 157/5 196/25 appreciated [1] 50/17 52/3 54/21 60/10 60/12 65/7 159/20 160/4 160/18 attesting [1] 196/2 205/4 185/7 187/25 188/24 59/15 71/11 73/8 65/15 71/21 77/1 attractive [1] 35/2 approach [13] 16/19 81/22 89/10 89/11 96/14 110/4 110/4 188/25 196/8 203/20 audit [5] 149/8 20/9 20/14 24/5 24/6 104/7 110/25 113/17 110/17 116/11 116/12 213/22 149/23 177/14 181/1 54/1 54/9 101/8 104/1 141/3 146/6 149/17 116/1 116/21 119/4 asks [3] 203/21 185/7 142/20 143/10 193/2 154/6 176/15 179/22 214/14 231/17 August [17] 74/7 122/12 128/11 132/18 204/19 aspect [2] 14/13 135/13 155/3 164/18 187/5 197/8 211/14 74/12 98/21 98/25 approached [2] 175/11 185/13 200/20 212/3 212/6 212/15 133/16 99/2 104/8 104/9 34/11 56/12 201/8 207/10 209/16 219/20 221/6 225/5 aspects [1] 86/12 104/11 106/13 110/10 approaches [1] 174/19 183/13 226/18 209/19 210/14 212/25 229/16 229/24 238/8 aspiration [4] 29/16

В back... [6] 214/3 219/18 228/9 238/1 240/20 243/18 backbench [1] 97/1 backbenches [1] 80/15 backed [1] 134/19 background [6] 2/21 79/17 115/24 143/18 156/13 203/18 backlog [2] 30/18 216/21 bad [2] 167/22 169/8 Badenoch [19] 2/20 70/16 78/8 78/9 78/11 78/14 89/25 99/5 115/21 151/13 151/20 152/14 155/19 162/14 162/17 168/1 171/5 173/7 248/14 baked [2] 148/3 149/4 balance [1] 178/23 **balances** [6] 18/5 97/12 98/15 149/9 166/14 204/6 ball [2] 87/12 231/3 banging [1] 117/10 bank [3] 80/4 80/6 93/17 banking [3] 43/13 76/8 76/17 **Banks** [1] 122/3 barrier [1] 72/15 **Bartlett [3]** 190/10 197/2 203/17 Bartlett's [1] 191/25 base [1] 142/18 based [11] 32/6 39/14 77/1 85/25 155/10 178/7 199/17 220/1 235/24 236/3 243/15 **basis** [11] 46/19 131/6 135/9 135/11 148/21 186/17 193/17 233/4 233/21 234/21 236/5 Bates [1] 30/13 bath [1] 125/24 battle [6] 126/10 126/11 126/16 126/18 126/25 172/14 BB25 [3] 141/18 141/19 157/9 **BB3 [2]** 152/4 152/5 **BBC [2]** 30/21 218/19 **BBC Breakfast [1]** 30/21 be [466] bear [3] 71/16 109/15 127/25

bearing [2] 18/1 99/8 became [8] 27/13 36/13 79/21 80/13 84/12 96/20 142/24 142/25 because [91] 9/16 10/11 11/2 19/2 21/14 23/10 26/17 28/20 31/15 32/7 34/6 35/22 35/24 37/5 37/9 39/1 41/1 44/18 46/9 62/9 66/4 67/2 69/12 83/19 83/24 84/25 87/12 88/8 89/5 96/23 97/9 102/20 108/12 108/22 110/1 110/5 110/15 110/23 110/25 114/21 120/3 121/4 121/10 131/6 131/24 132/8 132/13 135/22 137/22 138/7 138/12 138/16 143/17 144/18 144/23 145/15 147/8 147/9 149/8 154/7 154/18 154/20 156/1 156/9 158/2 160/25 161/9 164/6 165/1 166/12 169/16 169/22 170/13 170/17 171/9 179/13 181/20 182/1 188/18 195/20 195/21 196/23 206/5 212/11 219/14 229/21 232/5 237/16 238/8 240/10 240/15 become [4] 36/12 70/12 90/7 114/3 becomes [1] 114/8 becoming [3] 25/2 85/6 168/9 been [200] 4/4 5/10 5/17 5/21 5/23 5/25 6/2 6/5 6/8 8/21 8/23 9/1 10/3 11/4 11/10 11/19 11/22 14/9 15/15 16/20 16/22 16/23 20/12 22/17 23/3 23/14 23/18 25/5 26/18 27/17 32/15 32/19 33/2 33/4 34/7 36/8 36/18 37/4 38/13 38/24 39/19 39/25 42/24 46/11 46/17 48/8 48/9 49/18 49/25 50/5 50/11 52/12 52/22 52/23 53/4 54/16 54/17 55/2 55/13 55/18 56/23 58/3 58/3 59/18 60/22 63/6 63/12 63/13 63/14 63/19 64/19 65/12 65/18 66/4 68/17 72/4 72/11 72/15 72/20 73/4 74/9 76/9 76/9 77/4 81/18

82/11 83/21 83/21 83/24 84/7 85/2 85/19 75/11 81/22 118/25 89/5 94/23 96/11 96/24 100/7 107/12 108/24 109/12 110/19 111/3 112/13 119/24 121/2 122/2 123/10 125/4 129/16 129/20 129/24 129/24 130/19 131/3 131/3 132/9 136/23 137/12 137/14 137/24 138/6 139/2 139/20 140/19 140/23 205/11 141/10 143/1 143/15 143/24 144/3 144/11 144/18 145/18 147/17 152/11 152/15 153/15 being [94] 4/10 6/1 153/20 154/17 155/13 155/18 155/23 155/23 156/4 156/6 156/6 158/13 158/13 159/5 163/24 167/6 167/15 168/17 168/18 169/7 169/8 170/14 173/8 175/8 175/11 176/4 177/8 177/17 180/7 181/3 181/15 183/3 183/19 186/2 189/8 189/10 189/14 197/18 199/6 199/17 199/25 201/1 201/19 202/7 202/8 203/9 207/12 208/22 208/25 212/13 215/18 217/6 219/4 220/10 221/3 221/6 223/5 223/9 224/22 231/14 238/13 238/19 240/21 242/23 244/8 **BEER [9]** 78/10 78/12 115/8 151/24 152/10 165/20 167/17 168/10 248/16 Beer's [1] 157/9 before [58] 3/1 9/10 25/25 26/9 28/23 29/5 29/11 33/12 41/13 49/15 53/14 55/21 56/16 57/12 58/1 70/16 72/11 75/4 79/18 80/9 82/8 85/2 85/5 89/8 90/6 91/11 95/22 97/4 99/11 112/14 115/19 120/4 120/24 132/24 139/4 155/7 156/18 158/21 160/16 163/12 166/21 BEIS0000888 [1] 173/9 193/21 195/8 198/25 230/2 230/11 230/15 231/10 231/17 232/15 233/17 236/9 236/20 243/5 243/19 246/1 246/14 beforehand [1] 128/17

beginning [7] 24/19 154/11 161/22 221/10 begins [1] 42/8 behalf [8] 58/10 59/25 78/12 91/20 225/2 226/9 228/14 246/24 behaviour [5] 133/13 193/5 193/16 205/19 233/1 behaviours [2] 112/3 behind [9] 2/5 11/3 11/11 14/8 35/18 55/7 113/4 113/12 162/8 6/6 6/12 6/14 7/2 9/23 10/21 11/7 12/16 14/6 14/12 21/19 23/10 25/16 26/16 32/20 32/21 33/5 33/7 33/8 35/17 38/15 43/18 51/1 55/24 57/1 59/8 61/23 64/23 65/3 66/21 69/23 71/13 76/22 77/2 89/2 91/10 21/12 21/18 192/2 93/17 94/11 94/21 95/6 96/22 97/11 99/16 101/8 103/4 103/5 103/15 107/9 108/8 113/6 113/8 114/1 114/1 114/6 116/9 116/14 117/23 119/1 126/24 135/14 153/20 158/3 159/23 165/8 165/8 178/1 178/1 179/10 186/21 189/2 190/16 191/6 193/8 194/20 195/23 197/6 197/7 200/25 201/17 203/12 206/3 213/20 214/5 214/16 220/16 226/20 BEIS [1] 86/19 BEIS0000705 [2] 104/5 111/14 BEIS0000716 [1] 106/12 BEIS0000808 [1] 98/24 BEIS0000868 [1] 136/8 12/24 BEIS0001059 [1] 118/6 BEIS0001061 [4] 83/16 89/11 116/22 151/21 BEIS0001070 [1]

140/1

BEIS0001228 [1] 15/17 belief [7] 2/12 22/8 22/8 78/25 79/15 175/5 208/15 believe [48] 6/5 7/21 19/12 24/14 25/9 25/19 29/7 35/5 35/6 35/23 36/2 39/4 39/12 40/6 40/13 41/19 44/5 44/16 46/8 49/24 54/10 55/18 56/22 57/7 62/18 73/2 79/25 88/8 89/1 101/1 121/15 122/16 138/25 139/12 141/10 145/23 145/24 153/20 161/16 164/6 165/13 167/5 186/11 209/8 223/11 228/16 229/23 231/7 believed [5] 14/20 22/1 22/9 29/25 166/22 believing [1] 172/6 belongs [1] 166/12 below [7] 17/22 216/12 217/8 223/6 benches [1] 73/18 **beneficial** [1] 73/11 benefit [5] 15/4 24/3 62/24 130/11 234/16 **benefits** [2] 24/5 100/21 beside [1] 228/24 139/14 153/14 153/17 bespoke [2] 187/22 187/23 161/22 163/14 164/16 best [20] 2/11 14/15 35/5 44/17 45/23 78/25 79/14 101/8 101/19 108/4 109/9 110/13 130/18 169/3 169/11 171/19 175/5 205/2 208/15 224/23 better [6] 98/17 108/24 120/17 225/9 244/1 245/3 betterment [1] 68/10 between [38] 5/18 6/11 8/21 9/2 17/14 18/20 18/25 29/19 35/6 43/1 43/4 57/6 57/14 60/11 60/16 67/12 72/13 73/18 81/7 89/5 93/22 126/23 129/23 134/4 154/8 168/16 173/15 178/13 182/19 182/23 192/13 195/18 207/22 215/19 217/18 232/8 242/3 242/15 beyond [5] 65/9 86/24 94/20 97/12 103/8

bias [1] 60/12 bible [1] 92/12 **Bickerton [2]** 86/9 87/10 bidding [5] 187/14 222/15 222/19 224/6 224/12 bids [2] 223/8 224/4 big [6] 61/19 76/16 124/2 125/25 132/8 150/6 bigger [1] 149/25 biggest [1] 167/16 **Bill [1]** 46/13 **billion [1]** 10/7 bit [13] 27/23 52/21 58/15 84/3 91/4 106/14 113/17 120/20 150/5 157/25 168/14 170/21 222/22 bite [1] 145/19 bits [2] 36/22 36/22 Blake [45] 1/4 1/20 3/23 4/3 8/25 11/20 14/6 15/14 17/5 20/3 21/1 21/24 25/25 27/17 30/4 31/2 34/20 35/19 36/10 39/3 40/5 42/2 42/20 45/7 63/16 77/23 168/3 173/17 174/4 175/3 177/10 184/20 185/7 186/9 186/20 188/21 197/14 201/7 206/17 209/16 222/1 225/13 237/13 248/4 249/3 Blake's [1] 45/20 blame [2] 36/25 238/9 blanket [3] 26/19 27/5 39/19 block [1] 106/14 blockers [1] 96/2 **Bloody [1]** 65/6 **blue [1]** 32/10 blue-sky [1] 32/10 **board [25]** 28/5 66/14 66/19 66/20 81/7 85/11 88/13 93/20 94/3 94/16 116/12 125/13 126/20 126/25 127/5 127/14 128/4 129/4 133/13 133/21 133/21 136/16 139/15 139/17 158/9 **Board's [2]** 24/12 72/5 bodies [9] 64/16 67/25 68/3 93/17 117/13 121/24 141/1 156/23 169/24 body [1] 73/6

bogged [1] 158/7 **bold [1]** 141/23 **bolts** [1] 43/6 **bonuses** [1] 137/23 books [2] 200/11 200/17 borderline [3] 22/10 27/2 27/9 bosses [1] 218/20 **Boston [2]** 39/24 43/9 both [18] 20/23 43/17 43/18 47/19 52/13 58/13 77/13 85/21 99/19 101/18 103/16 136/18 139/16 172/2 191/4 207/14 225/10 232/9 bother [1] 26/23 bottom [14] 13/3 106/23 128/3 128/8 128/9 128/11 129/3 141/20 148/5 193/24 213/2 215/21 216/3 223/14 bound [1] 232/6 box [12] 87/1 87/2 89/12 89/16 91/18 97/7 114/14 134/25 135/1 135/1 136/6 213/23 boxes [5] 84/16 84/16 84/18 84/22 86/25 bracket [1] 47/4 branch [11] 142/18 142/22 143/4 161/25 183/6 186/5 187/8 194/12 199/9 199/23 204/14 branches [5] 45/16 57/19 142/20 194/4 214/21 brand [1] 44/5 breach [1] 214/18 breadth [2] 53/1 105/5 break [14] 70/13 70/15 70/15 70/18 70/23 77/23 78/4 115/7 168/5 168/7 173/13 173/21 225/17 225/24 breakdown [4] 6/19 43/1 66/24 207/22 Breakfast [1] 30/21 breakthrough [2] 36/3 37/2 brief [2] 83/17 216/1 briefed [1] 116/8 briefing [15] 81/25 83/2 83/15 83/22

96/24 97/3 99/9 112/6 **Burton [1]** 150/13

116/21 116/23 143/1 briefings [2] 95/9 112/6 briefly [5] 165/16 165/25 228/17 228/21 228/21 bring [16] 3/5 3/7 12/23 13/14 67/19 75/22 107/13 175/24 178/5 209/19 212/25 214/7 214/9 218/18 229/17 245/8 brings [1] 224/17 British [4] 10/2 66/5 93/17 122/3 broad [1] 72/2 broader [1] 170/8 broadly [5] 14/9 61/6 73/17 94/24 99/5 Brocklesby [1] 180/21 broken [2] 166/22 167/1 **brought [8]** 79/13 82/18 113/14 113/15 114/19 134/9 156/4 174/13 buck [1] 36/25 buckets [1] 153/24 budget [7] 9/12 9/20 9/22 10/4 10/8 10/13 56/25 budgeted [1] 144/14 **bug [1]** 243/12 bugs [14] 180/8 183/4 183/8 183/18 183/21 184/11 184/15 184/21 186/1 186/2 187/5 189/10 199/7 204/9 building [2] 181/16 212/1 bullet [17] 75/15 84/4 85/11 87/1 87/1 89/16 91/7 92/4 107/16 107/17 116/24 142/11 142/15 152/14 153/14 campaigner [1] 157/10 157/11 bunch [1] 246/5 bundle [6] 2/3 2/4 24/25 78/18 196/18 201/14 burden [5] 32/14 148/7 150/12 150/14 201/17 bureaucracy [5] 95/11 97/8 97/17 97/19 102/1 bureaucrats [1] 102/19 **Burrows [2]** 163/11 227/2 84/24 92/9 95/5 96/23 bursaries [1] 239/23

business [51] 2/18 3/12 3/15 4/12 5/1 5/13 7/14 8/3 8/11 9/3 9/8 9/9 40/23 41/8 43/6 43/17 44/8 44/14 46/12 48/18 50/20 61/2 61/18 63/20 69/6 76/6 77/10 81/11 85/2 85/7 86/10 88/1 88/14 88/19 93/16 93/17 120/25 121/15 122/3 125/8 126/1 142/1 146/12 183/25 194/3 194/21 205/15 212/7 219/3 221/4 221/5 business-like [1] 126/1 **businesses** [1] 47/21 busy [2] 56/19 76/4 but [303] Cabinet [4] 9/5 75/21 170/5 170/6 calendar [2] 230/12 231/10 call [17] 45/13 76/12 78/8 89/12 117/23 118/6 118/7 119/4 127/11 128/20 129/1 132/1 132/24 139/18 139/19 153/1 192/1 called [14] 30/13 35/11 35/11 40/1 49/3 53/14 53/18 65/25 73/25 74/3 79/21 175/11 217/14 227/6 calls [3] 120/2 129/1 137/11 came [18] 10/12 11/12 33/11 58/20 71/12 117/21 130/6 135/3 136/5 136/9 137/12 153/17 158/23 166/21 197/5 213/17 217/14 230/1 30/13 campaigning [1] 227/10 can [201] 1/15 1/21 2/7 2/8 2/10 3/6 7/1 7/12 8/25 9/5 10/1 10/7 10/21 14/24 15/11 16/4 21/21 24/9 25/7 25/24 26/22 27/25 31/9 32/12 33/13 33/23 37/19 41/25 43/7 44/10 46/1 46/6 47/3 48/24 49/8 49/15 50/16 52/2 52/6 52/8 53/9 53/24 54/20 54/21 54/24 55/25 59/22 59/24 61/12

62/19 65/22 69/2 69/18 70/1 70/8 74/25 75/4 78/6 78/12 81/22 83/15 84/2 84/25 89/10 89/23 89/25 92/1 92/10 95/1 95/1 95/25 98/24 104/4 104/10 106/11 107/10 107/22 108/2 109/6 109/9 109/10 110/1 110/24 111/15 112/7 112/11 112/11 114/24 115/17 115/21 116/21 116/22 118/5 118/6 119/4 120/15 121/16 122/18 124/25 125/1 127/11 128/15 132/18 135/17 136/8 137/10 139/21 140/1 140/10 141/16 141/16 142/23 144/25 147/2 147/3 148/8 148/22 149/11 149/15 150/8 150/13 150/19 151/1 151/3 151/16 151/21 154/7 158/15 158/15 159/14 161/10 164/10 164/21 165/14 167/7 167/11 167/14 168/7 171/17 171/18 172/19 173/14 173/23 174/5 174/23 175/1 175/3 175/4 176/6 178/5 178/6 180/18 184/3 185/9 188/3 189/23 190/10 192/14 194/17 195/5 200/18 202/13 205/2 210/11 213/15 215/20 215/23 216/3 216/10 216/12 216/23 218/8 218/16 219/11 222/7 222/11 223/6 223/7 224/20 224/23 224/24 225/9 228/21 229/12 229/15 229/21 230/2 230/3 230/14 231/18 231/25 232/16 232/18 236/14 240/10 240/22 240/23 241/8 244/2 244/19 245/13 can't [32] 29/4 43/24 46/5 49/9 49/14 60/22 61/25 71/18 77/11 90/23 97/22 97/23 97/25 102/12 109/8 111/16 137/2 140/18 142/25 149/5 151/5 156/4 158/21 159/17 166/11 185/11 188/8 188/17 196/25 221/16 234/4 244/20 Canadian [1] 79/23 candour [1] 66/1 cannot [11] 7/13 40/6 cannot... [9] 64/19 75/7 141/9 184/1 200/5 234/1 235/6 235/12 237/23 canvas [1] 28/20 cap [2] 16/5 17/19 capability [2] 142/6 185/15 capability' [1] 222/24 capacity [10] 6/20 18/21 23/8 23/10 25/20 33/3 85/24 89/2 141/21 142/6 capped [1] 16/16 **Capping [1]** 13/16 caps [1] 25/11 **Capture [3]** 6/13 49/21 54/3 careful [1] 182/17 carefully [2] 111/1 199/20 Carl [2] 136/12 136/18 carried [4] 93/7 94/8 102/10 172/4 carry [7] 91/3 91/5 97/12 117/9 119/6 129/13 138/4 carrying [10] 85/3 87/13 88/10 91/14 91/22 93/4 93/10 93/23 102/23 154/12 case [37] 22/9 27/9 32/25 33/24 38/16 45/12 72/16 76/9 82/12 103/3 108/11 108/25 110/9 112/21 127/18 128/5 128/10 129/9 140/17 145/22 147/14 149/19 155/23 165/11 170/8 170/12 171/22 171/24 190/17 190/20 194/10 203/21 210/10 210/12 211/13 223/23 235/9 cases [25] 14/15 20/4 25/21 26/24 27/2 27/24 33/8 33/21 36/16 39/15 39/18 39/21 66/5 165/5 177/22 177/23 179/23 186/16 194/6 197/13 198/21 208/10 208/14 208/21 209/5 cash [5] 43/13 76/16 145/22 188/11 188/12 catching [1] 40/17 category [1] 37/25 cater [1] 11/15 caught [2] 171/10 228/4 cause [2] 12/8

144/20 caused [6] 15/8 66/23 161/25 165/23 179/17 217/4 causes [1] 243/16 causing [1] 180/14 cautious [5] 70/1 148/2 152/15 153/15 154/17 caveat [1] 223/9 caveats [6] 196/14 197/25 198/15 198/15 246/5 246/13 cent [7] 160/13 178/14 178/17 179/1 179/5 179/5 179/6 central [3] 64/18 77/3 142/21 centrally [1] 63/24 centre [1] 76/25 centric [2] 124/11 125/6 century [1] 147/3 CEO [12] 60/4 123/19 130/4 133/24 159/19 168/22 169/4 169/8 169/9 205/10 205/10 211/24 **CEOs [3]** 169/23 170/1 207/15 certain [10] 8/20 22/18 41/15 100/12 109/10 112/14 120/17 160/24 177/14 205/11 changed [10] 7/12 certainly [16] 24/18 44/12 68/13 72/8 115/8 116/4 120/8 126/12 134/13 139/18 changes [19] 8/4 143/23 145/23 160/10 8/11 48/16 51/1 52/3 161/7 165/1 169/10 cetera [1] 234/18 **CGI [2]** 79/19 79/22 **chain [13]** 15/4 107/1 179/12 182/10 189/12 195/24 195/25 196/24 changing [4] 44/22 197/16 201/25 212/17 215/18 235/5 chair [21] 9/8 48/23 115/25 116/10 116/25 charge [1] 45/25 117/24 131/2 133/18 134/15 135/5 135/8 136/3 136/15 138/14 139/23 139/23 140/4 140/18 157/23 158/15 chasing [2] 74/9 170/20 chaired [3] 120/19 121/14 124/12 Chairman [3] 121/11 126/8 159/19 chairs [1] 122/3 challenge [10] 33/16 92/5 147/14 148/20 151/8 151/9 151/10

151/11 172/23 181/21

challenges [2] 182/6 210/5 challenging [1] 182/3 champions [1] 68/2 chance [5] 4/4 4/7 4/8 44/2 123/13 Chancellor [11] 9/6 80/25 98/22 99/13 101/25 102/5 105/11 105/16 110/24 112/14 125/11 Chancellor's [1] 104/4 chances [11] 74/1 74/3 74/10 163/3 163/11 164/13 164/23 chunk [1] 150/6 227/7 227/9 227/11 230/4 change [48] 7/8 7/10 7/20 29/4 29/11 33/8 40/9 40/22 40/23 41/8 233/2 42/16 43/7 44/14 49/3 circumstances [9] 53/7 60/25 62/10 63/3 26/22 35/16 35/25 63/21 68/15 85/1 85/6 39/6 39/14 66/18 77/7 110/2 113/11 114/12 120/13 124/23 124/23 cite [1] 35/25 125/20 126/2 126/9 126/20 145/13 149/16 184/13 156/19 159/25 167/18 citizen [1] 240/16 170/18 171/20 171/21 City [11] 183/14 182/11 182/15 205/23 189/21 189/24 190/4 212/19 214/1 214/2 215/8 240/15 202/17 233/1 52/18 54/4 54/10 63/17 63/23 64/4 64/4 65/17 69/6 152/21 156/14 182/7 184/21 207/12 124/15 156/11 240/14 **channels** [3] 129/23 168/16 240/7 charged [2] 125/10 125/20 charges [1] 217/12 **charities** [1] 222/8 74/10 check [1] 46/6 checks [6] 18/5 97/12 98/15 149/9 166/14 204/6 Chief [5] 9/7 96/17 121/11 124/7 175/19 childhood [1] 227/12 children [16] 57/23 57/25 74/4 74/4 163/3 clarify [2] 217/1

163/6 163/19 163/21 164/13 172/15 226/19 clarity [5] 13/22 226/25 227/7 227/9 238/6 239/23 children's [1] 57/24 Chisholm [1] 66/11 choice [7] 17/14 17/24 18/25 22/2 244/21 244/23 245/13 choices [1] 62/24 **choose [3]** 16/11 111/1 148/10 chose [1] 121/16 Christmas [2] 184/14 246/14 CI [1] 203/5 circles [1] 110/4 circuit [1] 103/9 circumspect [1] 108/2 112/10 cited [2] 105/15 190/12 190/25 191/2 192/1 192/5 192/6 192/25 10/10 40/3 60/3 71/15 civil [12] 72/25 90/15 20/21 20/22 76/17 113/23 158/11 193/15 120/14 125/17 131/17 CMA [1] 122/1 132/10 148/3 148/22 | **CMG [1]** 79/21 192/21 204/16 213/11 **Co [4]** 54/16 225/2 242/3 claim [13] 6/1 6/23 16/14 21/12 25/11 25/17 28/16 28/24 29/19 30/18 31/10 32/6 242/15 claimant [8] 6/18 7/3 16/20 26/11 26/11 27/2 28/22 100/23 claimant's [1] 21/16 claimants [14] 12/5 13/14 13/19 14/15 16/13 16/24 19/11 19/12 19/16 23/4 24/2 28/14 100/25 104/23 claimed [1] 19/23 claims [23] 5/25 6/21 10/14 10/24 16/8 16/10 18/22 19/7 23/1 29/21 31/15 31/20 31/23 32/3 32/21 37/4 37/9 37/15 51/22 60/23 100/11 100/15 153/24 clarified [1] 217/7

232/10 206/8 212/6 231/18 232/9 Clark [1] 42/15 class [1] 124/25 classic [2] 57/20 93/17 **clauses [3]** 176/10 176/11 210/13 clear [25] 15/20 22/1 25/15 28/9 31/22 34/18 52/11 60/13 87/18 95/10 109/25 110/2 135/15 135/19 137/7 155/1 169/15 178/13 180/7 187/2 187/2 192/18 205/12 207/8 240/2 clearing [1] 30/17 clearly [10] 23/12 33/4 33/18 63/12 66/20 68/13 68/18 158/4 206/15 239/7 clears [1] 77/25 client [1] 58/11 close [11] 22/22 41/25 51/9 55/10 56/7 160/8 160/12 197/4 231/20 242/23 243/19 closely [1] 4/20 closing [2] 21/2 51/11 closure [4] 20/18 241/2 245/24 cohort [9] 18/23 33/18 36/4 36/17 38/13 38/18 39/3 39/7 39/18 coincidentally [1] 227/14 collaboratively [2] 205/1 205/3 colleagues [7] 10/6 11/9 52/1 187/12 228/23 241/13 241/25 come [51] 12/21 14/2 14/24 15/18 20/22 31/10 41/1 41/21 55/1 58/25 62/10 69/2 70/3 72/6 77/18 83/16 85/5 86/4 87/19 88/17 89/4 94/18 95/1 101/19 111/18 130/9 132/20 135/11 138/8 139/21 144/25 146/7 146/7 147/18 151/22 154/22 155/3 159/12 170/9 173/10 182/24 183/9 184/3 186/13 202/10

C come... [6] 206/18 218/16 220/6 228/9 236/17 239/9 comes [13] 55/7 71/20 76/7 90/16 90/18 109/12 138/9 154/15 155/11 185/25 188/1 196/21 236/18 coming [13] 29/19 31/20 35/9 43/7 79/18 company [25] 40/1 91/17 96/15 102/11 130/3 168/21 188/8 205/1 221/13 comment [7] 60/23 178/20 185/7 185/12 200/21 211/14 212/15 commentary [2] 24/1 212/9 commented [1] 152/10 comments [2] 117/25 191/20 commercial [7] 80/4 90/22 90/24 145/19 146/4 154/19 205/16 commercially [1] 146/15 Commission [1] 33/21 commissioned [3] 58/21 172/3 201/20 commit [12] 30/20 30/25 59/1 200/11 232/7 238/15 245/10 245/15 245/23 246/2 246/3 246/9 commitment [11] 52/2 52/6 52/8 53/7 54/23 62/7 69/9 160/5 202/16 204/25 223/4 commitments [2] 182/2 246/25 committed [7] 65/24 104/14 159/1 226/13 226/16 242/14 244/3 **committee** [14] 9/9 55/2 62/14 66/13 67/6 67/19 67/24 68/20 124/12 135/5 158/21 187/20 207/7 219/4 committing [2] 32/1 40/20 common [5] 35/1 82/21 114/19 114/20 115/6 Commons [1] 24/17 communicate [1] 184/18 communicated [2] 135/18 184/16 communicating [1]

190/4

communication [3] 129/23 168/16 221/6 communications [1] 190/5 communities [3] 8/2 76/18 81/4 community [3] 76/3 76/10 77/10 companies [3] 120/19 120/19 121/14 42/16 42/18 62/25 69/14 69/15 79/21 121/17 125/24 142/5 154/9 154/13 154/19 154/20 188/7 198/4 201/4 205/16 206/12 219/21 229/15 230/22 239/4 240/3 241/14 company's [2] 219/16 235/12 comparison [2] 169/25 170/1 compatibility [1] 181/25 compensate [2] 100/4 220/12 compensation [86] 5/22 5/23 6/6 6/9 6/18 7/1 8/19 9/13 9/17 14/18 21/2 21/10 22/7 23/22 29/17 30/17 51/5 51/9 51/16 52/9 52/14 54/5 55/9 55/15 56/6 73/24 82/25 95/2 95/6 95/19 96/3 96/6 96/22 98/18 99/5 99/7 99/15 99/21 99/24 100/2 100/18 101/14 102/13 104/13 104/15 158/24 176/15 192/17 104/24 105/7 106/5 107/6 107/9 108/14 112/1 134/2 138/12 138/20 149/18 153/24 159/3 160/18 161/4 161/16 162/23 163/22 concluding [1] 156/7 164/4 164/22 165/2 165/24 166/6 175/15 218/17 219/8 220/3 221/15 228/5 240/17 240/24 241/15 241/16 241/18 241/22 242/9 242/9 242/14 242/20 243/20 243/21 competition [1] 146/22 complaints [2] 130/21 130/24 complete [5] 48/23 179/12 216/15 224/20 235/4 completed [1] 3/1 completely [7] 49/11 161/9 170/11 176/17

198/7 205/21 208/10 completing [1] 216/15 complex [8] 18/21 23/6 115/2 115/3 200/21 206/8 243/14 243/22 complexity [2] 27/18 181/23 complicated [1] 22/9 components [2] 198/13 243/24 comprehensive [3] 87/4 196/18 206/21 computing [1] 200/23 conceivable [1] 34/2 concentration [1] 225/7 concept [1] 138/25 concern [6] 7/7 164/20 179/10 193/7 197/2 213/21 concerned [16] 87/16 95/5 95/18 96/21 107/8 143/18 143/20 168/9 176/7 176/20 186/19 193/5 213/19 221/12 231/19 145/23 242/19 concerning [2] 81/25 82/7 concerns [24] 24/21 31/17 31/21 34/15 66/15 76/19 101/5 132/2 132/3 132/5 132/22 133/3 135/5 136/14 136/19 136/23 137/1 137/7 137/7 205/11 207/16 conclude [3] 56/16 98/16 233/17 160/16 conclusion [2] 154/22 165/14 conclusions [8] 48/3 130/6 157/14 157/20 158/5 170/10 170/17 232/2 conditions [1] 210/17 conduct [5] 132/23 133/4 133/11 135/7 210/5 conducting [1] 192/9 confidence [4] 61/12 63/5 68/25 69/12 confident [3] 64/21 186/13 200/14 confirm [6] 2/8 2/10 20/24 174/23 175/4

216/23 confirmation [2] 10/20 14/25 confirmed [2] 174/11 204/1 confirming [1] 204/12 confirms [1] 9/21 confronted [1] 56/23 congratulations [1] 140/3 connected [2] 40/23 66/1 connection [1] 214/18 connects [1] 182/12 Conscious [1] 107/11 consensus [1] 73/18 consequences [1] 148/11 consequent [1] 181/6 consequential [2] 19/24 182/15 Conservative [3] 34/9 81/19 162/7 Conservatives [1] consider [23] 13/20 13/21 17/10 18/21 20/6 20/8 31/4 32/7 34/21 44/8 55/9 56/17 57/24 66/4 69/4 73/3 101/1 185/5 195/19 195/21 221/25 238/25 239/12 considerable [5] 17/3 20/11 52/15 63/23 169/17 considerably [2] 17/22 37/5 consideration [5] 71/22 considerations [3] 101/9 108/9 108/21 considered [9] 11/6 20/13 25/7 29/9 31/12 33/8 165/1 197/17 205/15 considering [4] 49/5 100/16 135/25 242/8 considers [4] 58/6 190/6 199/18 199/24 consistent [6] 16/19 62/22 69/5 189/9 197/21 204/19 consistently [1] 63/6 consolation [1] 129/15 constant [2] 221/3 221/6 constantly [1] 89/2

constituency [3] 77/7 80/14 83/14 constituents [1] 40/12 constitution [1] 72/14 constitutional [4] 34/3 34/13 34/16 35/23 constructive [1] 133/23 consult [1] 149/10 consultants [2] 39/24 156/4 consultation [2] 133/20 152/23 consultations [2] 149/11 166/2 consulted [1] 143/7 consulting [3] 39/24 43/9 79/23 consumer [2] 68/2 161/13 contact [13] 3/1 37/2 37/10 37/13 37/20 74/11 82/18 128/18 163/17 190/13 190/22 221/3 227/15 contacted [3] 36/8 206/12 226/17 containing [1] 198/5 contemplating [1] 241/21 content [4] 15/4 107/20 174/11 195/17 contents [2] 78/24 185/13 context [14] 34/21 92/8 106/4 108/4 108/23 113/24 121/1 129/11 164/6 177/18 181/19 182/25 214/6 237/15 continue [18] 22/5 42/7 49/13 54/19 123/9 134/15 135/8 171/16 184/20 189/11 193/19 195/5 199/7 204/12 207/8 207/11 208/3 211/12 continued [8] 67/18 144/22 160/25 161/1 161/2 180/25 181/21 183/4 continues [7] 60/19 180/8 180/9 183/18 205/13 212/3 213/4 continuing [6] 61/11 193/13 212/12 222/22 228/19 232/23 continuity [1] 207/17 contract [15] 3/2 3/3 144/21 176/10 176/12 180/22 207/25 209/19

33/20 34/14 36/5 36/18 37/3 38/5 38/15 contract... [7] 209/22 39/9 40/15 49/20 54/6 210/9 210/15 210/18 71/6 82/23 82/24 214/19 218/21 223/18 100/10 162/20 226/11 contracts [11] 161/1 cooperate [1] 193/2 161/12 187/14 222/15 cooperating [1] 223/3 223/4 223/7 200/11 223/15 223/16 223/21 cooperation [1] 224/12 191/22 contracts' [1] 222/20 cooperative [1] contractual [5] 47/20 49/12 184/9 204/13 223/11 copies [1] 174/23 223/22 copy [6] 106/19 contrary [1] 125/15 118/13 127/20 141/16 contribute [8] 56/7 151/23 151/25 58/12 161/3 219/8 core [7] 8/4 19/3 45/3 220/14 221/20 240/8 126/10 126/21 151/16 244/2 224/17 contributed [3] 48/11 corner [1] 106/23 176/24 243/12 corporate [3] 3/4 contributing [4] 177/3 207/9 219/22 221/25 222/9 correct [25] 2/1 2/13 241/16 2/18 2/19 2/21 3/22 contribution [8] 3/23 79/9 80/8 80/12 55/20 159/2 220/3 80/17 81/13 88/21 220/18 220/20 238/17 129/6 147/18 170/14 241/21 242/20 175/17 175/22 179/16 198/19 207/4 contributions [3] 180/14 183/10 198/6 55/9 55/15 243/21 215/5 233/12 235/23 control [3] 142/16 corrected [1] 51/14 211/1 211/5 correction [3] 79/5 controlled [1] 135/21 79/9 79/13 **convenient [1]** 56/18 correctly [4] 51/21 conversation [42] 86/23 87/9 189/3 11/8 14/7 44/3 44/3 correspondence [14] course [35] 7/6 9/7 46/24 56/13 64/18 13/6 165/19 180/20 65/19 73/19 74/11 182/18 184/5 191/18 74/15 87/8 102/16 196/19 202/12 204/1 123/22 126/19 127/9 205/17 208/11 211/22 127/18 129/2 130/12 212/9 235/22 130/15 135/12 143/3 correspondence's [1] 130/1 139/19 154/3 155/6 161/7 164/15 189/17 190/15 190/15 191/1 cost [15] 6/5 7/2 202/5 203/10 203/11 12/12 12/13 19/14 220/17 220/24 229/16 23/17 26/13 33/7 230/23 240/13 241/12 58/15 58/15 100/17 242/2 242/17 242/21 100/18 105/2 142/16 246/8 246/10 142/17 conversation' [2] costs [13] 10/17 239/8 239/8 12/15 16/12 19/18 conversations [20] 19/19 21/5 21/8 21/13 35/3 39/12 40/8 44/12 100/19 142/19 142/21 46/18 49/15 55/24 146/3 149/14 74/19 74/24 77/15 could [102] 6/15 10/6 89/8 98/12 141/2 11/5 11/9 11/10 12/17 Coutts [1] 80/5 144/17 155/5 156/18 12/23 13/2 13/24 19/2 **cover [7]** 12/2 71/8 160/1 163/18 164/3 19/8 20/10 22/5 25/25 189/23 26/12 28/10 29/24 conveyed [1] 137/15 30/6 30/20 40/18 convicted [5] 33/19 43/21 44/11 50/11 71/5 162/19 226/10

50/19 58/12 58/14

convictions [18] 6/10

59/3 59/13 62/3 64/11

68/6 68/9 68/17 78/17

83/21 95/16 95/23 covers [2] 12/16 95/24 98/1 100/8 93/15 106/20 109/22 111/11 Covid [1] 156/17 111/24 112/19 113/9 create [7] 100/24 120/21 121/6 121/18 103/9 132/12 148/12 124/1 127/8 127/20 127/21 132/2 132/3 134/15 138/8 149/22 159/22 159/24 160/1 160/22 164/8 168/6 169/4 170/22 175/24 176/1 178/15 179/17 180/4 180/14 180/14 180/19 181/9 182/20 183/12 183/15 186/13 creative [3] 239/22 190/17 190/21 197/10 240/9 240/10 197/23 199/25 203/14 Credence [1] 182/12 209/11 209/19 212/25 Creswell [2] 136/12 213/23 214/7 216/17 218/17 229/6 230/9 230/11 230/24 230/25 231/1 231/9 234/11 238/9 238/21 couldn't [12] 41/11 72/24 95/14 125/23 125/24 128/20 131/7 185/4 196/10 197/15 counsel [2] 154/10 173/15 counted [1] 188/15 country [6] 57/20 65/8 65/18 185/20 187/14 239/5 **couple [1]** 107/13 9/15 14/16 14/19 17/16 17/25 34/13 38/25 43/8 51/3 52/12 52/15 53/9 69/5 117/22 118/3 123/25 162/1 166/7 168/19 169/7 169/14 169/15 182/20 186/16 197/14 207/5 221/9 224/2 224/11 241/12 court [15] 45/12 47/14 48/12 82/12 82/23 98/4 103/3 114/24 148/23 152/25 210/10 210/11 211/13 Crown [1] 202/23 225/8 235/23 courts [2] 35/2 148/17 114/23 162/21 163/18 176/8 179/11 coverage [1] 42/24 covered [4] 38/1 162/22 165/17 180/10 covering [2] 16/3 134/22

149/8 150/18 158/8 created [3] 94/21 149/22 166/15 creates [2] 103/17 138/14 creating [6] 18/20 149/1 149/5 150/3 150/20 164/11 creation [1] 86/20 136/18 crime [2] 192/10 234/13 criminal [14] 33/21 40/20 177/6 191/8 192/22 192/24 194/6 194/11 195/14 196/4 200/2 204/3 210/22 213/11 crisis [1] 124/2 criteria [1] 38/8 **criticised [2]** 16/23 23/25 criticising [2] 48/14 149/13 **criticism [5]** 100/2 100/5 150/24 151/2 169/16 criticisms [4] 19/22 23/15 47/13 233/2 cross [5] 3/15 35/7 35/17 36/2 99/4 **Cross-government [1]** 3/15 cross-heading [1] 99/4 cross-party [3] 35/7 35/17 36/2 crossed [2] 96/5 96/16 crossrefer [1] 105/19 crossreference [1] 107/23 crusade [1] 126/3 crystallisation [1] 105/4 culminating [1] 60/17 culpability [1] 245/3 cultural [9] 7/8 7/10 63/3 125/21 126/5 142/4 146/1 173/4 205/13 culture [11] 7/11 44/21 60/3 60/15

60/20 61/11 61/15 126/1 126/2 148/2 210/5 curiosity [2] 206/8 214/4 **curious [3]** 197/7 197/8 199/3 current [19] 8/22 21/7 24/9 55/11 145/5 152/21 158/7 175/13 178/4 178/8 183/20 188/5 198/2 201/5 209/15 210/22 212/24 215/14 224/13 currently [14] 45/25 48/7 67/12 76/14 76/21 178/12 183/5 184/6 184/12 194/20 199/8 204/16 209/24 241/22 customer [1] 187/22 customer's [1] 146/25 customers [1] 222/23 cut [3] 38/5 101/25 173/14 cut-off [1] 173/14 CWU [1] 68/1 daily [1] 178/22 damaged [1] 58/2 Dan [2] 217/25

218/14 danger [2] 61/18 62/2 dangerous [1] 224/9 Daniel [1] 218/5 Darfoor [1] 124/24 data [71] 6/14 54/2 177/14 177/14 180/17 191/6 191/13 193/17 193/19 194/2 194/14 194/17 194/20 194/21 195/1 196/3 200/3 200/12 203/4 203/24 204/7 208/24 210/7 210/25 210/25 211/4 211/19 212/25 213/5 213/5 213/13 213/13 214/5 214/6 215/12 217/21 217/22 218/10 223/1 232/25 233/4 233/14 233/16 233/20 234/1 234/3 234/4 234/5 234/11 234/18 235/1 235/2 235/7 235/8 235/13 235/25 236/3 236/9 236/12 236/13 236/13 236/18 236/20 236/21 236/22 236/23 236/24 237/1 237/2 237/4 237/6

