

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

Friday, 11 October 2024

(10.00 am)

NICHOLAS JAMES READ (continued)**Questioned by MR BEER (continued)****MR BEER:** Good morning, sir. Can you see and hear us?**SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Yes, thank you very much.**MR BEER:** Thank you.

Good morning, Mr Read.

A. Good morning.

Q. Can I once again turn to the topic of whether the Post Office did not wish to have ownership of, or administer, any of the redress schemes. You remember we discussed this on Day 1, and we addressed it again on Day 2 of your evidence. I'd like you to consider, if we may, an additional piece of evidence in the light of the answers you gave. Can we see, please, WITN00200300.

You'll see that this is a witness statement of

Thomas Cooper, who you'll know.

A. Indeed.

Q. By way of reminder for others, he was the UKGI Non-Executive Director on the Post Office Board for five years -- is that right --

A. Yes, that's correct.

Q. -- between March 2018 until May 2023, when he was replaced by Lorna Gratton?

1

proposal was the establishment of a unit within [the Post Office] to handle all compensation related matters."

Paragraph 30:

"UKGI's advice was discussed with [Post Office] and [His Majesty's Treasury] as well as the Department. [Herbert Smith Freehills] assisted [the Post Office] in preparing its own paper on the topic, which was discussed at the Board. The idea of separating the compensation workstreams from [Post Office] received little or no support. The Board determined that [the Post Office] would take responsibility for the compensation workstreams itself rather than pass it to [His Majesty's Government]. It was decided that an internal unit would be set up within [the Post Office], the Historical Matter Business Unit, now known as the Remediation Unit. That was set up in July 2020 and had a remit to deliver the legal and compensation workstreams flowing from the GLO proceedings."

Just to complete this:

"Having reflected on this decision and reviewed the advice that UKGI provided, as well as the Board paper, one thing that is conspicuously missing from both documents is the claimants' perspective. Claimants were not approached to give their view at the time and, in

3

A. That's correct.

Q. He gave evidence, by way of reminder for the transcript, in Phases 5 and 6 of the Inquiry, on 10 June 2024.

A. Yes, that's correct.

Q. This is a subsequent witness statement of his addressing some Phase 7 issues. Can we look, please, at page 11, under the heading "Operational resourcing", and this is part of his statement which addresses the governance and resourcing of the HSS. He says:

"During this phase, there were discussions at the Board about how [the Post Office] would operationally resource the compensation workstreams.

"In Spring 2020, the Shareholder Team contributed to those discussions by providing advice to the Department concerning the separation of historical liabilities and compensation matters arising from the GLO from the 'business as usual' commercial operations of the Company. One option that was suggested was to transfer the management of [Post Office's] compensation-related liabilities into a newly created separate company owned wholly by [His Majesty's Government]. This would have enabled [the Post Office] to focus on the strategic and operational issues it faced, whilst in parallel having a dedicated resource set up to deliver compensation to victims of the Horizon scandal. The alternative to this

2

hindsight, the lack of trust that claimants had in [Post Office] should have been included as a factor in support of separation. We now know that trust remains a major issue for claimants, one example of which is the GLO claimants' refusal to have the GLO scheme administered by [the Post Office]. Given that, as of today, significant elements of the compensation being delivered to [subpostmasters] are being administered by the Department, as well as the very significant strain that compensation has placed on [the Post Office's] management which has lacked the bandwidth to handle the multiple, complex issues in front of it, I believe that, with the benefit of hindsight, the option of separating the compensation from [Post Office] should have been considered more seriously. However, at the time, following the successful settlement of the GLO and the participation of the GLO claimants in the design of HSS, there was a perception at [the Post Office] that a degree of trust in [Post Office] had been restored. It is possible, therefore, that even if UKGI's advice and the Board paper had identified the issue of trust and captured it fully, the decision made may well have been the same in any event."

Just going back to paragraph 30, please.

In the third line, Mr Cooper says that:

4

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 "The idea of separating compensation workstreams
2 from [Post Office] received little or no support. The
3 Board determined that [the Post Office] would take
4 responsibility for the compensation workstreams itself,
5 rather than pass it to [His Majesty's Government]."
6 Is that correct?
7 A. I think recollections do differ. I am very clear that
8 I have contemporaneous notes from May, June and July
9 where the notion --
10 Q. Sorry, that's 2020?
11 A. Yeah -- where the notion of a good bank and a bad bank
12 was put forward by myself and Carla Stent and Carla
13 Stent was the Chair of the Audit and Risk Committee, and
14 we were quite vociferous about the necessity to separate
15 good bank from bad bank, by which I mean how could we
16 ring-fence the different elements of these particular
17 schemes?
18 So I don't support the notion that it received
19 little interest or little support from the Board.
20 I think the Board were very aware of the amount of work
21 that would be required, let alone the level of trust
22 that needed to be established. So I have a different
23 view and a different recollection.
24 Q. Given that, in any event, there appears not to have been
25 agreement with Government that Post Office should not

5

1 Can we just briefly address a couple of issues on
2 Postmaster NEDs. We've done this a couple of times
3 already, a couple of points to clear up. You tell us in
4 your first witness statement -- there's no need to turn
5 it up, it's paragraph 94 -- that they were not provided
6 all papers that went to the Board because of conflicts
7 or a conflict; is that right?
8 A. Yes, that's correct.
9 Q. What was the conflict that the provision of papers to
10 the Postmaster NEDs -- that would have arisen?
11 A. I think, very specifically, we were conscious that
12 Postmaster NEDs were, first and foremost, postmasters in
13 their own right and, therefore, by definition, there
14 were commercial sensitivities that may or may not have
15 determined a particular cause of action. We were making
16 decisions with banks, with Royal Mail Group, with travel
17 businesses, with our online business and, clearly,
18 postmasters would have been privy to particular
19 information that might have had a commercial sensitivity
20 that might well have impacted decision making that they
21 could have been involved in, as in on their own
22 accounts, and I think it wouldn't be unreasonable to
23 expect, given the duality of their role as both
24 a postmaster and an entrepreneur running their own
25 business, as well as determining and deciding what the

7

1 participate in or administer the compensation schemes,
2 wouldn't that, therefore, present the ideal opportunity
3 to tell the Inquiry, when it was considering that issue,
4 Post Office's view?
5 A. Quite possibly. As I mentioned yesterday and I think,
6 indeed, as we discussed on Wednesday, this was very
7 clearly an instruction from the UKGI. It wasn't
8 a "Shall we decide how to do this, what is the best
9 way?" So I'm very clear on that.
10 Q. When you say it was an instruction from UKGI, the
11 instruction was to what effect?
12 A. To the effect that we would manage the compensation
13 schemes.
14 Q. So it's almost precisely the opposite of what Mr Cooper
15 says?
16 A. Yes, I -- as I say, I'm very clear that this was
17 something that wasn't a choice for the Board. The Board
18 didn't have a choice about whether or not it would
19 administer compensation schemes.
20 Q. Again, given that that was, on your account,
21 a significant difference with Government, why wasn't
22 that ventilated or submitted to Sir Wyn in any of the
23 hearings that we had?
24 A. It's a good question. I can't answer that.
25 Q. Thank you. That can come down.

6

1 direction of travel for the Post Office is, that they
2 would be privy to certain information that might have
3 put them in an advantageous place, or indeed in conflict
4 with the organisation.
5 Q. Was a similar approach taken to the UKGI NED because
6 they had a duality of role, didn't they?
7 A. Yes, they did but they don't have a financial interest,
8 *per se* in the operation of their post offices, as the
9 postmasters themselves do.
10 Q. No, they have a different dual role?
11 A. Yes, they have a different role, clearly.
12 Q. Was information and papers kept from them?
13 A. Well, I'd probably put it in a slightly different way.
14 I'm -- as we saw, I think it was yesterday, we saw,
15 indeed in Project Pineapple, information that was shared
16 with the Non-Executive Directors by Henry, was not
17 shared with myself and Lorna. So there was a very
18 evident illustration of that point. I, for instance,
19 don't get the documents that go to RemCo because,
20 clearly, there would be potentially a conflict for me,
21 in that documentation. So I don't think it's wholly
22 unusual to identify conflicts where people may or may
23 not have interests in the workings of the organisation.
24 So I didn't see that as a particular issue.
25 Q. How did it affect, if any, their role, the Postmaster

8

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 NEDs' role on the Board, by the non-provision of papers
2 and information?
3 **A.** Difficult for me to answer that, in the sense that you
4 would have to ask Elliot and Saf what they felt that
5 they were being excluded from. My sense is that it was
6 very little and very limited in terms of what they were
7 excluded from. If I think back over the last three
8 years, where we have been in a Board meeting where
9 either they've had to excuse themselves or leave the
10 room because of conflict, I genuinely wouldn't be able
11 to identify any specific issue -- any specific time.
12 **Q.** You tell us in the same statement, it's paragraph 98,
13 that both the current Postmaster NEDs were consulted on
14 how to approach the next round of NED recruitment and,
15 based on their input, the criteria for the role has been
16 "rebalanced and made more objective and clearer". What
17 was unbalanced and/or unobjective about the previous
18 criteria?
19 **A.** I think what we've learnt, sort of specifically, in
20 terms of the first term that the Postmaster
21 Non-Executive Directors have done, is that there are
22 ways to improve both the process of recruitment, both
23 the expectations of the role, both the level of training
24 and support that we can provide. So there were a range
25 of different issues that we wanted to improve and it was

9

1 determine what the criteria is for the selection
2 process. So that's obviously not a committee that I sit
3 on.
4 **Q.** Mr Ismail says in his statement, same reference,
5 paragraph 150:
6 "I believe the timing and criteria were engineered
7 to exclude me and Mr Jacobs because we are too
8 challenging, too inquisitive and ask too many awkward
9 questions."
10 Is that right?
11 **A.** I don't believe that's right.
12 **Q.** They gave interviews to the press; that's right, isn't
13 it? I think you refer to one of the articles based on
14 what they had said in your witness statement, a Times
15 article in February 2024?
16 **A.** I think that was an article that you presented to me, as
17 opposed to my presenting to you, if you see what I mean.
18 **Q.** Yes.
19 **A.** I responded to it, yes.
20 **Q.** Yes. So what would you say to the suggestion that they
21 were "too challenging, too inquisitive and asked too
22 many awkward questions"?
23 **A.** I would say that was their job. I made it very clear
24 yesterday that I championed Postmaster Non-Executives to
25 be on the Board for exactly that reason: I knew they

11

1 those that we discussed with Saf and with Elliot: how
2 does the selection process work; what are the
3 expectations of the role?
4 So, for instance, we've talked quite extensively
5 here about the commitment that the Non-Executive
6 Directors, the NEDs, have made. So I don't think it was
7 anything particularly specific. It was about -- it was
8 about refining and getting their view on how the job and
9 how the role could be done better, and I think that's
10 what we've taken forward.
11 **Q.** Both of them -- I'll give the crossreferences, no need
12 to display: Mr Jacobs WITN11180100, at paragraph 14, and
13 Mr Ismail, WITN11170100 at paragraph 150 -- say in their
14 written evidence, and they've repeated it in their oral
15 evidence, that the amended criteria mean that they have
16 not been shortlisted for reappointment when they applied
17 to stand again. Is that right: the change in criteria
18 meant that they were not shortlisted when they applied
19 to stand again?
20 **A.** I wasn't aware that it was a change in criteria that
21 stopped them from being shortlisted. I haven't seen the
22 analysis of all the participants who have come forward
23 to put their names forward. That's obviously something
24 that's managed and run by the Company Secretary and the
25 Nominations Committee will be the individuals that

10

1 would bring a tactical focus and an operational focus
2 and an understanding of what was going on in the
3 business at the time. It would be uncomfortable at
4 times and I was very aware that that would be the case.
5 I had experienced it at Nisa, I knew what I was letting
6 myself in for and I think, certainly, my own expectation
7 was that it would bring the Board closer to postmaster
8 issues and it would bring the Board closer to what is
9 going on from a trading perspective, as well as from
10 a cultural perspective, in the organisation, and that
11 was an important way of trying to rebuild trust.
12 That was the ultimate aim of the objective, as well
13 as getting their unique experiences of being
14 a postmaster in the organisation around the boardroom
15 table.
16 **Q.** What would you say to the suggestion that Post Office
17 did not listen to the Postmaster Non-Executive
18 Directors, marginalised them after it had appointed
19 them, they got frustrated and went to the press, and
20 Post Office, therefore, made it difficult for them to
21 effectively stand for re-election by amending the
22 criteria?
23 **A.** I disagree with that. I absolutely refute that
24 allegation.
25 **Q.** I think it's right that exit interviews were conducted

12

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 with Non-Executive Directors; is that right?

2 **A.** That's correct.

3 **Q.** Can we look, please, at POL00448681. "NED Exit

4 Interviews -- Written Summary", conducted by Ernst &

5 Young, EY?

6 **A.** Yes, that's correct.

7 **Q.** If we go, please, to page 5, I just want to look at some

8 of the things that were suggested. Top line:

9 "I have found it a challenge being on the [Post

10 Office] Board -- it can feel like a puppet Board where

11 we don't have real decision making powers while actually

12 having a lot of responsibility."

13 Then further down, just under the line, in answer to

14 the question "What are the issues facing [Post Office]

15 that will likely consume the time of incoming NEDs?"

16 Answer:

17 "That they will be coming into a Board that is

18 actually not that influential as a whole, that it really

19 has no authority, it just rubber stamps decisions made

20 elsewhere."

21 Then over the page to page 6, please. Just at the

22 bottom on the page that's being displayed at the moment,

23 two paragraphs up:

24 "The GE use the Board to rubber stamp things but

25 don't involve the Board as they might. I am not sure we

13

1 The sort of broader challenge, I think, is around

2 the effectiveness and independence of the Board and

3 whether or not they have the levers and the power to

4 make the decisions that Post Office needs.

5 I think that is the underlying theme that is being

6 expressed here, certainly in the first two or three

7 bullet points that you were making, and there is

8 a challenge around that, and that is when you read the

9 context of the entire feedback, that is the underlying

10 theme of why, I think, individuals have found that they

11 would only stay for one term on the Board: because they

12 felt -- I think it's in the first bullet that you

13 made -- that they have an enormous amount of

14 responsibility and accountability, but they have very

15 limited and little decision-making powers, primarily

16 because those decisions are either made by the

17 shareholder/UKGI or they are difficult to influence.

18 **Q.** So what is described by these exiting NEDs is not your

19 experience at all of the Board?

20 **A.** Which particular bit?

21 **Q.** Well, the three that I've read to you.

22 **A.** I --

23 **Q.** It's a puppet Board, we don't have any real

24 decision-making power; the Board isn't influential as

25 a whole, it rubber stamps decisions in fact made by the

15

1 are respected or valued, we are all vested.

2 "There isn't the level of trust in the GE nor are we

3 trusted by them -- this has resulted in a lack of

4 commitment to minuted actions."

5 Those comments -- and I realised that I'm

6 selecting --

7 **A.** Yes.

8 **Q.** -- from a large number -- come during your tenure as

9 Chief Executive, doesn't it?

10 **A.** Yes, that's correct, although, I think Carla Stent in

11 particular, her tenure was six years, so it --

12 **Q.** So it was partially pre-dated?

13 **A.** Indeed.

14 **Q.** Do you accept the description of the role and function

15 of the Group Executive?

16 **A.** No, I don't think so. We have a -- this has been

17 discussed, I think, quite extensively by other

18 colleagues -- the range of information that comes to the

19 Board and the issues that the Board has had to grapple

20 with over the last four or five years has been quite

21 unique, in the sense that there are just a range of

22 priorities that are very difficult to distinguish

23 between. And so it has been difficult to get that level

24 of genuine decision making, I think would probably be

25 the best way to describe it.

14

1 GE; and the Board rubber stamps things for which it

2 isn't respected or valued?

3 **A.** No, I don't think I would agree with that. I think the

4 first two points you make are actually more references

5 to the influence and shape of the Board with regard to

6 the shareholder, as opposed to with regard to the Group

7 Executive. It has been very tough, I think, for the

8 Board and the Group Executive, certainly at this

9 particular juncture but I don't think that is something

10 that is widely experienced, in terms of the Group

11 Executive just expecting the Board to rubber stamp

12 issues. I think it's more the volume of work that the

13 Board was having to deal with that meant they couldn't

14 give the level of attention to the specific issues and

15 topics that were being brought forward.

16 And, secondly, I think the nature of the business,

17 and I say this in my original witness statement, my

18 first witness statement, is that for the five years

19 certainly that I have been in the business, it has been

20 in crisis, and so many of the decisions that have come

21 to the Board have been tactical, short-term and

22 reactive, as opposed to long-term strategic, which you

23 would expect from a business that was perhaps under

24 the -- less under the strain that the organisation has

25 been for the last five years.

16

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 Q. I think you've had your attention drawn to an email
2 exchange between Lorna Gratton and Rachel Scarrabelotti
3 in October 2023 -- I'm not going to display it unless
4 it's necessary, in the interests of time -- about the
5 SID appointment where she, Lorna Gratton, expressed the
6 view that it would be beneficial to appoint a woman to
7 give balance to the Post Office Board. Do you recall?
8 A. Yes, I do recall that. I thought -- I think -- this is
9 the exchange where Lorna wanted, and I shared this view,
10 that we have an external SID appointed to the Board --
11 Q. Did you agree that the Board lacked balance and
12 therefore needed balance brought to it by the
13 appointment of a woman SID, a female SID?
14 A. Yes, I think so. So Lisa and Carla and Zarin all left
15 within the space of four months, and I think the Board
16 would have benefited from an additional female, perhaps
17 a SID as well.
18 Q. Other people have raised concerns about your treatment
19 of women, and I must give you opportunity to respond to
20 the allegations that have been made. I am not going to
21 display them in the interests of time and, instead,
22 summarise them for you, Mr Read:
23 Firstly, Mr Staunton claiming in March 2024 that you
24 had overseen a culture of misogyny.
25 Mr Staunton saying that he was aware during his time

17

1 against Henry Staunton and against the Post Office more
2 generally, having not had her job role and her probation
3 period converted into a permanent role. And so, having
4 left the organisation in June, it was then some two and
5 a half months later that she made a series of
6 allegations against me and -- including Henry.
7 Q. Was that the first time that you became aware of
8 concerns regarding views and approach towards women in
9 Post Office?
10 A. It was the first time that I was aware that allegations
11 had been suggested that I was fostering a culture of
12 misogyny or anything along those lines.
13 Q. When you joined the Post Office, were you concerned
14 about any lack of diversity amongst the Senior Executive
15 Team or the Board?
16 A. Not at the Board. I think we were a diverse and
17 functioning Board. I think it was relatively well known
18 that the Group Executive was male dominated, certainly,
19 and we were keen to set ourselves some targets for the
20 Senior Leadership Group and also for the Group Executive
21 to introduce some diversity to that, to both of those
22 two forums.
23 Q. You tell us in your witness statement -- no need to turn
24 it up, it's the second witness statement, page 17,
25 paragraph 49 -- that a survey conducted by Post Office

19

1 at the Post Office of a "high level of unhappiness
2 amongst a number of the company's senior women",
3 a pattern developing where senior women were not
4 supported in challenging roles.
5 Ms Davies telling him that she had raised the issue
6 of the psychological safety of women in the organisation
7 directly with you but you were not prepared to take any
8 action.
9 Mr Staunton noting that Ms Davies was the fifth
10 Chief People Officer during your tenure, which gave him
11 concern about your ability to retain female talent, she
12 having expressed concerns over a "job for the boys"
13 mentality within your team.
14 Ms Davies' Speak Up report of September 2023, which
15 included allegations against you, which was subsequently
16 independently investigated by Marianne Tutin of Devereux
17 Chambers.
18 Firstly, did you become aware of concerns regarding
19 your views and approach to women in senior roles in Post
20 Office?
21 A. Did I become aware?
22 Q. Yes.
23 A. In what -- well, I became aware when Ms Davies, having
24 left the organisation in June 2023, in September 2023,
25 elected bring a series of grievances against me and

18

1 showed that:
2 "The proportion of women experiencing comments that
3 felt offensive, embarrassing or hurtful was greater than
4 men and that that rose consistently and significantly
5 with seniority."
6 Following the results of that survey, what steps
7 were taken, if any, to address it?
8 A. We had an action plan, three things emerged, I think
9 from that, in particular, if it's the one that I recall.
10 The first one was that disabled colleagues within the
11 business were suggesting that they didn't have the
12 opportunity to get on in the same way and weren't
13 supported in the way that some of their abled colleagues
14 were. We had an issue -- a cultural issue in that some
15 of our cultural minority colleagues felt that they were
16 not getting the level of promotion that they wanted and
17 warranted. And then the third piece, which quite
18 rightly you highlight, which was very, very
19 disappointing and surprising to a degree, was that
20 senior women within the organisation had experienced
21 more unwanted comments than their male counterparts.
22 And so we established those three as the core
23 equity, diversity and inclusion elements to our strategy
24 and we advised the organisation that that is where we
25 were going to spend our time. We have recruited a new

20

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 capability and inclusion director, and also a new
2 equity, diversity and inclusion director, to spearhead
3 the strategy, our EDI strategy, that we want to develop.
4 So we are very conscious of that feedback and have
5 been very transparent in the fact that this is something
6 we will be addressing over the next few months.
7 **Q.** One miscellaneous topic, before I ask my final questions
8 to you. Can we look, please, at POL00448381. This is
9 a letter you'll be familiar with: it's your letter to
10 the Lord Chancellor, 9 January 2024. You're familiar
11 with this?
12 **A.** I am indeed, that's right.
13 **Q.** I'm therefore going to skip over the first three
14 paragraphs, if we scroll down, please. That refers to
15 some work that's been done by external legal advisers,
16 which had identified 30 potential appellants, to whom
17 POL would write, because it would be highly likely that
18 POL would concede their appeals in the Court of Appeal.
19 The letter continues:
20 "A natural corollary of that exercise has been to
21 identify those cases in which, on the information
22 available to us and following the judgment in Hamilton,
23 we would be bound to oppose an appeal. Typically, these
24 cases involve convictions obtained by reliance on
25 evidence unrelated to the Horizon computer system. The

21

1 the fact that we'd done this work and that we had shared
2 it with the Advisory Board, that we recognised that
3 there were challenges and, you know, clearly it was
4 important that we made ourselves, Peters & Peters, Simon
5 Baker, Jacqueline Carey -- the KCs that had conducted
6 the work on our behalf -- made them aware of what we had
7 done and the challenges that we'd experienced.
8 **Q.** You tell us that the Board held over 60 meetings
9 regarding the Post Office's responses to the CCRC and
10 criminal appeals, just in the period 2021 and 2022. Has
11 the Post Office similarly engaged with or held meetings
12 in respect of its response to the Scottish Criminal
13 Cases Review Commission and the Procurator Fiscal
14 Service in Scotland?
15 **A.** No, we haven't.
16 **Q.** Why is that?
17 **A.** I think, when we first met in 2021 and went through
18 a series of Board meetings to look at individual cases,
19 we hadn't established our Remediation Committee, which
20 is the subcommittee of the Board that now oversees
21 remediation matters, and my understanding is that the
22 Remediation Committee are overseeing those matters as
23 opposed to the full Board.
24 **Q.** In May 2024, the Lord Advocate made a statement to the
25 Scottish Parliament that, due to its conduct, the Post

23

1 number of such cases is very much more significant, at
2 369, with a further 11 still under review. There are
3 another 132 in which we cannot determine the sufficiency
4 of evidence without more information. This clearly
5 raises acute political, judicial and communications
6 challenges against the very significant public and
7 Parliamentary pressure for some form of acceleration or
8 bypassing of the normal appeals process."
9 Was this essentially you, on behalf of the Post
10 Office, saying to the Government that it should not
11 legislate, in an exoneration bill or similar, because of
12 an assessment by Post Office that the vast majority of
13 convicted subpostmasters were, on its assessment,
14 unlikely to have their convictions quashed in a court?
15 **A.** No, I was making no value judgement, as I said in the
16 fifth paragraph, about what this meant or what you could
17 interpret from it. I was extremely conscious that we
18 discussed with the Advisory Board through the previous
19 autumn, actually in the summer, the real challenge that
20 we had, in light of Hamilton, of encouraging postmaster
21 victims to come forward.

22 We discussed a range of different ways to try and
23 achieve this, and it was really to highlight that we
24 felt we had an obligation -- I was advised that we had
25 an obligation to let the Lord Chancellor become aware of

22

1 Office was no longer trusted in Scotland and, as such,
2 had been stripped of its role as a Specialist Reporting
3 Agency in Scotland. What was the Post Office's response
4 to that?
5 **A.** I'm not sure we had a formal response, *per se*, at the
6 Board and I don't recall that happening. I think we had
7 and have been very clear that we will not be conducting
8 any form of prosecution, so I don't think it was of
9 enormous surprise that that was the decision that was
10 made. But it wasn't a formal discussion, certainly at
11 the Board. It may well have been something that was
12 considered at the Remediation Committee.
13 **Q.** Has the Post Office carried out any formal review of its
14 previous performance in the role of a Specialist
15 Reporting Agency in Scotland?
16 **A.** Not that I'm aware of.
17 **Q.** Lastly on this topic, can we turn up POL00448701. If we
18 just look at the last page, please, this is a letter
19 from Mr Vamos, Partner and Head of Business Crime, if we
20 scroll down. We can see it's sent for and on behalf of
21 Peters & Peters Solicitors. If we just go back to the
22 first page, please, this was a letter that I think was
23 displayed on the Post Office's website?
24 **A.** Yes, I understand that, yes.
25 **Q.** Do you know how that came about?

24

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 A. How it was put on the website? No, I don't but I've
2 learnt during the course of this Inquiry that that was
3 the case.
4 Q. Then it was taken down?
5 A. Quite possibly, yes.
6 Q. Yes, do you know --
7 A. I don't know --
8 Q. -- anything about why it was put on the Post Office's
9 website and then it was taken down?
10 A. I don't. No, I can't give you that.
11 Q. Do you know who instructed Mr Vamos, if anyone, to write
12 this?
13 A. No, I understand it was unsolicited, as in it came to us
14 unsolicited.
15 Q. So it's a Post Office criminal lawyer --
16 A. Yes.
17 Q. -- ie somebody instructed, expert in the criminal law,
18 writing to their client uninvited --
19 A. Yes.
20 Q. -- unsolicited --
21 A. Yes.
22 Q. -- or uninstructed --
23 A. Yes.
24 Q. -- to do that, is your understanding?
25 A. That's my understanding.

25

1 A. No, I don't. I don't know the genesis, as you say, of
2 the letter itself or indeed how it then -- and who
3 determined that it would be put onto the website.
4 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: It's addressed to "Dear all"; who are the
5 "all" there, Mr Read?
6 A. I don't know, sir. I don't know who "all" is, I'm not
7 clear if this was a communication that went more broadly
8 to other all people, I'm not certain.
9 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Normally, if it's sent electronically, we
10 get a kind of list of recipients on email, don't we?
11 Unless I'm wrong, I don't think the Inquiry knows to
12 whom it was actually sent.
13 A. We can obviously find out who that is and obviously help
14 the Inquiry, if that would make sense.
15 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Thank you.
16 MR BEER: Lastly, you have heard, I think, a series of
17 witnesses in the Inquiry within this phase suggest that
18 your own personal grievances about your own remuneration
19 became too significant a feature in your tenure and
20 interfered with your ability to carry out your role.
21 A. Yes, I've heard that.
22 Q. Are they right?
23 A. No, I don't believe that to be the case. However, I am
24 very aware that the furore around my pay and
25 remuneration -- and I'm not in any way deaf to that --

27

1 Q. But then it's put on the Post Office's website?
2 A. So I've now subsequently discovered, yes.
3 Q. In the third paragraph, the third on the page here, the
4 second substantive paragraph, Mr Vamos says:
5 "In reality, it is highly likely that the vast
6 majority of people who have not yet appealed were, in
7 fact, guilty as charged and were safely convicted."
8 By posting this on the Post Office website, did the
9 Post Office ally itself to that view?
10 A. I don't know the detail of how and why it was posted to
11 the website. I think there is a question that we've got
12 to ask ourselves as to the governance of what it is we
13 put on our website and how it -- how material goes onto
14 the website. I think that's something that we've got to
15 review.
16 Q. Was that the view within the General Executive?
17 A. No, I don't believe that is the case.
18 Q. So looking at the matter generally and standing back, do
19 you know how it is that Post Office's principal criminal
20 lawyer wrote an unsolicited opinion for the Post Office,
21 which said that the vast majority of people who haven't
22 appealed were guilty as charged and safely convicted,
23 and the Post Office publishes that?
24 A. It looks pretty appalling.
25 Q. I'm just asking: do you know how it happened?

26

1 looks very poor in light of many of the victims who are
2 still waiting for their compensation, and I very much
3 regret that the furore that has exploded as
4 a consequence of that has been a distraction for
5 everybody.
6 Q. To be clear, I'm not asking you questions about whether
7 you thought you were underpaid or not and nor am
8 I asking you questions about your reflections on how it
9 looks that you were complaining repeatedly about your
10 pay, your salary and your remuneration package as
11 a whole. I'm asking you: did your repeated grievances
12 and complaints about remuneration become too significant
13 a feature of your tenure and interfere with your ability
14 to carry out your role?
15 A. No, I don't believe that to be the case.
16 Q. And why?
17 A. I was frustrated at times but I don't believe that it
18 was a distraction. I don't -- I'm sure if you discuss
19 with other colleagues, they would certainly corroborate
20 the fact that it's not something that I was perpetually
21 discussing. There's no question that two of the
22 individuals who have made these allegations have left
23 the organisation under somewhat of a cloud, and so I can
24 understand that that may well be the driver behind why
25 they have made these comments.

28

1 **Q.** To be clear, it's not simply Ms Davies or Mr Staunton,
2 I think the two people you're referring to --
3 **A.** Yes.
4 **Q.** -- there are contemporaneous materials with you making
5 complaints, saying, for example, "Am I prepared to make
6 a drama out of this? Yes, I am. I'm prepared to submit
7 a formal grievance. I'm prepared to make a claim for
8 destructive dismissal. My patience has expired", and
9 the like?
10 **A.** I was frustrated. I was frustrated, Mr Beer, yes, I can
11 confirm that's the case. But I think many CEOs and many
12 individuals operating in -- potentially in a role that,
13 as I described on Wednesday, bears no relation to the
14 one that I was recruited to do, and the complexity and
15 the leadership challenges associated with that role,
16 three years in, clearly was something that was
17 frustrating me, and I vented that frustration.
18 **Q.** You sought legal advice on your position?
19 **A.** Support -- well, no, it wasn't specifically legal
20 advice. I did obviously -- I discussed it with other
21 colleagues and friends -- not colleagues within the
22 business but other colleagues.
23 **Q.** You sought PR advice?
24 **A.** As I say, with other colleagues and friends.
25 **Q.** I'm not going to go to the text messages that you

29

1 writer gets her usual break.
2 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Fine. Thank you.
3 **MR STEIN:** Sir, I can confirm I have spoken to Mr Jacobs,
4 who will remind me to take that break at around that
5 time in about 25 minutes.
6 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Thank you.
7 **Questioned by MR STEIN**
8 **MR STEIN:** Mr Read, I think you're aware that I represent
9 a large group of subpostmasters. I just want to break
10 that down so you've got an understanding of who it is
11 that's within that group.
12 The large group that I represent, includes people
13 from the GLO claimants at the High Court. It includes
14 people that have been convicted of offences by the Post
15 Office, or thereafter, once the Post Office stopped
16 prosecuting people itself. It includes people that were
17 branch managers, such as Peter Holmes, deceased,
18 represented by Marion Holmes, who appears in this
19 Inquiry and sits beside me today. It includes people
20 who were working in branches, Ms Falcon, who was one of
21 the last people convicted using Horizon data, who was
22 an employed people within a branch. It includes people
23 like Dr Linnell, a forensic accountant who, in fact, was
24 not a subpostmaster or working in a branch but has
25 devoted a considerable amount of her time and her

31

1 exchanged with Ms Davies but in one of them you said,
2 "I've gained advice on my legal position and PR advice
3 on how I intend to handle this".
4 Did you threaten to resign as CEO unless you were
5 given higher remuneration?
6 **A.** No, as I say, I was very frustrated at that particular
7 time but I'm still very much in role now. So I didn't
8 offer my resignation or tender my resignation, or
9 anything of that nature.
10 **MR BEER:** Mr Read, those are my questions. Thank you very
11 much for answering them.
12 Sir, we've now got questions from four Core
13 Participants, starting with Mr Stein for about an hour,
14 then Mr Moloney for about 45 minutes, then questions by
15 Ms Allan for about ten minutes and then questions on
16 behalf of the NFSP for about 15 minutes.
17 So over to Mr Stein, for about an hour.
18 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** When you say for about an hour, we've
19 been going about three-quarters of an hour, so that
20 would be a fairly long session. Can we just confirm
21 that the transcriber is happy with that or will Mr Stein
22 need to take a break at some point?
23 **MR BEER:** I have already spoken to Mr Stein about it and
24 said that he should take a break at 11.30 or about 11.30
25 when a convenient moment arises so that the shorthand

30

1 partner's time in supporting postmasters.
2 And it includes importantly the families of those
3 people, who, although we don't, in a legal sense
4 represent, we support and we try and we speak to
5 whenever we can.
6 This is a large group of people, a broad church of
7 people; do you understand that?
8 **A.** I understand.
9 **Q.** The final small group of people we represent are current
10 postmasters.
11 So that is the direction of travel that we take, in
12 relation to the questions I am asking you today,
13 Mr Read.
14 Mr Read, shortfall money: where has the money gone,
15 Mr Read?
16 **A.** As you've heard in this Inquiry on a number of
17 occasions, there have been external forensic accountants
18 looking at this particular problem, trying to assess
19 what it is that has gone and where it has gone to. The
20 current piece of work on this topic has identified
21 a figure somewhere in the region of £36 million between
22 1999 and 2015.
23 The work itself was conducted mainly on
24 an assessment of the HSS and the OC schemes, in terms of
25 who has projected what by way of losses. The challenge,

32

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 of course, is that monies that have been repaid into or
2 through suspense accounts, and the like, could easily
3 have been customer money, client money, as opposed to
4 necessarily specifically Post Office money, and so the
5 proportion that goes straight to the bottom line, for
6 want of a better word, within the Post Office, can be
7 quite obscured.

8 I guess what I'm trying to say is we think we have
9 got a figure of somewhere in the region of £36 million
10 spread across those years. I don't think it is as
11 definitive as we would like it to be. I think the fact
12 that it is known as Project Boland within the Post
13 Office, I think KPMG were the last forensic accountants
14 to look at this problem.

15 You'll be fully aware that data going back a number
16 of years is extremely difficult in the Post Office to
17 identify very often, and that is our best endeavour, in
18 terms of where we've got to. As I understand, it is
19 going to be reviewed again but it is a frustration and
20 I appreciate it's a frustration. We've the talked about
21 this topic at the Inquiry on a number of occasions.

22 **Q.** You're right, Mr Read. I've raised this time and time
23 again --

24 **A.** Indeed.

25 **Q.** -- witness after witness. I asked Mr Cameron on 17 May
33

1 clearly by definition, people have paid in losses
2 themselves and have not alerted the Post Office. We
3 don't have the level of data that goes back or the
4 accuracy of the data that goes back and, as everybody is
5 fully aware, that is a great frustration and, as I say,
6 this is predominantly based upon what victims of the
7 scandal have told us through the HSS, and indeed through
8 the OC, and our attempt to try and understand from that
9 mechanism. It isn't satisfactory.

10 **Q.** Mr Read, the shortfalls and the paying off of shortfalls
11 continues. You know that the YouGov report that was
12 commissioned by the Inquiry has received consultation
13 responses, demonstrating that people are still paying
14 off shortfalls; do you understand that?

15 **A.** It's very frustrating that people feel --

16 **Q.** Do you understand that, Mr Read? It's not about your
17 frustration. Do you know that to yourself?

18 **A.** I'm aware that people are paying for shortfalls. We've
19 made it very clear that the Review and Dispute button
20 and the Branch Support Centre will help individuals to
21 understand where discrepancies have occurred and, as
22 I said yesterday on a number of occasions, we are not
23 forcing individuals. There is a presumption of
24 innocence and it's really important that that message
25 lands.

35

1 2024 the very question I asked you: where has the money
2 gone?

3 **A.** Yes.

4 **Q.** I got told by Mr Cameron, after a number of other
5 questions, "Well, I think, you know, ask Nick Read.
6 He's the CEO". He said, "I mean, I'm not saying that
7 he's the one who's going to do the work but he's the one
8 that can marshal the resources and make it a priority
9 and ascertain if it is possible at this time of day", he
10 said, "to go back as far to 2005 or indeed before".

11 So these efforts to track down this money that
12 Sir Anthony Hooper, Sir Alan Bates, Kay Linnell --
13 Dr Linnell -- Second Sight, have been going on about for
14 so many years over the decades, when did they first
15 achieve a priority within the Post Office?

16 **A.** Trying to identify where the funds were, this project
17 has been running for some time and Mr Cameron is very
18 aware of it as well, under his tenure as the CFO,
19 clearly it fell within his remit. We reignited those in
20 light of the conversation that you had with Alisdair in
21 May and that's why the Project Boland has been
22 reignited.

23 We've been immensely frustrated. We've all been
24 frustrated that there isn't a simple answer to this
25 question. It's an extremely complex issue because,

34

1 We have struggled to engage more broadly and
2 communicate more broadly with many postmasters, as we
3 discussed yesterday, going through the YouGov survey.
4 But I'm very, very clear, and you can hear it from me in
5 this forum, that we are not enforcing people to make
6 good losses. We are suggesting that, where there is
7 an issue that they do not understand, we help them to
8 try and understand and, if we can't understand, then we
9 move on.

10 **Q.** Currently, when a subpostmaster pays off a shortfall, is
11 it investigated? Now, be careful about the answer to
12 this. There's the Dispute button, yes?

13 **A.** There is a Review and Dispute button.

14 **Q.** Right, if somebody presses the Dispute button, or Review
15 and Dispute button, then it seems that the current
16 policies mean there is an investigation; do you agree?

17 **A.** When you press the Review and Dispute button, it goes
18 through to the Branch Support Centre and we try and work
19 out with the postmaster what is the issue.

20 **Q.** Right, so the answer is actually yes, you could have
21 done that with a "Yes".

22 Okay, next one. When a subpostmaster calls the
23 helpline and says, "Look, I'm having a problem with the
24 account, I'm trying to balance, and there seems to be
25 a discrepancy", is that investigated? Yes, or no, if

36

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 you can please, Mr Read?

2 **A.** Yes, it is.

3 **Q.** Right. Let's look at the other type of shortfall, then.

4 So where someone does not press the Review and Dispute

5 button, where someone does not phone the helpline, for

6 whatever reason, is that investigated? So a shortfall

7 that is paid off by a subpostmaster which is not the

8 subject of pressing the button and not the subject of

9 calling the helpline, is that investigated?

10 **A.** If it isn't brought to the attention of the Branch

11 Support Centre then it won't be investigated because we

12 would be unaware of what had occurred --

13 **Q.** Now --

14 **A.** -- unless I'm misunderstanding you.

15 **Q.** -- a system within a branch --

16 Forgive me, Mr Read, you finish.

17 **A.** Unless I'm misunderstanding you, we would have to have

18 the discrepancy or the loss brought to our attention for

19 us to be able to investigate it. If people are still

20 paying in because they have done a branch -- done an end

21 of day, end of week, or a trading period reconciliation

22 and found that they've got cash and stock that doesn't

23 match what's on the Horizon, and they determine that

24 they want to pay that money in, then it's very

25 difficult, I think, as my understanding goes, for the

37

1 discrepancies that occur, and we start to address them

2 by theme, so that those that are occurring more

3 regularly -- and we discussed this yesterday, in terms

4 of moving keys or the like -- we address.

5 **Q.** Let's go back a couple of minutes into your evidence.

6 You agree that if the situation is that someone within

7 a branch pays off a shortfall that they don't bring to

8 the attention of the wider Post Office, maybe because

9 they're afraid to do so, maybe it's because the history

10 of this very scandal has affected them so that they

11 don't feel they can, or maybe it is because it's

12 a smallish amount of money and they just want to keep

13 trading without interruption, maybe for any one of those

14 reasons that they don't bring it that way to the

15 attention of the Post Office, the Post Office could be

16 monitoring this but isn't; do you agree?

17 **A.** No, I don't. I don't really understand your point

18 because we're very clear that, if you have a discrepancy

19 and you don't understand the genesis of that

20 discrepancy, then you must ring the Branch Support

21 Centre, you must press the Review and Dispute button and

22 we will help to understand why that is the case.

23 I'm very clear that it's a presumption of innocence

24 here and we will get on and support people and we are

25 doing considerably different work to understand the root

39

1 Post Office to be aware of that particular situation.

2 **Q.** When individuals within a branch account find they have

3 a shortfall, they're doing so based upon the data that

4 they have, which is also on the Horizon system; do you

5 agree?

6 **A.** Yes, that's correct.

7 **Q.** Right. So is it possible for the Post Office to start

8 looking at shortfalls that occur within branches that

9 are then paid off? What I mean is this: individuals who

10 are currently paying off discrepancies that they find,

11 that you know about through the YouGov report, at least,

12 that is still happening. Why doesn't the Post Office

13 actually start to analyse the shortfalls that are

14 occurring on their accounts?

15 **A.** Well, we have a discrepancy report and shortfall report.

16 Every single investigation that now occurs into

17 a shortfall and/or a discrepancy is recorded. So we

18 have an impact -- a branch impact -- sort of, programme,

19 I think it's called BIP, which identifies all the

20 shortfalls and identifies all the discrepancies, so that

21 that database is available for anybody in the Branch

22 Support Centre or anybody in the Support and

23 Reconciliation Centre to look into to see if there is

24 a commonality or a theme.

25 And what we do is obviously we look at the range of

38

1 cause of the issues and make sure we fix them, so I --

2 **Q.** Mr Read that's --

3 **A.** Maybe we're at different -- at cross purposes here.

4 **Q.** No, I don't think we are, Mr Read. That's the corporate

5 message you're spouting. The corporate message is,

6 "We're trying to change, we're trying to be different

7 from what we used to be, we're trying not to browbeat

8 the subpostmasters, we're hoping we are not prosecuting

9 anybody"; those are the messages you're essentially

10 trying to get out, okay? But it is clear from the

11 YouGov report that particularly long-term subpostmasters

12 are still paying off shortfalls. You know that, don't

13 you, Mr Read?

14 **A.** I would be very concerned if people were paying off

15 shortfalls that they felt were not as a consequence of

16 an action that may have taken --

17 **Q.** What do you mean concerned, Mr Read? That is

18 essentially what the YouGov report has identified: that

19 people are still paying off shortfalls themselves. It's

20 not just a concern: this is happening. Do you dispute

21 that, Mr Read?

22 **A.** No, I don't dispute it.

23 **Q.** Right.

24 **A.** They need to, as I've mentioned before, get in touch

25 with the Branch Support Centre if they believe that the

40

1 discrepancies that are being generated in branch bear no
2 relation to activity that they have been deployed in.
3 **Q.** Look at it from the subpostmaster point of view.
4 **A.** Yes.
5 **Q.** I'll turn at the end of my questions to what has
6 happened through your visits as part of reparations, as
7 part of meeting people that have been affected by the
8 scandal. You I know have gone on those visits and you
9 have done that and you have shown empathy, yes?
10 **A.** Yes.
11 **Q.** And they have affected you --
12 **A.** Very much so --
13 **Q.** -- we can see that.
14 **A.** -- yes.
15 **Q.** You know that the long history of this scandal --
16 **A.** Yes.
17 **Q.** -- has affected people working in brands currently, yes?
18 **A.** I agree.
19 **Q.** You're essentially saying, "We're trying to change and
20 we're trying to get that information across"?
21 **A.** Yes.
22 **Q.** You understand that the YouGov report is highlighting
23 the fact that people are still paying off using their
24 own money --
25 **A.** I do.

