

Message

From: Jane MacLeod [GRO]
Sent: 16/10/2018 16:40:16
To: Angela Van-Den-Bogerd [GRO]; Stuart Nesbit [GRO]; Thomas P Moran [GRO]; Melanie Corfield [GRO]; Nick Beal [GRO]; Catherine Hamilton [GRO]; Julie Thomas [GRO]
CC: Rodric Williams [GRO]; Andrew Parsons [GRO]; Amy Prime [GRO]; Mark R Davies [GRO]; Patrick Bourke [GRO]
Subject: Post Office Group Litigation - SUBJECT TO LEGAL PRIVILEGE - DO NOT FORWARD

All

We received last night the decision in relation to our strike out application which was heard last week. Unfortunately we were not successful on the application:

- the application was decided on case management grounds for which the Managing Judge has considerable discretion;
- applying that discretion, the Managing Judge set a very high threshold for strike out, and concluded that we had not established to the necessary standard that the Claimants' evidence could never be relevant to the case, given the number of Common Issues, the "considerable legal analysis" each will require, and what our case on those issues is.
- However he confirmed that he will apply properly the law on admissibility when it comes to trial, and that the November 2018 Common Issues Trial will not rule on matters which concern Horizon or whether Post Office actually "breached" its obligations to the Claimants (matters to which most of the disputed evidence goes and which will be dealt with in later trials).

As previously discussed, the Managing Judge therefore appears to be continuing his theme of wanting to give the Claimants their "day in court" while applying the orthodox legal position. That said, we lost the application and can expect the Claimants to be awarded their costs of it when that question is dealt with on the first day of trial (estimated to be c£120k).

In deciding the application, the Managing Judge was critical of our conduct of the case, including intimating that we were not acting cooperatively and constructively in trying to resolve this litigation (which criticism was levelled equally between the parties); and that we had impugned the court and its processes by making the application for improper purposes.

This response is extremely disappointing given the approach we have been adopting, and his challenge as to the purpose for which we had applied for strike out is at odds with comments he had made during various procedural hearings over the past year. Nevertheless, we are refining our preparation for trial – including our reactive communications plan – in the context of the Judge's remarks.

Paula and Al are aware, and we have notified UKGI this afternoon.

Paula, Al, Mark D and myself will meet with the Minister, Perm Sec and others tomorrow afternoon, and will provide updates thereafter.

Many thanks,

Jane

Jane MacLeod

Group Director of Legal, Risk & Governance
Ground Floor



20 Finsbury Street
LONDON
EC2Y 9AQ

GRO

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: Finsbury Dials, 20 Finsbury Street, London EC2Y 9AQ.

“Post Office Limited is committed to protecting your privacy. Information about how we do this can be found on our website at www.postoffice.co.uk/privacy”