D date [21] 20/18 20/21 22/7 51/14 51/19 52/5 53/24 74/9 74/12 79/8 83/24 84/5 84/23 85/9 decides [1] 155/20 116/3 133/1 136/10 141/5 209/5 217/23 232/3 dated [18] 1/25 78/16 79/3 83/18 98/25 98/25 99/2 104/8 104/11 116/1 116/4 174/14 174/16 174/17 174/19 174/21 205/6 232/17 dates [4] 20/22 21/2 84/5 159/21 David [2] 86/9 87/10 day [42] 6/21 88/11 88/11 88/15 88/15 88/19 88/19 92/22 94/8 94/8 94/22 94/22 112/3 112/3 131/6 131/6 132/7 143/15 143/15 144/21 144/21 153/25 153/25 156/9 187/17 188/6 188/6 191/6 194/3 194/3 194/21 194/21 197/9 197/9 208/3 208/3 218/2 224/14 225/8 228/25 229/1 247/3 days [5] 86/7 132/24 218/6 218/6 218/15 **DBT [8]** 46/1 56/2 57/14 60/16 86/20 89/18 101/4 101/22 **DBT/UKGI [1]** 60/16 dead [1] 246/17 deadline [4] 30/7 30/13 30/16 31/9 deadlines [1] 6/21 deal [8] 25/21 31/2 32/16 62/7 115/23 124/2 239/3 243/16 dealing [15] 28/3 40/7 42/25 51/5 138/3 147/24 153/24 156/16 156/20 156/22 158/7 167/15 170/7 218/8 243/5 deals [1] 243/20 dealt [4] 32/22 98/10 128/3 218/14 decade [1] 65/4 decades [1] 243/15 **December [6]** 51/13 174/16 180/21 211/22 231/21 232/8 decent [1] 17/11 decide [12] 29/15 50/23 88/24 89/7 90/5 90/5 91/6 109/9

134/14 152/20 172/10 delegated [5] 85/15 230/21 decided [4] 38/9 90/6 135/9 155/24 deciding [2] 172/13 172/15 decision [30] 18/11 22/15 23/5 23/12 23/18 24/7 27/3 35/22 43/24 57/10 73/9 85/24 88/9 88/16 88/18 88/22 89/9 93/11 98/14 114/2 124/23 147/24 148/20 148/20 149/6 154/23 165/17 169/18 172/12 244/14 decision-making [1] 85/24 decisions [30] 3/18 6/7 17/23 18/14 26/25 38/11 49/18 50/6 50/16 53/19 53/25 56/24 71/19 72/2 88/23 89/2 89/4 90/24 91/13 93/4 110/5 123/12 126/1 143/15 146/5 147/10 148/6 166/1 166/3 172/18 decline [1] 8/6 decode [1] 179/10 deemed [2] 74/20 202/23 defects [14] 180/8 183/4 183/9 183/18 183/21 184/11 184/16 138/24 184/21 186/2 186/3 187/6 189/10 199/7 204/9 defects' [1] 219/5 defence [1] 113/13 defensible [1] 24/4 define [1] 91/9 definitely [4] 76/24 84/8 161/20 170/15 definition [5] 12/18 58/24 180/1 180/2 201/23 definitions [1] 12/2 definitive [3] 92/8 92/19 232/3 degree [3] 31/4 73/17 185/3 **DEL [1]** 9/24 delay [8] 13/21 15/6 15/8 31/14 53/2 165/23 216/24 217/4 delayed [2] 53/2 97/4 delaying [1] 216/22 delays [3] 16/12 52/22 149/18 delegate [2] 86/12 86/16

93/16 86/10 88/19 169/17 depend [1] 162/3 170/13 delegating [2] 88/6 88/9 delegation [9] 85/4 85/20 86/2 86/24 87/5 87/24 87/25 88/1 92/21 deleted [1] 119/25 **deliberate [1]** 154/25 deliberation [1] 154/18 delineated [1] 88/5 deliver [10] 27/16 34/19 97/13 107/22 146/19 148/13 150/6 156/9 161/10 204/13 delivered [13] 5/19 22/6 24/4 29/17 32/16 33/2 46/11 76/21 95/6 96/22 107/9 165/9 165/11 delivering [12] 15/24 63/9 63/9 63/22 76/22 99/14 103/2 108/6 151/12 154/5 181/25 207/25 delivery [13] 3/14 21/17 45/21 47/4 104/20 105/7 111/21 142/17 149/12 150/9 172/4 181/9 181/22 demand [3] 9/25 77/13 158/10 demands [2] 10/19 demonstrate [1] 53/25 demonstrated [1] 54/11 demutualisation [2] 124/19 155/4 denied [1] 97/5 department [38] 3/12 18/15 4/11 5/13 8/21 9/3 9/10 32/3 38/20 43/10 46/7 46/12 46/16 46/20 50/17 63/4 71/22 72/23 73/7 73/8 237/23 74/19 81/3 85/2 85/5 87/7 88/14 102/23 109/24 111/9 117/16 134/13 134/24 136/12 138/2 141/10 146/14 221/4 221/4 232/11 Department's [1] 136/19 departmental [5] 9/24 44/23 73/14 118/13 133/2 departments [3] 4/17 62/11 87/16 departments' [1]

depending [1] 12/17 depends [3] 89/21 93/13 93/25 deprived [1] 68/5 depth [1] 182/23 derive [1] 109/1 describe [4] 11/20 84/25 99/23 105/24 described [9] 7/8 16/22 80/14 86/25 87/3 92/21 167/15 177/12 242/23 describes [1] 85/17 describing [1] 109/20 description [1] 92/25 deserve [4] 30/2 31/24 32/8 104/16 deserved [2] 34/5 34/6 design [1] 25/4 designation [1] 11/2 desirable [1] 14/10 desire [1] 44/6 desk [2] 96/5 96/16 despite [7] 7/24 75/11 75/19 75/25 105/17 121/16 172/21 detail [16] 8/17 50/12 82/14 83/4 83/7 85/22 87/8 90/25 102/12 131/21 136/1 136/24 137/10 144/2 202/15 228/18 detailed [2] 6/19 177/1 details [5] 24/17 38/16 128/18 190/22 190/24 detected [1] 183/19 detecting [1] 64/13 determinant [1] determination [1] 29/11 determine [5] 47/25 51/25 186/23 234/12 determined [1] 99/19 detrimental [1] 62/25 devastated [1] 238/7 development [4] 10/23 143/8 144/7 144/12 developments [1] 44/23 devolved [2] 38/25 39/1 diagram [9] 84/9 89/10 91/19 92/2 92/7 92/8 92/19 92/25 94/11

did [71] 2/25 3/3 20/24 28/8 35/23 40/12 40/15 78/22 84/22 89/18 91/23 93/10 94/2 94/15 96/12 103/1 106/2 110/1 111/2 113/10 117/2 117/3 117/19 118/4 119/11 120/24 121/23 122/5 122/8 122/9 122/12 122/21 123/7 126/17 127/6 134/8 136/1 139/9 139/10 139/16 143/10 144/16 145/24 153/18 156/1 159/12 159/14 159/17 159/18 160/11 162/23 162/25 163/14 163/17 163/21 169/7 170/17 171/24 197/6 213/22 219/12 219/20 222/22 227/21 227/25 232/10 233/7 233/9 237/18 240/16 243/10 didn't [45] 4/5 15/19 17/17 18/19 38/6 69/21 69/21 72/6 96/12 98/19 109/14 114/25 117/6 117/11 117/13 118/3 118/8 118/11 119/16 120/22 121/8 122/6 122/10 122/17 122/23 123/2 127/5 127/7 130/8 131/8 132/15 135/20 137/16 139/12 149/21 159/23 197/10 201/15 214/1 219/20 222/3 227/24 229/17 243/8 243/11 die [1] 95/18 differed [1] 170/15 difference [3] 89/5 112/23 154/8 different [51] 10/17 23/16 23/17 32/22 36/22 36/22 38/21 38/21 38/24 39/6 39/15 41/7 43/1 43/21 52/17 54/2 55/8 62/11 63/2 63/25 65/22 88/25 103/18 112/20 112/21 125/3 130/3 130/4 130/5 130/6 130/14 135/18 143/14 146/14 156/23 161/10 168/21 168/22 169/1 169/12 169/13 169/14 170/9 170/11 172/18 173/2 188/10 201/12 211/13 231/7 236/13 differently [2] 161/9 229/8 difficult [15] 26/25

D difficult... [14] 30/15 123/18 124/1 145/15 145/17 146/3 146/23 147/12 200/24 212/21 228/25 229/1 230/22 230/24 difficulties [5] 48/10 52/25 58/17 147/10 169/5 difficulty [1] 225/12 Digital [1] 80/10 diminished [1] 21/15 direct [10] 37/10 54/7 54/9 56/13 109/8 129/25 147/5 168/18 169/20 170/21 directed [1] 123/5 direction [38] 48/19 73/16 73/18 89/17 89/19 90/2 90/9 90/11 91/6 91/21 93/11 94/4 101/7 105/22 106/10 106/12 107/20 107/25 108/3 108/11 109/4 109/12 109/16 109/23 109/24 110/14 110/17 110/22 111/11 120/18 dismiss [3] 121/2 143/14 143/19 154/9 154/10 155/1 155/7 157/7 157/10 **directions [2]** 93/24 109/6 directly [10] 37/11 37/20 51/16 54/20 102/5 122/1 142/20 143/9 182/13 196/20 directly-managed [1] 142/20 director [12] 54/25 80/5 80/10 93/14 93/14 117/16 124/14 125/23 133/19 135/4 158/20 175/16 directors [5] 124/13 125/22 126/9 126/21 139/6 directs [2] 51/11 51/17 disagree [1] 158/2 disagreement [1] 8/21 disagreements [1] 8/23 disappeared [1] 145/5 disappointed [1] 112/16 disappointing [2] 113/17 113/20 disaster [1] 237/21 disclosure [3] 38/23 39/16 48/10

discrepancies [9] 178/22 179/1 179/3 179/15 179/22 180/5 194/23 195/3 199/24 discretion [2] 27/24 discuss [7] 54/20 69/10 69/17 74/12 191/21 224/3 224/8 discussed [5] 20/18 49/2 67/6 183/17 discussing [3] 190/7 discussion [8] 45/17 70/4 163/25 164/11 205/18 211/16 239/18 11/13 36/11 49/5 58/9 59/14 156/3 164/7 dishonest [1] 131/23 dismissal [3] 115/23 dismissed [2] 117/23 dispassionate [1] disposed [1] 119/24 dispute [3] 47/23 disrespectful [2] dissatisfaction [1] dissatisfied [4] 25/10 25/17 178/14 178/15 dissimilar [1] 160/17 distinction [2] 18/19 distrust [1] 217/18 diversity [1] 126/4 divorced [1] 61/25 do [190] 1/14 3/25 5/7 5/14 6/4 7/10 7/17 10/16 11/25 12/3 17/8 21/13 22/4 22/17 23/10 25/25 29/6 29/16 30/25 31/8 31/13 40/2 45/6 46/16 62/3 63/24 64/1 65/23 68/22 75/14 76/1 76/20 77/19 86/23 88/24 89/6 89/12

discovered [1]

discrepancy [1]

119/14

188/14

132/12

205/9

244/7

208/11

119/1

114/3

133/17

178/12

43/4

135/9 139/4

132/19 138/7

134/4 215/18

42/3 133/13

disregarded [1]

209/24 215/13

discussions [8]

89/15 89/23 91/3 does [36] 9/20 11/15 91/23 92/12 92/22 20/1 35/13 43/7 60/4 92/23 95/16 95/23 76/14 87/20 91/8 95/24 97/25 98/5 108/21 125/6 129/1 99/12 99/12 100/19 143/20 144/11 148/19 102/7 103/4 103/5 149/25 161/15 161/18 103/15 106/19 110/3 162/12 167/4 167/5 177/5 177/13 177/17 110/8 110/8 111/1 112/19 113/2 114/18 184/23 185/1 189/2 114/22 114/23 115/1 204/16 207/21 217/3 115/24 119/20 121/5 219/21 230/1 231/19 121/18 121/21 121/21 231/22 234/14 241/6 122/16 123/17 124/10 doesn't [12] 59/17 124/20 125/16 125/22 109/7 118/24 131/7 146/5 146/14 150/16 126/6 127/3 129/20 131/16 132/15 134/10 155/18 158/3 158/10 135/14 138/2 140/13 174/13 188/13 140/14 140/15 140/22 doing [49] 4/18 34/3 141/1 143/13 146/23 35/5 52/1 54/12 73/7 77/15 77/20 83/13 147/2 147/2 147/11 147/12 147/16 148/4 86/19 90/18 91/25 148/9 148/10 148/22 94/14 98/20 103/6 148/24 149/6 150/1 103/14 103/16 103/17 doubled [1] 6/2 103/19 103/20 107/10 doubt [3] 129/15 151/3 151/6 152/6 152/7 155/4 158/15 113/3 114/14 117/18 159/14 159/22 160/7 122/7 122/10 122/23 160/19 160/20 161/15 122/25 123/3 127/19 161/25 162/6 162/9 129/17 130/18 131/22 164/20 164/21 165/5 134/13 135/14 135/16 165/7 166/11 166/16 138/9 153/23 161/8 161/11 161/19 163/15 166/17 169/11 170/12 171/21 171/21 172/8 169/3 170/6 171/25 172/23 173/1 179/7 202/4 202/9 239/21 240/5 179/19 180/3 185/5 185/11 187/19 188/4 domain [3] 23/15 188/16 189/12 196/9 50/7 72/4 200/10 201/3 201/11 don't [94] 8/8 17/2 203/23 205/20 207/2 17/20 22/20 31/7 209/4 211/6 211/20 35/23 37/12 42/21 218/9 219/1 219/10 43/14 46/14 50/10 219/11 219/21 220/25 50/18 51/23 53/21 221/24 223/25 224/4 64/1 72/15 73/3 73/5 224/8 225/10 229/5 73/10 74/2 76/5 77/4 229/11 229/12 229/19 88/8 90/4 90/24 95/20 230/25 231/4 233/24 96/9 96/9 98/3 102/20 235/18 236/18 237/21 103/12 109/17 110/23 237/21 237/22 240/24 111/23 115/4 117/5 241/5 241/15 242/7 119/9 119/9 119/9 242/25 243/11 243/24 120/1 120/3 125/12 245/11 246/7 126/7 130/23 131/22 document [15] 92/10 132/4 132/5 132/15 144/25 151/21 152/1 136/24 137/3 138/4 152/3 152/6 152/9 138/22 142/22 146/7 152/10 157/8 185/12 146/7 147/15 147/17 186/5 195/8 201/13 148/23 150/17 151/25 drafted [1] 67/5 153/22 154/8 161/3 222/12 232/16 documentary [6] 164/25 169/9 171/8 111/7 112/22 113/6 171/18 177/22 185/8 113/10 161/22 208/21 47/2 50/4 52/13 55/10 documentation [3] 189/4 189/15 197/12 187/10 187/25 218/10 201/6 201/6 201/13 documents [7] 133/7 201/14 209/1 209/8 134/19 136/4 153/22 210/13 218/7 220/23 186/10 208/18 211/16 224/6 228/17 229/3

230/10 231/12 233/11 237/8 237/10 237/22 244/11 done [39] 32/10 33/5 33/7 56/11 58/14 72/20 87/11 87/17 96/9 97/6 97/9 102/20 108/16 110/10 112/7 113/6 113/8 127/1 140/19 140/23 140/24 145/13 149/21 153/20 155/18 155/21 160/15 161/17 164/8 166/3 170/25 172/5 176/19 202/6 208/4 224/13 238/21 240/24 246/18 door [10] 29/20 41/18 57/17 95/23 103/24 117/11 153/11 157/25 159/25 166/18 double [2] 179/5 179/23 130/11 179/7 down [75] 3/10 7/23 18/7 18/8 19/1 19/2 20/16 21/17 22/12 23/2 23/6 27/20 28/13 28/22 30/19 42/7 43/24 57/19 72/22 87/23 89/14 92/3 95/1 104/6 106/14 108/20 115/19 118/13 123/24 124/4 124/7 127/6 128/22 131/24 132/18 132/22 133/10 139/21 141/13 144/25 148/19 148/24 149/12 150/16 158/7 166/6 166/17 178/19 180/24 182/25 183/15 184/3 185/20 187/14 190/9 195/10 197/3 202/15 215/23 218/16 218/22 218/24 219/24 220/25 221/19 222/16 224/2 224/7 227/22 231/3 231/25 232/18 243/11 245/17 246/9 **Downey [2]** 163/10 227/1 draft [3] 141/5 141/8 168/14 drama [1] 112/22 draw [1] 183/1 drawer [1] 72/23 186/11 186/20 188/18 drawing [1] 196/19 drawn [1] 50/11 driving [1] 7/8 dropping [1] 87/12 due [16] 7/5 12/10 19/20 19/23 64/10

efficient [2] 16/8 D 142/17 due... [11] 103/25 efforts [3] 11/10 163/6 181/5 182/20 37/14 181/17 197/13 209/22 219/1 egregious [1] 4/24 221/9 224/2 224/11 either [16] 21/11 27/3 241/12 32/10 50/20 73/13 during [12] 8/23 13/9 76/21 114/13 117/20 96/23 170/23 198/8 131/8 136/17 190/22 205/17 218/20 227/12 214/15 214/23 223/22 227/16 227/21 228/20 233/11 235/21 233/15 elaborate [1] 89/23 duties [1] 87/13 elderly [1] 16/25 duty [2] 19/6 65/25 **elected** [1] 3/2 dysfunctional [1] election [10] 3/3 4/6 158/9 5/21 6/8 14/11 32/18 33/12 35/9 35/10 72/11 each [9] 17/23 53/25 electronic [2] 78/22 66/2 104/23 142/12 79/11 192/24 208/8 224/22 electronically [1] 227/23 78/23 earlier [29] 26/16 27/18 40/5 60/8 74/22 element [4] 14/7 56/16 59/6 74/23 108/5 112/22 130/12 134/11 135/13 136/22 elements [1] 67/17 elicit [1] 127/10 149/21 152/1 157/10 161/17 180/11 196/23 eligibility [3] 36/17 36/18 38/8 198/4 200/20 206/6 211/14 212/15 216/20 eligible [3] 12/4 37/17 37/24 220/16 220/22 234/24 else [9] 12/1 111/23 235/4 236/22 241/24 112/20 168/1 212/20 earliest [1] 46/10 230/6 235/2 236/8 early [6] 74/12 236/18 131/14 159/22 207/13 elsewhere [1] 103/18 239/17 211/4 226/18 earn [1] 40/24 106/13 107/1 107/2 earning [1] 76/5 easier [1] 32/14 190/10 192/2 195/24 easily [1] 147/11 195/25 197/2 197/16 easy [3] 27/16 35/2 198/25 201/15 203/17 145/21 203/18 215/18 217/8 economic [1] 96/14 217/14 218/5 economically [1] emails [1] 216/20 68/5 embarrassment [2] edited [1] 178/1 121/6 135/21 **education** [7] 57/23 emerge [1] 22/24 58/4 80/19 172/11 emerged [1] 210/4 229/13 229/17 238/24 emotive [1] 224/7 effect [9] 51/15 75/6 employees [2] 91/15 91/22 93/4 176/14 238/10 93/10 93/23 238/4 Employment [2] 238/10 46/12 138/7 effective [5] 25/23 enable [2] 47/19 66/23 68/16 68/18 47/20 142/9 **Enabling [1]** 142/8 effectively [12] 14/16 enclose [1] 195/24 17/18 20/4 25/15 64/22 85/4 87/5 87/15 encourage [2] 105/5 162/8 97/5 145/21 183/22 encouraged [2] 220/6

112/16 212/5

end [60] 22/20 45/6

45/7 75/8 95/15 98/4

102/24 110/11 111/2

effectiveness [1]

efficiency [1] 148/11

44/21

114/18 148/23 148/24 156/9 159/24 161/13 180/9 180/11 180/12 180/19 181/2 181/6 181/12 182/2 182/4 187/6 187/6 187/7 187/7 187/17 187/19 188/12 188/15 188/16 189/11 200/14 200/14 200/25 202/2 202/2 202/7 209/22 220/7 220/8 221/13 226/13 229/24 230/2 230/12 230/15 230/17 230/20 entrepreneurial [1] 231/10 231/19 242/25 238/23 243/4 243/25 244/10 244/14 244/16 245/7 endeavours [1] 238/23 ended [4] 89/8 130/2 168/21 178/20 ending [1] 144/19 ends [2] 87/23 202/13 endured [1] 82/16 enforcement [9] 177/18 192/20 193/17 194/5 205/14 213/10 233/3 233/5 233/21 engage [14] 203/22 204/1 205/20 207/2 207/19 208/5 208/6 229/9 229/11 230/3 231/5 231/9 239/9 email [22] 12/24 16/3 engaged [4] 45/12 101/13 133/12 207/24 128/24 134/21 189/19 engagement [9] 3/15 57/13 102/8 162/8 229/24 230/10 230/20 230/24 231/16 engagements [2] 143/21 211/12 engaging [1] 198/12 **engineer [1]** 79/19 **engineers** [1] 186/23 **engrossed** [1] 83/8 enormous [3] 126/6 176/24 181/14 enough [9] 102/10 103/19 112/18 113/2 113/9 171/11 187/10 246/15 246/15 enquiries [1] 196/17 Enright [4] 54/15 241/2 245/24 246/9 ensure [13] 12/9 16/17 19/14 61/15 67/14 67/21 69/13 107/10 131/10 142/10 establishing [1] 163/13 164/12 195/5 ensures [2] 24/5 220/4 ensuring [8] 62/14

87/11 90/8 98/18 104/14 104/22 144/21 **Europe [2]** 175/20 165/8 entire [5] 150/24 158/11 189/15 196/24 158/20 200/14 entirely [8] 1/16 63/7 86/11 86/16 164/24 173/2 195/22 212/11 entitled [2] 19/9 169/12 entity [3] 90/20 145/19 145/24 entry [1] 179/6 **envelope [1]** 85/13 environment [2] 146/24 200/21 environments [1] 206/9 envisaged [1] 55/23 envisages [1] 210/1 equal [1] 19/14 **Equalities [2]** 83/10 83/11 equally [4] 18/24 150/19 229/7 240/12 equipment [2] 181/20 237/16 equivalent [1] 190/22 173/14 238/8 **Ernst [1]** 184/25 err [1] 98/17 error [2] 188/19 188/25 errors [16] 180/8 183/4 183/9 183/18 183/21 184/11 184/15 184/21 186/2 186/2 187/5 189/10 199/7 204/9 219/4 236/14 escalate [1] 205/10 escalation [6] 85/23 102/2 102/3 102/4 102/11 137/18 essence [1] 242/7 **essential [3]** 145/12 173/4 195/2 essentially [20] 9/19 14/11 18/21 51/17 73/7 83/2 86/21 86/24 94/2 101/16 102/2 105/10 109/20 112/23 143/22 153/2 159/1 159/11 160/7 193/16 establish [3] 65/10 104/11 204/19 established [4] 14/10 175/16 191/5 204/17 191/6 **estate** [1] 3/4 estimated [1] 223/3 et [1] 234/18

et cetera [1] 234/18 188/7 **European [2]** 54/25 even [21] 8/4 9/16 31/8 31/20 38/6 47/3 62/3 77/6 84/6 85/4 87/21 92/20 103/24 132/14 146/12 147/13 149/19 155/4 156/22 198/14 229/3 evening [1] 208/1 event [4] 15/10 116/5 190/5 209/23 events [1] 170/10 **eventually [3]** 111/5 111/10 137/4 ever [5] 10/15 42/13 117/6 159/12 187/21 every [12] 18/11 61/7 76/9 93/1 97/6 100/23 114/14 146/24 148/12 148/20 148/22 191/6 everybody [9] 77/24 110/5 146/21 150/5 150/19 161/10 218/9 232/2 232/5 **everyone** [6] 12/3 115/21 150/19 165/14 everyone's [2] 6/25 125/1 everything [18] 4/11 4/13 5/12 7/21 85/21 87/19 92/9 130/23 135/11 144/23 150/4 179/11 196/25 199/1 212/20 227/23 230/15 230/17 evidence [92] 10/8 24/21 25/6 25/7 37/25 46/4 51/10 54/9 54/13 55/2 55/12 55/22 59/12 60/2 66/11 66/12 71/10 75/5 75/11 77/19 81/17 99/1 104/10 139/12 144/7 148/16 149/23 152/2 155/12 158/21 158/24 160/6 163/12 166/3 168/15 169/15 173/7 175/10 176/9 177/1 177/7 177/11 177/25 179/22 184/5 185/24 186/12 187/3 187/5 187/21 192/8 195/13 195/23 196/5 196/6 196/13 196/17 197/21 198/8 198/20 199/17 200/8 201/8 202/19 202/21 202/23 203/1 206/6 210/3 213/18 219/1 220/6

(76) evidence... - financial

85/4 239/2 failed [5] 63/6 66/19 features [1] 14/8 Ε existing [13] 11/24 explanations [2] 66/22 133/23 153/3 February [21] 80/21 evidence... [20] 14/21 57/6 67/11 103/7 199/23 81/8 81/10 81/14 failing [1] 60/17 220/10 220/11 220/16 68/17 141/25 181/5 exploratory [1] failings [2] 67/2 81/23 81/25 82/9 83/2 221/7 221/7 221/10 222/4 222/23 223/8 190/14 176/20 83/18 83/20 84/23 221/13 226/12 226/13 223/15 223/21 228/4 explore [4] 69/10 failure [5] 38/22 85/9 86/7 96/1 102/9 227/16 231/25 233/25 exists [1] 67/12 105/5 190/18 231/2 39/15 53/5 181/8 116/8 184/13 198/25 234/24 235/3 236/11 exit [1] 210/2 Export [1] 122/2 181/13 211/4 211/7 236/23 236/25 237/2 237/12 exoneration [1] **expressed [9]** 57/25 failures [4] 142/1 fed [5] 29/1 122/12 243/9 245/2 75/10 101/5 136/14 39/20 176/4 176/7 220/12 183/24 186/2 201/25 **evidential** [2] 32/13 expand [1] 67/11 136/19 136/23 137/6 fair [21] 5/6 5/14 5/18 Federation [1] 60/1 32/22 expanding [1] 201/22 158/24 159/20 6/6 7/3 17/25 18/23 feed [1] 189/3 evidentially [1] 191/5 **expansion [2]** 11/15 expressing [1] 27/1 20/13 96/3 104/15 feedback [5] 28/5 exact [1] 108/20 11/17 expression [1] 99/10 104/24 105/7 138/23 51/7 54/8 203/8 exactly [13] 9/10 11/20 16/2 89/23 92/8 expect [11] 4/5 13/8 extend [6] 11/23 150/18 165/8 165/8 203/16 feel [17] 14/15 17/11 141/1 143/12 144/1 57/10 100/13 140/3 165/14 204/19 225/20 92/12 116/3 168/25 144/8 144/16 186/21 144/17 192/5 25/5 50/13 54/13 58/2 228/6 228/15 177/12 179/13 196/23 211/11 220/13 244/11 63/10 72/15 73/10 **extended [1]** 200/25 fairly [11] 12/1 18/14 208/9 234/3 **extension** [10] 12/8 50/7 63/19 72/9 73/4 76/1 77/13 97/8 expectation [1] examine [5] 48/24 180/22 208/2 209/18 122/4 133/7 138/13 109/15 120/22 197/10 94/12 49/1 49/4 167/10 210/1 210/8 210/17 **expected [6]** 10/15 138/16 233/6 198/7 220/23 205/22 19/8 146/24 176/5 211/17 221/6 237/25 fairness [5] 16/17 feeling [3] 7/3 125/8 examined [1] 202/2 18/17 189/7 198/10 190/16 193/15 232/25 extensions [1] 12/4 **example [26]** 5/9 11/14 36/21 38/2 47/5 expecting [5] 111/7 **extensive** [1] 46/17 212/17 feels [1] 131/2 140/13 140/14 140/15 extensively [1] 60/25 faith [5] 33/22 69/7 fees [2] 21/9 21/16 50/24 72/20 84/4 144/3 69/19 130/21 191/13 extent [8] 10/10 fell [1] 117/7 88/13 91/25 93/18 fall [4] 10/24 22/18 **expedited [3]** 14/17 51/23 55/6 105/3 felt [23] 32/4 32/5 95/14 130/4 131/5 205/23 223/7 223/8 34/8 45/23 69/20 35/10 50/13 94/16 144/24 154/16 161/21 168/22 71/25 72/6 72/15 expenditure [4] 9/24 246/25 fallen [1] 14/11 178/19 186/8 186/13 73/17 108/23 130/7 9/25 11/3 21/16 external [2] 39/23 fallout [2] 153/25 188/10 188/17 188/20 137/24 160/21 169/4 **expensive** [2] 61/20 201/20 156/17 193/8 201/8 218/13 197/14 198/18 206/11 extra [6] 66/17 falls [1] 223/9 114/17 **examples** [1] 176/22 206/14 207/12 213/25 **experience** [13] 8/23 100/18 124/2 149/1 false [2] 119/15 exceeds [1] 16/17 192/22 143/23 143/24 143/25 188/12 188/13 219/17 233/22 238/5 **excellent** [1] 123/7 144/1 179/16 196/13 familiar [7] 8/5 33/15 extrapolating [1] female [1] 126/3 excessive [1] 150/14 229/2 237/20 240/5 82/16 177/22 189/19 33/25 few [13] 5/5 15/20 **exchange [3]** 12/24 240/11 240/24 246/6 **extreme** [1] 75/8 189/20 232/18 20/21 30/10 93/18 74/21 189/19 experienced [7] extremely [3] 4/7 families [23] 57/18 103/8 115/5 180/23 **exchanges [4]** 35/15 45/24 163/6 163/19 113/20 121/4 58/16 58/25 59/15 182/20 182/21 182/21 38/11 39/13 73/13 164/13 178/25 179/2 **eye [1]** 54/19 73/23 162/23 163/7 211/2 218/23 **Exchequer [8]** 80/22 210/23 163/23 164/2 164/4 fifth [4] 174/20 175/1 95/25 96/7 96/11 experiences [3] 164/5 164/9 165/6 175/25 209/19 96/12 96/13 98/22 face [3] 100/3 100/5 164/19 179/8 227/13 221/17 226/14 226/16 figure [8] 9/14 10/7 102/5 219/7 227/13 238/5 238/24 11/15 160/22 160/23 experiencing [2] excise [1] 134/17 faced [1] 210/6 178/17 179/15 239/23 240/6 240/16 178/25 221/19 229/20 **excluding [2]** 78/20 facilitate [1] 210/2 expert [18] 73/3 244/4 figure 8 [1] 178/25 79/4 facing [1] 68/23 178/5 195/13 196/4 family [10] 11/14 figures [2] 84/7 **excuses** [1] 103/7 fact [32] 18/13 22/25 196/5 196/12 199/22 11/21 11/23 58/19 179/7 Exec [1] 124/7 23/7 31/14 36/20 49/9 199/23 200/1 200/10 58/24 59/7 98/7 final [15] 2/7 29/11 **execute** [1] 229/3 51/25 52/10 83/8 200/12 200/17 202/19 108/16 159/6 241/19 37/6 39/22 141/9 **executive [8]** 66/21 86/18 93/3 106/17 202/21 202/23 202/25 far [19] 24/10 54/21 152/14 153/14 154/22 121/11 133/14 139/6 117/25 121/2 124/22 203/21 220/7 72/8 103/13 110/3 157/1 195/8 218/16 175/19 175/19 207/13 expertise [4] 67/16 124/22 125/1 125/16 115/3 133/25 143/20 220/8 222/11 223/20 237/1 125/19 129/16 149/17 90/25 241/6 242/1 163/25 169/20 176/7 231/21 Executive's [1] 157/7 168/4 197/21 184/8 185/22 186/18 finally [5] 13/25 80/9 EXPG0000007 [1] 213/18 202/8 208/18 211/1 188/20 209/9 231/19 178/6 155/11 174/20 195/7 executives [5] 211/20 212/9 213/14 explain [4] 91/8 242/19 244/11 Finance [1] 122/2 154/25 159/18 197/6 108/2 180/4 192/16 231/2 240/2 fashioned [1] 33/3 finances [1] 104/22 208/1 245/20 exercising [2] 109/19 explained [2] 197/16 factor [1] 23/18 faster [4] 22/6 95/8 financial [16] 20/5 228/3 factors [3] 20/8 139/2 148/6 55/6 100/20 141/22 193/3 38/21 43/16 explaining [2] 119/17 **favour [3]** 53/13 142/9 158/11 161/5 exist [4] 171/12 facts [4] 84/7 124/23 53/19 53/20 163/4 164/3 165/2 203/18 171/16 171/17 171/18 170/9 186/17 feasibility [1] 181/21 explains [1] 194/1 165/3 214/25 222/13 existed [2] 10/19 explanation [2] 92/20 fail [1] 100/4 227/10 238/18 239/19 feature [1] 134/8

find [19] 57/1 57/17 98/5 121/23 127/8 127/21 132/16 153/18 157/8 167/2 167/3 172/18 172/24 209/11 215/25 229/7 231/5 240/9 241/2 finding [1] 116/13 findings [8] 12/20 52/16 55/21 60/5 60/8 60/18 152/19 220/1 finds [1] 205/18 fine [16] 1/7 1/11 28/18 70/14 70/17 129/7 173/19 185/19 208/20 209/3 209/14 225/22 226/3 234/20 243/3 245/6 finish [4] 75/4 225/9 225/18 231/24 finished [2] 59/10 224/5 finishing [1] 246/17 firm [4] 54/17 79/23 80/6 219/9 firm's [1] 219/6 firmly [1] 75/10 first [59] 4/3 4/10 5/16 7/7 9/12 13/11 26/3 46/16 47/7 47/9 49/7 49/16 49/17 68/16 71/9 74/14 78/16 87/1 89/16 92/4 95/5 104/7 107/1 107/16 109/9 110/18 111/3 115/22 116/11 116/24 118/9 118/9 118/15 124/8 132/20 136/13 142/24 142/25 152/2 157/11 162/22 169/6 174/12 174/25 175/12 177/5 183/15 192/16 193/22 196/16 **follow-up [2]** 74/10 198/18 211/22 214/14 215/6 230/23 232/18 245/2 246/10 246/21 firsthand [1] 169/20 firstly [4] 33/22 79/19 95/25 136/17 fish [1] 90/21 fished [1] 72/24 fit [2] 47/24 230/21 five [22] 60/4 60/24 61/12 70/18 85/10 85/10 85/18 86/25 97/16 99/9 116/2 134/8 157/22 158/17 158/17 174/9 211/17 211/17 211/23 212/22 225/5 225/17 five-year [1] 60/4 five/six [1] 99/9 forgotten [1] 185/16

fix [1] 166/24 fixed [36] 6/14 6/18 13/7 13/13 13/17 13/18 13/20 13/22 14/14 14/17 14/23 15/7 15/25 17/8 17/14 18/25 19/16 19/18 21/11 21/22 22/3 22/11 23/2 23/11 24/20 24/22 25/18 25/19 26/9 26/15 27/14 49/21 54/5 100/22 104/19 105/1 fixed-sum [9] 6/14 21/22 22/3 23/2 23/11 49/21 54/5 104/19 105/1 fixes [1] 184/13 fixing [1] 68/20 flag [2] 132/8 197/6 **flagged [2]** 176/15 218/14 flagging [1] 197/5 **flat [1]** 107/19 flatout [1] 111/22 flawed [1] 178/21 flaws [1] 112/13 flexible [1] 112/12 floating [1] 28/21 floor [2] 17/19 18/3 focus [10] 8/18 33/3 66/10 141/2 141/14 141/21 142/16 176/11 181/18 208/3 focused [4] 83/13 163/4 181/3 181/15 focuses [1] 107/22 focusing [2] 142/5 201/16 **FOI [1]** 131/25 **follow [6]** 7/18 74/10 74/17 114/22 143/20 144/11 74/17 followed [3] 114/25 138/6 149/3 following [14] 4/4 13/8 49/20 107/14 114/15 116/19 117/22 133/20 133/21 141/14 141/22 192/2 192/10 247/3 follows [3] 133/25 215/24 216/25 foot [3] 125/5 163/10 227/1 force [1] 18/6 forensic [1] 199/22 foresee [1] 76/20 forgive [7] 53/16 185/18 187/2 188/16 188/21 188/21 235/7

form [16] 59/13 145/5 161/17 178/18 213/22 213/23 214/8 214/8 214/10 215/9 215/20 216/16 217/1 217/4 217/11 219/12 formal [3] 88/18 88/22 133/20 formally [3] 24/15 88/6 140/12 format [1] 230/10 former [2] 73/20 226/25 formerly [1] 9/8 forms [1] 214/7 forth [3] 71/23 75/24 92/24 fortuitously [1] 227/14 forum [1] 68/11 forward [20] 6/20 14/22 31/3 31/21 41/20 43/2 55/21 57/3 FUJ00243157 [1] 64/6 64/15 66/9 69/2 69/7 74/20 101/2 101/19 152/17 155/16 192/15 232/17 182/7 245/9 forwards [1] 146/2 found [4] 77/11 128/13 128/14 153/2 founded [1] 130/24 four [26] 5/24 6/2 6/3 9/17 28/13 46/16 49/17 56/1 60/22 64/20 75/14 113/18 121/19 125/4 198/23 208/13 208/14 208/14 FUJ00243227 [1] 208/20 212/2 212/13 212/21 218/6 218/6 218/15 234/9 fourth [3] 142/15 174/18 174/25 fowl [1] 90/21 frames [1] 33/25 framework [2] 67/12 152/22 frameworks [1] 240/25 frank [15] 8/14 11/3 11/9 12/19 29/9 29/17 36/11 44/3 50/10 63/15 76/25 77/6 131/11 169/22 228/1 frankly [20] 10/14 37/16 39/17 41/19 53/9 53/21 57/4 57/9 57/15 59/15 72/12 76/7 155/18 202/7 221/1 229/19 231/4 234/12 237/8 240/10 Fraser [2] 47/13 48/3 fraud [2] 192/22 214/18 free [2] 3/17 23/10

freezes [1] 179/17 **frequently [1]** 122/5 Friday [6] 1/8 3/25 12/25 15/21 208/1 245/20 Friday's [1] 15/19 front [6] 1/25 41/18 125/5 153/22 174/24 189/11 front-door [1] 41/18 frontline [4] 8/16 44/19 63/10 77/3 frustrated [2] 31/19 74/16 frustrating [1] 121/4 frustration [7] 29/1 31/13 32/2 37/18 49/8 99/10 102/14 frustrations [1] 36/24 FUJ00126035 [1] 174/15 189/18 FUJ00243199 [2] FUJ00243201 [1] 193/23 FUJ00243204 [2] 182/22 195/9 FUJ00243209 [1] 202/13 FUJ00243211 [1] 205/5 FUJ00243213 [1] 214/8 214/9 FUJ00243233 [1] 215/20 FUJ00243299 [1] 180/20 FUJ00243303 [1] 183/12 Fujitsu [151] 54/24 54/25 55/1 55/3 55/6 55/8 55/13 56/4 56/12 functions [2] 64/17 56/17 57/5 57/7 57/14 85/10 74/7 74/10 74/12 74/24 144/18 158/19 158/20 159/1 159/11 161/8 161/10 162/1 162/7 162/8 163/5 163/17 163/18 175/16 44/18 65/13 69/19 175/22 176/4 176/7 176/20 177/5 177/13 177/17 177/20 180/3 180/7 183/5 184/23 185/21 186/14 189/24 41/11 190/2 190/3 190/6 190/7 190/13 190/23 191/1 191/11 191/12 191/21 192/5 192/18

193/2 195/4 195/13 195/19 196/2 196/5 196/10 197/7 197/23 198/14 199/8 199/11 199/18 199/24 200/5 200/15 201/4 201/9 201/19 202/20 202/25 203/6 203/10 203/12 203/20 203/21 204/1 204/12 204/25 205/18 207/18 207/22 208/15 209/6 209/10 209/24 210/6 210/14 210/16 210/19 213/4 213/9 213/11 214/3 215/19 216/4 216/13 216/23 217/2 217/18 218/9 218/20 219/7 219/25 220/4 220/9 221/24 221/25 222/14 222/18 228/14 228/19 230/21 231/15 231/19 232/10 235/19 238/15 238/22 238/24 239/17 239/21 240/23 241/20 242/4 242/5 242/8 242/11 242/14 242/16 242/23 243/4 243/19 244/6 244/15 245/4 245/9 245/14 246/24 Fujitsu's [11] 158/25 160/24 161/24 177/3 191/16 210/8 220/12 221/12 230/1 230/18 235/16 full [23] 1/21 5/6 5/14 16/8 16/10 16/14 25/22 28/16 28/22 28/23 37/6 78/13 78/14 82/14 92/25 96/3 102/12 104/15 105/5 105/7 131/10 169/22 174/5 fully [8] 24/6 83/7 85/17 90/22 98/25 101/13 200/11 244/19 58/12 58/13 59/3 59/6 fund [4] 172/13 219/8 222/6 239/22 fundamental [6] 42/12 42/16 43/10 43/18 64/25 66/5 fundamentally [4] 76/11 fundamentals [2] 145/16 185/21 funded [2] 27/21 funding [10] 11/16 12/6 12/10 13/23 41/10 85/13 90/16 143/22 146/24 202/4

funds [5] 222/8 238/15 240/8 242/9 242/14 funny [1] 109/6 further [24] 21/1 30/19 32/2 50/19 57/4 59/2 70/3 75/2 86/24 127/11 170/24 183/5 183/13 199/8 204/2 205/20 207/2 207/20 216/20 216/24 229/25 230/3 231/16 237/12 future [60] 4/25 7/20 8/12 28/2 32/2 36/1 39/22 40/8 41/2 41/14 41/15 42/22 43/8 43/13 43/20 44/7 44/8 46/18 46/23 50/6 50/20 52/14 57/7 62/1 62/5 62/18 65/15 65/20 67/15 68/24 69/5 69/12 69/17 73/18 73/21 74/21 74/22 76/12 76/15 76/16 76/20 76/24 77/16 94/16 94/17 142/2 142/8 149/22 154/1 155/17 158/8 158/14 161/19 171/23 204/18 205/4 222/19 224/1 238/22 238/23 G G20 [1] 3/19 **G7 [1]** 3/19 Gareth [1] 30/15 gave [10] 59/12 69/22 91/11 144/6

158/20 169/22 187/20 219/1 226/12 246/13 general [14] 3/2 4/6 5/21 6/8 35/8 93/14 116/12 116/12 117/16 126/19 154/14 157/18 177/24 239/14 generally [1] 156/15 generation [1] 6/3 **generosity [2]** 53/15 53/18 generous [1] 157/22 gentlemen [1] 246/15 genuine [4] 17/24 39/14 41/17 77/12 genuinely [4] 8/25 10/15 56/15 76/1 gesture [2] 220/23 220/24 get [69] 7/5 7/9 8/17 14/17 31/5 31/23 32/8