41

1 some of the postmasters who have had long service within
2 the Post Office, for perhaps some of the reasons you've
3 described, which is the level of trauma and the level of
4 mistrust. That is of great concern to me and that is
5 something that we need to address.
6 **Q.** I'm going to turn to a document that is called one of
7 the Postmaster Support Policies, of which there are
8 many, and it's the Postmaster Account Support document,
9 POL00448000. Now, Mr Read, you may have some
10 familiarity with these documents. On the original
11 they're bright red, as they come on to the screen they
12 appear to be rather dark --
13 **A.** Right.
14 **Q.** -- and we can see this one is version 4.0. In fact,
15 there's a slightly later version but they don't appear
16 to differ. This one is postmaster support policy,
17 Postmaster Account support. Can we go, please, to
18 page 8 of this document.
19 Sir, for your assistance, these are documents from
20 this year, 2024.
21 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Yes.
22 **MR STEIN:** If you scroll down to on that page, I think the
23 title is "The risk", which is paragraph 2.5. If we read
24 through that:
25 "Post Office can recover losses from a postmaster

43

1 **Q.** -- and perhaps there's a trend towards it being the
2 longer-term subpostmasters?
3 **A.** I think that's fair.
4 **Q.** So you understand that the message that you've been
5 trying to get across, perhaps it's not been received,
6 perhaps it's not even welcome, that the message is
7 a difficult one to get across?
8 **A.** Yes, and that was very much what I said yesterday: that
9 we are struggling to engage with the longer-term
10 postmasters, for the reasons that I think that you have
11 articulated very clearly.
12 **Q.** Mr Read, how much longer have you got at the Post
13 Office?
14 **A.** To the end of March.
15 **Q.** End of March. It's clear, I think you'll agree, that
16 there's work to be done in this area of shortfalls?
17 **A.** I would agree with that.
18 **Q.** Will you rededicate your remaining period of time, not
19 exclusively, but at least a part of it, to working on
20 the shortfalls, to making sure that people know and
21 understand that they don't have to pay it off, that
22 there is a way of sorting it out without having to use
23 their own money; will you do that?
24 **A.** I will certainly do that. More importantly, and I think
25 what, even more importantly is, we're just not reaching

42

1 when such losses are caused through negligence,
2 carelessness or error and Post Office has carried out
3 a reasonable and fair investigation, as set out in the
4 Postmaster Accounting Dispute Resolution policy, as to
5 the cause and reason for the loss and whether it was
6 properly attributed to the postmaster. Postmasters are
7 also responsible for losses caused by their assistants."
8 Let's start with the last sentence. That seems to
9 be an echo of the past, with postmasters being asked to
10 account for the losses caused by their assistants; is
11 that quite right?
12 **A.** I think it needs further clarity, in terms of what does
13 that specifically mean. I think what we -- my
14 interpretation of this is that the postmaster must be
15 responsible, obviously, for the assistant, the level of
16 training, the level of responsibility and the conduct of
17 the individual. I think the inference here is not quite
18 appropriate.
19 **Q.** No.
20 **A.** It needs tightening.
21 **Q.** It needs a bit of work, you might say, Mr Read. The
22 starting point of this part at 2.5 is:
23 "Post Office can recover losses from a postmaster,
24 when such losses are caused through negligence,
25 carelessness or error ..."

44

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 So the system is still saying to subpostmasters that
2 what can happen is that we may pursue you for losses.
3 The tenor of your evidence yesterday was that perhaps we
4 don't do that. What do you think about this policy?

5 **A.** Well, I think, as we said yesterday, one of the first
6 things that we need to do is make sure that negligence,
7 careless and/or error is described very clearly as in
8 what does it mean? So, as an example, negligence might
9 be leaving the safe door open during the middle of
10 trading in a busy branch. As an example, that could be
11 considered or deemed negligent.

12 But I think it needs a lot more clarity in terms of
13 what is it that these statements actually mean, and how
14 and who is interpreting them, and what are the
15 implications of those interpretations? So I think --
16 I think -- or I'd agree with you, in that sense, that
17 there's more work to be done.

18 **Q.** I asked a whole series of questions this morning about
19 shortfalls and people paying them off, what may be the
20 cause of it. It's this type of messaging which says
21 that "We may still go after you", that still exists this
22 year within the Post Office. It doesn't exactly help,
23 does it, Mr Read?

24 **A.** The tone is inappropriate.

25 **Q.** I'll go to a different document, please. This is

45

1 **A.** Okay.

2 **Q.** It should come up as "Repudiatory breach" -- right okay,
3 4.5, "Immediate termination". In fact, on the document
4 I've got, which is slightly later it's called
5 "Repudiatory breach", okay. So 4.5, "Immediate
6 termination":

7 "Post Office may only terminate a contract
8 immediately without notice where ..."

9 Then 4.6, and then further down, please. So 4.6, so
10 this is about immediate termination, okay, and these are
11 the sorts of breaches that can cause immediate
12 termination. 4.6, fifth bullet point down:

13 "Where discrepancies of a significant value have
14 been caused by the negligence, carelessness or error of
15 the postmaster, resulting in a loss to Post Office, and
16 which have been fully investigated by Post Office."

17 Okay?

18 Mr Read, my suggestion is that if we follow through
19 the documents that are in existence, that are live
20 today, that essentially the same message is going out,
21 even to the point of essentially saying, "You might be
22 sacked without notice"?

23 **A.** Well, I think a couple of points on that. What I'm
24 very, very clear about is there is no -- and there is no
25 termination without the Dispute Resolution Committee,

47

1 a document which is another Postmaster Support Policy.

2 Sir, there are quite a few of these.

3 This one is "Contract termination", and the
4 reference is POL00448206.

5 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Are all these documents 2024, Mr Stein?

6 **MR STEIN:** They are, sir. I can show the dates on these
7 things.

8 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** No, I will assume they are all 2024
9 unless you tell me otherwise.

10 **MR STEIN:** That's right, sir. You might at some stage ask
11 the Inquiry Team for copies of these but they are
12 changing so frequently, to be fair to the Post Office,
13 that it's difficult to identify the ones. The ones that
14 I've got, in fact, are slightly later ones than the ones
15 that I can find on Relativity.

16 **THE WITNESS:** Just for a point of help, the Postmaster
17 Support Policies, I think there are 12 in total, they go
18 through a yearly cycle of review and revision. The Risk
19 and Compliance Committee and the Board committee, which
20 is the ARC committee, which is -- upon which actually
21 postmasters sit, so Postmaster Non-Executives sits on
22 that committee, in terms of Elliot Jacobs in
23 particular --

24 **Q.** Can we go to paragraph 4.5, please. I'm conscious,
25 Mr Read, obviously of time. That's all.

46

1 which -- upon which sit two ex-postmasters, one of which
2 is the Chair of that committee, that oversees whether or
3 not we can dismiss or can close down an individual post
4 office or postmaster. So we've been very explicit that
5 we do have an independent postmaster or ex-postmaster
6 who sits on that committee.

7 **Q.** Your understanding, though, from my questions, is,
8 I believe, that you accept that there's work that needs
9 to be done, the tenor of these documents is still
10 saying, essentially, "We may go after you if we find
11 that there are losses to the Post Office through Horizon
12 shortfalls". That's still a message that's out there,
13 Mr Read. Do you accept that this needs, perhaps, at the
14 very least, a bit of rework?

15 **A.** I think there is some rework that needs to be done. We
16 can agree on that, Mr Stein.

17 **Q.** We know that, in relation to shortfalls, and I quote
18 here from the statement of Melanie Park -- for those
19 that wish to make a note, it's paragraph 97, page 46 of
20 her statement. Her statement for anyone's notetaking
21 purposes is WITN11600100. I do not need to go to the
22 document.

23 Ms Park, who will be giving later in this Inquiry,
24 says:

25 "However a branch is prevented from completing the

48

1 trading period end process and moving into the
2 subsequent trading period if it has not actioned all
3 transaction corrections, either by accepting them or
4 using the R&D function in Horizon and/or has a balance
5 remaining in the local suspense account."

6 Now, as I understand it, what Ms Park is going to be
7 telling us, therefore, is that unless you sort out the
8 shortfalls, you can't keep on going.

9 A. No, she's not going to tell you that.

10 Q. Okay. We'll ask her those questions.

11 A. Yes, you can.

12 Q. All right. One of the contractual requirements -- and
13 if we need to, we can go to it in the policy
14 documents -- is that the branches, the subpostmasters,
15 comply with visits from the Branch Assurance Team?

16 A. That's correct.

17 Q. Ms Park says about that, paragraph 55 this time:

18 "I would also like to make clear that no member of
19 any team that might ultimately investigate a discrepancy
20 arising from a Branch Assurance Visit will be present
21 during the Branch Assurance Visit."

22 Okay?

23 A. Mm.

24 Q. Shall I repeat that?

25 A. Yes, please.

49

1 today that again need work, which appear to be saying,
2 "Look", to the subpostmasters, "we may still go after
3 you for losses", yes?

4 A. *(The witness nodded)*

5 Q. Again, all needs work?

6 A. Yes, we've got more to do. There's always work to be
7 done.

8 Q. From the subpostmaster point of view, it might be said
9 that whatever you call the Branch Assurance Team, you
10 could call them the "Butterfly Club", Mr Read, it would
11 still be seen as being part of an investigation by the
12 Post Office into shortfalls that may lead to their
13 contract being terminated. That is the message that
14 comes across if you look at the system, Mr Read, and
15 that's the message which I think you're saying you don't
16 in fact want to come across to subpostmasters?

17 A. I certainly don't want that message to come across to
18 subpostmasters. I'm also very clear that the Branch
19 Assurance Team do one thing and one thing only: and
20 that's basically a stock check. They will count the
21 stock and they will count the funds. They won't do
22 anything else. They won't investigate anything. They
23 have no accountabilities, they have no job role
24 associated with anything other than a cash and stock
25 check. If we haven't made that clear for folk then we

51

1 Q. I rather mangled it. She's saying this:

2 "I would also like to make clear that no member of
3 any team that might ultimately investigate a discrepancy
4 arising from a Branch Assurance Visit will be present
5 during the Branch Assurance Visit."

6 Of course?

7 A. That's correct.

8 Q. So she's talking about, essentially, separation of
9 teams?

10 A. She is.

11 Q. So let's add this all up together. We've got
12 subpostmasters who are subject to a contractual
13 requirement to cooperate with visits from the Branch
14 Assurance Team, yes?

15 A. That's correct.

16 Q. The Branch Assurance Team may refer matters to
17 an Investigation Team within the Post Office?

18 A. Yes, to the Branch Support and Reconciliation Team.

19 Q. Mr Beer yesterday asked a number of questions about the
20 contract and about whether the terms used within that
21 contract, "Investigation Teams", was appropriate, and
22 you said yesterday, again, that needs work; you agree
23 and you recall that?

24 A. I do recall that, yes.

25 Q. Then we have the policies that we've been looking at

50

1 need to be much clearer in doing so.

2 The other point, I think, that is important to make
3 is the presumption of innocence still pervades, and that
4 is really very important. We are here to try and
5 resolve discrepancies, not to insist upon people making
6 them up, if they believe that not to be the case.

7 And you touched on the importance of not being able
8 to trade or move into the next trading period. If the
9 Review and Dispute button is pressed, if there's any
10 disagreement or misalignment associated with
11 a discrepancy, then it gets placed into, effectively,
12 a local suspense account, and you move on, and you
13 trade, and you trade the following week, and you trade
14 the following week after that. And we will then try and
15 resolve what the issue is and, if we can't resolve it,
16 then we have a dispute process that we can go through.
17 But we are not at any stage forcing people to make good
18 losses that they do not agree with.

19 Now, we may well have more to do in terms of our
20 engagement and communication, we've talked about that,
21 and I would agree that we still have pockets of
22 postmasters who are deeply, deeply troubled by what has
23 occurred historically and we need to address that.

24 Q. "Pockets" may be a slight understatement, Mr Read.
25 Looking at the figures you get through the YouGov

52

1 report, you've got significant numbers of people that
 2 are still doing this, they may be doing it in £10, £20,
 3 £50 or £100 but they're still doing it --
 4 **A.** *(The witness nodded)*
 5 **Q.** -- and those £10, £20, £50 adds up to millions, as you
 6 accept, over the years?
 7 **A.** Absolutely, it does.
 8 **Q.** Your figure of 36 million is growing, Mr Read.
 9 Now, my time has been set. I've asked Mr Jacobs to
 10 give me a note to say it is break time and it now is
 11 break time.
 12 **A.** Okay.
 13 **MR STEIN:** Fifteen minutes, please, sir.
 14 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** All right, certainly. So we resume at
 15 11.30, yes?
 16 **MR STEIN:** Thank you, sir.
 17 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Fine.
 18 **(11.16 am)**
 19 **(A short break)**
 20 **(11.31 am)**
 21 **MR BEER:** I think Mr Stein will notice that the Chairman has
 22 reappeared.
 23 **MR STEIN:** I thank Mr Beer for pointing that out.
 24 Mr Read, the background to this Inquiry, the
 25 background to the scandal, it's all been about the

53

1 "It should not be relying on Horizon data as the
 2 basis for such shortfall enforcement."
 3 Okay?
 4 Now, you went through this correspondence with
 5 Mr Beer, and I won't redo that. So we know that there
 6 was further communication between yourself and
 7 Mr Patterson, all right. We know that Mr Railton gave
 8 evidence and says that he's going to take up this
 9 particular cudgel or this particular stream of
 10 correspondence with Mr Patterson and he's going to
 11 attempt to deal with it as well, all right?
 12 **A.** *(The witness nodded)*
 13 **Q.** Okay. You'll recall that yesterday Mr Beer was asking
 14 you questions about a meeting of the SEG, that's the
 15 Strategic Executive Group. That was a meeting on
 16 Wednesday, 26 June 2024, starting at 11.00 am, and it
 17 was a discussion document put forward by Ms Gray and
 18 Mr Bartlett, which was disclosure to support police
 19 investigations.
 20 **A.** Yes.
 21 **Q.** Do you recall that?
 22 **A.** I do recall that.
 23 **Q.** Now, let's ask the specific question: had you, by
 24 26 June 2024, disclosed to Ms Gray and Mr Bartlett the
 25 correspondence that you received from Mr Patterson on

55

1 withholding by the Post Office of information that would
 2 have assisted subpostmasters, that would have assisted
 3 people that were being investigated, that would have
 4 assisted people that were going through the criminal
 5 courts or the civil courts or through audits, that's the
 6 background; do you understand that --
 7 **A.** Yes, of course.
 8 **Q.** -- from -- if I call them the Fraser judgments --
 9 **A.** Yes.
 10 **Q.** -- I hope Lord Justice Fraser will forgive me.
 11 **A.** Yes.
 12 **Q.** Have you ever kept back information that would assist in
 13 the investigation of matters, either through the
 14 criminal courts or through audits?
 15 **A.** No.
 16 **Q.** No? Because you no doubt understand the ramifications
 17 of doing such?
 18 **A.** That's correct.
 19 **Q.** Yet we know that Mr Patterson wrote a letter.
 20 Mr Patterson, I think, who is the European Director of
 21 Fujitsu, worldwide company, wrote a letter on 17 May
 22 this year saying that Fujitsu will not support any
 23 pursuit of any enforcement action, civil or criminal,
 24 against subpostmasters. It was a pretty clear letter,
 25 finishing with the line:

54

1 17 May 2024?
 2 **A.** Yes, she was aware of it, yes.
 3 **Q.** Had it gone to the Board?
 4 **A.** I said yesterday, it was discussed with Mr Tidswell, who
 5 was the Acting Chair at the time, and with Lorna
 6 Gratton, who was -- as you know, is the shareholder
 7 representative. I don't think the full Board had seen
 8 it. It was, as I say at the time, it was correspondence
 9 between two CEOs, as opposed to a board level decision.
 10 **Q.** Now, Mr Railton gave evidence saying that he had seen
 11 this correspondence as part of his pack, his evidence
 12 pack, before giving evidence. He clearly hadn't seen
 13 this document and this correspondence that you'd had
 14 with Mr Patterson at Fujitsu. How come the new Chair of
 15 Post Office hadn't been told about the correspondence
 16 with Mr Patterson where the Fujitsu company supporting,
 17 creating, essentially, and running the Horizon system is
 18 saying, "Don't use our data"? How come that hadn't got
 19 to Mr Railton?
 20 **A.** I don't think we saw the engagement with Mr Patterson in
 21 quite the same way as you have, and I think the point
 22 that I was trying to explain to Mr Beer and to Sir Wyn
 23 was that this was more of a spat than anything else.
 24 I don't believe that it was the degree that you're
 25 suggesting here and now. I think we were quite

56

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 affronted, obviously, by the communication that we
2 received from Mr Patterson, and that was something that
3 Owen Woodley and Neil Brocklehurst have continued to
4 take on over the summer.
5 **Q.** That's not actually the answer to my question. How come
6 Mr Railton hadn't seen the document until he had it from
7 the Inquiry in his evidence pack before he gave
8 evidence?
9 **A.** I don't know.
10 **Q.** Because Mr Railton's evidence, by that point, is that
11 he's coming in, he's suggesting that there's going to be
12 a turnaround of this particular ship and it's going to
13 be to rework the entire Post Office in relation to the
14 questions that concern subpostmasters, a good phrase he
15 used, he's going to reverse the polarity --
16 **A.** Yes.
17 **Q.** -- of the Post Office, so it's subpostmaster centric
18 rather than the other way round, rather than executive
19 centric, is the way I understand it. He's also looking
20 into the question of the Horizon system and whether NBIT
21 should go ahead, whether it should be replaced. It
22 seems that it should have been information that should
23 have gone to Mr Railton, and you don't know how it was
24 missed?
25 **A.** Quite possibly.

57

1 King's Counsel? I don't ask for what the content of
2 that advice was, I ask you whether you went to her,
3 Ms Gallafent; Nicola Greany, King's Counsel; Simon
4 Baker, King's Counsel. They are all King's Counsel
5 instructed by the Post Office and dealing with different
6 aspects of this Inquiry. Did you go to them and say,
7 "I've had this letter from Mr Patterson at Fujitsu, it
8 concerns me about disclosure, what should we do with
9 it", or something similar?
10 **A.** I don't know whether the email trails have been
11 disclosed in their entirety, but they may well have been
12 and, therefore, what guidance that General Counsel took,
13 I can't tell you that.
14 **Q.** When you get to the June meeting, the June meeting which
15 is the SEG meeting, which is a meeting that is
16 discussing the question of disclosure to support police
17 investigations, when a document has been put forward in
18 relation to that, did you or anyone around you, say to
19 themselves, "We'd better have a word with those police
20 investigations and make sure that they're aware of this
21 correspondence"?
22 **A.** No, I don't believe we did that. I think.
23 **Q.** I go back to my question. Have you been involved in the
24 withholding of information that may be relevant to
25 investigations that are ongoing?

59

1 **Q.** Now, you've explained in one of your answers just given
2 that, well, you thought this was a bit of a spat, that
3 was your word, a spat between, and you go on to say,
4 however it came about, maybe between two CEOs. Did you,
5 regarding that correspondence, take advice from
6 a criminal lawyer?
7 **A.** I took advice from my General Counsel, and --
8 **Q.** That's not the answer to my question, unless General
9 Counsel turns out to be a criminal lawyer.
10 **A.** It could possibly be that her training is in criminal
11 law, I'm not sure.
12 **Q.** Right. Did you purposefully decide, "Well, this is
13 something that has a relevance to investigations, this
14 is something that may be important to what's going on
15 with the police", which you're learning about through
16 the SEG meeting on 26 June?
17 **A.** Mm.
18 **Q.** Did you decide, "I'd better run this by a criminal
19 lawyer"?
20 **A.** No, I didn't decide that at all. I decided that I would
21 take the guidance of my Interim Chair, who is a lawyer,
22 and also the General Counsel and the shareholder
23 representative, and describe what it was that I was
24 communicating with Mr Patterson because --
25 **Q.** Did you take advice on this document from Ms Gallafent,

58

1 **A.** No, I haven't.
2 **Q.** Well, it seems that the answer is a "Yes, Mr Stein,
3 I have".
4 **A.** Well, I don't believe that to be the case, Mr Stein.
5 **Q.** Now, there are things called entrustment requirements
6 that are set by the Government in relation to the
7 operation of the Post Office, you agree?
8 **A.** Services of general economic interest.
9 **Q.** So the Post Office has a wider community service aspect
10 that is the subject of extra funding from Government?
11 **A.** Very much so.
12 **Q.** Just describing one of those, by way of example:
13 nationally, 99 per cent of the UK population to be
14 within 3 miles and 90 per cent of the population to be
15 within 1 mile of their nearest post office outlet. Yes?
16 **A.** That sounds correct.
17 **Q.** So using those as my example in relation to the
18 requirements set, we know there is, if you like, this
19 outreach by the Post Office that is directed into
20 communities, whereby it might not be economically
21 sensible to open up a post office if you want to make
22 money.
23 **A.** That's correct.
24 **Q.** The Government provides, essentially, compensation,
25 which I think is the word used, to the Post Office, to

60

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 allow the support for such branches; do you agree?

2 **A.** The expression I used was "subsidy".

3 **Q.** Fine. Now, in your statement, you refer to a review by

4 the Government to undertake a review of its policy for

5 the Post Office, and you refer to a letter from

6 Mr Kwarteng, a Member of Parliament -- I can't remember

7 whether he's still elected or not -- who was then the

8 Secretary of State for BEIS, dated 11 March 2022. Can

9 we go to that letter, please, it is POL00448435.

10 Thank you. We see the date of this letter. We see

11 who it is from, Secretary of State for Business, as it

12 was then called, and we see the recipients, it's you and

13 Mr Parker, the date is 11 March '22. Now, some of this

14 letter, in fact, confirms the commitment to the

15 requirements, essentially that the Government is asking

16 the Post Office to continue to commit to those

17 requirements, all right?

18 **A.** To the SGEIs, yes.

19 **Q.** I'm very grateful. So the particular part I'd like to

20 refer to, then, is not so much that, but at the bottom

21 of page 2 and top of page 3, please. Thank you.

22 Keeping in our minds the date 11 March 2022, we've got

23 this, "Future policy framework for the Post Office":

24 "Finally, I recognise the need to consider the

25 policy framework in the context of the changes to the

61

1 this policy review on the back of a strategic review

2 that we did ourselves in 2019/2020. So I'm not entirely

3 clear on your point other than, as I made the point

4 yesterday, the policy team within the Department has

5 been very focused on compensation. I think it would be

6 fair to say that their resources have been split between

7 doing compensation and initiating a policy review. I'm

8 as frustrated as anyone that we don't have a policy

9 review from the Government that we can build around

10 and --

11 **Q.** Mr Read, you may get the direction of my travel wrong.

12 It's not an attack upon the Post Office necessarily.

13 Why has it taken so long for the Government to

14 actually start thinking about the strategic direction o

15 Post Office, in terms of the way that Mr Railton was

16 talking about, the funding commitment, the long-term

17 funding commitment; why has it taken Government so long

18 to wake up?

19 **A.** I think you'll need to address those questions to

20 Government.

21 **Q.** Mr Read, you have been in post now for five years?

22 **A.** Yes.

23 **Q.** Tell us what you think has been happening with

24 Government. Why has there been a Government failure to

25 essentially support the subpostmasters to make sure that

63

1 wider environment and the new challenges you face, on

2 top of Covid-19, and ongoing work to resolve historical

3 matters. It is crucial we develop a sustainable,

4 long-term approach for the network, and I look forward

5 to working with you on this review."

6 Okay?

7 **A.** Yes.

8 **Q.** Now, that appears to be, from what I understand, the

9 review you're referring to in your statement, which was

10 requested by the Post Office.

11 **A.** Looks like it, yes.

12 **Q.** Yes, it does. We're now getting close to the end of

13 2024 and we learn from Mr Railton that the Post Office

14 is, in fact, saying to the Government, "Look,

15 Government, Post Office needs your long-term commitment

16 for long-term support. It needs money to be able to

17 provide a new system, IT system, to continue operation".

18 That's happening now. That seems to be all Mr Railton

19 is saying since he's come in.

20 Why has it taken so long, Mr Read, for anyone to

21 think about the result of this sort of review back in

22 '22; why has it taken so long, Mr Read?

23 **A.** I'm not entirely sure I'm clear with your point. The

24 Government's policy review is for the Government to

25 initiate. We pushed the Government in '21 to initiate

62

1 they understand that there is a long-term life for the

2 Post Office; why didn't you sell it that off to Amazon,

3 as Sir Alan suggests?

4 **A.** I think, looking back to the comments that Henry

5 Staunton made when he was in front of this Inquiry,

6 there was -- and there was a determination to get

7 through to the election and then, from the election, to

8 reset the Post Office. And I think that was certainly

9 the direction of travel that was indicated by the

10 Permanent Secretary 18 months ago. So I think that is

11 probably the underlying driver behind this.

12 I am very confident that Mr Railton's enthusiasm and

13 sense of purpose is going to drive the Government hard

14 on this, and he made that point very clearly earlier in

15 the week, and I fully expect that the Government will

16 obviously get hold of the Strategic Review and I hope

17 that they will dovetail that in, as we discussed

18 yesterday, to their own policy review and that we come

19 up with a sustainable strategy, long-term sustainable

20 strategy, for the Post Office.

21 Mr Railton implied on Tuesday that that would be in

22 the next two or three weeks, that the Department would

23 respond to that and I look forward to --

24 **Q.** You see that evidence from Mr Railton was remarkably

25 tight to the timing of his evidence and indeed yours.

64

1 So it seems that just before he was giving evidence that
2 something was done. Was it a letter that was sent to
3 Government saying that we need a commitment from
4 Government to fuller funding? Who compiled the document
5 that was sent to Government: was it another
6 organisation; was it the Post Office working with
7 another organisation; where did this impetus come from,
8 just before giving evidence by Mr Railton?

9 **A.** Mr Railton has been very clear that the terms of taking
10 on the chairmanship of the Post Office was that we would
11 do a strategic review. He was very clear about that and
12 that was part and parcel with his signing up to be
13 Interim Chairman. As a consequence of that commitment
14 from the Government, he engaged with Teneo to do a full
15 Strategic Review of the organisation, they said it would
16 take four months. The conclusion of that four months is
17 literally about now, so the timing is not unique, in
18 that sense. It's exactly what was laid out in the Teneo
19 work that started back in June.

20 **Q.** So the timing and the question of the timing relates to
21 Mr Railton's appointment?

22 **A.** Correct.

23 **Q.** Since he's come in, he's provided that extra impetus,
24 essentially to rattle the Government cage, to say what's
25 going on for the future of the Post Office?

65

1 of such documents. It is important that people working
2 within an organisation do, in fact, respect each other
3 and do trust each other, these are fundamental to any
4 organisation. But one of the matters that was marked
5 out, and I asked questions of Ms McEwan about these
6 documents, they don't mention subpostmasters. There
7 were two documents I asked her about, the People Plan
8 and then the Behaviours Plan, clearly directed at
9 internal employees.

10 How come under your watch, Mr Read, that there'd
11 been the production of these sorts of documents that
12 don't refer to subpostmasters in the way that frankly
13 you would expect after all of this scandal; how come,
14 Mr Read?

15 **A.** I think, as Ms McEwan referenced, you were talking
16 specifically about behaviours and about the importance
17 of how the Post Office internally transformed its
18 behaviours, and I think that was -- and remains --
19 a very valid and important part of the work that we need
20 to do to develop our ways of working and to develop our
21 behaviours. The explicit reference or explicit
22 inclusion of the postmasters, I can understand the
23 concern -- if that's the right word -- of you and your
24 clients. The objective of the People Plan, the
25 Strategic People Plan, was very much to shift the

67

1 **A.** Yes.

2 **Q.** What you can't say is why it's taken so long for the
3 Government to actually come up with anything itself to
4 guarantee the long-term work of the Post Office; you
5 don't know the answer to that?

6 **A.** Well, as I say, I think the policy team has been very
7 focused on driving compensation and that is why they
8 have not taken the policy review forward in the way that
9 I'd articulated yesterday and the day before, which was
10 the first time we would have had a policy review since
11 2010.

12 **Q.** Right. Well, we've got some Government witnesses coming
13 along, and past ministers --

14 **A.** Indeed.

15 **Q.** -- so I look forward to asking them questions about
16 that.

17 Now, we've had various references to strategic
18 plans, we've had various references to Chief People
19 Officers and to reviews that relate to outside
20 organisations drafting up something that comes back with
21 corporate speak saying that everyone needs to be nice to
22 each other and everyone needs to remember that there are
23 other people working in a collegiate way within the
24 organisation.

25 Now, I don't in any way seek to undermine the value

66

1 culture internally, such that it was supporting
2 postmasters.

3 It isn't our place to -- and certainly isn't my
4 place -- to instruct postmasters on how we behave.
5 I think it is our place to communicate to postmasters
6 what we're trying to achieve and that's what we will be
7 doing through our behaviours documents and through our
8 ways of working documents.

9 **Q.** I have asked you questions about shortfalls, about the
10 policy questions.

11 **A.** Yes.

12 **Q.** You agree that they need review.

13 **A.** *(The witness nodded)*

14 **Q.** I'm now asking you questions about these sorts of people
15 plans and the fact that they miss out references to
16 subpostmasters.

17 **A.** *(The witness nodded)*

18 **Q.** There still appears to be a trend within the Post Office
19 to miss out subpostmasters as being a group that the
20 Post Office is, in fact, dedicated towards. Will you
21 again, in the remaining months of your period of time at
22 the Post Office, review these sorts of documents, ask
23 the people within the Post Office "Why aren't we
24 referring to subpostmasters where we should be?" Again,
25 will you deal with that?

68

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 A. I will.
 2 Q. Thank you.
 3 Now, Mr Railton's evidence was something that was
 4 set out so that we understand and we await the result of
 5 the review and the discussions with Government, whereby
 6 there's going to be this recommitment, rededication of
 7 the Post Office towards subpostmasters. We have
 8 messages from people we represent who are current
 9 subpostmasters to say that they're not feeling the love,
 10 Mr Read. Let me read one:
 11 "As a person who is still working behind the Post
 12 Office Counter, acting postmaster at the same branch,
 13 that broke me as an individual. We have had no sight of
 14 any increase but only decrease to say that if we do not
 15 meet certain targets on services we will lose them.
 16 That's all we've had. I'd be extremely concerned of
 17 what the Post Office has to offer in this new strategy
 18 [the one that's being discussed with Mr Railton], as I'm
 19 sure it's any going to be in benefit for Post Office
 20 Limited and the staff that actually work for Post
 21 Office, rather than people on the actual ground who earn
 22 them the money and pay their wages while we get the
 23 crumbs."
 24 The message goes on to say:
 25 "I'd definitely push for this plan to have been seen

69

1 three days.
 2 I don't recognise your closing of branches notion.
 3 It's not something that we have been doing recently, so
 4 I don't follow that particular train of thought. We're
 5 not seeing churn numbers as dramatic as might be implied
 6 and, indeed, the stability of the network and the desire
 7 of people to open branches is frankly, given the last
 8 nine months, surprisingly robust.
 9 Notwithstanding what you're saying, Nigel's
 10 commitment to a new deal for postmasters, his words,
 11 polarity, I think we've tried, and this is the next
 12 phase of that. We have been engaging, and I know that
 13 Nigel made that point with the NFSP and with the Voice
 14 of the Postmaster, and with other postmaster groups, to
 15 discuss the issues that they think need to be addressed,
 16 so that we can bring those into our Strategic Review and
 17 that's absolutely what has been done and is ongoing.
 18 Q. Mr Read, what it appears to be is that there is
 19 a considerable and too far distance between the office
 20 of the CEO, probably the Board as well, and the
 21 individual subpostmasters working perhaps in small
 22 branches in small or low density population areas.
 23 That's what it appears to be, that this message that you
 24 keep on wanting to say -- I understand why and must get
 25 out -- it is not getting out. We've seen that from the

71

1 before Government agree, as these people are only pencil
 2 pushers sitting behind a desk with a massive income.
 3 They have no idea of the struggles we have on the
 4 ground."
 5 So people in branches are not feeling the love,
 6 Mr Read.
 7 There are still plans -- as we learnt from the
 8 current Chair of the NFSP, the West Linton branch -- to
 9 close these Hard to Place branches.
 10 Surely, if there is this root and branch review that
 11 is ongoing to discuss the future of the Post Office,
 12 with a change of polarity for the Post Office to
 13 recentre itself towards subpostmasters, surely all of
 14 these closures, these branch closures, should stop?
 15 Surely there should be a moratorium on actions taken in
 16 relation to subpostmasters? Draw a line, Mr Read, to
 17 say, "If we're going to be changing, let's make sure
 18 that the subpostmasters understand there's going to be
 19 a change"; what do you think, Mr Read?
 20 A. Well, I think Mr Railton made it very clear yesterday --
 21 sorry, on Tuesday -- that at the core of the Strategic
 22 Review is the relationship with postmasters but a new
 23 deal, I think, was the expression he used, for
 24 postmasters, and a new deal is absolutely central to
 25 moving forward. We've talked about that over the last

70

1 YouGov report, we see it from such correspondence.
 2 You need to close that distance. You need to make
 3 to sure that the communication is effective; do you
 4 accept that?
 5 A. Well, as I said, we do need to get closer to it.
 6 Q. Let me turn, then, to the schemes, the compensation
 7 schemes. I'm just going to describe what they are to
 8 make sure that we've got the sheer number of them.
 9 There is the Group Litigation scheme, the GLO
 10 scheme. That one is run by the Department for Business
 11 and Trade. There is the Horizon Convictions Redress
 12 Scheme, which the Department for Business and Trade is
 13 also administering, which deals with redress for people
 14 whose criminal convictions are overturned by
 15 legislation. Yes?
 16 A. Correct.
 17 Q. There is the Overturned Convictions Scheme -- the Post
 18 Office runs this one -- dealing with redress for people
 19 whose Horizon-related criminal convictions have been
 20 overturned by the courts, yes?
 21 A. That's correct.
 22 Q. There is the Horizon Shortfall Scheme -- Post Office is
 23 administering that one -- administering the Horizon
 24 shortfalls. That was established in 2020 to provide
 25 redress for postmasters who were not claimants in the

72

1 Group Litigation?

2 **A.** That's correct.

3 **Q.** Okay. So two schemes being run by the Department of

4 Business and Trade and two being run by the Post Office?

5 **A.** That's correct.

6 **Q.** Okay. In addition to that, we also have a Suspension

7 Remuneration Review being run by the Post Office, yes?

8 **A.** Yes, that's correct.

9 **Q.** That's for individuals who have been essentially

10 highlighted by Lord Justice Fraser's judgments, those

11 people that have been suspended whilst investigations

12 were carried on and its redress being considered in

13 relation to those suspensions; is that correct?

14 **A.** That's correct.

15 **Q.** There is also an Adequacy of Payments under the

16 Shortfall Scheme, a review of the shortfall scheme

17 payments, because it is being considered that some

18 payments were inadequate; do you agree?

19 **A.** That's correct.

20 **Q.** Then, unless you're going to tell me there's another

21 one, there is a Stamp Compensation Scheme that is

22 ongoing as well; is that correct?

23 **A.** That's correct.

24 **Q.** So the evidence in relation to stamps was given,

25 I think, by the NEDs in part. That was because another

73

1 reason he has been given, and I quote, is:

2 "... evidential uncertainty as a result of gaps

3 within the supporting evidence provided."

4 Okay?

5 Now, in the questions being asked yesterday by

6 Mr Beer, he took you to a document whereby one of the

7 ministers at the department was concerned about the

8 question of evidential support and essentially saying,

9 that's Mr Hollinrake, that give the people the benefit

10 of the doubt, in relation to evidence.

11 Do you, Mr Read, believe that subpostmaster

12 claimants should be given the benefit of the doubt

13 across all schemes?

14 **A.** I don't have the detail to be able to comment across all

15 schemes but I've been very clear that the evidential bar

16 must remain low and the evidential bar must be such that

17 the benefit of the doubt is for postmasters, and I've

18 been very clear about that and I've said that here on --

19 in this Inquiry and I do believe that. And that is

20 exactly what should happen. That --

21 **Q.** It needs to be believed, doesn't it, Mr Read? I'm

22 butting in because of something you said earlier in your

23 evidence. You're explaining about the real difficulties

24 for the Post Office in looking at shortfalls because of

25 the amount of time it goes back through, back through

75

1 debacle committed by the Post Office was in relation to

2 stamps, how it was dealt with and interference in branch

3 accounts. Have I missed any schemes?

4 **A.** Yes, there are other schemes.

5 **Q.** Right. Are they schemes that are dealing with financial

6 redress to individuals?

7 **A.** That's correct.

8 **Q.** What are they?

9 **A.** There is a Process Scheme that is currently in pilot as

10 well, at the moment and there are, I don't recall off

11 the top --

12 **Q.** Help us understand what a Process Scheme means?

13 **A.** Again, it's a pilot to try and understand if there are

14 other areas of discrepancy that people have come forward

15 and said, "We have areas where we think there may be

16 detriment". So we're looking at all of those. We want

17 to be very open and transparent and, if there are people

18 who come forward and say that they have experienced some

19 form of detriment, we want take sure that we are

20 addressing it head on.

21 **Q.** Let me give you an example of the experience of one

22 individual we represent. It's Mr Peter Worsfold. He

23 has finally had his offer on his GLO scheme claim last

24 week. Across multiple heads of loss, he has been

25 offered only 70 per cent of what he claimed, and the

74

1 into the early 2000s, and indeed, there are questions

2 being asked about another electronic scheme called

3 Capture, so it may go even earlier than that.

4 Those evidential difficulties in trying to analyse

5 shortfalls are the same sorts of problems that some

6 people are also experiencing with trying to get their

7 way through these schemes.

8 **A.** Mm.

9 **Q.** So's a common problem that you understand from the Post

10 Office point of view and the subpostmaster point of

11 view, don't you?

12 **A.** Yes, I do understand that.

13 **Q.** Which is why the benefit of the doubt should be given,

14 if a subpostmaster --

15 **A.** I agree.

16 **Q.** -- says "This is what's happened, I'm afraid I can't

17 find the document, I buried it, I lost it, I didn't want

18 to think about it", that can affect whether they have

19 the documentation, can't it?

20 **A.** Of course it can, yes.

21 **Q.** Now, the Horizon Convictions Redress Scheme, which is

22 there to deal with criminal convictions overturned by

23 legislation, as we understand it, 335 letters have been

24 sent out to roughly a cohort of well over 1,000 people

25 that were convicted over the years through the Post

76

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 Office's prosecutions and the scandal. 335 letters
2 doesn't seem to be the right number, Mr Read?
3 **A.** Is this the scheme that's run by the Government or is it
4 the scheme that's run by --
5 **Q.** That's the Government --
6 **A.** Yes.
7 **Q.** -- and it's a scheme that relates to the convictions
8 that are being quashed through legislation?
9 **A.** Understood.
10 **Q.** 335 letters only have been sent out. So, essentially,
11 it seems as though there have been identified 335 people
12 to get letters that deal with their convictions, telling
13 them that they've been cleared. More work needs to be
14 done in this area, it is clear; do you accept that?
15 **A.** This is the Government scheme so it's difficult for me
16 to comment on the detail of --
17 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Well, I was going to ask you, Mr Read,
18 does the Post Office have any involvement in
19 administering that scheme, and I use the word "any": for
20 example, is it incumbent upon the Post Office to try and
21 identify people which it prosecuted?
22 **A.** We obviously --
23 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** That's just by way of an example.
24 **A.** I mean, I was about to come on to tracing. I think
25 that's clearly what we're discussing here, is the

77

1 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Fine.
2 **MR STEIN:** So what this means, Mr Read, is this: that it
3 seems almost five months from the passing of the Act,
4 which is the Post Office Horizon System Offences Act
5 2024, more than 60 per cent of the victims of this
6 scandal do not know that they've been vindicated.
7 That's what appears to be the current situation.
8 **A.** If that's what you're telling me. I'm not familiar with
9 those statistics, to be fair. It's a --
10 **Q.** On the giving of information, so that the scheme can
11 have its operation, so that people can know that they've
12 been vindicated, so that they know they're entitled to
13 have compensation, you've just answered the questions
14 from the chair in relation to information going from
15 Post Office. That's clearly a job that the Post Office
16 needs to do.
17 Have you considered this with the NFSP because they
18 will also have lists of individuals that have been
19 members over the years? Have you considered that
20 possibility of joint working with the NFSP, get the
21 message out, but also whether they have names that could
22 assist?
23 **A.** I don't know whether that's been considered. It may
24 well have been considered. This isn't specifically
25 an accountability that I'm particularly close to, to be

79

1 ability to trace and, clearly, we have an accountability
2 for that because many of the records will be held by
3 Post Office. But, as we've already discovered, records
4 aren't, and data isn't, as --
5 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** But what's interesting me, following
6 Mr Stein's questions, is whether there is a process, as
7 between the Post Office and a government department, and
8 again, I'm using the words "a government department"
9 because there's the possibility that the Department of
10 Trade is involved, there's the possibility that the
11 Ministry of Justice is involved. What is the process
12 for trying to track down all these people?
13 **A.** I don't have the specific details but you're absolutely
14 right that there is an operational agreement between the
15 Post Office and the Department for Business and Trade
16 because the Department for Business and Trade is
17 obviously responsible for this particular scheme. The
18 mechanics of how that scheme works and what the
19 operational KPIs, in terms of the sort of time it takes
20 to do disclosure, and the time that it takes to do the
21 tracing, and the investigation -- or not the
22 investigation, but the ability to diagnose those
23 databases is obviously something that is part of the
24 operational agreement between the two parties. I don't
25 have the specifics behind that.

