

Confidential and subject to litigation privilege

*Bond Dickinson***POST OFFICE GROUP ACTION - BOND DICKINSON WORKPLAN****1. TIMINGS**

Date	Event
28 April 2016	Letter of Claim received
29 April 2016	Acknowledgment sent by POL
6 May 2016	Holding response sent by BD
May / June 2016	BD to complete Letter of Response Actions as per below
9 June 2016	Strategy Conference with Counsel
14 June 2016	Draw up list of missing info for Letter of Response based on Counsel's strategy
14 – 28 June 2016	Draft Letter of Response
28 June 2016	Preliminary Report from Deloitte expected (received 8 July 2016)
28 June 2016	First draft of Letter of Response to be submitted to POL legal for comment
30 June 2016	Draft letter returned to BD with POL legal comments
1 – 14 July 2016	Revise / finalise Letter of Response
6 or 7 July	Possible further conference with Counsel regarding Letter of Response (no longer needed)
14 July 2016	Steering Group meeting to decide key tactical points in LOR
15 July 2016	Final letter submitted to Steering Group for approval
20 July 2016	Steering Group meeting to approve Letter of Response
25 July 2016	BAQC to identify any major difficulties arising from his review before LOR is submitted (full report due early in August)

Confidential and legally privileged

28 July 2016	Letter of Response deadline
11 August 2016	Deadline for service of Claim Form

2. ACTION UNDERTAKEN SINCE LAST STEERING GROUP MEETING

- a) Draft GLO received from Freeths and further correspondence with Freeths about (i) certain specific postmasters and (ii) the scope of the Group Action.
- b) Draft LOR produced by BD and submitted to POL legal (Rodric) and Counsel for first review
- c) Key decision points identified with Counsel for Steering Group approval
- d) Junior Counsel engaged to research key legal points to be addressed in LOR.
- e) Deloitte delivered Preliminary Report into certain technical areas of Horizon (remote access, etc.)
- f) Brian Altman QC review of 9 prosecution cases (to validate that there was sufficient evidence to support charges) is underway – full report due in early August.
- g) Further work conducted on document retention at Post Office to address perseveration duties and disclosure requests.
- h) Started collation of documents to be disclosed with LOR.

3. LETTER OF RESPONSE ACTIONS

Section	Comment	BD Action / Deadline	POL Action / Deadline
LOC General	The letter is long and raises lots of issues – many of which are not covered in the schedule of questions at the end of the LOC	BD to prepare para by para analysis of information needed / response required to form basis of letter of response. COMPLETED	None at present
LOC A:	On one level this section is irrelevant as it	BD to set out corrected version of history.	None at present

Confidential and legally privileged

Background section setting out history of Horizon investigations	does not go to the substance of any claim. However it should be addressed as it is a twisted version of history that (i) taints any other response by POL and (ii) sets a canvas against which Post Office is then accused of fraud and / or ignorance of issues with Horizon.	We largely have this knowledge already so little further investigation will be required. COMPLETED	
LOC B: Legal relationship between POL and SPMRs.	This section looks to imply new duties on POL. The aim is to reverse the burden on SPMRs to investigate their own losses (presumably because Freeths know that the SPMRs cannot show any problem with Horizon on their own).	Instruct Counsel to advise on analysis of legal duties. Conference with Counsel on 9 June 2016. Speak to Paul Inwood about historic contract variations – 10 June 2016 COMPLETED	None at present
LOC C: Description of problems with Horizon and POL operating practices.	The problems raised are almost exclusively a recitation of the Second Sight Part Two Report.	BD to cross check LOC against POL Response to P2 Report to ensure that all points are covered. Any new points to be identified and investigated with the help of Kath and Shirley. COMPLETED	None at present
LOC D: Relationship between POL and Fujitsu	Freeths make a small number of statements about how the POL / FJ relationship works. Further recitation of points raised in SS P2 Report specifically in relation to FJ and Helplines.	BD to review FJ contract to address questions; if further info is needed, questions to be addressed to POL IT team. Remaining answers should be covered by POL Response to P2 Report. BD to liaising with CMS to gain deeper insight into FJ contract ONGOING	None at present
LOC E: Post Office	This section appears to be an attempt to pre-	No further factual information required.	None at present

Confidential and legally privileged

concealed problems with Horizon	empt POL's limitation defence by saying that POL concealed the cause of action which is one of the grounds on which limitation can be extended.	Response to call out issues with limitation and push Freeths to particularise "concealment" on case by case basis Counsel to provide insight on how to address limitation issues. Conference with Counsel on 9 June 2016 COMPLETED	
LOC F: List of specific causes of action alleged against POL	Although this section raises several causes of action, it provides little particulars to support each claim. An initial review indicates that Freeths have provided insufficient information to justify many of the heads of claim.	The lack of factual particulars means little investigation will be required. BD to review each claim and identify missing particulars / legal flaws in claims. COMPLETED	None at present
LOC G: Loss and damage suffered by SPMRs	Broad heads of claims set out but no details or figures included	BD to highlight all known legal and causation issues with losses raised. COMPLETED	None at present
LOC H: Invitation to mediation		BD to research cases where mediation has been reasonably refused. Tactical decision to be taken on how to respond to invitation. COMPLETED	Approach to mediation to be discussed at Steering Group meeting on 14 July 2016 .
LOC I: Disclosure requests	Initial review indicates that the disclosure requests are disproportionately wide. Complying with the request would be disproportionately expensive.	BD to investigate sources of documents to be preserved / disclosed before BD and POL taking tactical decision on how to respond to requests (against background of advice on possible costs consequences for not acting reasonably). ONGOING	Various people at Post Office involved – activity being co-ordinated by Tom Porter
LOC J: Request for assurance that	Same request as before	No factual information needed. BD and POL to discuss tactical approach to	None at present

Confidential and legally privileged

POL will not victimise SPMRs		responding. Conference with Counsel on 9 June 2016 COMPLETED	
LOC K: Official Secrets Act	It is unclear why Freeths are so nervous about the OSA section in the SPMR contract	BD to speak to Paul Inwood. BD to research OSA requirements. Paul Loraine COMPLETED	To be discussed at Steering Group meeting on 20 July 2016
LOC L: Request for POL consent to GLO	Initial view is that POL should oppose formation of GLO due to lack of commonality of issues.	Draft GLO received on 17 June. Advice to be sought from Counsel. ONGOING	Further conference with Counsel and POL may be required to make decisions on this point
LOC M: Procedure for litigation	The idea of holding preliminary issues is sound.	Ongoing correspondence with Freeths ONGOING	None at present
LOC N: Timing of response		Holding response to be sent stating that POL will require more time to respond. COMPLETED	None at present

3. OTHER MAJOR ACTIONS

1. Litigation Consequences Doc	Document setting out the potential legal and factual ramifications of if the litigation goes against POL.	Drafting a table setting out this information for review/consideration. 28 July 2016	None at present
2. Jonathan Swift QC work streams	Tony Robinson QC to advise on the various work streams arising from the report and the extent to which they overlap	Advice given at conference with Counsel on 9 June 2016. No further action required.	POL to resolve how to communicate decision to BIS.
3. Brian Altman QC	Tony Robinson QC to comment on the	Advice given at conference with Counsel on	None at present

Confidential and legally privileged

work streams	adequacy of the investigations/reviews	9 June 2016. BAQC now instructed to proceed. BD to monitor progress.	
4. Deloitte enquiries	Tony Robinson QC to review and comment on the sufficiency/utility of the work being done to investigate the core Horizon points	Advice given at conference with Counsel on 9 June 2016. Deloitte instructed to undertake forensic review.	None at present