34/1 40/11 49/6 51/8

51/11 70/7 90/23

103/13 105/23 106/2 106/10 107/21 107/25 108/25 109/21 111/10 111/10 112/6 113/15 117/15 119/23 120/14 glad [2] 110/11 121/9 124/2 124/3 124/5 124/14 125/5 126/10 126/21 127/6 128/20 132/1 138/19 157/17 166/18 179/13 189/13 193/21 199/1 204/6 211/20 231/18 236/17 237/8 243/25 246/7 get-go [1] 113/15 gets [4] 28/23 149/25 172/12 188/12 getting [29] 10/13 17/21 22/13 29/2 44/18 47/3 52/24 59/2 69/24 97/9 99/14 101/20 101/21 108/17 108/17 108/19 108/19 110/6 132/6 137/25 138/12 144/1 144/8 148/23 150/5 150/21 156/2 212/5 239/3 give [43] 1/21 17/6 18/6 18/8 23/8 43/22 44/20 46/1 46/5 47/3 52/8 53/9 54/22 54/23 69/11 75/14 77/18 78/13 92/11 97/22 109/7 109/8 113/21 114/10 127/3 130/1 135/2 138/22 142/11 155/12 157/24 158/24 164/16 168/19 170/11 174/5 181/19 188/10 222/4 237/15 given [60] 4/8 23/25 25/11 42/11 46/22 50/18 55/1 56/19 72/24 84/3 85/23 86/17 95/13 101/5 105/3 108/3 108/23 108/23 109/12 112/14 120/7 123/10 123/11 123/14 127/18 130/23 136/1 139/8 146/2 146/22 158/16 160/10 going [107] 3/5 5/15 160/21 163/12 166/20 167/7 169/9 171/11 171/23 172/6 175/10 176/22 177/24 182/3 190/19 197/11 197/18 197/22 206/13 230/15 230/17 231/23 231/25

95/11 95/12 95/17

97/17 97/19 98/19

102/13 102/20 102/24

95/21 95/23 97/6

99/6 99/21 99/22

232/2 232/3 233/25 234/10 243/22 244/3 246/4 gives [2] 84/5 178/19 giving [12] 55/12 126/21 128/7 130/11 131/10 135/17 135/25 172/11 172/11 173/7 239/13 240/3 162/20 **GLO [22]** 13/15 16/19 19/15 20/23 30/8 31/15 32/11 45/13 61/12 82/25 100/4 100/11 100/14 100/23 101/2 101/14 104/20 105/1 107/7 107/19 153/1 158/18 global [2] 159/19 159/19 go [76] 13/1 14/22 17/17 18/7 22/11 23/6 27/14 27/20 28/10 31/21 37/11 37/20 38/6 38/14 41/6 43/7 51/17 59/22 62/10 65/9 76/2 84/9 89/7 89/10 89/25 96/16 97/11 98/4 101/24 103/8 104/7 110/25 113/15 114/11 114/13 116/21 116/22 119/3 127/6 128/11 129/8 132/18 132/22 134/7 149/9 152/2 155/2 156/10 157/23 168/4 168/14 170/24 182/20 184/13 190/9 191/15 194/25 201/15 202/14 204/4 214/3 218/22 222/25 228/17 232/16 233/14 234/6 236/3 239/20 240/19 240/20 195/13 197/10 197/13 240/20 241/14 243/18 **got [43]** 25/6 26/10 244/11 244/12 go-slow [1] 134/7 qoal [1] 69/15 God [1] 126/11 Goddard [1] 2/25 goes [15] 28/22 35/12 92/3 92/17 100/2 124/8 126/4 133/5 146/20 181/12 187/7 187/13 196/25 197/25 204/3 5/20 8/10 10/25 15/18 16/5 17/20 20/22 26/5 26/24 29/19 31/12 31/19 32/5 40/15 41/6 43/2 45/17 48/22 48/23 49/1 49/4 50/6 50/16 51/17 52/1 52/3

52/19 53/16 64/6 64/14 65/12 66/9 68/16 70/7 74/20 88/12 89/12 95/7 98/13 98/14 109/19 110/4 114/11 119/13 120/17 121/12 122/13 122/15 126/11 126/15 126/16 126/17 126/24 127/14 127/19 131/12 133/7 135/13 136/25 143/5 143/19 146/2 147/3 147/7 150/3 151/24 152/16 153/12 153/15 154/17 168/25 170/3 170/18 171/9 172/2 172/13 172/15 172/16 173/25 177/1 182/7 182/18 184/24 186/20 197/9 198/21 201/9 207/19 220/11 220/14 222/1 224/11 224/12 226/1 232/22 235/21 235/24 236/7 236/7 236/10 236/17 238/9 239/25 244/19 246/8 gone [17] 7/20 40/13 40/18 62/20 67/2 69/25 82/15 124/22 150/22 157/15 186/4 188/18 188/22 197/9 227/24 244/10 244/11 good [31] 1/3 1/4 34/8 58/14 59/25 62/4 64/12 68/9 69/7 69/18 69/18 71/2 71/4 72/9 78/6 78/11 107/21 115/17 119/6 123/24 124/3 124/5 130/21 131/22 162/17 167/21 167/24 173/23 184/14 241/16 241/21 226/5 246/20 goodwill [1] 159/23 31/20 31/22 33/25 34/5 34/21 44/7 46/7 64/17 65/17 66/2 66/4 6/11 10/16 38/25 103/10 106/9 110/11 112/8 118/22 119/3 120/16 120/18 120/21 125/1 125/20 125/25 184/10 198/25 206/24 grateful [8] 4/3 4/7 212/18 218/13 229/2 237/1 237/2 237/20 240/4 240/11 245/13 246/6 246/16 gov.uk [1] 3/8 govern [1] 155/17 governance [20] 5/2 7/14 7/22 8/13 40/9 40/22 41/2 42/23 44/17 60/20 61/2

61/16 63/17 63/25 64/4 65/16 68/15 69/6 77/2 133/17 government [120] 3/15 4/15 4/16 4/17 4/18 10/2 10/23 14/13 18/12 19/18 33/5 33/16 36/22 37/20 38/10 39/4 41/3 43/13 43/19 46/11 46/17 49/10 49/14 49/25 55/14 56/1 56/3 56/4 56/11 56/11 58/9 58/13 59/8 61/15 61/20 61/23 62/7 62/10 62/13 62/22 63/4 64/8 64/17 65/24 67/7 67/12 68/2 69/14 71/24 73/20 74/18 75/16 85/1 94/2 97/21 108/6 108/22 110/3 113/22 113/25 115/2 120/13 123/25 125/16 128/25 134/3 134/5 134/24 144/6 145/24 146/5 147/25 148/6 148/11 148/13 149/25 149/25 150/10 151/10 155/13 156/14 159/25 161/2 161/2 161/8 162/13 163/25 164/10 165/18 165/24 165/25 166/10 166/22 167/1 167/4 167/5 172/8 173/1 220/2 220/15 220/17 220/19 220/25 221/19 222/5 222/9 222/24 223/1 224/2 224/8 224/9 224/10 231/25 239/18 239/20 239/25 240/4 240/7 government's [6] 34/9 84/10 123/11 147/19 148/17 155/22 governmental [1] 5/8 governments [3] 66/7 66/7 68/24 98/18 Grant [3] 60/5 61/10 156/5 grasp [2] 53/5 169/21 grasped [2] 58/13 59/18 13/5 77/18 105/8 190/21 192/4 231/15 **Gratton [4]** 123/20 126/22 136/11 136/18 grave [1] 48/10 great [7] 31/2 32/16 58/17 101/3 182/23 239/3 243/16 greater [11] 6/20 6/21 8/14 9/12 9/20

G greater... [6] 10/20 11/5 16/12 23/8 39/18 63/21 Green [9] 43/25 44/10 44/17 45/22 45/23 46/2 46/3 47/4 Greg [1] 42/15 **Grenfell** [1] 65/7 grey [2] 27/19 141/6 grievances [1] 69/24 grip [1] 86/18 gripping [1] 107/12 group [19] 22/14 23/4 25/13 36/15 39/10 39/24 43/9 58/11 63/5 79/19 79/22 82/22 220/4 223/2 227/6 227/16 227/19 228/24 229/7 groups [1] 68/3 growth [1] 96/14 guarantee [2] 10/2 11/6 guaranteed [1] 10/22 **Guardian [1]** 30/6 guidance [1] 19/25 **guide [1]** 50/1 guided [2] 12/20

52/20

guidelines [1] 46/5

guilty [3] 125/10

125/19 139/1

had [195] 14/9 14/11 15/12 20/12 21/18 22/7 25/22 32/6 33/16 haggle [2] 110/15 33/22 33/25 34/2 34/4 34/8 34/19 35/8 35/9 35/16 36/4 36/18 37/2 37/8 38/13 38/14 38/14 38/15 38/16 39/5 39/13 39/19 46/16 46/25 52/23 56/13 56/14 58/17 60/3 69/25 71/6 72/1 72/3 72/6 72/7 72/9 72/10 72/20 73/12 73/19 74/6 74/11 74/14 74/21 77/7 82/10 82/13 82/15 82/16 82/18 83/12 85/1 85/5 86/8 87/6 87/18 88/24 89/8 90/1 handling [1] 47/24 90/7 91/20 93/3 93/22 handover [1] 71/10 94/18 95/9 95/17 96/24 96/24 97/3 103/11 107/3 108/11 108/24 109/15 110/19 Hansard [1] 35/14 110/24 112/5 113/3

120/3 120/4 121/2 121/4 121/10 123/13 127/6 127/18 128/22 130/3 130/5 130/9 133/17 133/23 134/10 135/11 136/19 136/23 137/6 137/12 137/14 137/22 137/22 137/24 140/19 140/23 141/3 143/3 143/17 144/18 145/21 147/4 149/21 153/5 153/7 153/8 153/11 153/18 153/20 154/4 154/17 155/3 155/23 156/4 156/6 156/6 156/16 156/16 159/19 160/21 161/7 161/21 162/19 163/17 163/24 164/8 164/19 165/17 168/21 169/7 169/12 169/14 169/16 hard [11] 61/20 169/20 170/13 179/2 179/9 183/6 183/17 190/13 192/1 197/17 199/9 201/4 203/9 210/15 211/25 212/2 212/12 219/4 220/9 220/9 226/11 227/15 227/17 227/24 228/8 228/20 228/21 229/1 229/2 229/25 230/23 230/25 241/11 242/17 244/8 hadn't [2] 82/18 232/3 111/5 half [**7**] 47/7 47/7 47/9 70/11 92/14 92/18 121/19 half-hour [1] 92/14 halfway [3] 20/16 171/11 203/3 hall [1] 42/5 hand [9] 20/10 84/18 106/23 145/20 151/16 159/12 171/10 214/12 236/20 handful [1] 120/18 handing [1] 214/5 handle [2] 137/16 137/25 hands [2] 170/21 224/20 101/19 102/25 103/10 hang [3] 56/4 157/21 208/20 happen [20] 29/24 86/1 87/21 90/11

113/14 115/24 117/25

118/7 119/14 119/17

95/24 97/4 113/7 117/12 123/15 127/14 131/8 149/8 154/20 155/7 172/15 172/16 228/15 236/7 237/18 237/24 130/12 133/10 133/12 happened [12] 52/22 58/2 58/7 73/11 74/15 75/12 88/25 93/1 127/7 130/23 158/1 230/6 happening [18] 26/15 48/25 50/8 58/17 85/21 95/20 99/11 102/14 102/15 102/25 113/9 113/25 135/19 137/1 151/4 156/13 241/20 242/7 happens [4] 35/10 112/8 149/7 187/8 happy [7] 51/4 54/23 74/18 109/22 109/25 116/14 122/20 106/19 112/2 127/20 131/14 132/13 138/4 141/16 151/23 151/25 158/8 hardware [2] 223/12 223/23 harms [2] 12/18 58/23 has [167] 2/14 3/11 5/10 5/16 5/21 5/23 5/25 6/1 6/2 6/5 7/20 8/2 8/21 9/23 10/3 10/4 10/10 11/4 13/6 15/6 16/22 20/7 22/9 23/3 23/14 23/18 27/3 30/14 31/10 32/15 32/18 32/19 32/21 33/2 33/4 40/9 40/22 40/23 41/8 41/15 41/21 43/11 46/16 48/2 48/8 49/3 52/12 52/22 53/3 54/16 54/17 54/25 55/1 55/1 55/25 56/3 56/11 56/11 58/7 61/9 62/21 63/8 63/13 63/14 65/24 66/19 66/23 67/5 67/6 69/2 71/14 73/11 74/15 76/9 76/9 76/24 85/24 87/21 93/14 94/23 98/2 100/14 101/4 109/12 110/9 113/22 114/12 123/11 129/16 130/23 132/9 143/13 145/25 146/21 147/18 148/11 150/1 150/17 150/21 155/18 158/1 158/11 162/11 163/16 166/20 173/1 173/15 179/18

180/7 180/21 181/3 182/15 183/5 183/9 183/17 183/21 188/14 190/12 190/19 193/15 194/18 196/6 197/9 198/4 199/8 199/17 199/25 201/4 202/16 202/24 204/1 206/18 208/15 208/21 208/22 208/25 209/5 210/4 210/6 212/13 213/9 213/12 214/3 215/18 217/4 217/5 217/15 217/18 219/9 219/9 220/6 220/10 222/5 223/2 223/9 224/4 228/13 232/2 233/1 233/18 234/13 239/5 239/21 242/24 243/12 111/17 187/9 188/7 244/22 245/8 hasn't [2] 25/5 74/16 haste [1] 138/17 have [431] haven't [22] 8/25 11/6 12/14 16/20 37/8 health [2] 172/13 72/1 72/15 73/12 73/19 74/14 138/6 174/24 175/8 201/20 202/6 202/10 209/3 227/19 231/23 240/18 74/16 78/6 115/17 241/7 241/10 having [27] 27/15 28/21 36/11 37/2 38/4 46/18 50/15 60/21 64/19 64/19 73/4 98/4 10/8 24/20 37/25 101/20 105/15 122/20 136/3 139/19 143/13 155/5 157/22 158/6 164/7 164/15 191/13 207/12 208/11 220/11 he [115] 26/11 28/23 28/24 34/11 54/16 54/20 54/21 55/1 55/1 59/12 60/2 66/12 66/17 67/1 85/3 85/5 85/22 85/24 85/25 86/18 88/24 89/7 89/8 48/14 94/9 95/8 96/24 96/25 held [7] 20/12 36/15 104/8 104/17 105/8 112/14 112/15 115/25 help [19] 16/17 24/8 116/5 116/6 117/23 119/1 119/18 119/22 122/12 122/14 122/17 122/19 122/21 124/2 124/3 124/3 124/5 124/8 126/20 126/21 126/22 127/13 129/3 129/7 129/18 130/12 130/17 133/10 133/12 114/13 127/5 132/16 133/15 133/17 133/23 154/21 154/25 221/3 134/7 139/5 139/17 139/18 155/12 155/20 hence [5] 141/5 157/19 158/23 158/24 199/3 200/24 200/25

159/3 159/7 159/7 169/4 169/8 169/10 169/14 169/20 169/22 170/22 189/7 190/10 193/24 194/1 194/9 194/15 194/19 194/25 195/7 202/15 202/15 202/18 202/19 203/2 203/3 203/21 204/3 204/4 204/10 204/10 204/24 219/3 219/9 225/9 230/7 230/7 241/6 244/19 244/20 244/22 he's [8] 1/6 52/11 54/15 105/8 139/19 203/18 203/20 204/21 head [7] 26/6 28/21 210/13 231/12 headed [1] 83/17 heading [3] 99/4 132/22 140/8 headline [1] 222/13 229/14 healthier [1] 64/6 hear [17] 48/16 48/20 50/24 50/25 59/22 121/8 155/11 162/20 162/23 165/14 173/23 174/1 220/10 226/1 heard [25] 3/25 4/21 39/25 42/14 53/6 58/8 64/7 66/11 71/10 75/5 83/6 121/25 173/17 199/17 200/8 206/2 206/14 220/10 220/11 220/15 220/21 221/17 hearing [8] 1/5 11/13 15/19 51/18 100/12 138/23 155/6 247/3 heart [2] 63/15 126/4 heavily [2] 9/19 101/19 101/20 101/23 61/7 62/9 62/12 82/18 169/1 26/6 69/13 95/8 101/24 119/4 119/6 140/10 152/24 159/14 189/23 221/18 229/15 229/15 231/1 232/9 237/18 240/22 helped [1] 111/8 helpful [7] 74/20 helps [1] 200/13

I believe [31] 7/21

hence... [1] 201/1 Henry [13] 115/22 115/23 116/25 130/9 130/14 136/15 136/20 149/20 168/4 168/6 168/11 168/12 248/22 here [50] 1/15 1/17 4/4 5/21 10/14 14/4 23/3 24/8 29/14 34/22 36/3 50/18 52/21 53/12 56/18 58/14 62/16 66/18 68/12 69/25 75/19 77/21 87/25 91/12 92/2 97/16 106/13 114/6 147/24 153/14 154/6 186/22 187/9 207/10 230/5 230/8 230/8 235/3 239/4 242/7 244/3 here's [2] 92/23 172/16 herself [1] 130/8 Hi [1] 71/3 high [12] 16/10 45/12 47/14 48/12 57/21 76/13 76/17 92/11 105/4 123/10 144/3 152/25 higher [1] 21/19 highlighted [1] 141/20 highlighting [1] 183/8 Hillsborough [2] 65/5 65/25 him [49] 3/25 51/6 53/11 54/19 54/22 88/7 88/16 88/23 102/2 116/16 117/1 117/5 119/1 121/2 121/5 121/10 122/12 123/17 123/22 123/24 124/4 124/6 127/4 127/7 127/10 130/10 130/11 131/1 134/16 135/20 135/22 135/25 hoping [4] 231/24 134/18 135/7 135/10 136/3 139/12 140/2 140/3 140/5 140/17 141/3 149/21 156/1 157/18 169/17 211/3 241/4 244/22 himself [1] 103/11 hindsight [3] 122/18 122/21 234/16 his [40] 46/4 51/6 53/10 54/17 60/2 60/4 66/12 81/18 86/17

95/8 104/5 116/3 117/23 127/6 128/6 128/22 128/24 130/18 130/18 130/21 130/22 130/24 133/4 133/11 134/15 135/7 139/11 139/18 139/20 140/3 158/24 160/6 168/7 169/11 169/22 170/13 207/18 227/16 244/21 245/24 historic [6] 9/2 48/5 65/20 142/1 158/7 210/21 historical [2] 210/25 236/23 120/16 126/19 128/15 historically [2] 10/17 181/3 161/15 163/11 167/14 history [4] 62/22 66/5 167/17 239/3 210/24 213/21 224/11 hm [4] 123/21 197/20 204/23 237/17 **HMG [1]** 152/18 HMRC [2] 186/11 187/11 **HMT [1]** 152/20 hold [1] 148/12 holistic [2] 198/9 236/16 Hollinrake [26] 34/10 72/10 84/20 85/1 85/15 86/11 86/17 88/4 89/12 94/9 95/7 99/19 101/13 101/17 105/9 107/11 122/5 122/9 122/21 123/6 123/7 131/5 135/12 136/11 137/17 169/1 Hollinrake's [1] 143/24 home [1] 113/19 hometown [1] 43/16 honest [2] 11/7 71/21 honestly [2] 64/19 157/24 hook [1] 97/11 hope [5] 17/23 24/14 24/16 62/8 167/12 hoped [1] 59/16 hopefully [1] 18/5 hopes [1] 220/4 240/7 240/12 241/15 Horizon [122] 6/12 13/13 16/1 19/15 19/22 20/23 21/7 24/2 30/9 30/11 32/11 33/11 37/3 40/14 40/17 41/11 56/25 67/14 82/8 82/11 82/15 82/21 82/25 94/19 96/7 99/4 99/20 104/13 104/23 107/5 144/8 144/17 149/17

153/25 160/25 162/1 175/13 175/13 178/5 178/12 178/18 178/21 179/3 179/10 179/20 180/1 180/10 181/1 181/4 181/7 181/16 181/22 182/4 182/7 182/8 183/5 183/17 183/24 184/1 184/6 184/8 186/1 186/15 186/18 187/6 187/15 188/14 188/16 189/3 189/6 190/17 192/7 193/17 194/2 194/17 194/20 195/1 196/3 196/22 196/23 198/13 199/8 199/11 199/18 200/3 201/5 201/23 201/25 202/2 203/5 203/13 204/8 205/4 208/25 209/22 210/7 210/9 211/18 211/19 212/7 212/15 212/24 213/5 213/6 218/21 219/5 227/10 232/25 233/4 233/14 233/20 234/3 234/5 234/18 235/2 235/5 235/8 235/25 236/9 236/20 236/20 236/22 horrendous [1] 31/6 horrific [1] 125/4 hour [5] 70/10 92/14 92/15 92/18 233/15 hours [2] 121/15 121/18 house [3] 24/17 171/11 236/23 Housing [1] 81/4 how [96] 4/8 5/1 5/14 | l always [1] 138/10 12/2 12/13 18/9 23/15 | I am [30] 4/17 28/7 23/22 24/23 25/3 29/15 33/8 34/14 36/7 39/10 40/3 40/12 43/7 44/9 44/13 46/8 46/20 49/1 49/4 49/14 51/25 62/20 64/16 64/16 65/10 65/13 65/14

65/20 66/6 67/17

85/17 87/16 87/24

92/10 92/12 92/15

92/16 92/16 92/20

109/9 111/4 111/8

132/8 143/5 144/4

112/17 122/13 126/4

144/15 144/17 146/2

146/2 146/19 147/2

147/16 151/1 154/2

177/2 178/12 188/3

93/1 96/24 98/5

89/23 91/9 91/23 92/9

191/3 202/12 207/18 208/9 220/11 221/20 222/3 223/25 229/3 229/15 229/20 240/10 244/2 246/7 246/14 How's [1] 236/10 Howe [4] 54/16 225/2 241/2 245/24 However [9] 19/12 24/2 91/3 172/8 193/5 222/22 **HSS [10]** 6/15 13/23 72/3 100/12 100/25 105/3 Hubs [2] 43/14 76/8 **Hudgells [3]** 71/7 74/9 228/13 huge [4] 40/14 human [1] 114/6 humility [1] 66/7 Hunt [2] 98/22 104/7 **Hunt's [1]** 105/20 **hurt [1]** 58/3 **hurts [1]** 238/16 I absolutely [3] 36/2 172/23 237/10 l act [2] 71/4 162/18 I again [1] 37/11 I agreed [1] 103/23

73/5 83/12 88/22 102/15 138/25 144/1 156/1 169/23 206/2 210/24 41/14 42/6 56/13 64/21 73/10 74/2 83/5 97/5 102/18 104/14 109/25 110/11 111/20 112/14 126/23 130/18 133/7 139/7 146/11 167/2 167/9 172/2 188/21 190/4 212/4 212/8 227/8 231/24 I anticipate [1] 160/6 I apologise [1] 225/4 I appreciate [3] 10/12 27/22 69/6 103/12 107/22 108/23 | I are [1] 99/19 lask [9] 2/7 59/25 78/12 95/25 99/11 189/23 195/8 226/9 229/21 I asked [5] 119/16 159/22 238/2 238/14 165/10 165/10 170/19 238/20 171/21 172/13 173/16 I assure [1] 28/8 I became [1] 36/13

24/14 25/9 25/19 29/7 35/5 35/6 39/4 39/12 40/6 41/19 44/5 44/16 49/24 54/10 55/18 56/22 57/7 62/18 73/2 79/25 89/1 101/1 153/20 161/16 164/6 165/13 223/11 228/16 229/23 231/7 195/19 206/17 209/23 I believed [1] 22/1 I call [2] 78/8 132/1 I can [24] 2/10 8/25 14/23 18/4 25/16 26/8 9/5 10/1 27/25 49/8 49/15 52/8 59/24 69/2 69/18 70/1 74/25 84/25 89/25 92/1 110/1 111/15 121/16 125/1 141/16 167/14 175/3 185/9 135/20 147/14 169/16 | I can't [15] 46/5 49/9 49/14 60/22 97/23 102/12 111/16 140/18 142/25 156/4 158/21 159/17 185/11 188/17 221/16 I cannot [2] 184/1 237/23 I chose [1] 121/16 I committed [1] 226/16 I completed [1] 3/1 I consider [2] 195/19 195/21 l also [12] 4/14 68/18 | could [10] 10/6 11/5 11/9 30/20 106/20 111/24 112/19 121/6 121/18 127/21 I couldn't [1] 185/4 I cover [1] 176/8 I described [1] 92/21 I did [20] 2/25 3/3 28/8 78/22 111/2 117/3 118/4 121/23 127/6 136/1 139/9 139/16 144/16 156/1 159/18 162/25 163/21 169/7 170/17 171/24 I didn't [15] 114/25 117/6 117/13 118/11 119/16 120/22 127/5 127/7 130/8 135/20 137/16 139/12 222/3 229/17 243/8 I disagree [1] 158/2 I discovered [1] 119/14 I do [21] 6/4 50/4 76/1 76/20 89/15 110/8 111/1 121/21 121/21 122/16 129/20 132/15 148/9 152/7 170/12 172/8 188/4 189/12 205/20 207/2

I do... [1] 241/5 I don't [56] 8/8 31/7 35/23 42/21 50/10 50/18 64/1 72/15 73/3 73/10 74/2 76/5 77/4 88/8 95/20 96/9 96/9 110/23 115/4 117/5 119/9 119/9 119/9 120/1 120/3 125/12 126/7 130/23 138/4 142/22 147/15 147/17 151/25 153/22 164/25 169/9 171/8 171/18 177/22 185/8 186/11 186/20 188/18 189/4 201/6 201/6 201/13 201/14 209/1 209/8 210/13 218/7 224/6 229/3 230/10 231/12 I doubt [1] 129/15 I enclose [1] 195/24 I feel [2] 77/13 97/8 I felt [10] 32/4 32/5 34/8 72/6 108/23 130/7 169/4 206/11 206/14 207/12 I first [2] 116/11 142/25 I followed [1] 114/25 I gave [1] 187/20 I get [2] 40/11 193/21 I give [1] 43/22 I go [3] 76/2 170/24 214/3 I got [1] 184/10 I had [16] 86/8 90/7 97/3 102/25 108/11 113/14 119/17 121/4 121/10 143/3 147/4 161/7 170/13 179/9 212/2 229/2 I hadn't [1] 232/3 I hand [1] 151/16 I have [31] 9/10 20/3 21/1 24/14 27/17 29/9 41/24 45/6 51/14 55/23 56/13 56/25 65/3 73/12 75/5 100/7 I note [2] 157/9 123/12 129/19 130/14 178/10 186/7 186/9 200/4 200/16 201/2 206/14 220/21 221/3 227/20 234/21 242/17 I haven't [9] 11/6 12/14 72/1 73/12 73/19 74/14 231/23 240/18 241/10 I heard [2] 220/15 220/21 I honestly [1] 64/19 I hope [4] 17/23 24/14 24/16 167/12

I imagine [2] 143/9 161/20 I just [15] 25/25 71/14 75/5 97/24 98/4 140/7 147/20 148/15 165/22 168/6 168/14 177/4 230/14 231/18 240/6 I knew [2] 111/9 243/8 I know [17] 9/1 27/10 | I received [1] 133/1 35/25 41/1 62/10 65/19 94/9 111/4 119/17 121/8 121/12 147/17 161/20 163/8 206/18 229/22 233/8 I lay [1] 206/15 I lead [1] 7/16 I left [1] 3/1 I listened [1] 179/21 I look [4] 8/3 65/2 161/8 179/9 I looked [1] 171/24 I looking [1] 128/7 I made [5] 25/1 25/2 135/13 136/22 180/15 I make [1] 42/2 I may [3] 31/21 171/13 199/4 I mean [16] 7/24 8/5 33/15 34/2 42/21 43/3 43/22 62/2 68/17 75/20 90/16 125/3 153/22 186/8 207/4 245/1 I mentioned [1] 182/8 I met [3] 117/5 207/13 240/1 I might [1] 57/10 I need [4] 77/19 99/13 191/4 246/10 I needed [3] 9/10 72/1 88/24 I needn't [2] 101/11 119/3 I never [2] 121/13 121/25 191/20 I observed [1] 102/22 I should [8] 35/7 I only [3] 71/7 162/20 226/11 I ought [1] 81/17 I owe [1] 231/8 I please [2] 222/11 224/23 I prefer [1] 131/20 I produce [1] 232/8 I promise [1] 69/3 I propose [2] 42/4 70/8 I put [1] 98/5

I raised [2] 205/21

207/3 I read [2] 105/16 201/15 I reading [1] 217/23 I really [3] 31/13 35/3 59/10 I recall [6] 39/12 82/20 87/9 110/20 159/21 164/15 I receive [1] 54/7 I recognise [2] 100/24 227/5 I reflect [2] 176/9 221/16 I regularly [1] 178/21 I remember [6] 95/19 97/21 117/8 139/8 153/23 164/7 I report [1] 231/22 I represent [2] 45/10 45/14 I respect [1] 50/8 I retained [1] 88/21 I said [20] 27/17 32/16 40/5 59/10 93/6 93/6 101/24 110/25 123/19 124/11 129/11 170/16 182/24 196/23 206/6 217/13 219/18 229/4 236/22 243/24 I sat [1] 243/7 I saw [8] 87/24 94/10 111/2 112/17 169/11 171/24 201/13 206/21 I say [9] 7/13 33/3 41/21 42/21 57/15 76/4 146/9 167/3 221/13 I see [6] 4/10 25/15 61/22 85/9 106/4 237/7 I seem [2] 156/3 177/25 I send [1] 99/12 I sent [1] 31/17 I serve [1] 85/23 I set [1] 155/25 I shall [1] 170/25 I share [1] 31/16 38/24 46/9 56/23 110/8 134/14 141/5 246/22 I simply [3] 36/25 122/19 163/22 I speak [1] 63/18 I spoke [2] 208/1 245/20 I stand [1] 219/21 I stated [1] 205/17 I summarise [1] 150/8 I support [1] 173/5

I suspect [6] 90/7 91/7 119/22 120/5 127/18 167/17 I take [7] 5/11 26/20 28/5 75/15 151/21 172/19 206/3 I talk [2] 25/3 36/23 I talked [1] 61/22 I tell [1] 173/14 I tend [1] 110/7 I than [1] 173/24 I then [1] 188/16 I think [219] 5/9 6/7 7/1 7/13 7/24 8/1 8/4 8/10 8/14 10/16 10/23 12/8 12/15 14/9 15/18 18/11 18/18 18/24 20/5 22/21 25/13 26/22 27/1 27/25 29/12 29/13 29/22 31/11 32/23 33/12 33/23 34/20 34/24 35/14 38/12 39/25 40/9 40/10 40/16 42/22 42/23 44/1 44/12 44/14 44/24 46/22 51/13 53/24 54/11 57/2 58/8 59/4 61/3 61/6 61/21 62/2 62/11 62/13 62/15 63/18 63/24 65/5 65/6 I walked [1] 212/1 65/6 65/7 65/14 65/16 | I want [10] 28/20 66/1 68/21 69/20 70/11 70/12 72/8 74/21 76/4 76/8 76/11 76/15 76/18 76/24 78/17 78/19 79/5 80/15 81/2 81/23 83/19 87/3 92/7 94/12 | I was [57] 3/2 31/25 97/13 97/21 98/21 99/1 106/15 107/23 108/4 110/9 110/11 112/3 112/12 112/24 113/24 114/2 114/13 118/8 118/15 122/21 123/7 123/18 124/7 125/13 126/6 126/9 126/19 128/6 128/25 129/23 130/25 133/25 135/15 138/4 139/1 143/13 146/8 147/14 147/22 152/9 154/7 160/4 160/14 161/13 161/23 165/1 167/1 167/3 167/10 168/16 171/20 173/3 173/8 175/22 176/8 176/13 176/22 176/25 177/11 | I wasn't [4] 32/1 177/24 178/2 179/22 185/24 187/10 196/17 204/25 221/8 198/8 198/21 199/4 200/13 200/20 200/24 239/9

201/10 201/22 202/1 202/4 203/5 206/19 207/10 210/24 211/3 211/12 211/22 211/24 212/20 213/17 214/11 214/11 217/25 218/7 218/9 218/12 219/14 219/15 220/23 221/16 222/1 223/12 223/13 223/16 223/20 224/2 224/6 224/18 225/1 225/5 225/8 227/6 228/23 229/4 229/7 229/13 229/16 230/6 231/1 231/2 231/6 232/5 232/9 233/15 233/25 236/25 239/6 239/8 246/16 I thought [4] 98/17 176/17 221/1 235/24 I took [1] 130/21 I trust [1] 245/11 I turn [3] 54/24 81/22 95/1 I understand [7] 5/16 5/19 29/2 50/15 184/8 203/25 208/7 I urge [1] 173/15 I use [1] 188/20 I visited [1] 143/4 32/7 40/25 73/16 73/22 98/8 99/14 123/13 171/4 212/24 I wanted [9] 87/5 87/17 95/12 102/25 79/17 79/23 80/2 80/5 109/24 135/19 140/17 156/7 165/15 34/14 79/25 80/23 81/9 82/10 82/11 82/16 83/7 83/11 83/13 85/6 85/7 87/13 87/15 87/16 88/8 95/5 95/16 95/17 97/1 98/6 98/7 102/16 102/17 102/22 105/22 108/13 109/19 109/22 111/7 119/18 120/8 121/11 122/15 127/10 130/11 130/15 130/16 133/3 135/24 139/7 143/9 143/17 146/8 146/11 157/1 160/10 165/4 169/25 169/25 170/7 211/1 240/12 240/15 241/24 119/22 127/3 131/6 180/10 183/23 184/14 I welcome [3] 200/15 I were [2] 215/6

91/19 94/2 96/10 30/25 31/18 32/2 143/9 161/20 204/13 213/5 220/3 106/2 116/3 117/8 32/24 34/2 35/14 immediate [2] 49/23 227/1 I who [1] 159/20 39/12 39/23 40/2 42/3 117/9 120/23 121/8 153/9 incomplete [4] 177/8 I will [12] 23/13 32/7 42/6 43/17 44/23 45/6 immediately [4] 121/15 121/24 127/1 177/21 178/3 212/16 35/19 42/7 56/24 inconceivable [1] 127/8 127/17 128/4 46/6 46/7 49/16 50/12 34/14 102/8 119/25 101/24 190/24 192/13 128/6 128/9 128/9 128/17 50/23 51/3 51/14 40/19 208/5 217/10 232/11 129/9 129/16 131/19 53/23 54/13 56/14 impact [9] 58/20 inconclusive [1] 246/7 58/24 59/7 138/17 190/14 139/14 150/11 151/24 62/3 67/9 69/20 70/7 I won't [7] 129/4 152/8 153/12 154/16 70/18 72/19 74/19 156/24 161/21 163/6 incorrect [1] 243/14 177/1 202/14 230/12 163/8 164/17 167/7 75/13 75/20 77/11 166/16 181/9 increase [7] 5/22 6/5 230/13 232/7 246/2 168/9 171/9 177/10 79/2 84/2 84/9 84/25 impacted [3] 43/11 9/15 19/17 32/18 I work [1] 4/20 177/22 184/24 186/20 87/9 89/7 91/21 96/12 114/7 138/11 111/21 181/14 I worked [1] 2/25 187/11 188/24 188/25 96/16 97/10 97/23 impacting [1] 204/14 increased [2] 50/19 I would [57] 4/10 196/8 202/10 206/11 98/3 98/6 98/7 98/13 impacts [2] 176/12 64/12 5/16 6/4 12/5 18/15 208/10 222/1 224/11 100/3 102/20 103/24 182/15 increases [1] 33/1 26/6 27/12 37/18 224/20 229/11 231/15 104/5 105/14 106/13 **imperative** [1] 145/9 increasing [2] 181/8 49/10 51/3 53/23 232/22 235/11 240/18 106/17 107/16 107/21 implemented [3] 181/13 53/23 55/22 60/21 242/19 244/18 244/19 108/13 108/24 109/7 14/12 63/3 158/3 increasingly [1] 60/24 63/7 63/8 65/2 244/22 245/20 246/8 110/23 110/24 111/18 Implementing [1] 182/3 68/15 69/16 69/17 113/17 113/24 114/9 l've [56] 2/4 4/4 9/6 13/12 incredible [1] 8/2 71/24 72/9 73/9 75/19 114/10 114/18 117/10 implications [1] 58/6 12/14 29/9 31/2 31/20 **incredibly [2]** 7/25 77/6 83/5 88/21 88/24 31/21 31/22 53/14 75/18 119/22 124/1 124/3 importance [1] 5/20 89/6 92/25 96/16 53/18 55/16 56/23 124/24 128/11 129/15 important [20] 4/8 incur [1] 105/2 98/11 98/12 100/22 57/16 60/25 71/25 130/13 131/2 132/21 7/7 7/11 8/1 8/7 25/14 incurring [1] 21/15 101/7 102/10 131/9 73/15 73/17 77/7 134/16 135/16 137/12 31/7 69/13 103/6 indeed [9] 28/4 52/15 137/1 137/13 137/18 139/1 140/23 141/13 103/15 103/16 103/19 54/6 75/20 81/7 86/15 111/3 120/3 120/3 138/19 138/20 141/14 120/18 120/19 121/14 145/4 147/13 147/21 124/6 144/23 177/3 161/5 200/20 226/8 141/21 144/3 144/16 148/6 148/10 148/19 183/23 191/3 206/15 independent [15] 123/10 123/15 128/13 145/11 161/17 186/21 128/13 167/6 175/10 149/21 150/15 150/20 211/3 241/9 66/12 133/19 135/4 187/24 187/24 211/11 176/22 185/16 186/9 151/11 152/2 152/13 | importantly [1] 45/16 193/3 194/7 196/6 218/15 232/4 237/3 187/4 197/3 197/4 152/22 155/24 156/15 impossible [2] 191/3 196/11 196/12 199/21 245/17 198/3 198/3 198/15 156/16 159/22 159/23 198/14 200/7 200/9 201/4 I wouldn't [7] 57/9 164/10 164/20 164/23 impression [4] 206/6 207/14 207/15 201/18 202/22 203/20 59/5 74/23 92/18 160/10 190/19 197/18 indicate [1] 35/15 221/17 229/2 233/15 166/21 166/22 167/21 143/12 144/8 164/16 233/25 234/4 236/11 171/13 171/16 172/6 203/11 indicated [1] 245/8 I wrote [5] 134/12 172/14 175/24 178/15 impropriety [1] 237/4 237/20 241/10 indication [2] 46/20 199/3 206/5 233/8 243/8 243/22 244/3 178/19 178/24 179/12 214/19 231/16 233/10 180/24 182/22 182/25 improve [3] 151/4 246/16 indicative [1] 46/8 I'd [24] 4/6 15/20 31/25 33/6 33/10 34/8 idea [8] 35/8 35/9 183/14 184/10 186/21 167/12 211/19 indirectly [2] 190/16 101/7 186/7 186/9 187/14 188/3 188/10 | improved [3] 48/2 203/12 36/12 43/4 52/19 237/20 244/1 245/3 189/17 189/18 190/1 60/3 61/16 individual [14] 14/21 59/10 64/16 70/1 ideally [3] 17/17 57/8 190/9 190/9 190/21 **improving [1]** 142/5 17/14 22/12 23/7 74/18 75/13 96/11 57/9 191/15 191/24 192/14 inability [1] 60/9 27/20 32/5 39/5 65/9 98/1 112/17 141/2 ideas [5] 28/21 40/2 194/25 195/10 199/16 inaccurate [2] 112/10 166/5 166/21 143/17 151/16 170/1 42/15 58/15 229/1 202/15 203/2 204/4 177/20 178/3 186/16 188/24 238/7 176/2 183/1 215/16 inadvertently [1] **identified** [2] 39/10 208/9 208/21 208/24 individuals [5] 22/18 **I'II [24]** 1/17 19/4 194/11 209/3 209/4 209/9 188/11 67/7 68/6 93/21 127/4 28/18 30/9 31/4 45/7 identify [1] 37/15 210/17 212/19 212/25 inappropriate [6] industrialisation [2] 51/14 68/13 115/19 identifying [1] 37/23 214/3 214/8 214/12 176/17 190/6 195/22 176/16 211/14 145/2 157/11 174/11 ie [3] 84/23 88/6 215/6 215/20 215/23 198/7 198/9 214/1 industry [1] 237/21 180/22 188/10 189/2 161/5 216/3 216/10 216/19 incapable [1] 196/5 ineffective [1] 110/22 193/21 195/9 202/12 ie financial [1] 161/5 216/25 217/13 217/24 incarnation [1] 9/9 Inflexibility [1] 218/16 218/23 222/12 218/22 218/24 219/24 incidents [1] 204/15 112/12 ie the [1] 84/23 222/15 225/12 236/3 ie which [1] 88/6 221/16 222/16 222/25 include [5] 3/13 influence [1] 94/4 l'm [92] 1/23 3/5 4/3 if [220] 3/10 5/6 6/7 225/9 231/6 232/9 25/18 39/21 45/14 inform [3] 36/16 4/7 4/13 11/7 14/6 6/18 6/19 8/18 9/11 235/20 236/12 237/7 118/24 50/16 117/21 16/5 23/13 25/12 10/9 10/24 11/2 11/4 237/18 237/21 237/22 included [4] 47/12 information [20] 32/6 26/18 27/1 28/20 31/5 11/7 11/8 11/16 11/22 237/22 239/9 240/22 134/1 135/5 159/18 47/15 47/18 54/22 31/5 35/20 36/20 42/2 12/4 12/7 13/2 14/19 242/19 245/4 includes [3] 47/21 55/16 72/8 129/25 43/23 46/4 47/16 ii [2] 181/25 232/19 14/24 15/11 15/15 60/9 67/24 132/6 137/14 168/18 52/10 57/10 67/9 70/7 16/9 16/14 16/17 17/1 illustrating [1] including [14] 100/3 177/19 186/24 190/13 70/10 71/6 74/8 74/14 17/20 18/19 19/1 19/2 105/3 142/9 142/18 196/7 213/20 213/20 136/21 74/15 77/17 77/25 20/15 21/4 23/1 23/9 illustration [1] 93/2 152/20 181/1 182/1 213/24 214/23 215/3 85/17 89/12 91/12 28/15 29/24 30/6 194/3 194/14 194/22 235/5 imagine [3] 31/9