78

1 fair.
2 **Q.** But it's work that needs doing?
3 **A.** Oh, clearly it is, yes.
4 **Q.** Would you join with making a request to the Chair that
5 the Chair himself would, if he would be so kind, send
6 a message out to all media outlets -- and I think it's
7 sometimes called a blog these days, I don't know whether
8 we'll do a blog -- but it would assist if this Inquiry
9 set itself out and made a very clear message to all of
10 those people that are being dealt with so harshly by the
11 Post Office, that are being convicted, to come forward,
12 yes?
13 **A.** I absolutely want that to occur. We've talked to the
14 CCRC, we've talked to the Advisory Board, we've talked
15 to the Inquiry, we've talked to the drama that was
16 played at Christmas, in an attempt to try and get
17 communication out for people to come forward. We have
18 tried numerous and multiple attempts at tracing and
19 we've used Citizens Advice, we've used many other
20 outlets to try and do this. I agree with you, Mr Stein,
21 it's very, very frustrating but we must continue to try
22 and push this.
23 **Q.** Do you accept that there's more joined-up work to be
24 done in relation to this, with the NFSP. There are
25 three firms of solicitors that represent number of

80

1 people --
2 **A.** Absolutely.
3 **Q.** -- before this Inquiry. They should be tapped for their
4 expertise?
5 **A.** Absolutely.
6 **Q.** They're conveniently all starting with the letter H:
7 Howe+Co, Huddells and Hodge Jones & Allen.
8 **A.** I agree.
9 **Q.** Okay, so use their expertise, I respectfully suggest, in
10 making sure that the message goes out?
11 **A.** I agree.
12 **Q.** Lastly, as mentioned earlier, you and others within the
13 Post Office have been meeting with our clients --
14 **A.** That's correct.
15 **Q.** -- and taking part in meetings that I know have been
16 difficult for you, obviously incredibly difficult for
17 the people that meet with you?
18 **A.** Indeed.
19 **Q.** You're leaving the Post Office, other people that have
20 been taking part in those schemes are also leaving the
21 Post Office. How are you going to make sure that the
22 Post Office keeps its -- the term used is "corporate
23 memory" -- keeps its memory of the effect of what
24 happened to people?
25 What are you going to do to make sure that people

81

1 and I asked him questions about whether Fujitsu, the
2 company, broad shoulders financially, is prepared to
3 support people that have been affected through this
4 scandal. What I mean is the family members, the
5 children who watched their parents go through hell, that
6 have had their education disrupted, that may have been
7 put off an entrepreneurial career through this scandal.
8 There is no scheme that deals with those individuals at
9 the moment whatsoever.
10 Have you had any communication from Fujitsu to the
11 Post Office saying, "What a good idea, let's get
12 something done for the families, those people affected
13 in that way"; have you had any communication from
14 Fujitsu to that line?
15 **A.** I don't believe I have. I --
16 **Q.** Has the Post Office set up any schemes that are looking
17 in the direction of support of providing educational
18 support, bursaries and the like, in relation to those
19 people affected in that way, who are not currently
20 affected by the schemes?
21 **A.** We are still having conversations with DBT about this.
22 I can assure you, and you'll be able to talk to Simon
23 Recaldin next week, that both of us are absolutely clear
24 that the family of -- the families of victims need to be
25 considered in this process. And there are two lines of

83

1 working in the Executive Team, the Directors that are
2 not postmasters, actually understand the depth of hurt
3 and feeling of this scandal?
4 **A.** We're doing a number of things. I think, as you
5 mentioned, I've done a number of restorative justice
6 meetings over the past couple of years, I think 37
7 I have attended. I speak at great length to the
8 organisation, at my town halls and at my 10@10 meetings,
9 to try and convey the trauma and the harm -- is the word
10 that I tend to use -- that had been inflicted upon many
11 of the families involved. I have spoken to Government
12 about it and, obviously, encouraged my Board members and
13 my Group Executive members to attend the restorative
14 justice meetings. I think it helps shape the solutions
15 that we should come up with and help people in the
16 organisation to understand the implications of this.
17 We've recently instigated, as Ms McEwan mentioned,
18 online and e-training for all colleagues in the Post
19 Office to help people understand just what the Post
20 Office has put many of your clients through.
21 **Q.** Let me square a particular circle. You've mentioned
22 families.
23 **A.** Yes.
24 **Q.** Mr Patterson, the European Director of Fujitsu, when he
25 gave evidence before this Inquiry, answered my questions

82

1 investigation for us here: one is working with DBT to
2 bring this to life. We do believe it is very important,
3 and I mentioned it in my witness statement, that it's
4 something that I personally am very engaged in. And,
5 secondly, we've obviously discussed, and at the
6 restorative justice meetings as well, just precisely
7 what -- some form of memorial, some form of ability to
8 remember what has occurred, and how do we put that into
9 practice. So those are the two lines of investigation
10 that I am pursuing with DBT.
11 **Q.** I have asked you on a number of occasions through the
12 questions I asked you within what is, I'm afraid, sadly
13 over an hour, in the remaining post that you have at the
14 Post Office, to take on particular tasks. I ask you one
15 more.
16 There are going to be communications with Fujitsu
17 regarding the question of how they're dealing with the
18 Horizon system.
19 **A.** Yes.
20 **Q.** We know that. Mr Railton is committed to that; you have
21 had past communications. In whoever is conducting the
22 communications with Fujitsu, can this topic again be
23 raised: support making good the positive answer that we
24 have from Mr Patterson, that Fujitsu does think it's
25 a good idea to try and assist those people, the family

84

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 members, that are outside all these schemes?
 2 Perhaps by working hand in hand with the Post
 3 Office, something good can come out of this scandal to
 4 support those family members that have also been
 5 affected. Do you also commit to that as well?
 6 **A.** I think it's a very good idea, Mr Stein.
 7 **MR STEIN:** Thank you. Excuse me one moment. I just need to
 8 check -- thank you.
 9 **THE WITNESS:** Thank you.
 10 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Before the next questioner, Mr Read, can
 11 I be clear on the list of schemes that you and Mr Stein
 12 agreed were in existence, that the Suspension
 13 Remuneration Scheme, that is administered by the Post
 14 Office, I take it, and the decisions about how much to
 15 offer are made by the Post Office, having taken advice;
 16 is that the position?
 17 **A.** That's correct, sir. Just to bring that to life, in
 18 Fraser J's judgment, he noted that suspensions had not
 19 been on full pay, so there were individuals who were
 20 suspended with no pay at all. We're trying to address
 21 that particular shortcoming.
 22 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Right. What was described as the
 23 adequacy of HSS payments, if that is to be considered
 24 a separate scheme from HSS, nonetheless, that is
 25 administered by the Post Office, and who is the decision

85

1 **MS WATT:** Good afternoon, Mr Read. I'm over here if you can
 2 see me. We do sometimes have a little difficulty with
 3 this.
 4 I ask questions on behalf of the NFSP. I am here
 5 today with Tim Boothman who is the Chair of the NFSP,
 6 you may be able to just about see him around the pillar.
 7 **A.** I can't. Hello to you.
 8 **Q.** I want to ask you some questions, a number of different
 9 questions. I think you would agree, in the light of the
 10 Horizon scandal, that one of the most important
 11 initiatives for the Post Office is its replacement, and
 12 getting confidence that nothing like Horizon can happen
 13 again; would that be right?
 14 **A.** That would be right.
 15 **Q.** At paragraph 11 of your witness statement, you say that
 16 the Post Office has been unable yet to deliver a new and
 17 bespoke IT system for postmasters. It's understood that
 18 that new system to be introduced is called NBIT, New
 19 Branch IT; is that right?
 20 **A.** That's correct.
 21 **Q.** Is it the case that the NBIT system was originally due
 22 to be in place in 2025, and that those postmasters in,
 23 for instance, what we know as the Hard to Place scheme,
 24 were scheduled by the Post Office to leave the network
 25 before NBIT went live?

87

1 maker in relation to that?
 2 **A.** The Remediation Committee oversees the schemes that we
 3 manage and, clearly, as I discussed yesterday, the
 4 funding will come from Government, and so they will be
 5 involved from an operational perspective in terms of
 6 what the parameters of those schemes are.
 7 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Yes. But can I take it, if it has been
 8 fully formulated -- if it hasn't been fully formulated
 9 please tell me -- but is the adequacy of HSS payments
 10 effectively being determined -- I'm not saying by the
 11 same people -- but by the same process as the HSS
 12 payments themselves, namely, that an independent panel
 13 look at it, and make a recommendation to the Post
 14 Office?
 15 **A.** The scheme itself hasn't been fully formed, sir. We --
 16 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** All right, so stop there. There isn't
 17 yet a process which I can say, "Right, that's what
 18 they're doing", sort of thing?
 19 **A.** That's correct, sir.
 20 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Fine. Thank you. Next one, please.
 21 **MR BEER:** Yes, due to the operation of Mr Stein's watch, we
 22 are going to rejig things slightly for logistical
 23 reasons. It's Ms Watt on behalf of the NFSP.
 24 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Right.

Questioned by MS WATT

86

1 **A.** That would be logical. I think we certainly, when we
 2 set out in March '21, which was the original date for
 3 initiating the programme of NBIT, we had envisaged that
 4 the replacement for Horizon would be in place by '25.
 5 Insofar as your link to the Hard to Place branches,
 6 that's obviously a scheme that was established during
 7 Network Transformation. It's a scheme that has
 8 extended, I think by nearly 10 years in total. So I'm
 9 not quite sure the two necessarily dovetail together.
 10 I don't think there is a particular link between those
 11 two schemes.
 12 **Q.** Is it the case that the Post Office has already
 13 purchased at least some of the hardware and that by the
 14 time NBIT eventually rolls out, that hardware could be
 15 out of date?
 16 **A.** We've certainly purchased some of the hardware. I don't
 17 know the specifics of whether it'll be out of date or
 18 not out of date but, yes, we have.
 19 **Q.** Are you familiar with and do you agree with the Computer
 20 Weekly report that we've heard about which states that
 21 the development of NBIT will cost taxpayers over
 22 £1 billion, lacks quality, and could be unachievable?
 23 **A.** It's quite a lot to unpack in that particular statement
 24 by Computer Weekly. I think it's fair to say that the
 25 replacement programme for NBIT has certainly increased

88

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 in cost, in terms of our budget, and is certainly going
2 to take longer to deploy. I don't think, at this stage,
3 that it is realistic to suggest that it's not going to
4 be fit for purpose. Certainly, the 250 postmasters who
5 are involved in the IT forum that helps shape the
6 development of NBIT haven't provided that level of
7 feedback.

8 I would agree, it is definitely slower than we would
9 have anticipated and I would also agree that the
10 difficulty of getting off Horizon in 2015 that was
11 experienced by IBM, which was the first time that we, as
12 I understand it, wanted to get off Horizon, those
13 challenges are just as prevalent today, and I think the
14 level of complexity associated -- the technical
15 complexity associated with getting off Horizon has been
16 underestimated, and that is one of the core reasons why
17 the delays have and the costs have increased.

18 **Q.** Would you accept that the Post Office has not been fully
19 open and transparent about the development of NBIT as it
20 should be, especially in the light of Horizon?

21 **A.** No, I don't think that's the case. I think we have
22 wrestled with getting the delivery of the releases out
23 on time. As I mentioned before, we have number of
24 postmasters who are helping us with this in terms of the
25 IT forums, and we believe that we've been as open and

89

1 about where we'd got to with NBIT and the progress that
2 we were making. So I don't necessarily agree with that
3 statement, no.

4 **Q.** I'd like to turn to a different topic now. In
5 paragraph 20 of your witness statement, you state that
6 around 4,000 Post Office's are loss-making and loss
7 making also for the postmasters who operate them, and
8 that is around one-third of the post offices in the UK.
9 I want to ask what you have done during your time, or
10 are you going to do, to reduce the central costs of Post
11 Office to improve the viability of postmasters in the
12 running of their post offices?

13 **A.** I think the central -- there are two core drivers to
14 increasing remuneration for postmasters. I think one is
15 to drive revenue and, therefore, to be innovative with
16 new products and services, and the second, obviously, is
17 to reduce the operating costs associated with the centre
18 but also, the operating costs associated with running
19 a Post Office in and of itself.

20 So just taking those one at a time, if we think
21 about the introduction of NBIT and the replacement for
22 Horizon, we believe that the operating cost of doing
23 such a thing will reduce by about 60 per cent and that
24 will be both for branches themselves, as well as for the
25 centre. So that will reduce a run rate of around

91

1 transparent with them about what's going on and their
2 feedback has been instrumental in terms of trying to
3 shape things.

4 **Q.** Well, I'm not going to call it up, you may have seen the
5 evidence, but at paragraph 277 of Calum Greenhow's
6 witness statement, that was WITN00370100, he says that
7 on 29 May this year, he met with you and others at the
8 Post Office and the NFSP were asking about NBIT:
9 "... and we were provided with an update. However,
10 the following day Computer Weekly broke the story that
11 NBIT was unachievable. Whilst we knew it was running
12 late and over budget at no point in the meeting of the
13 previous day were we told it lacked quality, according
14 to the auditors for the Department for Business and
15 Trade, or that Post Office had asked for nearly
16 £1 billion to fund the project, or that the
17 Infrastructure and Projects Authority were now involved,
18 or that the project had been brought into the Government
19 major projects portfolio."

20 Would you agree that the Post Office, which failed
21 to be transparent about Horizon, is now failing to be
22 transparent about NBIT?

23 **A.** No, I don't think that's the case. I do recall that
24 Calum -- and I think Tim did too -- came to the offices.
25 I think, amongst a range of different topics, we talked

90

1 60 million a year to Fujitsu to anywhere between sort of
2 20 and 30. So that will be probably the main driver.

3 I think, secondly, we've been on a trajectory of
4 trying to reduce the headcount within the Post Office
5 since about 2016/2017. I think we were at 7,500 heads
6 in 2016/2017, we're now at 3,400. I would fully
7 recognise that we have further to go and I'm sure that
8 the Strategic Review will look closely at the central
9 costs to make sure that we continue to reduce those
10 central costs. So I think that would be my secondary
11 point.

12 The third point, obviously, is the way to increase
13 the revenue for these particular branches is to make
14 sure that we can drive footfall and ensure that the
15 products and services that we are selling in post
16 offices are relevant to today's consumer. So we're
17 spending a lot of time thinking about how we are central
18 to cash and central to financial services, as well as
19 the major strategy that has been deployed by the Post
20 Office over the last 18 months, which is clearly
21 a multichannel, multiproduct strategy, employing the
22 likes of Amazon and DHL and DPD and Evri in our
23 branches.

24 So I think it's a two-pronged strategy, would be the
25 biggest way to describe this. One is to continue to

92

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 innovate and deliver new products and services and
2 indeed allow postmasters to share in some of the digital
3 developments that we've made, particularly with our
4 savings products, but also to make sure we continue to
5 cut costs. It's the crucial way and the most obvious
6 way to drive profitability.

7 **Q.** Just going back to the Strategic Review that you
8 mentioned in part of that answer, given that Network
9 Urban Reinvention 2003, Network Change 2007, and Network
10 Transformation 2012, did not provide a Post Office that
11 was fit for purpose, nor did it improve viability or
12 security of postmasters' investment, is it not the case
13 that the Strategic Review is just another way of
14 transferring risk and cost from the Post Office onto
15 postmasters?

16 **A.** I think I said on Wednesday that I had a great concern,
17 when I took over the Post Office in 2019, that
18 postmasters had been left behind in a drive for
19 profitability, commercial sustainability and the agenda
20 associated with both of those two from a Post Office
21 perspective. We've tried to address that. I think
22 Nigel is very, very alive to the desire to have a new
23 deal for postmasters and, as I mentioned earlier, the
24 polarity issue in terms of making sure postmasters are
25 front and centre.

93

1 in the GLO, cultural change is important and, from your
2 perspective, has taken place at least in part; is that
3 correct?

4 **A.** That's correct.

5 **Q.** I think your position is that the Past Roles Project is
6 an important one --

7 **A.** Yes, it is.

8 **Q.** -- not least to give subpostmasters confidence that the
9 culture and attitude towards them has indeed changed?

10 **A.** Absolutely.

11 **Q.** So I would like to ask you about something specific in
12 respect of those two points and to suggest to you that
13 there is actually a direct effect between those two
14 things on subpostmasters and their representative body,
15 the NFSP. Now, in this phase, the Inquiry has heard
16 evidence from the two Postmaster NEDs and from Calum
17 Greenhow, the Chief Executive of the NFSP -- and I think
18 you've said you heard at least some of that evidence, or
19 so --

20 **A.** I did, yes.

21 **Q.** -- as to how near impossible it is to get anything
22 done -- that's done today -- which benefits
23 subpostmasters, such as remuneration. In his evidence,
24 Mr Greenhow put that down in large part to the culture
25 at the Post Office, that it has not, in fact, changed

95

1 Given what you've said, I'm not that familiar with
2 the three or four specific strategic reviews that have
3 been conducted since the earlier 2000s. I think the
4 scrutiny and spotlight that this Inquiry has placed on
5 the Post Office is such that it would be impossible for
6 us, even if we wanted to, which we don't, to do anything
7 other than make sure that the Strategic Review is for
8 the benefit of postmasters. That is what the Post
9 Office is all about: serving 10 million customers every
10 single week in our post offices. It's not about the
11 centre.

12 **Q.** Now, my final question -- or it's a series of questions,
13 different topic. I'm going to do some summarising and
14 then I'll ask you some questions. I'm going to call up
15 a couple of documents.

16 You've been asked by Counsel to the Inquiry about
17 the continuing issues with the culture of the Post
18 Office, you've also been asked questions about the Past
19 Roles Project and its failure to conclude within the
20 almost two-year period it's been underway, and that's
21 with regard to those who were involved in the pre-2015
22 Horizon scandal issues. You probably remember those
23 questions.

24 **A.** Indeed.

25 **Q.** I think your position is that, following the judgments

94

1 and needs to change, in order for there to be a real
2 difference for subpostmasters.

3 Now, under your five-year leadership, there are some
4 people who were there during the peak of the Horizon
5 scandal era and who today deal with postmasters and
6 postmaster issues. I'm just going to give a little list
7 and then I'm going to ask you some questions.

8 So there's Nick Beal, Head of Network, which we
9 understand includes the NBIT project and who gave
10 evidence on behalf of the Post Office to Mr Justice
11 Fraser; Martin Edwards, the former Chief of Staff to
12 Paula Vennells, who is now Network Strategy and Deliver
13 Director; Tracy Marshall, Head of Postmaster Engagement,
14 who we saw in the emails yesterday was providing
15 reassurance on the remote access issue back in 2011 and
16 has a senior and extensive role in relation to
17 postmasters and is, in fact, the very person that the
18 NFSP has had to deal with over a good number of years on
19 almost every aspect of subpostmaster discussions and
20 negotiations.

21 Would you accept that subpostmasters will likely
22 find it incredible that someone who assisted Angela van
23 den Bogerd in 2011 with reassurance on remote access is
24 the very person with whom their representative body has
25 to deal on all of the issues associated with

96

1 subpostmasters?
2 **A.** First and foremost, as I said yesterday, I'm very clear
3 that we will not walk past allegations of wrongdoing, or
4 misbehaviour and, when they are presented to me, and
5 when they are present to the organisation, we will
6 address them, and that stands firm. And I explained how
7 that process was going, both with Past Roles and with
8 Project Phoenix yesterday, and I still stand by that.
9 Where there is -- and this is materially
10 different -- where there is an issue of confidence, then
11 clearly we need to have sensible conversations with
12 individuals to invite them to step back and to make sure
13 that the confidence of postmasters is sustained. That
14 is the single-most important thing and I absolutely
15 agree with you.
16 However, as I said before, where allegations are
17 made and when they are explicitly made, we will
18 investigate those individuals and make sure that anybody
19 who was involved in any activity in the past is
20 addressed.
21 **Q.** Now, just to be clear, by questions were about the
22 confidence that subpostmasters and their representative
23 organisation can have, as opposed to any specific
24 allegations of wrongdoing. So just to be clear about
25 that.

97

1 Minister, and I would encourage them to continue to do
2 so if they felt that we were in some way failing.
3 I think it's important to highlight -- and I said it
4 yesterday -- that we are frustrated that the Past Roles
5 Project has not gone as quickly as possible.
6 I mentioned that we had 1,700 colleagues in the
7 organisation who have been in the organisation for
8 in excess of 10 years. There's a lot of investigative
9 work to do, a lot of data to cover and a lot of people
10 to ensure that we are making the right decisions.
11 This is not something that we can follow some of the
12 practices of the past, should I say. It's very
13 important that we get this right, and that we give
14 people who have employment rights the right level of
15 opportunity to express precisely what it is they may or
16 may not have done. So the investigative work has been
17 slow and I would agree with that and I acknowledged that
18 yesterday.
19 I would have much preferred it to have been quicker
20 but, as I say, I can give you confidence that we will
21 not walk past any allegations, and we will not walk past
22 any wrongdoing by individuals who are in the
23 organisation today that may still be operating.
24 **Q.** Mr Read, not just slow, too slow, would you not say?
25 **A.** I did say that, yes.

99

1 **A.** I understand.
2 **Q.** I'd like to call up a document, which is WITN00370106.
3 This is a letter from the NFSP, from Calum Greenhow,
4 to the then Postal Affairs Minister, Kevin Hollinrake,
5 of January. It is following the *Mr Bates vs The Post*
6 *Office* ITV drama. If we could control to page 2 and
7 look at the third paragraph, the one that begins "The
8 NFSP has raised concerns internally". So this is
9 a letter to Mr Hollinrake about the various issues
10 arising from the drama:
11 "The NFSP has raised concerns internally with Post
12 Office that there are still employees of Post Office who
13 were involved in internal discussion about bugs, defects
14 or errors, where directions were given for minutes not
15 to be taken, or were part of the Investigation, Audit
16 and Contracts departments who hold roles that are still
17 postmaster facing. We are not confident that the
18 correct review has or will be taken."
19 We can take that down.
20 Would you accept that the NFSP was right to bring
21 this issue to the attention of Minister Hollinrake?
22 I mean, that's a year on from you starting your Past
23 Roles project.
24 **A.** Of course, it's absolutely in the rights of the NFSP to
25 discuss anything about the Post Office with the

98

1 **Q.** I'd like to call up another document, that's
2 NFSP00001471. This is an email from Calum Greenhow to
3 you of 29 April 2024. That's this year. He's sending
4 it on to you so that are you can send it to the Post
5 Office Board. He's saying he doesn't have all the
6 addresses of the Post Office Board, can you pass it on.
7 So he says:
8 "I write to the Post Office Board after watching the
9 events in the Horizon Inquiry over the last few days.
10 It has once again highlighted employees of the Post
11 Office who in the past were part of the obfuscation of
12 the truth in relation to the accuracy and reliability of
13 the Horizon system and its use in the victimisation of
14 postmasters, their assistants and Crown Office employees
15 and the ruining of their lives.
16 "Some of these employees of Post Office involved in
17 the past remain employees of the Post Office today,
18 where their present roles is very much postmaster facing
19 or indeed are in senior management positions.
20 "This information is not unknown to the Board, yet
21 as a group, there has not been any action taken to
22 remedy the situation. How many more current employees
23 will over the remainder of the Horizon Inquiry be
24 revealed to have been aware of the inaccuracy and
25 unreliability of the Horizon system, be involved without

100

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 the Board of Post Office Limited taking the required
2 action ... This is not the first time I have raised this
3 with the Post Office.
4 "I am sure you will appreciate that as a group of
5 postmasters ourselves, we are growing increasingly
6 concerned that we keep having to deal with those
7 individuals who have been involved in the most
8 reprehensible behaviour towards postmasters and indeed,
9 as has been repeatedly stated", and he goes on to talk
10 about what was to said at the Inquiry.
11 "To be clear, it is imperative that the Board takes
12 the required governance remedial action to identify any
13 and all current Post Office employees ..."
14 He goes on to say:
15 "If the ... Board fails to take such governance
16 action, then it will fall to the current Postmaster
17 Non-Executive Directors to resign", on this point.
18 Now, if we take that document down, this is
19 Mr Greenhow raising directly with you in April this year
20 and asking you to take that to the Board. What did you
21 actually do with that email?
22 **A.** It was circulated to the Board. It certainly formed
23 part of the discussions that we as a Board have had
24 since that time on past roles, and I will refer to the
25 comments that were made by Karen McEwan last week in her

101

1 and disrespectful to subpostmasters that those involved
2 in the past, not about wrongdoing, but in front-facing
3 postmaster roles, are involved in so many matters that
4 affect the postmasters today?
5 **A.** No, I don't think it's a disgrace. As I said before, we
6 are not going to have a witch hunt unless allegations
7 are made very clearly, and that is still my position.
8 I've been very open and said that we will remove
9 individuals where there is a lack of confidence and that
10 is something that we are obviously working through and
11 Karen, as she mentioned last week, was doing exactly
12 that.
13 **MS WATT:** Thank you, Mr Read.
14 **MR BEER:** Sir, we've got two sets of questions to come now:
15 45 minutes from Mr Moloney and ten minutes from Ms Allan
16 on behalf of Susan Sinclair.
17 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Yes.
18 **MR BEER:** There are two options, one we could take
19 a ten-minute second break now, ie a second break for the
20 morning and then do those 55 minutes of questions and
21 not have lunch or we could do Ms Allan's questions for
22 now for ten minutes, take lunch and come back after
23 lunch for Mr Moloney's questions.
24 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** I am content with either course. Is
25 there a consensus amongst people present in the hall as

103

1 evidence about how seriously this has been taken at the
2 Board, about how complicated it is and how much debate
3 and discussion is going on at a senior level.
4 As I said earlier, we would like this to be much
5 easier, and you'll have seen emails that have come up in
6 the evidence from me on this particular topic. It would
7 have been much easier if this was a very simple process.
8 It isn't. We're trying to deal with confidence of
9 postmasters, we're trying to deal with individual rights
10 of colleagues, and we're also trying to deal with
11 an investigative work to find out if there are
12 allegations that are substantive to wrong behaviour or
13 past behaviours. So it is a complicated piece of work,
14 I agree, and it's not easy for us to come down on a left
15 or right decision. It's much more nuanced than that,
16 I'm afraid.
17 **Q.** Did you know that after that email of 29 April, that the
18 Post Office intended to send those same people to the
19 NFSP's annual conference on behalf of the Post Office
20 until Mr Greenhow asked the Post Office not to send
21 them?
22 **A.** I wasn't aware of that, no.
23 **Q.** Just a final question, then. So would you agree that,
24 given the Past Roles Project, which you have said
25 started almost two years ago, it is, in fact, a disgrace

102

1 to what we should do?
2 **MR BEER:** I'm looking at the shorthand writer in particular.
3 She said option 2, so I think Ms Allan now, lunch --
4 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Then lunch.
5 **MR BEER:** -- and then Mr Moloney.
6 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Fine.
7 **MR BEER:** Over to Ms Allan, then.
8 **Questioned by MS ALLAN**
9 **MS ALLAN:** Good afternoon, Mr Read. I'll stand up so you
10 can see me. Obviously, I'll sit to do my questions.
11 My name is Christie Allan and I represent Core
12 Participant Susan Sinclair who is a wrongfully convicted
13 postmaster. She was the first to successfully appeal
14 her conviction in Scotland, which only happen as
15 recently as September last year, despite her being
16 convicted in 2024.
17 You've provided evidence yesterday about the Post
18 Office's engagement with the CCRC, that being the
19 Criminal Cases Review Commission in England, and,
20 indeed, Mr Henry put it to you that, as soon as it came
21 to pass that there were serious problems with Horizon
22 and certainly upon receipt of the Horizon Issues
23 Judgment in 2019, the Post Office ought to have been
24 banging on the door of the CCRC and Crown Prosecution
25 Service in England and Wales, begging for them to come

104

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 and review these cases as the convictions were unsafe,
2 to which you answered "Yes, I see where you're coming
3 from".

4 Notwithstanding this, you refer in your first
5 witness statement to a number of meetings with Post
6 Office's Board, which did eventually occur with the
7 CCRC, albeit two years later, regarding the criminal
8 appeals in England and Wales, and Post Office's role as
9 a prosecutor.

10 In response to Mr Beer's question to your earlier
11 today, you confirmed that, as far as you're aware, the
12 Board of Post Office has not engaged with the Scottish
13 Criminal Cases Review Commission or Crown Office, albeit
14 the Remediation Subcommittee was potentially overseeing
15 those matters.

16 Can you therefore tell me about any proactive steps
17 that Post Office took, particularly in light of the
18 Horizon Issues Judgment in 2019, to immediately seek to
19 rectify the miscarriages of justice which occurred in
20 Scotland as a result of Post Office's failings in its
21 duties of disclosure?

22 **A.** I am not close enough to that particular issue that
23 you're describing. As you rightly point out, the
24 Remediation Committee has been engaged in those matters,
25 I don't sit on the Remediation Committee. Again, I am

105

1 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** I think there's quite a -- probably quite
2 a complex answer to that and it all occurred before
3 Mr Read was in post. So I think that I have as much
4 information as I am likely to get on that from the
5 previous phases of the Inquiry.

6 **MS ALLAN:** Thank you, sir. I will move on.

7 In your evidence on Wednesday, when asked by Mr Beer
8 whether Post Office's current investigative function is
9 fully compliant with all relevant legal standards, you
10 confirmed, "As far as I'm aware"; is that correct?

11 **A.** As far as I'm aware, yes, that's correct.

12 **Q.** You are aware, Mr Read, that Mr Beer asked you what your
13 response was to the Lord Advocate -- Scotland's most
14 senior law officer -- stripping the Post Office of its
15 role as a Specialist Reporting Agency in Scotland
16 earlier this year, and you confirmed that it's not
17 an enormous surprise that this decision has been made.
18 Why does that not come as a surprise?

19 **A.** Well, I think it's in keeping with the direction of
20 travel of the last five years, since the HIJ and CIJ
21 were handed down. I don't think that would come as
22 a great surprise to me. That's my point.

23 **Q.** Prior to this, Post Office in its role as a Specialist
24 Reporting Agency was an organisation who could
25 investigate and report crimes directly to the Crown

107

1 fairly certain that Simon Recaldin, who is a Subject
2 Matter Expert in this area, will be providing evidence
3 next week. I know -- I think it's on November 4, in
4 fact. He's obviously going to be much better placed to
5 comment. I don't want to sort of muddy that water.

6 **Q.** But to be clear, the Post Office's Board didn't engage
7 with the Scottish Criminal Cases Review Commission or
8 Crown Office --

9 **A.** Not as a Board. It may well have been the case that the
10 Remediation Committee did or indeed that the Remediation
11 Unit did. I'm afraid I don't have that detail.

12 **Q.** You've repeated over the course of three days the fact
13 that, since before 2015, and indeed before your
14 appointment, the Post Office ceased to privately
15 prosecute postmasters in England and Wales. Remind me
16 again the reasons for this?

17 **A.** Sorry, do you want to repeat the question? Sorry.

18 **Q.** Before 2015 and before your appointment, the Post Office
19 ceased to privately prosecute subpostmasters in England
20 and Wales; what were the reasons for that again?

21 **A.** Why did they cease to --

22 **Q.** Yes.

23 **A.** -- to prosecute? I mean, clearly --

24 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Can you answer that, Mr --

25 **A.** I'm not sure I can, specifically.

106

1 Office and Procurator Fiscal Service, the independent
2 Public Prosecutor in Scotland, as opposed to having to
3 first report this to other law enforcement agencies to
4 investigate, such as the police; is that your
5 understanding?

6 **A.** I'm afraid I don't have the level of detail that you're
7 suggesting. Prosecutions haven't occurred since 2019,
8 since I've been in position. In fact, they haven't
9 occurred since 2015. So forgive me, but this level of
10 detail is not something that I am aware of.

11 **Q.** Well, that would be what a Specialist Reporting Agency
12 does and that was Post Office's role prior to May
13 earlier this year, when it was stripped of that role.

14 The Lord Advocate, in deeming that the Post Office
15 is no longer fit to be a Specialist Reporting Agency,
16 also confirmed that, in light of the Post Office's
17 failures, work is now underway to strengthen the
18 guidance and safeguards that exist to ensure that all
19 Specialist Reporting Agencies abide by the essential
20 duties of disclosure and candour in Scotland, thus
21 inferring -- and she went on to confirm -- that the Post
22 Office did not.

23 Mr Read, is it really correct that Post Office does
24 not have an official response to being stripped of its
25 role as a Specialist Reporting Agency in Scotland?

108

1 A. I'm afraid I'm unable to answer that question. I don't
2 follow your train of thought in what you're trying to
3 ask me to answer. It's a little bit too nuanced for me,
4 I'm afraid.
5 Q. So there's not been any discussions in light of the Lord
6 Advocate's stripping --
7 A. No, there hasn't. Not that I'm aware of --
8 Q. Not at Board level --
9 A. -- at Board level.
10 Q. No, and there's no review work planned by the Post
11 Office to review this?
12 A. It's nothing that's come to the Board that I'm aware of.
13 As I mentioned before, Simon Recaldin is probably best
14 placed to discuss this when he comes on 4 November.
15 Q. On the basis that, due to a failure in candour in
16 reporting by the Post Office to the Crown Office and
17 Procurator Fiscal Service, how, therefore, if there's no
18 review and no discussion taking place, will Post Office
19 now ensure that it acts with candour, in providing
20 evidence of suspected criminality to other law
21 enforcement agencies, such as the police, if not now
22 directly to the Crown Office in Scotland?
23 A. I think Mr Bartlett, when he comes next week to
24 articulate and describe the role of the new
25 investigative function, which he will do, the Assurance

109

1 & Complex Investigations Team, he will be able to
2 articulate very clearly how the team is constructed, who
3 is in that team and what their objectives are,
4 particularly without engagement with law enforcement
5 agencies and I'm sure, as part of that, he will be
6 talking about the jurisdictions that you are referring
7 to.
8 Q. Mr Read, I would say it's not just those jurisdictions,
9 it's reporting criminality to any law enforcement agency
10 in any jurisdiction. Can the Post Office now be trusted
11 to do that with candour, despite the fact it didn't do
12 that in Scotland?
13 A. Yes, I believe they can and, as I mentioned yesterday,
14 we are liaising with law enforcement agencies on
15 specific elements of organised crime and the like, and
16 clearly trying to support those agencies wherever we can,
17 in terms of the level of detail that we support and
18 supply.
19 MS ALLAN: Thank you.
20 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: So we'll break off for lunch now and
21 resume when, Mr Beer?
22 MR BEER: Sir, could I say 1.40?
23 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, certainly.
24 (12.47 pm)

(The Short Adjournment)

110

1 (1.40 pm)
2 Questioned by MR MOLONEY
3 MR MOLONEY: Good afternoon, sir, can you see and hear us,
4 as is the usual question?
5 SIR WYN WILLIAMS: Yes, I can thank you.
6 MR MOLONEY: Thank you, sir.
7 Good afternoon, my name is Tim Moloney, and I ask
8 questions on behalf of a number of Core Participants,
9 essentially postmasters, all of whom have been
10 prosecuted to conviction by Post Office and subsequently
11 have had their convictions quashed.
12 A. I understand.
13 Q. Quite close to the beginning of your evidence, Mr Beer
14 asked you about the appreciation at senior levels in
15 Post Office of the seriousness of the implications of
16 the Common Issues Judgment and the Horizon Issues
17 Judgment, and what that was not long after you took up
18 your post.
19 A. Yes, he did.
20 Q. Yeah, and you agreed to his term that the leadership
21 team was living in a dream world, some of them, and
22 didn't seem to appreciate the implications of the
23 judgments?
24 A. Yes, I qualified that by saying that some were in denial
25 and some were in paralysis of the judgment that was made

111

1 and limited contingency had been put in place for the
2 prospect of losing the litigation.
3 Q. But the primary focus was on looking to the future,
4 without there being a real emphasis on rigorously
5 examining what had gone on in the past at that stage?
6 A. I think that's a fair conclusion, yes.
7 Q. So, for example, you weren't directed towards the
8 examination of the propriety of previous convictions?
9 A. No, I wasn't, no.
10 Q. But you went on to say that the negotiation and
11 settlement process around the Common Issues Judgment in
12 the Horizon Issues Judgment gave you an opportunity to
13 more fully understand the implications of the judgments?
14 A. Yes, unquestionably, that that was my genuine
15 introduction to the victims, first and foremost, and
16 also, therefore, the understanding of the behaviours,
17 rather than necessarily the system, if that makes sense.
18 I think that was my introduction to behaviours of the
19 past.
20 Q. Yes. Can I just focus on your introduction to the
21 victims --
22 A. Yes.
23 Q. -- for these purposes. You were able to listen to
24 postmasters and hear the accounts of what had happened
25 to them?

112

1 A. That's precisely how that process worked, yes.
 2 Q. Just as one example of that, did you take part in
 3 a mediation meeting in respect of the GLO at which
 4 postmasters were present?
 5 A. Yes, the four or five days of mediation/settlement, that
 6 process, I did speak and listen quite extensively.
 7 I think there were five or six who brought their
 8 narrative to life for me, yes, which was very helpful.
 9 Q. How that narrative was brought to life, Mr Read, was
 10 that you, was it with Jane Davies, went into a room with
 11 those five or six, just to hear their stories?
 12 A. Yes, no, it wasn't with Jane but, yes, that is correct,
 13 yes.
 14 Q. One of them was Jo Hamilton?
 15 A. That's correct.
 16 Q. Another was a Scottish woman who'd been one of the lead
 17 claimants in the GLO, a lady by the name of Louise Dar;
 18 do you remember her?
 19 A. Yes, I do. Yeah.
 20 Q. She'd been cross-examined during the course of the GLO?
 21 A. Yes.
 22 Q. In that meeting, you told the postmasters that you would
 23 do everything to transform the future of Post Office?
 24 A. Yes, that was my commitment.
 25 Q. Yes. Importantly, Ms Dar -- and she broke down as she

113

1 manager. The shortfalls continued. Katie had to stop
 2 work because she was suffering from anxiety and
 3 depression, as a result of the continuing shortfalls
 4 and, ultimately, Mrs McDonald was prosecuted. Are you
 5 aware of her being prosecuted as one of the people who
 6 was prosecuted?
 7 A. I don't remember the specific case. When was this, what
 8 date was this?
 9 Q. It was in 2010/2011. So some time before the GLO, some
 10 eight years before the CIJ and the HIJ.
 11 A. I understand.
 12 Q. Now, she was 47 years old and the mother of teenage
 13 children when she went to prison. She was sent to
 14 prison for 18 months after pleading guilty to theft.
 15 She went to Styal Prison to start with, which is near
 16 Manchester, and then she was sent to Durham Prison, and
 17 she spent her daughter's 18th birthday in Durham Prison.
 18 Then she later went to a prison near York called Askham
 19 Grange.
 20 You may have seen during the evidence of
 21 Mr Bradshaw, because Mr Bradshaw investigated
 22 Mrs McDonald, that he recorded in a self-appraisal form,
 23 after her conviction, how ensuring that a plea of guilty
 24 to false accounting was not accepted but insisting on
 25 a plea of guilty to theft was essentially one of his key

115

1 told you this -- told you what had happened to her and
 2 said -- and this may have been important to you in
 3 appreciating what was needed -- that you needed to fix
 4 the wrongs that had been done in the past, as well as
 5 looking to the future; do you remember that?
 6 A. I do, that's correct.
 7 Q. Was that an important meeting for you, in terms of the
 8 understanding of, to use your term, the harm that
 9 postmasters had suffered?
 10 A. Yes, it was and, as I say and as I said a couple of
 11 minutes ago, it brought to life the behaviours of the
 12 Post Office, as opposed to necessarily my understanding
 13 at that stage, which was it was purely system led.
 14 Q. Now, one of the people who had suffered harm a number of
 15 years prior to that meeting was a woman by the name of
 16 Jackie McDonald from Preston in Lancashire?
 17 A. Okay.
 18 Q. Now, I have to just give a little bit of detail of
 19 Jackie McDonald's case, in order to ask the question
 20 that I'd like to ask about her case, if I may, Mr Read.
 21 A. Understood.
 22 Q. So Jackie McDonald had encountered shortfalls in her
 23 Post Office. She reported them to management. She was
 24 ultimately suspended after an audit, some £90,000 or so
 25 shortfall, and her assistant Katie took over as the

114

1 achievements of the year; did you see that?
 2 A. Don't recall it specifically but yes.
 3 Q. He recorded on the form that he had persuaded the
 4 prosecution barrister to proceed with the allegation of
 5 theft and not accept a plea of false accounting. Okay.
 6 Mrs McDonald has explained her experience, described
 7 her experiences, in a statement to this Inquiry. She
 8 explained how traumatic the audit and investigation
 9 process was and the Auditors asked her "What have you
 10 done with the money? What tree have you squandered it
 11 away in?", and her house was searched, and in front of
 12 her, the searchers were asked, "Is she cooperating? Is
 13 she answering questions?"
 14 One of the people about whose behaviour Mrs McDonald
 15 complained was a woman by the name of Caroline Richards.
 16 Caroline Richards was a Business Development Manager,
 17 who had called in the Auditors and attended the audit,
 18 and she's the person that Mrs McDonald had been liaising
 19 with.
 20 As long as go as 2013 in her application to the
 21 Mediation Scheme, Mrs McDonald said the following,
 22 Mr Read:
 23 "The Investigators for the Post Office were bullies
 24 and intimidated me the first time they came in with
 25 their lies about my individual case being unique and

116

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 uncommon. They returned once again when I was forced to
2 step down and Katie was running the Post Office under
3 someone else's name, and intimidated her with wage
4 deductions from monies missing and jail time. My
5 husband eventually came downstairs after he heard the
6 news of the threats Katie was receiving, and told them
7 both, Steve Bradshaw and Caroline Richards, to get out
8 of the shop immediately and never come back.

9 "Katie had to leave early and had to take a leave of
10 absence because of anxiety and depression because of the
11 bullying that she took from those Post Office
12 Investigators."

13 So the Post Office Investigators being Steve
14 Bradshaw and Caroline Richards.

15 You told the Inquiry -- and in fact, in answer to
16 questions from Ms Watt, you've reiterated this just
17 before lunch, Mr Read -- that you've been very clear
18 that "At no stage will we walk past allegations of
19 wrongdoing in the organisation", and you've made that
20 very clear publicly to all colleagues and postmasters
21 themselves.

22 You said specifically, on Wednesday -- and it's at
23 page 48 of the transcript -- and you've repeated it this
24 morning, to be fair to you, Mr Read:

25 "With regard to the Remediation Unit, I'm very clear

117

1 that we've conducted a piece of work which you've heard
2 a lot about at this Inquiry which is referred to as the
3 Past Roles piece of work. And, once again, I'm
4 confident that there are not individuals involved in
5 postmaster-facing activity that had roles in the past
6 where allegations of any wrongdoing has been brought to
7 my attention."

8 Is Caroline Richards still employed in the
9 Remediation Unit, Mr Read?

10 **A.** This is quite a difficult question because -- and I'm
11 looking to Sir Wyn -- I'm not sure I'm at liberty to
12 discuss some of the specifics about these individuals.
13 If you recall a couple of days ago we talked about
14 external agencies supporting us and there are a number
15 of individuals that I think it would be inappropriate
16 for me to mention and to give any insight into what is
17 specifically happening. I know that doesn't sound
18 particularly helpful but I --

19 **Q.** No, I understand, Mr Read, and I think it would be
20 unfair on all individuals if I were to not understand.

21 **A.** Yes.

22 **Q.** Plainly there have to be parameters.

23 **A.** Correct.

24 **Q.** Can I ask you some more general questions --

25 **A.** Yes.

118

1 **Q.** -- about that, then.

2 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Can I take it, Mr Moloney, that you don't
3 wish to pursue that specific question?

4 **MR MOLONEY:** Sir, I think the question has been answered, to
5 be honest, and so --

6 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** I see --

7 **MR MOLONEY:** I think there are -- there are obviously -- we
8 have to be responsible with questioning, sir, and --

9 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** I only asked you so that you didn't feel
10 inhibited on behalf of your client in going any further
11 if you thought that it was appropriate to go further.
12 If you're content with where we've got to, that's fine
13 by me.

14 **MR MOLONEY:** If I'm being told by a witness that he has real
15 reticence about going here, that there are particular
16 reasons why they can't go there, then I think it is only
17 responsible, because I can deal with this generally.
18 I've asked my question, I've received an answer and
19 I can deal with this generally now, without causing
20 undue difficulties which may not be necessary at all in
21 order to make the points I need to make.

22 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Fine. Thank you, Mr Moloney.

23 **MR MOLONEY:** Mr Read, without descending to the specifics
24 and recognising your qualification as to
25 postmaster-facing activity, would you accept that, as

119

1 a general principle, somebody about whom complaints had
2 been made of the nature that were made against
3 Ms Richards, should not be involved in the remediation
4 process?

5 **A.** I agree with that and I'll just bring a little colour,
6 if I may?

7 **Q.** Yes.

8 **A.** The Project Phoenix work, which is ostensibly feedback
9 and narratives that we've received during Phase 1 of the
10 Inquiry, in terms of the Human Impact Hearings, as well
11 as what I have experienced through my restorative
12 justice meetings, and I received direct feedback during
13 those meetings on individuals, that was the genesis of
14 the Project Phoenix work. 47 particular cases, I think
15 as I mentioned previously -- not 47 individuals but 47
16 cases -- six individuals who were involved in those
17 meetings.

18 We have interviewed many of the individuals again,
19 through their legal representatives, and sought to be
20 able to discuss with those individuals the names and the
21 issues that emerged during the Human Impact Hearings and
22 that emerged during the restorative justice meetings.

23 We've examined over 130,000 documents associated
24 with those particular case studies. The number of
25 individuals impacted is six, of which, as I mentioned

120

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 I think on Wednesday, three are specific cases that
 2 we've just touched on now that I would say we've got
 3 external agencies helping have a look at that.
 4 **Q.** Can you understand that for postmasters who are making
 5 a claim for compensation, the idea that the unit that is
 6 dealing with claims for compensation might be somebody
 7 who was involved in previous misbehaviour, would be
 8 something that would concern them?
 9 **A.** I think that would be very concerning for them, yes.
 10 **Q.** Because you have said, and it's perhaps likely that
 11 Mr Recaldin will say, that, even as a first principle,
 12 perhaps Post Office should not have been responsible for
 13 administering the HSS?
 14 **A.** I have said that and I'm sure Mr Recaldin will say the
 15 same.
 16 **Q.** So to compound that with having a member of staff -- and
 17 I'm speaking in general terms now -- against whom
 18 complaints had been made for misbehaviour during the
 19 investigation process, would be to add insult to injury,
 20 in a sense, wouldn't it?
 21 **A.** I understand that.
 22 **Q.** You talked this morning of rebuilding trust. It would
 23 be integral to rebuilding trust to ensure that that
 24 didn't happen, wouldn't it?
 25 **A.** It would be essential, yes.

121

1 Then the second document is the Board minutes from
 2 4 June this year.
 3 **A.** Okay.
 4 **Q.** So if I could first go to the readout of the meeting
 5 with Mr Hollinrake, and that's BEIS0000653. Thank you
 6 very much. This is, we can see, the introductions. We
 7 don't need to go there but we can see the attendees, if
 8 we scroll up, please. Thank you. We can see that
 9 you're there, Mr Read, as is Mr McInnes from Post Office
 10 and Lorna Gratton and also Minister Hollinrake.
 11 **A.** Yeah.
 12 **Q.** Can I just go to some of the detail of this and, if we
 13 could scroll up further now, please, so that we can get
 14 the main body. That's great. That's spot on, thank
 15 you.
 16 We see at the start that you raise the fact that the
 17 Permanent Secretary had made the decision to withhold
 18 the funding and asked for Mr Hollinrake's opinion.
 19 Mr Hollinrake said that he, the Treasury and Permanent
 20 Secretary are keen to see a plan from Post Office about
 21 its funding ask, and know that the Horizon replacement
 22 is a concern.
 23 You said that the funding ask won't be ready/
 24 approved by the Board until June and main issues are
 25 Horizon replacement, POL underestimated the cost of

123

1 **Q.** That's all I'd like to ask you about that.
 2 I'd now like to ask you about the Strategic Platform
 3 Modernisation, SPM, and NBIT, and this is the only other
 4 topic I'll ask you about, Mr Read.
 5 **A.** I understand.
 6 **Q.** You understood from your early meetings, during the
 7 mediation of GLO, the harm that had been caused, and
 8 Horizon software lies at the root of all of that harm,
 9 doesn't it?
 10 **A.** Indeed.
 11 **Q.** Postmasters suffered enormous harm because of it?
 12 **A.** That's correct.
 13 **Q.** For many other reasons as well but, at the core of it,
 14 is the Horizon software and its unreliability?
 15 **A.** That's right.
 16 **Q.** Plainly, you'd accept that dealing efficiently, dealing
 17 with alacrity with the Horizon issue is something that
 18 Post Office should face, given the harm that it has
 19 caused to postmasters?
 20 **A.** Yes.
 21 **Q.** I'd like to ask you, if I may, about the progress that
 22 has been made with that, and I'd just like to look at
 23 two documents, as please. One is a readout of
 24 a minister with Minister Hollinrake in April 2023, and
 25 I'll take you to it.

