

From: "Parsons, Andrew" <[REDACTED] GRO>
To: "Simon.Clarke" ([REDACTED] GRO) ([REDACTED] GRO)
Cc: "Jarnail A Singh" ([REDACTED] GRO)
Subject: FW: Report: Lepton - Strictly Private and Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege - Not for Wider Circulation [BD-4A.FID20472253]
Date: Wed, 9 Apr 2014 17:37:45 +0000
Importance: Normal
Attachments: Horizon_data_Lepton_SPSO_191320_CONFIDENTIAL.docx
Inline-Images: image002.jpg; image003.jpg; image006.jpg; image001.gif; image004.png; image005.jpg

Simon

Quick question – attached is the original Helen Rose report which appears to include an Appendix. Did the Appendix go out as part of the disclosures? If not, why not?

Kind regards
Andy

Andrew Parsons
Senior Associate
for and on behalf of Bond Dickinson LLP

Bond Dickinson

Direct:
Mobile:
Fax:

[REDACTED] GRO

Follow Bond Dickinson:



www.bonddickinson.com

From: Rodric Williams [mailto:[REDACTED] GRO]
Sent: 03 July 2013 09:53
To: Parsons, Andrew
Subject: Fw: Report: Lepton - Strictly Private and Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege - Not for Wider Circulation

Andy - can you pls take a look at this so you can give me an overview on the phone some time today.

Thanks Rod

From: Dave Posnett
Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2013 04:19 PM
To: Rodric Williams
Subject: FW: Report: Lepton - Strictly Private and Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege - Not for Wider Circulation

Rodric,

Any response to the email below yet?

Regards,

Dave Posnett I Accredited Financial Investigator

WBD_000698.000001

Security Team, 2nd Floor Banner Wing, 148 Old St, London, EC1V 9HQ

GRO

Postline

GRO

Mobex

GRO

GRO

GRO

POST
OFFICE

From: Dave Posnett

Sent: 14 June 2013 10:23

To: Rodric Williams

Cc: John M Scott; Dave Pardoe; Elaine Spencer; Helen Rose

Subject: Report: Lepton - Strictly Private and Confidential - Subject to Legal Privilege - Not for Wider Circulation

Rodric,

Please find associated a report from our Fraud Analyst, Helen Rose, in respect of Lepton SPSO. This office doesn't feature as part of our 2nd Sight Spot Reviews, but the subject matter is Horizon (and indeed Credence) related. Could I ask that you consider the report and feed any comments back, as it could be a potential issue at some stage.

In summary, the specifics concern a 'system reversal' of a transaction following a system failure. This is normal practice, but the Horizon logs (and Credence logs) indicate that the reversal is an 'existing reversal', implying that the reversal was manually entered by the clerk/Subpostmaster.

I think there's a remote possibility that this could be a problem in terms of using the data for evidence/prosecution purposes, but nevertheless it is still a possibility. Myself or Helen are happy to talk through anything that requires explanation.

Regards,

Dave Posnett I Accredited Financial Investigator

Security Team, 2nd Floor Banner Wing, 148 Old St, London, EC1V 9HQ

GRO

Postline

GRO

Mobex

GRO

GRO

POST
OFFICE

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.