Inquiry's [4] 2/16 121/17 21/17 33/13 33/14 78/19 79/17 81/14 51/24 175/9 220/6 Internet [1] 145/18 57/5 57/11 59/6 83/18 86/4 90/20 informed [5] 118/25 119/20 137/18 190/12 inside [8] 176/8 involves [1] 23/16 90/21 90/22 93/1 interpreted [1] 179/11 182/10 187/8 93/20 95/4 98/25 191/11 irony [1] 160/24 213/9 211/5 218/9 236/22 98/25 101/18 104/6 intervention [2] 19/7 irrational [1] 114/12 informing [1] 203/24 245/16 104/7 105/13 105/15 24/3 irrespective [1] 1/9 infrastructure [5] intimidating [1] insofar [2] 176/20 is [749] 106/12 107/2 112/8 181/2 181/5 181/7 isn't [17] 10/10 28/19 112/17 113/1 113/17 221/11 133/12 181/8 181/24 into [46] 6/16 7/5 instance [9] 7/21 91/17 91/18 113/17 113/20 116/25 120/18 initial [9] 5/25 6/23 10/13 13/2 31/19 9/11 11/22 17/21 18/4 120/5 131/18 136/21 121/12 121/13 121/13 99/9 105/17 105/24 38/19 41/4 46/13 72/2 36/13 37/11 37/20 151/3 165/2 166/22 123/18 123/25 124/2 106/7 135/24 136/5 instances [1] 16/20 49/13 74/20 76/3 77/5 194/20 215/11 228/4 124/21 125/4 125/13 143/1 instantly [2] 149/7 79/14 82/3 82/18 228/11 245/2 245/4 125/19 125/20 126/4 initiatives [3] 24/15 149/8 91/14 91/22 92/19 issue [43] 4/22 9/4 126/5 126/6 126/7 63/3 76/8 instead [2] 16/15 93/4 93/10 93/23 14/4 15/23 16/23 126/10 126/11 126/15 **injustice** [9] 7/18 132/1 98/19 101/13 110/6 20/25 36/21 58/10 127/2 128/13 129/15 23/20 31/8 32/2 59/2 127/11 133/11 144/2 58/10 58/19 64/16 131/14 132/10 132/13 institution [4] 7/19 82/13 97/1 149/16 8/1 44/5 173/4 146/7 146/8 153/18 71/12 73/23 74/13 132/21 136/10 136/10 151/7 instruct [1] 217/10 155/17 159/9 165/5 82/11 90/13 93/13 136/21 136/24 137/5 inkling [1] 121/10 95/10 96/25 105/22 138/4 138/17 140/20 instructed [1] 71/7 177/1 181/18 181/18 innocence [1] 179/23 189/3 198/22 200/7 106/9 110/16 113/11 142/14 144/13 145/9 insufficient [3] 5/10 innocent [2] 139/1 115/22 117/14 123/11 146/9 146/13 147/1 25/20 235/8 201/20 202/15 211/8 179/23 126/23 126/25 137/21 147/22 147/23 149/12 integrated [1] 94/11 212/1 215/21 218/22 input [2] 44/19 63/1 222/20 138/15 144/15 154/6 149/19 149/23 150/4 integrity [1] 185/3 inquiries [2] 131/24 intend [5] 44/1 introduce [4] 13/13 162/1 162/13 162/13 150/8 151/5 152/10 206/18 205/20 207/2 224/4 169/4 169/10 175/15 154/20 154/21 165/2 24/7 124/13 217/1 inquiry [118] 4/5 4/21 224/6 176/15 188/3 193/18 165/11 166/4 171/6 introduced [3] 26/9 5/17 7/16 8/20 12/21 40/14 217/5 195/20 215/2 172/21 173/2 173/8 intended [4] 24/4 22/18 28/2 40/7 44/11 214/16 217/2 217/9 issued [2] 99/2 176/2 177/2 180/20 introduction [3] 44/15 44/25 47/11 13/21 15/6 217/3 110/14 182/22 185/1 185/13 intends [1] 213/13 48/4 48/8 48/12 48/15 186/1 186/11 187/2 intense [1] 147/9 introductions [1] issues [56] 7/7 9/1 48/22 48/23 49/1 50/1 11/20 14/3 22/24 33/3 187/2 201/22 202/1 Intensifying [1] 118/24 50/15 50/22 51/10 202/7 203/5 205/5 141/25 39/19 40/6 41/10 introductory [3] 51/16 52/16 52/19 intention [2] 21/24 32/17 81/24 83/17 41/13 42/12 44/8 205/6 206/2 206/9 54/9 55/1 55/10 55/21 49/23 50/5 51/5 51/16 207/9 209/20 212/12 224/14 investigated [3] 56/8 56/8 56/15 58/1 179/25 180/17 199/20 interact [1] 154/3 52/2 52/4 53/5 53/8 212/18 212/20 213/2 60/2 61/13 61/14 interacting [1] 92/11 investigation [20] 54/20 54/22 57/13 214/4 214/8 222/11 61/17 62/21 64/8 interaction [2] 93/19 133/11 137/2 189/21 60/19 61/11 62/17 225/6 225/8 230/22 65/19 66/3 77/19 94/8 190/8 191/23 192/9 64/14 68/7 72/12 82/6 233/10 234/3 237/16 78/12 78/16 91/20 238/5 242/13 244/10 interactions [1] 193/1 193/6 194/14 82/21 82/21 86/17 95/15 98/13 98/15 130/7 194/17 199/25 200/7 86/18 88/2 94/18 246/17 100/3 102/17 110/2 interchangeable [1] 200/10 201/20 203/11 116/11 116/12 130/9 items [1] 85/18 123/23 137/23 144/7 234/22 203/14 203/25 211/8 130/13 134/1 134/9 its [42] 5/2 43/18 155/10 155/10 155/12 interconnected [1] 214/15 236/15 136/3 137/22 144/12 44/8 54/24 55/3 55/6 158/6 158/23 159/24 182/10 investigations [10] 157/3 158/7 169/21 60/11 66/19 67/13 160/8 160/9 160/12 interest [12] 27/11 189/15 192/25 194/7 175/12 178/18 178/25 69/14 69/15 73/7 92/4 160/16 160/21 161/4 46/22 75/1 86/17 204/3 204/7 207/9 179/15 179/19 184/6 94/2 105/4 145/5 165/13 167/13 167/15 120/7 122/7 122/10 207/11 210/21 211/5 205/13 236/14 145/19 147/16 154/5 173/10 174/9 175/11 122/23 122/24 122/25 234/9 it [578] 156/18 172/21 179/18 180/21 185/1 185/25 123/3 137/14 it'll [3] 58/15 109/17 184/9 184/10 185/13 investigative [1] 186/14 187/3 189/9 193/4 188/17 191/22 193/15 interested [6] 25/22 111/18 189/20 195/23 196/20 81/15 97/5 147/7 it's [166] 3/7 5/1 5/1 194/22 197/24 198/4 investigator [1] 197/4 197/22 198/4 154/21 201/17 9/15 12/6 15/15 15/18 202/17 204/13 209/25 183/14 198/8 199/18 205/22 interesting [4] 29/13 Investigators [1] 15/18 16/23 17/19 213/6 221/13 222/24 206/7 206/14 206/19 59/5 71/16 90/19 18/6 22/22 25/13 26/4 233/1 235/8 235/8 205/19 206/25 210/4 214/4 26/25 27/8 28/13 244/6 244/6 interim [5] 37/9 investing [1] 181/4 219/19 220/1 220/8 53/10 100/9 139/23 investment [3] 46/13 32/13 36/24 37/5 itself [11] 24/16 220/11 221/12 221/14 140/4 202/9 212/12 40/19 41/1 45/8 46/14 41/12 50/3 53/3 63/11 222/20 223/25 224/4 intermediate [1] 46/15 50/23 51/8 54/4 involve [1] 27/13 90/20 143/13 158/6 230/17 230/20 231/20 28/19 involved [11] 39/25 54/14 56/17 58/15 158/9 167/11 205/14 234/16 239/2 242/24 internal [4] 7/22 54/16 54/17 57/8 61/7 59/12 62/16 62/17 ITV [2] 112/23 113/18 242/25 243/2 243/5 66/22 124/24 154/13 90/24 124/6 144/9 64/5 68/13 69/8 69/12 243/19 244/10 244/15 internally [1] 152/18 166/4 190/5 230/9 70/3 70/3 70/10 72/15 244/17 246/23 January [15] 84/5 75/13 75/16 78/18 international [2] 81/6 involvement [6]

47/1 48/5 50/5 54/15 56/20 59/6 61/9 61/18 January... [14] 111/7 61/19 61/19 61/20 116/19 117/22 118/8 61/23 62/12 62/16 132/23 133/1 137/9 62/20 64/23 66/6 198/25 219/18 222/18 66/10 67/3 69/23 226/13 238/2 241/8 71/14 72/17 73/2 73/4 243/7 January/February [1] 86/8 86/21 87/9 87/10 198/25 89/7 90/25 91/18 Japanese [1] 223/2 94/14 97/2 97/22 Jason [1] 78/11 97/24 97/25 98/4 jeopardy [2] 16/23 102/19 102/24 103/4 17/16 103/6 105/19 105/25 Jeremy [1] 98/22 106/14 107/16 109/13 job [16] 31/7 36/13 61/3 61/22 62/4 62/12 111/18 112/3 112/8 83/12 117/18 123/8 112/17 114/8 114/19 131/22 138/1 140/13 115/19 115/24 120/6 140/14 147/12 167/24 121/13 121/19 122/8 217/15 123/15 125/3 125/4 Johnson [1] 80/24 126/3 126/9 126/21 join [1] 129/2 127/2 128/11 129/25 joined [2] 85/3 130/5 130/5 130/19 175/22 130/25 131/4 131/6 joint [1] 101/16 131/11 131/20 132/11 jointly [1] 124/12 132/22 136/21 137/8 **JONATHAN [3]** 1/19 137/25 139/19 140/7 1/23 248/2 143/18 145/1 145/21 journalist [1] 120/24 145/25 146/4 146/7 journey [3] 59/16 146/9 146/14 146/22 124/19 125/2 147/20 148/15 148/25 judge [1] 160/22 149/5 149/23 150/5 judgement [4] 110/8 114/22 133/16 135/7 157/3 157/22 157/25 judgements [1] 158/2 158/17 160/19 48/13 161/22 162/4 163/12 judgment [4] 48/12 165/2 165/2 165/22 48/13 82/21 82/22 judgments [3] 61/12 168/4 168/6 168/6 153/3 155/9 judicial [5] 32/24 170/12 171/9 172/6 36/15 98/1 148/21 174/11 176/22 177/4 193/4 177/12 180/23 181/11 judiciously [1] 172/7 182/20 188/14 193/21 **July [13]** 15/16 15/22 196/12 197/3 198/5 49/15 80/16 80/18 198/12 202/2 207/17 81/11 81/14 81/17 208/8 214/5 218/11 83/12 182/23 195/9 218/23 220/5 222/3 202/14 205/6 222/16 228/4 228/17 July 2024 [1] 83/12 June [5] 19/10 232/20 234/1 237/4 217/23 217/24 218/1 239/6 239/25 240/6 218/5 240/18 243/22 243/25 junior [5] 4/20 85/22 244/22 246/4 246/5 87/7 87/21 117/16 justice [35] 31/24 just [196] 1/15 4/23 33/23 34/5 34/19 7/17 14/3 15/20 16/5 36/14 37/14 37/21 19/4 23/13 25/15 38/14 39/2 40/6 47/13 25/25 28/25 30/9 48/3 58/6 62/17 65/21 31/21 33/2 33/6 33/12 97/4 97/5 150/20 34/14 34/17 34/22 150/21 159/4 161/5 40/19 41/3 41/5 43/24 45/20 46/15 46/20

240/25 243/13 243/17 245/9 245/15 justifiable [1] 51/22 justify [1] 172/5 74/24 75/5 75/14 84/2 **Katie [4]** 163/10 163/10 227/1 227/2 keen [3] 43/13 107/9 189/14 keep [10] 52/8 54/19 132/12 132/13 145/10 147/2 150/15 150/20 173/5 237/23 109/23 110/10 110/24 keeping [2] 52/18 145/6 Kelly [1] 241/4 Kelly's [1] 241/3 Kemi [5] 2/20 78/8 78/9 78/14 248/14 kept [1] 98/4 Kevin [33] 72/10 85/1 85/19 86/11 86/17 87/18 88/4 89/6 90/6 94/25 95/7 95/12 96/24 99/19 100/7 103/11 103/23 122/5 122/9 122/12 122/20 130/1 130/1 135/12 135/12 136/10 143/9 168/19 168/19 169/11 169/24 170/2 170/13 152/24 154/19 156/20 key [14] 4/17 6/7 14/8 14/13 18/15 60/5 60/8 64/13 67/7 67/17 67/22 116/23 173/2 194/21 166/11 166/18 167/14 **keyed [1]** 188/15 keying [1] 179/24 168/14 168/19 168/23 kicking [1] 231/3 kind [15] 18/25 20/8 22/21 43/5 43/10 50/14 55/24 58/23 58/24 69/1 69/4 76/14 84/6 127/8 144/9 **kindly [1]** 78/15 kinds [2] 25/21 65/11 Kingdom [2] 39/2 243/13 228/21 230/14 231/18 knew [3] 95/15 111/9 243/8 know [154] 3/24 6/25 7/22 9/1 9/16 10/17 10/18 17/10 23/14 23/15 23/16 23/18 27/10 28/3 32/24 34/12 34/21 34/24 34/25 35/21 35/25 40/10 40/11 40/20 41/1 41/4 41/17 42/24 43/11 44/24 48/24 49/10 49/15 49/16 164/1 164/23 165/9 50/4 50/8 57/24 60/23 205/18 165/10 165/10 167/16

176/24 191/8 228/5

62/10 63/16 63/18 64/24 65/12 65/18 65/19 65/24 69/23 70/2 71/25 72/6 73/5 73/12 75/23 75/23 76/3 77/1 88/23 92/20 94/9 94/16 95/22 97/2 97/23 98/5 99/1 102/16 111/4 112/11 112/18 113/1 113/13 114/10 114/24 117/5 119/9 119/9 119/11 119/17 119/17 119/20 120/1 120/3 121/8 121/12 121/19 121/21 121/21 122/1 122/17 123/1 125/4 126/7 132/15 134/11 136/22 137/3 137/4 137/5 138/4 138/7 139/11 140/12 145/20 146/22 147/16 147/17 148/25 246/4 149/20 157/4 158/16 158/19 159/1 159/11 159/14 160/1 160/5 161/20 163/8 166/9 169/9 172/8 177/22 186/20 188/18 189/4 189/12 197/12 198/20 200/20 200/23 201/6 201/6 206/18 209/1 212/21 214/4 219/18 225/7 229/3 229/22 230/10 230/16 231/12 167/22 167/24 232/1 233/8 237/21 241/18 243/8 243/14 243/24 245/7 knowing [3] 111/8 159/24 164/18 knowledge [8] 2/12 56/1 56/3 67/20 78/25 206/15 79/14 175/5 208/15 known [7] 2/17 38/22 laying [1] 208/19 102/10 113/4 123/14 183/10 204/9 knows [2] 103/17 131/25 **KPMG [1]** 201/9 Kwarteng [1] 153/7 Laboratory [1] 223/17 labour [3] 35/4 56/3 147/4 lack [11] 19/23 31/20

41/16 41/16 53/3

60/12 60/13 67/16

206/7 206/7 206/8

language [2] 184/24

lacking [2] 63/13

63/14

large [7] 25/13 33/18 45/11 57/2 75/20 86/12 86/15 largest [1] 243/12 last [26] 5/24 6/2 31/17 56/1 59/11 60/24 84/4 91/11 121/10 125/4 147/20 167/17 175/16 178/19 178/25 184/10 212/13 216/2 219/1 219/19 223/18 224/13 229/22 231/21 233/15 243/9 lastly [6] 57/18 145/1 159/3 162/4 242/22 246/22 late [2] 19/8 95/22 126/24 127/20 131/14 later [15] 29/5 53/21 55/12 86/7 98/16 110/6 162/10 218/2 218/6 218/6 219/2 245/19 245/22 245/25 latest [1] 216/7 latterly [1] 165/20 law [23] 2/23 65/25 148/7 148/12 150/9 150/12 150/13 150/14 150/17 150/17 150/25 151/1 151/1 151/5 151/11 151/12 165/19 167/19 167/20 167/22 240/14 240/14 240/15 laws [3] 167/21 lawyer [3] 114/25 177/10 196/8 lawyers [7] 23/17 51/21 52/5 100/17 134/24 178/2 219/14 lay [3] 35/17 82/10 layer [1] 66/17 lead [2] 7/16 75/15 Leader [4] 81/19 81/20 162/5 167/7 leaders [1] 212/5 leadership [1] 56/2 leading [4] 16/11 26/17 77/5 94/25 **Leadsom [1]** 153/5 leak [1] 135/23 leaked [2] 121/3 129/17 learned [3] 182/2 228/20 228/22 learning [2] 47/12 92/17 learnt [9] 66/8 198/3 198/3 198/4 198/15 206/6 214/3 219/18 243/15 least [14] 1/13 2/3

least... [12] 28/9 45/16 70/15 110/20 120/16 124/4 139/23 180/4 197/24 209/11 215/11 244/7 leave [2] 38/2 135/22 leaver [4] 123/24 124/1 124/3 124/5 leaving [1] 128/6 led [6] 9/25 35/3 35/16 53/3 123/11 132/19 ledger [1] 114/9 left [10] 3/1 26/18 51/7 84/17 84/18 168/10 190/15 197/1 214/12 241/3 left-hand [2] 84/18 214/12 legacy [1] 229/16 legal [42] 12/1 13/19 19/24 21/5 21/8 21/14 21/16 21/16 21/19 21/23 22/4 22/16 22/20 23/21 23/24 24/1 26/12 26/19 26/23 27/3 27/6 27/8 27/14 27/21 66/22 114/23 134/24 142/19 167/16 190/3 190/3 190/24 191/16 196/9 219/14 235/11 235/11 242/18 242/19 244/9 244/15 244/20 legally [1] 185/14 legislation [7] 6/10 35/12 36/9 38/1 39/1 39/8 49/20 legislators [1] 167/25 legitimate [2] 39/19 40/10 length [13] 48/11 51/8 64/10 64/16 73/6 78/20 79/4 91/1 93/16 117/13 121/24 141/1 169/24 lens [1] 196/24 less [5] 19/11 19/12 57/15 108/15 169/4 lessons [6] 4/25 28/2 47/13 66/3 66/8 182/1 let [11] 4/3 88/23 125/24 127/20 137/4 137/4 140/12 152/25 168/6 208/8 229/6 let's [16] 70/20 86/3 95/3 120/11 151/6 157/21 158/19 189/17 211/7 221/23 232/20 238/1 243/18

letter [38] 8/6 37/16 98/21 99/1 99/5 99/9 99/16 101/12 101/16 101/18 102/14 104/6 104/9 104/11 105/15 105/19 110/24 111/14 112/15 112/19 125/14 134/11 140/1 140/8 140/11 157/17 182/22 limits [2] 9/24 151/17 183/13 184/3 184/24 185/1 192/15 195/17 195/24 205/25 232/17 232/21 233/6 letters [5] 182/21 182/21 182/25 192/13 136/13 188/13 193/22 level [29] 5/8 7/3 7/23 lines [5] 28/13 97/15 8/14 10/1 10/24 14/20 97/16 148/4 182/21 17/9 17/11 18/10 44/24 46/22 50/12 56/14 61/7 61/23 76/6 90/12 92/11 93/20 102/7 102/11 123/10 137/21 144/3 147/8 185/18 187/3 217/19 **Levelling [1]** 81/4 levels [6] 18/17 24/1 43/19 142/6 147/9 184/9 licence [1] 223/20 lie [1] 66/20 life [13] 8/1 62/19 180/9 180/11 180/12 180/19 181/2 181/6 181/12 182/4 187/20 200/25 202/7 life's [1] 102/18 lifetime [1] 161/4 light [10] 68/8 100/20 | 158/11 130/10 135/12 195/22 lives [4] 98/11 100/1 201/10 203/16 210/3 211/18 233/1 lightly [2] 83/22 84/6 like [52] 15/20 23/18 26/6 28/4 31/22 33/6 33/10 41/10 43/17 43/21 44/20 49/9 50/9 62/16 71/22 72/5 75/13 76/8 76/16 77/16 97/22 97/24 98/14 100/22 121/22 123/15 126/1 131/23 131/24 136/24 137/24 long [12] 28/23 29/5 138/5 139/10 140/11 141/14 141/21 165/10 95/17 103/7 108/23 166/12 174/11 176/2 183/1 197/10 220/18 221/19 221/23 224/10 long-term [1] 45/24 231/9 231/13 232/4 237/3 239/11 245/14 liked [1] 161/18 233/19 233/19 235/19 likelihood [2] 105/4 111/21 likely [3] 46/1 96/19

150/2 53/24 65/2 68/13 limit [4] 23/20 26/13 68/13 69/8 77/16 59/6 74/24 limited [10] 48/2 58/3 84/11 84/13 100/11 130/8 143/21 175/17 189/25 225/7 Limited's [1] 47/22 line [17] 13/14 79/8 88/5 88/5 89/13 89/14 105/23 106/2 106/10 107/21 107/25 111/2 111/20 114/8 129/14 line/seventh [1] 88/5 link [1] 62/4 list [6] 86/14 133/5 134/8 178/24 229/13 230/25 listed [1] 85/18 listen [1] 229/1 listened [3] 179/21 228/8 232/2 listening [6] 63/1 128/21 128/21 154/24 243/9 245/2 litany [1] 53/1 **litigating [1]** 219/15 **litigation [5]** 45/13 82/22 153/1 153/1 158/18 little [6] 30/19 84/3 94/20 150/5 168/14 170/20 live [3] 72/19 145/17 238/7 238/12 living [1] 57/22 **LLP [1]** 2/25 lobbying [1] 240/14 local [3] 76/3 76/10 77/10 located [1] 141/9 Logica [1] 79/21 London [10] 183/14 189/21 189/24 190/4 190/12 190/25 191/2 192/1 192/6 192/25 41/22 45/24 90/6 109/12 114/17 145/5 167/6 longer [5] 60/10 70/12 92/17 94/23 100/2 look [64] 6/7 8/3 9/11 14/24 23/19 41/6 41/14 43/21 49/16

83/15 84/2 86/3 87/8 88/16 89/11 96/13 98/24 104/4 104/5 110/9 110/23 111/14 113/24 118/5 123/23 136/8 137/17 140/1 140/7 140/18 147/21 150/23 151/1 151/24 152/13 156/1 157/24 158/15 161/8 161/14 161/23 165/5 170/9 176/1 179/9 179/14 182/18 212/24 214/12 217/13 218/22 220/18 231/8 236/12 236/13 looked [8] 35/14 43/18 59/8 86/8 92/7 96/13 159/9 171/24 looking [38] 8/12 11/19 11/22 24/18 28/1 34/17 49/25 50/5 58/23 87/10 91/2 94/16 96/10 96/25 100/7 100/13 108/13 112/9 114/4 114/4 114/5 128/7 129/3 132/19 152/8 153/23 155/16 155/24 164/18 167/12 170/2 170/6 177/2 178/11 190/25 198/22 200/9 234/12 looks [5] 62/16 85/22 165/10 231/9 231/13 **Lord [2]** 125/10 153/6 **Lorna [9]** 94/10 123/19 123/20 124/21 126/3 126/22 130/8 136/11 136/17 lose [3] 103/21 172/14 172/17 losing [1] 17/3 loss [6] 19/24 20/5 77/12 100/12 165/3 165/3 losses [1] 204/17 lost [20] 33/22 73/25 74/3 74/10 135/22 146/1 163/2 163/5 163/11 163/19 164/12 164/23 227/7 227/9 227/11 228/3 230/4 239/24 240/6 244/4 lot [21] 5/5 5/17 33/22 34/16 56/5 57/22 82/13 112/3 114/20 117/9 117/10 117/14 120/19 127/1 139/9 144/14 146/22 154/18 171/23 219/15 219/19

lots [1] 97/12 love [1] 147/4 loved [2] 234/15 238/6 low [1] 24/1 106/11 106/20 107/16 lower [6] 13/17 16/15 16/21 19/14 19/16 28/16 lowering [1] 32/13 lunch [3] 115/7 163/13 173/9 M machine [8] 97/21 165/24 165/25 166/22 167/1 167/18 167/19 167/20 **Machinery [1]** 85/1 made [59] 6/1 6/6 6/23 11/19 15/10 17/24 24/11 25/1 25/2 26/10 26/16 26/25 29/6 29/11 30/22 32/20 37/10 47/13 51/1 53/19 53/20 54/1 54/10 56/6 59/11 91/13 100/9 112/23 113/7 117/24 118/9 119/15 119/18 120/9 130/16 131/4 135/7 135/13 136/22 144/11 160/5 160/17 164/16 165/14 167/18 169/7 170/21 180/15 195/20

199/2 199/14 200/1 207/8 209/10 231/3 231/22 234/24 239/6 240/2 magazine [1] 80/10 magnitude [1] 116/18 Mail [2] 146/21 154/3 main [3] 85/5 86/1 141/20 maintain [3] 29/14 142/16 146/2 maintained [1] 179/20 maintaining [1] 202/8 Majesty's [1] 81/18 **major [1]** 33/19 majority [4] 39/7 125/13 178/13 178/16 make [77] 6/8 8/8 11/4 11/10 18/11 18/22 19/8 22/1 22/15 23/12 25/4 27/3 29/25 31/5 31/22 31/25 33/5 37/22 41/21 42/2 44/13 49/18 50/2 52/4 54/11 55/19 56/24 57/5 57/10 57/11 68/15 69/9 70/20 79/5 (85) least... - make

М make... [43] 87/18 88/23 94/15 95/24 98/13 107/23 108/6 108/10 108/25 109/22 30/12 209/23 109/25 110/2 112/21 114/17 120/6 123/13 123/13 124/10 134/8 138/13 138/19 138/21 145/7 146/14 147/5 148/6 148/15 148/19 148/23 152/21 158/10 160/19 165/7 166/8 166/11 167/21 167/23 169/25 182/11 182/15 189/14 209/6 209/11 maker [2] 88/9 169/18 makers [1] 114/2 makes [2] 13/10 31/8 making [45] 4/18 18/14 25/22 28/7 32/14 52/11 58/16 85/24 88/19 88/22 89/9 97/6 98/19 103/1 103/6 105/1 111/25 114/14 122/14 146/21 147/10 147/24 149/6 149/14 157/1 159/4 160/14 160/16 165/18 167/6 170/1 172/12 172/18 173/1 173/10 181/11 182/6 188/24 192/10 223/4 246/24 manage [5] 122/5 122/9 145/17 170/19 173/16 managed [4] 9/25 11/3 91/10 142/20 management [9] 66/21 80/6 91/1 94/22 142/9 199/19 213/24 218/12 245/16 managers [2] 11/14 45/15 managing [3] 94/18 144/21 153/25 mandates [1] 3/17 mandatory [2] 214/14 215/15 manifesting [1] 178/23 manoeuvre [1] 111/24 many [31] 9/5 10/14 16/11 23/16 26/17 26/21 34/4 36/8 45/12 54/18 54/18 66/5 66/22 67/18 76/18 93/15 100/1 113/3 117/13 121/14 130/16

161/24 163/8 182/9

208/9 238/2 239/5 240/1 240/1 243/15 243/15 March [4] 13/8 20/19 marked [1] 132/14 massive [3] 120/15 120/15 125/21 massively [1] 185/14 master [1] 216/14 material [12] 48/11 188/1 197/11 202/9 206/9 206/20 206/21 206/24 206/24 208/21 212/12 234/10 materially [1] 48/2 matter [17] 1/16 27/11 50/23 53/11 54/17 54/18 54/24 98/10 190/3 193/6 194/12 195/18 204/2 206/4 206/22 207/19 208/4 58/24 62/9 62/24 73/9 mattered [1] 156/15 matters [23] 4/2 4/15 7/6 8/22 11/1 15/21 32/12 51/18 53/11 55/12 103/21 199/19 205/21 207/3 210/22 211/7 218/8 239/16 243/5 243/14 243/20 243/23 244/13 may [55] 9/22 16/25 16/25 19/3 19/17 20/19 28/24 29/10 31/21 39/24 42/5 49/6 233/11 242/25 245/1 49/25 63/2 78/8 83/21 meaning [1] 4/6 96/9 108/3 117/7 119/10 119/10 131/20 means [12] 48/7 138/15 140/2 157/17 160/13 165/12 169/8 184/1 184/21 186/1 186/2 186/3 192/15 193/13 196/15 197/13 32/3 32/21 130/22 197/21 198/20 199/4 201/16 202/22 204/18 180/13 181/17 202/7 211/20 219/7 232/17 232/23 234/7 238/21 241/3 242/1 242/7 maybe [11] 35/25 46/7 56/18 62/4 96/23 mechanics [1] 71/9 110/8 111/22 119/25 131/24 132/15 155/21 me [98] 1/14 4/3 5/3 9/5 34/11 34/11 34/18 36/24 50/12 51/3 54/9 54/15 59/22 72/16 75/10 75/14 75/21 83/7 85/25 87/9 88/17 88/23 89/4 89/7 91/8 91/18 92/22 95/7 95/10 96/24 99/12 101/19 108/5 109/13

112/15 116/4 117/19 117/19 121/9 122/13 122/17 122/22 123/12 124/16 127/20 127/25 129/25 130/1 131/4 131/7 131/7 131/19 139/18 141/16 143/6 144/23 145/1 152/25 153/22 155/8 163/11 168/1 168/4 168/18 168/20 169/22 172/20 173/8 173/23 176/14 179/24 185/7 185/18 187/2 187/9 188/16 188/21 188/21 190/19 190/21 191/18 198/18 208/8 221/5 225/16 226/17 227/2 229/17 235/7 237/5 240/3 241/25 244/3 245/11 246/1 246/4 246/6 246/13 mean [43] 7/24 8/5 33/15 34/2 42/21 43/3 43/22 62/2 68/17 69/3 72/18 75/20 89/21 89/22 89/24 90/16 122/8 123/2 125/3 131/7 131/16 132/2 132/3 135/17 139/16 148/16 150/4 150/16 153/22 158/3 159/13 186/8 207/4 210/11 213/15 230/1 231/20 231/22 233/7 233/9 meaningful [1] 200/1 48/21 51/9 51/15 51/19 94/3 149/7 171/13 171/22 183/19 150/25 181/12 212/18 142/14 230/5 239/8 meant [11] 14/11 145/21 176/11 180/12 212/11 measure [1] 19/13 measures [4] 67/1 100/13 111/20 142/21 mechanism [11] 14/24 15/9 24/7 24/10 24/13 24/23 25/4 51/20 64/24 65/22 65/22 mechanisms [3] 11/24 52/6 65/10 media [5] 41/1 42/24 118/20 120/7 127/19 medium [1] 142/10 medium-term [1]

142/10

meet [17] 47/19 54/18 69/16 98/3 108/21 117/1 117/2 117/11 117/13 117/15 117/19 117/19 122/17 122/22 130/8 159/18 176/5 meeting [37] 15/3 56/21 56/22 74/8 85/9 86/8 86/9 88/12 92/18 94/9 95/20 107/4 117/17 127/14 128/4 129/4 131/6 143/17 159/15 159/15 159/21 205/9 211/23 226/14 226/16 226/25 227/18 223/3 227/21 228/20 228/22 229/18 230/18 241/4 244/4 245/24 246/5 246/9 meetings [12] 3/20 88/12 88/13 92/15 117/11 122/12 122/20 mindful [3] 101/8 143/2 149/10 159/17 229/4 241/11 meets [1] 69/13 **Melanie [1]** 179/21 member [6] 4/17 65/3 80/13 81/18 98/7 114/5 members [14] 9/5 11/15 11/22 11/23 40/11 58/25 59/7 100/4 101/14 133/13 133/14 159/7 228/24 236/25 membership [1] 67/24 memory [1] 231/6 mental [1] 229/14 mention [2] 43/3 mentioned [8] 1/8 44/1 59/3 142/23 182/8 200/4 237/12 239/18 merely [1] 145/9 mess [1] 125/19 message [1] 124/14 met [12] 74/6 117/5 118/1 121/13 124/24 207/13 207/14 207/15 226/18 227/18 232/15 240/1 metric [1] 137/23 micromanaged [1] 89/3 mid [3] 118/24 120/11 153/8 mid-2022 [1] 153/8 mid-sentence [2] 118/24 120/11 middle [3] 15/1 84/17 153/8

might [41] 12/7 12/13 12/21 15/8 22/18 25/18 34/23 40/3 43/20 57/10 58/12 64/8 66/10 68/21 72/17 77/16 89/5 98/3 98/16 108/10 108/15 109/11 111/15 111/16 114/4 114/5 115/1 115/7 124/2 132/7 143/1 143/24 146/4 149/15 154/3 154/14 163/17 205/24 215/12 227/6 230/21 million [2] 222/14 mind [9] 18/1 29/4 71/17 99/8 124/21 145/8 146/18 151/20 156/20 mindedness [1] 63/25 151/17 159/23 minds [2] 58/20 75/23 mindset [1] 202/17 mine [3] 79/12 128/13 170/14 minimum [2] 31/7 177/8 minister [44] 4/20 4/20 12/25 13/10 15/1 15/4 15/13 18/12 30/14 31/16 34/10 34/10 44/1 45/25 46/3 50/25 56/20 71/2 71/14 72/11 72/24 73/22 75/21 80/24 81/3 83/10 83/11 84/20 85/22 86/11 86/19 87/21 94/8 107/11 108/10 112/5 117/16 131/5 132/6 137/17 143/24 148/19 156/16 167/8 minister's [1] 93/11 ministerial [24] 3/20 82/17 83/8 83/12 83/14 85/15 86/14 87/7 88/15 90/11 105/22 106/10 106/12 107/20 107/25 108/3 108/11 109/6 109/11 109/16 110/14 110/16 110/22 111/11 ministers [42] 33/4 52/13 62/10 71/18 85/21 86/13 87/8 89/1 90/5 90/5 90/7 90/23 91/5 91/23 92/13 93/8 123/1 125/16 125/18 131/19 144/6 152/15 152/19 152/19 152/20

М ministers... [17] 152/24 153/11 153/13 153/15 154/6 154/15 154/17 154/24 156/12 156/20 157/14 157/20 159/25 165/11 166/10 166/21 170/6 ministers' [1] 93/24 Ministry [3] 36/14 37/14 37/21 minor [1] 132/3 minority [1] 167/2 minute [5] 41/11 42/8 70/15 77/23 225/17 minute's [3] 1/9 42/5 42/9 minutes [11] 1/13 70/19 77/24 119/7 119/23 120/6 120/8 225/2 225/5 225/13 225/16 misalignment [1] 60/15 miscarriage [3] 176/24 243/13 243/17 miscarriages [2] 65/20 167/16 misinformation [1] 130/19 misogyny [1] 135/6 missing [4] 83/9 179/5 180/6 215/15 mistakes [3] 130/17 138/19 169/7 mitigates [1] 19/21 Mm [23] 48/6 84/15 84/21 85/14 86/22 88/3 89/15 92/7 100/6 105/21 123/21 136/7 141/12 144/10 153/4 155/15 165/21 171/15 193/9 197/20 199/15 204/23 237/17 Mm-hm [4] 123/21 197/20 204/23 237/17 mobile [1] 128/22 MoD [1] 223/11 model [16] 5/1 7/14 8/3 8/11 40/22 40/23 41/8 42/13 43/6 44/14 48/19 49/2 50/21 61/2 63/20 69/6 models [2] 42/23 64/1 modern [3] 58/25 66/5 167/16 Mogg [1] 153/9 moment [16] 48/7 49/6 51/10 59/17 120/23 124/16 151/22 180/15 182/8 197/12 198/23 202/11 229/21

234/9 243/25 245/11 Monday [3] 1/1 127/14 129/5 money [92] 9/21 9/23 10/9 10/9 10/11 10/19 10/19 10/21 10/25 11/4 12/7 12/15 16/7 16/18 16/25 17/3 18/9 18/13 18/16 19/5 19/13 20/2 21/15 29/19 40/24 43/6 56/5 95/13 95/17 96/15 96/15 97/23 98/2 100/17 101/5 101/8 101/25 103/23 106/2 108/7 108/9 108/12 108/17 108/17 108/19 108/20 108/21 109/1 109/2 109/7 109/9 109/12 110/15 110/17 110/18 110/18 111/23 112/8 112/24 114/1 114/9 114/10 114/11 114/15 124/4 142/17 144/4 146/13 147/1 147/1 150/3 156/10 159/14 165/18 166/9 166/12 166/12 166/18 171/22 172/1 172/6 172/9 172/24 173/1 188/14 221/24 222/5 229/13 234/7 239/25 240/3 244/6 month [3] 81/14 104/4 219/1 months [27] 5/24 6/2 6/3 13/24 29/5 46/17 49/17 56/2 60/22 64/20 96/1 99/9 103/8 moved [2] 9/23 80/5 116/2 123/24 125/3 149/11 178/19 179/1 179/4 205/1 219/19 224/13 226/18 228/11 238/2 243/9 moral [3] 55/19 219/11 219/17 morally [1] 219/22 more [98] 1/13 9/16 10/6 10/18 16/8 16/11 18/21 22/9 23/6 27/6 40/24 41/24 43/10 46/5 51/4 54/23 64/9 64/20 64/25 77/1 81/19 82/10 87/3 87/4 91/12 94/11 96/17 97/5 100/2 102/20 103/14 103/24 106/14 108/15 114/17 114/18 115/4 121/17 122/7 122/11 122/24 122/25 123/3 124/6 124/11 129/24 132/16 137/10 137/13 137/17 144/14 148/1 148/12 149/1

149/10 149/22 149/24 59/25 60/1 61/9 63/16 149/25 150/1 150/2 73/23 75/4 77/17 150/15 150/15 150/20 77/23 78/10 80/24 151/6 151/7 152/15 80/24 84/20 85/15 153/15 154/21 156/12 101/13 101/17 104/7 156/14 157/25 164/17 105/9 105/20 115/8 166/14 166/14 166/15 116/9 116/24 117/2 166/15 166/15 166/15 117/20 117/21 118/8 168/18 169/5 169/20 119/14 119/20 120/11 170/21 171/23 172/6 122/16 122/24 122/25 193/22 195/10 211/17 123/5 123/6 123/7 212/6 215/11 229/9 132/19 132/23 133/4 233/19 237/3 237/5 134/4 134/15 135/3 238/11 239/21 240/9 135/6 138/3 139/5 240/10 240/13 more creative [1] 152/10 153/7 153/9 240/9 153/10 155/11 155/20 157/8 157/9 157/12 morning [19] 1/3 1/4 157/17 158/20 159/3 48/18 49/19 59/25 160/6 162/22 163/16 70/12 71/2 71/4 73/16 75/10 107/4 162/24 165/20 166/20 167/17 168/3 168/4 168/6 165/17 165/23 172/20 206/21 212/1 221/2 224/21 169/1 169/2 172/20 morning's [1] 240/12 173/17 174/1 174/4 most [17] 7/10 14/2 174/8 175/3 177/10 17/9 25/21 38/12 40/10 46/10 81/10 185/7 185/16 186/9 186/20 187/1 187/13 81/15 83/13 94/7 125/22 133/18 143/2 188/21 190/2 190/10 162/4 165/20 222/10 mount [1] 235/9 193/23 197/2 197/14 mouth [1] 244/6 199/3 201/7 203/17 move [14] 33/10 77/9 206/17 207/15 208/7 98/11 102/13 111/9 209/16 211/2 221/2 222/1 224/19 224/24 111/12 112/2 127/11 138/16 158/19 189/17 225/4 225/9 225/13 209/18 212/3 218/16 226/2 226/5 226/22 231/11 231/14 231/17 231/18 232/13 232/14 moves [2] 160/1 204/10 232/15 232/21 233/10 moving [9] 104/17 233/12 233/23 234/9 120/17 125/7 127/9 234/14 234/25 236/10 165/15 178/4 210/25 237/13 239/2 240/18 223/19 228/1 240/20 241/2 241/3 **MP [9]** 1/19 78/9 241/4 241/7 241/11 80/13 82/10 83/14 242/8 242/12 242/13 97/1 98/22 248/2 242/17 244/5 244/15 248/14 244/25 245/1 245/13 MPs [1] 219/3 Mr [212] 1/4 1/5 1/20 246/1 246/9 246/14 3/23 4/3 8/25 11/20 246/18 246/22 248/4 14/6 15/14 17/5 20/3 248/6 248/16 248/18 21/1 21/24 25/25 26/6 248/22 249/3 249/7 26/20 27/17 28/8 30/4 Mr Bartlett [3] 31/2 34/20 35/19 190/10 197/2 203/17 Mr Bartlett's [1] 36/10 39/3 40/5 42/2 42/20 45/7 45/8 45/9 191/25 45/10 45/20 47/13 **MR BEER [8]** 78/10 48/3 49/3 49/4 50/22 115/8 151/24 152/10 50/25 52/7 52/21 165/20 167/17 168/10 54/15 54/25 55/5 248/16 55/11 56/18 58/8

58/18 59/11 59/18

1/20 3/23 4/3 8/25 11/20 14/6 15/14 17/5 20/3 21/1 21/24 25/25 27/17 30/4 31/2 34/20 35/19 36/10 39/3 40/5 42/2 42/20 45/7 63/16 77/23 168/3 173/17 174/4 175/3 177/10 184/20 185/7 186/9 186/20 188/21 197/14 201/7 206/17 209/16 222/1 225/13 237/13 248/4 249/3 Mr Blake's [1] 45/20 139/10 151/19 151/24 Mr Brocklesby [1] 180/21 Mr Enright [4] 54/15 241/2 245/24 246/9 Mr Fujitsu [1] 244/15 Mr Henry [3] 168/4 168/6 168/11 Mr Hollinrake [8] 168/10 168/11 168/12 84/20 85/15 101/13 101/17 105/9 123/6 123/7 169/1 Mr Hunt [1] 104/7 180/21 182/19 184/20 Mr Hunt's [1] 105/20 Mr Johnson [1] 80/24 Mr Justice Fraser [2] 191/19 191/25 192/13 47/13 48/3 Mr Kelly [1] 241/4 Mr Kelly's [1] 241/3 Mr Kwarteng [1] 153/7 Mr Patterson [34] 54/25 55/5 55/11 56/18 59/11 158/20 159/3 160/6 174/1 174/8 185/16 187/1 187/13 208/7 225/9 226/5 226/22 231/14 231/18 232/15 233/12 234/14 234/25 236/10 240/20 241/7 242/8 242/12 244/5 244/25 245/1 245/13 245/19 246/22 Mr Railton [7] 49/3 245/18 245/19 245/24 155/11 155/20 157/8 157/12 157/17 211/2 Mr Read [7] 60/1 169/2 182/19 192/13 193/23 199/3 232/21 Mr Recaldin [1] 58/8 Mr Rees-Mogg [1] 153/9 Mr Reynolds [16] 1/5 26/6 26/20 28/8 45/10 49/4 50/22 52/7 52/21 58/18 59/18 59/25 75/4 77/17 172/20 Mr Beer's [1] 157/9 221/2 Mr Blake [45] 1/4 Mr Shapps [1]