122

1 this, and then the Inquiry costs and the compensation
 2 costs.
 3 Then I'll go onto the rest of it. Did you, at this
 4 stage, not have a firm plan as to what to do with
 5 Horizon?
 6 **A.** Yes, we did have a plan but, as we were overrunning, it
 7 was important that we come back to Government, who
 8 wanted to understand what they thought our revised plan
 9 for Horizon was, in terms of the overall funding for
 10 Horizon.
 11 **Q.** Then if we go down further, we see that, in the
 12 penultimate bullet point of this, which is on the second
 13 page, but we can see it here:
 14 "[Nick Read] said that another pressure was the
 15 Inquiry, which is going to scrutinise the rollout of the
 16 Horizon replacement; POL needs to ring-fence/
 17 compartmentalise this piece of work."
 18 What did you mean by the Inquiry is going to
 19 scrutinise the rollout of the Horizon replacement?
 20 **A.** I think exactly that. I'd obviously made a commitment
 21 in 2019 that we would get off Horizon, and there was
 22 a twofold reason for that: one because Horizon was
 23 30 years old, it was expensive to run, expensive to
 24 manage and clearly had been associated with appalling
 25 issues of the past.

124

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 And the second reason -- so that was the first
2 reason -- the second reason was it was clearly a system
3 that was tarnished as a consequence of the past and
4 I wanted to make sure that we moved across -- we got off
5 it.

6 My point to talk about ring-fencing and
7 compartmentalising was that we submitted our funding
8 requests to the Department and the investment that was
9 required for the next phase of the Horizon work needed
10 to be very clearly understood by BEIS, and I wanted to
11 make sure that when we made that submission they
12 understood the extent and the necessity to get off
13 Horizon and what that looked like and, more importantly,
14 that they understood specifically why it was late and
15 why it would cost more.

16 **Q.** You had had really quite significant delays in relation
17 to the replacement for Horizon?

18 **A.** We had at that stage, and I think what had happened
19 by -- I think we said it was May '23, this meeting,
20 I seem to recall.

21 **Q.** April '23.

22 **A.** April '23.

23 **Q.** Yes.

24 **A.** So by April '23 we had embarked on two very clear
25 streams of work: one was around the design and build of

125

1 the system; and the second piece of work, which was
2 initiated in January that year, was around the
3 deployment, training and rollout of the system. And
4 they were two very distinct pieces of work and so that
5 was the point I was trying to draw to the attention of
6 the Department.

7 **Q.** So by April 2023, was the design and build of the system
8 pretty much set in stone or were there decisions still
9 to be taken?

10 **A.** We -- it's a difficult question to answer. I think we
11 had not got to the stage (*unclear*), we hadn't, at that
12 stage, developed the banking and total mail
13 functionality of NBIT, and so there was still a long way
14 to go, so it was still quite early in terms of its
15 evolution. We were still having conversations about is
16 this a replacement for Horizon or is this a modern
17 system development that is going to ensure that
18 postmasters are in a very different -- are put in a very
19 different place?

20 And I think, if I look back to 2019, it was very
21 much we are replacing Horizon, as opposed to "This is
22 going to be a full-scale transformation of the
23 underlying EPOS system", which is very much the attitude
24 today. And I think that's one of the core distinctions
25 between why, when we set out on this journey, the

126

1 replacement was 180 million or there or thereabouts, and
2 why that cost has obviously increased as a consequence
3 of what we have learnt through the Inquiry. But, more
4 importantly, that we want a system that more than just
5 a replacement, but actually is a wholesale
6 transformation of the way we do business in the Post
7 Office.

8 **Q.** Can I just take you to the final paragraph on page 1 of
9 this document that I've brought up. It reads:

10 "[Kevin Hollinrake] asked how [Post Office] is going
11 to try to find a number that they are comfortable with
12 for the cost of replacing Horizon. [Nick Read] said
13 that they are considering more of a modest approach
14 rather than something transformative [for example] not
15 rolling it out to all 11,500 branches, and opting for
16 the minimum viable product. Another issue is that we
17 don't know what the future of [Post Office] will be and
18 designing a system that will work for POL in decades to
19 come is difficult."

20 Is that reflective, Mr Read, of there being very
21 firm decisions about what this was going to look like in
22 April 2023?

23 **A.** I think the issue here is that the Department had
24 decided to withhold funding. They were concerned about
25 the potential cost of the replacement system, and they

127

1 wanted us to consider other options and all options, in
2 fact and it was at this stage that we came back and had
3 a broader conversation around, well, what are those
4 options?

5 **Q.** So there isn't any certainty here, is there; and you
6 were essentially considering something less than
7 transformative?

8 **A.** Yes, I mean that is -- that was one of the options that
9 was on the table.

10 **Q.** Yes. So there was no certainty in April 2023 about what
11 the replacement for Horizon was going to look like?

12 **A.** Well, we thought we were on a particular journey, and
13 the Government were concerned about the increasing cost
14 and they wanted us to -- and they wanted to get
15 assurance from that, which is obviously why we've had
16 two external parties assure for the Government on their
17 behalf what exactly is being developed.

18 **Q.** Can we go to the second document I'd like to look at,
19 please, which is POL00448648. Before I ask you
20 questions about this document, Mr Read, we heard
21 evidence from Mr Railton on Tuesday that you've already
22 referred to.

23 **A.** Yes.

24 **Q.** You were present when Mr Railton gave his evidence?

25 **A.** I was indeed, yes.

128

The Post Office Horizon IT Inquiry

11 October 2024

1 Q. Mr Railton has been Interim Chair since 24 May 2024?
 2 A. That's correct.
 3 Q. These are Board minutes from 4 June 2024. So just over
 4 a week after Mr Railton became Interim Chair. You're
 5 present, obviously, as "NR", and Mr Railton is "NR" with
 6 a lower case "a" -- "NRa"?
 7 A. That's correct.
 8 Q. Now, page 5 of 21, I'd like to look at please, because
 9 this deals with the Strategic Platform Modernisation.
 10 Mr Blake took Mr Railton to a different section of this
 11 document, the minutes, where Mr Railton was asked about
 12 how he was the first to suggest a break clause in the
 13 continuing contract with Fujitsu; do you remember that?
 14 A. I do remember that, yes.
 15 Q. That can be seen at page 11 of this document --
 16 A. Okay.
 17 Q. -- but page 5 is the Strategic Platform Modernisation
 18 and we can see here, and it should be under "Investment
 19 Committee", so it should be down the page, yeah, there
 20 it is. 3.5, "Investment Committee":
 21 "Key points advised ...
 22 "the [Investment Committee] met on 16 May and
 23 focused on SPM [the Strategic Platform Modernisation].
 24 There were uncertainties in respect of this project
 25 across number of issues including funding."

129

1 consistently throughout the business. [It was] noted
 2 issues in respect of the copper stop project including
 3 management of the contractor and communications."
 4 There's still really quite a lot of uncertainty
 5 around SPM, even in June 2024, isn't there?
 6 A. Different uncertainty but, yes, there is uncertainty.
 7 Q. That's the new chair coming in essentially suggesting
 8 there needs to be root and branch consideration of this?
 9 A. That is correct. Yes.
 10 Q. That's only four months ago?
 11 A. Four months ago.
 12 Q. Yeah. Mr Railton was asked by Mr Blake, when he gave
 13 evidence, the following, and this is at page 164 of his
 14 evidence:
 15 "We've seen in the YouGov report, for example, and
 16 in evidence the Inquiry has heard, of issues still being
 17 experienced by subpostmasters in relation to their use
 18 of Horizon."
 19 That's the importance of it, Mr Read, isn't it?
 20 A. Yes.
 21 Q. It's still ongoing.
 22 "Is it possible, is it likely, that subpostmasters
 23 are going to be using that same system into 2030?"
 24 Mr Railton replied:
 25 "It is possible. I don't think it's likely and

131

1 So this was June 2024, Mr Read, only some five
 2 months ago -- four months ago, in fact.
 3 A. Four.
 4 Q. "Two external reviews had been completed in respect of
 5 the project and both concluded red ratings. The build/
 6 buy point had been considered, although the build
 7 approach without the necessary inhouse expertise seemed
 8 flawed. [Mr Railton] shared his view that the
 9 conversation on buy/build was the wrong question and
 10 thought that the question was build/build and then the
 11 question was whether to build internally or externally.
 12 There needed to be a number of conditions met for
 13 a successful internal build however such as a stable
 14 business, good governance and quick decision making.
 15 With the Company not fulfilling this conditions the view
 16 of [Mr Railton] was that a third party should be
 17 commissioned to build. [Mr Railton] advised that he saw
 18 3 options, firstly SPM could carry on as was, secondly
 19 a third party could be engaged to build the new system,
 20 and thirdly that Horizon could be brought in house. All
 21 of these options needed to be carefully considered;
 22 "the dashboard reporting to the [Investment
 23 Committee] showed 17 red platinum projects. A number of
 24 these were outside appetite although there was a lack of
 25 clarity around the definition and terms were not used

130

1 certainly our intention and the intention of the new
 2 team is to move away from Horizon to a new system that
 3 can deliver -- I'm sorry to go back to the strategic
 4 review, but a system that's fit for the future as soon
 5 as possible but to do that in a way that doesn't disrupt
 6 postmasters' activities."
 7 So that was the answer as to whether or not,
 8 essentially in 2030 -- which is when the Fujitsu
 9 contract is due to run out, isn't it?
 10 A. Well, we haven't got agreement to a five-year
 11 commitment, yes, but that was the plan.
 12 Q. Yes. That's the possibility. Is there a firm decision,
 13 in the Strategic Review, as to how to do this? I'm not
 14 asking you what the decision is. Is there a firm plan
 15 now in respect of all of the replacement for Horizon in
 16 the Strategic Review?
 17 A. I have not yet seen the specifics of this. As you're
 18 probably aware, for the last six weeks I've stepped back
 19 to focus on the corporate statements associated with the
 20 Inquiry, and that is something that I'm sure by the end
 21 of this particular session I will be back involved in
 22 that activity. So I can't give you that assurance at
 23 the moment, Mr Moloney.
 24 Q. Has it taken too long to find a replacement for Horizon?
 25 A. Yes, it has.

132

1 **Q.** Do you bear any responsibility in how long it has taken,
2 Mr Read?
3 **A.** Yes, I mean, if you go back to my last statement that
4 I made, the very last pages on that statement, I have
5 number of regrets, I think, in my five years in the
6 business, and one of those regrets is the inability to
7 have the bandwidth to get involved in the SPM programme
8 and project, as much as I would have liked, having been
9 spread a bit too thinly. And that is a big regret of
10 mine and I wish that I'd had more time to do that -- one
11 of number of regrets but that was certainly one of them
12 which I expressed in my statement.

13 **MR MOLONEY:** That's all I ask, Mr Read, thank you.

14 **THE WITNESS:** Thank you.

15 **MR BEER:** Sir, unusually, I've just got couple of questions
16 to ask which I've been asked to put to Mr Read, one by
17 way of correction of something that I said, and then
18 some supplementals that follow it.

19 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Sure, Mr Beer.

20 **MR BEER:** It will be less than five minutes.

21 **Further questioned by MR BEER**

22 **MR BEER:** Can we look please at POL00448701.

23 Thank you. You remember I showed you this letter,
24 it's Mr Vamos' letter, the "Dear all" letter?

25 **A.** Yes, indeed.

133

1 consider relevant issues in advance of passing
2 legislation, without any value judgement on what the
3 correct course of action might be.

4 "The letter references a note provided by Post
5 Office's legal counsel. This note was not solicited by
6 the Post Office and, as can be seen, was sent to express
7 the personal views of its author.

8 "Post Office was in no way seeking to persuade
9 Government against mass exoneration. Post Office are
10 fully supportive of any steps taken by Government to
11 speed up the exoneration of those with wrongful
12 convictions and to provide redress to the victims with
13 the information having been provided to them to inform
14 that consideration."

15 So your letter of the 9th is published, Mr Vamos'
16 "Dear all" letter is published and then there is this
17 explanatory text alongside it, which says:

18 "Post Office was in no way seeking to persuade
19 Government against mass exoneration."

20 Can we look, please, at your letter of the 9th,
21 POL00448381. I drew your attention to the fourth
22 paragraph of this, if we scroll down, "A natural
23 corollary", et cetera, yes? We looked at that this
24 morning.

25 **A.** We did, yes.

135

1 **Q.** I drew your attention to the third paragraph, the fourth
2 line, in which it was said:

3 "In reality, it is highly likely the vast majority
4 of people who have not yet appealed were, in fact,
5 guilty as charged and were safely convicted."
6 Yes?

7 **A.** You did, yes.

8 **Q.** I'd suggested that this was put up on the Post Office's
9 website --

10 **A.** Yes, you did.

11 **Q.** -- but it was no longer on the website. In fact, it has
12 been drawn to my attention that it is still on the Post
13 Office's website. The website, which I'm looking at
14 now, contains some text alongside it, ie the publication
15 of Mr Vamos' letter. It says:

16 "The Post Office has published, on 22 February 2024,
17 correspondence from 9 January [that's your letter to the
18 Lord Chancellor] sent by the Post Office to the Ministry
19 of Justice, copied to the Department for Business and
20 Trade. The purpose of the correspondence was to explain
21 the work that the Post Office had requested its legal
22 counsel, Peters & Peters, undertake to proactively
23 identify on the papers available any convictions that
24 could be unsafe. This was primarily to offer the
25 Government any support that might assist them as they

134

1 **Q.** What I didn't do is go over the page. If we go over the
2 page, please, you wrote in the penultimate paragraph:

3 "In the meantime, I attach a note prepared by Peters
4 & Peters which covers this and other issues you may find
5 helpful in your deliberations."

6 That's the Vamos "Dear all" letter. So whoever the
7 "Dear all" was sent to, it had certainly got to you by
8 this time, hadn't it?

9 **A.** It looks like it, yes.

10 **Q.** The covering text on the Post Office website, when it
11 speaks about the Peters & Peters note, essentially takes
12 two points: it says, firstly, Mr Vamos' note was not
13 solicited.

14 **A.** Yes.

15 **Q.** Secondly, it says it expresses the personal views of its
16 author. Was that the Post Office distancing itself from
17 the content of Mr Vamos' letter?

18 **A.** I think it was just trying to explain the letter.
19 That's my understanding.

20 **Q.** You, in your letter, attached Mr Vamos' "Dear all"
21 letter, didn't you?

22 **A.** Indeed.

23 **Q.** Were you allying yourself with the contents of it by
24 doing so?

25 **A.** No, I'd been very clear that we weren't making any value

136

1 judgements. We felt obliged to alert the Ministry of
2 Justice to exactly what work had been done and to aid
3 them in their deliberations.
4 **MR BEER:** Thank you.
5 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Thank you, Mr Beer.
6 Thank you, Mr Read, for four witness statements, two
7 of which are very long and detailed, and for giving
8 evidence over three days before this Inquiry. I'm very
9 grateful to you.
10 **THE WITNESS:** Thank you, sir.
11 **SIR WYN WILLIAMS:** Right, we'll adjourn now and resume on
12 Tuesday morning at 10.00.
13 **MR BEER:** Thank you very much, sir.
14 **(2.21 pm)**
15 **(The hearing adjourned until 10.00 am**
16 **on Tuesday 15 October 2024)**
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

INDEX	
NICHOLAS JAMES READ (continued)	1
Questioned by MR BEER (continued)	1
Questioned by MR STEIN	31
Questioned by MS WATT	86
Questioned by MS ALLAN	104
Questioned by MR MOLONEY	111
Further questioned by MR BEER	133

<div>MR BEER: [18] 1/5 1/7 27/16 30/10 30/23 53/21 86/21 103/14 103/18 104/2 104/5 104/7 110/22 133/15 133/20 133/22 137/4 137/13</div> <div>MR MOLONEY: [7] 111/3 111/6 119/4 119/7 119/14 119/23 133/13</div> <div>MR STEIN: [10] 31/3 31/8 43/22 46/6 46/10 53/13 53/16 53/23 79/2 85/7</div> <div>MS ALLAN: [3] 104/9 107/6 110/19</div> <div>MS WATT: [2] 87/1 103/13</div> <div>SIR WYN WILLIAMS: [38] 1/6 27/4 27/9 27/15 30/18 31/2 31/6 43/21 46/5 46/8 53/14 53/17 77/17 77/23 78/5 79/1 85/10 85/22 86/7 86/16 86/20 86/24 103/17 103/24 104/4 104/6 106/24 107/1 110/20 110/23 111/5 119/2 119/6 119/9 119/22 133/19 137/5 137/11</div> <div>THE WITNESS: [4] 46/16 85/9 133/14 137/10</div> <div>'</div> <div>'21 [2] 62/25 88/2</div> <div>'22 [2] 61/13 62/22</div> <div>'23 [4] 125/19 125/21 125/22 125/24</div> <div>'25 [1] 88/4</div> <div>'business [1] 2/17</div> <div>-</div> <div>-- so [1] 66/15</div> <div>1</div> <div>1 billion [2] 88/22 90/16</div> <div>1 mile [1] 60/15</div> <div>1,000 [1] 76/24</div> <div>1,700 [1] 99/6</div> <div>1.40 [2] 110/22 111/1</div> <div>10 [4] 53/2 53/5 82/8 82/8</div> <div>10 June [1] 2/3</div> <div>10 million [1] 94/9</div> <div>10 years [2] 88/8 99/8</div> <div>10.00 [3] 1/2 137/12 137/15</div>	<div>100 [1] 53/3</div> <div>11 [4] 2/6 22/2 87/15 129/15</div> <div>11 March [3] 61/8 61/13 61/22</div> <div>11 October 2024 [1] 1/1</div> <div>11,500 [1] 127/15</div> <div>11.00 am [1] 55/16</div> <div>11.16 [1] 53/18</div> <div>11.30 [3] 30/24 30/24 53/15</div> <div>11.31 [1] 53/20</div> <div>12 [1] 46/17</div> <div>12.47 [1] 110/24</div> <div>130,000 [1] 120/23</div> <div>132 [1] 22/3</div> <div>14 [1] 10/12</div> <div>15 [1] 30/16</div> <div>15 October [1] 137/16</div> <div>150 [2] 10/13 11/5</div> <div>16 May [1] 129/22</div> <div>164 [1] 131/13</div> <div>17 [2] 19/24 130/23</div> <div>17 May [3] 33/25 54/21 56/1</div> <div>18 [3] 64/10 92/20 115/14</div> <div>180 million [1] 127/1</div> <div>18th [1] 115/17</div> <div>19 [1] 62/2</div> <div>1999 [1] 32/22</div> <div>2</div> <div>2.21 [1] 137/14</div> <div>2.5 [2] 43/23 44/22</div> <div>20 [4] 53/2 53/5 91/5 92/2</div> <div>2000s [2] 76/1 94/3</div> <div>2003 [1] 93/9</div> <div>2005 [1] 34/10</div> <div>2007 [1] 93/9</div> <div>2010 [1] 66/11</div> <div>2010/2011 [1] 115/9</div> <div>2011 [3] 96/15 96/23 115/9</div> <div>2012 [1] 93/10</div> <div>2013 [1] 116/20</div> <div>2015 [6] 32/22 89/10 94/21 106/13 106/18 108/9</div> <div>2016/2017 [2] 92/5 92/6</div> <div>2017 [2] 92/5 92/6</div> <div>2018 [1] 1/24</div> <div>2019 [6] 93/17 104/23 105/18 108/7 124/21 126/20</div> <div>2019/2020 [1] 63/2</div> <div>2020 [5] 2/13 3/17 5/10 63/2 72/24</div> <div>2021 [2] 23/10 23/17</div> <div>2022 [3] 23/10 61/8</div>	<div>61/22</div> <div>2023 [9] 1/24 17/3 18/14 18/24 18/24 122/24 126/7 127/22 128/10</div> <div>2024 [23] 1/1 2/3 11/15 17/23 21/10 23/24 34/1 43/20 46/5 46/8 55/16 55/24 56/1 62/13 79/5 100/3 104/16 129/1 129/3 130/1 131/5 134/16 137/16</div> <div>2025 [1] 87/22</div> <div>2030 [2] 131/23 132/8</div> <div>21 [1] 129/8</div> <div>22 February [1] 134/16</div> <div>24 May [1] 129/1</div> <div>25 [1] 31/5</div> <div>250 [1] 89/4</div> <div>26 June [3] 55/16 55/24 58/16</div> <div>277 [1] 90/5</div> <div>29 [1] 102/17</div> <div>29 April [1] 100/3</div> <div>29 May [1] 90/7</div> <div>3</div> <div>3 miles [1] 60/14</div> <div>3,400 [1] 92/6</div> <div>3.5 [1] 129/20</div> <div>30 [4] 3/4 4/24 21/16 92/2</div> <div>30 years [1] 124/23</div> <div>335 [4] 76/23 77/1 77/10 77/11</div> <div>36 million [3] 32/21 33/9 53/8</div> <div>369 [1] 22/2</div> <div>37 [1] 82/6</div> <div>4</div> <div>4 June [2] 123/2 129/3</div> <div>4 November [1] 109/14</div> <div>4,000 [1] 91/6</div> <div>4.0 [1] 43/14</div> <div>4.5 [3] 46/24 47/3 47/5</div> <div>4.6 [3] 47/9 47/9 47/12</div> <div>45 [2] 30/14 103/15</div> <div>46 [1] 48/19</div> <div>47 [3] 120/14 120/15 120/15</div> <div>47 years [1] 115/12</div> <div>48 [1] 117/23</div> <div>49 [1] 19/25</div> <div>5</div> <div>50 [2] 53/3 53/5</div>	<div>55 [2] 49/17 103/20</div> <div>6</div> <div>60 [1] 23/8</div> <div>60 million [1] 92/1</div> <div>60 per cent [2] 79/5 91/23</div> <div>7</div> <div>7,500 [1] 92/5</div> <div>70 per cent [1] 74/25</div> <div>9</div> <div>9 January [2] 21/10 134/17</div> <div>90 [1] 60/14</div> <div>90,000 [1] 114/24</div> <div>94 [1] 7/5</div> <div>97 [1] 48/19</div> <div>98 [1] 9/12</div> <div>99 [1] 60/13</div> <div>9th [2] 135/15 135/20</div> <div>A</div> <div>abide [1] 108/19</div> <div>ability [6] 18/11 27/20 28/13 78/1 78/22 84/7</div> <div>able [10] 9/10 37/19 52/7 62/16 75/14 83/22 87/6 110/1 112/23 120/20</div> <div>abled [1] 20/13</div> <div>about [126] 2/11 5/14 6/18 9/17 10/5 10/7 10/8 17/4 17/18 18/11 19/14 22/16 24/25 25/8 27/18 28/6 28/8 28/9 28/12 30/13 30/14 30/15 30/16 30/17 30/18 30/19 30/23 30/24 31/5 33/20 34/13 35/16 36/11 38/11 45/4 45/18 47/10 47/24 49/17 50/8 50/19 50/20 52/20 53/25 55/14 56/15 58/4 58/15 59/8 62/21 63/14 63/16 65/11 65/17 66/15 67/5 67/7 67/16 67/16 68/9 68/9 68/14 70/25 75/7 75/18 75/23 76/2 76/18 77/24 82/12 83/1 83/21 85/14 87/6 88/20 89/19 90/1 90/8 90/21 90/22 91/1 91/21 91/23 92/5 92/17 94/9 94/10 94/16 94/18 95/11 97/21 97/24 98/9 98/13 98/25 101/10 102/1 102/2 103/2</div>	<div>104/17 105/16 110/6 111/14 114/20 116/14 116/25 118/2 118/12 118/13 119/1 119/15 120/1 122/1 122/2 122/4 122/21 123/20 125/6 126/15 127/21 127/24 128/10 128/13 128/20 129/11 136/11</div> <div>absence [1] 117/10</div> <div>absolutely [12] 12/23 53/7 70/24 71/17 78/13 80/13 81/2 81/5 83/23 95/10 97/14 98/24</div> <div>acceleration [1] 22/7</div> <div>accept [13] 14/14 48/8 48/13 53/6 72/4 77/14 80/23 89/18 96/21 98/20 116/5 119/25 122/16</div> <div>accepted [1] 115/24</div> <div>accepting [1] 49/3</div> <div>access [2] 96/15 96/23</div> <div>according [1] 90/13</div> <div>account [8] 6/20 36/24 38/2 43/8 43/17 44/10 49/5 52/12</div> <div>accountabilities [1] 51/23</div> <div>accountability [3] 15/14 78/1 79/25</div> <div>accountant [1] 31/23</div> <div>accountants [2] 32/17 33/13</div> <div>accounting [3] 44/4 115/24 116/5</div> <div>accounts [5] 7/22 33/2 38/14 74/3 112/24</div> <div>accuracy [2] 35/4 100/12</div> <div>achieve [3] 22/23 34/15 68/6</div> <div>achievements [1] 116/1</div> <div>acknowledged [1] 99/17</div> <div>across [12] 33/10 41/20 42/5 42/7 51/14 51/16 51/17 74/24 75/13 75/14 125/4 129/25</div> <div>Act [2] 79/3 79/4</div> <div>acting [2] 56/5 69/12</div> <div>action [10] 7/15 18/8 20/8 40/16 54/23 100/21 101/2 101/12 101/16 135/3</div> <div>actioned [1] 49/2</div> <div>actions [2] 14/4 70/15</div> <div>activities [1] 132/6</div>
--	--	---	---	---

<p>A</p> <p>activity [5] 41/2 97/19 118/5 119/25 132/22</p> <p>acts [1] 109/19</p> <p>actual [1] 69/21</p> <p>actually [17] 13/11 13/18 16/4 22/19 27/12 36/20 38/13 45/13 46/20 57/5 63/14 66/3 69/20 82/2 95/13 101/21 127/5</p> <p>acute [1] 22/5</p> <p>add [2] 50/11 121/19</p> <p>addition [1] 73/6</p> <p>additional [2] 1/15 17/16</p> <p>address [10] 7/1 20/7 39/1 39/4 43/5 52/23 63/19 85/20 93/21 97/6</p> <p>addressed [4] 1/13 27/4 71/15 97/20</p> <p>addresses [2] 2/8 100/6</p> <p>addressing [3] 2/5 21/6 74/20</p> <p>adds [1] 53/5</p> <p>adequacy [3] 73/15 85/23 86/9</p> <p>adjourn [1] 137/11</p> <p>adjourned [1] 137/15</p> <p>Adjournment [1] 110/25</p> <p>administer [3] 1/11 6/1 6/19</p> <p>administered [4] 4/5 4/8 85/13 85/25</p> <p>administering [5] 72/13 72/23 72/23 77/19 121/13</p> <p>advance [1] 135/1</p> <p>advantageous [1] 8/3</p> <p>advice [15] 2/14 3/5 3/22 4/20 29/18 29/20 29/23 30/2 30/2 58/5 58/7 58/25 59/2 80/19 85/15</p> <p>advised [4] 20/24 22/24 129/21 130/17</p> <p>advisers [1] 21/15</p> <p>Advisory [3] 22/18 23/2 80/14</p> <p>Advocate [3] 23/24 107/13 108/14</p> <p>Advocate's [1] 109/6</p> <p>Affairs [1] 98/4</p> <p>affect [3] 8/25 76/18 103/4</p> <p>affected [9] 39/10 41/7 41/11 41/17 83/3 83/12 83/19 83/20</p>	<p>85/5</p> <p>affronted [1] 57/1</p> <p>afraid [8] 39/9 76/16 84/12 102/16 106/11 108/6 109/1 109/4</p> <p>after [17] 12/18 33/25 34/4 45/21 48/10 51/2 52/14 67/13 100/8 102/17 103/22 111/17 114/24 115/14 115/23 117/5 129/4</p> <p>afternoon [4] 87/1 104/9 111/13 111/7</p> <p>again [23] 1/10 1/13 6/20 10/17 10/19 33/19 33/23 50/22 51/1 51/5 68/21 68/24 74/13 78/8 84/22 87/13 100/10 105/25 106/16 106/20 117/1 118/3 120/18</p> <p>against [11] 18/15 18/25 19/1 19/1 19/6 22/6 54/24 120/2 121/17 135/9 135/19</p> <p>agencies [8] 108/3 108/19 109/21 110/5 110/14 110/16 118/14 121/3</p> <p>agency [8] 24/3 24/15 107/15 107/24 108/11 108/15 108/25 110/9</p> <p>agenda [1] 93/19</p> <p>ago [8] 64/10 102/25 114/11 118/13 130/2 130/2 131/10 131/11</p> <p>agree [34] 16/3 17/11 36/16 38/5 39/6 39/16 41/18 42/15 42/17 45/16 48/16 50/22 52/18 52/21 60/7 61/1 68/12 70/1 73/18 76/15 80/20 81/8 81/11 87/9 88/19 89/8 89/9 90/20 91/2 97/15 99/17 102/14 102/23 120/5</p> <p>agreed [2] 85/12 111/20</p> <p>agreement [4] 5/25 78/14 78/24 132/10</p> <p>ahead [1] 57/21</p> <p>aid [1] 137/2</p> <p>aim [1] 12/12</p> <p>alacrity [1] 122/17</p> <p>Alan [2] 34/12 64/3</p> <p>albeit [2] 105/7 105/13</p> <p>alert [1] 137/1</p> <p>alerted [1] 35/2</p> <p>Alisdair [1] 34/20</p> <p>alive [1] 93/22</p> <p>all [65] 3/2 7/6 10/22</p>	<p>14/1 15/19 17/14 27/4 27/5 27/6 27/8 34/23 38/19 38/20 46/5 46/8 46/25 49/2 49/12 50/11 51/5 53/14 53/25 55/7 55/11 58/20 59/4 61/17 62/18 67/13 69/16 70/13 74/16 75/13 75/14 78/12 80/6 80/9 81/6 82/18 85/1 85/20 86/16 94/9 96/25 100/5 101/13 107/2 107/9 108/18 111/9 117/20 118/20 119/20 122/1 122/8 127/15 128/1 130/20 132/15 133/13 133/24 135/16 136/6 136/7 136/20</p> <p>Allan [7] 30/15 103/15 104/3 104/7 104/8 104/11 138/10</p> <p>Allan's [1] 103/21</p> <p>allegation [2] 12/24 116/4</p> <p>allegations [13] 17/20 18/15 19/6 19/10 28/22 97/3 97/16 97/24 99/21 102/12 103/6 117/18 118/6</p> <p>Allen [1] 81/7</p> <p>allow [2] 61/1 93/2</p> <p>ally [1] 26/9</p> <p>allying [1] 136/23</p> <p>almost [5] 6/14 79/3 94/20 96/19 102/25</p> <p>alone [1] 5/21</p> <p>along [2] 19/12 66/13</p> <p>alongside [2] 134/14 135/17</p> <p>already [5] 7/3 30/23 78/3 88/12 128/21</p> <p>also [27] 19/20 21/1 38/4 44/7 49/18 50/2 51/18 57/19 58/22 72/13 73/6 73/15 76/6 79/18 79/21 81/20 85/4 85/5 89/9 91/7 91/18 93/4 94/18 102/10 108/16 112/16 123/10</p> <p>alternative [1] 2/25</p> <p>although [4] 14/10 32/3 130/6 130/24</p> <p>always [1] 51/6</p> <p>am [24] 1/2 5/7 13/25 17/20 21/12 27/23 28/7 29/5 29/6 32/12 53/18 53/20 55/16 64/12 84/4 84/10 87/4 101/4 103/24 105/22 105/25 107/4 108/10 137/15</p>	<p>Amazon [2] 64/2 92/22</p> <p>amended [1] 10/15</p> <p>amending [1] 12/21</p> <p>amongst [4] 18/2 19/14 90/25 103/25</p> <p>amount [5] 5/20 15/13 31/25 39/12 75/25</p> <p>analyse [2] 38/13 76/4</p> <p>analysis [1] 10/22</p> <p>Angela [1] 96/22</p> <p>annual [1] 102/19</p> <p>another [12] 22/3 46/1 65/5 65/7 73/20 73/25 76/2 93/13 100/1 113/16 124/14 127/16</p> <p>answer [21] 6/24 9/3 13/13 13/16 34/24 36/11 36/20 57/5 58/8 60/2 66/5 84/23 93/8 106/24 107/2 109/1 109/3 117/15 119/18 126/10 132/7</p> <p>answered [4] 79/13 82/25 105/2 119/4</p> <p>answering [2] 30/11 116/13</p> <p>answers [2] 1/16 58/1</p> <p>Anthony [1] 34/12</p> <p>anticipated [1] 89/9</p> <p>anxiety [2] 115/2 117/10</p> <p>any [51] 1/12 4/23 5/24 6/22 8/25 9/11 9/11 15/23 18/7 19/14 20/7 24/8 24/13 27/25 39/13 49/19 50/3 52/9 52/17 54/22 54/23 66/25 67/3 69/14 69/19 74/3 77/18 77/19 83/10 83/13 83/16 97/19 97/23 99/21 99/22 100/21 101/12 105/16 109/5 110/9 110/10 118/6 118/16 119/10 128/5 133/1 134/23 134/25 135/2 135/10 136/25</p> <p>anybody [4] 38/21 38/22 40/9 97/18</p> <p>anyone [4] 25/11 59/18 62/20 63/8</p> <p>anyone's [1] 48/20</p> <p>anything [12] 10/7 19/12 25/8 30/9 51/22 51/22 51/24 56/23 66/3 94/6 95/21 98/25</p> <p>anywhere [1] 92/1</p> <p>appalling [2] 26/24 124/24</p>	<p>appeal [3] 21/18 21/23 104/13</p> <p>appealed [3] 26/6 26/22 134/4</p> <p>appeals [4] 21/18 22/8 23/10 105/8</p> <p>appear [3] 43/12 43/15 51/1</p> <p>appears [7] 5/24 31/18 62/8 68/18 71/18 71/23 79/7</p> <p>appellants [1] 21/16</p> <p>appetite [1] 130/24</p> <p>application [1] 116/20</p> <p>applied [2] 10/16 10/18</p> <p>appoint [1] 17/6</p> <p>appointed [2] 12/18 17/10</p> <p>appointment [5] 17/5 17/13 65/21 106/14 106/18</p> <p>appraisal [1] 115/22</p> <p>appreciate [3] 33/20 101/4 111/22</p> <p>appreciating [1] 114/3</p> <p>appreciation [1] 111/14</p> <p>approach [7] 8/5 9/14 18/19 19/8 62/4 127/13 130/7</p> <p>approached [1] 3/25</p> <p>appropriate [3] 44/18 50/21 119/11</p> <p>approved [1] 123/24</p> <p>April [10] 100/3 101/19 102/17 122/24 125/21 125/22 125/24 126/7 127/22 128/10</p> <p>ARC [1] 46/20</p> <p>are [161]</p> <p>area [3] 42/16 77/14 106/2</p> <p>areas [3] 71/22 74/14 74/15</p> <p>aren't [2] 68/23 78/4</p> <p>arisen [1] 7/10</p> <p>arises [1] 30/25</p> <p>arising [4] 2/16 49/20 50/4 98/10</p> <p>around [17] 12/14 15/1 15/8 27/24 31/4 59/18 63/9 87/6 91/6 91/8 91/25 112/11 125/25 126/2 128/3 130/25 131/5</p> <p>article [2] 11/15 11/16</p> <p>articles [1] 11/13</p> <p>articulate [2] 109/24 110/2</p> <p>articulated [2] 42/11</p>
---	--	--	---	---

<p>A</p> <p>articulated... [1] 66/9 as [220] ascertain [1] 34/9 ask [33] 9/4 11/8 21/7 26/12 34/5 46/10 49/10 55/23 59/1 59/2 68/22 77/17 84/14 87/4 87/8 91/9 94/14 95/11 96/7 109/3 111/7 114/19 114/20 118/24 122/1 122/2 122/4 122/21 123/21 123/23 128/19 133/13 133/16 asked [31] 11/21 33/25 34/1 44/9 45/18 50/19 53/9 67/5 67/7 68/9 75/5 76/2 83/1 84/11 84/12 90/15 94/16 94/18 102/20 107/7 107/12 111/14 116/9 116/12 119/9 119/18 123/18 127/10 129/11 131/12 133/16 Askham [1] 115/18 asking [12] 26/25 28/6 28/8 28/11 32/12 55/13 61/15 66/15 68/14 90/8 101/20 132/14 aspect [2] 60/9 96/19 aspects [1] 59/6 assess [1] 32/18 assessment [3] 22/12 22/13 32/24 assist [5] 54/12 79/22 80/8 84/25 134/25 assistance [1] 43/19 assistant [2] 44/15 114/25 assistants [3] 44/7 44/10 100/14 assisted [5] 3/7 54/2 54/2 54/4 96/22 associated [12] 29/15 51/24 52/10 89/14 89/15 91/17 91/18 93/20 96/25 120/23 124/24 132/19 assume [1] 46/8 assurance [12] 49/15 49/20 49/21 50/4 50/5 50/14 50/16 51/9 51/19 109/25 128/15 132/22 assure [2] 83/22 128/16 at [128] 2/6 2/10 3/9 3/25 4/15 4/18 10/12 10/13 12/3 12/3 12/5 13/3 13/7 13/21 13/22</p>	<p>15/19 16/8 18/1 19/16 21/8 22/1 23/18 24/5 24/10 24/12 24/18 26/18 28/17 30/6 30/22 30/24 31/4 31/13 32/18 33/14 33/21 34/9 37/3 38/8 38/11 38/25 40/3 40/3 41/3 41/5 42/12 42/19 44/22 46/10 48/13 50/25 51/14 52/17 52/25 53/14 55/16 56/5 56/8 56/14 58/20 59/7 61/20 67/8 68/21 69/12 70/21 74/10 74/16 75/7 75/24 80/16 80/18 82/7 82/8 82/8 83/8 84/5 84/13 85/20 86/13 87/15 88/13 89/2 90/5 90/7 90/12 91/20 92/5 92/6 92/8 95/2 95/18 95/25 98/7 101/10 102/1 102/3 104/2 109/8 109/9 111/14 112/5 113/3 114/13 117/18 117/22 118/2 118/11 119/20 121/3 122/8 122/13 122/22 123/16 124/3 125/18 126/11 128/2 128/18 129/8 129/15 131/13 132/22 133/22 134/13 135/20 135/23 137/12 attach [1] 136/3 attached [1] 136/20 attack [1] 63/12 attempt [3] 35/8 55/11 80/16 attempts [1] 80/18 attend [1] 82/13 attended [2] 82/7 116/17 attendees [1] 123/7 attention [12] 16/14 17/1 37/10 37/18 39/8 39/15 98/21 118/7 126/5 134/1 134/12 135/21 attitude [2] 95/9 126/23 attributed [1] 44/6 audit [5] 5/13 98/15 114/24 116/8 116/17 auditors [3] 90/14 116/9 116/17 audits [2] 54/5 54/14 author [2] 135/7 136/16 authority [2] 13/19 90/17 autumn [1] 22/19 available [3] 21/22 38/21 134/23</p>	<p>await [1] 69/4 aware [32] 5/20 10/20 12/4 17/25 18/18 18/21 18/23 19/7 19/10 22/25 23/6 24/16 27/24 31/8 33/15 34/18 35/5 35/18 38/1 56/2 59/20 100/24 102/22 105/11 107/10 107/11 107/12 108/10 109/7 109/12 115/5 132/18 away [2] 116/11 132/2 awkward [2] 11/8 11/22</p> <p>B</p> <p>back [30] 4/24 9/7 24/21 26/18 33/15 34/10 35/3 35/4 39/5 54/12 59/23 62/21 63/1 64/4 65/19 66/20 75/25 75/25 93/7 96/15 97/12 103/22 117/8 124/7 126/20 128/2 132/3 132/18 132/21 133/3 background [3] 53/24 53/25 54/6 bad [2] 5/11 5/15 Baker [2] 23/5 59/4 balance [5] 17/7 17/11 17/12 36/24 49/4 bandwidth [2] 4/11 133/7 banging [1] 104/24 bank [4] 5/11 5/11 5/15 5/15 banking [1] 126/12 banks [1] 7/16 bar [2] 75/15 75/16 barrister [1] 116/4 Bartlett [3] 55/18 55/24 109/23 based [4] 9/15 11/13 35/6 38/3 basically [1] 51/20 basis [2] 55/2 109/15 Bates [2] 34/12 98/5 be [193] Beal [1] 96/8 bear [2] 41/1 133/1 bears [1] 29/13 became [4] 18/23 19/7 27/19 129/4 because [37] 7/6 8/5 8/19 9/10 11/7 15/11 15/16 21/17 22/11 34/25 37/11 37/20 39/8 39/9 39/11 39/18 54/16 57/10 58/24 73/17 73/25 75/22</p>	<p>75/24 78/2 78/9 78/16 79/17 115/2 115/21 117/10 117/10 118/10 119/17 121/10 122/11 124/22 129/8 become [4] 18/18 18/21 22/25 28/12 been [146] BEER [17] 1/4 29/10 50/19 53/23 55/5 55/13 56/22 75/6 107/7 107/12 110/21 111/13 133/19 133/21 137/5 138/4 138/14 Beer's [1] 105/10 before [27] 21/7 34/10 40/24 56/12 57/7 65/1 65/8 66/9 70/1 81/3 82/25 85/10 87/25 89/23 97/16 103/5 106/13 106/13 106/18 106/18 107/2 109/13 115/9 115/10 117/17 128/19 137/8 begging [1] 104/25 beginning [1] 111/13 begins [1] 98/7 behalf [12] 22/9 23/6 24/20 30/16 86/23 87/4 96/10 102/19 103/16 111/8 119/10 128/17 behave [1] 68/4 behaviour [3] 101/8 102/12 116/14 behaviours [9] 67/8 67/16 67/18 67/21 68/7 102/13 112/16 112/18 114/11 behind [6] 28/24 64/11 69/11 70/2 78/25 93/18 being [40] 4/7 4/8 9/5 10/21 12/13 13/9 13/22 15/5 16/15 41/1 42/1 44/9 51/11 51/13 52/7 54/3 68/19 69/18 73/3 73/4 73/7 73/12 73/17 75/5 76/2 77/8 80/10 80/11 86/10 104/15 104/18 108/24 112/4 115/5 116/25 117/13 119/14 127/20 128/17 131/16 BEIS [2] 61/8 125/10 BEIS0000653 [1] 123/5 believe [20] 4/12 11/6 11/11 26/17 27/23 28/15 28/17 40/25 48/8 52/6 56/24 59/22 60/4 75/11 75/19 83/15 84/2 89/25 91/22 110/13</p>	<p>believed [1] 75/21 beneficial [1] 17/6 benefit [7] 4/13 69/19 75/9 75/12 75/17 76/13 94/8 benefited [1] 17/16 benefits [1] 95/22 beside [1] 31/19 bespoke [1] 87/17 best [4] 6/8 14/25 33/17 109/13 better [5] 10/9 33/6 58/18 59/19 106/4 between [17] 1/24 14/23 17/2 32/21 55/6 56/9 58/3 58/4 63/6 71/19 78/7 78/14 78/24 88/10 92/1 95/13 126/25 big [1] 133/9 biggest [1] 92/25 bill [1] 22/11 billion [2] 88/22 90/16 BIP [1] 38/19 birthday [1] 115/17 bit [7] 15/20 44/21 48/14 58/2 109/3 114/18 133/9 Blake [2] 129/10 131/12 blog [2] 80/7 80/8 board [78] 1/21 2/11 3/9 3/11 3/22 4/21 5/3 5/19 5/20 6/17 6/17 7/6 9/1 9/8 11/25 12/7 12/8 13/10 13/10 13/17 13/24 13/25 14/19 14/19 15/2 15/11 15/19 15/23 15/24 16/1 16/5 16/8 16/11 16/13 16/21 17/7 17/10 17/11 17/15 19/15 19/16 19/17 22/18 23/2 23/8 23/18 23/20 23/23 24/6 24/11 46/19 56/3 56/7 56/9 71/20 80/14 82/12 100/5 100/6 100/8 100/20 101/1 101/11 101/15 101/20 101/22 101/23 102/2 105/6 105/12 106/6 106/9 109/8 109/9 109/12 123/1 123/24 129/3 boardroom [1] 12/14 body [3] 95/14 96/24 123/14 Bogerd [1] 96/23 Boland [2] 33/12 34/21 Boothman [1] 87/5 both [14] 3/23 7/23</p>
--	--	---	--	--