М Mr Shapps... [1] 153/10 Mr Staunton [21] 116/9 116/24 117/2 117/20 117/21 118/8 119/14 119/20 120/11 122/16 122/24 122/25 123/5 132/19 134/4 134/15 135/3 135/6 138/3 139/5 139/10 Mr Staunton's [2] 132/23 133/4 Mr Stein [27] 45/8 45/9 61/9 73/23 162/22 163/16 166/20 224/19 224/24 225/4 226/2 231/17 232/13 234/9 239/2 240/18 241/11 242/13 242/17 245/18 246/1 246/18 248/6 249/7 Mr Sunak [1] 80/24 **Mr Thomas [1]** 50/25 **Mr Walton [2]** 190/2 191/19 Mr Woodley [1] 207/15 Ms [30] 59/20 59/21 70/7 70/16 70/25 71/1 78/11 89/25 99/5 115/21 151/13 151/20 152/14 153/5 155/19 162/14 162/16 162/17 168/1 171/5 173/7 224/19 224/24 226/2 226/4 226/9 248/8 248/10 248/20 249/5 Ms Andrea [1] 153/5 Ms Badenoch [13] 70/16 78/11 89/25 99/5 115/21 151/13 152/14 155/19 162/14 162/17 168/1 171/5 173/7 Ms Patrick [12] 70/7 70/25 71/1 162/16 224/19 224/24 226/2 226/4 226/9 248/10 248/20 249/5 Ms Shah [3] 59/20 59/21 248/8 much [88] 1/21 1/24 2/14 6/4 12/13 15/2 26/16 28/1 28/6 28/10 30/5 35/12 35/15 35/20 41/7 42/10 45/2 50/9 50/15 58/15

79/17 87/16 92/19

95/12 97/8 97/18 97/20 107/12 110/4 111/3 112/19 115/12 118/12 120/17 122/6 122/7 122/10 122/23 122/25 123/3 127/11 131/2 131/13 131/16 131/18 137/13 138/25 139/2 139/13 141/2 144/4 146/2 151/6 151/14 168/13 170/2 170/7 170/18 172/13 173/6 173/25 174/5 175/7 175/24 176/1 176/11 184/3 193/24 201/13 205/4 206/7 209/17 234/15 238/11 245/3 246/17 232/14 233/10 233/23 multiple [7] 92/13 212/18 233/16 236/12 muscle [1] 55/7 must [10] 40/13 83/19 104/21 124/10 124/10 148/10 167/19 names [1] 159/17 167/20 167/21 170/13 narrow [9] 12/17 muted [1] 129/2 mutualisation [2] 41/5 42/23 my [142] 2/10 2/25 3/1 3/3 4/10 4/14 4/20 11/3 11/10 17/8 21/25 natural [5] 42/4 22/8 22/8 23/2 26/6 26/8 27/5 28/9 28/21 29/16 31/17 32/2 32/16 32/19 36/24 39/22 40/5 42/7 43/9 43/15 45/10 46/21 47/9 50/16 52/12 53/16 55/17 56/15 71/4 71/18 72/8 72/14 75/7 76/3 77/7 78/11 78/14 83/8 83/13 83/14 85/18 86/12 86/20 87/9 87/13 88/9 95/1 95/5 95/20 96/16 212/14 98/9 99/10 100/19 101/18 101/24 102/3 102/19 102/25 103/5 103/9 107/13 108/18 109/14 109/17 110/8 111/1 111/17 111/21 113/11 117/9 122/4 125/12 126/25 130/7 134/13 137/19 143/18 necessary [8] 35/7 143/23 143/25 144/14 35/19 55/24 56/22 146/9 146/17 151/13 156/11 159/3 159/7 162/17 166/5 170/19 173/14 176/9 176/15 58/20 64/5 70/5 70/21 177/10 178/21 179/9 72/19 77/1 77/17 78/2 179/10 185/15 186/11 78/7 78/24 79/2 79/10 187/12 190/23 197/2 201/14 205/17 207/9

207/16 207/17 210/13 211/13 211/23 212/9 212/9 212/15 215/9 216/20 218/16 219/15 219/16 224/13 225/7 226/9 228/7 229/17 231/6 231/12 235/4 235/11 236/11 237/2 237/20 241/3 243/18 246/7 myself [12] 1/15 10/22 26/1 32/1 73/3 98/5 101/25 112/5 129/23 131/19 168/16 232/7 Ν nail [3] 123/24 124/4 124/7 102/11 179/17 201/24 name [10] 1/22 45/10 71/4 78/11 78/13 78/14 162/17 174/6 215/23 226/9 namely [1] 85/11 92/3 180/1 180/1 189/16 197/3 198/5 213/19 236/16 national [6] 8/1 9/13 44/3 46/22 60/1 62/19 76/10 94/12 102/19 218/11 Naturally [1] 194/16 nature [14] 39/2 61/22 63/20 64/10 69/7 69/19 70/2 84/25 86/1 90/17 154/16 155/4 157/1 191/22 **NBIT [14]** 72/19 142/18 142/22 142/24 142/25 143/3 143/8 143/11 143/21 144/12 154/2 171/24 212/14 near [2] 22/23 118/16 necessarily [17] 18/7 43/14 59/5 74/24 117/11 117/17 120/10 **NEIL [3]** 1/19 1/23 131/20 138/15 139/8 140/21 154/21 161/12 167/4 167/5 169/22 210/9 152/22 194/23 195/19 204/18 necessitate [1] 63/23 **NEDs [1]** 139/15 need [102] 4/19 4/23

7/15 7/18 20/19 28/3

49/17 49/23 53/5 53/7

53/11 53/12 53/13

53/20 54/7 54/10 57/4 58/4 58/5 59/15 60/6 60/25 63/2 67/8 70/13 70/15 76/11 77/19 77/24 89/6 99/12 99/13 101/6 101/13 102/19 107/13 109/8 110/2 110/17 112/20 113/13 115/4 123/17 123/22 124/7 124/11 124/13 124/20 124/23 125/5 125/21 125/25 126/6 126/7 126/9 126/20 127/17 128/5 128/10 129/9 132/4 137/16 141/7 145/7 147/12 148/7 149/2 149/10 149/15 155/4 160/11 161/13 161/15 166/3 166/11 167/10 167/12 167/23 170/24 171/8 171/21 172/17 174/13 179/25 182/11 news [2] 83/2 83/7 191/4 198/9 198/11 198/11 199/19 215/12 20/21 24/19 30/18 221/18 225/12 230/19 236/19 237/2 243/23 244/6 246/10 needed [22] 9/10 12/3 23/9 29/25 39/8 40/21 72/1 87/11 87/17 88/24 95/8 95/24 120/4 120/22 127/1 143/6 144/5 170/20 222/24 needing [1] 63/20 needn't [3] 58/14 101/11 119/3 needs [20] 7/20 50/13 57/24 57/25 64/2 92/7 96/15 145/12 146/17 146/19 nightmare [2] 37/15 150/6 166/25 171/23 180/16 208/3 211/8 243/19 negotiations [1] 3/17 248/2 neither [5] 57/7 90/21 112/17 187/12 196/6 nervous [1] 218/10 nervousness [2] 218/12 237/24 net [2] 178/11 178/15 network [7] 3/21 67/16 85/13 124/15

neutrally [1] 208/11

102/24 117/19 118/1 120/3 120/3 120/4 121/13 121/25 124/21 149/7 156/7 186/9 202/17 new [50] 10/9 10/9 10/11 14/13 19/3 24/15 25/6 33/4 33/5 33/10 37/6 46/11 48/18 48/19 49/2 57/12 59/12 71/12 71/17 71/17 71/23 76/7 76/15 84/7 94/20 113/19 131/9 133/19 142/18 142/22 144/17 157/23 167/4 180/12 181/16 181/18 181/25 187/15 207/15 212/5 214/7 215/20 216/1 221/8 222/7 223/8 224/12 231/8 231/12 245/16 182/16 189/24 190/18 newspapers [1] 97/2 next [22] 2/20 13/9 30/22 31/19 44/2 46/4 47/5 47/6 47/7 47/7 47/10 49/7 128/4 129/21 189/13 199/4 216/17 227/2 243/1 **NFSP [3]** 67/5 67/25 69/10 **NHS [2]** 113/12 172/11 144/19 166/24 170/19 Nick [15] 126/2 130/4 168/22 169/3 169/5 169/8 170/20 170/23 182/24 205/8 205/8 205/10 205/17 206/5 207/13 Nigel [4] 139/22 147/5 155/25 170/22 120/21 **nights** [1] 113/18 212/10 236/15 236/15 nine [3] 78/19 226/25 230/11 **no [93]** 8/25 13/17 14/6 18/25 19/14 19/16 27/5 29/2 33/7 35/8 35/9 42/2 55/16 60/6 61/21 64/20 67/8 70/10 74/2 74/8 74/14 75/2 82/10 82/20 93/6 96/4 99/13 102/10 103/17 110/14 110/15 110/18 111/5 111/22 113/9 116/6 116/15 116/17 116/20 117/3 124/17 142/19 179/18 117/3 117/6 118/11 118/11 119/2 123/7 never [19] 23/18 29/9 123/7 123/7 123/7 34/4 34/5 67/15 71/25 127/15 131/2 131/5

no... [41] 134/6 134/10 137/12 137/12 139/7 139/11 141/7 142/25 143/9 143/12 144/15 150/3 150/11 155/23 158/3 160/4 162/25 162/25 163/8 163/21 169/15 170/24 186/7 186/9 188/20 200/16 201/2 209/1 215/2 224/6 226/23 227/3 229/2 229/2 229/25 234/3 237/20 240/4 241/10 242/17 246/4 nobody [2] 166/5 198/16 nodding [2] 226/22 227/3 nominally [1] 20/10 nominate [1] 209/6 non [3] 100/20 139/6 191/10 Non-Executive [1] 139/6 non-financial [1] 100/20 non-provision [1] 191/10 none [2] 134/1 198/24 nor [4] 90/21 118/25 134/4 196/6 normal [4] 86/11 120/2 225/7 240/15 normally [1] 140/22 not [348] note [18] 14/1 15/24 21/1 31/17 105/1 118/16 118/16 118/19 119/3 131/5 131/7 132/9 135/1 135/1 136/5 157/9 191/20 195/17 **noted [3]** 129/19 182/4 209/22 **notes [6]** 119/9 119/16 131/17 132/13 207/17 229/17 notetaker [1] 119/6 nothing [13] 112/7 113/6 116/14 116/16 116/18 116/20 117/6 134/10 155/23 158/1 161/25 168/1 179/19 noting [2] 13/23 107/18 notion [1] 243/11 **novel [1]** 42/15 November [2] 1/1 66/12

now [90] 8/18 9/13

10/16 12/25 21/19 26/15 31/13 31/25 34/22 37/7 42/4 42/5 42/8 47/11 48/9 50/8 50/10 51/5 53/3 55/7 58/8 59/22 59/23 61/5 observe [1] 169/23 64/19 67/5 70/11 70/18 73/25 80/1 97/23 99/8 110/1 113/13 115/2 118/8 118/22 118/22 120/17 122/16 123/12 123/16 130/14 130/18 131/19 obtaining [2] 27/8 140/21 142/22 145/22 147/7 151/4 152/24 155/11 157/21 158/16 158/19 160/13 163/12 obviously [24] 6/9 163/16 166/20 168/3 169/9 171/23 173/13 196/8 198/20 201/6 207/14 207/15 208/9 214/12 216/21 217/7 219/7 219/17 220/6 225/6 227/5 227/14 228/11 228/13 230/15 231/6 231/7 233/6 238/1 238/2 239/21 242/24 243/8 243/24 nuanced [2] 27/7 190/19 Nuclear [1] 223/17 nudge [1] 155/22 number [46] 2/15 5/25 11/18 13/10 15/17 19/3 22/25 29/21 37/8 39/18 40/19 45/3 45/11 46/15 46/25 48/9 63/2 70/6 71/5 71/20 117/24 136/3 141/24 162/18 169/24 176/8 178/2 181/22 192/12 194/3 196/20 198/21 207/12 210/15 220/21 offences [3] 33/11 221/11 221/16 221/18 40/20 206/13 222/1 222/3 226/10 229/1 232/1 232/1 244/1 246/13 number 1 [1] 141/24 number 2 [1] 181/22 numbers [1] 70/11 **nuts** [1] 43/6

0

objections [1] 109/5 objective [10] 27/15 33/4 60/14 64/4 103/1 105/6 163/13 164/19 166/7 192/6 objectives [3] 29/14

142/12 146/18

obligation [5] 146/20 154/5 219/12 219/17 223/12

obligation' [1] 219/10 197/16 203/7 205/23 obligations [6] 47/20 66/13 66/15 204/13 223/16 223/22 observation [1] 56/9 **observed [1]** 102/22 **observers** [1] 91/9 obsolescence [1] 181/25 **obtain [3]** 201/9 201/18 203/7 48/10 obvious [3] 7/17 26/21 191/14 9/4 12/1 14/14 17/6 17/13 18/18 27/8 31/3 31/25 32/7 32/17 34/5 36/14 37/5 38/9 38/22 41/9 47/12 65/4 70/3 74/16 74/23 206/25 occasion [2] 59/12 120/5 occasions [3] 71/20 101/20 177/18 occur [3] 67/15 210/3

236/14 occurred [4] 82/13 89/8 214/20 222/6 occurrence [1] 35/1 occurring [1] 131/15 October [1] 79/3 odd [2] 48/8 51/7 off [22] 29/2 42/22 61/17 111/16 145/20 149/4 160/15 173/14 181/20 185/14 186/6 186/25 187/11 223/19 Oldnall [2] 189/22

231/12 237/15 237/19 190/19 237/22 239/21 239/25 omissions [1] 236/14 240/18 242/10

offer [22] 6/1 6/17 13/20 16/17 21/10 23/11 26/10 26/14 26/16 26/17 26/20 27/21 29/5 48/1 68/6 100/22 100/25 101/3 107/19 124/1 134/16 205/3

offered [4] 6/14 13/17 21/19 246/6 offering [4] 14/16 19/16 23/24 160/3 offers [1] 26/9 office [321] Office's [20] 43/4 85/12 92/5 93/5 133/24 142/1 142/5 143/10 143/21 179/11 182/10 187/23 194/5

210/5 210/7 211/1 236/23 Officer [3] 101/4 101/23 175/20 officer's [1] 203/11 offices [1] 76/3 official [5] 12/14 88/8 89/6 118/17 132/14 officially [1] 92/22 officials [18] 19/12 20/18 31/18 56/14 89/22 95/10 95/14 98/12 99/11 101/22 109/14 118/13 133/2 135/7 154/14 154/24 160/17 166/11 often [18] 89/4 90/24 94/6 97/8 103/17

109/11 109/16 111/4 112/6 117/14 131/18 154/7 154/8 154/15 155/3 170/8 170/10 178/22 oh [4] 123/25 151/5

172/16 226/24 okay [21] 8/17 55/10 62/21 70/5 70/14 78/1 99/3 116/21 119/13 133/9 151/23 157/21 163/10 165/15 171/7 209/14 226/7 231/13 235/19 237/13 243/3 old [8] 33/3 181/19 181/20 182/14 200/22 107/6 191/23 222/21 214/8 215/10 237/16 old-fashioned [1]

33/3 older [2] 68/4 216/13

on [371] once [10] 8/17 26/9 29/3 29/3 61/13 96/20 148/1 174/12 224/4 231/24

one [124] 1/9 11/21 15/9 20/10 26/1 26/5 28/4 40/10 41/15 41/23 41/24 42/3 42/9 214/7 42/14 42/23 51/5 58/25 61/4 63/13 63/14 64/17 65/5 68/11 68/16 68/24 71/10 71/11 72/18 75/22 76/24 79/5 84/18 84/18 84/19 87/20 90/13 90/19 90/20 90/25 91/24 92/15 92/22 94/15 95/19 101/19 102/18 103/17 104/10 106/15 operated [1] 26/9

112/12 113/9 116/5

117/12 121/25 122/18 123/18 136/1 137/24 142/14 147/4 147/6 154/10 156/20 162/22 163/1 163/9 167/13 167/15 170/14 171/4 171/9 172/9 180/17 181/11 182/9 182/11 183/1 183/8 183/13 186/9 188/15 192/24 196/24 197/5 198/17 200/6 203/9 207/16 208/18 212/15 212/16 212/19 213/18 214/12 215/1 215/2 215/3 215/11 218/2 221/6 222/10 223/13 223/17 223/24 224/19 224/19 224/22 225/12 225/13 226/12 229/21 231/18 233/19 234/1 234/4 234/25 234/25 235/7 236/1 237/4 237/6 237/12 240/2 242/4 one's [2] 120/20 120/20

one-hour [1] 92/15 one-minute's [1] 42/9 one-year [1] 172/9

ones [8] 32/22 38/12 48/17 100/12 139/17 146/13 175/8 238/6 ongoing [5] 49/5 223/25

only [31] 9/20 10/20 15/15 32/4 34/18 35/5 49/15 57/18 64/11 66/24 68/9 69/15 71/7 83/9 89/25 100/11 121/10 152/18 162/4 162/20 171/11 183/1 183/20 194/5 198/16 198/23 199/24 203/9 224/18 226/11 238/7 onside [2] 120/22 120/23

onto [7] 3/5 3/7 12/23 15/18 209/19 212/25

open [8] 25/10 54/12 63/25 68/11 78/17 147/6 148/20 178/20 opening [6] 111/9 127/3 140/2 200/11 200/17 201/2 openly [1] 221/1

openness [1] 54/3 operate [6] 126/5 160/25 161/1 184/23 185/1 222/6

operates [6] 43/12

O operates... [5] 178/13 186/15 186/18 188/5 197/24 operating [1] 151/18 operation [3] 158/25 160/25 178/5 operational [3] 143/15 145/25 211/11 operationally [1] 207/24 operations [1] 161/24 operators [1] 30/11 opined [1] 87/21 opinion [10] 27/1 167/3 178/21 195/13 196/4 196/6 196/7 201/14 202/21 202/25 opinions [1] 169/13 opportunities [5] 163/5 163/19 227/11 228/3 239/24 opportunity [13] 21/18 44/15 56/19 77/21 134/17 135/17 135/25 149/14 162/6 220/9 220/9 221/8 245/17 opposed [1] 27/6 opposite [2] 68/22 138/15 **opposition** [7] 33/17 49/13 50/11 81/18 81/20 162/5 167/7 option [6] 13/18 16/11 69/11 88/25 215/11 215/11 options [6] 74/22 91/6 100/16 103/10 105/6 215/1 or [230] 1/13 1/15 6/6 7/3 10/9 11/14 12/17 13/24 16/16 17/16 18/3 19/11 19/16 21/12 22/11 22/19 22/23 25/19 26/1 26/11 26/13 26/14 27/3 27/18 28/4 28/23 28/24 28/25 29/5 32/11 32/11 32/14 32/21 33/8 35/2 36/25 38/3 41/24 42/6 45/21 46/13 50/1 50/20 53/10 54/5 54/5 54/9 54/13 56/2 63/1 63/3 63/4 64/22 64/24 68/21 69/6 69/24 72/7 72/7 72/8 72/12 72/15 72/18 72/21 72/22 73/9 73/11 73/14 74/11 82/19 84/5 88/1 89/7 90/18 91/14 98/7

98/15 99/24 100/17 101/19 102/9 103/8 106/17 108/15 108/17 109/4 110/21 112/16 112/21 112/22 113/18 114/2 114/5 114/24 116/9 116/15 116/16 117/16 127/14 128/24 129/5 131/25 131/25 132/4 133/21 134/3 134/5 136/18 136/18 136/18 138/3 138/22 138/23 140/14 143/1 143/7 143/24 145/13 147/21 149/17 150/3 154/22 156/23 158/17 159/15 159/19 160/17 160/22 161/4 161/13 164/1 164/12 165/5 166/22 175/7 175/15 177/6 177/8 177/20 178/22 179/7 179/15 179/15 179/24 180/1 180/14 184/2 185/13 186/1 186/11 187/11 189/8 190/13 190/23 191/5 192/4 192/21 192/22 193/4 194/7 201/11 201/15 201/25 202/4 202/9 204/9 206/7 206/19 208/11 208/16 210/7 211/10 211/20 212/14 212/21 213/11 213/13 213/24 213/24 214/16 214/16 214/17 214/19 214/24 217/16 218/6 218/11 219/12 219/20 220/18 221/7 222/6 222/8 222/8 222/23 223/23 224/14 224/21 226/23 227/3 227/11 227/14 228/5 228/23 230/8 231/22 233/11 233/15 233/17 234/13 235/5 235/22 236/5 236/14 236/14 236/18 237/23 238/10 238/24 239/25 240/5 240/6 240/14 243/1 243/10 243/20 244/15 246/9 246/10 246/22 oral [5] 25/1 51/13 73/14 88/10 231/21 order [21] 17/6 29/14 our [37] 2/20 12/20 31/23 37/20 39/8 41/9 50/14 57/11 63/4 63/24 97/13 109/21 110/16 116/18 138/21 145/13 149/16 171/19 171/22 237/8 242/9 orderly [1] 210/2 ordinary [1] 121/23 organisation [38]

3/19 42/17 43/2 44/22 63/10 63/11 63/24 67/2 69/17 73/25 74/3 77/3 92/15 94/13 124/11 125/22 140/16 145/4 145/19 146/4 146/15 146/25 147/2 147/11 156/21 157/2 158/6 158/9 163/2 163/2 163/4 169/6 170/19 179/21 222/7 229/5 230/4 243/16 organisational [1] 241/25 organisations [8] 65/11 90/21 91/1 122/4 163/9 219/20 229/7 243/10 organised [1] 117/7 original [1] 205/9 originated [1] 72/7 originating [1] 107/2 other [70] 4/16 9/25 19/1 32/12 38/18 39/10 39/11 48/20 53/14 58/5 61/16 64/7 66/2 76/19 83/8 84/18 87/7 91/1 93/15 99/1 99/13 100/17 105/5 107/5 108/9 131/19 133/13 137/22 156/12 159/6 161/1 161/9 161/24 165/4 170/6 171/10 181/15 182/12 outcome [7] 82/12 182/14 182/16 183/9 183/24 184/2 188/12 188/16 193/3 197/2 198/13 198/15 198/21 207/16 208/9 211/16 212/18 214/19 219/20 229/12 235/1 236/3 236/8 236/19 236/19 238/17 239/22 240/17 242/1 242/5 243/10 243/10 245/23 others [6] 67/8 68/7 69/10 100/19 238/16 238/18 otherwise [6] 51/11 51/18 103/21 144/23 177/8 177/20 ought [5] 81/17 124/25 155/20 155/21 159/9 35/4 49/16 58/20 72/14 76/17 92/23 94/9 94/10 101/23 104/21 115/7 125/11 134/11 148/6 166/12 169/12 173/13 176/14 186/23 194/6 198/4 201/23 205/17 207/7 207/25 216/2 216/12

220/7 220/17 235/12 237/24 239/4 242/18 242/19 244/20 ourselves [6] 14/12 35/6 65/9 151/8 219/22 222/8 out [83] 7/14 19/21 26/18 28/25 29/19 38/5 40/6 52/24 53/10 overcome [1] 14/5 72/24 84/2 84/10 84/16 84/22 85/3 87/13 88/10 91/4 91/5 overly [1] 110/12 95/13 95/17 95/21 95/23 97/12 99/12 102/10 102/13 103/24 108/22 110/10 114/18 116/23 overpayment [4] 117/9 119/6 120/25 121/23 122/4 124/14 124/17 125/2 126/15 133/8 134/1 136/25 137/12 138/4 138/20 141/23 143/11 145/2 154/12 155/16 155/25 oversee [2] 157/13 157/11 162/6 166/18 167/22 172/4 185/25 188/1 188/7 188/8 196/21 198/16 198/17 221/19 222/22 223/6 229/20 240/10 241/6 241/7 241/10 97/14 138/18 156/8 191/14 205/2 220/5 outcomes [2] 167/13 overstepping [1] 191/7 outcry [1] 222/18 outline [1] 15/7 outlined [2] 110/19 221/10 outlines [1] 67/23 **outlining** [1] 3/8 outside [5] 91/9 124/22 235/2 238/16 242/21 outsourcing [1] 68/19 outstanding [1] 216/18 over [50] 16/4 19/11 20/15 21/4 41/5 60/23 Owen [2] 202/14 62/11 65/4 66/22 70/10 84/9 92/1 92/17 94/16 94/23 105/8 105/23 106/2 106/10 107/21 107/25 110/15 112/18 118/3 133/5 140/22 142/3 144/24 151/16 168/11 178/15 235/8 238/10 178/24 190/1 194/1 194/25 199/16 203/2 204/4 205/1 210/6

211/19 211/21 213/8 214/6 217/5 219/24 222/25 228/11 236/20 243/15 overall [11] 3/11 3/14 5/23 8/11 10/12 19/13 63/11 89/17 89/19 90/2 90/10 55/14 56/4 56/8 61/23 overlapped [1] 86/25 overlooked [2] 164/24 164/25 91/17 93/7 94/8 94/18 overnight [1] 134/25 overpay [1] 108/24 overpaying [1] 19/6 19/17 20/4 23/1 overrule [3] 108/12 109/4 109/10 overrunning [1] 144/13 Overseas [1] 3/21 157/19 overseeing [1] 4/1 oversight [18] 34/17 51/15 51/24 53/3 200/18 206/15 208/19 62/23 64/3 64/9 64/12 65/10 65/16 66/10 66/13 66/19 67/6 73/9 85/15 124/12 143/10 overspent [1] 9/19 overstate [1] 191/3 overstated [1] 154/7 185/15 overturn [2] 34/13 35/1 overturned [9] 6/9 36/5 36/18 38/15 39/9 49/19 54/6 82/24 100/10 overturning [2] 33/20 82/23 overturns [1] 38/5 overview [5] 84/3 92/11 123/10 144/3 154/15 owe [1] 231/8 owed [1] 234/7 211/24 own [20] 22/15 31/17 39/1 42/7 53/10 56/2 73/7 102/25 130/7 130/18 143/18 147/16 163/13 186/16 186/17 193/25 197/24 211/5 owned [1] 69/14

116/22 127/12 128/1 128/13 129/8 218/23 pack [3] 106/19 pages [4] 67/3 78/19 157/9 196/18 79/4 208/19 package [1] 6/16 pages 167 [1] 67/3 **Pads [1]** 179/19 paid [11] 5/23 10/16 page [84] 2/8 2/8 11/6 19/10 19/11 99/7 13/2 13/2 13/3 14/25 101/14 108/8 112/1 15/1 15/11 16/4 16/4 134/2 137/24 20/15 21/4 28/12 60/9 painful [1] 227/22 67/23 78/21 79/6 pair [1] 117/25 79/10 84/2 84/9 86/5 pan [1] 84/2 89/11 89/25 95/4 pandemic [1] 156/18 104/6 104/7 105/8 105/15 106/12 106/23 panic [1] 132/12 116/22 127/12 127/13 panned [1] 110/9 paper [12] 43/25 128/1 128/3 128/8 44/10 44/17 45/22 128/9 128/12 128/13 45/23 46/2 46/3 47/4 129/3 129/8 132/21 49/6 68/17 72/23 133/6 142/3 147/23 132/5 152/2 152/8 152/9 papers [2] 71/13 176/1 176/18 178/6 71/19 178/11 178/15 178/24 paragraph [43] 16/5 183/15 189/18 190/1 16/6 17/6 17/18 19/4 190/1 190/9 191/15 20/9 20/16 20/17 193/24 194/1 194/25 23/23 26/4 28/11 79/5 Parliamentary [6] 199/16 204/4 209/20 86/5 87/25 95/4 97/15 38/11 80/18 85/16 209/21 213/1 213/2 99/23 99/25 103/22 213/8 215/21 215/21 215/22 216/4 216/25 105/14 132/21 134/21 217/13 218/5 218/22 140/3 141/8 141/20 218/22 218/23 219/24 147/23 147/24 171/6 222/25 223/14 232/18 176/2 176/18 180/23 page 1 [5] 15/11 79/6 182/5 192/16 198/17 191/15 216/25 218/5 199/4 203/3 207/1 page 11 [1] 95/4 209/21 209/21 213/1 page 12 [1] 147/23 paragraph 1 [2] page 13 [2] 60/9 180/23 182/5 105/15 paragraph 10 [2] page 14 [1] 79/10 86/5 132/21 page 17 [2] 178/6 paragraph 13 [1] 178/11 134/21 page 2 [7] 14/25 15/1 paragraph 14 [1] 16/4 28/12 84/2 176/2 132/21 190/9 paragraph 16 [1] page 23 [1] 106/12 20/16 page **25 [2]** 213/1 paragraph 19 [2] 213/2 23/23 26/4 Page 27 [1] 176/18 paragraph 2 [1] 79/5 page 3 [6] 84/9 86/5 paragraph 36 [1] 89/11 190/1 215/21 141/8 216/4 paragraph 38 [1] page 30 [2] 209/20 171/6 209/21 paragraph 39 [1] page 4 [4] 189/18 95/4 215/21 215/22 217/13 paragraph 41 [2] page 5 [3] 13/2 13/3 147/23 147/24 67/23 paragraph 44 [1] page 6 [2] 13/2 176/1 105/14 page 7 [1] 218/22 paragraph 47 [1] page 8 [6] 2/8 128/3 103/22 128/8 128/9 128/12 paragraph 5 [1] 129/3 104/17 page 9 [7] 78/21

17/6 17/18 28/11 104/25 105/10 paragraph 66 [1] 213/1 paragraph 72 [1] 176/18 paragraph 77 [2] 209/21 209/21 paragraph 9 [2] 19/4 20/9 paragraphs [3] 107/13 111/19 207/10 paramount [1] 104/21 parcel [3] 59/13 148/25 149/24 parents [1] 226/20 park [1] 28/18 Park's [1] 179/22 Parliament [15] 14/10 24/15 25/2 34/12 35/1 38/9 39/13 164/8 39/20 62/13 65/4 66/16 73/13 79/18 80/9 162/13 88/10 97/3 158/23 104/17 104/25 105/10 part [38] 4/14 4/21 12/20 23/21 27/22 35/9 44/9 44/22 46/8 49/7 49/16 50/2 50/14 54/8 54/12 59/4 59/13 63/17 65/19 66/21 76/18 118/25 129/21 129/22 130/25 148/25 Patterson [38] 54/25 149/4 149/24 158/5 166/9 180/4 182/11 212/16 212/16 212/19 213/5 232/22 237/24 Participants [4] 19/4 45/3 151/16 224/18 participate [2] 4/7 31/1 participating [1] 16/1 particular [28] 4/22 22/24 27/11 27/24 31/4 41/10 42/25 62/14 66/18 75/1 77/5 82/7 89/9 100/21 107/6 121/5 127/4 134/7 170/4 187/5 208/4 220/21 221/21 221/24 225/14 229/18 170/25 222/19 223/24 112/25 113/22 232/7 237/10 particularly [10] 7/7 11/21 23/14 71/21 72/10 95/18 107/8 195/22 206/11 224/9 particulars [1] 142/11 parties [5] 47/19 182/14 194/8 197/25

199/12

paragraph 6 [6] 16/5

partly [1] 202/3 partner [2] 54/16 76/10 partners [3] 57/23 238/6 238/10 parts [3] 43/1 198/13 200/22 party [12] 35/4 35/7 35/17 36/2 74/19 81/19 139/22 179/19 183/25 189/5 193/3 201/3 Party's [1] 162/7 pass [3] 35/11 39/1 190/24 passage [6] 101/11 126/13 128/15 141/6 183/1 222/16 passages [3] 30/10 180/23 218/23 passed [3] 6/10 90/8 passing [6] 36/25 41/5 91/14 108/16 129/25 168/18 32/23 33/9 40/4 62/20 179/3 202/6 patches [1] 184/12 Patrick [14] 70/7 70/25 71/1 71/4 162/16 162/18 224/19 224/24 226/2 226/4 226/9 248/10 248/20 249/5 55/5 55/11 56/18 59/11 74/7 158/20 159/3 160/6 174/1 174/3 174/7 174/8 185/16 187/1 187/13 208/7 225/9 226/5 226/22 231/14 231/18 232/15 233/12 234/14 234/25 236/10 240/20 241/7 242/8 242/12 244/5 244/25 245/1 249/1 Paul [4] 74/6 174/3 174/7 249/1 pause [10] 1/11 1/18 perception [6] 9/2 42/1 42/4 42/9 167/14 62/3 69/23 103/20 229/21 paused [1] 168/17 pay [5] 10/3 27/4 29/20 197/4 218/20 paying [4] 103/24 114/18 149/18 242/8 payment [7] 13/7 19/16 19/19 96/2 96/5 100/23 106/4 payments [5] 32/20

95/23 100/9 160/16 160/19 payouts [1] 30/12 peak [1] 184/14 peers [1] 104/24 penny [1] 108/20 Pensions [1] 38/20 people [126] 8/5 8/15 11/25 14/18 17/6 17/10 17/16 17/24 18/6 18/7 18/19 18/23 20/7 20/10 22/1 22/14 22/22 23/8 23/11 25/5 25/13 26/21 30/1 31/5 31/23 32/8 33/6 33/15 33/18 33/22 34/4 34/16 34/23 35/21 35/25 36/4 36/8 36/17 37/2 37/3 37/8 37/10 37/19 37/23 37/25 38/13 38/13 38/18 40/17 40/19 41/17 42/5 45/14 45/14 45/15 45/18 51/22 57/19 57/22 61/7 past [9] 5/5 5/9 10/12 62/11 63/5 63/9 63/18 63/21 64/24 67/19 68/4 68/4 68/21 74/17 75/20 77/4 87/22 88/12 93/18 95/22 97/9 98/18 108/25 110/12 113/3 114/21 114/23 116/13 117/10 117/13 125/14 131/23 132/12 135/19 139/1 139/8 147/7 147/12 148/14 150/10 150/21 151/5 151/12 154/8 154/20 155/6 159/5 166/4 166/7 166/13 166/18 167/23 170/8 170/10 172/16 197/5 198/16 201/16 219/20 229/5 230/4 235/14 238/22 239/24 240/21 241/6 241/8 243/10 244/8 245/13 245/19 246/22 per [7] 160/13 178/14 178/16 179/1 179/5 179/5 179/6 perceived [1] 68/21 perfect [2] 98/20 109/1 perfectly [2] 122/20 202/4 perform [1] 185/11 performance [4] 52/9 142/10 184/2 184/9 performing [1] 184/9 performs [1] 185/10 perhaps [21] 4/5

perhaps... [20] 4/16 9/2 13/2 15/15 27/18 41/25 51/23 54/8 70/18 84/6 94/11 149/15 150/6 156/17 162/9 162/9 180/18 214/7 227/14 234/15 period [10] 6/11 6/22 24/18 81/14 81/23 92/17 94/23 102/14 232/6 232/7 periodically [1] 66/16 periods [1] 29/18 permanent [7] 101/23 107/12 134/23 145/7 146/10 171/12 171/17 person [11] 98/7 116/10 131/21 138/18 190/23 209/6 209/11 235/11 personal [11] 5/3 9/4 116/15 116/16 126/22 play [8] 8/2 62/14 163/13 196/13 personally [5] 12/12 75/16 206/4 229/3 240/15 perspective [13] 16/7 17/5 18/17 65/3 67/21 88/9 122/19 130/22 137/19 138/10 162/6 170/3 197/17 persuaded [1] 236/8 pertains [1] 4/23 pertinent [1] 68/7 **Peters [2]** 125/12 125/12 petulant [3] 205/24 206/2 206/9 **phase [8]** 71/10 174/15 174/16 174/18 174/22 184/25 205/23 220/8 **Phase 2 [3]** 71/10 174/15 184/25 Phase 3 [1] 174/16 Phase 4 [1] 174/18 Phase 7 [2] 174/22 205/23 **Phases [1]** 174/19 Phases 5 [1] 174/19 phone [3] 117/23 118/7 128/22 phrase [2] 55/19 234/15 pick [4] 61/9 127/4 198/16 198/17 picked [1] 76/10 picking [1] 91/18 picture [1] 246/16

piece [4] 72/7 72/21 72/22 147/16 pieces [2] 104/10 132/6 PIN [1] 179/19 place [32] 6/22 9/21 12/10 18/18 25/12 29/10 29/23 45/25 48/16 48/21 57/12 58/9 76/7 109/11 110/18 113/4 113/10 114/16 116/14 119/25 137/16 144/19 149/17 153/1 153/18 154/19 158/4 165/4 169/6 233/18 234/13 241/22 placed [4] 51/21 134/25 201/17 210/14 places [2] 16/24 236/13 156/15 187/16 190/22 plan [5] 11/16 50/10 50/11 85/13 222/4 planning [2] 39/24 39/25 22/2 32/19 47/9 103/1 platform [1] 223/19 62/19 64/9 146/8 180/13 231/20 236/15 POL00448771 [1] played [1] 49/11 playground [1] 206/3 polarity [1] 49/3 playing [1] 62/18 please [82] 1/22 2/7 3/7 3/7 12/23 14/25 15/11 16/4 20/15 21/4 25/24 25/24 28/11 45/6 46/13 78/8 78/13 78/17 83/15 84/2 84/3 84/9 86/3 89/10 89/11 98/24 104/7 106/11 106/18 115/10 115/22 116/22 118/5 127/12 128/12 132/20 136/8 140/1 141/13 147/22 151/17 151/21 173/18 207/5 207/9 207/11 174/6 174/12 176/1 178/6 178/16 180/19 188/20 188/21 189/18 police's [3] 194/13 190/1 190/9 191/15 191/18 192/14 194/1 194/25 195/11 199/16 14/8 17/5 21/7 21/25 204/4 209/20 213/8 215/20 215/21 215/25 216/8 216/17 216/19 217/13 218/18 218/18 219/24 222/11 222/17 222/25 224/23 232/19 pleased [1] 74/14 pm [8] 78/5 115/10 115/14 115/16 173/20 173/22 225/23 247/2 pocket [1] 159/12 point [64] 21/24 21/25 22/4 26/10

26/12 26/20 27/25 28/5 29/2 34/7 35/8 37/19 41/4 48/15 50/2 50/9 51/5 55/24 72/9 84/5 85/23 87/13 89/17 91/7 92/4 99/17 102/17 106/1 107/16 107/17 110/18 111/15 113/1 116/24 117/8 135/13 136/22 137/18 politics [2] 10/13 137/24 142/15 152/14 49/12 153/14 155/9 157/1 157/10 157/11 170/16 189/3 173/14 180/15 180/17 189/16 197/14 198/6 198/24 199/14 204/10 133/16 231/3 231/18 234/24 235/1 235/4 237/2 237/12 243/1 points [11] 75/15 85/11 87/1 87/1 88/16 position [43] 6/24 142/11 142/14 177/15 10/12 17/1 20/1 22/3 181/11 183/8 233/16 **POL** [5] 60/11 60/16 133/14 152/18 157/19 **POL's [2]** 133/16 139/23 60/7 police [49] 183/14 189/21 189/24 190/4 190/7 190/12 190/25 191/2 191/4 191/8 191/12 191/23 192/1 192/6 192/25 193/3 194/13 195/18 196/16 possibility [1] 110/21 196/19 197/12 197/17 198/1 198/22 199/21 203/4 203/6 203/9 203/14 203/16 203/22 203/23 204/2 206/12 206/18 206/22 206/23 207/20 208/12 208/17 208/21 208/24 209/6 180/20 183/12 183/15 209/10 234/10 234/10 50/25 199/22 225/9 194/16 203/25 policy [54] 10/17 22/22 24/5 27/7 27/13 72/2 76/16 76/19 77/14 89/13 89/16 89/17 89/18 89/19 89/21 89/23 90/1 90/2 90/5 90/6 90/9 90/11 217/9 235/21 91/3 91/4 91/8 91/12 postmasters [56] 7/24 8/8 13/7 13/16 91/18 91/20 91/21 93/9 93/11 93/19 15/25 21/9 21/17 93/22 93/24 94/4 94/6 23/25 24/3 40/24 94/10 94/14 94/20 44/19 47/15 47/20 94/21 94/22 124/24 48/1 61/13 62/23 140/25 152/17 152/21 62/25 63/9 63/22

154/9 154/9 154/12 154/13 154/13 political [4] 34/21 143/14 154/9 154/15 politician [3] 45/24 103/18 114/4 politicians [4] 90/14 90/17 90/18 91/14 politicians' [1] 93/4 **POLSAP [2]** 182/12 ponder [1] 232/5 poor [2] 133/15 portfolio [3] 5/13 86/13 169/16 posed [2] 186/21 208/17 22/20 23/6 23/12 24/9 28/19 29/7 30/24 31/5 32/1 35/16 36/3 36/16 214/19 37/19 38/7 38/21 38/24 39/7 41/22 48/8 76/15 76/20 191/7 61/5 63/8 80/18 84/22 pouch [2] 188/11 116/7 117/24 130/17 139/20 177/3 178/4 209/15 210/8 215/6 230/3 235/16 **positive [3]** 70/2 159/7 239/13 **positively [1]** 159/8 possible [21] 6/17 12/6 14/19 17/1 17/7 22/14 29/23 32/9 46/24 58/16 74/22 75/13 98/10 99/22 126/6 166/19 209/24 211/18 212/10 212/22 pre [3] 116/1 116/4 214/9 possibly [4] 11/14 post [339] postal [7] 3/25 11/1 30/14 34/10 72/11 77/8 84/13 postmaster [14] 47/23 63/1 67/25 124/11 124/13 124/14 124/17 125/6 204/11