B	130/17 130/19 build/build [1] 130/10 bullet [4] 15/7 15/12 47/12 124/12 bullies [1] 116/23 bullying [1] 117/11 buried [1] 76/17 bursaries [1] 83/18 business [23] 3/16 7/17 7/25 12/3 16/16 16/19 16/23 20/11 24/19 29/22 61/11 72/10 72/12 73/4 78/15 78/16 90/14 116/16 127/6 130/14 131/1 133/6 134/19 businesses [1] 7/17 busy [1] 45/10 but [70] 8/7 13/24 15/14 16/9 18/7 24/10 25/1 26/1 28/17 29/11 29/22 30/1 30/7 31/24 33/19 34/7 36/4 39/16 40/10 42/19 43/15 45/12 46/11 52/17 53/3 59/11 61/20 67/4 69/14 70/22 75/15 78/3 78/5 78/13 78/22 79/21 80/2 80/8 80/21 86/7 86/9 86/11 88/18 90/5 91/18 93/4 99/20 103/2 106/6 108/9 112/3 112/10 113/12 115/24 116/2 118/18 120/15 122/13 123/7 124/6 124/13 127/3 127/5 129/17 131/6 132/4 132/5 132/11 133/11 134/11 Butterfly [1] 51/10 butting [1] 75/22 button [10] 35/19 36/12 36/13 36/14 36/15 36/17 37/5 37/8 39/21 52/9 buy [2] 130/6 130/9 buy/build [1] 130/9 bypassing [1] 22/8	58/4 90/24 104/20 116/24 117/5 128/2 Cameron [3] 33/25 34/4 34/17 can [91] 1/5 1/10 1/16 2/6 6/25 7/1 9/24 13/3 13/10 21/8 24/17 24/20 27/13 28/23 29/10 30/20 31/3 32/5 33/6 34/8 36/4 37/1 39/11 41/13 43/14 43/17 43/25 44/23 45/2 46/6 46/15 46/24 47/11 48/3 48/3 48/16 49/11 49/13 52/16 61/8 63/9 67/22 71/16 76/18 76/20 79/10 79/11 83/22 84/22 85/3 85/10 86/7 86/17 87/1 87/12 92/14 97/23 98/19 99/11 99/20 100/4 100/6 104/10 105/16 106/24 106/25 110/10 110/13 110/16 111/3 111/5 112/20 118/24 119/2 119/17 119/19 121/4 123/6 123/7 123/8 123/12 123/13 124/13 127/8 128/18 129/15 129/18 132/3 133/22 135/6 135/20 can't [13] 6/24 25/10 36/8 49/8 52/15 59/13 61/6 66/2 76/16 76/19 87/7 119/16 132/22 candour [4] 108/20 109/15 109/19 110/11 cannot [1] 22/3 capability [1] 21/1 Capture [1] 76/3 captured [1] 4/22 career [1] 83/7 careful [1] 36/11 carefully [1] 130/21 careless [1] 45/7 carelessness [3] 44/2 44/25 47/14 Carey [1] 23/5 Carla [4] 5/12 5/12 14/10 17/14 Caroline [5] 116/15 116/16 117/7 117/14 118/8 carried [3] 24/13 44/2 73/12 carry [3] 27/20 28/14 130/18 case [21] 12/4 25/3 26/17 27/23 28/15 29/11 39/22 52/6 60/4 87/21 88/12 89/21 90/23 93/12 106/9 114/19 114/20 115/7	116/25 120/24 129/6 cases [12] 21/21 21/24 22/1 23/13 23/18 104/19 105/1 105/13 106/7 120/14 120/16 121/1 cash [3] 37/22 51/24 92/18 cause [5] 7/15 40/1 44/5 45/20 47/11 caused [7] 44/1 44/7 44/10 44/24 47/14 122/7 122/19 causing [1] 119/19 CCRC [5] 23/9 80/14 104/18 104/24 105/7 cease [1] 106/21 ceased [2] 106/14 106/19 cent [5] 60/13 60/14 74/25 79/5 91/23 central [7] 70/24 91/10 91/13 92/8 92/10 92/17 92/18 centre [11] 35/20 36/18 37/11 38/22 38/23 39/21 40/25 91/17 91/25 93/25 94/11 centric [2] 57/17 57/19 CEO [3] 30/4 34/6 71/20 CEOs [3] 29/11 56/9 58/4 certain [4] 8/2 27/8 69/15 106/1 certainly [23] 12/6 15/6 16/8 16/19 19/18 24/10 28/19 42/24 51/17 53/14 64/8 68/3 88/1 88/16 88/25 89/1 89/4 101/22 104/22 110/23 132/1 133/11 136/7 certainty [2] 128/5 128/10 cetera [1] 135/23 CFO [1] 34/18 chair [13] 5/13 48/2 56/5 56/14 58/21 70/8 79/14 80/4 80/5 87/5 129/1 129/4 131/7 Chairman [2] 53/21 65/13 chairmanship [1] 65/10 challenge [5] 13/9 15/1 15/8 22/19 32/25 challenges [6] 22/6 23/3 23/7 29/15 62/1 89/13 challenging [3] 11/8 11/21 18/4	Chambers [1] 18/17 championed [1] 11/24 Chancellor [3] 21/10 22/25 134/18 change [9] 10/17 10/20 40/6 41/19 70/12 70/19 93/9 95/1 96/1 changed [2] 95/9 95/25 changes [1] 61/25 changing [2] 46/12 70/17 charged [3] 26/7 26/22 134/5 check [3] 51/20 51/25 85/8 Chief [5] 14/9 18/10 66/18 95/17 96/11 children [2] 83/5 115/13 choice [2] 6/17 6/18 Christie [1] 104/11 Christmas [1] 80/16 church [1] 32/6 churn [1] 71/5 CIJ [2] 107/20 115/10 circle [1] 82/21 circulated [1] 101/22 Citizens [1] 80/19 civil [2] 54/5 54/23 claim [3] 29/7 74/23 121/5 claimants [8] 3/24 4/1 4/4 4/17 31/13 72/25 75/12 113/17 claimants' [2] 3/24 4/5 claimed [1] 74/25 claiming [1] 17/23 claims [1] 121/6 clarity [3] 44/12 45/12 130/25 clause [1] 129/12 clear [42] 5/7 6/9 6/16 7/3 11/23 24/7 27/7 28/6 29/1 35/19 36/4 39/18 39/23 40/10 42/15 47/24 49/18 50/2 51/18 51/25 54/24 62/23 63/3 65/9 65/11 70/20 75/15 75/18 77/14 80/9 83/23 85/11 97/2 97/21 97/24 101/11 106/6 117/17 117/20 117/25 125/24 136/25 cleared [1] 77/13 clearer [2] 9/16 52/1 clearly [28] 6/7 7/17 8/11 8/20 22/4 23/3 29/16 34/19 35/1 42/11 45/7 56/12
----------	--	--	---	---

C	commissioned [2] 35/12 130/17 commit [2] 61/16 85/5 commitment [12] 10/5 14/4 61/14 62/15 63/16 63/17 65/3 65/13 71/10 113/24 124/20 132/11 committed [2] 74/1 84/20 committee [21] 5/13 10/25 11/2 23/19 23/22 24/12 46/19 46/19 46/20 46/22 47/25 48/2 48/6 86/2 105/24 105/25 106/10 129/19 129/20 129/22 130/23 common [3] 76/9 111/16 112/11 commonality [1] 38/24 communicate [2] 36/2 68/5 communicating [1] 58/24 communication [8] 27/7 52/20 55/6 57/1 72/3 80/17 83/10 83/13 communications [5] 22/5 84/16 84/21 84/22 131/3 communities [1] 60/20 community [1] 60/9 company [7] 2/18 2/20 10/24 54/21 56/16 83/2 130/15 company's [1] 18/2 compartmentalise [1] 124/17 compartmentalising [1] 125/7 compensation [27] 2/12 2/16 2/19 2/24 3/2 3/10 3/13 3/18 4/7 4/10 4/14 5/1 5/4 6/1 6/12 6/19 28/2 60/24 63/5 63/7 66/7 72/6 73/21 79/13 121/5 121/6 124/1 compensation-relate d [1] 2/19 compiled [1] 65/4 complained [1] 116/15 complaining [1] 28/9 complaints [4] 28/12 29/5 120/1 121/18 complete [1] 3/20 completed [1] 130/4 completing [1] 48/25	complex [4] 4/12 34/25 107/2 110/1 complexity [3] 29/14 89/14 89/15 Compliance [1] 46/19 compliant [1] 107/9 complicated [2] 102/2 102/13 comply [1] 49/15 compound [1] 121/16 computer [4] 21/25 88/19 88/24 90/10 concede [1] 21/18 concern [8] 18/11 40/20 43/4 57/14 67/23 93/16 121/8 123/22 concerned [8] 19/13 40/14 40/17 69/16 75/7 101/6 127/24 128/13 concerning [2] 2/15 121/9 concerns [7] 17/18 18/12 18/18 19/8 59/8 98/8 98/11 conclude [1] 94/19 concluded [1] 130/5 conclusion [2] 65/16 112/6 conditions [2] 130/12 130/15 conduct [2] 23/25 44/16 conducted [7] 12/25 13/4 19/25 23/5 32/23 94/3 118/1 conducting [2] 24/7 84/21 conference [1] 102/19 confidence [8] 87/12 95/8 97/10 97/13 97/22 99/20 102/8 103/9 confident [3] 64/12 98/17 118/4 confirm [4] 29/11 30/20 31/3 108/21 confirmed [4] 105/11 107/10 107/16 108/16 confirms [1] 61/14 conflict [5] 7/7 7/9 8/3 8/20 9/10 conflicts [2] 7/6 8/22 conscious [4] 7/11 21/4 22/17 46/24 consensus [1] 103/25 consequence [5] 28/4 40/15 65/13 125/3 127/2	consider [4] 1/14 61/24 128/1 135/1 considerable [2] 31/25 71/19 considerably [1] 39/25 consideration [2] 131/8 135/14 considered [13] 4/15 24/12 45/11 73/12 73/17 79/17 79/19 79/23 79/24 83/25 85/23 130/6 130/21 considering [3] 6/3 127/13 128/6 consistently [2] 20/4 131/1 conspicuously [1] 3/23 constructed [1] 110/2 consultation [1] 35/12 consulted [1] 9/13 consume [1] 13/15 consumer [1] 92/16 contains [1] 134/14 contemporaneous [2] 5/8 29/4 content [4] 59/1 103/24 119/12 136/17 contents [1] 136/23 context [2] 15/9 61/25 contingency [1] 112/1 continue [7] 61/16 62/17 80/21 92/9 92/25 93/4 99/1 continued [6] 1/3 1/4 57/3 115/1 138/2 138/4 continues [2] 21/19 35/11 continuing [3] 94/17 115/3 129/13 contract [7] 46/3 47/7 50/20 50/21 51/13 129/13 132/9 contractor [1] 131/3 Contracts [1] 98/16 contractual [2] 49/12 50/12 contributed [1] 2/13 control [1] 98/6 convenient [1] 30/25 conveniently [1] 81/6 conversation [3] 34/20 128/3 130/9 conversations [3] 83/21 97/11 126/15 converted [1] 19/3 convey [1] 82/9 convicted [10] 22/13	26/7 26/22 31/14 31/21 76/25 80/11 104/12 104/16 134/5 conviction [3] 104/14 111/10 115/23 convictions [15] 21/24 22/14 72/11 72/14 72/17 72/19 76/21 76/22 77/7 77/12 105/1 111/11 112/8 134/23 135/12 Cooper [3] 1/18 4/25 6/14 cooperate [1] 50/13 cooperating [1] 116/12 copied [1] 134/19 copies [1] 46/11 copper [1] 131/2 core [9] 20/22 30/12 70/21 89/16 91/13 104/11 111/8 122/13 126/24 corollary [2] 21/20 135/23 corporate [5] 40/4 40/5 66/21 81/22 132/19 correct [46] 1/23 2/1 2/4 5/6 7/8 13/2 13/6 14/10 38/6 49/16 50/7 50/15 54/18 60/16 60/23 65/22 72/16 72/21 73/2 73/5 73/8 73/13 73/14 73/19 73/22 73/23 74/7 81/14 85/17 86/19 87/20 95/3 95/4 98/18 107/10 107/11 108/23 113/12 113/15 114/6 118/23 122/12 129/2 129/7 131/9 135/3 correction [1] 133/17 corrections [1] 49/3 correspondence [12] 55/4 55/10 55/25 56/8 56/11 56/13 56/15 58/5 59/21 72/1 134/17 134/20 corroborate [1] 28/19 cost [10] 88/21 89/1 91/22 93/14 123/25 125/15 127/2 127/12 127/25 128/13 costs [9] 89/17 91/10 91/17 91/18 92/9 92/10 93/5 124/1 124/2 could [24] 5/15 7/21 10/9 22/16 33/2 36/20 39/15 45/10 51/10 58/10 79/21 88/14 88/22 98/6 103/18
----------	---	--	---	---

<p>C</p> <p>could... [9] 103/21 107/24 110/22 123/4 123/13 130/18 130/19 130/20 134/24</p> <p>couldn't [1] 16/13</p> <p>counsel [11] 58/7 58/9 58/22 59/1 59/3 59/4 59/4 59/12 94/16 134/22 135/5</p> <p>count [2] 51/20 51/21</p> <p>Counter [1] 69/12</p> <p>counterparts [1] 20/21</p> <p>couple [10] 7/1 7/2 7/3 39/5 47/23 82/6 94/15 114/10 118/13 133/15</p> <p>course [10] 25/2 33/1 50/6 54/7 76/20 98/24 103/24 106/12 113/20 135/3</p> <p>court [3] 21/18 22/14 31/13</p> <p>courts [4] 54/5 54/5 54/14 72/20</p> <p>cover [1] 99/9</p> <p>covering [1] 136/10</p> <p>covers [1] 136/4</p> <p>Covid [1] 62/2</p> <p>Covid-19 [1] 62/2</p> <p>created [1] 2/20</p> <p>creating [1] 56/17</p> <p>crime [2] 24/19 110/15</p> <p>crimes [1] 107/25</p> <p>criminal [19] 23/10 23/12 25/15 25/17 26/19 54/4 54/14 54/23 58/6 58/9 58/10 58/18 72/14 72/19 76/22 104/19 105/7 105/13 106/7</p> <p>criminality [2] 109/20 110/9</p> <p>crisis [1] 16/20</p> <p>criteria [8] 9/15 9/18 10/15 10/17 10/20 11/1 11/6 12/22</p> <p>cross [2] 40/3 113/20</p> <p>cross-examined [1] 113/20</p> <p>crossreferences [1] 10/11</p> <p>Crown [7] 100/14 104/24 105/13 106/8 107/25 109/16 109/22</p> <p>crucial [2] 62/3 93/5</p> <p>crumbs [1] 69/23</p> <p>cudgel [1] 55/9</p> <p>cultural [4] 12/10 20/14 20/15 95/1</p> <p>culture [6] 17/24</p>	<p>19/11 68/1 94/17 95/9 95/24</p> <p>current [11] 9/13 32/9 32/20 36/15 69/8 70/8 79/7 100/22 101/13 101/16 107/8</p> <p>currently [5] 36/10 38/10 41/17 74/9 83/19</p> <p>customer [1] 33/3</p> <p>customers [1] 94/9</p> <p>cut [1] 93/5</p> <p>cycle [1] 46/18</p> <p>D</p> <p>Dar [2] 113/17 113/25</p> <p>dark [1] 43/12</p> <p>dashboard [1] 130/22</p> <p>data [9] 31/21 33/15 35/3 35/4 38/3 55/1 56/18 78/4 99/9</p> <p>database [1] 38/21</p> <p>databases [1] 78/23</p> <p>date [8] 61/10 61/13 61/22 88/2 88/15 88/17 88/18 115/8</p> <p>dated [2] 14/12 61/8</p> <p>dates [1] 46/6</p> <p>daughter's [1] 115/17</p> <p>Davies [6] 18/5 18/9 18/23 29/1 30/1 113/10</p> <p>Davies' [1] 18/14</p> <p>day [7] 1/13 1/13 34/9 37/21 66/9 90/10 90/13</p> <p>Day 2 [1] 1/13</p> <p>days [7] 71/1 80/7 100/9 106/12 113/5 118/13 137/8</p> <p>DBT [3] 83/21 84/1 84/10</p> <p>deaf [1] 27/25</p> <p>deal [18] 16/13 55/11 68/25 70/23 70/24 71/10 76/22 77/12 93/23 96/5 96/18 96/25 101/6 102/8 102/9 102/10 119/17 119/19</p> <p>dealing [7] 59/5 72/18 74/5 84/17 121/6 122/16 122/16</p> <p>deals [3] 72/13 83/8 129/9</p> <p>dealt [2] 74/2 80/10</p> <p>Dear [6] 27/4 133/24 135/16 136/6 136/7 136/20</p> <p>debacle [1] 74/1</p> <p>debate [1] 102/2</p>	<p>decades [2] 34/14 127/18</p> <p>deceased [1] 31/17</p> <p>decide [4] 6/8 58/12 58/18 58/20</p> <p>decided [3] 3/14 58/20 127/24</p> <p>deciding [1] 7/25</p> <p>decision [16] 3/21 4/22 7/20 13/11 14/24 15/15 15/24 24/9 56/9 85/25 102/15 107/17 123/17 130/14 132/12 132/14</p> <p>decision-making [2] 15/15 15/24</p> <p>decisions [10] 7/16 13/19 15/4 15/16 15/25 16/20 85/14 99/10 126/8 127/21</p> <p>decrease [1] 69/14</p> <p>dedicated [2] 2/24 68/20</p> <p>deductions [1] 117/4</p> <p>deemed [1] 45/11</p> <p>deeming [1] 108/14</p> <p>deeply [2] 52/22 52/22</p> <p>defects [1] 98/13</p> <p>definitely [2] 69/25 89/8</p> <p>definition [3] 7/13 35/1 130/25</p> <p>definitive [1] 33/11</p> <p>degree [3] 4/19 20/19 56/24</p> <p>delays [2] 89/17 125/16</p> <p>deliberations [2] 136/5 137/3</p> <p>deliver [6] 2/24 3/18 87/16 93/1 96/12 132/3</p> <p>delivered [1] 4/7</p> <p>delivery [1] 89/22</p> <p>demonstrating [1] 35/13</p> <p>den [1] 96/23</p> <p>denial [1] 111/24</p> <p>density [1] 71/22</p> <p>department [19] 2/14 3/6 4/9 63/4 64/22 72/10 72/12 73/3 75/7 78/7 78/8 78/9 78/15 78/16 90/14 125/8 126/6 127/23 134/19</p> <p>departments [1] 98/16</p> <p>deploy [1] 89/2</p> <p>deployed [2] 41/2 92/19</p> <p>deployment [1] 126/3</p> <p>depression [2] 115/3</p>	<p>117/10</p> <p>depth [1] 82/2</p> <p>descending [1] 119/23</p> <p>describe [5] 14/25 58/23 72/7 92/25 109/24</p> <p>described [6] 15/18 29/13 43/3 45/7 85/22 116/6</p> <p>describing [2] 60/12 105/23</p> <p>description [1] 14/14</p> <p>design [3] 4/17 125/25 126/7</p> <p>designing [1] 127/18</p> <p>desire [2] 71/6 93/22</p> <p>desk [1] 70/2</p> <p>despite [2] 104/15 110/11</p> <p>destructive [1] 29/8</p> <p>detail [9] 26/10 75/14 77/16 106/11 108/6 108/10 110/17 114/18 123/12</p> <p>detailed [1] 137/7</p> <p>details [1] 78/13</p> <p>determination [1] 64/6</p> <p>determine [3] 11/1 22/3 37/23</p> <p>determined [5] 3/11 5/3 7/15 27/3 86/10</p> <p>determining [1] 7/25</p> <p>detriment [2] 74/16 74/19</p> <p>develop [4] 21/3 62/3 67/20 67/20</p> <p>developed [2] 126/12 128/17</p> <p>developing [1] 18/3</p> <p>development [5] 88/21 89/6 89/19 116/16 126/17</p> <p>developments [1] 93/3</p> <p>Devereux [1] 18/16</p> <p>devoted [1] 31/25</p> <p>DHL [1] 92/22</p> <p>diagnose [1] 78/22</p> <p>did [43] 1/11 8/7 8/25 12/17 17/11 18/18 18/21 26/8 28/11 29/20 30/4 34/14 58/4 58/12 58/18 58/25 59/6 59/18 59/22 63/2 65/7 90/24 93/10 93/11 95/20 99/25 101/20 102/17 105/6 106/10 106/11 106/21 108/22 111/19 113/2 113/6 116/1 124/3 124/6 124/18 134/7 134/10 135/25</p>	<p>didn't [15] 6/18 8/6 8/24 20/11 30/7 58/20 64/2 76/17 106/6 110/11 111/22 119/9 121/24 136/1 136/21</p> <p>differ [2] 5/7 43/16</p> <p>difference [2] 6/21 96/2</p> <p>different [22] 5/16 5/22 5/23 8/10 8/11 8/13 9/25 22/22 39/25 40/3 40/6 45/25 59/5 87/8 90/25 91/4 94/13 97/10 126/18 126/19 129/10 131/6</p> <p>difficult [15] 9/3 12/20 14/22 14/23 15/17 33/16 37/25 42/7 46/13 77/15 81/16 81/16 118/10 126/10 127/19</p> <p>difficulties [3] 75/23 76/4 119/20</p> <p>difficulty [2] 87/2 89/10</p> <p>digital [1] 93/2</p> <p>direct [2] 95/13 120/12</p> <p>directed [3] 60/19 67/8 112/7</p> <p>direction [7] 8/1 32/11 63/11 63/14 64/9 83/17 107/19</p> <p>directions [1] 98/14</p> <p>directly [4] 18/7 101/19 107/25 109/22</p> <p>director [6] 1/21 21/1 21/2 54/20 82/24 96/13</p> <p>Directors [7] 8/16 9/21 10/6 12/18 13/1 82/1 101/17</p> <p>disabled [1] 20/10</p> <p>disagree [1] 12/23</p> <p>disagreement [1] 52/10</p> <p>disappointing [1] 20/19</p> <p>disclosed [2] 55/24 59/11</p> <p>disclosure [6] 55/18 59/8 59/16 78/20 105/21 108/20</p> <p>discovered [2] 26/2 78/3</p> <p>discrepancies [7] 35/21 38/10 38/20 39/1 41/1 47/13 52/5</p> <p>discrepancy [10] 36/25 37/18 38/15 38/17 39/18 39/20 49/19 50/3 52/11 74/14</p> <p>discuss [7] 28/18</p>
--	---	---	---	---

D	77/14 78/20 78/20 79/6 79/16 80/8 80/20 80/23 81/25 84/2 84/8 85/5 87/2 88/19 90/23 91/10 94/6 94/13 99/1 99/9 101/21 103/20 103/21 104/1 104/10 106/17 109/25 110/11 110/11 113/18 113/19 113/23 114/5 114/6 124/4 127/6 129/13 129/14 132/5 132/13 133/1 133/10 136/1 document [24] 43/6 43/8 43/18 45/25 46/1 47/3 48/22 55/17 56/13 57/6 58/25 59/17 65/4 75/6 76/17 98/2 100/1 101/18 123/1 127/9 128/18 128/20 129/11 129/15 documentation [2] 8/21 76/19 documents [18] 3/24 8/19 43/10 43/19 46/5 47/19 48/9 49/14 67/1 67/6 67/7 67/11 68/7 68/8 68/22 94/15 120/23 122/23 does [13] 10/2 37/4 37/5 44/12 45/8 45/23 53/7 62/12 77/18 84/24 107/18 108/12 108/23 doesn't [10] 14/9 37/22 38/12 45/22 75/21 77/2 100/5 118/17 122/9 132/5 doing [16] 38/3 39/25 52/1 53/2 53/2 53/3 54/17 63/7 68/7 71/3 80/2 82/4 86/18 91/22 103/11 136/24 dominated [1] 19/18 don't [91] 5/18 8/7 8/19 8/21 10/6 11/11 13/11 13/25 14/16 15/23 16/3 16/9 24/6 24/8 25/1 25/7 25/10 26/10 26/17 27/1 27/1 27/6 27/6 27/10 27/11 27/23 28/15 28/17 28/18 32/3 33/10 35/3 39/7 39/11 39/14 39/17 39/17 39/19 40/4 40/12 40/22 42/21 43/15 45/4 51/15 51/17 56/7 56/18 56/20 56/24 57/9 57/23 59/1 59/10 59/22 60/4 63/8 66/5 66/25 67/6 67/12 71/2 71/4 74/10 75/14 76/11 78/13 78/24	79/23 80/7 83/15 88/10 88/16 89/2 89/21 90/23 91/2 94/6 103/5 105/25 106/5 106/11 107/21 108/6 109/1 115/7 116/2 119/2 123/7 127/17 131/25 done [28] 7/2 9/21 10/9 21/15 23/1 23/7 36/21 37/20 37/20 41/9 42/16 45/17 48/9 48/15 51/7 65/2 71/17 77/14 80/24 82/5 83/12 91/9 95/22 95/22 99/16 114/4 116/10 137/2 door [2] 45/9 104/24 doubt [5] 54/16 75/10 75/12 75/17 76/13 dovetail [2] 64/17 88/9 down [23] 6/25 13/13 21/14 24/20 25/4 25/9 31/10 34/11 43/22 47/9 47/12 48/3 78/12 95/24 98/19 101/18 102/14 107/21 113/25 117/2 124/11 129/19 135/22 downstairs [1] 117/5 DPD [1] 92/22 Dr [2] 31/23 34/13 Dr Linnell [2] 31/23 34/13 drafting [1] 66/20 drama [4] 29/6 80/15 98/6 98/10 dramatic [1] 71/5 draw [2] 70/16 126/5 drawn [2] 17/1 134/12 dream [1] 111/21 drew [2] 134/1 135/21 drive [5] 64/13 91/15 92/14 93/6 93/18 driver [3] 28/24 64/11 92/2 drivers [1] 91/13 driving [1] 66/7 dual [1] 8/10 duality [2] 7/23 8/6 due [5] 23/25 86/21 87/21 109/15 132/9 Durham [2] 115/16 115/17 during [19] 2/10 14/8 17/25 18/10 25/2 45/9 49/21 50/5 88/6 91/9 96/4 113/20 115/20 120/9 120/12 120/21 120/22 121/18 122/6 duties [2] 105/21	108/20 E e-training [1] 82/18 each [3] 66/22 67/2 67/3 earlier [10] 64/14 75/22 76/3 81/12 93/23 94/3 102/4 105/10 107/16 108/13 early [4] 76/1 117/9 122/6 126/14 earn [1] 69/21 easier [2] 102/5 102/7 easily [1] 33/2 easy [1] 102/14 echo [1] 44/9 economic [1] 60/8 economically [1] 60/20 EDI [1] 21/3 education [1] 83/6 educational [1] 83/17 Edwards [1] 96/11 effect [4] 6/11 6/12 81/23 95/13 effective [1] 72/3 effectively [3] 12/21 52/11 86/10 effectiveness [1] 15/2 efficiently [1] 122/16 efforts [1] 34/11 eight [1] 115/10 either [5] 9/9 15/16 49/3 54/13 103/24 elected [2] 18/25 61/7 election [3] 12/21 64/7 64/7 electronic [1] 76/2 electronically [1] 27/9 elements [4] 4/7 5/16 20/23 110/15 Elliot [3] 9/4 10/1 46/22 else [2] 51/22 56/23 else's [1] 117/3 elsewhere [1] 13/20 email [6] 17/1 27/10 59/10 100/2 101/21 102/17 emails [2] 96/14 102/5 embarked [1] 125/24 embarrassing [1] 20/3 emerged [3] 20/8 120/21 120/22 empathy [1] 41/9 emphasis [1] 112/4 employed [2] 31/22	118/8 employees [8] 67/9 98/12 100/10 100/14 100/16 100/17 100/22 101/13 employing [1] 92/21 employment [1] 99/14 enabled [1] 2/22 encountered [1] 114/22 encourage [1] 99/1 encouraged [1] 82/12 encouraging [1] 22/20 end [8] 37/20 37/21 41/5 42/14 42/15 49/1 62/12 132/20 endeavour [1] 33/17 enforcement [7] 54/23 55/2 108/3 109/21 110/4 110/9 110/14 enforcing [1] 36/5 engage [3] 36/1 42/9 106/6 engaged [6] 23/11 65/14 84/4 105/12 105/24 130/19 engagement [5] 52/20 56/20 96/13 104/18 110/4 engaging [1] 71/12 engineered [1] 11/6 England [5] 104/19 104/25 105/8 106/15 106/19 enormous [4] 15/13 24/9 107/17 122/11 enough [1] 105/22 ensure [6] 92/14 99/10 108/18 109/19 121/23 126/17 ensuring [1] 115/23 enthusiasm [1] 64/12 entire [2] 15/9 57/13 entirely [2] 62/23 63/2 entirety [1] 59/11 entitled [1] 79/12 entrepreneur [1] 7/24 entrepreneurial [1] 83/7 entrustment [1] 60/5 environment [1] 62/1 envisaged [1] 88/3 EPOS [1] 126/23 equity [2] 20/23 21/2 era [1] 96/5 Ernst [1] 13/4 error [4] 44/2 44/25
----------	--	--	---	---

E	exactly [8] 11/25 45/22 65/18 75/20 103/11 124/20 128/17 137/2 examination [1] 112/8 examined [2] 113/20 120/23 examining [1] 112/5 example [13] 4/4 29/5 45/8 45/10 60/12 60/17 74/21 77/20 77/23 112/7 113/2 127/14 131/15 excess [1] 99/8 exchange [2] 17/2 17/9 exchanged [1] 30/1 exclude [1] 11/7 excluded [2] 9/5 9/7 exclusively [1] 42/19 excuse [2] 9/9 85/7 executive [21] 1/21 8/16 9/21 10/5 12/17 13/1 14/9 14/15 16/7 16/8 16/11 19/14 19/18 19/20 26/16 55/15 57/18 82/1 82/13 95/17 101/17 Executives [2] 11/24 46/21 exercise [1] 21/20 exist [1] 108/18 existence [2] 47/19 85/12 exists [1] 45/21 exit [2] 12/25 13/3 exiting [1] 15/18 exoneration [4] 22/11 135/9 135/11 135/19 expect [4] 7/23 16/23 64/15 67/13 expectation [1] 12/6 expectations [2] 9/23 10/3 expecting [1] 16/11 expensive [2] 124/23 124/23 experience [3] 15/19 74/21 116/6 experienced [8] 12/5 16/10 20/20 23/7 74/18 89/11 120/11 131/17 experiences [2] 12/13 116/7 experiencing [2] 20/2 76/6 expert [2] 25/17 106/2 expertise [3] 81/4 81/9 130/7 expired [1] 29/8	explain [3] 56/22 134/20 136/18 explained [4] 58/1 97/6 116/6 116/8 explaining [1] 75/23 explanatory [1] 135/17 explicit [3] 48/4 67/21 67/21 explicitly [1] 97/17 exploded [1] 28/3 express [2] 99/15 135/6 expressed [4] 15/6 17/5 18/12 133/12 expresses [1] 136/15 expression [2] 61/2 70/23 extended [1] 88/8 extensive [1] 96/16 extensively [3] 10/4 14/17 113/6 extent [1] 125/12 external [7] 17/10 21/15 32/17 118/14 121/3 128/16 130/4 externally [1] 130/11 extra [2] 60/10 65/23 extremely [4] 22/17 33/16 34/25 69/16 EY [1] 13/5 F face [2] 62/1 122/18 faced [1] 2/23 facing [6] 13/14 98/17 100/18 103/2 118/5 119/25 fact [30] 15/25 21/5 23/1 26/7 28/20 31/23 33/11 41/23 43/14 46/14 47/3 51/16 61/14 62/14 67/2 68/15 68/20 95/25 96/17 102/25 106/4 106/12 108/8 110/11 117/15 123/16 128/2 130/2 134/4 134/11 factor [1] 4/2 failed [1] 90/20 failing [2] 90/21 99/2 failings [1] 105/20 fails [1] 101/15 failure [3] 63/24 94/19 109/15 failures [1] 108/17 fair [9] 42/3 44/3 46/12 63/6 79/9 80/1 88/24 112/6 117/24 fairly [2] 30/20 106/1 Falcon [1] 31/20 fall [1] 101/16 false [2] 115/24 116/5	familiar [5] 21/9 21/10 79/8 88/19 94/1 familiarity [1] 43/10 families [5] 32/2 82/11 82/22 83/12 83/24 family [4] 83/4 83/24 84/25 85/4 far [5] 34/10 71/19 105/11 107/10 107/11 feature [2] 27/19 28/13 February [2] 11/15 134/16 feedback [6] 15/9 21/4 89/7 90/2 120/8 120/12 feel [4] 13/10 35/15 39/11 119/9 feeling [3] 69/9 70/5 82/3 fell [1] 34/19 felt [8] 9/4 15/12 20/3 20/15 22/24 40/15 99/2 137/1 female [3] 17/13 17/16 18/11 fence [2] 5/16 124/16 fencing [1] 125/6 few [3] 21/6 46/2 100/9 Fifteen [1] 53/13 fifth [3] 18/9 22/16 47/12 figure [3] 32/21 33/9 53/8 figures [1] 52/25 final [5] 21/7 32/9 94/12 102/23 127/8 finally [2] 61/24 74/23 financial [3] 8/7 74/5 92/18 financially [1] 83/2 find [11] 27/13 38/2 38/10 46/15 48/10 76/17 96/22 102/11 127/11 132/24 136/4 fine [8] 31/2 53/17 61/3 79/1 86/20 104/6 119/12 119/22 finish [1] 37/16 finishing [1] 54/25 firm [5] 97/6 124/4 127/21 132/12 132/14 firms [1] 80/25 first [28] 7/4 7/12 9/20 15/6 15/12 16/4 16/18 19/7 19/10 20/10 21/13 23/17 24/22 34/14 45/5 66/10 89/11 97/2 101/2 104/13 105/4 108/3 112/15 116/24	121/11 123/4 125/1 129/12 firstly [4] 17/23 18/18 130/18 136/12 Fiscal [3] 23/13 108/1 109/17 fit [4] 89/4 93/11 108/15 132/4 five [15] 1/21 14/20 16/18 16/25 63/21 79/3 96/3 107/20 113/5 113/7 113/11 130/1 132/10 133/5 133/20 five-year [1] 96/3 fix [2] 40/1 114/3 flawed [1] 130/8 flowing [1] 3/19 focus [6] 2/22 12/1 12/1 112/3 112/20 132/19 focused [3] 63/5 66/7 129/23 folk [1] 51/25 follow [5] 47/18 71/4 99/11 109/2 133/18 following [11] 4/16 20/6 21/22 52/13 52/14 78/5 90/10 94/25 98/5 116/21 131/13 footfall [1] 92/14 forced [1] 117/1 forcing [2] 35/23 52/17 foremost [3] 7/12 97/2 112/15 forensic [3] 31/23 32/17 33/13 forgive [3] 37/16 54/10 108/9 form [7] 22/7 24/8 74/19 84/7 84/7 115/22 116/3 formal [4] 24/5 24/10 24/13 29/7 formed [2] 86/15 101/22 former [1] 96/11 formulated [2] 86/8 86/8 forum [2] 36/5 89/5 forums [2] 19/22 89/25 forward [17] 5/12 10/10 10/22 10/23 16/15 22/21 55/17 59/17 62/4 64/23 66/8 66/15 70/25 74/14 74/18 80/11 80/17 fostering [1] 19/11 found [3] 13/9 15/10 37/22 four [12] 14/20 17/15
----------	---	--	--	--

<p>F</p> <p>four... [10] 30/12 65/16 65/16 94/2 113/5 130/2 130/3 131/10 131/11 137/6</p> <p>fourth [2] 134/1 135/21</p> <p>framework [2] 61/23 61/25</p> <p>frankly [2] 67/12 71/7</p> <p>Fraser [4] 54/8 54/10 85/18 96/11</p> <p>Fraser's [1] 73/10</p> <p>Freehills [1] 3/7</p> <p>frequently [1] 46/12</p> <p>Friday [1] 1/1</p> <p>friends [2] 29/21 29/24</p> <p>front [5] 4/12 64/5 93/25 103/2 116/11</p> <p>front-facing [1] 103/2</p> <p>frustrated [9] 12/19 28/17 29/10 29/10 30/6 34/23 34/24 63/8 99/4</p> <p>frustrating [3] 29/17 35/15 80/21</p> <p>frustration [5] 29/17 33/19 33/20 35/5 35/17</p> <p>Fujitsu [15] 54/21 54/22 56/14 56/16 59/7 82/24 83/1 83/10 83/14 84/16 84/22 84/24 92/1 129/13 132/8</p> <p>fulfilling [1] 130/15</p> <p>full [5] 23/23 56/7 65/14 85/19 126/22</p> <p>fuller [1] 65/4</p> <p>fully [13] 4/22 33/15 35/5 47/16 64/15 86/8 86/8 86/15 89/18 92/6 107/9 112/13 135/10</p> <p>function [4] 14/14 49/4 107/8 109/25</p> <p>functionality [1] 126/13</p> <p>functioning [1] 19/17</p> <p>fund [1] 90/16</p> <p>fundamental [1] 67/3</p> <p>funding [12] 60/10 63/16 63/17 65/4 86/4 123/18 123/21 123/23 124/9 125/7 127/24 129/25</p> <p>funds [2] 34/16 51/21</p> <p>furore [2] 27/24 28/3</p> <p>further [12] 13/13 22/2 44/12 47/9 55/6 92/7 119/10 119/11 123/13 124/11 133/21 138/14</p>	<p>future [8] 61/23 65/25 70/11 112/3 113/23 114/5 127/17 132/4</p> <p>G</p> <p>gained [1] 30/2</p> <p>Gallafent [2] 58/25 59/3</p> <p>gaps [1] 75/2</p> <p>gave [12] 1/16 2/2 11/12 18/10 55/7 56/10 57/7 82/25 96/9 112/12 128/24 131/12</p> <p>GE [3] 13/24 14/2 16/1</p> <p>general [9] 26/16 58/7 58/8 58/22 59/12 60/8 118/24 120/1 121/17</p> <p>generally [4] 19/2 26/18 119/17 119/19</p> <p>generated [1] 41/1</p> <p>genesis [3] 27/1 39/19 120/13</p> <p>genuine [2] 14/24 112/14</p> <p>genuinely [1] 9/10</p> <p>get [33] 8/19 14/23 20/12 27/10 39/24 40/10 40/24 41/20 42/5 42/7 52/25 59/14 63/11 64/6 64/16 69/22 71/24 72/5 76/6 77/12 79/20 80/16 83/11 89/12 95/21 99/13 107/4 117/7 123/13 124/21 125/12 128/14 133/7</p> <p>gets [2] 31/1 52/11</p> <p>getting [9] 10/8 12/13 20/16 62/12 71/25 87/12 89/10 89/15 89/22</p> <p>give [16] 3/25 10/11 16/14 17/7 17/19 25/10 53/10 74/21 75/9 95/8 96/6 99/13 99/20 114/18 118/16 132/22</p> <p>given [16] 4/6 5/24 6/20 7/23 30/5 58/1 71/7 73/24 75/1 75/12 76/13 93/8 94/1 98/14 102/24 122/18</p> <p>giving [6] 48/23 56/12 65/1 65/8 79/10 137/7</p> <p>GLO [15] 2/16 3/19 4/4 4/5 4/16 4/17 31/13 72/9 74/23 95/1 113/3 113/17 113/20 115/9 122/7</p> <p>go [38] 8/19 13/7</p>	<p>24/21 29/25 34/10 39/5 43/17 45/21 45/25 46/17 46/24 48/10 48/21 49/13 51/2 52/16 57/21 58/3 59/6 59/23 61/9 76/3 83/5 92/7 116/20 119/11 119/16 123/4 123/7 123/12 124/3 124/11 126/14 128/18 132/3 133/3 136/1 136/1</p> <p>goes [11] 26/13 33/5 35/3 35/4 36/17 37/25 69/24 75/25 81/10 101/9 101/14</p> <p>going [64] 4/24 12/2 12/9 17/3 17/20 20/25 21/13 29/25 30/19 33/15 33/19 34/7 34/13 36/3 43/6 47/20 49/6 49/8 49/9 54/4 55/8 55/10 57/11 57/12 57/15 58/14 64/13 65/25 69/6 69/19 70/17 70/18 72/7 73/20 77/17 79/14 81/21 81/25 84/16 86/22 89/1 89/3 90/1 90/4 91/10 93/7 94/13 94/14 96/6 96/7 97/7 102/3 103/6 106/4 119/10 119/15 124/15 124/18 126/17 126/22 127/10 127/21 128/11 131/23</p> <p>gone [9] 32/14 32/19 32/19 34/2 41/8 56/3 57/23 99/5 112/5</p> <p>good [20] 1/5 1/8 1/9 5/11 5/15 6/24 36/6 52/17 57/14 83/11 84/23 84/25 85/3 85/6 87/1 96/18 104/9 111/3 111/7 130/14</p> <p>got [28] 12/19 26/11 26/14 30/12 31/10 33/9 33/18 34/4 37/22 42/12 46/14 47/4 50/11 51/6 53/1 56/18 61/22 66/12 72/8 91/1 103/14 119/12 121/2 125/4 126/11 132/10 133/15 136/7</p> <p>governance [5] 2/8 26/12 101/12 101/15 130/14</p> <p>government [47] 2/21 3/14 5/5 5/25 6/21 22/10 60/6 60/10 60/24 61/4 61/15 62/14 62/15 62/24 62/25 63/9 63/13 63/17 63/20 63/24</p>	<p>63/24 64/13 64/15 65/3 65/4 65/5 65/14 65/24 66/3 66/12 69/5 70/1 77/3 77/5 77/15 78/7 78/8 82/11 86/4 90/18 124/7 128/13 128/16 134/25 135/9 135/10 135/19</p> <p>Government's [1] 62/24</p> <p>Grange [1] 115/19</p> <p>grapple [1] 14/19</p> <p>grateful [2] 61/19 137/9</p> <p>Gratton [5] 1/25 17/2 17/5 56/6 123/10</p> <p>Gray [2] 55/17 55/24</p> <p>Greany [1] 59/3</p> <p>great [6] 35/5 43/4 82/7 93/16 107/22 123/14</p> <p>greater [1] 20/3</p> <p>Greenhow [6] 95/17 95/24 98/3 100/2 101/19 102/20</p> <p>Greenhow's [1] 90/5</p> <p>grievance [1] 29/7</p> <p>grievances [3] 18/25 27/18 28/11</p> <p>ground [2] 69/21 70/4</p> <p>group [20] 7/16 14/15 16/6 16/8 16/10 19/18 19/20 19/20 31/9 31/11 31/12 32/6 32/9 55/15 68/19 72/9 73/1 82/13 100/21 101/4</p> <p>groups [1] 71/14</p> <p>growing [2] 53/8 101/5</p> <p>guarantee [1] 66/4</p> <p>guess [1] 33/8</p> <p>guidance [3] 58/21 59/12 108/18</p> <p>guilty [6] 26/7 26/22 115/14 115/23 115/25 134/5</p> <p>H</p> <p>had [96] 3/18 4/1 4/19 4/21 6/23 7/19 8/6 9/9 11/14 12/5 12/18 14/19 17/1 17/24 18/5 19/2 19/11 20/8 20/14 20/20 21/16 22/20 22/24 22/24 23/1 23/5 23/6 24/2 24/5 24/6 34/20 37/12 43/1 55/23 56/3 56/7 56/10 56/13 57/6 59/7 66/10 66/17 66/18 69/13 69/16 74/23 82/10 83/6</p>	<p>83/10 83/13 84/21 85/18 88/3 90/15 90/18 93/16 93/18 96/18 99/6 101/23 111/11 112/1 112/5 112/24 114/1 114/4 114/9 114/14 114/22 115/1 116/3 116/17 116/18 117/9 117/9 118/5 120/1 121/18 122/7 123/17 124/24 125/16 125/16 125/18 125/18 125/24 126/11 127/23 128/2 128/15 130/4 130/6 133/10 134/21 136/7 137/2</p> <p>hadn't [7] 23/19 56/12 56/15 56/18 57/6 126/11 136/8</p> <p>half [1] 19/5</p> <p>hall [1] 103/25</p> <p>halls [1] 82/8</p> <p>Hamilton [3] 21/22 22/20 113/14</p> <p>hand [2] 85/2 85/2</p> <p>handed [1] 107/21</p> <p>handle [3] 3/2 4/11 30/3</p> <p>happen [5] 45/2 75/20 87/12 104/14 121/24</p> <p>happened [7] 26/25 41/6 76/16 81/24 112/24 114/1 125/18</p> <p>happening [6] 24/6 38/12 40/20 62/18 63/23 118/17</p> <p>happy [1] 30/21</p> <p>hard [4] 64/13 70/9 87/23 88/5</p> <p>hardware [3] 88/13 88/14 88/16</p> <p>harm [7] 82/9 114/8 114/14 122/7 122/8 122/11 122/18</p> <p>harshly [1] 80/10</p> <p>has [101] 4/10 4/11 9/15 13/19 14/3 14/16 14/19 14/20 14/23 16/7 16/19 16/24 21/20 23/10 24/13 28/3 28/4 29/8 31/24 32/14 32/19 32/19 32/20 32/25 34/1 34/17 34/21 35/12 39/10 40/18 41/5 41/17 44/2 49/2 49/4 52/22 53/9 53/21 58/13 59/17 60/9 62/20 62/22 63/4 63/13 63/17 63/23 63/24 65/9 66/6 69/17 71/17 74/23 74/24 75/1 82/20 83/16 84/8</p>
---	--	---	--	---

H	120/10 120/21	hold [2] 64/16 98/16	Hudgells [1] 81/7	10/6 11/11 14/16 16/3
has... [43] 86/7 87/16	held [3] 23/8 23/11	Hollinrake [9] 75/9	Human [2] 120/10	16/9 24/6 24/8 25/1
88/7 88/12 88/25	78/2	98/4 98/9 98/21	120/21	25/7 25/10 26/10
89/15 89/18 90/2	hell [1] 83/5	122/24 123/5 123/10	hunt [1] 103/6	26/17 27/1 27/1 27/6
92/19 94/4 95/2 95/9	Hello [1] 87/7	123/19 127/10	hurt [1] 82/2	27/6 27/11 27/23
95/15 95/25 96/16	help [9] 27/13 35/20	Hollinrake's [1]	hurtful [1] 20/3	28/15 28/17 28/18
96/18 96/24 98/8	36/7 39/22 45/22	123/18	husband [1] 117/5	33/10 39/17 39/17
98/11 98/18 99/5	46/16 74/12 82/15	Holmes [2] 31/17		40/4 40/22 56/7 56/20
99/16 100/10 100/21	82/19	31/18	I	56/24 57/9 59/1 59/10
101/9 102/1 105/12	helpful [3] 113/8	honest [1] 119/5	I absolutely [3] 12/23	59/22 60/4 66/25 71/2
105/24 107/17 116/6	118/18 136/5	Hooper [1] 34/12	80/13 97/14	71/4 74/10 75/14
118/6 119/4 119/14	helping [2] 89/24	hope [2] 54/10 64/16	I acknowledged [1]	78/13 78/24 79/23
122/18 122/22 127/2	121/3	hoping [1] 40/8	99/17	80/7 83/15 88/10
129/1 131/16 132/24	helpline [3] 36/23	Horizon [61] 2/25	I agree [7] 41/18	88/16 89/2 89/21
132/25 133/1 134/11	37/5 37/9	21/25 31/21 37/23	76/15 80/20 81/8	90/23 91/2 103/5
134/16	helps [2] 82/14 89/5	38/4 48/11 49/4 55/1	81/11 102/14 120/5	105/25 106/5 106/11
hasn't [3] 86/8 86/15	Henry [5] 8/16 19/1	56/17 57/20 72/11	I am [16] 5/7 13/25	107/21 108/6 109/1
109/7	19/6 64/4 104/20	72/19 72/22 72/23	17/20 21/12 27/23	115/7 131/25
have [224]	her [30] 14/11 19/2	76/21 79/4 84/18	29/6 32/12 64/12	I drew [2] 134/1
haven't [9] 10/21	19/2 31/1 31/25 31/25	87/10 87/12 88/4	84/10 87/4 101/4	135/21
23/15 26/21 51/25	48/20 48/20 49/10	89/10 89/12 89/15	103/24 105/22 105/25	I explained [1] 97/6
60/1 89/6 108/7 108/8	58/10 59/2 67/7	89/20 90/21 91/22	107/4 108/10	I expressed [1]
132/10	101/25 104/14 104/15	94/22 96/4 100/9	I appreciate [1]	133/12
having [18] 2/23 3/21	113/18 114/1 114/20	100/13 100/23 100/25	33/20	I fully [1] 64/15
13/12 16/13 18/12	114/22 114/25 115/5	104/21 104/22 105/18	I ask [7] 21/7 59/2	I genuinely [1] 9/10
18/23 19/2 19/3 36/23	115/17 115/23 116/6	111/16 112/12 122/8	84/14 87/4 111/7	I go [1] 59/23
42/22 83/21 85/15	116/7 116/9 116/11	122/14 122/17 123/21	128/19 133/13	I got [1] 34/4
101/6 108/2 121/16	116/12 116/20 117/3	123/25 124/5 124/9	I asked [7] 33/25	I guess [1] 33/8
126/15 133/8 135/13	Herbert [1] 3/7	124/10 124/16 124/19	34/1 45/18 67/5 67/7	I had [1] 93/16
he [48] 1/20 1/24 2/2	here [19] 10/5 15/6	124/21 124/22 125/9	83/1 84/12	I have [19] 5/8 5/22
2/9 17/25 30/24 34/6	26/3 39/24 40/3 44/17	125/13 125/17 126/16	I asking [1] 28/8	13/9 16/19 30/23 31/3
34/9 56/10 56/12 57/6	48/18 52/4 56/25	126/21 127/12 128/11	I attach [1] 136/3	60/3 68/9 82/7 82/11
57/7 57/14 64/5 64/14	75/18 77/25 84/1 87/1	130/20 131/18 132/2	I be [1] 85/11	83/15 84/11 101/2
65/1 65/11 65/14	87/4 119/15 124/13	132/15 132/24	I became [1] 18/23	107/3 114/18 120/11
70/23 74/22 74/24	127/23 128/5 129/18	Horizon-related [1]	I become [1] 18/21	121/14 132/17 133/4
74/25 75/1 75/6 80/5	high [2] 18/1 31/13	72/19	I believe [4] 4/12	I haven't [2] 10/21
82/24 85/18 90/6 90/7	higher [1] 30/5	hour [5] 30/13 30/17	11/6 48/8 110/13	60/1
100/5 100/7 101/9	highlight [3] 20/18	30/18 30/19 84/13	I buried [1] 76/17	I hope [2] 54/10
101/14 109/14 109/23	22/23 99/3	house [2] 116/11	I can [13] 28/23	64/16
109/25 110/1 110/5	highlighted [2] 73/10	130/20	29/10 31/3 46/6 46/15	I intend [1] 30/3
111/19 115/22 116/3	100/10	how [51] 2/11 5/15	67/22 83/22 86/17	I just [5] 13/7 31/9
116/3 117/5 119/14	highlighting [1]	6/8 8/25 9/14 10/1	99/20 106/25 111/5	85/7 112/20 123/12
123/19 129/12 130/17	41/22	10/8 10/9 24/25 25/1	119/17 119/19	I knew [2] 11/25 12/5
131/12	highly [3] 21/17 26/5	26/10 26/13 26/13	I can't [7] 6/24 25/10	I know [5] 41/8 71/12
he's [16] 34/6 34/7	134/3	26/19 26/25 27/2 28/8	59/13 61/6 76/16 87/7	81/15 106/3 118/17
34/7 55/8 55/10 57/11	HIJ [2] 107/20 115/10	30/3 42/12 45/13	132/22	I look [3] 62/4 64/23
57/11 57/15 57/19	him [4] 18/5 18/10	56/14 56/18 57/5	I certainly [1] 51/17	126/20
61/7 62/19 65/23	83/1 87/6	57/23 67/10 67/13	I championed [1]	I lost [1] 76/17
65/23 100/3 100/5	himself [1] 80/5	67/17 68/4 74/2 78/18	11/24	I made [3] 11/23 63/3
106/4	hindsight [2] 4/1	81/21 84/8 84/17	I could [1] 123/4	133/4
head [4] 24/19 74/20	4/13	85/14 92/17 95/21	I decided [1] 58/20	I may [3] 114/20
96/8 96/13	his [24] 2/5 2/8 2/21	97/6 100/22 102/1	I described [1] 29/13	120/6 122/21
headcount [1] 92/4	3/6 3/14 5/5 11/4	102/2 102/2 109/17	I did [4] 29/20 95/20	I mean [10] 5/15
heading [1] 2/7	17/25 34/18 34/19	110/2 113/1 113/9	99/25 113/6	11/17 34/6 38/9 77/24
heads [2] 74/24 92/5	56/11 56/11 57/7	115/23 116/8 127/10	I didn't [5] 8/24 30/7	83/4 98/22 106/23
hear [5] 1/5 36/4	64/25 65/12 71/10	129/12 132/13 133/1	58/20 76/17 136/1	128/8 133/3
111/3 112/24 113/11	74/23 74/23 95/23	Howe [1] 81/7	I disagree [1] 12/23	I mentioned [9] 6/5
heard [10] 27/16	111/20 115/25 128/24	however [7] 4/15	I discussed [2] 29/20	84/3 89/23 93/23 99/6
27/21 32/16 88/20	130/8 131/13	27/23 48/25 58/4 90/9	86/3	109/13 110/13 120/15
95/15 95/18 117/5	historical [3] 2/15	97/16 130/13	I do [11] 17/8 41/25	120/25
118/1 128/20 131/16	3/16 62/2	HSS [9] 2/9 4/17	48/21 50/24 55/22	I missed [1] 74/3
hearing [1] 137/15	historically [1] 52/23	32/24 35/7 85/23	75/19 76/12 90/23	I must [1] 17/19
hearings [3] 6/23	history [2] 39/9 41/15	85/24 86/9 86/11	113/19 114/6 129/14	I need [1] 119/21
	Hodge [1] 81/7	121/13	I don't [61] 5/18 8/21	I once [1] 1/10

I	88/1 88/8 88/24 89/13 89/21 90/24 90/25 91/13 91/14 92/3 92/5 92/10 92/24 93/16 93/21 94/3 94/25 95/5 95/17 99/3 104/3 106/3 107/1 107/3 107/19 109/23 112/18 113/7 118/15 118/19 119/4 119/7 119/16 120/14 121/1 121/9 124/20 125/18 125/19 126/10 126/20 126/24 127/23 133/5 136/18 I thought [1] 17/8 I took [2] 58/7 93/17 I understand [15] 24/24 25/13 32/8 33/18 49/6 57/19 62/8 71/24 89/12 98/1 111/12 115/11 118/19 121/21 122/5 I use [1] 77/19 I used [1] 61/2 I vented [1] 29/17 I very [1] 28/2 I want [2] 87/8 91/9 I wanted [2] 125/4 125/10 I was [20] 12/4 12/5 19/10 19/11 22/15 22/17 22/24 28/17 28/20 29/10 29/10 29/14 30/6 56/22 58/23 77/17 77/24 117/1 126/5 128/25 I wasn't [3] 10/20 102/22 112/9 I were [1] 118/20 I will [6] 42/24 46/8 69/1 101/24 107/6 132/21 I wish [1] 133/10 I won't [1] 55/5 I would [18] 11/23 16/3 40/14 42/17 49/18 50/2 52/21 58/20 89/8 89/9 92/6 95/11 99/1 99/17 99/19 110/8 121/2 133/8 I write [1] 100/8 I'd [22] 1/14 8/13 45/16 58/18 61/19 66/9 69/16 69/25 91/4 98/2 100/1 114/20 122/1 122/2 122/21 122/22 124/20 128/18 129/8 133/10 134/8 136/25 I'll [10] 10/11 41/5 45/25 94/14 104/9 104/10 120/5 122/4 122/25 124/3	I'm [85] 6/9 6/16 8/14 14/5 17/3 21/13 24/5 24/16 26/25 27/6 27/8 27/11 27/25 28/6 28/11 28/18 29/6 29/7 29/25 30/7 33/8 34/6 35/18 36/4 36/23 36/24 37/14 37/17 39/23 43/6 46/24 47/23 51/18 58/11 61/19 62/23 62/23 63/2 63/7 68/14 69/18 72/7 75/21 76/16 78/8 79/8 79/25 84/12 86/10 87/1 88/8 90/4 92/7 94/1 94/13 94/14 96/6 96/7 97/2 102/16 104/2 106/11 106/25 107/10 107/11 108/6 109/1 109/1 109/4 109/7 109/12 110/5 117/25 118/3 118/10 118/11 118/11 119/14 121/14 121/17 132/3 132/13 132/20 134/13 137/8 I've [23] 15/21 25/1 26/2 27/21 30/2 33/22 40/24 46/14 47/4 53/9 59/7 75/15 75/17 75/18 82/5 103/8 108/8 119/18 119/18 127/9 132/18 133/15 133/16 IBM [1] 89/11 idea [7] 3/9 5/1 70/3 83/11 84/25 85/6 121/5 ideal [1] 6/2 identified [5] 4/21 21/16 32/20 40/18 77/11 identifies [2] 38/19 38/20 identify [9] 8/22 9/11 21/21 33/17 34/16 46/13 77/21 101/12 134/23 ie [3] 25/17 103/19 134/14 ie somebody [1] 25/17 ie the [1] 134/14 if [84] 1/14 4/20 8/25 9/7 11/17 13/7 20/7 20/9 21/14 24/17 24/19 24/21 25/11 27/7 27/9 27/14 28/18 34/9 36/8 36/14 36/25 37/10 37/19 38/23 39/6 39/18 40/14 40/25 43/22 43/23 47/18 48/10 49/2 49/13 51/14 51/25	52/6 52/8 52/9 52/15 54/8 60/18 60/21 67/23 69/14 70/10 70/17 74/13 74/17 76/14 79/8 80/5 80/8 85/23 86/7 86/8 87/1 91/20 94/6 98/6 99/2 101/15 101/18 102/7 102/11 109/17 109/21 112/17 114/20 118/13 118/20 119/11 119/12 119/14 120/6 122/21 123/4 123/7 123/12 124/11 126/20 133/3 135/22 136/1 illustration [1] 8/18 immediate [4] 47/3 47/5 47/10 47/11 immediately [3] 47/8 105/18 117/8 immensely [1] 34/23 impact [4] 38/18 38/18 120/10 120/21 impacted [2] 7/20 120/25 imperative [1] 101/11 impetus [2] 65/7 65/23 implications [5] 45/15 82/16 111/15 111/22 112/13 implied [2] 64/21 71/5 importance [3] 52/7 67/16 131/19 important [18] 12/11 23/4 35/24 52/2 52/4 58/14 67/1 67/19 84/2 87/10 95/1 95/6 97/14 99/3 99/13 114/2 114/7 124/7 importantly [6] 32/2 42/24 42/25 113/25 125/13 127/4 impossible [2] 94/5 95/21 improve [4] 9/22 9/25 91/11 93/11 inability [1] 133/6 inaccuracy [1] 100/24 inadequate [1] 73/18 inappropriate [2] 45/24 118/15 included [2] 4/2 18/15 includes [7] 31/12 31/13 31/16 31/19 31/22 32/2 96/9 including [3] 19/6 129/25 131/2 inclusion [4] 20/23 21/1 21/2 67/22	income [1] 70/2 incoming [1] 13/15 increase [2] 69/14 92/12 increased [3] 88/25 89/17 127/2 increasing [2] 91/14 128/13 increasingly [1] 101/5 incredible [1] 96/22 incredibly [1] 81/16 incumbent [1] 77/20 indeed [27] 1/19 6/6 8/3 8/15 14/13 21/12 27/2 33/24 34/10 35/7 64/25 66/14 71/6 76/1 81/18 93/2 94/24 95/9 100/19 101/8 104/20 106/10 106/13 122/10 128/25 133/25 136/22 independence [1] 15/2 independent [3] 48/5 86/12 108/1 independently [1] 18/16 indicated [1] 64/9 individual [8] 23/18 44/17 48/3 69/13 71/21 74/22 102/9 116/25 individuals [28] 10/25 15/10 28/22 29/12 35/20 35/23 38/2 38/9 73/9 74/6 79/18 83/8 85/19 97/12 97/18 99/22 101/7 103/9 118/4 118/12 118/15 118/20 120/13 120/15 120/16 120/18 120/20 120/25 inference [1] 44/17 inferring [1] 108/21 inflicted [1] 82/10 influence [2] 15/17 16/5 influential [2] 13/18 15/24 inform [1] 135/13 information [18] 7/19 8/2 8/12 8/15 9/2 14/18 21/21 22/4 41/20 54/1 54/12 57/22 59/24 79/10 79/14 100/20 107/4 135/13 Infrastructure [1] 90/17 inhibited [1] 119/10 inhouse [1] 130/7 initiate [2] 62/25 62/25 initiated [1] 126/2
----------	--	---	--	--

I	interpretations [1] 45/15	isn't [18] 11/12 14/2 15/24 16/2 34/24 35/9 37/10 39/16 68/3 68/3	66/3 70/13 80/9 86/15 91/19 136/16	93/13 96/6 97/21 97/24 99/24 102/23 110/8 112/20 113/2 113/11 114/18 117/16 120/5 121/2 122/22 123/12 127/4 127/8 129/3 133/15 136/18
initiating [2] 63/7 88/3	interpreting [1] 45/14	interruption [1] 39/13	ITV [1] 98/6	justice [12] 54/10 73/10 78/11 82/5 82/14 84/6 96/10 105/19 120/12 120/22 134/19 137/2
initiatives [1] 87/11	interviewed [1] 120/18	issue [20] 4/4 4/21 6/3 8/24 9/11 18/5 20/14 20/14 34/25 36/7 36/19 52/15 93/24 96/15 97/10 98/21 105/22 122/17 127/16 127/23	J	K
injury [1] 121/19	interviews [3] 11/12 12/25 13/4	intimidated [2] 116/24 117/3	J's [1] 85/18	Karen [2] 101/25 103/11
innocence [3] 35/24 39/23 52/3	into [20] 2/20 13/17 19/3 33/1 38/16 38/23 39/5 49/1 51/12 52/8 52/11 57/20 60/19 71/16 76/1 84/8 90/18 113/10 118/16 131/23	introductions [1] 123/6	Jackie [3] 114/16 114/19 114/22	Katie [5] 114/25 115/1 117/2 117/6 117/9
innovate [1] 93/1	introduce [1] 19/21	investigate [7] 37/19 49/19 50/3 51/22 97/18 107/25 108/4	Jacobs [5] 10/12 11/7 31/3 46/22 53/9	Kay [1] 34/12
innovative [1] 91/15	introduced [1] 87/18	investigated [9] 18/16 36/11 36/25 37/6 37/9 37/11 47/16 54/3 115/21	Jacqueline [1] 23/5	KCs [1] 23/5
input [1] 9/15	introduction [4] 91/21 112/15 112/18 112/20	investigation [14] 36/16 38/16 44/3 50/17 50/21 51/11 54/13 78/21 78/22 84/1 84/9 98/15 116/8 121/19	jail [1] 117/4	keen [2] 19/19 123/20
Inquiry [39] 2/3 6/3 25/2 27/11 27/14 27/17 31/19 32/16 33/21 35/12 46/11 48/23 53/24 57/7 59/6 64/5 75/19 80/8 80/15 81/3 82/25 94/4 94/16 95/15 100/9 100/23 101/10 107/5 116/7 117/15 118/2 120/10 124/1 124/15 124/18 127/3 131/16 132/20 137/8	introductions [1] 123/6	investigations [7] 55/19 58/13 59/17 59/20 59/25 73/11 110/1	JAMES [2] 1/3 138/2	keep [4] 39/12 49/8 71/24 101/6
inquisitive [2] 11/8 11/21	investigation [14] 36/16 38/16 44/3 50/17 50/21 51/11 54/13 78/21 78/22 84/1 84/9 98/15 116/8 121/19	investigative [5] 99/8 99/16 102/11 107/8 109/25	Jane [2] 113/10 113/12	keeping [2] 61/22 107/19
insight [1] 118/16	investigative [5] 99/8 99/16 102/11 107/8 109/25	Investigators [3] 116/23 117/12 117/13	January [4] 21/10 98/5 126/2 134/17	keeps [2] 81/22 81/23
insist [1] 52/5	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	investment [6] 93/12 125/8 129/18 129/20 129/22 130/22	Jo [1] 113/14	kept [2] 8/12 54/12
insisting [1] 115/24	involved [22] 7/21 59/23 78/10 78/11 82/11 86/5 89/5 90/17 94/21 97/19 98/13 100/16 100/25 101/7 103/1 103/3 118/4 120/3 120/16 121/7 132/21 133/7	invite [1] 97/12	job [6] 10/8 11/23 18/12 19/2 51/23 79/15	Kevin [2] 98/4 127/10
Insofar [1] 88/5	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	joined [2] 19/13 80/23	key [2] 115/25 129/21
instance [3] 8/18 10/4 87/23	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	joined-up [1] 80/23	keys [1] 39/4
instead [1] 17/21	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	joint [1] 79/20	kind [2] 27/10 80/5
instigated [1] 82/17	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	Jones [1] 81/7	King's [4] 59/1 59/3 59/4 59/4
instruct [1] 68/4	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	journey [2] 126/25 128/12	knew [3] 11/25 12/5 90/11
instructed [3] 25/11 25/17 59/5	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	judgement [2] 22/15 135/2	know [46] 1/18 4/3 23/3 24/25 25/6 25/7 25/11 26/10 26/19 26/25 27/1 27/6 27/6 34/5 35/11 35/17 38/11 40/12 41/8 41/15 42/20 48/17 54/19 55/5 55/7 56/6 57/9 57/23 59/10 60/18 66/5 71/12 79/6 79/11 79/12 79/23 80/7 81/15 84/20 87/23 88/17 102/17 106/3 118/17 123/21 127/17
instruction [3] 6/7 6/10 6/11	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	judgements [1] 137/1	known [3] 3/16 19/17 33/12
instrumental [1] 90/2	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	judgment [9] 21/22 85/18 104/23 105/18 111/16 111/17 111/25 112/11 112/12	knows [1] 27/11
insult [1] 121/19	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	judgments [5] 54/8 73/10 94/25 111/23 112/13	KPIs [1] 78/19
integral [1] 121/23	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	judicial [1] 22/5	KPMG [1] 33/13
intend [1] 30/3	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	July [2] 3/17 5/8	Kwarteng [1] 61/6
intended [1] 102/18	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	juncture [1] 16/9	L
intention [2] 132/1 132/1	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	June [15] 2/3 5/8 18/24 19/4 55/16 55/24 58/16 59/14 59/14 65/19 123/2 123/24 129/3 130/1 131/5	lack [5] 4/1 14/3 19/14 103/9 130/24
interest [3] 5/19 8/7 60/8	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	June 2024 [1] 130/1	
interesting [1] 78/5	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	jurisdiction [1] 110/10	
interests [3] 8/23 17/4 17/21	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	jurisdictions [2] 110/6 110/8	
interfere [1] 28/13	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	just [55] 3/20 4/24 7/1 13/7 13/13 13/19 13/21 14/21 16/11 23/10 24/18 24/21 26/25 30/20 31/9 39/12 40/20 42/25 46/16 58/1 60/12 65/1 65/8 72/7 77/23 79/13 82/19 84/6 85/7 85/17 87/6 89/13 91/20 93/7	
interfered [1] 27/20	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24		
interference [1] 74/2	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24		
Interim [4] 58/21 65/13 129/1 129/4	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24		
internal [4] 3/15 67/9 98/13 130/13	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24		
internally [5] 67/17 68/1 98/8 98/11 130/11	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24		
interpret [1] 22/17	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24		
interpretation [1] 44/14	involve [2] 13/25 21/24	involve [2] 13/25 21/24		
	is [393]	itself [12] 3/13 5/4 26/9 27/2 31/16 32/23		
	Ismail [2] 10/13 11/4			

L	70/17 83/11	list [3] 27/10 85/11 96/6	lose [1] 69/15	91/7 93/24 99/10
lacked [3] 4/11 17/11 90/13	letter [32] 21/9 21/9 21/19 24/18 24/22	listen [3] 12/17 112/23 113/6	losing [1] 112/2	121/4 130/14 136/25
lacks [1] 88/22	27/2 54/19 54/21	112/23 113/6	loss [6] 37/18 44/5	male [2] 19/18 20/21
lady [1] 113/17	54/24 59/7 61/5 61/9	lists [1] 79/18	47/15 74/24 91/6 91/6	manage [3] 6/12 86/3
laid [1] 65/18	61/10 61/14 65/2 81/6	literally [1] 65/17	loss-making [1] 91/6	124/24
Lancashire [1] 114/16	98/3 98/9 133/23	litigation [3] 72/9 73/1 112/2	losses [13] 32/25	managed [1] 10/24
lands [1] 35/25	133/24 133/24 134/15	little [11] 3/11 5/2	35/1 36/6 43/25 44/1	management [5]
large [5] 14/8 31/9	134/17 135/4 135/15	5/19 5/19 9/6 15/15	44/7 44/10 44/23	2/19 4/11 100/19
31/12 32/6 95/24	135/16 135/20 136/6	87/2 96/6 109/3	44/24 45/2 48/11 51/3	114/23 131/3
last [19] 9/7 14/20	136/17 136/18 136/20	114/18 120/5	52/18	manager [2] 115/1
16/25 24/18 31/21	136/21	live [2] 47/19 87/25	lost [1] 76/17	116/16
33/13 44/8 70/25 71/7	letters [4] 76/23 77/1 77/10 77/12	lives [1] 100/15	lot [9] 13/12 45/12	managers [1] 31/17
74/23 92/20 100/9	letting [1] 12/5	living [1] 111/21	88/23 92/17 99/8 99/9	Manchester [1]
101/25 103/11 104/15	level [22] 5/21 9/23	local [2] 49/5 52/12	99/9 118/2 131/4	115/16
107/20 132/18 133/3	14/2 14/23 16/14 18/1	logical [1] 88/1	Louise [1] 113/17	mangled [1] 50/1
133/4	20/16 35/3 43/3 43/3	logistical [1] 86/22	love [2] 69/9 70/5	many [17] 11/8 11/22
Lastly [3] 24/17	44/15 44/16 56/9 89/6	long [23] 16/22 30/20	low [2] 71/22 75/16	16/20 28/1 29/11
27/16 81/12	89/14 99/14 102/3	40/11 41/15 43/1 62/4	lower [1] 129/6	29/11 34/14 36/2 43/8
late [2] 90/12 125/14	108/6 108/9 109/8	62/15 62/16 62/20	lunch [7] 103/21	78/2 80/19 82/10
later [7] 19/5 43/15	109/9 110/17	62/22 63/13 63/16	103/22 103/23 104/3	82/20 100/22 103/3
46/14 47/4 48/23	levels [1] 111/14	63/17 64/1 64/19 66/2	104/4 110/20 117/17	120/18 122/13
105/7 115/18	levers [1] 15/3	66/4 111/17 116/20	M	March [8] 1/24 17/23
law [8] 25/17 58/11	liabilities [2] 2/15 2/20	126/13 132/24 133/1	made [42] 4/22 9/16	42/14 42/15 61/8
107/14 108/3 109/20	liaising [2] 110/14 116/18	137/7	10/6 11/23 12/20	61/13 61/22 88/2
110/4 110/9 110/14	liberty [1] 118/11	long-term [8] 16/22	13/19 15/13 15/16	marginalised [1]
lawyer [6] 25/15	lies [2] 116/25 122/8	40/11 62/4 62/15	15/25 17/20 19/5 23/4	12/18
26/20 58/6 58/9 58/19	life [6] 64/1 84/2	62/16 63/16 64/19	23/6 23/24 24/10	Marianne [1] 18/16
58/21	85/17 113/8 113/9	66/4	28/22 28/25 35/19	Marion [1] 31/18
lead [2] 51/12 113/16	114/11	longer [7] 24/1 42/2	51/25 63/3 64/5 64/14	marked [1] 67/4
leadership [4] 19/20	light [9] 1/15 22/20	42/9 42/12 89/2	70/20 71/13 80/9	marshal [1] 34/8
29/15 96/3 111/20	28/1 34/20 87/9 89/20	108/15 134/11	85/15 93/3 97/17	Marshall [1] 96/13
learn [1] 62/13	105/17 108/16 109/5	longer-term [2] 42/2	97/17 101/25 103/7	Martin [1] 96/11
learning [1] 58/15	like [31] 1/14 13/10	42/9	107/17 111/25 117/19	mass [2] 135/9
learnt [4] 9/19 25/2	29/9 31/23 33/2 33/11	look [30] 2/6 13/3	120/2 120/2 121/18	135/19
70/7 127/3	39/4 49/18 50/2 60/18	13/7 21/8 23/18 24/18	122/22 123/17 124/20	massive [1] 70/2
least [7] 38/11 42/19	61/19 62/11 83/18	33/14 36/23 37/3	125/11 133/4	match [1] 37/23
48/14 88/13 95/2 95/8	87/12 91/4 95/11 98/2	38/23 38/25 41/3 51/2	mail [2] 7/16 126/12	material [1] 26/13
95/18	100/1 102/4 110/15	51/14 62/4 62/14	main [3] 92/2 123/14	materially [1] 97/9
leave [4] 9/9 87/24	114/20 122/1 122/2	64/23 66/15 86/13	123/24	materials [1] 29/4
117/9 117/9	122/21 122/22 125/13	92/8 98/7 121/3	mainly [1] 32/23	matter [3] 3/16 26/18
leaving [3] 45/9	127/21 128/11 128/18	122/22 126/20 127/21	Majesty's [4] 2/21	106/2
81/19 81/20	129/8 136/9	128/11 128/18 129/8	3/6 3/14 5/5	matters [11] 2/16 3/3
led [1] 114/13	liked [1] 133/8	133/22 135/20	major [3] 4/3 90/19	23/21 23/22 50/16
left [6] 17/14 18/24	likely [9] 13/15 21/17	looked [2] 125/13	92/19	54/13 62/3 67/4 103/3
19/4 28/22 93/18	26/5 96/21 107/4	135/23	majority [4] 22/12	105/15 105/24
102/14	121/10 131/22 131/25	looking [15] 26/18	26/6 26/21 134/3	may [54] 1/14 1/24
legal [10] 3/18 21/15	134/3	32/18 38/8 50/25	make [33] 15/4 16/4	4/22 5/8 7/14 7/14
29/18 29/19 30/2 32/3	likes [1] 92/22	52/25 57/19 64/4	27/14 29/5 29/7 34/8	8/22 8/22 23/24 24/11
107/9 120/19 134/21	limited [5] 9/6 15/15	74/16 75/24 83/16	36/5 40/1 45/6 48/19	28/24 33/25 34/21
135/5	69/20 101/1 112/1	104/2 112/3 114/5	49/18 50/2 52/2 52/17	40/16 43/9 45/2 45/19
legislate [1] 22/11	line [8] 4/25 13/8	118/11 134/13	59/20 60/21 63/25	45/21 47/7 48/10
legislation [4] 72/15	13/13 33/5 54/25	looks [5] 26/24 28/1	70/17 72/2 72/8 81/21	50/16 51/2 51/12
76/23 77/8 135/2	70/16 83/14 134/2	28/9 62/11 136/9	81/25 86/13 92/9	52/19 52/24 53/2
length [1] 82/7	lines [3] 19/12 83/25	Lord [9] 21/10 22/25	92/13 93/4 94/7 97/12	54/21 56/1 58/14
less [3] 16/24 128/6	84/9	23/24 54/10 73/10	97/18 119/21 119/21	59/11 59/24 63/11
133/20	link [2] 88/5 88/10	107/13 108/14 109/5	125/4 125/11	74/15 76/3 79/23 83/6
let [6] 5/21 22/25	Linnell [3] 31/23	134/18	maker [1] 86/1	87/6 90/4 90/7 99/15
69/10 72/6 74/21	34/12 34/13	Lord Justice [2]	makes [1] 112/17	99/16 99/23 106/9
82/21	Linton [1] 70/8	54/10 73/10	making [22] 7/15	108/12 114/2 114/20
let's [7] 37/3 39/5	Lisa [1] 17/14	Lorna [7] 1/25 8/17	7/20 13/11 14/24 15/7	115/20 119/20 120/6
44/8 50/11 55/23		17/2 17/5 17/9 56/5	15/15 15/24 22/15	122/21 125/19 129/1
		123/10	29/4 42/20 52/5 80/4	129/22 136/4
			81/10 84/23 91/2 91/6	maybe [6] 39/8 39/9
				39/11 39/13 40/3 58/4

M	mention [2] 67/6 118/16 mentioned [16] 6/5 40/24 81/12 82/5 82/17 82/21 84/3 89/23 93/8 93/23 99/6 103/11 109/13 110/13 120/15 120/25 message [16] 35/24 40/5 40/5 42/4 42/6 47/20 48/12 51/13 51/15 51/17 69/24 71/23 79/21 80/6 80/9 81/10 messages [3] 29/25 40/9 69/8 messaging [1] 45/20 met [4] 23/17 90/7 129/22 130/12 middle [1] 45/9 might [16] 7/19 7/20 8/2 13/25 44/21 45/8 46/10 47/21 49/19 50/3 51/8 60/20 71/5 121/6 134/25 135/3 mile [1] 60/15 miles [1] 60/14 million [6] 32/21 33/9 53/8 92/1 94/9 127/1 millions [1] 53/5 minds [1] 61/22 mine [1] 133/10 minimum [1] 127/16 minister [6] 98/4 98/21 99/1 122/24 122/24 123/10 ministers [2] 66/13 75/7 Ministry [3] 78/11 134/18 137/1 minority [1] 20/15 minute [1] 103/19 minuted [1] 14/4 minutes [16] 30/14 30/15 30/16 31/5 39/5 53/13 98/14 103/15 103/15 103/20 103/22 114/11 123/1 129/3 129/11 133/20 misalignment [1] 52/10 misbehaviour [3] 97/4 121/7 121/18 miscarriages [1] 105/19 miscellaneous [1] 21/7 misogyny [2] 17/24 19/12 miss [2] 68/15 68/19 missed [2] 57/24 74/3 missing [2] 3/23 117/4	mistrust [1] 43/4 misunderstanding [2] 37/14 37/17 Mm [3] 49/23 58/17 76/8 modern [1] 126/16 Modernisation [4] 122/3 129/9 129/17 129/23 modest [1] 127/13 Moloney [9] 30/14 103/15 104/5 111/2 111/7 119/2 119/22 132/23 138/12 Moloney's [1] 103/23 moment [6] 13/22 30/25 74/10 83/9 85/7 132/23 money [15] 32/14 32/14 33/3 33/3 33/4 34/1 34/11 37/24 39/12 41/24 42/23 60/22 62/16 69/22 116/10 monies [2] 33/1 117/4 monitoring [1] 39/16 months [15] 17/15 19/5 21/6 64/10 65/16 65/16 68/21 71/8 79/3 92/20 115/14 130/2 130/2 131/10 131/11 moratorium [1] 70/15 more [33] 4/15 9/16 16/4 16/12 19/1 20/21 22/1 22/4 27/7 36/1 36/2 39/2 42/24 42/25 45/12 45/17 51/6 52/19 56/23 77/13 79/5 80/23 84/15 100/22 102/15 112/13 118/24 125/13 125/15 127/3 127/4 127/13 133/10 morning [9] 1/5 1/8 1/9 45/18 103/20 117/24 121/22 135/24 137/12 most [5] 87/10 93/5 97/14 101/7 107/13 mother [1] 115/12 move [5] 36/9 52/8 52/12 107/6 132/2 moved [1] 125/4 moving [3] 39/4 49/1 70/25 MR [210] Mr Bartlett [3] 55/18 55/24 109/23 Mr Bates [1] 98/5 Mr Beer [13] 29/10 50/19 53/23 55/5 55/13 56/22 75/6	107/7 107/12 110/21 111/13 133/19 137/5 Mr Beer's [1] 105/10 Mr Blake [2] 129/10 131/12 Mr Bradshaw [2] 115/21 115/21 Mr Cameron [3] 33/25 34/4 34/17 Mr Cooper [2] 4/25 6/14 Mr Greenhow [3] 95/24 101/19 102/20 Mr Henry [1] 104/20 Mr Hollinrake [4] 75/9 98/9 123/5 123/19 Mr Hollinrake's [1] 123/18 Mr Ismail [2] 10/13 11/4 Mr Jacobs [4] 10/12 11/7 31/3 53/9 Mr Justice [1] 96/10 Mr Kwarteng [1] 61/6 Mr McInnes [1] 123/9 Mr Moloney [8] 30/14 103/15 104/5 111/2 119/2 119/22 132/23 138/12 Mr Parker [1] 61/13 Mr Patterson [13] 54/19 54/20 55/7 55/10 55/25 56/14 56/16 56/20 57/2 58/24 59/7 82/24 84/24 Mr Peter [1] 74/22 Mr Railton [27] 55/7 56/10 56/19 57/6 57/23 62/13 62/18 63/15 64/21 64/24 65/8 65/9 69/18 70/20 84/20 128/21 128/24 129/1 129/4 129/5 129/10 129/11 130/8 130/16 130/17 131/12 131/24 Mr Railton's [4] 57/10 64/12 65/21 69/3 Mr Read [72] 1/8 17/22 27/5 30/10 31/8 32/13 32/14 32/15 33/22 35/10 35/16 37/1 40/2 40/4 40/13 40/17 40/21 42/12 43/9 44/21 45/23 46/25 47/18 48/13 51/10 51/14 52/24 53/8 53/24 62/20 62/22 63/11 63/21 67/10 67/14 69/10 70/6 70/16 70/19	71/18 75/11 75/21 77/2 77/17 79/2 85/10 87/1 99/24 103/13 104/9 107/3 107/12 108/23 110/8 113/9 114/20 116/22 117/17 117/24 118/9 118/19 119/23 122/4 123/9 127/20 128/20 130/1 131/19 133/2 133/13 133/16 137/6 Mr Recaldin [2] 121/11 121/14 Mr Staunton [4] 17/23 17/25 18/9 29/1 Mr Stein [14] 30/13 30/17 30/21 30/23 31/7 46/5 48/16 53/21 60/2 60/4 80/20 85/6 85/11 138/6 Mr Stein's [2] 78/6 86/21 Mr Tidswell [1] 56/4 Mr Vamos [3] 24/19 25/11 26/4 Mr Vamos' [6] 133/24 134/15 135/15 136/12 136/17 136/20 Mrs [6] 115/4 115/22 116/6 116/14 116/18 116/21 Mrs McDonald [6] 115/4 115/22 116/6 116/14 116/18 116/21 Ms [30] 18/5 18/9 18/14 18/23 29/1 30/1 30/15 31/20 48/23 49/6 49/17 55/17 55/24 58/25 59/3 67/5 67/15 82/17 86/23 86/25 103/15 103/21 104/3 104/7 104/8 113/25 117/16 120/3 138/8 138/10 Ms Allan [4] 30/15 103/15 104/3 104/7 Ms Allan's [1] 103/21 Ms Dar [1] 113/25 Ms Davies [5] 18/5 18/9 18/23 29/1 30/1 Ms Davies' [1] 18/14 Ms Falcon [1] 31/20 Ms Gallafent [2] 58/25 59/3 Ms Gray [2] 55/17 55/24 Ms McEwan [3] 67/5 67/15 82/17 Ms Park [3] 48/23 49/6 49/17 Ms Richards [1] 120/3 Ms Watt [4] 86/23 86/25 117/16 138/8
----------	--	--	--	--

M much [27] 1/6 22/1 28/2 30/7 30/11 41/12 42/8 42/12 52/1 60/11 61/20 67/25 85/14 99/19 100/18 102/2 102/4 102/7 102/15 106/4 107/3 123/6 126/8 126/21 126/23 133/8 137/13 muddy [1] 106/5 multichannel [1] 92/21 multiple [3] 4/12 74/24 80/18 multiproduct [1] 92/21 must [8] 17/19 39/20 39/21 44/14 71/24 75/16 75/16 80/21 my [56] 9/5 11/17 12/6 16/17 16/17 21/7 23/21 25/25 27/24 29/8 30/2 30/8 30/8 30/10 37/25 41/5 44/13 47/18 48/7 53/9 57/5 58/7 58/8 58/21 59/23 60/17 63/11 68/3 82/8 82/8 82/12 82/13 82/25 84/3 92/10 94/12 103/7 104/10 104/11 107/22 111/7 112/14 112/18 113/24 114/12 116/25 117/4 118/7 119/18 120/11 125/6 133/3 133/5 133/12 134/12 136/19 myself [3] 5/12 8/17 12/6	near [3] 95/21 115/15 115/18 nearest [1] 60/15 nearly [2] 88/8 90/15 necessarily [6] 33/4 63/12 88/9 91/2 112/17 114/12 necessary [3] 17/4 119/20 130/7 necessity [2] 5/14 125/12 NED [3] 8/5 9/14 13/3 NEDs [9] 7/2 7/10 7/12 9/13 10/6 13/15 15/18 73/25 95/16 NEDs' [1] 9/1 need [26] 7/4 10/11 19/23 30/22 40/24 43/5 45/6 48/21 49/13 51/1 52/1 52/23 61/24 63/19 65/3 67/19 68/12 71/15 72/2 72/2 72/5 83/24 85/7 97/11 119/21 123/7 needed [7] 5/22 17/12 114/3 114/3 125/9 130/12 130/21 needs [21] 15/4 44/12 44/20 44/21 45/12 48/8 48/13 48/15 50/22 51/5 62/15 62/16 66/21 66/22 75/21 77/13 79/16 80/2 96/1 124/16 131/8 negligence [5] 44/1 44/24 45/6 45/8 47/14 negligent [1] 45/11 negotiation [1] 112/10 negotiations [1] 96/20 Neil [1] 57/3 network [9] 62/4 71/6 87/24 88/7 93/8 93/9 93/9 96/8 96/12 never [1] 117/8 new [20] 20/25 21/1 56/14 62/1 62/17 69/17 70/22 70/24 71/10 87/16 87/18 87/18 91/16 93/1 93/22 109/24 130/19 131/7 132/1 132/2 newly [1] 2/20 news [1] 117/6 next [12] 9/14 21/6 36/22 52/8 64/22 71/11 83/23 85/10 86/20 106/3 109/23 125/9 NFSP [18] 30/16 70/8 71/13 79/17 79/20 80/24 86/23 87/4 87/5	90/8 95/15 95/17 96/18 98/3 98/8 98/11 98/20 98/24 NFSP's [1] 102/19 NFSP00001471 [1] 100/2 nice [1] 66/21 NICHOLAS [2] 1/3 138/2 Nick [4] 34/5 96/8 124/14 127/12 Nicola [1] 59/3 Nigel [2] 71/13 93/22 Nigel's [1] 71/9 nine [1] 71/8 Nisa [1] 12/5 no [70] 3/11 5/2 7/4 8/10 10/11 13/19 14/16 16/3 19/23 22/15 22/15 23/15 24/1 25/1 25/10 25/13 26/17 27/1 27/23 28/15 28/21 29/13 29/19 30/6 36/25 39/17 40/4 40/22 41/1 44/19 46/8 47/24 47/24 49/9 49/18 50/2 51/23 51/23 54/15 54/16 54/16 58/20 59/22 60/1 69/13 70/3 83/8 85/20 89/21 90/12 90/23 91/3 102/22 103/5 108/15 109/7 109/10 109/10 109/17 109/18 112/9 112/9 113/12 117/18 118/19 128/10 134/11 135/8 135/18 136/25 nodded [5] 51/4 53/4 55/12 68/13 68/17 Nominations [1] 10/25 non [10] 1/21 8/16 9/1 9/21 10/5 11/24 12/17 13/1 46/21 101/17 Non-Executive [7] 1/21 8/16 9/21 10/5 12/17 13/1 101/17 Non-Executives [2] 11/24 46/21 non-provision [1] 9/1 nonetheless [1] 85/24 nor [3] 14/2 28/7 93/11 normal [1] 22/8 Normally [1] 27/9 not [158] note [7] 48/19 53/10 135/4 135/5 136/3 136/11 136/12 noted [2] 85/18 131/1 notes [1] 5/8	notetaking [1] 48/20 nothing [2] 87/12 109/12 notice [3] 47/8 47/22 53/21 noting [1] 18/9 notion [4] 5/9 5/11 5/18 71/2 Notwithstanding [2] 71/9 105/4 November [2] 106/3 109/14 now [64] 3/16 4/3 23/20 26/2 30/7 30/12 36/11 37/13 38/16 43/9 49/6 52/19 53/9 53/10 55/4 55/23 56/10 56/25 58/1 60/5 61/3 61/13 62/8 62/12 62/18 63/21 65/17 66/17 66/25 68/14 69/3 75/5 76/21 90/17 90/21 91/4 92/6 94/12 95/15 96/3 96/12 97/21 101/18 103/14 103/19 103/22 104/3 108/17 109/19 109/21 110/10 110/20 114/14 114/18 115/12 119/19 121/2 121/17 122/2 123/13 129/8 132/15 134/14 137/11 NR [2] 129/5 129/5 NRa [1] 129/6 nuanced [2] 102/15 109/3 number [29] 14/8 18/2 22/1 32/16 33/15 33/21 34/4 35/22 50/19 72/8 77/2 80/25 82/4 82/5 84/11 87/8 89/23 96/18 105/5 111/8 114/14 118/14 120/24 127/11 129/25 130/12 130/23 133/5 133/11 numbers [2] 53/1 71/5 numerous [1] 80/18	29/20 38/25 44/15 46/25 57/1 64/16 77/22 78/17 78/23 81/16 82/12 84/5 88/6 91/16 92/12 103/10 104/10 106/4 119/7 124/20 127/2 128/15 129/5 OC [2] 32/24 35/8 occasions [4] 32/17 33/21 35/22 84/11 occur [4] 38/8 39/1 80/13 105/6 occurred [8] 35/21 37/12 52/23 84/8 105/19 107/2 108/7 108/9 occurring [2] 38/14 39/2 occurs [1] 38/16 October [3] 1/1 17/3 137/16 off [23] 35/10 35/14 36/10 37/7 38/9 38/10 39/7 40/12 40/14 40/19 41/23 42/21 45/19 64/2 74/10 83/7 89/10 89/12 89/15 110/20 124/21 125/4 125/12 offences [2] 31/14 79/4 offensive [1] 20/3 offer [5] 30/8 69/17 74/23 85/15 134/24 offered [1] 74/25 office [220] Office's [22] 2/19 4/10 6/4 23/9 24/3 24/23 25/8 26/1 26/19 77/1 91/6 104/18 105/6 105/8 105/20 106/6 107/8 108/12 108/16 134/8 134/13 135/5 officer [2] 18/10 107/14 Officers [1] 66/19 offices [6] 8/8 90/24 91/8 91/12 92/16 94/10 official [1] 108/24 often [1] 33/17 Oh [1] 80/3 okay [21] 36/22 40/10 47/1 47/2 47/5 47/10 47/17 49/10 49/22 53/12 55/3 55/13 62/6 73/3 73/6 75/4 81/9 114/17 116/5 123/3 129/16 old [2] 115/12 124/23 on [186] once [5] 1/10 31/15
---	--	--	---	---