69/11 69/20 76/5 77/2 82/14 82/16 95/18 99/20 100/10 103/2 104/14 107/7 107/19 125/9 125/20 138/12 138/20 144/20 162/2 163/23 165/5 191/7 192/19 192/21 193/14 194/4 194/22 195/2 195/6 195/14 204/18 205/3 205/15 210/23 213/11 232/20 232/24 postmasters' [1] 100/1 posturing [2] 109/21 109/23 pot [1] 221/23 potential [22] 12/16 15/8 19/20 20/18 21/2 30/7 34/11 34/12 41/4 52/18 53/3 63/16 64/14 76/22 101/6 190/20 192/11 194/11 204/21 209/25 214/18 potentially [7] 49/24 51/7 55/11 57/9 60/25 211/17 216/21 229/9 188/12 **pound [1]** 161/3 power [7] 65/13 65/21 66/6 69/21 77/1 109/19 134/17 powerful [1] 171/14 **PowerPoint** [1] 92/12 **powers [2]** 193/5 225/7 practical [3] 64/8 72/17 231/23 practice [4] 16/21 25/9 71/15 112/1 practised [1] 2/24 145/18 pre-dated [2] 116/1 116/4 pre-Internet [1] 145/18 precedent [2] 35/23 35/24 precedents [1] 34/22 preceding [1] 6/3 precisely [2] 74/13 95/15 214/17 214/24 216/22 preclude [1] 161/18 predecessor [2] 2/20 153/9 predecessors [3] 42/15 152/16 153/16 predecessors' [1] 13/12 prefer [2] 42/6 131/20

prejudice [2] 32/5 137/3 premise [1] 218/7 premises [1] 57/22 preparation [1] 31/16 prepared [9] 50/22 51/1 54/18 55/6 105/22 106/9 133/2 147/12 160/7 prerequisite [1] 117/18 prescriptive [1] 64/2 present [2] 12/5 201/16 presentation [1] 14/14 presented [3] 24/17 186/10 191/8 **preserved [1]** 75/13 preserving [1] 75/17 **President [2]** 81/7 175/19 pressure [2] 16/24 100/25 presumably [3] 103/14 187/13 215/2 pretty [1] 76/4 prevents [1] 148/18 previous [24] 9/9 23/25 38/10 39/4 49/10 49/25 61/1 71/13 71/19 72/21 82/17 126/13 140/24 143/25 156/2 176/9 203/25 216/1 217/11 220/22 previously [11] 9/17 38/4 136/14 136/19 137/6 137/13 174/8 175/10 183/6 199/9 229/10 price [2] 121/17 161/21 **Primarily [1]** 154/7 primary [1] 94/3 Prime [3] 80/24 156/16 167/8 principal [1] 93/23 principally [1] 175/12 principle [2] 139/3 165/6 **principles** [3] 14/8 114/22 166/10 printout [2] 3/5 3/8 prior [3] 44/10 56/3 86/19 priorities [11] 46/11 140/7 140/9 140/22 140/23 141/15 141/22 141/23 155/25 156/18

156/25 prioritisation [1] 113/16 preliminary [1] 58/21 prioritise [2] 103/23 132/7 priority [4] 5/3 9/4 46/15 113/11 private [11] 42/18 80/6 106/15 106/17 107/2 134/21 145/4 146/16 147/11 157/4 170/1 privilege [1] 158/23 proactively [1] 160/3 probably [8] 32/23 44/17 119/24 120/5 130/17 132/11 151/24 profound [1] 65/8 152/10 problem [20] 34/18 53/17 61/19 98/16 109/13 109/17 120/15 progress [7] 30/22 125/25 129/21 129/22 131/1 131/21 137/15 137/20 137/23 144/20 180/2 196/22 200/16 201/2 problematic [1] 116/9 problematically [5] 130/5 168/23 168/24 168/25 170/17 9/14 14/11 22/7 22/25 **problems [21]** 19/24 33/19 37/9 43/23 52/24 52/24 53/1 53/12 66/3 68/20 68/25 102/20 103/18 115/3 131/14 149/22 157/25 172/21 179/14 180/15 245/23 **procedure** [1] 35/11 **procedures** [1] 166/1 proceed [1] 152/22 **proceeding [1]** 24/13 proceedings [4] 177/7 195/14 196/4 200/2 process [34] 6/20 11/12 14/1 16/9 16/10 property [1] 143/17 17/8 17/20 23/16 25/8 proportion [1] 19/8 25/12 28/9 29/1 33/21 proposal [19] 16/22 34/7 35/10 38/23 44/9 50/3 97/10 101/4 103/9 111/12 124/20 130/10 132/18 138/24 148/25 184/16 205/22 216/8 216/13 216/23 217/10 219/14 processed [1] 217/7 processes [15] 47/14 propose [3] 5/14 47/18 47/22 100/8 131/9 133/18 133/21

137/15 138/6 149/2

185/8 204/7

166/1 166/17 183/25

procurement [1] 223/1 produce [6] 64/5 185/2 185/6 185/23 201/21 232/8 produced [5] 72/21 174/9 185/12 192/8 produces [3] 187/17 188/6 188/7 producing [1] 246/23 product [1] 187/21 professional [1] 185/9 profit [3] 69/15 146/6 146/6 programme [5] 113/21 142/23 154/2 172/1 172/4 51/1 54/21 60/23 75/24 190/18 203/15 progress' [1] 30/17 progressing [2] 44/12 44/14 project [3] 143/5 143/19 144/13 projections [1] 12/12 projects [2] 142/19 144/2 prolonged [1] 62/21 promise [3] 56/6 69/3 222/15 promised [2] 30/16 103/3 **prompt [2]** 5/6 5/14 prompted [1] 236/1 promptly [1] 204/14 proper [5] 99/21 133/17 156/8 199/23 202/1 properly [12] 29/10 57/12 58/1 129/17 138/9 138/13 138/16 160/15 160/20 172/5 179/25 180/16 18/3 59/5 59/13 67/5 67/10 67/19 67/23 68/14 69/1 69/10 69/19 70/2 104/19 105/11 107/22 110/19 111/13 155/16 proposals [2] 245/9 245/15 42/4 70/8 proposed [3] 66/12 67/24 68/11 **proposing [2]** 55/15 221/15

35/3 propositions [1] 177/5 prosecuted [4] 38/19 39/11 40/21 226/20 prosecuting [1] 191/9 prosecution [3] 202/23 205/15 213/25 prosecutions [8] 39/17 194/1 194/7 198/22 204/4 208/5 213/21 218/11 prosecutorial [2] 193/4 202/18 prosecutors [1] 191/12 prosecutory [1] 176/10 prospect [1] 219/7 protections [2] 34/17 35/18 **prove [1]** 119/19 proven [1] 139/1 provide [45] 12/18 15/25 16/18 17/24 30/1 36/7 43/2 44/25 63/21 66/23 77/11 83/23 107/20 107/24 133/23 146/13 150/7 151/25 157/14 157/20 68/3 69/14 119/22 159/14 160/11 161/15 161/15 170/22 189/1 196/7 196/10 197/23 200/5 202/20 202/22 203/22 213/4 213/10 223/12 223/22 236/9 236/18 239/15 240/8 241/8 provided [25] 8/5 21/6 21/22 47/15 47/18 65/14 77/2 78/15 83/5 85/25 143/7 145/21 177/6 177/7 177/14 177/19 179/18 179/20 180/3 185/21 208/22 208/25 9/20 15/9 17/1 18/18 215/1 223/10 231/15 provider [3] 203/7 222/14 223/1 provides [6] 19/13 76/2 184/23 195/5 202/25 235/5 providing [19] 8/15 13/19 41/18 68/23 68/24 76/13 77/8 149/23 159/2 162/1 172/9 194/14 196/5 215/3 221/7 238/15 239/14 239/19 241/19 provision [4] 96/6 180/25 191/10 213/6

proposition [2] 29/13 provisional [1] 27/1 provisions [2] 210/10 210/12 public [53] 3/16 8/9 16/7 17/5 22/22 23/15 40/11 40/25 42/17 44/6 50/7 66/25 69/11 72/4 75/25 76/1 76/16 76/19 77/12 77/13 90/22 104/22 112/25 114/5 121/22 125/2 133/15 135/18 146/25 147/9 147/13 148/7 148/12 151/1 152/16 152/23 153/16 154/18 154/20 155/5 156/10 157/2 181/10 187/20 191/9 222/14 222/18 222/19 222/20 222/23 223/2 223/15 223/25 publication [3] 6/13 6/13 54/2 publish [1] 44/2 **published [4]** 54/4 118/19 175/8 175/8 purely [1] 188/25 purporting [2] 196/11 196/12 purpose [19] 43/11 43/18 47/24 60/10 60/14 67/13 67/22 140/10 150/18 165/13 200/12 205/10 213/12 190/21 192/19 193/19 213/16 217/22 219/12 purposes [2] 152/11 186/19 pursuance [1] 99/6 **pursue [5]** 16/10 101/10 193/14 232/24 235/14 pursued [2] 39/19 194/7 pursuing [1] 235/17 pursuit [2] 193/11 232/19 pushed [1] 17/16 put [30] 6/15 6/22 20/7 31/3 39/23 40/2 45/23 55/6 68/25 89/22 92/15 98/5 110/13 114/16 126/8 132/5 143/16 159/12 162/7 176/11 181/17 213/13 221/16 222/1 237/7 244/6 puts [1] 188/11 putting [5] 31/25 69/1 69/7 200/6 210/21

quadrupled [1]

quadrupled... [1] 121/18 qualified [1] 187/11 quality [1] 47/25 quantum [2] 5/23 quashed [3] 71/6 162/20 226/11 queries [2] 47/23 241/9 question [44] 22/25 27/5 40/12 41/2 45/20 49/9 51/6 51/20 51/21 52/5 52/17 60/21 61/21 62/6 66/10 71/9 R 71/16 75/18 97/22 108/4 112/25 112/25 145/15 149/20 164/1 164/22 171/4 173/2 185/4 186/21 187/24 193/21 195/8 196/9 202/11 202/18 212/8 212/23 218/7 225/14 243/18 244/19 245/11 246/1 **Questioned [26]** 1/20 45/9 59/21 71/1 75/3 78/10 151/19 162/16 168/12 171/3 174/4 226/4 232/14 248/4 248/12 248/16 248/18 range [8] 8/7 9/1 248/20 248/22 248/24 249/3 249/5 249/7 questions [55] 26/1 40/10 41/25 44/16 45/3 45/4 51/19 55/5 57/2 58/4 58/23 59/20 59/25 62/1 62/5 64/23 65/8 65/15 70/7 70/11 73/14 73/15 75/2 76/16 78/12 97/25 115/20 151/13 154/10 157/5 158/22 159/4 159/8 162/23 163/1 163/16 168/7 189/14 198/5 198/18 203/4 203/8 208/16 208/16 208/19 214/13 220/22 224/17 224/19 226/9 231/17 238/1 238/3 239/16 242/25 quicker [2] 32/14 35/12 quickly [19] 14/18 16/25 98/10 98/18 99/7 102/13 109/1 113/9 138/10 138/11 138/14 138/20 166/19 182/20 192/14 193/21 218/13 218/13 218/14 quiet [4] 244/10

Q

quieten [1] 115/19 quietly [2] 1/15 1/17 quite [35] 5/17 12/17 12/17 23/12 26/25 27/11 47/2 50/1 56/17 Read's [1] 170/23 63/23 65/8 72/13 73/5 readily [1] 72/13 75/10 87/16 90/19 93/6 93/18 94/6 95/10 109/11 115/5 125/1 143/17 146/23 147/14 reads [2] 168/23 148/21 161/14 166/8 207/21 211/12 224/9 227/21 234/2 240/19 quotes [1] 219/24 racism [1] 135/6 radical [1] 101/2 radically [1] 100/8 Railton [11] 49/3 139/23 147/5 155/11 155/20 155/25 157/8 157/12 157/17 170/22 211/2 raise [2] 72/12 226/12 raised [10] 13/9 61/9 73/23 101/6 113/16 194/10 203/4 205/21 207/3 227/15 raising [1] 110/21 11/20 12/1 58/22 65/2 159/5 194/21 rapidly [1] 99/22 rarely [1] 88/25 rather [36] 30/8 40/17 48/8 53/6 53/21 56/23 63/12 69/14 90/14 91/8 92/5 96/15 97/2 97/6 98/19 108/14 108/19 112/9 131/11 134/17 138/19 138/21 147/5 150/6 151/4 156/10 162/9 176/12 181/4 191/18 205/24 214/5 245/19 245/21 245/25 246/4 rational [1] 148/21 rationale [2] 77/14 243/6 **RBS [1]** 80/2 reach [2] 122/4 162/6 reached [6] 35/17 48/3 56/4 217/19 241/7 241/10 read [34] 16/6 19/4 30/9 60/1 92/18 101/11 105/16 105/19 120/11 130/4 133/8 145/2 157/11 168/22 169/2 169/3 169/5 169/8 171/9 178/9

244/11 244/12 244/12

180/23 182/19 182/24 192/13 193/23 199/3 201/15 205/8 206/5 218/23 222/16 232/20 232/21 234/17 reading [4] 47/16 97/2 177/10 217/23 readout [1] 13/5 198/16 ready [2] 46/9 144/22 real [6] 3/4 9/21 31/14 92/17 110/5 161/5 realise [2] 51/23 232/6 realised [1] 24/6 realises [1] 28/24 realistic [1] 42/14 reality [2] 245/1 245/4 really [32] 8/7 22/5 31/13 34/18 35/3 36/20 43/5 43/10 47/2 recommend [5] 58/14 59/10 71/16 77/3 92/9 94/14 94/22 94/24 108/8 124/18 137/2 153/11 155/21 158/21 159/13 164/20 164/23 190/18 206/4 206/4 206/11 206/14 230/19 reason [8] 31/10 95/13 99/16 139/11 158/5 179/7 214/2 241/10 reasonable [7] 6/17 20/13 21/9 27/25 29/6 26/12 34/15 62/6 reasonably [1] 26/22 reasons [9] 122/18 128/7 147/4 160/11 160/17 194/3 196/23 200/4 243/22 reassurance [1] 44/21 reassure [2] 33/6 198/1 reassures [1] 193/24 Rebekah [3] 163/10 227/1 231/3 **Recaldin [1]** 58/8 recall [18] 15/13 39/12 82/20 87/9 96/9 204/22 96/9 102/12 110/20 137/10 142/24 142/25 204/20 155/24 159/21 160/13 recovery [2] 204/17 164/10 164/15 177/25 237/22 241/3 receive [12] 7/4 7/24 18/2 20/11 20/20 20/24 30/12 37/17

54/7 100/14 109/2 150/20 received [17] 9/6 12/15 13/6 15/16 21/1 27/13 37/4 55/16 61/25 81/24 116/21 133/1 194/18 203/8 217/10 218/20 229/23 receiving [3] 16/20 36/6 37/16 recent [7] 152/15 152/24 153/15 154/17 162/4 216/6 222/12 recently [8] 15/15 46/25 65/7 73/4 73/5 81/19 133/18 223/5 receptive [1] 111/20 reciprocity [1] 41/16 reckons [1] 234/7 recognise [8] 22/17 66/7 66/8 75/21 100/24 124/24 132/8 227/5 recognises [1] 62/20 recognition [1] 63/20 16/12 16/15 24/6 28/14 131/9 recommendation [8] 15/7 16/2 28/7 52/11 72/5 117/5 135/3 135/24 recommendations **[3]** 12/21 13/10 15/5 recommended [3] 103/12 116/25 134/14 refer [5] 134/20 recompense [2] 27/6 238/16 recompensed [1] record [2] 81/17 134/19 recorded [4] 119/5 119/11 119/21 120/2 recording [5] 47/23 118/5 119/19 119/23 120/10 recording/resolving **[1]** 47/23 records [8] 36/15 36/24 37/10 37/13 84/11 183/7 199/9 210/7 recourse [1] 240/17 recover [2] 204/17 recoveries [1] recruiting [1] 145/8 red [1] 132/8 redress [102] 4/23 5/5 5/6 5/14 5/18 6/14 188/1

7/2 7/4 7/9 7/9 7/18 8/18 8/22 9/18 9/20 9/23 10/1 10/21 11/5 11/11 11/13 12/19 13/9 14/18 14/21 15/25 17/7 17/11 17/21 17/25 18/1 18/17 18/23 22/11 22/13 23/2 23/9 25/23 27/15 29/12 29/15 29/17 29/20 30/1 31/6 31/24 32/8 32/15 32/20 33/2 34/6 36/6 36/19 37/3 40/7 49/19 49/23 50/5 50/20 54/2 54/6 54/13 55/7 55/7 59/7 61/24 61/24 62/4 62/17 68/23 74/22 75/24 82/14 95/2 95/12 95/21 96/6 97/18 97/20 164/1 164/12 164/22 175/15 193/18 193/20 195/7 213/24 214/25 215/4 216/22 217/4 217/6 217/9 218/11 218/17 219/22 220/14 222/5 228/5 238/18 240/25 241/17 reduce [2] 19/17 148/7 reduced [1] 32/20 reduces [1] 19/19 reducing [1] 142/21 **Rees [1]** 153/9 136/4 191/18 192/25 195/25 reference [15] 2/15 15/17 17/18 25/1 47/12 47/17 48/4 48/22 101/22 106/11 106/19 135/2 151/23 151/25 193/8 referenced [5] 22/24 39/3 46/4 86/14 112/22 references [1] 83/6 referencing [1] 102/17 referred [8] 134/20 137/8 153/14 165/24 199/4 203/6 217/8 234/25 referring [8] 73/8 84/19 84/20 90/4 106/3 139/14 204/21 214/10 refers [3] 87/25 116/24 218/24 reflect [3] 84/22 176/9 221/16 reflecting [2] 186/3

reflection [1] 73/1 reflections [1] 180/18 reflects [3] 133/7 134/22 189/6 reform [1] 151/1 reforms [1] 167/11 refresh [2] 71/23 147/3 refusal [3] 26/19 105/25 110/25 refused [1] 38/2 refusing [1] 216/15 regard [12] 4/19 18/12 22/15 41/17 42/3 45/1 52/16 58/18 61/1 104/21 123/18 210/6 regarding [8] 51/9 104/12 132/22 143/7 143/21 153/19 203/4 203/23 regards [1] 45/17 region [1] 175/20 registering [1] 75/25 regret [2] 76/5 77/12 regular [1] 13/6 regularly [3] 37/13 178/21 228/13 regulation [3] 150/12 150/13 150/15 regulations [3] 114/16 150/20 166/16 regulators [1] 140/25 **regulatory** [1] 193/4 reimburse [1] 21/8 reimbursed [1] 21/14 reject [5] 13/16 16/13 remaining [1] 55/23 28/14 29/3 245/13 rejected [3] 28/22 29/3 38/3 rejection [2] 105/10 106/7 **relate [1]** 194/5 related [5] 47/22 174/14 174/16 213/6 214/15 relates [7] 39/22 174/18 174/19 174/21 180/22 189/20 218/10 relating [5] 4/2 11/1 192/9 193/7 205/11 relation [21] 14/23 20/11 22/4 23/13 26/3 37/25 52/13 52/20 55/17 60/15 60/19 68/23 71/24 73/6 100/14 104/19 133/3 193/11 193/19 210/4 215/3 relationship [16] 34/9 41/14 48/1 60/17

63/13 64/6 64/11 67/11 72/10 77/5 84/10 87/6 122/6 122/9 122/13 207/22 relationships [1] 123/1 relative [2] 20/4 33/9 relatively [4] 23/5 37/1 37/6 71/17 relevant [5] 81/23 191/10 200/3 214/20 232/21 relevantly [1] 81/10 reliability [14] 184/6 191/5 191/13 192/7 196/3 196/14 198/2 200/3 200/7 200/18 201/5 201/21 203/24 211/19 reliable [5] 185/5 185/23 186/19 187/18 188/9 reliance [1] 195/1 reliant [1] 199/12 relied [2] 34/3 177/9 relies [2] 188/6 195/4 relieved [1] 139/20 reluctant [1] 160/15 rely [9] 68/3 179/25 180/17 189/15 194/17 234/1 234/4 235/6 235/12 relying [4] 193/16 233/3 233/20 234/17 remain [2] 54/12 61/19 remainder [1] 51/12 remained [1] 80/15 remains [2] 16/9 67/22 remediating [1] 195/3 Remediation [7] 215/22 216/11 217/15 represents [1] 187/7 217/16 217/17 217/20 Reputational [1] 217/20 remember [16] 91/4 95/19 97/21 97/23 102/15 111/16 117/8 117/12 130/13 139/8 140/18 153/23 156/3 156/4 159/17 164/7 reminding [1] 244/22 remit [2] 96/11 156/5 remote [1] 183/10 removal [1] 210/9 remove [4] 1/14 134/18 135/3 149/21 removed [1] 61/14 removing [2] 138/3 138/5 remuneration [6]

7/23 8/8 63/21 76/6

85/12 135/4 194/5 199/2 216/2 rep [1] 94/9 repeat [2] 25/15 37/18 repeated [1] 130/19 repeatedly [1] 133/10 repeating [1] 188/19 repercussions [1] 138/8 repercussive [2] 101/6 105/2 repetition [1] 40/4 replaced [1] 201/1 replacement [3] 41/10 56/25 144/22 replied [1] 228/19 reply [5] 104/4 104/5 104/8 105/20 229/22 report [22] 6/13 52/3 53/10 54/3 54/21 60/6 research [2] 72/22 60/7 66/16 72/21 160/8 178/5 178/7 178/9 179/9 192/10 201/4 201/9 201/11 201/18 218/24 231/22 232/8 reported [4] 60/8 178/17 188/14 194/12 105/24 106/7 111/1 reporting [4] 66/13 131/13 185/25 204/13 resolve [4] 53/13 reports [1] 243/2 represent [4] 45/10 45/14 58/11 74/4 representation [4] 24/1 93/7 94/17 239/10 representative [6] 67/25 68/2 89/14 93/8 94/3 94/7 representatives [4] 68/1 226/19 239/10 240/21 representing [2] 189/8 240/21 165/3 request [23] 24/12 104/18 131/25 157/18 136/13 195/20 195/21 202/25 respects [1] 187/7 208/2 209/10 209/25 211/23 211/25 211/25 212/2 214/15 215/25 216/1 216/6 216/8 216/15 217/14 217/23 response [19] 6/1 227/18 requested [6] 114/1 203/23 213/5 213/20 217/2 217/5 requester [1] 217/15 requesting [2] 195/12 217/21 requests [12] 117/19 143/22 164/16 194/2

216/13 216/18 216/21 216/24 217/21 require [5] 8/10 11/25 185/11 196/15 197/13 required [14] 10/1 43/8 61/4 67/1 79/9 82/14 97/13 133/22 186/6 186/24 188/2 204/7 212/22 236/24 requirements [7] 98/3 109/10 150/1 182/1 187/23 212/4 212/7 requires [2] 120/13 147/16 requiring [1] 144/13 rescued [1] 75/7 91/5 Reserve [3] 9/19 10/15 10/18 resign [3] 134/17 135/17 135/25 resilience [1] 142/6 resistance [4] 105/17 63/5 resolution [1] 220/4 109/4 115/3 137/21 resolved [1] 53/13 resolving [5] 19/20 47/23 62/17 64/14 194/23 respect [31] 4/1 12/13 15/9 16/24 20/1 resulted [2] 74/16 21/5 32/10 32/11 36/8 176/23 50/8 54/12 63/14 167/19 167/20 174/24 resume [6] 70/17 175/14 177/4 178/12 180/18 182/6 194/19 196/14 198/1 200/10 200/17 210/20 210/22 retained [4] 85/10 211/7 215/6 215/19 233/2 respectful [1] 188/22 respectively [1] respond [2] 7/17 49/24 responded [1] 179/2 responding [1] 68/14 returned [1] 216/14 102/3 111/10 190/2 191/16 191/25 193/23 reverting [1] 215/9 194/10 195/9 202/14 205/5 205/6 205/25 216/3 216/4 216/10 216/19 217/25 227/17 **responses [3]** 73/13 167/17 178/8 responsibilities [12]

3/6 3/9 3/13 60/14 66/19 85/8 85/19 86/2 86/15 154/4 210/14 210/16 responsibility [20] 3/11 3/14 4/1 4/11 5/7 68/20 88/18 88/22 89/19 90/2 90/13 92/4 93/3 93/10 93/23 96/17 104/22 109/15 166/23 169/17 responsible [5] 4/12 55/19 91/13 91/22 121/24 responsiveness [1] 8/15 rest [3] 4/18 10/25 189/12 restating [3] 87/4 87/9 87/23 rested [1] 90/14 restorative [9] 58/5 159/4 161/5 164/1 164/22 228/5 240/25 245/9 245/15 restore [2] 59/15 restraints [1] 56/19 restricted [1] 146/23 resubmit [1] 216/8 result [17] 23/1 25/10 25/17 25/20 38/4 74/5 109/21 145/6 152/17 156/11 156/12 181/9 203/13 213/12 226/20 227/13 245/7 resulting [1] 191/14 115/9 224/4 224/6 225/18 246/21 retail [2] 80/4 205/16 88/18 88/21 199/13 retaining [1] 67/13 retire [1] 77/9 retired [1] 181/17 retrieved [1] 119/25 return [11] 13/18 16/14 28/15 30/6 50/22 51/2 51/3 51/18 165/16 184/4 224/21 revert [2] 61/18 229/23 review [14] 32/24 33/21 40/1 52/10 52/18 61/10 72/19 98/2 131/8 148/21 156/14 157/13 157/19 172/10 reviewed [2] 15/12

risk [17] 16/10 17/2 117/14 153/25 169/5 say [121] 4/3 4/10 233/7 239/7 scale [6] 7/13 7/24 17/16 17/21 19/7 rushing [1] 92/14 5/16 6/4 7/6 7/13 8/25 reviewed... [1] 20/20 19/17 23/24 32/24 9/5 14/7 17/13 18/15 23/19 75/19 87/11 reviews [2] 147/17 101/6 105/2 105/3 22/19 23/13 27/7 176/25 166/2 sack [2] 137/5 108/18 148/2 181/8 27/12 29/4 31/11 scandal [31] 4/24 revised [1] 14/1 181/13 181/14 181/23 138/22 31/18 33/3 34/24 35/8 7/13 7/25 30/12 31/6 revolving [4] 153/11 sacking [3] 130/10 risk-averse [1] 148/2 35/19 35/21 37/12 45/12 55/4 57/18 58/7 154/6 157/25 159/25 135/16 138/14 38/25 40/25 41/21 67/14 67/17 68/8 74/6 risked [1] 103/24 reworked [1] 152/11 safeguard [1] 25/14 risks [2] 22/17 42/21 43/4 46/9 49/10 77/5 82/8 82/19 96/7 Reynolds [19] 1/5 safeguards [2] 25/11 180/25 49/16 53/12 53/23 99/20 100/1 134/4 1/19 1/23 26/6 26/20 29/23 risky [1] 182/3 56/23 57/15 60/21 158/25 159/6 163/7 28/8 45/10 49/4 50/22 RLIT0000276 [1] Saffron [1] 80/13 60/24 63/8 64/16 65/2 219/6 222/21 227/10 52/7 52/21 58/18 said [85] 20/11 27/17 218/18 70/18 71/24 72/9 73/3 230/19 238/4 238/11 59/18 59/25 75/4 RLIT0000349 [1] 3/7 30/15 30/21 32/16 73/10 75/19 76/4 77/6 238/13 244/9 77/17 172/20 221/2 RLIT0000413 [2] 33/12 40/5 46/25 47/1 86/6 89/23 90/8 90/14 scenario [3] 37/15 248/2 55/8 56/4 59/10 60/2 25/24 30/7 95/4 97/15 99/18 108/13 108/25 Richard [1] 125/8 RLIT0000433 [1] 61/1 73/13 86/21 99/25 103/22 105/16 scenes [1] 113/5 right [132] 1/14 1/17 91/11 93/3 93/6 93/6 106/9 112/6 117/6 scheme [48] 6/9 6/11 222/11 2/22 7/23 11/24 15/14 95/23 101/24 103/12 road [2] 127/5 231/4 120/18 121/7 123/23 6/12 6/15 13/14 13/14 15/14 17/13 24/22 110/25 110/25 116/8 124/19 125/18 126/12 13/15 16/1 16/19 18/4 robotic [1] 112/9 26/14 28/18 30/1 role [22] 4/14 8/2 118/12 119/17 119/18 127/17 128/4 129/6 19/15 19/22 20/21 33/15 35/22 37/23 123/15 123/19 124/11 54/24 55/3 62/14 129/8 129/19 132/23 20/23 21/6 21/8 24/2 41/20 45/7 47/7 52/1 62/18 64/9 68/5 82/8 125/9 126/3 129/11 25/9 25/16 25/21 26/8 141/13 146/9 147/25 57/3 58/19 61/21 64/1 129/20 130/12 131/18 83/14 86/1 91/20 151/2 151/5 157/23 26/10 30/8 30/9 31/15 68/25 73/2 75/9 77/23 134/22 136/9 137/13 96/14 134/15 140/5 159/13 167/3 171/13 32/11 37/4 37/6 37/7 78/1 79/7 79/18 79/20 139/18 139/18 141/5 147/19 153/12 190/23 176/3 185/9 186/14 38/5 49/19 49/20 54/5 79/24 80/4 80/7 80/11 144/6 147/25 159/7 202/18 219/6 221/12 187/9 188/8 188/16 54/6 54/8 72/3 82/24 80/16 81/1 81/21 230/18 159/8 165/17 165/22 188/17 192/17 193/1 82/25 83/1 100/23 81/22 82/1 83/3 83/11 84/1 84/17 95/5 97/13 roles [2] 60/14 83/8 170/16 175/10 176/18 193/12 193/18 195/11 101/2 103/3 104/20 rolling [1] 143/11 179/2 182/24 187/4 195/16 196/1 199/10 104/24 164/12 222/9 101/2 101/16 102/6 196/23 206/6 211/19 202/20 205/7 207/4 rollout [1] 144/8 228/5 238/17 103/1 103/4 103/5 214/13 217/13 219/9 room [6] 1/6 42/7 209/21 210/24 211/7 schemes [27] 6/22 103/6 103/14 103/15 219/18 220/13 221/2 77/25 111/24 115/19 9/18 11/24 18/20 21/3 213/3 213/23 218/15 103/16 103/19 103/20 222/18 228/8 228/19 243/7 219/25 221/13 221/14 23/22 28/4 32/12 52/9 106/16 106/17 106/23 229/4 230/7 230/14 **Rose [1]** 106/15 224/11 226/22 227/3 52/12 52/14 52/19 108/2 108/20 110/15 230/16 230/16 230/18 227/12 228/8 232/2 52/22 52/24 53/2 54/2 rote [1] 112/4 113/2 113/3 113/15 233/15 234/4 235/18 roughly [2] 5/24 6/1 233/12 235/3 235/10 83/6 99/24 100/21 114/23 115/11 115/25 116/13 123/17 128/16 round [1] 55/25 236/11 236/22 237/14 240/22 244/19 107/9 222/4 240/25 128/19 135/14 135/15 route [17] 17/7 18/7 239/1 239/17 240/6 saying [23] 41/6 241/1 241/16 241/17 243/24 246/12 19/1 22/12 23/2 23/7 52/23 53/6 72/3 73/2 241/18 241/22 136/1 137/18 138/23 sake [1] 64/5 27/15 27/19 27/21 95/19 101/20 109/17 schoolboy [1] 206/3 138/23 139/24 153/3 salami [1] 150/4 28/22 29/12 33/20 122/24 126/24 127/15 schools [2] 114/10 154/11 160/20 161/12 Sam [1] 45/10 34/3 43/2 54/5 101/2 128/9 129/11 130/25 114/11 161/15 161/16 163/14 same [18] 30/24 164/11 139/8 149/6 150/3 scope [2] 12/2 12/16 163/15 163/15 164/21 39/15 52/4 53/7 54/20 routes [2] 29/14 150/11 159/11 191/17 scratch [1] 160/2 164/21 168/9 169/9 55/17 87/6 100/25 61/24 241/23 241/24 242/16 screen [22] 1/15 3/5 171/13 171/23 172/19 **Royal [2]** 146/20 169/19 170/24 171/4 104/4 144/6 149/19 says [56] 3/10 13/4 3/7 12/23 15/18 26/5 156/15 170/9 170/10 154/3 15/2 16/6 19/5 20/17 83/16 86/5 111/18 173/13 197/1 202/1 195/7 234/2 234/3 rubric [1] 84/11 23/23 30/19 67/10 128/11 132/21 151/22 204/6 208/7 208/14 236/5 ruined [1] 100/1 67/16 104/8 105/8 171/9 174/14 175/24 209/5 209/9 209/14 rule [14] 73/1 150/8 sat [2] 50/18 243/7 107/2 112/7 116/25 179/14 179/17 209/19 209/16 224/23 225/6 150/11 150/13 150/14 satisfaction [2] 6/25 120/12 123/25 126/20 212/25 214/7 214/9 225/10 225/15 226/15 150/17 150/17 150/25 61/6 129/3 129/7 129/18 218/18 229/5 229/5 231/6 scroll [31] 3/10 14/25 151/5 165/19 167/19 satisfactory [1] 22/2 136/13 148/5 152/14 232/4 232/13 234/5 167/20 167/22 222/22 satisfied [2] 178/14 154/16 155/12 157/10 15/11 19/2 20/15 21/4 234/8 234/23 235/8 237/3 157/12 157/12 178/16 104/6 106/13 106/18 rules [4] 114/16 235/16 235/19 236/4 114/25 150/15 166/16 save [2] 100/17 180/24 183/2 183/16 141/13 178/19 178/24 237/9 240/23 241/3 run [14] 5/2 23/22 171/22 189/22 190/11 194/9 180/24 182/25 183/15 246/11 246/20 41/6 43/14 44/9 47/21 saving [1] 172/21 194/15 194/19 194/25 190/1 190/9 191/24 right-hand [1] 106/23 63/3 65/10 76/10 saw [13] 87/24 94/10 199/5 199/16 202/15 195/10 199/16 202/15 rightly [101 5/17 111/2 112/17 149/17 114/17 125/24 125/24 202/19 203/2 203/3 203/2 215/23 216/3 27/11 40/7 50/2 169/11 171/24 178/11 145/20 146/16 204/5 204/24 215/23 216/10 216/19 217/24 104/15 166/8 181/15 184/25 190/10 195/25 rundown [1] 166/20 216/25 218/25 222/17 218/24 219/24 222/16 191/11 195/17 202/20 201/13 206/21 running [5] 48/9 56/8 222/25 223/24 232/22 232/18

50/2 52/21 54/13 55/22 57/21 61/22 scrutiny [4] 61/14 69/2 69/18 70/1 73/16 67/16 100/11 147/9 74/25 78/6 83/16 seats [1] 3/3 83/18 84/4 84/16 85/9 second [31] 7/8 87/5 89/12 91/17 33/24 45/20 47/7 92/10 98/24 103/12 73/22 79/3 79/8 86/4 104/5 104/6 106/4 87/2 88/4 95/1 95/3 106/13 106/14 107/1 99/23 104/6 105/14 107/20 111/2 111/24 124/8 141/6 147/22 113/9 115/17 118/6 165/15 171/6 174/10 174/15 175/15 176/13 125/1 128/15 140/8 177/13 183/23 193/11 141/16 144/16 148/4 201/10 207/1 214/22 148/8 149/15 152/25 215/3 160/5 165/14 167/7 **Secondly [1]** 158/25 173/23 175/1 177/4 secret [1] 132/13 180/18 188/3 190/10 **Secretaries [2]** 83/23 191/15 198/11 210/9 106/15 215/23 216/3 216/10 Secretary [76] 1/24 2/17 3/6 3/9 3/11 3/24 220/14 220/16 222/8 5/3 5/11 7/16 9/7 25/3 223/6 223/25 236/18 36/12 41/24 42/11 237/7 238/9 240/23 43/24 45/2 60/22 seeing [3] 102/22 64/20 66/15 80/19 102/25 112/10 80/22 81/6 81/11 82/3 seek [3] 12/5 21/9 82/9 83/18 84/12 21/19 84/19 85/6 86/12 seeking [2] 7/17 86/20 87/14 87/19 12/18 87/22 89/3 95/8 95/25 seeks [1] 15/24 96/8 96/12 96/18 seem [13] 59/18 96/20 101/23 106/17 70/11 122/6 122/10 107/2 107/7 107/12 122/17 122/23 123/2 107/14 107/18 116/2 153/13 155/18 156/3 116/7 117/9 117/17 177/25 212/5 241/23 121/20 124/18 131/10 137/20 140/24 143/25 seemed [3] 86/16 159/9 160/15 146/12 153/13 156/22 157/16 157/16 158/14 seems [11] 14/23 53/16 56/6 56/8 124/5 159/13 162/11 162/12 158/1 160/24 193/13 162/24 163/24 164/20 205/12 232/23 233/12 223/5 228/18 167/8 170/5 220/22 seen [45] 2/4 8/20 221/8 227/16 230/7 **Secretary's [3]** 96/11 96/14 134/23 section [3] 21/5 68/19 97/3 103/4 215/15 218/21 103/5 103/15 103/20 sector [13] 121/22 106/7 108/4 109/22 133/16 146/16 146/25 112/5 112/17 113/3 147/11 157/2 157/4 135/14 161/18 163/14 206/5 170/2 222/15 222/20 164/21 165/8 170/1 222/23 223/2 223/15 180/21 182/23 185/24 secured [1] 35/6 185/25 186/9 192/12 securing [2] 67/15 199/17 201/8 206/20 163/4 207/16 211/16 215/18 security [3] 17/19 237/4 19/1 113/12 sees [2] 195/1 see [93] 3/9 4/1 4/10 205/13 4/14 6/16 7/1 7/10 Select [6] 9/8 55/2 9/12 14/25 15/11 62/13 158/21 207/7 15/23 17/23 18/18 219/3 25/15 26/18 44/10 self [5] 42/13 42/13 44/20 46/21 48/24

52/4 53/7 54/20

self-same [2] 52/4 54/20 self-sufficiency [1] 42/13 sell [1] 187/19 selling [2] 187/15 187/16 seminal [2] 48/13 153/2 send [1] 99/12 sending [1] 125/14 118/23 121/13 123/15 **Senior [2]** 133/19 135/4 sense [18] 17/15 26/15 33/7 36/21 39/14 46/14 50/7 83/4 85/20 102/4 107/13 114/19 114/20 115/6 146/15 155/1 158/10 237/7 216/12 217/15 219/22 sensible [2] 86/16 202/5 sensitive [3] 33/17 52/10 132/14 sent [5] 31/17 131/7 203/17 203/19 216/12 sentence [10] 28/13 97/16 118/24 120/11 147/21 171/5 171/9 183/23 234/17 235/24 sentences [1] 148/16 settled [4] 21/12 sentiment [1] 159/20 separate [4] 20/20 24/7 40/6 222/6 **separated** [1] 7/14 separately [4] 101/1 101/21 161/14 161/24 172/10 September [14] 2/1 78/17 79/8 80/21 81/2 seven [2] 226/18 81/2 81/8 96/1 116/1 174/14 174/17 174/21 sequence [1] 121/5 9/22 12/14 16/2 24/25 series [1] 136/4 32/17 32/24 33/1 40/4 serious [12] 17/2 65/3 65/7 67/10 68/14 110/5 144/20 192/16 205/25 206/11 206/13 severity [1] 120/7 207/18 207/21 220/25 Shah [3] 59/20 59/21 233/6 244/13 seriously [2] 206/4 seriousness [1] 136/2 servant [1] 120/14 servants [5] 72/25 90/15 125/17 147/13 148/22 serve [1] 85/23 service [14] 48/1 66/23 76/2 90/22 131/17 132/10 145/20 146/20 148/3 154/5 181/6 182/4 185/18 202/23

services [23] 8/5 8/7 shareholder's [1] 8/15 40/25 41/18 93/8 43/12 63/10 63/22 shareholders [1] 76/14 76/20 77/8 91/25 shares [1] 202/15 77/13 84/14 150/9 175/17 181/10 189/24 sharing [2] 133/21 195/4 199/12 210/10 206/23 210/12 211/13 213/6 **Sharma [1]** 153/6 serving [3] 45/18 she [8] 26/11 28/23 45/24 77/3 28/24 107/3 123/25 session [2] 134/11 125/23 125/24 189/8 184/17 she's [1] 220/23 sessions [1] 55/23 sheer [2] 87/11 136/2 set [26] 17/9 18/5 shift [2] 125/21 126/5 shoes [1] 98/6 19/21 20/8 35/24 38/21 43/16 47/11 shop [1] 69/24 52/25 53/4 53/10 short [12] 24/18 55/14 56/20 72/22 70/18 70/23 78/4 92/9 84/16 84/22 94/23 103/9 109/16 115/15 134/1 140/21 141/23 173/21 209/25 225/24 155/25 189/13 196/18 229/22 206/8 210/14 222/7 short-circuit [1] sets [5] 23/17 99/24 103/9 116/23 223/6 224/18 **shorter [1]** 70/12 setting [16] 18/10 shortest [1] 212/10 52/25 84/10 89/17 **shortfall [18]** 6/12 13/14 16/1 19/15 89/19 90/2 90/10 90/13 91/21 92/6 19/22 20/23 21/7 24/2 94/13 142/1 154/9 30/9 32/11 82/25 155/16 239/22 240/5 211/9 233/4 233/17 233/21 235/9 235/21 21/13 21/18 155/7 236/3 **settlement [2]** 82/22 shortfalls [15] 20/12 242/15 192/22 193/11 193/14 settlements [4] 194/19 204/11 204/22 19/20 37/6 156/14 210/21 210/22 215/14 232/19 232/24 233/14 settling [1] 242/10 235/14 235/17 **shortly [9]** 1/5 7/9 243/9 8/18 14/24 20/22 25/2 seventh [1] 88/5 99/11 102/9 184/4 several [9] 13/24 should [81] 1/24 2/5 36/13 140/23 202/10 15/16 16/13 17/9 219/19 224/13 230/25 21/14 21/22 26/14 233/25 236/25 28/15 35/7 38/24 severe [1] 100/5 41/19 42/21 46/9 46/20 51/21 53/19 54/14 56/23 62/15 248/8 62/19 64/3 75/12 79/8 shall [7] 27/7 29/4 85/25 86/10 89/1 70/17 100/3 115/8 90/17 92/13 95/7 170/25 232/2 99/21 99/21 101/1 101/9 102/7 103/23 **shapes [1]** 59/1 **Shapps [1]** 153/10 104/2 110/8 110/10 share [4] 31/13 31/16 110/16 127/13 127/16 121/17 161/21 129/24 129/24 131/3 shared [3] 60/10 131/3 134/14 134/16 73/15 227/23 135/15 138/2 141/5 shareholder [15] 146/1 150/23 150/25 60/12 67/11 84/12 151/2 161/17 161/23 85/8 85/11 89/14 164/25 165/1 168/17 90/23 94/7 131/4 168/18 173/3 177/8 133/22 140/5 146/7 193/16 200/25 201/1 146/11 146/12 220/15 202/3 210/9 221/25

should... [12] 233/3 233/13 233/16 233/20 simplify [1] 115/4 234/5 234/12 234/17 234/17 235/1 240/3 244/12 246/22 shouldn't [8] 87/19 90/18 103/8 151/8 151/9 151/10 151/11 172/6 **show [4]** 60/18 94/12 114/24 159/22 **showed [3]** 103/2 133/15 134/12 **showing [3]** 92/22 109/14 157/6 shown [2] 62/21 152/1 shows [2] 61/10 92/2 **shut [1]** 133/10 sic [2] 182/19 244/16 sick [1] 172/16 **SID [2]** 124/20 126/8 side [10] 15/9 35/4 37/22 44/14 84/18 98/17 125/23 186/23 200/6 214/12 sides [2] 100/5 172/2 **Sight [1]** 201/10 sign [6] 24/21 78/23 185/14 186/24 187/11 210/16 **signal [1]** 122/16 **signalling [1]** 109/24 signature [8] 2/9 2/10 78/20 78/22 79/10 79/11 106/14 175/1 signed [1] 186/6 significance [3] 4/22 145/25 146/1 significant [31] 4/14 5/3 5/22 8/11 9/16 10/23 11/21 12/4 19/8 21/16 22/14 23/4 23/4 23/11 29/18 31/4 31/14 34/13 34/15 37/8 38/12 46/10 46/21 49/14 60/19 65/5 100/24 105/2 132/4 138/5 205/13 significantly [2] 22/6 76/25 signify [1] 207/21 silence [3] 1/9 42/5 42/9 silent [4] 199/1 230/12 230/13 230/14 similar [5] 67/14 74/21 82/17 102/4 141/11 Simon [3] 189/22 190/19 218/13

simple [4] 97/25 115/2 195/12 213/22 simply [16] 3/8 16/25 22/13 23/21 36/25 46/9 48/22 57/16 62/3 92/21 110/14 122/19 147/7 161/11 163/22 196/13 since [20] 5/21 6/8 26/4 32/18 48/2 71/6 74/9 74/12 130/23 152/25 153/5 158/1 162/19 189/9 216/2 217/4 222/15 223/3 226/11 241/7 single [6] 93/1 97/7 114/14 146/24 148/22 slide [1] 89/22 190/14 sir [71] 1/3 1/8 1/12 1/16 27/10 29/7 30/13 231/7 30/20 31/3 31/13 45/4|slow [8] 21/17 113/8 50/23 50/23 51/3 51/6 134/7 148/19 149/11 51/11 51/17 52/10 52/20 53/4 53/6 53/9 59/20 66/11 70/6 70/14 70/25 75/3 75/18 76/11 77/7 77/22 78/6 115/6 115/17 168/4 168/13 171/2 171/3 173/12 173/17 173/23 205/22 smaller [1] 76/25 208/18 209/2 209/13 209/17 224/17 224/20 so-called [1] 35/11 225/1 225/3 225/5 225/11 225/12 225/19 67/21 67/22 68/3 225/21 226/1 231/23 232/3 232/12 234/19 236/2 241/10 241/24 242/24 243/25 245/5 246/19 247/1 248/12 248/24 Sir Alan [2] 30/13 31/3 Sir Alan's [2] 30/20 31/13 Sir Wyn [28] 27/10 29/7 50/23 50/23 51/3 51/6 51/11 51/17 52/10 52/20 53/4 53/6 235/13 235/25 236/5 53/9 75/18 76/11 77/22 173/12 205/22 208/18 209/2 209/13 231/23 234/19 236/2 242/24 243/25 245/5 247/1 sit [13] 1/15 1/17 42/6 42/7 187/9 220/25 221/19 224/2 224/7 227/2 231/25 245/17 246/8 sits [2] 241/2 241/3 sitting [7] 54/15 157/2 163/11 186/15