<p>O</p> <p>once... [3] 100/10 117/1 118/3</p> <p>one [65] 2/18 3/23 4/4 11/13 15/11 20/9 20/10 21/7 29/14 30/1 31/20 34/7 34/7 36/22 39/13 42/7 43/6 43/14 43/16 45/5 46/3 48/1 49/12 51/19 51/19 58/1 60/12 67/4 69/10 69/18 72/10 72/18 72/23 73/21 74/21 75/6 84/1 84/14 85/7 86/20 87/10 89/16 91/8 91/14 91/20 92/25 95/6 98/7 103/18 113/2 113/14 113/16 114/14 115/5 115/25 116/14 122/23 124/22 125/25 126/24 128/8 133/6 133/10 133/11 133/16</p> <p>one-third [1] 91/8</p> <p>ones [4] 46/13 46/13 46/14 46/14</p> <p>ongoing [6] 59/25 62/2 70/11 71/17 73/22 131/21</p> <p>online [2] 7/17 82/18</p> <p>only [13] 15/11 47/7 51/19 69/14 70/1 74/25 77/10 104/14 119/9 119/16 122/3 130/1 131/10</p> <p>onto [4] 26/13 27/3 93/14 124/3</p> <p>open [7] 45/9 60/21 71/7 74/17 89/19 89/25 103/8</p> <p>operate [1] 91/7</p> <p>operating [5] 29/12 91/17 91/18 91/22 99/23</p> <p>operation [5] 8/8 60/7 62/17 79/11 86/21</p> <p>operational [7] 2/7 2/23 12/1 78/14 78/19 78/24 86/5</p> <p>operationally [1] 2/11</p> <p>operations [1] 2/17</p> <p>opinion [2] 26/20 123/18</p> <p>opportunity [5] 6/2 17/19 20/12 99/15 112/12</p> <p>oppose [1] 21/23</p> <p>opposed [10] 11/17 16/6 16/22 23/23 33/3 56/9 97/23 108/2 114/12 126/21</p>	<p>opposite [1] 6/14</p> <p>opting [1] 127/15</p> <p>option [3] 2/18 4/13 104/3</p> <p>options [7] 103/18 128/1 128/1 128/4 128/8 130/18 130/21</p> <p>or [107] 1/11 3/11 5/2 5/19 6/1 6/18 6/22 7/7 7/14 8/3 8/22 9/9 9/17 14/1 14/20 15/3 15/6 15/17 16/2 19/12 19/15 20/3 22/7 22/11 22/16 23/11 25/22 27/2 28/7 29/1 30/8 30/8 30/21 30/24 31/15 31/24 33/1 34/10 35/3 36/14 36/25 37/18 37/21 38/17 38/22 38/24 39/4 39/11 44/2 44/25 45/7 45/11 45/16 47/14 48/2 48/3 48/4 48/5 49/3 49/4 52/8 52/10 53/3 54/5 54/5 54/14 54/23 55/9 59/9 59/18 61/7 64/22 67/21 71/22 77/3 78/21 88/17 90/15 90/16 90/18 91/9 93/11 94/2 94/12 95/18 97/3 98/14 98/15 98/18 99/15 100/19 102/12 102/15 103/21 105/13 106/7 106/10 113/5 113/7 113/11 114/24 126/8 126/16 127/1 127/1 130/11 132/7</p> <p>oral [1] 10/14</p> <p>order [3] 96/1 114/19 119/21</p> <p>organisation [26] 8/4 8/23 12/10 12/14 16/24 18/6 18/24 19/4 20/20 20/24 28/23 65/6 65/7 65/15 66/24 67/2 67/4 82/8 82/16 97/5 97/23 99/7 99/7 99/23 107/24 117/19</p> <p>organisations [1] 66/20</p> <p>organised [1] 110/15</p> <p>original [3] 16/17 43/10 88/2</p> <p>originally [1] 87/21</p> <p>ostensibly [1] 120/8</p> <p>other [29] 14/17 17/18 27/8 28/19 29/20 29/22 29/24 34/4 37/3 51/24 52/2 57/18 63/3 66/22 66/23 67/2 67/3 71/14 74/4 74/14 80/19</p>	<p>81/19 94/7 108/3 109/20 122/3 122/13 128/1 136/4</p> <p>others [3] 1/20 81/12 90/7</p> <p>otherwise [1] 46/9</p> <p>ought [1] 104/23</p> <p>our [29] 7/17 20/15 20/23 20/25 21/3 23/6 23/19 26/13 33/17 35/8 37/18 52/19 56/18 61/22 67/20 67/20 68/3 68/5 68/7 68/7 71/16 81/13 89/1 92/22 93/3 94/10 124/8 125/7 132/1</p> <p>ourselves [5] 19/19 23/4 26/12 63/2 101/5 27/20 28/14 29/6 36/19 40/10 42/22 44/2 44/3 47/20 48/12 49/7 53/23 58/9 65/18 67/5 68/15 68/19 69/4 71/25 71/25 76/24 77/10 79/21 80/6 80/9 80/17 81/10 85/3 88/2 88/14 88/15 88/17 88/18 89/22 102/11 105/23 117/7 126/25 127/15 132/9</p> <p>outlet [1] 60/15</p> <p>outlets [2] 80/6 80/20</p> <p>outreach [1] 60/19</p> <p>outside [3] 66/19 85/1 130/24</p> <p>over [33] 9/7 13/21 14/20 18/12 21/6 21/13 23/8 30/17 34/14 53/6 57/4 70/25 76/24 76/25 79/19 82/6 84/13 87/1 88/21 90/12 92/20 93/17 96/18 100/9 100/23 104/7 106/12 114/25 120/23 129/3 136/1 136/1 137/8</p> <p>overall [1] 124/9</p> <p>overrunning [1] 124/6</p> <p>overseeing [2] 23/22 105/14</p> <p>overseen [1] 17/24</p> <p>oversees [3] 23/20 48/2 86/2</p> <p>overturned [4] 72/14 72/17 72/20 76/22</p> <p>Owen [1] 57/3</p> <p>own [10] 3/8 7/13 7/21 7/24 12/6 27/18 27/18 41/24 42/23 64/18</p> <p>owned [1] 2/20</p> <p>ownership [1] 1/11</p>	<p>P</p> <p>pack [3] 56/11 56/12 57/7</p> <p>package [1] 28/10</p> <p>page [25] 2/6 13/7 13/21 13/21 13/22 19/24 24/18 24/22 26/3 43/18 43/22 48/19 61/21 61/21 98/6 117/23 124/13 127/8 129/8 129/15 129/17 129/19 131/13 136/1 136/2</p> <p>page 1 [1] 127/8</p> <p>page 11 [2] 2/6 129/15</p> <p>page 164 [1] 131/13</p> <p>page 17 [1] 19/24</p> <p>page 2 [2] 61/21 98/6</p> <p>page 3 [1] 61/21</p> <p>page 46 [1] 48/19</p> <p>page 48 [1] 117/23</p> <p>page 5 [3] 13/7 129/8 129/17</p> <p>page 6 [1] 13/21</p> <p>page 8 [1] 43/18</p> <p>pages [1] 133/4</p> <p>paid [3] 35/1 37/7 38/9</p> <p>panel [1] 86/12</p> <p>paper [3] 3/8 3/22 4/21</p> <p>papers [5] 7/6 7/9 8/12 9/1 134/23</p> <p>paragraph [23] 3/4 4/24 7/5 9/12 10/12 10/13 11/5 19/25 22/16 26/3 26/4 43/23 46/24 48/19 49/17 87/15 90/5 91/5 98/7 127/8 134/1 135/22 136/2</p> <p>paragraph 11 [1] 87/15</p> <p>paragraph 14 [1] 10/12</p> <p>paragraph 150 [2] 10/13 11/5</p> <p>paragraph 2.5 [1] 43/23</p> <p>paragraph 20 [1] 91/5</p> <p>paragraph 277 [1] 90/5</p> <p>paragraph 30 [2] 3/4 4/24</p> <p>paragraph 4.5 [1] 46/24</p> <p>paragraph 49 [1] 19/25</p> <p>paragraph 55 [1] 49/17</p> <p>paragraph 94 [1] 7/5</p>	<p>paragraph 97 [1] 48/19</p> <p>paragraph 98 [1] 9/12</p> <p>paragraphs [2] 13/23 21/14</p> <p>parallel [1] 2/23</p> <p>paralysis [1] 111/25</p> <p>parameters [2] 86/6 118/22</p> <p>parcel [1] 65/12</p> <p>parents [1] 83/5</p> <p>Park [4] 48/18 48/23 49/6 49/17</p> <p>Parker [1] 61/13</p> <p>Parliament [2] 23/25 61/6</p> <p>Parliamentary [1] 22/7</p> <p>part [22] 2/8 41/6 41/7 42/19 44/22 51/11 56/11 61/19 65/12 67/19 73/25 78/23 81/15 81/20 93/8 95/2 95/24 98/15 100/11 101/23 110/5 113/2</p> <p>partially [1] 14/12</p> <p>Participant [1] 104/12</p> <p>participants [3] 10/22 30/13 111/8</p> <p>participate [1] 6/1</p> <p>participation [1] 4/17</p> <p>particular [32] 5/16 7/15 7/18 8/24 14/11 15/20 16/9 20/9 30/6 32/18 38/1 46/23 55/9 55/9 57/12 61/19 71/4 78/17 82/21 84/14 85/21 88/10 88/23 92/13 102/6 104/2 105/22 119/15 120/14 120/24 128/12 132/21</p> <p>particularly [7] 10/7 40/11 79/25 93/3 105/17 110/4 118/18</p> <p>parties [2] 78/24 128/16</p> <p>Partner [1] 24/19</p> <p>partner's [1] 32/1</p> <p>party [2] 130/16 130/19</p> <p>pass [4] 3/13 5/5 100/6 104/21</p> <p>passing [2] 79/3 135/1</p> <p>past [28] 44/9 66/13 82/6 84/21 94/18 95/5 97/3 97/7 97/19 98/22 99/4 99/12 99/21 99/21 100/11 100/17 101/24 102/13 102/24 103/2 112/5 112/19</p>
---	--	---	---	--

P	43/2 45/3 48/13 71/21 85/2 121/10 121/12 period [9] 19/3 23/10 37/21 42/18 49/1 49/2 52/8 68/21 94/20 permanent [4] 19/3 64/10 123/17 123/19 perpetually [1] 28/20 person [4] 69/11 96/17 96/24 116/18 personal [3] 27/18 135/7 136/15 personally [1] 84/4 perspective [6] 3/24 12/9 12/10 86/5 93/21 95/2 persuade [2] 135/8 135/18 persuaded [1] 116/3 pervades [1] 52/3 Peter [2] 31/17 74/22 Peters [10] 23/4 23/4 24/21 24/21 134/22 134/22 136/3 136/4 136/11 136/11 phase [7] 2/6 2/10 27/17 71/12 95/15 120/9 125/9 Phase 1 [1] 120/9 Phase 7 [1] 2/6 phases [2] 2/3 107/5 Phases 5 [1] 2/3 Phoenix [3] 97/8 120/8 120/14 phone [1] 37/5 phrase [1] 57/14 piece [8] 1/15 20/17 32/20 102/13 118/1 118/3 124/17 126/1 pieces [1] 126/4 pillar [1] 87/6 pilot [2] 74/9 74/13 Pineapple [1] 8/15 place [13] 8/3 68/3 68/4 68/5 70/9 87/22 87/23 88/4 88/5 95/2 109/18 112/1 126/19 placed [5] 4/10 52/11 94/4 106/4 109/14 Plainly [2] 118/22 122/16 plan [12] 20/8 67/7 67/8 67/24 67/25 69/25 123/20 124/4 124/6 124/8 132/11 132/14 planned [1] 109/10 plans [3] 66/18 68/15 70/7 Platform [4] 122/2 129/9 129/17 129/23 platinum [1] 130/23 played [1] 80/16 plea [3] 115/23	115/25 116/5 pleading [1] 115/14 please [29] 1/16 2/6 4/24 13/3 13/7 13/21 21/8 21/14 24/18 24/22 37/1 43/17 45/25 46/24 47/9 49/25 53/13 61/9 61/21 86/9 86/20 122/23 123/8 123/13 128/19 129/8 133/22 135/20 136/2 pm [3] 110/24 111/1 137/14 pockets [2] 52/21 52/24 point [29] 8/18 30/22 39/17 41/3 44/22 46/16 47/12 47/21 51/8 52/2 56/21 57/10 62/23 63/3 63/3 64/14 71/13 76/10 76/10 90/12 92/11 92/12 101/17 105/23 107/22 124/12 125/6 126/5 130/6 pointing [1] 53/23 points [8] 7/3 15/7 16/4 47/23 95/12 119/21 129/21 136/12 POL [5] 21/17 21/18 123/25 124/16 127/18 POL00448000 [1] 43/9 POL00448206 [1] 46/4 POL00448381 [2] 21/8 135/21 POL00448435 [1] 61/9 POL00448648 [1] 128/19 POL00448681 [1] 13/3 POL00448701 [2] 24/17 133/22 polarity [4] 57/15 70/12 71/11 93/24 police [6] 55/18 58/15 59/16 59/19 108/4 109/21 policies [4] 36/16 43/7 46/17 50/25 policy [18] 43/16 44/4 45/4 46/1 49/13 61/4 61/23 61/25 62/24 63/1 63/4 63/7 63/8 64/18 66/6 66/8 66/10 68/10 political [1] 22/5 poor [1] 28/1 population [3] 60/13 60/14 71/22 portfolio [1] 90/19	position [7] 29/18 30/2 85/16 94/25 95/5 103/7 108/8 positions [1] 100/19 positive [1] 84/23 possibility [4] 78/9 78/10 79/20 132/12 possible [7] 4/20 34/9 38/7 99/5 131/22 131/25 132/5 possibly [4] 6/5 25/5 57/25 58/10 post [244] Postal [1] 98/4 posted [1] 26/10 posting [1] 26/8 postmaster [42] 7/2 7/10 7/12 7/24 8/25 9/13 9/20 11/24 12/7 12/14 12/17 22/20 36/19 43/7 43/8 43/16 43/17 43/25 44/4 44/6 44/14 44/23 46/1 46/16 46/21 47/15 48/4 48/5 48/5 69/12 71/14 71/14 95/16 96/6 96/13 98/17 100/18 101/16 103/3 104/13 118/5 119/25 postmaster-facing [2] 118/5 119/25 postmasters [55] 7/12 7/18 8/9 32/1 32/10 36/2 42/10 43/1 44/6 44/9 46/21 48/1 52/22 67/22 68/2 68/4 68/5 70/22 70/24 71/10 72/25 75/17 82/2 87/17 87/22 89/4 89/24 91/7 91/11 91/14 93/2 93/15 93/18 93/23 93/24 94/8 96/5 96/17 97/13 100/14 101/5 101/8 102/9 103/4 106/15 111/9 112/24 113/4 113/22 114/9 117/20 121/4 122/11 122/19 126/18 postmasters' [2] 93/12 132/6 potential [2] 21/16 127/25 potentially [3] 8/20 29/12 105/14 power [2] 15/3 15/24 powers [2] 13/11 15/15 PR [2] 29/23 30/2 practice [1] 84/9 practices [1] 99/12 pre [2] 14/12 94/21 pre-2015 [1] 94/21 pre-dated [1] 14/12	precisely [4] 6/14 84/6 99/15 113/1 predominantly [1] 35/6 preferred [1] 99/19 prepared [6] 18/7 29/5 29/6 29/7 83/2 136/3 preparing [1] 3/8 present [9] 6/2 49/20 50/4 97/5 100/18 103/25 113/4 128/24 129/5 presented [2] 11/16 97/4 presenting [1] 11/17 press [5] 11/12 12/19 36/17 37/4 39/21 pressed [1] 52/9 presses [1] 36/14 pressing [1] 37/8 pressure [2] 22/7 124/14 Preston [1] 114/16 presumption [3] 35/23 39/23 52/3 pretty [3] 26/24 54/24 126/8 prevalent [1] 89/13 prevented [1] 48/25 previous [7] 9/17 22/18 24/14 90/13 107/5 112/8 121/7 previously [1] 120/15 primarily [2] 15/15 134/24 primary [1] 112/3 principal [1] 26/19 principle [2] 120/1 121/11 prior [3] 107/23 108/12 114/15 priorities [1] 14/22 priority [2] 34/8 34/15 prison [6] 115/13 115/14 115/15 115/16 115/17 115/18 privately [2] 106/14 106/19 privy [2] 7/18 8/2 proactive [1] 105/16 proactively [1] 134/22 probably [9] 8/13 14/24 64/11 71/20 92/2 94/22 107/1 109/13 132/18 probation [1] 19/2 problem [4] 32/18 33/14 36/23 76/9 problems [2] 76/5 104/21 proceed [1] 116/4
----------	---	---	--	---

<p>P</p> <p>proceedings [1] 3/19</p> <p>process [21] 9/22 10/2 11/2 22/8 49/1 52/16 74/9 74/12 78/6 78/11 83/25 86/11 86/17 97/7 102/7 112/11 113/1 113/6 116/9 120/4 121/19</p> <p>Procurator [3] 23/13 108/1 109/17</p> <p>product [1] 127/16</p> <p>production [1] 67/11</p> <p>products [4] 91/16 92/15 93/1 93/4</p> <p>profitability [2] 93/6 93/19</p> <p>programme [4] 38/18 88/3 88/25 133/7</p> <p>progress [2] 91/1 122/21</p> <p>project [19] 8/15 33/12 34/16 34/21 90/16 90/18 94/19 95/5 96/9 97/8 98/23 99/5 102/24 120/8 120/14 129/24 130/5 131/2 133/8</p> <p>projected [1] 32/25</p> <p>projects [3] 90/17 90/19 130/23</p> <p>promotion [1] 20/16</p> <p>pronged [1] 92/24</p> <p>properly [1] 44/6</p> <p>proportion [2] 20/2 33/5</p> <p>proposal [1] 3/1</p> <p>propriety [1] 112/8</p> <p>prosecute [3] 106/15 106/19 106/23</p> <p>prosecuted [5] 77/21 111/10 115/4 115/5 115/6</p> <p>prosecuting [2] 31/16 40/8</p> <p>prosecution [3] 24/8 104/24 116/4</p> <p>prosecutions [2] 77/1 108/7</p> <p>prosecutor [2] 105/9 108/2</p> <p>prospect [1] 112/2</p> <p>provide [5] 9/24 62/17 72/24 93/10 135/12</p> <p>provided [9] 3/22 7/5 65/23 75/3 89/6 90/9 104/17 135/4 135/13</p> <p>provides [1] 60/24</p> <p>providing [5] 2/14 83/17 96/14 106/2 109/19</p> <p>provision [2] 7/9 9/1</p>	<p>psychological [1] 18/6</p> <p>public [2] 22/6 108/2</p> <p>publication [1] 134/14</p> <p>publicly [1] 117/20</p> <p>published [3] 134/16 135/15 135/16</p> <p>publishes [1] 26/23</p> <p>puppet [2] 13/10 15/23</p> <p>purchased [2] 88/13 88/16</p> <p>purely [1] 114/13</p> <p>purpose [4] 64/13 89/4 93/11 134/20</p> <p>purposefully [1] 58/12</p> <p>purposes [3] 40/3 48/21 112/23</p> <p>pursue [2] 45/2 119/3</p> <p>pursuing [1] 84/10</p> <p>pursuit [1] 54/23</p> <p>push [2] 69/25 80/22</p> <p>pushed [1] 62/25</p> <p>pushers [1] 70/2</p> <p>put [20] 5/12 8/3 8/13 10/23 25/1 25/8 26/1 26/13 27/3 55/17 59/17 82/20 83/7 84/8 95/24 104/20 112/1 126/18 133/16 134/8</p> <p>Q</p> <p>qualification [1] 119/24</p> <p>qualified [1] 111/24</p> <p>quality [2] 88/22 90/13</p> <p>quarters [1] 30/19</p> <p>quashed [3] 22/14 77/8 111/11</p> <p>question [30] 6/24 13/14 26/11 28/21 34/1 34/25 55/23 57/5 57/20 58/8 59/16 59/23 65/20 75/8 84/17 94/12 102/23 105/10 106/17 109/1 111/4 114/19 118/10 119/3 119/4 119/18 126/10 130/9 130/10 130/11</p> <p>questioned [12] 1/4 31/7 86/25 104/8 111/2 133/21 138/4 138/6 138/8 138/10 138/12 138/14</p> <p>questioner [1] 85/10</p> <p>questioning [1] 119/8</p> <p>questions [51] 11/9 11/22 21/7 28/6 28/8</p>	<p>30/10 30/12 30/14 30/15 32/12 34/5 41/5 45/18 48/7 49/10 50/19 55/14 57/14 63/19 66/15 67/5 68/9 68/10 68/14 75/5 76/1 78/6 79/13 82/25 83/1 84/12 87/4 87/8 87/9 94/12 94/14 94/18 94/23 96/7 97/21 103/14 103/20 103/21 103/23 104/10 111/8 116/13 117/16 118/24 128/20 133/15</p> <p>quick [1] 130/14</p> <p>quicker [1] 99/19</p> <p>quickly [1] 99/5</p> <p>quite [24] 5/14 6/5 10/4 14/17 14/20 20/17 25/5 33/7 44/11 44/17 46/2 56/21 56/25 57/25 88/9 88/23 107/1 107/1 111/13 113/6 118/10 125/16 126/14 131/4</p> <p>quote [2] 48/17 75/1</p> <p>R</p> <p>Rachel [1] 17/2</p> <p>Railton [27] 55/7 56/10 56/19 57/6 57/23 62/13 62/18 63/15 64/21 64/24 65/8 65/9 69/18 70/20 84/20 128/21 128/24 129/1 129/4 129/5 129/10 129/11 130/8 130/16 130/17 131/12 131/24</p> <p>Railton's [4] 57/10 64/12 65/21 69/3</p> <p>raise [1] 123/16</p> <p>raised [7] 17/18 18/5 33/22 84/23 98/8 98/11 101/2</p> <p>raises [1] 22/5</p> <p>raising [1] 101/19</p> <p>ramifications [1] 54/16</p> <p>range [6] 9/24 14/18 14/21 22/22 38/25 90/25</p> <p>rate [1] 91/25</p> <p>rather [9] 3/13 5/5 43/12 50/1 57/18 57/18 69/21 112/17 127/14</p> <p>ratings [1] 130/5</p> <p>rattle [1] 65/24</p> <p>re [1] 12/21</p> <p>re-election [1] 12/21</p> <p>reaching [1] 42/25</p> <p>reactive [1] 16/22</p> <p>read [82] 1/3 1/8 15/8</p>	<p>15/21 17/22 27/5 30/10 31/8 32/13 32/14 32/15 33/22 34/5 35/10 35/16 37/1 37/16 40/2 40/4 40/13 40/17 40/21 42/12 43/9 43/23 44/21 45/23 46/25 47/18 48/13 51/10 51/14 52/24 53/8 53/24 62/20 62/22 63/11 63/21 67/10 67/14 69/10 69/10 70/6 70/16 70/19 71/18 75/11 75/21 77/2 77/17 79/2 85/10 87/1 99/24 103/13 104/9 107/3 107/12 108/23 110/8 113/9 114/20 116/22 117/17 117/24 118/9 118/19 119/23 122/4 123/9 124/14 127/12 127/20 128/20 130/1 131/19 133/2 133/13 133/16 137/6 138/2</p> <p>readout [2] 122/23 123/4</p> <p>reads [1] 127/9</p> <p>ready [1] 123/23</p> <p>real [7] 13/11 15/23 22/19 75/23 96/1 112/4 119/14</p> <p>realised [1] 14/5</p> <p>realistic [1] 89/3</p> <p>reality [2] 26/5 134/3</p> <p>really [8] 13/18 22/23 35/24 39/17 52/4 108/23 125/16 131/4</p> <p>reappeared [1] 53/22</p> <p>reappointment [1] 10/16</p> <p>reason [8] 11/25 37/6 44/5 75/1 124/22 125/1 125/2 125/2</p> <p>reasonable [1] 44/3</p> <p>reasons [9] 39/14 42/10 43/2 86/23 89/16 106/16 106/20 119/16 122/13</p> <p>reassurance [2] 96/15 96/23</p> <p>rebalanced [1] 9/16</p> <p>rebuild [1] 12/11</p> <p>rebuilding [2] 121/22 121/23</p> <p>Recaldin [5] 83/23 106/1 109/13 121/11 121/14</p> <p>recall [14] 17/7 17/8 20/9 24/6 50/23 50/24 55/13 55/21 55/22 74/10 90/23 116/2 118/13 125/20</p>	<p>receipt [1] 104/22</p> <p>received [10] 3/10 5/2 5/18 35/12 42/5 55/25 57/2 119/18 120/9 120/12</p> <p>receiving [1] 117/6</p> <p>recently [3] 71/3 82/17 104/15</p> <p>recentre [1] 70/13</p> <p>recipients [2] 27/10 61/12</p> <p>recognise [3] 61/24 71/2 92/7</p> <p>recognised [1] 23/2</p> <p>recognising [1] 119/24</p> <p>recollection [1] 5/23</p> <p>recollections [1] 5/7</p> <p>recommendation [1] 86/13</p> <p>recommitment [1] 69/6</p> <p>reconciliation [3] 37/21 38/23 50/18</p> <p>recorded [3] 38/17 115/22 116/3</p> <p>records [2] 78/2 78/3</p> <p>recover [2] 43/25 44/23</p> <p>recruited [2] 20/25 29/14</p> <p>recruitment [2] 9/14 9/22</p> <p>rectify [1] 105/19</p> <p>red [3] 43/11 130/5 130/23</p> <p>rededicate [1] 42/18</p> <p>rededication [1] 69/6</p> <p>redo [1] 55/5</p> <p>redress [9] 1/12 72/11 72/13 72/18 72/25 73/12 74/6 76/21 135/12</p> <p>reduce [6] 91/10 91/17 91/23 91/25 92/4 92/9</p> <p>refer [8] 11/13 50/16 61/3 61/5 61/20 67/12 101/24 105/4</p> <p>reference [3] 11/4 46/4 67/21</p> <p>referenced [1] 67/15</p> <p>references [5] 16/4 66/17 66/18 68/15 135/4</p> <p>referred [2] 118/2 128/22</p> <p>referring [4] 29/2 62/9 68/24 110/6</p> <p>refers [1] 21/14</p> <p>refining [1] 10/8</p> <p>reflected [1] 3/21</p> <p>reflections [1] 28/8</p> <p>reflective [1] 127/20</p>
--	---	--	---	--

<p>R</p> <p>refusal [1] 4/5</p> <p>refute [1] 12/23</p> <p>regard [4] 16/5 16/6 94/21 117/25</p> <p>regarding [6] 18/18 19/8 23/9 58/5 84/17 105/7</p> <p>region [2] 32/21 33/9</p> <p>regret [2] 28/3 133/9</p> <p>regrets [3] 133/5 133/6 133/11</p> <p>regularly [1] 39/3</p> <p>reignited [2] 34/19 34/22</p> <p>Reinvention [1] 93/9</p> <p>reiterated [1] 117/16</p> <p>rejig [1] 86/22</p> <p>relate [1] 66/19</p> <p>related [3] 2/19 3/2 72/19</p> <p>relates [2] 65/20 77/7</p> <p>relation [21] 29/13 32/12 41/2 48/17 57/13 59/18 60/6 60/17 70/16 73/13 73/24 74/1 75/10 79/14 80/24 83/18 86/1 96/16 100/12 125/16 131/17</p> <p>relationship [1] 70/22</p> <p>relatively [1] 19/17</p> <p>Relativity [1] 46/15</p> <p>releases [1] 89/22</p> <p>relevance [1] 58/13</p> <p>relevant [4] 59/24 92/16 107/9 135/1</p> <p>reliability [1] 100/12</p> <p>reliance [1] 21/24</p> <p>relying [1] 55/1</p> <p>remain [2] 75/16 100/17</p> <p>remainder [1] 100/23</p> <p>remaining [4] 42/18 49/5 68/21 84/13</p> <p>remains [2] 4/3 67/18</p> <p>remarkably [1] 64/24</p> <p>RemCo [1] 8/19</p> <p>remedial [1] 101/12</p> <p>remediation [14] 3/17 23/19 23/21 23/22 24/12 86/2 105/14 105/24 105/25 106/10 106/10 117/25 118/9 120/3</p> <p>remedy [1] 100/22</p> <p>remember [11] 1/12 61/6 66/22 84/8 94/22 113/18 114/5 115/7 129/13 129/14 133/23</p> <p>remind [2] 31/4 106/15</p>	<p>reminder [2] 1/20 2/2</p> <p>remit [2] 3/18 34/19</p> <p>remote [2] 96/15 96/23</p> <p>remove [1] 103/8</p> <p>remuneration [9] 27/18 27/25 28/10 28/12 30/5 73/7 85/13 91/14 95/23</p> <p>repaid [1] 33/1</p> <p>reparations [1] 41/6</p> <p>repeat [2] 49/24 106/17</p> <p>repeated [4] 10/14 28/11 106/12 117/23</p> <p>repeatedly [2] 28/9 101/9</p> <p>replaced [2] 1/25 57/21</p> <p>replacement [16] 87/11 88/4 88/25 91/21 123/21 123/25 124/16 124/19 125/17 126/16 127/1 127/5 127/25 128/11 132/15 132/24</p> <p>replacing [2] 126/21 127/12</p> <p>replied [1] 131/24</p> <p>report [14] 18/14 35/11 38/11 38/15 38/15 40/11 40/18 41/22 53/1 72/1 88/20 107/25 108/3 131/15</p> <p>reported [1] 114/23</p> <p>reporting [11] 24/2 24/15 107/15 107/24 108/11 108/15 108/19 108/25 109/16 110/9 130/22</p> <p>reprehensible [1] 101/8</p> <p>represent [8] 31/8 31/12 32/4 32/9 69/8 74/22 80/25 104/11</p> <p>representative [5] 56/7 58/23 95/14 96/24 97/22</p> <p>representatives [1] 120/19</p> <p>represented [1] 31/18</p> <p>Repudiatory [2] 47/2 47/5</p> <p>request [1] 80/4</p> <p>requested [2] 62/10 134/21</p> <p>requests [1] 125/8</p> <p>required [4] 5/21 101/1 101/12 125/9</p> <p>requirement [1] 50/13</p> <p>requirements [5] 49/12 60/5 60/18</p>	<p>61/15 61/17</p> <p>reset [1] 64/8</p> <p>resign [2] 30/4 101/17</p> <p>resignation [2] 30/8 30/8</p> <p>Resolution [2] 44/4 47/25</p> <p>resolve [4] 52/5 52/15 52/15 62/2</p> <p>resource [2] 2/12 2/24</p> <p>resources [2] 34/8 63/6</p> <p>resourcing [2] 2/7 2/9</p> <p>respect [8] 23/12 67/2 95/12 113/3 129/24 130/4 131/2 132/15</p> <p>respected [2] 14/1 16/2</p> <p>respectfully [1] 81/9</p> <p>respond [2] 17/19 64/23</p> <p>responded [1] 11/19</p> <p>response [6] 23/12 24/3 24/5 105/10 107/13 108/24</p> <p>responses [2] 23/9 35/13</p> <p>responsibility [6] 3/12 5/4 13/12 15/14 44/16 133/1</p> <p>responsible [6] 44/7 44/15 78/17 119/8 119/17 121/12</p> <p>rest [1] 124/3</p> <p>restorative [5] 82/5 82/13 84/6 120/11 120/22</p> <p>restored [1] 4/19</p> <p>result [5] 62/21 69/4 75/2 105/20 115/3</p> <p>resulted [1] 14/3</p> <p>resulting [1] 47/15</p> <p>results [1] 20/6</p> <p>resume [3] 53/14 110/21 137/11</p> <p>retain [1] 18/11</p> <p>reticence [1] 119/15</p> <p>returned [1] 117/1</p> <p>revealed [1] 100/24</p> <p>revenue [2] 91/15 92/13</p> <p>reverse [1] 57/15</p> <p>review [51] 22/2 23/13 24/13 26/15 35/19 36/13 36/14 36/17 37/4 39/21 46/18 52/9 61/3 61/4 62/5 62/9 62/21 62/24 63/1 63/1 63/7 63/9 64/16 64/18 65/11</p>	<p>65/15 66/8 66/10 68/12 68/22 69/5 70/10 70/22 71/16 73/7 73/16 92/8 93/7 93/13 94/7 98/18 104/19 105/1 105/13 106/7 109/10 109/11 109/18 132/4 132/13 132/16</p> <p>reviewed [2] 3/21 33/19</p> <p>reviews [3] 66/19 94/2 130/4</p> <p>revised [1] 124/8</p> <p>revision [1] 46/18</p> <p>rework [3] 48/14 48/15 57/13</p> <p>Richards [6] 116/15 116/16 117/7 117/14 118/8 120/3</p> <p>right [46] 1/22 7/7 7/13 10/17 11/10 11/11 11/12 12/25 13/1 21/12 27/22 33/22 36/14 36/20 37/3 38/7 40/23 43/13 44/11 46/10 47/2 49/12 53/14 55/7 55/11 58/12 61/17 66/12 67/23 74/5 77/2 78/14 85/22 86/16 86/17 86/24 87/13 87/14 87/19 98/20 99/10 99/13 99/14 102/15 122/15 137/11</p> <p>rightly [2] 20/18 105/23</p> <p>rights [3] 98/24 99/14 102/9</p> <p>rigorously [1] 112/4</p> <p>ring [4] 5/16 39/20 124/16 125/6</p> <p>ring-fence [2] 5/16 124/16</p> <p>ring-fencing [1] 125/6</p> <p>risk [4] 5/13 43/23 46/18 93/14</p> <p>robust [1] 71/8</p> <p>role [29] 7/23 8/6 8/10 8/11 8/25 9/1 9/15 9/23 10/3 10/9 14/14 19/2 19/3 24/2 24/14 27/20 28/14 29/12 29/15 30/7 51/23 96/16 105/8 107/15 107/23 108/12 108/13 108/25 109/24</p> <p>roles [14] 18/4 18/19 94/19 95/5 97/7 98/16 98/23 99/4 100/18 101/24 102/24 103/3 118/3 118/5</p> <p>rolling [1] 127/15</p>	<p>rollout [3] 124/15 124/19 126/3</p> <p>rolls [1] 88/14</p> <p>room [2] 9/10 113/10</p> <p>root [4] 39/25 70/10 122/8 131/8</p> <p>rose [1] 20/4</p> <p>roughly [1] 76/24</p> <p>round [2] 9/14 57/18</p> <p>Royal [1] 7/16</p> <p>rubber [5] 13/19 13/24 15/25 16/1 16/11</p> <p>ruining [1] 100/15</p> <p>run [11] 10/24 58/18 72/10 73/3 73/4 73/7 77/3 77/4 91/25 124/23 132/9</p> <p>running [7] 7/24 34/17 56/17 90/11 91/12 91/18 117/2</p> <p>runs [1] 72/18</p> <hr/> <p>S</p> <p>sacked [1] 47/22</p> <p>sadly [1] 84/12</p> <p>Saf [2] 9/4 10/1</p> <p>safe [1] 45/9</p> <p>safeguards [1] 108/18</p> <p>safely [3] 26/7 26/22 134/5</p> <p>safety [1] 18/6</p> <p>said [43] 11/14 22/15 26/21 30/1 30/24 34/6 34/10 35/22 42/8 45/5 50/22 51/8 56/4 65/15 72/5 74/15 75/18 75/22 93/16 94/1 95/18 97/2 97/16 99/3 101/10 102/4 102/24 103/5 103/8 104/3 114/2 114/10 116/21 117/22 121/10 121/14 123/19 123/23 124/14 125/19 127/12 133/17 134/2</p> <p>salary [1] 28/10</p> <p>same [13] 4/23 9/12 11/4 20/12 47/20 56/21 69/12 76/5 86/11 86/11 102/18 121/15 131/23</p> <p>satisfactory [1] 35/9</p> <p>savings [1] 93/4</p> <p>saw [5] 8/14 8/14 56/20 96/14 130/17</p> <p>say [44] 6/10 6/16 10/13 11/20 11/23 12/16 16/17 27/1 29/24 30/6 30/18 33/8 35/5 44/21 53/10 56/8 58/3 59/6 59/18 63/6 65/24 66/2 66/6 69/9</p>
---	--	--	--	--

S say... [20] 69/14 69/24 70/17 71/24 74/18 86/17 87/15 88/24 99/12 99/20 99/24 99/25 101/14 110/8 110/22 112/10 114/10 121/2 121/11 121/14 saying [24] 17/25 22/10 29/5 34/6 41/19 45/1 47/21 48/10 50/1 51/1 51/15 54/22 56/10 56/18 62/14 62/19 65/3 66/21 71/9 75/8 83/11 86/10 100/5 111/24 says [17] 2/9 4/25 6/15 11/4 26/4 36/23 45/20 48/24 49/17 55/8 76/16 90/6 100/7 134/15 135/17 136/12 136/15 scale [1] 126/22 scandal [16] 2/25 35/7 39/10 41/8 41/15 53/25 67/13 77/1 79/6 82/3 83/4 83/7 85/3 87/10 94/22 96/5 Scarrabelotti [1] 17/2 scheduled [1] 87/24 scheme [30] 4/5 72/9 72/10 72/12 72/17 72/22 73/16 73/16 73/21 74/9 74/12 74/23 76/2 76/21 77/3 77/4 77/7 77/15 77/19 78/17 78/18 79/10 83/8 85/13 85/24 86/15 87/23 88/6 88/7 116/21 schemes [23] 1/12 5/17 6/1 6/13 6/19 32/24 72/6 72/7 73/3 74/3 74/4 74/5 75/13 75/15 76/7 81/20 83/16 83/20 85/1 85/11 86/2 86/6 88/11 Scotland [12] 23/14 24/1 24/3 24/15 104/14 105/20 107/15 108/2 108/20 108/25 109/22 110/12 Scotland's [1] 107/13 Scottish [5] 23/12 23/25 105/12 106/7 113/16 screen [1] 43/11 scroll [6] 21/14 24/20 43/22 123/8 123/13 135/22	scrutinise [2] 124/15 124/19 scrutiny [1] 94/4 se [2] 8/8 24/5 searched [1] 116/11 searchers [1] 116/12 second [12] 19/24 26/4 34/13 91/16 103/19 103/19 123/1 124/12 125/1 125/2 126/1 128/18 secondary [1] 92/10 secondly [5] 16/16 84/5 92/3 130/18 136/15 Secretary [6] 10/24 61/8 61/11 64/10 123/17 123/20 section [1] 129/10 security [1] 93/12 see [29] 1/5 1/16 1/17 8/24 11/17 24/20 38/23 41/13 43/14 61/10 61/10 61/12 64/24 72/1 87/2 87/6 104/10 105/2 111/3 116/1 119/6 123/6 123/7 123/8 123/16 123/20 124/11 124/13 129/18 seeing [1] 71/5 seek [2] 66/25 105/18 seeking [2] 135/8 135/18 seem [3] 77/2 111/22 125/20 seemed [1] 130/7 seems [9] 36/15 36/24 44/8 57/22 60/2 62/18 65/1 77/11 79/3 seen [15] 10/21 51/11 56/7 56/10 56/12 57/6 69/25 71/25 90/4 102/5 115/20 129/15 131/15 132/17 135/6 SEG [3] 55/14 58/16 59/15 selecting [1] 14/6 selection [2] 10/2 11/1 self [1] 115/22 sell [1] 64/2 selling [1] 92/15 send [4] 80/5 100/4 102/18 102/20 sending [1] 100/3 senior [11] 18/2 18/3 18/19 19/14 19/20 20/20 96/16 100/19 102/3 107/14 111/14 seniority [1] 20/5 sense [10] 9/3 9/5	14/21 27/14 32/3 45/16 64/13 65/18 112/17 121/20 sensible [2] 60/21 97/11 sensitivities [1] 7/14 sensitivity [1] 7/19 sent [12] 24/20 27/9 27/12 65/2 65/5 76/24 77/10 115/13 115/16 134/18 135/6 136/7 sentence [1] 44/8 separate [3] 2/20 5/14 85/24 separating [3] 3/9 4/13 5/1 separation [3] 2/15 4/3 50/8 September [3] 18/14 18/24 104/15 series [6] 18/25 19/5 23/18 27/16 45/18 94/12 serious [1] 104/21 seriously [2] 4/15 102/1 seriousness [1] 111/15 service [6] 23/14 43/1 60/9 104/25 108/1 109/17 services [6] 60/8 69/15 91/16 92/15 92/18 93/1 serving [1] 94/9 session [2] 30/20 132/21 set [14] 2/24 3/15 3/17 19/19 44/3 53/9 60/6 60/18 69/4 80/9 83/16 88/2 126/8 126/25 sets [1] 103/14 settlement [3] 4/16 112/11 113/5 SGEs [1] 61/18 Shall [2] 6/8 49/24 shape [4] 16/5 82/14 89/5 90/3 share [1] 93/2 shared [5] 8/15 8/17 17/9 23/1 130/8 shareholder [5] 2/13 15/17 16/6 56/6 58/22 shareholder/UKGI [1] 15/17 she [26] 17/5 18/5 18/11 19/5 50/10 56/2 103/11 104/3 104/13 108/21 113/25 113/25 114/23 114/23 115/2 115/12 115/13 115/13 115/15 115/16 115/17 115/18 116/7 116/12	116/13 117/11 She'd [1] 113/20 she's [4] 49/9 50/1 50/8 116/18 sheer [1] 72/8 shift [1] 67/25 ship [1] 57/12 shop [1] 117/8 short [3] 16/21 53/19 110/25 short-term [1] 16/21 shortcoming [1] 85/21 shortfall [13] 32/14 36/10 37/3 37/6 38/3 38/15 38/17 39/7 55/2 72/22 73/16 73/16 114/25 shortfalls [24] 35/10 35/10 35/14 35/18 38/8 38/13 38/20 40/12 40/15 40/19 42/16 42/20 45/19 48/12 48/17 49/8 51/12 68/9 72/24 75/24 76/5 114/22 115/1 115/3 shorthand [2] 30/25 104/2 shortlisted [3] 10/16 10/18 10/21 should [29] 4/2 4/14 5/25 22/10 30/24 47/2 55/1 57/21 57/21 57/22 57/22 59/8 68/24 70/14 70/15 75/12 75/20 76/13 81/3 82/15 89/20 99/12 104/1 120/3 121/12 122/18 129/18 129/19 130/16 shoulders [1] 83/2 show [1] 46/6 showed [3] 20/1 130/23 133/23 shown [1] 41/9 SID [5] 17/5 17/10 17/13 17/13 17/17 sight [2] 34/13 69/13 significant [10] 4/7 4/9 6/21 22/1 22/6 27/19 28/12 47/13 53/1 125/16 significantly [1] 20/4 signing [1] 65/12 similar [3] 8/5 22/11 59/9 similarly [1] 23/11 Simon [5] 23/4 59/3 83/22 106/1 109/13 simple [2] 34/24 102/7 simply [1] 29/1 since [12] 62/19	65/23 66/10 92/5 94/3 101/24 106/13 107/20 108/7 108/8 108/9 129/1 Sinclair [2] 103/16 104/12 single [3] 38/16 94/10 97/14 single-most [1] 97/14 sir [29] 1/5 6/22 27/6 30/12 31/3 34/12 34/12 43/19 46/2 46/6 46/10 53/13 53/16 56/22 64/3 85/17 86/15 86/19 103/14 107/6 110/22 111/3 111/6 118/11 119/4 119/8 133/15 137/10 137/13 Sir Alan [2] 34/12 64/3 Sir Anthony [1] 34/12 Sir Wyn [3] 6/22 56/22 118/11 sit [5] 11/2 46/21 48/1 104/10 105/25 sits [3] 31/19 46/21 48/6 sitting [1] 70/2 situation [4] 38/1 39/6 79/7 100/22 six [6] 14/11 113/7 113/11 120/16 120/25 132/18 skip [1] 21/13 slight [1] 52/24 slightly [5] 8/13 43/15 46/14 47/4 86/22 slow [3] 99/17 99/24 99/24 slower [1] 89/8 small [3] 32/9 71/21 71/22 smallish [1] 39/12 Smith [1] 3/7 so [154] so I [1] 40/1 So's [1] 76/9 software [2] 122/8 122/14 solicited [2] 135/5 136/13 solicitors [2] 24/21 80/25 solutions [1] 82/14 some [47] 2/6 13/7 19/4 19/19 19/21 20/13 20/14 21/15 22/7 30/22 34/17 43/1 43/2 43/9 46/10 48/15 61/13 66/12 73/17
---	---	---	--	--