186/22 228/24 230/4 situation [14] 10/10 17/12 18/24 29/9 29/21 31/9 31/18 57/16 67/14 73/5 120/4 180/19 240/22 242/22 six [5] 29/5 99/9 124/21 223/2 225/5 sixth [1] 88/5 size [2] 85/13 94/13 sizes [1] 59/1 **sky [1]** 32/10 **SLA [1]** 185/17 **SLAs [2]** 184/10 185/10 slicing [1] 150/4 slightly [5] 22/19 52/17 188/10 195/10 150/16 166/6 166/17 **slower [1]** 148/13 slowing [2] 87/23 148/24 slowly [2] 138/21 195/10 **small [5]** 19/7 45/3 57/19 70/6 70/11 so [374] **social [5]** 67/13 69/14 society [2] 76/17 239/5 soft [1] 109/19 **software [6]** 79/19 162/2 223/18 223/19 223/23 243/12 sold [1] 187/21 **sole [6]** 84/12 85/8 85/11 131/4 140/5 146/11 solely [7] 234/17 234/21 235/7 235/7 solicitor [4] 2/21 90/23 146/12 191/16 **solicitors** [6] 54/16 71/7 74/9 228/13 228/18 230/1 solution [1] 103/10 solutions [1] 181/4 solve [3] 61/20 109/13 113/13 some [122] 6/22 8/10 10/8 10/13 16/23 18/8 19/21 20/4 20/7 20/10 21/2 22/23 24/16 24/20 26/24 29/22

32/14 32/23 33/2 33/13 35/14 35/18 37/25 41/9 42/12 42/15 42/17 42/24 42/25 43/3 43/11 43/22 43/23 44/16 46/10 49/13 49/18 49/25 50/6 55/23 56/23 57/13 57/15 58/21 59/2 61/1 62/8 63/16 63/23 65/8 65/15 65/17 65/19 66/3 72/1 72/20 73/15 75/5 76/15 84/5 95/8 100/16 101/5 105/17 106/2 108/7 120/19 125/25 127/8 128/17 130/2 136/25 138/19 138/21 144/16 148/7 151/14 153/20 154/4 154/14 156/3 157/6 157/24 160/18 161/17 163/1 163/16 167/11 168/20 178/18 179/14 179/23 180/4 180/12 181/19 181/20 182/18 183/18 187/6 197/23 198/1 200/9 200/23 201/8 206/20 206/21 207/21 213/17 224/8 229/2 229/6 237/15 237/16 239/14 240/8 240/17 242/1 242/8 242/24 243/1 243/7 246/6 somebody [6] 186/13 155/5 157/3 186/15 186/17 187/13 sought [2] 94/4 215/22 217/19 somehow [1] 49/6 **someone [12]** 29/10 37/16 77/8 108/18 130/16 135/16 138/6 138/15 138/22 140/12 spare [1] 121/5 149/6 169/11 something [70] 11/1 11/25 15/13 29/24 31/22 32/7 36/10 40/13 40/18 43/21 58/13 59/8 61/6 61/9 64/25 65/25 69/8 69/20 72/1 72/6 76/12 94/24 96/5 97/15 103/11 110/7 111/11 111/15 111/22 112/20 38/8 39/3 43/1 46/5 112/21 113/12 113/21 117/7 119/13 119/14 124/10 144/19 147/25 150/21 155/20 156/6 156/7 156/24 158/13 159/2 159/8 159/22 160/7 160/22 162/9 165/12 165/16 165/22 27/23 37/15 40/25 166/9 167/10 182/14 193/10 211/9 215/14

219/9 227/21 230/6 233/11 235/2 236/8 236/19 239/11 239/21 240/11 sometimes [4] 10/16 93/20 238/9 238/12 somewhere [2] 72/23 210/24 soon [5] 17/7 32/9 46/24 47/2 50/7 sooner [7] 53/21 138/2 162/9 245/19 245/21 245/24 246/4 **sop [2]** 131/1 131/2 sorry [21] 48/13 70/10 74/2 74/8 92/1 121/8 127/17 127/20 128/4 128/9 128/13 129/9 141/16 185/16 185/19 193/21 218/5 222/7 226/6 226/24 228/23 sort [29] 28/20 42/17 46/14 49/25 65/21 68/19 72/3 73/10 73/19 74/19 87/5 87/6 92/19 93/7 96/10 97/3 109/21 117/10 118/23 125/17 131/9 143/12 143/14 146/3 146/5 146/16 149/16 169/6 200/9 sorting [3] 61/23 120/25 126/15 sorts [3] 140/22 228/13 **source [6]** 69/25 234/1 234/4 235/7 237/4 237/6 **sources [1]** 236/12 sparks [1] 192/12 speak [7] 49/9 49/14 63/18 92/1 94/6 163/21 225/13 speaking [7] 14/3 30/21 121/1 127/12 131/19 132/24 245/21 speaks [1] 197/24 special [1] 67/1 specific [24] 12/15 52/11 55/16 65/15 66/2 67/20 68/6 73/19 104/19 111/12 116/15 116/16 133/3 156/8 163/1 164/11 164/17 165/5 166/5 194/10 specifically [20] 9/3 50/13 59/3 71/24 93/13 93/25 97/24

specifically... [10] 110/19 112/10 126/17 139/14 140/17 163/9 163/21 164/6 164/12 176/6 specifics [2] 7/5 159/21 **Spectator [1]** 80/10 speculate [1] 122/18 speculative [1] 155/3 **sped [1]** 32/13 speed [17] 5/19 7/1 32/4 50/4 50/19 100/8 starts [3] 111/5 101/4 104/2 107/6 107/10 107/14 108/5 111/8 134/2 134/5 148/11 148/18 speedily [2] 36/16 218/8 **speedy [3]** 18/23 25/23 27/16 **spend [1]** 219/15 **spending [5]** 56/5 96/13 156/13 172/7 172/10 spent [3] 10/25 18/14 243/8 **spike [1]** 40/15 **spirit [3]** 53/15 53/18 69/18 **split [1]** 93/20 **spoke [5]** 37/24 48/17 143/5 208/1 245/20 **spokesman [1]** 85/16 spokesperson [1] 219/25 **sponsored** [1] 73/6 **spotlight** [1] 61/17 **spread [1]** 45/14 **staff [1]** 88/13 stage [3] 26/17 38/6 64/2 stages [3] 8/20 23/16 198/5 **stake [1]** 64/25 stakeholders [2] 68/9 116/23 Stalybridge [1] 43/16 **stand [5]** 11/11 42/6 219/21 219/21 233/24 **standard** [2] 83/22 132/10 standards [2] 176/5 200/23 standing [1] 65/22 standpoint [2] 219/16 219/16 **stands [2]** 150/9 185/17 standstill [2] 242/3

242/18

start [22] 13/2 42/4 46/24 60/24 102/25 115/20 138/10 146/17 158/15 160/1 160/15 182/22 187/4 189/9 189/17 189/18 192/14 202/13 215/21 219/5 224/12 224/14 started [7] 59/16 100/14 116/7 116/11 125/2 162/4 173/9 starting [4] 1/12 48/15 124/18 155/9 118/23 156/18 **starvation [2]** 171/12 171/17 state [77] 1/24 2/17 3/6 3/9 3/11 3/24 5/4 5/11 7/16 10/2 20/7 25/3 36/12 36/23 41/24 42/11 43/25 45/2 60/22 64/20 64/22 66/15 80/19 81/3 81/6 81/11 82/4 82/6 82/9 83/18 83/23 132/23 133/4 136/15 84/12 84/19 84/23 85/6 86/12 86/20 87/20 87/22 89/3 95/9 96/21 107/7 107/14 107/18 116/2 116/7 117/17 121/20 124/18 steer [1] 50/1 131/10 137/21 140/24|steers [1] 15/13 145/7 146/10 153/13 156/22 157/16 157/16 45/10 61/9 73/23 158/14 159/13 162/11 164/20 167/8 170/5 171/12 171/16 171/18 175/13 197/12 220/22 221/9 227/16 230/7 State's [1] 143/25 stated [4] 135/2 168/15 205/17 223/17 statement [60] 1/25 2/11 2/14 25/1 25/3 33/13 63/7 73/14 78/24 79/3 79/13 81/24 86/3 86/4 95/3 105/14 106/1 107/24 115/23 118/10 118/15 8/2 8/6 26/4 26/18 132/20 141/7 145/2 146/9 147/21 147/23 171/6 174/10 174/13 174/15 174/17 174/18 77/12 77/14 83/24 174/20 175/25 176/2 176/9 180/11 192/4 195/18 196/2 196/10 196/11 196/21 197/11 197/23 200/1 200/5 200/6 200/9 203/22 207/7 207/10 209/7 209/12 209/20 213/1

statements [21] 78/16 88/11 136/24 140/25 142/23 170/11 173/11 174/9 175/1 175/2 175/4 175/7 176/16 177/23 178/1 191/10 192/4 202/22 207/6 211/15 246/24 states [2] 66/17 181/24 stating [1] 125/11 status [5] 124/1 124/5 140/10 181/6 181/12 **Staunton [28]** 115/22 115/23 116/9 116/24 116/25 117/2 117/20 117/21 118/8 119/14 119/20 120/11 122/16 122/24 122/25 123/5 130/9 130/14 132/19 134/4 134/15 135/3 135/6 136/20 138/3 139/5 139/10 149/20 Staunton's [3] **stave [1]** 242/10 stay [4] 123/23 230/12 230/13 230/14 stayed [1] 156/16 staying [1] 122/14 Stein [30] 45/8 45/9 151/19 162/22 163/16 146/10 162/12 162/24 163/24 166/20 224/19 224/24 stringent [1] 142/16 225/4 226/2 231/17 232/13 232/14 233/10 139/14 233/23 234/9 239/2 240/18 241/11 242/13 structure [13] 5/2 242/17 245/18 246/1 246/18 248/6 248/18 249/7 stenographer [1] 70/8 step [2] 113/17 129/21 steps [4] 26/17 102/18 103/22 105/13 166/24 216/17 231/20 structuring [1] 229/8 still [37] 6/25 7/25 31/19 37/7 44/6 45/18 struggling [2] 26/18 48/20 69/21 69/21 71/17 72/19 76/1 76/2 study [1] 228/20 87/13 88/8 98/13 99/10 102/15 117/8 162/1 172/21 176/18 178/21 196/22 197/13 subbing [1] 241/21 210/17 215/9 229/11 229/19 233/24 stop [2] 149/16 222/15

stopped [2] 201/10 201/14 stopping [1] 122/8 stories [1] 227/22 story [3] 114/6 135/18 135/21 straight [2] 49/11 232/16 straightforward [4] 23/5 27/16 37/1 44/24 strategic [12] 40/1 72/19 85/12 140/7 140/8 140/23 142/12 143/16 155/25 157/7 157/13 157/19 strategically [1] 181/3 strategy [21] 3/14 45/17 60/11 92/5 93/5 99/6 142/20 152/8 152/13 152/17 153/17 153/19 155/2 155/17 155/24 156/3 158/2 158/3 158/8 158/10 158/12 strategy-wise [1] 45/17 strategy/policy [1] 152/17 stream [1] 145/22 street [3] 75/21 76/13 76/17 **streets** [1] 57/21 **strength** [1] 137/7 stress [2] 145/7 **strong [3]** 44/7 139/5 stronger [1] 64/6 7/15 7/22 41/2 41/8 43/20 55/14 61/3 65/16 68/17 69/22 231/9 231/13 structure/governanc e [1] 41/2 structured [3] 146/15 62/23 229/9 231/7 **struggled [3]** 213/15 229/20 231/4 240/9 **sub [2]** 107/17 142/15 sub-bullet [2] 107/17 142/15 **subject [4]** 26/13 158/22 197/25 217/12 104/20 105/7 111/21 submission [21] 13/1 successfully [1] 13/4 14/9 15/6 15/12

15/16 15/19 15/22 18/16 19/3 133/1 134/10 134/14 134/20 134/22 134/25 136/5 136/9 137/8 137/12 155/13 submissions [6] 13/1 27/12 51/12 51/13 166/2 231/21 submit [1] 48/23 submitted [1] 177/11 subpostmaster [20] 53/20 74/4 108/14 139/6 139/15 163/3 164/8 179/12 180/16 186/7 188/5 188/11 189/7 215/13 227/7 227/9 234/7 235/9 235/22 240/2 subpostmaster's [2] 186/4 187/8 subpostmasters [56] 5/7 45/11 45/15 45/19 53/14 53/21 60/1 66/25 71/5 74/5 98/6 134/3 139/10 139/17 153/3 162/18 163/14 163/20 163/22 164/2 164/4 164/14 175/14 176/13 177/19 178/8 178/17 179/8 184/19 185/2 185/6 185/20 188/22 193/12 198/10 200/13 206/13 219/23 221/17 222/10 226/10 226/14 226/16 227/1 233/14 234/6 235/6 235/17 238/5 238/8 238/12 238/17 238/23 239/9 239/19 240/1 subpostmasters' [1] 238/7 subsequent [3] 20/25 143/2 200/2 subsequently [2] 117/21 134/8 subservient [1] subsidise [1] 147/1 **substance [3]** 104/18 137/11 191/22 substantial [5] 30/22 82/19 176/19 176/21 176/23 substantially [1] 100/13 substantive [3] 2/7 143/3 176/25 success [4] 62/16 77/8 142/2 142/8 successful [3] 120/20

216/14 surveyed [1] 178/17 42/1 42/3 46/14 48/16 93/19 93/22 94/25 summer [2] 30/18 survivors [1] 4/24 48/21 62/11 70/9 133/14 179/9 190/24 such [28] 5/20 27/14 suspect [6] 90/7 91/7 70/13 70/15 70/18 191/16 193/6 203/6 207/4 29/18 32/19 42/12 119/22 120/5 127/18 75/15 86/23 88/25 203/14 207/13 215/22 summer' [1] 30/23 46/2 47/22 53/19 89/2 91/7 103/7 216/11 217/10 217/15 **Summit [1]** 46/13 167/17 55/12 58/17 67/25 sums [4] 15/7 18/15 suspected [1] 214/20 109/25 114/9 115/7 217/16 217/16 217/20 68/1 68/4 68/11 101/2 124/6 229/12 suspension [1] 47/24 119/9 121/16 125/9 218/12 228/19 245/16 107/21 109/4 196/7 Sunak [1] 80/24 **suspicious** [1] 199/2 125/25 132/18 138/21 245/24 246/7 199/25 200/5 209/10 **Sunday [2]** 65/6 sustainability [1] 146/6 149/2 149/11 teams [10] 66/22 217/19 233/4 238/24 119/15 42/13 149/17 151/21 157/8 91/3 91/4 92/10 92/14 239/16 241/9 243/5 super [1] 182/16 sustainable [2] 41/9 161/2 166/23 168/7 94/6 94/10 194/6 243/20 suppliers [1] 181/15 41/22 172/19 189/2 189/15 242/19 242/19 sudden [2] 40/14 supply [8] 179/12 swift [2] 13/5 220/4 192/13 193/22 195/10 technical [3] 181/23 40/20 182/10 189/12 196/24 swiftly [2] 24/4 99/15 198/9 202/12 202/17 184/13 199/21 suddenly [2] 112/24 201/25 207/5 212/17 **Swiss [2]** 121/16 204/16 206/3 222/11 technically [2] 23/1 199/1 235/5 121/16 222/12 225/16 228/9 182/3 sue [1] 242/5 support [35] 9/6 10/5 switch [2] 129/1 230/2 232/11 232/22 technology [2] 43/11 **suffered [2]** 74/5 63/11 66/16 133/24 168/8 take' [1] 125/20 143/18 226/19 sworn [6] 1/19 78/9 163/4 170/23 173/5 taken [20] 27/10 tell [13] 50/16 64/19 sufficiency [1] 42/13 176/10 176/14 192/18 174/3 248/2 248/14 38/12 51/8 53/24 58/9 81/23 95/3 99/12 sufficient [7] 8/8 12/7 108/24 118/16 123/12 192/20 195/5 207/8 249/1 105/13 121/12 141/6 47/16 47/19 113/21 207/11 210/10 211/5 137/13 143/16 148/23 141/16 145/1 173/14 system [88] 25/14 185/22 196/7 33/11 33/23 34/25 211/13 213/10 214/23 153/18 186/16 192/20 187/16 244/19 sufficiently [2] 185/5 217/6 217/9 217/16 36/15 37/11 37/21 213/10 214/16 214/16 telling [2] 50/12 202/21 227/12 233/18 234/13 244/16 217/20 227/11 235/16 38/14 39/4 40/18 suggest [2] 75/6 90/1 235/20 236/9 236/19 49/21 54/13 57/6 takes [4] 113/18 tells [1] 237/5 **suggested [9]** 58/11 238/18 238/25 239/4 113/23 154/18 207/18 template [2] 216/7 57/11 57/12 82/11 67/1 86/9 95/14 239/15 239/19 241/19 taking [19] 1/9 55/21 | 216/9 115/2 137/19 137/19 116/18 205/18 205/24 supporter [2] 139/5 76/7 77/18 85/7 87/15 temporary [1] 68/5 144/18 150/18 150/24 220/19 220/21 139/14 151/9 161/1 167/1 91/13 110/5 113/4 ten [1] 216/17 suggesting [2] 10/9 113/10 152/17 158/4 supporting [15] 167/12 178/18 179/20 tend [6] 87/20 110/7 241/25 142/4 144/18 194/4 180/3 180/12 181/1 170/7 173/9 192/3 111/1 131/23 140/25 **suggestion** [7] 59/11 221/13 231/20 231/24 194/6 194/14 194/22 181/16 181/22 182/1 166/17 134/7 159/10 239/6 195/2 196/16 206/16 182/4 182/7 183/5 236/16 tended [1] 117/15 239/14 241/12 244/3 talk [13] 25/3 36/23 206/17 206/23 238/22 183/17 183/20 184/7 tends [1] 85/22 suggestions [1] 244/8 245/10 245/15 184/8 184/23 185/10 72/12 73/22 94/21 **Teneo [2]** 40/1 43/5 240/2 supportive [2] 185/21 185/22 186/18 110/1 126/2 137/2 tension [1] 5/18 suggests [3] 92/3 221/1 221/8 224/10 107/19 111/25 187/15 187/16 187/19 tensions [2] 9/2 198/8 229/23 suppose [1] 235/22 187/19 188/5 188/6 245/17 246/7 157/6 suitability [2] 133/4 **supposed [1]** 137/3 188/8 188/17 188/25 talked [6] 5/5 61/22 tenure [2] 60/4 136/15 sure [60] 4/18 11/4 189/3 189/3 189/5 63/15 163/22 229/14 170/23 suitable [2] 185/3 11/10 18/22 25/4 189/15 190/17 191/8 229/14 term [10] 8/24 41/22 241/17 25/12 25/22 31/5 192/8 196/3 196/24 talking [15] 43/23 45/24 60/11 142/10 suited [1] 44/17 31/25 33/5 37/22 197/18 197/24 198/2 57/3 69/24 88/13 90/9 179/10 184/13 206/2 sum [39] 6/14 6/17 41/21 42/2 44/13 57/5 198/11 199/8 199/11 94/1 94/22 97/24 209/25 220/23 9/20 10/21 11/4 11/11 57/11 58/24 62/9 67/9 200/3 200/8 200/19 113/1 120/23 128/6 terminated [1] 12/7 12/15 13/7 13/13 68/15 83/5 85/17 200/24 201/5 201/21 170/7 208/8 211/2 238/13 13/17 13/18 13/20 94/15 96/10 97/6 201/23 202/1 202/8 224/24 termination [1] 47/24 13/22 14/14 14/17 talks [3] 71/22 98/19 103/1 108/6 203/5 203/7 203/13 terms [60] 4/18 6/21 14/23 15/25 17/8 108/25 111/25 114/14 204/8 205/4 212/7 223/14 234/11 7/9 8/13 10/5 14/2 17/14 17/21 18/25 116/3 120/6 120/23 212/11 213/7 237/13 22/10 24/20 27/12 tape [2] 118/13 20/11 21/11 21/22 122/14 123/13 138/13 systems [7] 80/2 27/24 28/2 34/13 118/22 22/3 22/11 23/2 23/11 138/22 139/7 145/7 182/13 182/16 183/25 taxman [1] 186/8 38/22 42/25 43/20 24/20 24/22 25/18 146/21 148/15 148/23 184/2 200/14 212/19 taxpayer [2] 108/7 47/12 47/16 48/4 25/19 26/16 27/14 149/14 159/5 160/14 48/21 50/4 68/22 161/13 49/21 54/5 104/19 Т 160/19 165/7 166/8 72/17 73/21 83/6 90/9 taxpayers [3] 16/18 105/1 166/11 167/21 167/23 **tab [3]** 2/5 78/18 79/2 18/10 18/13 90/10 94/13 99/14 **summarise [2]** 20/1 172/3 172/25 173/1 table [3] 41/20 42/22 taxpayers' [1] 147/1 101/3 120/16 122/13 150/8 182/11 189/14 202/10 216/14 **Taylor [1]** 125/9 123/1 126/1 131/13 summarising [1] 240/19 244/18 tables [1] 223/6 teachings [1] 92/14 138/5 138/11 138/14 91/12 take [64] 4/25 5/7 surprised [5] 96/12 team [34] 89/13 141/11 144/15 145/12 **summary [8]** 13/3 5/11 13/24 15/20 96/16 125/17 154/16 89/16 89/18 90/1 91/8 147/15 147/19 154/4 15/23 118/19 119/6 22/20 26/12 26/20 240/16 91/12 91/18 91/20 154/11 154/13 156/24 130/1 168/20 216/1 27/21 28/4 28/5 34/23 92/23 93/9 93/14 160/3 161/5 161/6 surprising [1] 155/8

terms... [11] 169/3 170/17 170/19 172/9 184/10 194/4 195/12 212/3 222/3 224/25 228/1 terrible [1] 124/16 terrific [1] 66/24 test [1] 212/20 tests [1] 212/18 text [1] 134/22 than [73] 9/16 10/19 11/5 16/8 16/21 19/12 22/6 30/8 35/12 40/18 that I [1] 238/1 46/6 50/20 51/4 53/6 53/21 54/23 57/15 63/12 64/20 69/15 82/10 90/14 91/8 92/5 94/11 96/15 97/2 97/6 98/19 100/18 103/15 103/24 108/15 108/19 109/1 112/9 114/18 121/17 124/6 131/11 132/16 134/17 139/2 144/14 147/6 148/1 150/6 151/4 152/16 153/16 156/10 157/25 162/9 164/17 169/21 170/25 173/24 176/12 181/4 191/18 214/5 229/10 229/12 236/8 236/20 237/4 237/5 238/11 239/22 245/19 245/22 245/25 246/4 thank [121] 1/21 1/24 2/5 2/8 2/14 3/5 7/5 12/23 15/1 15/3 15/17 19/2 20/15 21/4 23/23 24/20 27/10 28/10 30/3 30/5 33/10 39/22 41/24 42/10 45/2 59/18 59/19 59/24 60/21 64/7 70/5 70/6 70/21 70/25 73/22 75/2 77/17 77/19 77/21 78/2 78/2 78/7 78/15 78/24 79/2 79/10 79/17 80/13 81/16 89/10 95/1 96/20 98/21 104/9 106/22 106/25 115/12 115/13 115/18 115/21 127/25 128/14 129/12 136/4 139/21 141/19 144/25 147/19 151/13 151/15 151/18 152/5 152/6 162/14 162/15 164/18 168/1 168/2 168/13 171/1 173/6 173/7 173/9 173/10 173/12 173/17 173/24 173/25 174/5 175/7 175/24 175/25 177/13

178/4 183/12 184/3 189/18 193/23 204/12 209/17 211/16 212/24 213/2 216/4 216/6 224/16 225/19 226/1 226/8 231/11 231/14 232/11 232/15 234/20 241/11 245/6 246/17 246/19 246/22 246/25 247/1 thanked [1] 51/6 **Thanks [1]** 30/4 that [1511] that's [144] 1/7 2/8 2/10 2/19 2/22 5/2 7/3 8/10 9/15 10/9 15/14 15/14 17/7 21/5 21/6 25/12 26/5 26/15 28/12 31/6 33/15 38/1 42/23 43/5 46/9 47/6 53/4 54/1 54/3 56/1 56/10 57/16 58/19 61/3 62/5 64/17 67/3 70/14 75/8 75/18 75/23 77/4 79/4 79/7 79/9 79/9 80/8 81/1 81/21 83/9 84/1 85/21 87/20 90/13 90/19 90/21 92/2 93/6 94/12 99/16 102/6 104/10 106/2 106/16 107/23 108/8 108/24 110/12 110/20 111/24 112/9 114/10 114/11 120/8 120/24 123/4 123/5 123/20 124/8 124/20 125/12 126/10 127/1 128/16 128/19 132/24 134/12 139/10 139/16 91/14 91/14 94/10 139/19 140/8 143/12 146/23 147/4 147/13 147/14 150/11 152/11 155/8 156/23 157/4 157/21 157/24 158/2 159/8 160/10 161/16 167/13 168/24 169/19 140/24 141/1 143/5 173/4 174/25 175/25 178/16 185/12 186/5 188/14 189/5 189/6 193/10 198/10 199/14 201/19 202/4 208/20 209/3 209/14 222/2 223/12 228/7 228/11 232/4 232/17 234/2 236/1 240/10 241/23 242/11 242/18 242/22 244/21 246/11 246/15 theme [2] 177/24 246/15 theft [3] 214/18 233/18 234/13 their [102] 16/14 21/9 21/12 22/15 25/11 25/17 28/16 28/24

29/12 36/5 36/17 36/17 36/18 37/14 37/22 38/14 38/16 39/1 39/5 39/8 47/20 47/21 48/1 52/25 55/11 57/22 57/23 57/23 57/23 57/24 58/3 58/4 59/16 66/21 71/6 75/7 75/23 98/7 98/11 98/18 104/24 108/25 112/2 114/22 136/18 152/16 153/16 156/5 161/21 162/19 163/7 163/23 164/4 164/5 165/6 174/11 179/16 181/15 181/16 181/17 185/2 185/6 186/16 186/17 192/6 193/14 193/25 194/4 194/23 196/17 196/19 200/10 207/9 207/15 208/2 211/5 212/18 215/14 215/23 226/11 226/14 226/20 227/6 227/12 227/13 227/15 227/17 227/18 227/22 228/3 228/3 228/14 232/24 238/5 238/5 238/6 238/6 238/10 238/10 238/24 239/10 239/10 them [75] 3/1 7/4 14/16 17/25 18/8 27/20 31/16 38/4 38/24 44/20 45/7 45/12 47/1 51/23 52/25 53/13 54/11 63/12 69/22 76/23 78/16 83/6 85/20 97/11 97/22 98/3 103/16 108/19 112/2 112/4 117/14 121/12 131/6 133/5 133/8 135/17 136/2 137/16 138/22 140/13 140/14 151/14 151/17 160/3 160/21 161/19 162/9 173/15 174/12 185/14 192/10 192/13 192/24 198/16 206/23 208/17 212/10 227/5 227/12 227/18 227/22 227/23 228/9 228/25 229/9 237/23 238/8 245/18 245/21 230/8 themes [1] 232/1 themselves [12] 6/19 27/4 44/19 57/8 63/22 114/21 167/24 200/18

201/19 229/8 235/6

238/12 then [104] 8/18 10/7 11/16 21/21 25/7 30/6 33/16 34/6 34/9 38/5 39/7 41/13 43/18 49/13 54/24 66/23 67/23 69/9 73/8 79/21 80/2 80/4 80/9 80/14 80/21 81/10 81/17 81/22 84/16 84/17 86/14 88/16 88/23 91/6 98/1 98/3 98/16 98/22 99/23 99/25 101/11 104/17 104/25 105/8 105/19 109/9 111/11 118/19 119/18 120/2 124/18 125/7 127/9 129/8 130/23 131/3 133/5 135/4 135/17 136/13 141/23 142/3 142/7 142/11 145/1 147/19 148/4 149/21 150/21 151/6 152/14 152/19 152/20 153/6 153/7 153/9 153/12 160/4 167/22 168/7 168/7 168/17 168/23 175/24 178/4 183/12 188/16 190/24 192/12 192/24 193/18 195/7 196/1 198/16 199/1 203/2 204/3 204/10 205/5 209/18 226/2 226/19 236/18 238/14 theory [1] 112/1 there [268] There'd [1] 151/24 there's [63] 8/6 18/3 18/22 20/7 21/4 22/21 26/19 28/19 36/21 37/7 43/16 44/5 52/21 53/4 55/2 55/18 56/18 59/15 60/6 60/9 60/12 60/13 60/15 63/18 63/25 64/25 65/18 65/21 71/25 73/10 73/17 79/5 84/9 93/14 98/13 99/13 101/11 114/15 125/8 127/1 130/19 131/13 132/8 137/2 139/11 146/22 151/6 154/18 155/13 169/15 170/24 171/4 183/1 187/10 196/17 198/20 198/21 207/12 225/12 234/9 236/8 241/10 242/20 thereafter [1] 102/9 therefore [15] 16/12 28/14 37/8 39/6 67/18 81/20 100/7 113/23 118/22 129/4 169/20 194/12 207/19 232/22

these [66] 6/24 19/11 20/7 22/23 28/21 32/8 34/14 36/11 37/2 44/8 48/20 49/5 51/18 52/2 52/24 53/10 54/19 54/22 57/22 60/18 62/8 62/11 65/11 66/1 66/8 68/20 68/25 77/11 77/14 84/6 84/7 98/2 100/13 100/19 122/3 129/1 131/8 149/15 150/1 156/17 157/6 164/7 164/15 166/16 179/14 179/24 182/2 182/25 199/2 204/7 208/10 216/23 217/6 218/8 223/4 223/8 223/15 223/21 238/3 240/5 240/5 241/9 242/1 243/14 243/22 244/12 they [195] 14/19 16/15 17/1 17/2 17/11 17/12 17/17 18/2 18/7 20/12 21/11 22/2 22/10 23/1 23/9 24/22 26/23 28/16 28/25 29/1 29/10 30/2 31/24 32/8 33/18 34/5 34/6 34/6 36/5 37/12 38/4 38/15 38/19 39/5 40/15 40/16 42/6 42/6 43/15 44/13 44/20 53/13 53/20 55/8 55/9 55/20 58/2 59/16 59/16 61/25 62/10 69/21 69/21 69/22 72/4 73/20 74/6 75/15 75/21 75/22 76/2 79/1 83/2 83/4 83/23 87/18 90/4 90/7 90/8 92/12 93/9 97/10 97/11 98/9 99/12 99/21 100/18 104/15 109/2 109/8 109/10 109/14 109/15 114/23 114/24 117/15 119/24 120/22 120/24 128/21 129/1 129/2 129/20 131/7 131/22 132/14 133/5 134/10 136/17 137/6 137/21 137/25 143/6 144/4 144/4 144/6 146/7 146/13 147/13 148/22 148/24 152/21 159/12 159/15 159/19 159/22 159/23 160/8 160/11 160/11 160/15 160/19 160/20 160/22 160/25 161/1 161/2 161/3 161/9 161/11 161/17 161/20 162/3 163/6 164/25 165/1 175/6

119/18 120/17 124/8 166/17 171/13 172/9 tick [2] 213/23 215/7 131/4 172/20 179/24 172/18 174/23 174/24 ticked [2] 97/7 125/17 126/15 127/10 184/18 190/16 203/12 they... [58] 176/15 130/3 131/24 132/11 175/2 175/4 175/7 114/15 203/14 176/23 176/23 176/23 time [69] 24/18 30/8 137/25 138/9 140/22 176/6 178/3 178/13 tomorrow [7] 220/7 176/25 177/11 177/25 141/3 148/19 148/24 178/14 179/2 179/7 33/24 36/11 44/10 224/14 224/21 246/9 178/3 179/2 179/15 149/7 149/11 149/15 180/4 180/13 180/14 47/4 51/8 56/19 70/17 246/11 246/12 246/21 179/20 181/17 184/18 150/1 150/16 156/13 182/6 182/16 182/21 77/18 82/3 83/5 91/3 tone [1] 211/13 186/18 190/12 190/15 too [26] 10/14 25/13 156/19 161/14 165/4 182/21 184/2 186/9 92/18 94/23 95/12 190/16 192/2 192/9 166/2 166/16 166/17 186/25 188/13 190/5 96/20 96/25 97/18 61/19 61/20 61/20 197/17 198/10 198/11 168/21 172/2 179/17 190/24 197/13 198/14 97/20 100/5 101/12 66/4 92/19 95/12 198/17 200/15 200/18 179/25 180/13 186/25 200/23 203/8 206/18 119/12 119/21 120/9 95/22 97/8 97/18 201/21 203/8 203/12 197/3 197/6 197/6 208/14 208/19 208/20 121/1 121/19 122/19 97/20 103/21 110/3 206/20 207/6 209/1 200/23 201/24 206/3 210/17 211/11 217/10 130/15 137/5 137/5 113/8 115/2 115/3 209/3 209/4 211/6 213/18 221/11 224/8 220/12 223/22 224/21 138/21 148/12 149/2 130/16 131/13 131/16 211/12 215/1 217/11 229/4 229/6 229/12 229/4 229/6 231/2 151/17 151/20 153/5 131/18 131/18 151/5 217/21 221/18 223/17 155/14 157/15 157/15 176/11 206/7 220/24 237/22 239/5 240/5 238/16 240/8 240/17 223/18 227/12 227/21 157/16 160/20 163/18 took [7] 12/24 80/18 240/6 243/8 241/8 241/18 243/23 227/23 227/23 227/24 167/6 168/10 170/4 82/8 130/21 153/1 think [276] 244/2 245/18 246/6 227/25 228/3 228/8 thinking [8] 32/10 173/10 173/16 175/16 153/12 165/3 though [9] 31/20 229/1 229/22 233/17 37/11 73/19 74/15 181/12 183/19 197/14 top [10] 21/11 43/24 42/19 44/11 72/18 234/11 237/2 237/3 72/22 73/20 130/16 74/23 147/13 155/18 198/6 201/19 203/10 111/16 127/12 128/1 238/8 239/24 245/8 201/16 229/20 129/8 147/23 191/24 154/2 211/8 211/20 211/21 they'd [2] 38/24 thinks [5] 85/25 thought [14] 28/9 211/24 212/11 219/15 203/2 231/12 227/22 113/22 124/21 126/3 28/25 31/2 35/18 224/25 229/22 229/25 topic [17] 33/10 they'll [1] 236/24 126/22 40/17 43/22 60/2 232/6 232/7 233/19 39/22 71/11 73/22 they're [16] 24/23 third [18] 5/24 99/25 98/17 127/8 157/24 243/25 246/18 95/2 176/13 195/7 37/22 58/1 76/4 92/21 124/13 124/17 129/13 176/17 221/1 230/8 214/4 216/20 218/16 timeframe [1] 30/25 114/3 125/10 131/22 timeframe' [1] 30/20 174/10 174/16 176/15 235/24 224/7 224/22 226/12 138/13 157/5 158/22 177/17 179/18 182/14 thoughtful [3] 68/14 timeline [3] 45/21 229/13 229/14 230/8 160/7 163/3 198/12 183/25 189/5 193/3 46/1 46/2 237/10 69/1 75/18 227/10 237/5 194/8 197/25 199/12 | thoughts [1] 231/23 timely [1] 105/6 topics [8] 71/8 72/18 they've [10] 25/6 times [9] 23/14 108/8 162/21 176/8 197/5 201/3 threatening [1] 58/3 89/22 160/5 119/15 121/19 130/2 third-party [1] 183/25 110/21 230/25 244/2 245/18 161/21 188/22 224/22 159/21 168/20 222/13 total [3] 19/18 52/16 three [24] 1/13 29/5 this [474] 227/17 237/1 237/2 Thomas [6] 4/21 46/16 49/17 75/14 233/25 223/3 thing [38] 5/16 52/1 30/15 30/21 44/1 46/4 99/23 113/18 132/24 timescale [1] 18/1 totally [1] 146/14 62/20 64/12 68/9 83/9 50/25 141/23 142/12 148/4 timetable [2] 56/20 touch [5] 4/16 37/13 93/1 96/10 97/4 103/4 Thomas' [2] 15/13 153/24 157/11 176/22 229/24 121/9 122/14 192/2 103/5 103/14 103/15 31/17 177/5 191/9 211/25 timing [3] 13/5 20/19 touched [1] 107/5 103/16 103/19 103/20 **Thornton [2]** 61/10 212/21 218/6 218/15 45/21 touches [1] 201/25 113/2 113/3 114/23 156/5 228/11 228/23 228/24 title [2] 153/16 tough [2] 26/25 126/1 124/8 135/14 135/15 Thornton's [1] 60/5 230/11 217/16 towards [12] 9/20 143/12 144/9 147/20 those [127] 11/21 three/four [1] 218/15 today [35] 15/3 32/21 24/18 30/17 55/9 148/22 149/5 149/7 12/6 12/11 14/2 14/14 threshold [4] 22/22 36/4 39/22 50/19 123/5 124/19 159/2 149/19 161/15 163/15 16/9 21/10 21/12 23/8 22/23 32/22 52/5 53/16 55/12 56/18 222/5 229/24 242/9 164/21 164/21 165/15 23/17 24/21 25/18 thresholds [1] 51/20 121/22 148/1 163/12 242/14 243/21 198/15 234/2 234/3 25/19 26/22 27/2 through [49] 11/24 175/11 180/11 184/8 towns [1] 57/21 236/6 27/24 31/20 31/23 17/20 18/2 18/4 20/7 185/10 197/22 199/14 track [1] 118/13 things [100] 26/5 32/3 33/2 33/20 33/22 20/16 25/7 35/12 218/17 220/16 221/10 tracker [2] 215/25 46/15 47/1 47/2 60/25 34/4 35/18 37/9 37/24 38/10 38/14 49/18 222/12 224/11 224/21 216/14 61/5 61/18 62/8 65/2 38/2 38/6 39/10 39/14 51/12 53/25 54/8 227/2 227/14 230/6 trade [20] 2/18 3/12 65/12 66/1 66/9 71/22 39/21 40/2 40/8 41/13 57/17 59/17 67/3 72/4 230/16 230/18 233/25 3/17 3/19 4/12 5/13 73/15 75/12 75/22 51/23 52/4 52/12 73/4 73/4 92/14 98/5 236/1 236/11 239/16 9/8 46/12 71/22 81/6 76/15 77/11 83/1 52/18 52/22 53/2 53/7 98/14 101/21 102/1 246/9 246/12 246/22 81/7 81/12 85/3 85/7 87/20 87/22 87/23 55/24 58/16 61/25 111/6 117/8 120/11 87/14 88/14 117/9 today's [2] 45/16 88/6 90/19 91/24 64/4 67/20 70/9 74/19 120/21 123/23 126/8 200/22 149/4 221/4 221/5 92/13 92/20 94/14 74/23 75/7 76/19 77/3 129/25 149/9 153/12 together [12] 6/16 traditional [3] 33/20 95/9 97/6 97/9 97/10 77/13 84/22 90/20 168/19 182/20 188/23 62/8 67/19 68/10 34/3 43/12 99/10 102/15 102/20 97/15 117/18 137/24 193/22 198/21 203/3 92/15 94/24 135/1 traffic [1] 201/15 102/25 103/6 103/7 trail [2] 149/9 149/23 140/22 142/12 148/16 214/3 216/13 220/13 152/18 205/2 232/20 107/5 107/10 109/11 148/24 149/2 149/2 220/14 227/24 228/17 235/19 237/7 training [4] 2/25 3/1 110/3 111/8 112/17 149/11 151/13 155/5 230/1 232/20 240/7 Tokyo [1] 219/17 3/3 120/16 113/7 113/25 114/14 transaction [2] 183/6 156/19 161/14 163/17 throws [1] 157/2 told [13] 34/11 83/1 114/17 114/24 115/5 164/23 166/1 166/2 95/7 96/24 98/1 98/12 199/9 Thursday [1] 245/21

187/4 187/4 189/11

unsatisfactory [1]

unstable [1] 123/19

unsuitable [2] 116/9

untenable [1] 130/17

until [29] 13/22 36/12

unsure [1] 241/14

48/24 48/24 55/10

80/15 80/21 81/2

81/10 81/14 83/12

95/15 96/1 102/17

109/1 130/9 137/8

139/1 144/22 154/25

155/19 160/12 230/17

230/20 243/4 244/10

244/14 244/16 247/3

unworthy [1] 62/24

up [97] 3/5 5/23 6/10

23/10 24/21 29/1 32/4

32/13 35/11 37/5 41/1

53/4 56/20 57/19 60/7

61/9 67/8 68/23 71/12

75/22 80/18 81/4 82/8

83/11 83/16 85/3 86/4

87/23 89/9 89/13 95/4

106/18 107/6 107/10

118/23 127/13 128/3

129/4 129/13 130/2

136/9 141/7 142/1

132/20 134/19 136/5

145/3 147/18 148/23

155/13 157/2 157/6

148/24 151/22 153/13

168/21 174/13 176/15

178/5 185/20 187/13

191/24 197/5 200/11

200/17 201/2 206/19

213/17 216/3 216/10

222/7 232/11 236/18

update [6] 36/7 44/15

44/25 107/22 136/23

117/15 215/25 216/16

updated [8] 44/11

83/22 83/24 84/6

updates [5] 85/24

143/7 228/14 229/25

184/10

217/1

unreliability [3]

216/19 217/24 218/18

107/14 111/18 114/18

19/8 21/11 22/20

47/11 50/11 52/25

71/20 74/10 74/17

98/4 100/8 105/15

unvarnished [2]

131/21 132/16

203/13

158/4

135/8

unreliable [5] 177/15

177/20 190/17 197/19

139/8 175/4 244/21 types [1] 211/11 underpins [1] 77/14 typo [3] 83/19 83/21 truly [1] 164/23 underspend [1] 11/7 transactions [6] trust [14] 8/14 41/16 83/24 understand [32] 5/16 179/4 179/5 179/6 43/1 63/14 66/24 5/19 7/19 11/18 12/19 180/5 180/6 189/7 103/21 110/8 114/21 18/19 24/14 29/2 transcript [10] 60/7 UCES [1] 122/1 114/22 124/15 205/21 33/23 43/22 47/11 67/3 67/4 67/9 78/18 UK [7] 20/6 64/21 237/8 237/10 245/11 49/8 50/15 58/22 118/5 118/9 118/23 65/13 122/2 220/2 trusted [1] 217/21 58/25 81/15 91/19 227/4 239/7 239/5 239/6 truth [2] 132/16 92/1 120/9 141/9 transcription [1] UK's [1] 61/13 174/11 154/8 177/3 184/4 118/7 **UKEF [1]** 122/2 try [12] 55/25 99/6 184/8 196/10 203/25 transcripts [1] 101/25 103/12 111/12 **UKGI [36]** 60/16 208/7 219/11 230/23 119/16 64/17 89/14 91/24 111/22 112/20 112/20 230/24 237/18 243/23 transform [2] 145/10 179/9 209/11 233/19 92/3 93/3 93/6 93/22 understandably [2] 145/13 94/7 94/9 120/22 181/2 181/18 233/19 transformation [9] trying [32] 6/16 18/2 120/23 121/11 121/12 understanding [21] 50/8 61/4 62/5 142/4 123/20 125/14 125/23 31/5 49/12 68/22 90/7 26/8 55/17 56/15 146/3 146/17 147/15 91/7 91/9 91/19 94/15 126/9 126/20 126/23 60/13 71/18 72/14 150/7 154/1 98/4 102/13 109/13 127/2 129/20 129/22 82/6 133/15 149/13 transition [2] 57/6 129/24 130/7 130/13 115/3 117/8 120/16 184/15 185/9 192/6 210/3 130/22 130/24 130/25 122/15 125/16 127/3 195/2 201/11 201/12 transparency [4] 131/3 131/10 132/15 127/10 128/17 137/21 201/13 207/18 212/6 64/13 198/24 206/7 133/2 134/24 136/12 138/16 138/18 144/4 215/9 215/13 228/7 232/9 168/17 165/7 169/11 197/3 understood [2] 83/15 unwelcome [1] 56/24 transparent [4] 68/11 ultimate [3] 5/7 105/6 197/8 235/3 235/10 148/15 197/7 197/8 204/19 169/18 240/23 undertake [1] 100/11 transparently [1] ultimately [4] 4/12 undertaken [2] 12/16 | 7/1 12/23 14/25 15/20 Tuesday [2] 127/15 204/14 5/11 10/24 18/13 129/5 199/25 transpire [1] 198/20 unable [2] 129/2 turn [28] 2/7 16/4 undertaking [1] trap [2] 52/21 53/4 20/15 25/24 45/4 216/7 193/25 trauma [4] 163/6 unacceptable [1] 54/24 60/6 67/8 79/2 unexplained [6] 163/18 164/13 228/4 205/19 81/22 95/1 95/4 178/22 179/1 179/3 travel [1] 73/16 unclear [3] 125/14 105/15 115/21 127/11 179/4 180/5 180/5 travelling [1] 117/10 151/20 229/12 127/13 129/4 141/7 unfair [2] 23/25 Treasury [36] 8/22 unconscionable [1] 178/6 178/15 180/19 138/7 9/3 9/7 9/18 10/4 10/5 31/11 183/12 205/5 215/20 unfairly [1] 138/22 10/15 10/18 10/22 216/25 237/18 237/22 unconscious [1] unfortunate [2] 53/17 11/9 12/5 12/10 13/23 60/12 238/1 205/8 14/3 14/4 14/6 80/22 uncovered [1] turned [4] 61/17 unfortunately [2] 96/2 96/18 98/2 195/23 112/24 181/20 237/15 29/20 216/6 101/21 105/17 109/5 under [36] 3/24 6/15 turning [4] 128/3 unified [1] 60/10 109/7 111/4 111/4 6/22 10/24 17/17 129/13 141/3 145/2 unions [1] 68/1 111/4 111/25 112/5 19/20 19/23 25/16 Tussell [1] 223/2 unique [4] 2/15 15/17 112/7 134/12 150/2 26/8 37/7 38/8 52/9 two [54] 1/13 2/5 35/16 35/24 150/2 156/10 165/19 52/11 54/2 56/2 56/9 6/11 7/6 18/20 26/1 Unit [2] 217/17 172/5 60/4 72/20 80/19 29/14 33/19 38/12 217/20 Treasury's [1] 85/11 92/3 100/12 41/24 48/13 54/15 Unite [1] 68/1 112/13 100/12 132/22 134/18 United [2] 39/2 55/7 71/7 72/18 75/14 treat [1] 123/23 142/11 142/14 142/15 243/13 78/15 82/3 84/16 treated [3] 138/13 151/18 152/13 153/24 universal [3] 145/20 84/17 84/17 84/18 138/16 150/19 157/22 158/17 183/15 146/20 154/5 86/7 86/25 87/1 87/15 treating [1] 62/23 211/1 223/9 94/14 101/19 124/12 unkind [1] 109/20 treatment [2] 19/15 124/21 125/3 147/21 under-claimed [1] unknown [2] 183/22 104/23 19/23 152/2 152/9 153/2 184/1 tremendous [1] 44/6 162/21 173/10 175/12 Under-Secretary [2] unknowns [1] 183/22 239/22 240/5 **Tribunal** [1] 138/8 183/8 188/11 188/13 3/24 80/19 unless [5] 45/4 51/10 tried [4] 7/1 35/15 193/22 199/2 208/1 under-settlements 51/17 112/7 117/14 197/4 208/16 210/2 211/25 214/13 **[1]** 19/20 unlikely [1] 19/17 trilateral [1] 191/1 underlines [1] 49/8 215/1 223/4 224/18 unlock [1] 60/9 triumph [1] 104/2 225/13 225/16 228/23 underlying [2] 12/25 unpack [1] 152/24 trouble [4] 70/8 15/22 230/10 unpaid [1] 100/2 98/20 101/20 110/6 underpin [1] 145/16 unquestionably [1] two-page [1] 152/2 true [8] 2/11 78/25 underpinning [1] type [4] 42/16 138/8 202/16

181/7

238/25 241/19

79/14 130/22 137/6

(103) transactions - updates

updates... [1] 231/15 updating [1] 181/24 upfront [1] 19/23 upgraded [1] 237/23 uploaded [1] 2/16 **upon [6]** 16/14 28/15 68/3 168/6 177/9 238/4 urge [2] 168/6 173/15 urgency [2] 113/14 113/15 urgent [3] 46/14 49/17 101/14 **urgently [1]** 141/3 **URN [1]** 78/19 us [56] 2/23 10/7 37/10 37/13 42/18 46/1 47/3 72/13 78/6 81/10 81/23 95/3 113/14 115/17 119/4 129/17 135/2 135/21 139/11 140/10 141/6 144/6 145/1 148/1 152/24 153/23 164/15 119/3 173/5 176/6 185/11 190/12 196/21 196/22 213/16 197/13 199/1 199/2 202/5 210/11 210/19 223/7 224/17 229/6 229/8 230/22 237/18 239/4 use [15] 92/25 94/2 185/20 188/20 194/2 210/7 212/24 213/19 217/2 219/12 234/15 236/5 **used [15]** 55/19 109/9 179/11 191/6 194/20 195/13 206/3 213/14 213/20 213/24 214/5 214/23 217/9 220/23 234/5 useful [1] 93/1 user [3] 188/19 188/24 189/2 users [1] 182/2 uses [2] 130/19 182/13 using [10] 162/2 200/15 208/10 216/8 233/17 237/3 237/5 usual [6] 61/19 112/2 119/8 120/10 205/16 217/7 **usually [3]** 35/12 43/15 85/23

vaguely [1] 82/12 validity [1] 184/2 valuable [1] 28/25 value [26] 16/16 16/18 18/9 19/5 19/13 20/2 21/15 98/2 101/5 101/8 108/7 108/9 108/12 108/21 110/16 110/17 111/23 112/8 112/24 114/15 142/17 150/3 165/18 166/8 172/1 246/8 valuing [1] 63/1 vanilla [7] 131/11 131/13 131/16 132/1 132/17 136/22 136/23 21/21 24/9 33/14 36/7 various [5] 23/14 67/7 93/21 181/24 223/7 vast [1] 178/16 100/22 105/13 111/25 vehicle [5] 34/19 35/5 39/21 76/22 241/17 vein [1] 157/5 verbatim [2] 118/16 verify [2] 213/12 version [6] 83/22 132/1 132/17 141/8 213/15 216/15 219/11 141/9 217/11 versions [1] 212/14 versus [3] 89/21 108/5 108/16 very [168] 1/21 1/24 111/11 175/13 184/24 2/14 4/3 4/20 5/2 6/4 8/10 15/1 19/7 22/1 24/18 24/19 27/1 27/25 28/1 28/6 28/9 28/10 30/5 34/12 35/15 35/20 41/7 41/25 42/10 43/13 45/2 45/23 46/10 50/9 50/15 52/4 52/10 53/7 54/19 57/1 57/1 58/10 58/20 69/18 70/5 70/21 72/19 74/18 75/20 75/20 77/17 77/18 78/2 78/7 78/24 79/2 79/10 79/17 94/20 96/19 107/12 109/22 109/25 110/2 111/3 112/2 112/19 114/3 115/1 115/12 216/13 232/25 233/13 117/12 118/12 120/6 120/8 120/19 122/6 122/9 123/10 123/10 123/18 123/19 124/1 125/15 125/25 129/16 130/8 131/14 132/4 132/13 138/25 141/2 141/11 143/14 144/22

145/15 145/16 145/21 volumes [1] 8/6 146/3 147/11 147/20 151/14 155/3 155/3 155/5 158/8 161/24 163/1 165/16 165/25 166/23 168/9 168/13 169/14 170/2 170/8 170/9 170/18 171/13 173/6 173/25 174/5 175/7 175/24 176/1 180/1 180/1 184/3 187/2 187/2 188/21 189/9 189/16 193/24 197/8 197/8 198/5 199/2 199/2 206/8 206/8 206/13 206/20 206/22 207/24 209/17 211/2 211/14 212/8 212/20 212/20 213/19 213/22 218/9 218/9 218/13 218/13 218/14 218/16 221/1 221/2 224/7 228/1 228/17 228/21 228/25 228/25 229/22 230/22 230/23 231/15 246/17 viability [1] 142/10 viable [2] 156/8 158/13 Vice [1] 175/19 victim [4] 190/20 192/11 193/8 204/10 victims [2] 219/9 220/5 view [53] 17/4 17/8 21/25 24/24 27/12 27/17 32/19 38/7 38/15 52/13 53/10 72/9 98/9 100/19 102/19 103/5 108/18 111/22 113/11 124/16 238/21 125/11 125/12 125/13 wanted [26] 51/3 126/22 126/25 127/6 131/11 131/11 132/15 88/24 89/6 89/7 93/8 134/23 144/14 145/12 146/17 150/8 154/14 156/11 165/12 166/5 169/12 169/14 169/23 118/12 121/5 135/19 170/8 170/13 170/20 176/19 179/13 189/16 165/15 166/6 166/8 198/9 206/1 235/12 235/12 236/16 236/16 75/23 160/19 views [8] 101/7 123/14 130/3 130/4 130/13 168/21 168/22 169/1 villages [1] 57/21 was [421] wash [2] 6/10 35/11 virtue [1] 84/13 wash-up [2] 6/10 visibility [1] 210/6 vision [1] 60/11 35/11 visit [1] 139/9 wasn't [23] 32/1 32/5

visited [1] 143/4

voice [2] 53/16 57/25

vital [1] 194/16

voluntary [1] 223/24 w wait [14] 87/19 87/22 95/15 95/16 102/16 109/1 115/19 160/11 230/20 243/4 244/9 244/9 244/14 244/16 waiting [5] 55/20 56/7 95/19 98/15 230/17 waiving [1] 24/22 Waldon [1] 80/14 walked [3] 56/25 57/16 212/1 Walton [4] 190/2 191/19 217/25 218/5 want [71] 1/14 6/4 8/9 17/15 17/17 18/7 18/14 18/22 18/24 23/20 28/8 28/20 31/7 31/25 32/7 34/24 40/25 40/25 44/18 57/8 57/9 59/1 59/5 68/15 68/18 71/14 73/2 73/16 73/22 76/1 76/2 95/20 98/8 98/9 99/14 114/23 114/23 122/17 122/21 123/13 127/6 127/7 131/20 132/5 135/20 137/3 138/12 140/7 147/20 148/6 148/15 150/17 152/21 161/3 162/21 165/22 166/18 167/23 168/14 171/4 177/4 198/17 212/24 220/25 224/7 226/12 229/11 229/19 231/1 236/5 74/17 87/5 87/17 95/12 95/21 95/22 102/25 108/12 109/24 111/14 113/14 117/18 135/21 140/17 156/7 wanting [3] 16/25 wants [3] 6/19 155/2 243/4 warehouses [1] 200/12

36/12 36/25 37/16

88/19 105/24 109/23

39/15 68/18 72/5

112/18 112/19 113/9 116/5 119/22 119/22 124/3 127/3 131/6 137/20 207/19 228/1 watch [1] 95/21 watching [1] 113/21 way [94] 6/20 7/11 11/7 12/8 14/10 18/22 22/13 23/20 27/14 32/4 32/14 32/19 32/21 35/24 36/24 38/17 41/7 41/20 47/1 47/1 55/15 55/25 57/3 62/19 64/1 64/22 69/4 75/25 76/23 77/4 79/17 82/19 87/3 88/6 89/7 95/11 97/9 97/17 97/19 98/5 98/20 99/14 101/19 102/22 109/16 109/20 109/22 110/3 110/9 110/13 111/5 112/9 114/4 114/5 114/13 114/24 115/24 128/25 130/18 131/2 131/17 131/18 132/11 137/14 140/20 146/15 147/2 150/9 159/2 162/11 167/11 167/23 172/3 172/8 172/18 180/7 184/24 185/1 195/6 197/23 198/1 207/21 217/7 220/13 221/15 229/9 229/10 229/11 229/15 231/5 231/9 236/3 239/14 242/10 ways [5] 6/24 55/8 87/9 100/7 215/10 we [624] we'd [9] 23/7 34/24 36/3 135/22 139/2 140/22 225/5 231/1 240/7 we'll [26] 7/5 7/9 8/17 13/1 14/2 69/8 70/15 77/24 123/23 137/4 137/4 159/12 173/13 182/24 184/4 202/10 207/5 209/18 224/2 225/2 225/17 232/1 241/12 242/1 244/1 246/21 we're [46] 10/13 15/15 20/22 25/21 41/7 43/13 46/18 47/2 48/7 51/7 51/9 57/3 64/18 68/22 77/15 81/15 91/2 94/21 107/10 108/6 115/3 124/18 124/19 128/1 132/24 137/3 138/16 138/18 139/19 145/8 151/17 158/6 167/14 169/12 172/10 172/11

W we're... [10] 172/13 173/25 182/18 184/18 201/22 202/7 218/8 226/1 229/22 240/9 we've [60] 5/5 6/7 7/1 8/20 10/8 11/13 11/19 16/2 18/4 24/20 33/1 39/25 40/4 44/7 46/7 46/25 49/11 49/18 50/4 52/23 53/24 54/1 54/11 55/5 65/7 65/17 66/2 66/4 66/6 66/7 68/24 68/25 86/8 105/19 114/16 118/22 119/3 124/22 125/24 127/18 148/15 157/15 182/22 185/24 187/21 189/8 189/14 200/8 201/8 206/24 211/16 211/25 215/18 219/18 220/13 229/19 232/15 235/18 240/4 240/11 wealth [1] 80/6 website [4] 2/16 3/8 175/9 218/19 Wednesday [1] 30/21 week [7] 51/11 121/10 121/15 121/18 127/15 184/10 244/1 week's [1] 13/9 weekly [2] 46/19 184/17 weeks [8] 5/5 13/24 20/21 36/13 82/3 103/8 125/5 211/2 weight [2] 162/7 162/8 welcome [9] 55/22 57/15 101/7 140/5 200/15 200/15 204/25 221/8 245/17 well [134] 1/17 2/2 2/17 8/12 8/17 10/11 11/2 11/18 12/21 13/1 15/12 18/11 24/25 26/4 28/7 29/7 38/22 38/25 49/8 49/22 52/8 53/6 55/25 56/4 58/2 59/20 60/21 62/21 68/5 69/17 70/20 77/24 83/25 85/7 85/20 88/21 89/21 90/16 91/24 93/13 93/15 93/16 93/25 94/6 94/10 94/24 96/23 96/24 97/12 97/21 98/1 98/12 100/20 101/18 106/1 110/23 112/7 113/24 114/9 114/25 119/19 119/22 122/6 122/9

122/15 123/14 123/24

123/25 130/22 130/24 134/23 137/1 140/17 143/23 144/13 145/15 146/11 147/1 151/3 155/12 155/20 159/17 160/13 161/7 163/10 164/25 165/4 166/23 166/25 167/2 170/24 173/7 173/8 176/10 176/22 183/3 183/25 184/1 184/16 184/22 186/22 186/22 188/17 189/2 198/11 198/20 199/6 202/6 206/24 207/15 207/24 212/10 weren't [3] 87/12 212/19 213/18 221/2 221/22 232/4 232/11 233/10 233/11 233/19 what's [19] 24/24 234/2 236/1 236/3 240/20 242/13 243/14 243/18 244/22 245/13 246/1 246/11 246/12 246/18 went [3] 19/1 23/2 75/19 were [165] 3/4 10/14 10/18 12/4 12/18 14/3 14/12 15/21 17/12 22/1 22/10 23/9 23/11 26/9 29/23 31/19 32/24 33/17 33/18 34/1 34/25 35/19 36/11 38/2 38/3 38/10 38/11 38/12 38/18 38/18 38/19 39/7 39/11 39/13 39/15 39/16 39/18 40/19 44/23 45/14 49/15 55/20 67/17 71/5 72/4 73/20 74/6 80/22 81/3 76/2 76/7 77/6 79/25 81/6 81/11 83/1 83/2 83/4 85/10 88/6 90/7 90/9 93/9 94/14 94/14 95/11 95/13 96/1 96/7 96/21 97/17 97/24 99/24 100/4 101/13 102/23 103/2 103/4 104/1 106/9 110/12 110/12 112/16 113/1 113/4 113/18 116/8 116/11 116/12 120/6 120/8 120/22 121/2 125/3 125/16 126/13 127/15 128/17 128/21 129/1 129/2 129/22 130/2 130/7 130/10 130/22 130/25 133/5 133/25 134/1 134/9 134/12 136/3 137/16 137/21 139/4 139/9 139/22 143/7 143/21 144/4 145/23 147/13 152/1 155/9 155/10 156/2 162/19 164/3

165/23 166/7 168/20 169/1 169/14 169/18 170/6 170/18 176/20 176/25 177/11 177/23 177/25 178/3 181/11 190/16 194/11 197/6 197/7 198/24 201/9 203/12 207/14 214/10 215/6 223/8 223/21 226/10 226/25 228/19 229/8 235/24 239/9 240/1 240/7 241/15 243/7 117/10 137/25 what [291] 43/7 45/17 58/2 58/17 63/12 64/3 131/12 137/1 140/10 161/12 167/15 172/15 172/15 186/3 188/8 188/18 236/7 243/5 whatever [17] 10/1 31/10 35/21 68/21 75/14 76/12 76/12 77/9 95/24 120/14 120/15 158/12 167/18 179/24 196/14 207/6 234/13 when [109] 3/2 4/15 6/21 17/13 20/6 20/12 23/19 27/8 28/3 29/15 29/23 30/25 34/8 34/10 35/10 35/11 36/11 36/23 40/13 58/20 59/12 59/16 61/16 61/22 73/12 80/24 87/21 89/4 89/22 89/23 94/21 96/7 96/25 98/11 99/11 103/16 106/1 108/8 115/8 116/7 116/11 117/21 118/9 119/15 124/22 126/2 128/25 131/14 132/14 138/6 138/9 139/9 142/24 142/25 143/3 144/6 145/17 145/23 146/9 152/22 152/25 153/17 154/22 155/20 156/17 158/8 158/10 159/24 160/18 160/21 164/10 165/22 167/3 169/10 171/24 172/12 176/14 177/2 179/9 179/21 182/11 182/14 187/20 189/13 198/25 203/22 206/11 207/14 210/14 211/1 213/5 217/25 219/17 222/23 226/12 228/15 230/23

164/10 165/7 165/7

231/21 231/22 232/8 233/22 240/1 243/2 243/7 244/10 whenever [2] 22/21 246/10 where [112] 1/10 5/9 10/14 11/22 13/16 14/15 17/1 17/9 18/10 18/24 21/11 26/10 26/24 29/10 29/10 29/25 31/10 31/13 31/19 32/1 33/1 33/25 34/1 34/25 34/25 36/4 36/21 38/20 39/18 40/24 43/6 43/7 50/18 51/8 54/7 54/7 54/10 57/10 63/9 66/18 68/24 69/2 70/2 72/6 72/7 77/8 87/7 87/21 96/14 96/15 97/4 102/16 104/17 108/13 109/6 111/24 118/25 120/14 121/6 121/17 121/25 123/14 127/8 127/20 130/14 130/15 130/16 130/18 130/18 132/7 137/25 138/15 138/23 141/16 149/14 149/15 150/19 151/4 154/2 155/1 157/22 159/21 164/7 166/17 168/3 168/15 171/11 172/10 172/24 177/2 177/19 177/23 178/3 180/2 184/11 184/15 185/21 186/14 192/15 194/6 217/19 223/11 223/21 230/7 230/21 230/24 236/14 240/19 240/20 241/14 242/22 244/6 whereas [2] 43/9 121/16 whereby [1] 234/25 wherever [1] 6/17 whether [58] 5/1 5/18 13/21 18/3 20/24 22/10 26/13 27/19 28/2 32/12 32/13 42/12 47/14 47/21 47/25 49/2 51/20 51/21 51/25 54/1 54/3 54/4 57/2 59/3 64/21 64/23 64/25 65/18 65/21 88/24 101/9 112/11 112/11 119/20 127/13 140/19 152/20 156/5 169/3 185/12 185/13 186/1 186/5 186/23 192/21 202/3 206/19 209/1 210/19 212/21 213/11 224/20 224/21 230/3 233/17 234/12 236/5 242/4

which [217] 4/22 5/19 5/22 5/25 6/23 6/24 7/11 9/4 9/19 11/25 17/19 17/21 18/2 19/4 27/7 28/19 32/15 32/21 33/1 33/10 33/17 35/23 36/14 36/14 41/11 42/4 43/14 43/25 44/1 44/10 45/21 45/22 46/19 47/1 47/12 48/8 48/12 48/18 50/4 52/17 53/16 55/5 57/1 57/7 58/1 60/16 61/7 61/24 62/19 66/19 67/6 68/19 68/24 75/6 75/15 77/24 79/3 79/21 79/23 82/12 83/22 85/18 86/9 86/15 86/21 86/24 86/25 88/6 88/25 89/7 89/10 90/1 91/2 91/5 91/6 91/24 92/14 94/4 95/2 95/6 96/21 100/8 100/14 100/25 102/12 107/4 107/8 108/3 108/10 108/11 109/10 109/24 110/7 110/24 111/8 111/15 111/20 112/3 112/15 112/23 113/12 113/14 114/6 114/16 118/19 119/18 119/19 121/4 122/16 123/12 124/25 129/11 131/9 132/3 132/5 133/2 134/2 135/22 135/24 137/5 137/8 137/23 138/8 140/2 141/2 143/13 143/15 144/18 145/16 146/5 146/6 146/18 146/20 146/24 147/10 148/3 148/17 148/24 149/16 150/18 152/2 152/9 152/25 154/3 154/10 154/14 154/18 154/19 155/2 155/9 155/10 156/8 157/1 157/3 158/5 158/11 158/13 158/14 159/4 160/3 160/13 161/25 162/2 162/2 165/7 166/3 166/10 169/24 172/5 172/20 174/24 176/14 176/16 177/24 180/15 182/9 182/16 183/19 183/24 184/12 184/16 185/8 189/8 189/13 191/20 197/23 198/12 198/22 199/2 199/17 200/2 200/21 201/25 202/3 203/24 205/22 206/5 210/1 210/15 212/2 213/12 213/23

W which... [15] 214/19 229/2 232/16 233/13 234/11 236/21 237/5 237/24 238/3 239/14 242/23 243/23 whichever [1] 222/9 while [11] 52/19 104/20 114/2 114/8 163/24 204/16 212/13 213/9 222/20 223/25 227/15 whilst [10] 4/19 9/15 20/9 61/10 66/2 66/17 67/13 67/15 69/18 113/18 whistleblowed [1] 120/14 whistleblowing [1] 133/11 Whitehall [3] 113/5 114/21 115/6 who [97] 4/21 9/7 12/2 12/3 16/9 16/13 16/24 17/10 20/10 21/10 21/13 21/17 21/22 22/1 22/9 22/9 22/14 22/18 23/11 24/21 25/5 25/10 25/17 25/18 25/19 28/14 35/21 37/8 37/16 37/19 37/24 38/1 38/2 38/2 38/6 38/13 38/18 39/7 39/11 41/17 54/25 63/19 68/3 68/6 71/5 72/10 74/4 74/5 93/10 93/15 93/19 93/22 97/19 100/23 114/6 131/25 132/6 138/10 139/10 146/13 147/12 154/11 155/6 155/11 157/18 159/18 159/20 162/12 162/18 166/4 166/5 166/7 166/21 169/11 170/1 170/20 177/2 177/7 179/2 186/15 186/17 187/13 187/16 188/5 188/5 188/6 188/7 190/25 209/11 212/5 220/15 226/10 226/19 227/2 241/2 241/3 244/16 who's [3] 123/19 130/16 156/16 whole [17] 4/15 8/7 9/1 11/19 12/1 36/21 58/22 65/2 106/5 164/22 167/14 169/23 176/16 187/6 198/11 202/1 246/5 wholeheartedly [1]

173/5 wholly [1] 104/14 218/17 221/21 224/10 whom [2] 23/5 33/18 whose [4] 38/3 100/10 166/23 238/12 why [54] 5/20 7/19 21/21 26/18 27/5 27/23 33/23 35/4 37/12 56/7 74/25 95/14 96/21 97/22 97/25 99/16 103/7 103/12 110/14 117/4 119/4 121/8 125/1 129/11 135/22 147/4 149/20 158/5 158/14 160/11 170/21 180/15 189/8 189/13 196/9 197/10 198/18 199/3 200/24 200/25 201/1 201/14 201/17 201/18 202/6 203/18 206/5 207/4 241/7 241/10 243/4 243/18 244/9 244/12 wide [1] 12/17 widening [1] 11/13 wider [16] 20/8 40/8 44/2 58/6 58/19 59/7 59/14 61/25 62/4 65/17 66/3 66/25 67/21 76/19 159/5 241/19 widespread [2] 63/19 window [1] 198/12 238/11 wielded [1] 65/13 will [159] 1/5 1/9 2/15 wise [1] 45/17 8/13 9/16 10/3 11/18 12/19 12/20 16/7 16/11 17/19 17/23 18/2 18/18 19/6 20/3 20/20 21/8 21/17 22/24 23/3 23/13 23/24 24/3 24/11 24/14 24/23 24/25 25/9 25/13 26/21 30/12 30/22 32/6 32/7 33/15 34/20 35/19 35/21 35/21 35/25 36/10 37/12 42/7 43/22 44/15 45/4 50/16 52/15 53/11 54/11 54/12 55/10 55/22 56/22 56/24 57/7 57/14 59/10 61/15 61/18 61/19 62/12 62/14 63/23 64/22 75/22 83/16 86/4 91/22 92/13 93/18 98/24 100/24 101/24 102/21 108/22 WITN00370110 [1] 111/18 111/20 124/14 67/9 128/5 128/10 129/9 129/15 132/20 145/7 148/22 149/2 149/2 WITN06650300 [1]

150/16 151/22 152/19 174/17 152/20 160/6 161/20 167/22 170/9 171/21 172/14 172/17 173/17 175/8 184/20 189/10 190/24 191/11 192/2 192/13 192/18 192/19 193/19 202/17 204/1 204/7 204/12 204/25 205/22 206/15 207/8 207/10 207/16 208/5 208/6 210/8 210/16 210/19 210/25 211/4 211/9 212/8 212/22 212/22 213/10 214/23 216/23 217/10 217/11 220/1 225/16 230/2 232/5 232/11 235/16 235/20 236/22 237/23 237/23 238/15 238/24 241/2 242/5 242/15 242/20 245/3 245/9 245/14 245/23 246/7 **WILLIAM [3]** 174/3 174/7 249/1 WILLIAMS [5] 75/3 100/3 171/3 248/12 248/24 willing [4] 69/9 69/16 77/10 109/14 willingness [1] 107/24 winnable [1] 126/10 winning [1] 167/9 wish [10] 21/10 29/11 30/20 31/21 42/6 44/13 50/24 201/3 211/6 245/8 wishes [2] 77/9 239/17 within [33] 4/14 5/12 35/4 39/17 45/23 48/17 55/3 58/9 59/8 67/7 84/18 86/19 88/14 89/16 93/18 121/22 125/8 146/19 146/23 147/25 148/2 149/19 152/3 152/9 152/18 157/9 157/17 158/25 161/4 163/25 178/25 205/11 223/16 without [16] 7/2 22/15 27/3 36/2 49/12 89/2 98/14 98/15 129/17 133/20 145/2 150/14 150/23 214/6 216/15 216/24 WITN06650100 [1] 174/14

WITN06650400 [1] 174/19 WITN06650500 [3] 174/21 175/25 213/1 WITN11470100 [1] 2/15 WITN11480100 [1] 78/19 WITN11480200 [1] 86/5 witness [62] 1/25 2/14 2/20 52/23 52/23 worker [2] 214/17 64/7 78/15 79/3 79/13 214/24 81/24 86/3 86/4 95/3 102/18 103/22 105/13 working [17] 34/8 105/14 106/1 107/24 115/22 118/10 118/15 132/20 141/7 142/23 145/2 146/9 147/21 147/22 170/10 170/11 171/6 173/11 174/9 174/17 174/18 174/20 92/16 111/4 115/4 176/16 177/6 177/23 178/1 180/11 191/10 192/3 195/18 196/2 196/10 196/11 196/21 197/10 200/1 203/21 207/6 209/7 209/11 209/20 211/15 212/25 worried [6] 97/10 246/24 witnesses [7] 46/25 48/20 53/15 75/6 168/8 177/6 220/7 won [2] 222/14 223/2 129/4 177/1 177/1 193/25 202/14 230/12 worst [2] 108/18 230/13 230/14 232/7 235/18 246/2 246/3 Woodley [3] 202/14 207/15 211/24 word [3] 48/7 56/20 139/11 words [18] 9/25 58/5 61/16 75/7 75/7 89/25 worthy [1] 70/3 111/1 159/6 171/14 188/19 208/10 219/13 wouldn't [30] 17/15 234/21 235/1 236/19 238/18 239/22 246/12 work [62] 4/9 4/20 24/13 24/24 28/1 31/7 38/20 43/3 43/5 43/9 46/21 49/13 49/21 52/13 58/14 58/21 77/15 83/14 85/4 86/19 87/15 88/10 88/11 88/15 91/4 92/13 107/6 112/17 113/8 115/1 117/9 133/16 136/25 146/5

147/8 147/16 152/16 152/17 153/16 153/17 153/18 153/20 154/12 155/2 158/3 167/22 176/19 200/18 204/25 205/3 222/23 223/9 230/19 236/10 worked [12] 2/25 52/24 79/19 80/2 80/9 85/18 92/20 94/24 111/9 118/15 156/6 158/12 workers [1] 195/15 37/21 45/15 54/14 59/22 60/17 85/19 87/4 87/10 87/24 121/15 137/20 144/15 151/3 166/23 220/2 245/14 174/10 174/13 174/15 works [7] 85/20 92/9 146/19 172/9 workstreams [1] 141/25 world [6] 3/19 121/22 125/3 158/11 181/18 181/19 97/10 108/22 110/6 110/12 212/8 worries [1] 110/12 worry [5] 31/9 109/17 110/7 132/4 137/16 won't [14] 1/12 51/24 worse [3] 22/20 31/8 211/21 108/25 worst-case [1] 108/25 worth [4] 75/16 107/18 172/21 223/3 worthwhile [2] 100/20 108/10 would [271] 18/7 18/20 18/24 23/20 26/23 34/24 37/1 38/23 39/20 41/5 5/20 7/15 12/16 12/20 57/9 59/1 59/5 68/18 74/23 92/18 120/9 121/19 124/3 140/20 140/21 143/12 144/8 154/19 155/23 156/15 64/22 68/9 72/20 73/8 157/3 164/16 215/16 write [4] 46/7 66/14 99/13 128/22 writing [3] 102/5 131/24 205/10 written [13] 99/16 106/1 110/24 119/23

W 212/13 212/21 212/21 212/22 237/20 written... [9] 131/17 132/9 132/11 133/1 2/13 2/19 2/22 5/9 140/20 227/22 239/21 20/3 20/9 21/1 22/21 239/24 240/18 24/11 27/10 29/8 wrong [17] 7/20 36/10 40/5 42/20 47/6 40/13 40/18 51/14 53/23 54/23 56/22 59/2 64/23 64/24 59/9 59/24 63/7 65/14 64/24 65/13 69/25 77/23 78/7 78/22 75/19 82/15 97/11 78/22 79/1 79/9 79/11 115/1 128/7 150/22 79/16 79/25 80/3 80/8 172/2 80/12 80/17 80/20 wrongfully [2] 71/5 80/23 81/1 81/5 81/9 226/10 81/13 81/16 82/2 82/5 wrongly [2] 33/19 83/11 84/1 84/1 84/8 162/19 84/25 85/17 88/21 wrongs [1] 62/20 89/15 90/16 91/16 wrote [10] 98/21 96/19 98/23 99/8 112/15 112/15 125/10 101/15 102/3 104/3 134/12 199/3 206/5 105/12 105/21 106/6 233/8 233/10 233/22 106/8 106/21 108/1 WTO [1] 3/19 109/10 111/15 111/20 Wyn [33] 27/10 29/7 115/8 115/18 116/3 50/23 50/23 51/3 51/6 118/2 118/4 118/4 51/11 51/17 52/10 52/20 53/4 53/6 53/9 75/3 75/18 76/11 77/8 77/22 171/3 173/12 205/22 208/18 209/2 209/13 231/23 234/19 236/2 242/24 243/25 245/5 247/1 248/12 248/24 X **XX [1]** 98/25 yanking [1] 161/11 yeah [3] 71/16 122/25 200/15 year [41] 2/1 24/19 31/19 35/20 44/2 46/4 47/5 47/6 47/7 47/8 47/10 49/7 51/12 60/4 60/8 74/7 113/19

118/14 118/18 118/21 121/4 123/4 123/20 126/24 127/24 128/2 128/8 128/13 128/16 128/19 128/23 129/6 129/10 132/25 136/21 138/12 139/25 140/5 140/6 141/12 141/18 142/13 143/23 145/11 145/11 148/9 152/12 169/7 169/19 170/16 171/18 172/23 172/25 173/3 173/19 173/24 174/2 175/3 175/6 176/25 177/16 178/3 178/10 182/8 183/11 208/23 211/11 214/11 214/11 215/7 215/16 217/24 218/3 225/11 225/12 225/21 226/8 116/19 117/22 118/3 226/8 226/18 226/22 124/4 141/22 155/11 226/24 226/24 227/3 155/13 161/23 172/9 227/5 227/5 227/8 189/22 205/6 211/17 227/20 227/25 228/7 219/2 219/4 223/20 228/10 228/12 228/13 229/24 230/2 230/12 232/13 233/23 234/19 230/15 231/10 238/2 234/19 235/4 235/15 241/8 243/1 243/1 241/5 242/6 243/18 year's [1] 211/8 244/22 244/24 245/5 years [28] 34/1 34/1 245/18 245/22 35/20 35/20 48/9 yet [10] 1/6 21/13 54/18 60/24 61/12 24/23 48/16 48/21 66/22 67/18 140/23 137/20 147/18 161/3 157/22 158/17 158/17 175/8 209/1 180/12 200/22 202/10 you [893] 210/2 211/17 211/23 you don't [1] 233/11 211/25 212/1 212/2 you'd [8] 29/13 50/9

69/12 111/10 118/12 124/24 125/16 227/15 yes [173] 1/11 2/4 2/6 you'll [20] 46/9 54/22 58/22 65/24 83/16 83/18 84/4 84/16 91/17 104/5 104/6 106/13 107/1 118/23 140/7 157/8 162/20 167/3 167/18 189/19 you're [45] 17/13 17/20 18/14 24/10 27/22 41/6 45/23 45/25 56/9 58/23 69/1 69/7 72/2 73/2 102/4 103/17 108/13 121/1 122/24 140/12 140/14 140/15 143/20 147/24 157/5 157/22 160/4 163/25 172/13 178/7 183/8 185/7 186/14 188/3 188/23 196/8 226/22 227/3 232/17 235/3 235/10 236/7 238/4 241/24 242/16 you've [38] 4/21 27/10 29/3 33/12 34/21 47/1 49/2 53/6 56/6 67/10 78/15 81/18 86/21 120/16 136/9 155/7 157/18 157/23 162/4 162/22 163/12 176/18 197/22 199/14 206/20 211/18 230/16 230/16 230/18 **Z** 231/6 236/1 239/6 239/17 245/13 246/1 246/4 246/5 246/6 YouGov [4] 178/6 178/7 236/12 236/13 175/18 175/21 175/23 **Young [1]** 184/25 your [161] 1/21 2/9 2/11 2/20 3/6 4/1 8/21 183/23 185/19 208/18 8/22 8/24 13/12 15/24 17/3 22/25 24/24 26/6 26/20 28/5 29/4 33/12 38/7 42/14 42/19 44/11 45/22 50/9 52/1 56/1 56/2 56/3 63/4 64/3 75/11 75/15 78/13 78/18 78/20 78/25 79/2 79/10 79/14 81/24 82/3 82/6 82/8 86/3 86/14 95/3 96/5 99/6 101/7 102/8 103/22 104/9 104/11 104/18 105/13 105/14 106/15 107/2 107/23 108/16 111/5 115/22 116/1 116/5 116/7 118/9 118/15 120/2

123/14 124/23 125/17

132/19 134/9 134/17

134/21 140/3 140/5

141/6 142/23 145/1

145/12 147/21 147/22 148/16 150/8 151/22 152/1 152/11 153/9 155/14 155/19 157/9 157/14 157/15 157/16 157/17 157/18 157/20 162/4 162/7 163/13 164/19 165/18 167/17 168/14 169/15 171/5 174/5 175/1 175/5 175/25 176/19 179/14 180/18 183/1 184/5 190/23 191/20 192/2 194/24 195/9 195/17 198/18 200/11 200/11 200/12 200/17 201/11 202/16 203/25 204/25 205/3 205/5 206/1 208/15 209/19 212/8 212/22 212/25 216/6 216/8 217/8 219/6 220/19 221/10 222/4 224/20 226/13 227/15 228/18 228/19 228/20 228/22 231/12 231/16 234/24 235/3 237/12 241/25 244/14 yours [2] 55/18 109/18 yourself [4] 182/19 182/24 192/13 231/7 yourselves [1] 41/7

zeros [2] 188/12 188/13