S	9/19 29/19 33/4 44/13 67/16 79/24 106/25 116/2 117/22 118/17 125/14 specifics [5] 78/25 88/17 118/12 119/23 132/17 speed [1] 135/11 spend [1] 20/25 spending [1] 92/17 spent [1] 115/17 split [1] 63/6 SPM [5] 122/3 129/23 130/18 131/5 133/7 spoken [3] 30/23 31/3 82/11 spot [1] 123/14 spotlight [1] 94/4 spouting [1] 40/5 spread [2] 33/10 133/9 Spring [1] 2/13 square [1] 82/21 squirrelled [1] 116/10 stability [1] 71/6 stable [1] 130/13 staff [3] 69/20 96/11 121/16 stage [11] 46/10 52/17 89/2 112/5 114/13 117/18 124/4 125/18 126/11 126/12 128/2 stamp [3] 13/24 16/11 73/21 stamps [5] 13/19 15/25 16/1 73/24 74/2 stand [5] 10/17 10/19 12/21 97/8 104/9 standards [1] 107/9 standing [1] 26/18 stands [1] 97/6 start [7] 38/7 38/13 39/1 44/8 63/14 115/15 123/16 started [2] 65/19 102/25 starting [5] 30/13 44/22 55/16 81/6 98/22 state [3] 61/8 61/11 91/5 stated [1] 101/9 statement [28] 1/17 2/5 2/8 7/4 9/12 11/4 11/14 16/17 16/18 19/23 19/24 23/24 48/18 48/20 48/20 61/3 62/9 84/3 87/15 88/23 90/6 91/3 91/5 105/5 116/7 133/3 133/4 133/12 statements [3] 45/13	132/19 137/6 states [1] 88/20 statistics [1] 79/9 Staunton [6] 17/23 17/25 18/9 19/1 29/1 64/5 stay [1] 15/11 Stein [14] 30/13 30/17 30/21 30/23 31/7 46/5 48/16 53/21 60/2 60/4 80/20 85/6 85/11 138/6 Stein's [2] 78/6 86/21 Stent [3] 5/12 5/13 14/10 step [2] 97/12 117/2 stepped [1] 132/18 steps [3] 20/6 105/16 135/10 Steve [2] 117/7 117/13 still [39] 22/2 28/2 30/7 35/13 37/19 38/12 40/12 40/19 41/23 45/1 45/21 45/21 48/9 48/12 51/2 51/11 52/3 52/21 53/2 53/3 61/7 68/18 69/11 70/7 83/21 97/8 98/12 98/16 99/23 103/7 118/8 126/8 126/13 126/14 126/15 131/4 131/16 131/21 134/12 stock [4] 37/22 51/20 51/21 51/24 stone [1] 126/8 stop [4] 70/14 86/16 115/1 131/2 stopped [2] 10/21 31/15 stories [1] 113/11 story [1] 90/10 straight [1] 33/5 strain [2] 4/9 16/24 strategic [24] 2/22 16/22 55/15 63/1 63/14 64/16 65/11 65/15 66/17 67/25 70/21 71/16 92/8 93/7 93/13 94/2 94/7 122/2 129/9 129/17 129/23 132/3 132/13 132/16 strategy [10] 20/23 21/3 21/3 64/19 64/20 69/17 92/19 92/21 92/24 96/12 stream [1] 55/9 streams [1] 125/25 strengthen [1] 108/17 stripped [3] 24/2 108/13 108/24 stripping [2] 107/14 109/6	struggled [1] 36/1 struggles [1] 70/3 struggling [1] 42/9 studies [1] 120/24 Styal [1] 115/15 subcommittee [2] 23/20 105/14 subject [5] 37/8 37/8 50/12 60/10 106/1 submission [1] 125/11 submit [1] 29/6 submitted [2] 6/22 125/7 subpostmaster [11] 31/24 36/10 36/22 37/7 41/3 51/8 57/17 75/11 76/10 76/14 96/19 subpostmasters [38] 4/8 22/13 31/9 40/8 40/11 42/2 45/1 49/14 50/12 51/2 51/16 51/18 54/2 54/24 57/14 63/25 67/6 67/12 68/16 68/19 68/24 69/7 69/9 70/13 70/16 70/18 71/21 95/8 95/14 95/23 96/2 96/21 97/1 97/22 103/1 106/19 131/17 131/22 subsequent [2] 2/5 49/2 subsequently [3] 18/15 26/2 111/10 subsidy [1] 61/2 substantive [2] 26/4 102/12 successful [2] 4/16 130/13 successfully [1] 104/13 such [19] 22/1 24/1 31/17 44/1 44/24 54/17 55/2 61/1 67/1 68/1 72/1 75/16 91/23 94/5 95/23 101/15 108/4 109/21 130/13 suffered [3] 114/9 114/14 122/11 suffering [1] 115/2 sufficiency [1] 22/3 suggest [5] 27/17 81/9 89/3 95/12 129/12 suggested [4] 2/18 13/8 19/11 134/8 suggesting [6] 20/11 36/6 56/25 57/11 108/7 131/7 suggestion [3] 11/20 12/16 47/18 suggests [1] 64/3	summarise [1] 17/22 summarising [1] 94/13 Summary [1] 13/4 summer [2] 22/19 57/4 supplementals [1] 133/18 supply [1] 110/18 support [38] 3/11 4/2 5/2 5/18 5/19 9/24 29/19 32/4 35/20 36/18 37/11 38/22 38/22 39/20 39/24 40/25 43/7 43/8 43/16 43/17 46/1 46/17 50/18 54/22 55/18 59/16 61/1 62/16 63/25 75/8 83/3 83/17 83/18 84/23 85/4 110/16 110/17 134/25 supported [2] 18/4 20/13 supporting [5] 32/1 56/16 68/1 75/3 118/14 supportive [1] 135/10 sure [36] 13/25 24/5 28/18 40/1 42/20 45/6 58/11 59/20 62/23 63/25 69/19 70/17 72/3 72/8 74/19 81/10 81/21 81/25 88/9 92/7 92/9 92/14 93/4 93/24 94/7 97/12 97/18 101/4 106/25 110/5 118/11 121/14 125/4 125/11 132/20 133/19 surely [3] 70/10 70/13 70/15 surprise [4] 24/9 107/17 107/18 107/22 surprising [1] 20/19 surprisingly [1] 71/8 survey [3] 19/25 20/6 36/3 Susan [2] 103/16 104/12 suspected [1] 109/20 suspended [3] 73/11 85/20 114/24 suspense [3] 33/2 49/5 52/12 Suspension [2] 73/6 85/12 suspensions [2] 73/13 85/18 sustainability [1] 93/19 sustainable [3] 62/3 64/19 64/19 sustained [1] 97/13 system [31] 21/25
----------	--	---	--	---

S	teams [2] 50/9 50/21 technical [1] 89/14 teenage [1] 115/12 tell [12] 6/3 7/3 9/12 19/23 23/8 46/9 49/9 59/13 63/23 73/20 86/9 105/16 telling [4] 18/5 49/7 77/12 79/8 ten [4] 30/15 103/15 103/19 103/22 tend [1] 82/10 tender [1] 30/8 Teneo [2] 65/14 65/18 tenor [2] 45/3 48/9 tenure [6] 14/8 14/11 18/10 27/19 28/13 34/18 term [17] 9/20 15/11 16/21 16/22 40/11 42/2 42/9 62/4 62/15 62/16 63/16 64/1 64/19 66/4 81/22 111/20 114/8 terminate [1] 47/7 terminated [1] 51/13 termination [6] 46/3 47/3 47/6 47/10 47/12 47/25 terms [26] 9/6 9/20 16/10 32/24 33/18 39/3 44/12 45/12 46/22 50/20 52/19 63/15 65/9 78/19 86/5 89/1 89/24 90/2 93/24 110/17 114/7 120/10 121/17 124/9 126/14 130/25 text [4] 29/25 134/14 135/17 136/10 than [21] 3/13 5/5 20/3 20/21 46/14 51/24 56/23 57/18 57/18 63/3 69/21 76/3 79/5 89/8 94/7 102/15 112/17 127/4 127/14 128/6 133/20 thank [33] 1/6 1/7 6/25 27/15 30/10 31/2 31/6 53/16 53/23 61/10 61/21 69/2 85/7 85/8 85/9 86/20 103/13 107/6 110/19 111/5 111/6 119/22 123/5 123/8 123/14 133/13 133/14 133/23 137/4 137/5 137/6 137/10 137/13 that [1017] that I [1] 31/8 that's [107] 1/23 2/1 2/4 5/10 7/8 10/9 10/23 10/24 11/2	11/11 11/12 13/2 13/6 13/22 14/10 21/12 21/15 25/25 26/14 29/11 31/11 34/21 38/6 40/2 40/4 42/3 46/10 46/25 48/12 48/12 49/16 50/7 50/15 51/15 51/20 54/5 54/18 55/14 57/5 58/8 60/23 62/18 67/23 68/6 69/16 69/18 71/17 71/23 72/21 73/2 73/5 73/8 73/9 73/14 73/19 73/23 74/7 75/9 77/3 77/4 77/5 77/23 77/25 79/7 79/8 79/15 79/23 81/14 85/17 86/17 86/19 87/20 88/6 89/21 90/23 94/20 95/4 95/22 98/22 100/1 100/3 107/11 107/22 109/12 112/6 113/1 113/15 114/6 119/12 122/1 122/12 122/15 123/5 123/14 123/14 126/24 129/2 129/7 131/7 131/10 131/19 132/4 132/12 133/13 134/17 136/6 136/19 theft [3] 115/14 115/25 116/5 their [54] 3/25 7/13 7/21 7/23 7/24 8/8 8/25 9/15 10/8 10/13 10/14 10/23 11/23 12/13 20/13 20/21 21/18 22/14 25/18 28/2 38/14 41/23 42/23 44/7 44/10 51/12 59/11 60/15 63/6 64/18 69/22 76/6 77/12 81/3 81/9 83/5 83/6 90/1 91/12 95/14 96/24 97/22 100/14 100/15 100/18 110/3 111/11 113/7 113/11 116/25 120/19 128/16 131/17 137/3 them [48] 8/3 8/12 10/11 10/21 12/18 12/19 12/20 14/3 17/21 17/22 23/6 30/1 30/11 36/7 39/1 39/10 40/1 45/14 45/19 49/3 51/10 52/6 54/8 59/6 66/15 69/15 69/22 72/8 77/13 90/1 91/7 95/9 97/6 97/12 99/1 102/21 104/25 111/21 112/25 113/14 114/23 117/6 121/8 121/9 133/11 134/25 135/13	137/3 theme [4] 15/5 15/10 38/24 39/2 themselves [8] 8/9 9/9 35/2 40/19 59/19 86/12 91/24 117/21 then [53] 13/13 13/21 19/4 20/17 25/4 25/9 26/1 27/2 30/14 30/14 30/15 36/8 36/15 37/3 37/11 37/24 38/9 39/20 47/9 47/9 50/25 51/25 52/11 52/14 52/16 61/7 61/12 61/20 64/7 67/8 72/6 73/20 94/14 96/7 97/10 98/4 101/16 102/23 103/20 104/4 104/5 104/7 115/16 115/18 119/1 119/16 123/1 124/1 124/3 124/11 130/10 133/17 135/16 there [116] 2/10 4/18 5/24 7/13 8/17 8/20 9/21 9/24 14/2 14/21 15/7 22/2 23/3 26/11 27/5 29/4 32/17 34/24 35/23 36/6 36/13 36/16 36/24 38/23 42/22 43/7 46/2 46/17 47/24 47/24 48/11 48/12 48/15 55/5 60/5 60/18 63/24 64/1 64/6 64/6 66/22 67/6 68/18 70/7 70/10 70/15 71/18 72/9 72/11 72/17 72/22 73/15 73/21 74/4 74/9 74/10 74/13 74/15 74/17 76/1 76/22 77/11 78/6 78/14 80/24 83/8 83/25 84/16 85/19 86/16 86/16 88/10 91/13 95/13 96/1 96/3 96/4 97/9 97/10 98/12 100/21 102/11 103/9 103/18 103/25 104/21 109/7 112/4 113/7 118/4 118/14 118/22 119/7 119/7 119/15 119/16 123/7 123/9 124/21 126/8 126/13 127/1 127/20 128/5 128/5 128/10 129/19 129/24 130/12 130/24 131/5 131/6 131/8 132/12 132/14 135/16 there'd [1] 67/10 there's [24] 7/4 28/21 36/12 42/1 42/16 43/15 45/17 48/8 51/6 52/9 57/11 69/6 70/18 73/20 78/9 78/10	80/23 96/8 99/8 107/1 109/5 109/10 109/17 131/4 thereabouts [1] 127/1 thereafter [1] 31/15 therefore [12] 4/20 6/2 7/13 12/20 17/12 21/13 49/7 59/12 91/15 105/16 109/17 112/16 these [36] 5/16 15/18 21/23 28/22 28/25 34/11 43/10 43/19 45/13 46/2 46/5 46/6 46/11 47/10 48/9 67/3 67/5 67/11 68/14 68/22 70/1 70/9 70/14 70/14 76/7 78/12 80/7 85/1 92/13 100/16 105/1 112/23 118/12 129/3 130/21 130/24 they [114] 7/5 7/20 8/1 8/6 8/6 8/7 8/7 8/10 8/11 9/4 9/5 9/6 10/15 10/16 10/18 10/18 11/12 11/14 11/20 11/25 12/19 13/17 13/25 15/3 15/10 15/11 15/13 15/14 15/17 16/13 20/11 20/15 20/16 27/22 28/19 28/25 34/14 36/7 37/20 37/23 37/24 38/2 38/4 38/10 39/7 39/10 39/11 39/12 39/14 40/15 40/24 40/25 41/2 41/11 42/21 43/11 43/11 43/15 46/6 46/8 46/11 46/17 51/20 51/21 51/21 51/22 51/22 51/23 52/6 52/18 53/2 59/4 59/11 64/1 64/17 65/15 66/7 67/6 68/12 68/15 70/3 71/15 72/7 74/5 74/8 74/18 76/18 79/12 79/17 79/21 81/3 86/4 97/4 97/5 97/17 99/2 99/15 106/21 108/8 110/13 116/24 117/1 119/16 124/8 125/11 125/14 126/4 127/11 127/13 127/24 127/25 128/14 128/14 134/25 they're [10] 38/3 39/9 43/11 53/3 59/20 69/9 79/12 81/6 84/17 86/18 they've [6] 9/9 10/14 37/22 77/13 79/6 79/11
----------	--	--	--	--

T	54/13 54/14 55/4 58/15 64/7 68/7 68/7 75/25 75/25 76/7 76/25 77/8 82/20 83/3 83/5 83/7 84/11 103/10 120/11 120/19 127/3 throughout [1] 131/1 thus [1] 108/20 Tidswell [1] 56/4 tight [1] 64/25 tightening [1] 44/20 Tim [3] 87/5 90/24 111/7 time [45] 3/25 4/15 9/11 12/3 13/15 17/4 17/21 17/25 19/7 19/10 20/25 30/7 31/5 31/25 32/1 33/22 33/22 34/9 34/17 42/18 46/25 49/17 53/9 53/10 53/11 56/5 56/8 66/10 68/21 75/25 78/19 78/20 88/14 89/11 89/23 91/9 91/20 92/17 101/2 101/24 115/9 116/24 117/4 133/10 136/8 times [4] 7/2 11/14 12/4 28/17 timing [5] 11/6 64/25 65/17 65/20 65/20 title [1] 43/23 today [14] 4/6 31/19 32/12 47/20 51/1 87/5 89/13 95/22 96/5 99/23 100/17 103/4 105/11 126/24 today's [1] 92/16 together [2] 50/11 88/9 told [10] 34/4 35/7 56/15 90/13 113/22 114/1 114/1 117/6 117/15 119/14 tone [1] 45/24 too [14] 11/7 11/8 11/8 11/21 11/21 11/21 27/19 28/12 71/19 90/24 99/24 109/3 132/24 133/9 took [9] 58/7 59/12 75/6 93/17 105/17 111/17 114/25 117/11 129/10 top [4] 13/8 61/21 62/2 74/11 topic [11] 1/10 3/8 21/7 24/17 32/20 33/21 84/22 91/4 94/13 102/6 122/4 topics [2] 16/15 90/25	total [3] 46/17 88/8 126/12 touch [1] 40/24 touched [2] 52/7 121/2 tough [1] 16/7 towards [8] 19/8 42/1 68/20 69/7 70/13 95/9 101/8 112/7 town [1] 82/8 trace [1] 78/1 tracing [3] 77/24 78/21 80/18 track [2] 34/11 78/12 Tracy [1] 96/13 trade [12] 52/8 52/13 52/13 52/13 72/11 72/12 73/4 78/10 78/15 78/16 90/15 134/20 trading [7] 12/9 37/21 39/13 45/10 49/1 49/2 52/8 trails [1] 59/10 train [2] 71/4 109/2 training [5] 9/23 44/16 58/10 82/18 126/3 trajectory [1] 92/3 transaction [1] 49/3 transcriber [1] 30/21 transcript [2] 2/2 117/23 transfer [1] 2/18 transferring [1] 93/14 transform [1] 113/23 transformation [4] 88/7 93/10 126/22 127/6 transformative [2] 127/14 128/7 transformed [1] 67/17 transparent [6] 21/5 74/17 89/19 90/1 90/21 90/22 trauma [2] 43/3 82/9 traumatic [1] 116/8 travel [6] 7/16 8/1 32/11 63/11 64/9 107/20 Treasury [2] 3/6 123/19 treatment [1] 17/18 tree [1] 116/10 trend [2] 42/1 68/18 tried [3] 71/11 80/18 93/21 troubled [1] 52/22 trust [10] 4/1 4/3 4/19 4/21 5/21 12/11 14/2 67/3 121/22 121/23	trusted [3] 14/3 24/1 110/10 truth [1] 100/12 try [15] 22/22 32/4 35/8 36/8 36/18 52/4 52/14 74/13 77/20 80/16 80/20 80/21 82/9 84/25 127/11 trying [26] 12/11 32/18 33/8 34/16 36/24 40/6 40/6 40/7 40/10 41/19 41/20 42/5 56/22 68/6 76/4 76/6 78/12 85/20 90/2 92/4 102/8 102/9 102/10 109/2 126/5 136/18 Tuesday [5] 64/21 70/21 128/21 137/12 137/16 turn [8] 1/10 7/4 19/23 24/17 41/5 43/6 72/6 91/4 turnaround [1] 57/12 turns [1] 58/9 Tutin [1] 18/16 two [37] 13/23 15/6 16/4 19/4 19/22 28/21 29/2 48/1 56/9 58/4 64/22 67/7 73/3 73/4 78/24 83/25 84/9 88/9 88/11 91/13 92/24 93/20 94/20 95/12 95/13 95/16 102/25 103/14 103/18 105/7 122/23 125/24 126/4 128/16 130/4 136/12 137/6 two-year [1] 94/20 twofold [1] 124/22 tying [1] 110/16 type [2] 37/3 45/20 Typically [1] 21/23	12/3 uncommon [1] 117/1 under [12] 2/7 13/13 16/23 16/24 22/2 28/23 34/18 67/10 73/15 96/3 117/2 129/18 underestimated [2] 89/16 123/25 underlying [4] 15/5 15/9 64/11 126/23 undermine [1] 66/25 underpaid [1] 28/7 understand [50] 24/24 25/13 28/24 32/7 32/8 33/18 35/8 35/14 35/16 35/21 36/7 36/8 36/8 39/17 39/19 39/22 39/25 41/22 42/4 42/21 49/6 54/6 54/16 57/19 62/8 64/1 67/22 69/4 70/18 71/24 74/12 74/13 76/9 76/12 76/23 82/2 82/16 82/19 89/12 96/9 98/1 111/12 112/13 115/11 118/19 118/20 121/4 121/21 122/5 124/8 understanding [12] 12/2 23/21 25/24 25/25 31/10 37/25 48/7 108/5 112/16 114/8 114/12 136/19 understatement [1] 52/24 understood [7] 77/9 87/17 114/21 122/6 125/10 125/12 125/14 undertake [2] 61/4 134/22 underway [2] 94/20 108/17 undue [1] 119/20 unfair [1] 118/20 unhappiness [1] 18/1 uninstructed [1] 25/22 uninvited [1] 25/18 unique [4] 12/13 14/21 65/17 116/25 unit [8] 3/1 3/15 3/16 3/17 106/11 117/25 118/9 121/5 unknown [1] 100/20 unless [10] 17/3 27/11 30/4 37/14 37/17 46/9 49/7 58/8 73/20 103/6 unlikely [1] 22/14 unobjective [1] 9/17 unpack [1] 88/23 unquestionably [1]
----------	--	--	---	--

U unquestionably... [1] 112/14 unreasonable [1] 7/22 unrelated [1] 21/25 unreliability [2] 100/25 122/14 unsafe [2] 105/1 134/24 unsolicited [4] 25/13 25/14 25/20 26/20 until [5] 1/24 57/6 102/20 123/24 137/15 unusual [1] 8/22 unusually [1] 133/15 unwanted [1] 20/21 up [35] 2/24 3/15 3/17 7/3 7/5 13/23 18/14 19/24 24/17 47/2 50/11 52/6 53/5 55/8 60/21 63/18 64/19 65/12 66/3 66/20 80/23 82/15 83/16 90/4 94/14 98/2 100/1 102/5 104/9 111/17 123/8 123/13 127/9 134/8 135/11 update [1] 90/9 upon [9] 35/6 38/3 46/20 48/1 52/5 63/12 77/20 82/10 104/22 Urban [1] 93/9 us [21] 1/5 7/3 9/12 19/23 21/22 23/8 25/13 35/7 37/19 49/7 63/23 74/12 83/23 84/1 89/24 94/6 102/14 111/3 118/14 128/1 128/14 use [9] 13/24 42/22 56/18 77/19 81/9 82/10 100/13 114/8 131/17 used [10] 40/7 50/20 57/15 60/25 61/2 70/23 80/19 80/19 81/22 130/25 using [6] 31/21 41/23 49/4 60/17 78/8 131/23 usual [2] 31/1 111/4 usual' [1] 2/17 V valid [1] 67/19 value [5] 22/15 47/13 66/25 135/2 136/25 valued [2] 14/1 16/2 Vamos [4] 24/19 25/11 26/4 136/6 Vamos' [6] 133/24 134/15 135/15 136/12	136/17 136/20 van [1] 96/22 various [3] 66/17 66/18 98/9 vast [4] 22/12 26/5 26/21 134/3 Vennells [1] 96/12 vented [1] 29/17 ventilated [1] 6/22 version [2] 43/14 43/15 very [101] 1/6 4/9 5/7 5/20 6/6 6/9 6/16 7/11 8/17 9/6 9/6 11/23 12/4 14/22 15/14 16/7 20/18 20/18 21/4 21/5 22/1 22/6 24/7 27/24 28/1 28/2 30/6 30/7 30/10 33/17 34/1 34/17 35/15 35/19 36/4 36/4 37/24 39/10 39/18 39/23 40/14 41/12 42/8 42/11 45/7 47/24 47/24 48/4 48/14 51/18 52/4 60/11 61/19 63/5 64/12 64/14 65/9 65/11 66/6 67/19 67/25 70/20 74/17 75/15 75/18 80/9 80/21 80/21 84/2 84/4 85/6 93/22 93/22 96/17 96/24 97/2 99/12 100/18 102/7 103/7 103/8 110/2 113/8 117/17 117/20 117/25 121/9 123/6 125/10 125/24 126/4 126/18 126/18 126/20 126/23 127/20 133/4 136/25 137/7 137/8 137/13 vested [1] 14/1 viability [2] 91/11 93/11 viable [1] 127/16 victimisation [1] 100/13 victims [9] 2/25 22/21 28/1 35/6 79/5 83/24 112/15 112/21 135/12 view [14] 3/25 5/23 6/4 10/8 17/6 17/9 26/9 26/16 41/3 51/8 76/10 76/11 130/8 130/15 views [4] 18/19 19/8 135/7 136/15 vindicated [2] 79/6 79/12 Visit [4] 49/20 49/21 50/4 50/5 visits [4] 41/6 41/8	49/15 50/13 vociferous [1] 5/14 Voice [1] 71/13 volume [1] 16/12 vs [1] 98/5 W wage [1] 117/3 wages [1] 69/22 waiting [1] 28/2 wake [1] 63/18 Wales [4] 104/25 105/8 106/15 106/20 walk [4] 97/3 99/21 99/21 117/18 want [18] 13/7 21/3 31/9 33/6 37/24 39/12 51/16 51/17 60/21 74/16 74/19 76/17 80/13 87/8 91/9 106/5 106/17 127/4 wanted [11] 9/25 17/9 20/16 89/12 94/6 124/8 125/4 125/10 128/1 128/14 128/14 wanting [1] 71/24 warranted [1] 20/17 was [286] wasn't [9] 6/7 6/17 6/21 10/20 24/10 29/19 102/22 112/9 113/12 watch [2] 67/10 86/21 watched [1] 83/5 watching [1] 100/8 water [1] 106/5 Watt [4] 86/23 86/25 117/16 138/8 way [37] 1/20 2/2 6/9 8/13 12/11 14/25 20/12 20/13 27/25 32/25 39/14 42/22 56/21 57/18 57/19 60/12 63/15 66/8 66/23 66/25 67/12 76/7 77/23 83/13 83/19 92/12 92/25 93/5 93/6 93/13 99/2 126/13 127/6 132/5 133/17 135/8 135/18 ways [4] 9/22 22/22 67/20 68/8 we [320] we'd [4] 23/1 23/7 59/19 91/1 we'll [4] 49/10 80/8 110/20 137/11 we're [22] 39/18 40/3 40/6 40/6 40/7 40/8 41/19 41/20 42/25 62/12 68/6 70/17 71/4 74/16 77/25 82/4 85/20 92/6 92/16	102/8 102/9 102/10 we've [51] 7/2 9/19 10/4 10/10 26/11 26/14 30/12 30/18 33/18 33/20 34/23 34/23 35/18 48/4 50/11 50/25 51/6 52/20 61/22 66/12 66/17 66/18 69/16 70/25 71/11 71/25 72/8 78/3 80/13 80/14 80/14 80/15 80/19 80/19 82/17 84/5 88/16 88/20 89/25 92/3 93/3 93/21 103/14 118/1 119/12 120/9 120/23 121/2 121/2 128/15 131/15 website [14] 24/23 25/1 25/9 26/1 26/8 26/11 26/13 26/14 27/3 134/9 134/11 134/13 134/13 136/10 Wednesday [7] 6/6 29/13 55/16 93/16 107/7 117/22 121/1 week [12] 37/21 52/13 52/14 64/15 74/24 83/23 94/10 101/25 103/11 106/3 109/23 129/4 Weekly [3] 88/20 88/24 90/10 weeks [2] 64/22 132/18 welcome [1] 42/6 well [52] 3/6 3/22 4/9 4/22 7/20 7/25 8/13 12/9 12/12 15/21 17/17 18/23 19/17 24/11 28/24 29/19 34/5 34/18 38/15 45/5 47/23 52/19 55/11 58/2 58/12 59/11 60/2 60/4 66/6 66/12 70/20 71/20 72/5 73/22 74/10 76/24 77/17 79/24 84/6 85/5 90/4 91/24 92/18 106/9 107/19 108/11 114/4 120/10 122/13 128/3 128/12 132/10 went [13] 7/6 12/19 23/17 27/7 55/4 59/2 87/25 108/21 112/10 113/10 115/13 115/15 115/18 were [101] 2/10 3/24 5/14 5/20 7/5 7/11 7/12 7/14 7/15 9/5 9/6 9/13 9/24 10/18 11/6 11/21 12/25 13/8 15/7 16/15 18/3 18/7 19/13 19/16 19/19 20/7	20/11 20/14 20/15 20/25 22/13 23/3 26/6 26/7 26/22 28/7 28/9 30/4 31/16 31/20 33/13 34/16 40/14 40/15 54/3 54/4 56/25 67/7 67/15 72/25 73/12 73/18 76/25 85/12 85/19 85/19 87/24 90/8 90/9 90/13 90/17 91/2 92/5 94/21 96/4 97/21 98/13 98/14 98/15 99/2 100/11 101/25 104/21 105/1 106/20 107/21 111/24 111/25 112/23 113/4 113/7 116/12 116/23 118/20 120/2 120/16 124/6 126/4 126/8 126/15 127/24 128/6 128/12 128/13 128/24 129/24 130/24 130/25 134/4 134/5 136/23 weren't [3] 20/12 112/7 136/25 West [1] 70/8 what [130] 6/8 6/11 6/14 7/9 7/25 9/4 9/6 9/16 9/19 10/2 10/10 11/1 11/14 11/17 11/20 12/2 12/5 12/8 12/16 13/14 15/18 18/23 20/6 22/16 22/16 23/6 24/3 26/12 32/19 32/25 33/8 35/6 36/19 37/12 38/9 38/25 40/7 40/17 40/18 41/5 42/8 42/25 44/12 44/13 45/2 45/4 45/8 45/13 45/14 45/19 47/23 49/6 52/15 52/22 58/23 59/1 59/8 59/12 62/8 63/23 65/18 66/2 68/6 68/6 69/17 70/19 71/9 71/17 71/18 71/23 72/7 74/8 74/12 74/25 75/20 77/25 78/11 78/18 79/2 79/7 79/8 81/23 81/25 82/19 83/4 83/11 84/7 84/8 84/12 85/22 86/6 86/17 87/23 91/9 94/1 94/8 99/15 101/10 101/20 104/1 106/20 107/12 108/11 109/2 110/3 111/17 112/5 112/24 114/1 114/3 115/7 116/9 116/10 118/16 120/11 124/4 124/8 124/18 125/13 125/18 127/3 127/17 127/21 128/3 128/10
--	--	---	--	---

<p>W</p> <p>what... [5] 128/17 132/14 135/2 136/1 137/2</p> <p>what's [6] 37/23 58/14 65/24 76/16 78/5 90/1</p> <p>whatever [2] 37/6 51/9</p> <p>whatsoever [1] 83/9</p> <p>when [41] 1/24 6/3 6/10 10/16 10/18 15/8 18/23 19/13 23/17 30/18 30/25 34/14 36/10 36/17 36/22 38/2 44/1 44/24 59/14 59/17 64/5 82/24 88/1 93/17 97/4 97/5 97/17 107/7 108/13 109/14 109/23 110/21 115/7 115/13 117/1 125/11 126/25 128/24 131/12 132/8 136/10</p> <p>whenever [1] 32/5</p> <p>where [36] 5/9 5/11 8/22 9/8 9/8 13/10 17/5 17/9 18/3 20/24 32/14 32/19 33/18 34/1 34/16 35/21 36/6 37/4 37/5 47/8 47/13 56/16 65/7 68/24 74/15 91/1 97/9 97/10 97/16 98/14 100/18 103/9 105/2 118/6 119/12 129/11</p> <p>whereby [3] 60/20 69/5 75/6</p> <p>wherever [1] 110/16</p> <p>whether [22] 1/10 6/18 15/3 28/6 44/5 48/2 50/20 57/20 57/21 59/2 59/10 61/7 76/18 78/6 79/21 79/23 80/7 83/1 88/17 107/8 130/11 132/7</p> <p>which [88] 2/8 3/8 4/4 4/11 5/15 15/20 16/1 16/22 18/10 18/14 18/15 20/17 20/18 21/16 21/21 22/3 23/19 26/21 37/7 38/4 38/19 43/3 43/7 43/23 45/20 46/1 46/19 46/20 46/20 47/4 47/16 48/1 48/1 48/1 51/1 51/15 55/18 58/15 59/14 59/15 60/25 62/9 66/9 72/12 72/13 76/13 76/21 77/21 79/4 86/17 88/2 88/20 89/11 90/20 92/20 94/6 95/22 96/8 98/2 102/24 104/14</p>	<p>105/2 105/6 105/19 109/25 113/3 113/8 114/13 115/15 118/1 118/2 119/20 120/8 120/25 124/12 124/15 126/1 126/23 128/15 128/19 132/8 133/12 133/16 134/2 134/13 135/17 136/4 137/7</p> <p>while [2] 13/11 69/22</p> <p>whilst [3] 2/23 73/11 90/11</p> <p>who [76] 1/18 10/22 25/11 26/6 26/21 27/2 27/4 27/6 27/13 28/1 28/22 31/4 31/10 31/18 31/20 31/20 31/21 31/23 32/3 32/25 38/9 43/1 45/14 48/6 48/23 50/12 52/22 54/20 56/4 56/6 58/21 61/7 61/11 65/4 69/8 69/11 69/21 72/25 73/9 74/18 83/5 83/19 85/19 85/25 87/5 89/4 89/24 91/7 94/21 96/4 96/5 96/9 96/12 96/14 96/22 97/19 98/12 98/16 99/7 99/14 99/22 100/11 101/7 104/12 106/1 107/24 110/2 113/7 114/14 115/5 116/17 120/16 121/4 121/7 124/7 134/4</p> <p>who'd [1] 113/16</p> <p>who's [1] 34/7</p> <p>whoever [2] 84/21 136/6</p> <p>whole [4] 13/18 15/25 28/11 45/18</p> <p>wholesale [1] 127/5</p> <p>wholly [2] 2/21 8/21</p> <p>whom [6] 21/16 27/12 96/24 111/9 120/1 121/17</p> <p>whose [3] 72/14 72/19 116/14</p> <p>why [30] 6/21 15/10 23/16 25/8 26/10 28/16 28/24 34/21 38/12 39/22 62/20 62/22 63/13 63/17 63/24 64/2 66/2 66/7 68/23 71/24 76/13 89/16 106/21 107/18 119/16 125/14 125/15 126/25 127/2 128/15</p> <p>widely [1] 16/10</p> <p>wider [3] 39/8 60/9 62/1</p> <p>will [65] 10/25 13/15 13/17 21/6 24/7 30/21 31/4 35/20 39/22</p>	<p>39/24 42/18 42/23 42/24 46/8 48/23 49/20 50/4 51/20 51/21 52/14 53/21 54/10 54/22 64/15 64/17 68/6 68/20 68/25 69/1 69/15 78/2 79/18 86/4 86/4 88/21 91/23 91/24 91/25 92/2 92/8 96/21 97/3 97/5 97/17 98/18 99/20 99/21 100/23 101/4 101/16 101/24 103/8 106/2 107/6 109/18 109/25 110/1 110/5 117/18 121/11 121/14 127/17 127/18 132/21 133/20</p> <p>wish [4] 1/11 48/19 119/3 133/10</p> <p>witch [1] 103/6</p> <p>withhold [2] 123/17 127/24</p> <p>withholding [2] 54/1 59/24</p> <p>within [35] 3/1 3/15 17/15 18/13 20/10 20/20 26/16 27/17 29/21 31/11 31/22 33/6 33/12 34/15 34/19 37/15 38/2 38/8 39/6 43/1 45/22 50/17 50/20 60/14 60/15 63/4 66/23 67/2 68/18 68/23 75/3 81/12 84/12 92/4 94/19</p> <p>without [13] 22/4 39/13 42/22 47/8 47/22 47/25 100/25 110/4 112/4 119/19 119/23 130/7 135/2</p> <p>WITN00200300 [1] 1/16</p> <p>WITN00370100 [1] 90/6</p> <p>WITN00370106 [1] 98/2</p> <p>WITN11170100 [1] 10/13</p> <p>WITN11180100 [1] 10/12</p> <p>WITN11600100 [1] 48/21</p> <p>witness [22] 1/17 2/5 7/4 11/14 16/17 16/18 19/23 19/24 33/25 33/25 51/4 53/4 55/12 68/13 68/17 84/3 87/15 90/6 91/5 105/5 119/14 137/6</p> <p>witnesses [2] 27/17 66/12</p> <p>woman [5] 17/6 17/13 113/16 114/15</p>	<p>116/15</p> <p>women [8] 17/19 18/2 18/3 18/6 18/19 19/8 20/2 20/20</p> <p>won't [5] 37/11 51/21 51/22 55/5 123/23</p> <p>Woodley [1] 57/3</p> <p>word [7] 33/6 58/3 59/19 60/25 67/23 77/19 82/9</p> <p>words [2] 71/10 78/8</p> <p>work [46] 5/20 10/2 16/12 21/15 23/1 23/6 32/20 32/23 34/7 36/18 39/25 42/16 44/21 45/17 48/8 50/22 51/1 51/5 51/6 62/2 65/19 66/4 67/19 69/20 77/13 80/2 80/23 99/9 99/16 102/11 102/13 108/17 109/10 115/2 118/1 118/3 120/8 120/14 124/17 125/9 125/25 126/1 126/4 127/18 134/21 137/2</p> <p>worked [1] 113/1</p> <p>working [17] 31/20 31/24 41/17 42/19 62/5 65/6 66/23 67/1 67/20 68/8 69/11 71/21 79/20 82/1 84/1 85/2 103/10</p> <p>workings [1] 8/23</p> <p>works [1] 78/18</p> <p>workstreams [6] 2/12 3/10 3/13 3/19 5/1 5/4</p> <p>world [1] 111/21</p> <p>worldwide [1] 54/21</p> <p>Worsfold [1] 74/22</p> <p>would [101] 2/11 2/21 3/12 3/15 5/3 5/21 6/12 6/18 7/10 7/18 8/2 8/20 9/4 11/20 11/23 12/1 12/3 12/4 12/7 12/8 12/16 14/24 15/11 16/3 16/23 17/6 17/16 21/17 21/17 21/18 21/23 27/3 27/14 28/19 30/20 33/11 37/12 37/17 40/14 42/17 49/18 50/2 51/10 52/21 54/1 54/2 54/3 54/12 58/20 63/5 64/21 64/22 65/10 65/15 66/10 67/13 80/4 80/5 80/5 80/8 87/9 87/13 87/14 88/1 88/4 89/8 89/8 89/9 89/18 90/20 92/6 92/10 92/24 94/5 95/11 96/21 98/20</p>	<p>99/1 99/17 99/19 99/24 102/4 102/6 102/23 107/21 108/11 110/8 113/22 118/15 118/19 119/25 121/2 121/7 121/8 121/9 121/19 121/22 121/25 124/21 125/15 133/8</p> <p>wouldn't [5] 6/2 7/22 9/10 121/20 121/24</p> <p>wrestled [1] 89/22</p> <p>write [3] 21/17 25/11 100/8</p> <p>writer [2] 31/1 104/2</p> <p>writing [1] 25/18</p> <p>written [2] 10/14 13/4</p> <p>wrong [4] 27/11 63/11 102/12 130/9</p> <p>wrongdoing [6] 97/3 97/24 99/22 103/2 117/19 118/6</p> <p>wrongful [1] 135/11</p> <p>wrongfully [1] 104/12</p> <p>wrongs [1] 114/4</p> <p>wrote [4] 26/20 54/19 54/21 136/2</p> <p>Wyn [3] 6/22 56/22 118/11</p> <hr/> <p>Y</p> <p>yeah [6] 5/11 111/20 113/19 123/11 129/19 131/12</p> <p>year [17] 43/20 45/22 54/22 90/7 92/1 94/20 96/3 98/22 100/3 101/19 104/15 107/16 108/13 116/1 123/2 126/2 132/10</p> <p>yearly [1] 46/18</p> <p>years [26] 1/22 9/8 14/11 14/20 16/18 16/25 29/16 33/10 33/16 34/14 53/6 63/21 76/25 79/19 82/6 88/8 96/18 99/8 102/25 105/7 107/20 114/15 115/10 115/12 124/23 133/5</p> <p>yes [140]</p> <p>yesterday [26] 6/5 8/14 11/24 35/22 36/3 39/3 42/8 45/3 45/5 50/19 50/22 55/13 56/4 63/4 64/18 66/9 70/20 75/5 86/3 96/14 97/2 97/8 99/4 99/18 104/17 110/13</p> <p>yet [7] 26/6 54/19 86/17 87/16 100/20 132/17 134/4</p> <p>York [1] 115/18</p> <p>you [372]</p>
--	---	--	---	---

<p>Y</p> <p>you'd [2] 56/13 122/16</p> <p>you'll [9] 1/17 1/18 21/9 33/15 42/15 55/13 63/19 83/22 102/5</p> <p>you're [26] 21/10 29/2 31/8 33/22 40/5 40/9 41/19 51/15 56/24 58/15 62/9 71/9 73/20 75/23 78/13 79/8 81/19 105/2 105/11 105/23 108/6 109/2 119/12 123/9 129/4 132/17</p> <p>you've [21] 17/1 31/10 32/16 42/4 43/2 53/1 58/1 79/13 82/21 94/1 94/16 94/18 95/18 104/17 106/12 117/16 117/17 117/19 117/23 118/1 128/21</p> <p>YouGov [9] 35/11 36/3 38/11 40/11 40/18 41/22 52/25 72/1 131/15</p> <p>Young [1] 13/5</p> <p>your [82] 1/14 6/20 7/4 11/14 14/8 15/18 17/1 17/18 18/10 18/11 18/13 18/19 19/23 21/9 25/24 27/18 27/18 27/19 27/20 27/20 28/8 28/9 28/10 28/10 28/11 28/13 28/13 28/14 29/18 35/16 39/5 39/17 41/6 42/18 43/19 45/3 48/7 53/8 58/1 58/3 61/3 62/9 62/15 62/23 63/3 67/10 67/23 68/21 71/2 75/22 82/20 87/15 88/5 91/5 91/9 94/25 95/1 95/5 96/3 98/22 105/4 105/10 106/13 106/18 107/7 107/12 108/4 109/2 111/13 111/18 112/20 114/8 119/10 119/24 122/6 134/1 134/17 135/15 135/20 135/21 136/5 136/20</p> <p>yours [1] 64/25</p> <p>yourself [3] 35/17 55/6 136/23</p>				
<p>Z</p> <p>Zarin [1] 17/14</p>				