To:
 Jenkins Gareth GI
 GRO
 ]

 From:
 Chambers Anne O[/O=EXCHANGE/OU=ADMINGROUP1/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=ANNE.CHAMBERS]

 Sent:
 Mon 2/8/2010 2:16:45 PM (UTC)

 Subject:
 FW: Callendar Square - FAD 160868

Gareth,

KEL CObeng2025L (amongst others) - can you see KELs? I'd forgotten - this did give a discrepancy, but also a receipts and payments mismatch, if they persisted and rolled over (though it was usually obvious that something was wrong).

And a flood of NT events (not 'riposte events'!) which SMC should have noticed at the time. Since we are now checking for these particular events, and did a catch up for old retrievals, can you say that the current branch did not have this problem??

Anyway it stopped happening once S90 was installed (around 4th March 2006, according to info below)

This particular problem would only affect branches with more than one stock unit. It happened several times at Callander Square, though we never found why they were so badly affected.

Is this sufficient?

Anne GRO external GRO

-----Original Message-----From: Chambers Anne O Sent: 23 February 2006 11:29 To: Stewart Mike Subject: RE: Callendar Square - FAD 160868

Mike,

I believe John has already responded to this, so don't know if you need any more from me...

Haven't looked at the recent evidence, but I know in the past this site had hit this Riposte lock problem 2 or 3 times within a few weeks. This problem has been around for years and affects a number of sites most weeks, and finally Escher say they have done something about it. I am interested in whether they really have fixed it which it why I left the call open - to remind me to check over the whole estate once S90 is live - call me cynical but I do not just accept a 3rd party's word that they have fixed something!

What I never got to the bottom of, having usually had more pressing things to do, was why this outlet was particularly prone to the problem. Possibly because they follow some particular procedure/sequence which makes it more likely to happen? This could still be worth investigating, especially if they have continuing problems, but I don't think it is worthwhile until we know the S90 position.

Please note that KELs tell SMC that they must contact sites and warn them of balancing problems if they notice the event storms caused by the held lock, and advise them to reboot the affected counter before continuing with the balance. Unfortunately in practice it seems to take SMC several hours to notice these storms by which time the damage may have been done.

Anne

GRO

-----Original Message-----From: Stewart Mike Sent: 22 February 2006 14:31 To: Simpkins John Cc: Chambers Anne O Subject: FW: Callendar Square - FAD 160868 Importance: High

John, Did you get time to look at this ? do we think all will be well after S90 counter rollout ?.

Rgs Mike

John, As Anne is away could I have your comments as you were involved as well.

Rgs Mike

Anne, You are always a good place to start, having read the call I see you have left the following note: Call E-0510120769

Wed 01 February 2006 15:55 by PINICL / Saved: Wed 01 February 2006 15:55 Update by Anne Chambers:Category 40 -- Pending --Incident Under Investigation:The timeout events are apparently fixed in a new Riposte version released at S90 - see PC0126376. I've looked at problems reported on 31st Jan - can't see why the system reported disconnected nodes, nor why the same user could log on twice (except that this followed a session transfer which silently failed, for no obvious reason). I don't think there were any ill-effects. I think the best thing now is to see what happens after S90. I'll continue to keep this call open to remind me that this site should be checked then.

I notice that in the early guise of this problem in the call it states the PM as Female:

Wed 12 October 2005 17:39 by UK956078 / HSH1 Saved: Wed 12 October 2005 17:39 Pm was trying to transfer £2490 from node 7 onto node 2. She states that she has accepted the transfer on node 2 but the system is not showing this. On node 7 it is showing pending transfer but it is not showing on node 2. It appears on her transfer sheet as cmpleated.

At the bottom of this email re a magical £43k appearing and disapearing the PM is Male He reports:

You may recall that in September the above office had major problems with their Horizon system relating to transfers between stock units.

The Spmr has reported that he is again experiencing problems with transfers, (05.01.06) which resulted in a loss of around £43k which has subsequently rectified itself. I know that the Spmr has reported this to Horizon Support, who have come back to him stating that they cannot find any problem.

Clearly the Spmr is concerned as we have just spent a number of months trying to sort out the first instance and he doesn't want a repeat performance. He is convinced that there is something wrong with his Horizon kit. I would be grateful if you could investigate this and give him any support that you can. I'm due to visit the office tomorrow to have a look at his paperwork and discuss the situation with him.

So apologies for the long windedness but I have been given this by Liz as a problem so:

1. Is there a problem at this branch ? is it Horizon kit or is there an issue with staff there ?

2. If there is an issue is this S90 release the cure ? how confident are you/we it will fix the problem ?

3. S90 counter release due week 4th March. Getting Sarah to check if this site is in the pilot 24th or just part of the general release 4th March.

Appreciate your comments please

Regards Mike

-----Original Message-----From: Evans-Jones Liz Sent: 20 February 2006 10:57 To: Stewart Mike Subject: RE: Callendar Square - FAD 160868

Mike

As per previous mail

Liz

Post Office Account: Service Delivery Team Manager FUJITSU SERVICES

Mobile Number Office Number:	

Original Message	-		
From: gary.blackburn	GRO	mailto	GRO
Sent: 17 February 2006	11:32	i	
To: Liz.Evans-Jones	GRO		

Subject: RE: Callendar Square - FAD 160868

Liz

Please see Shaun's questions below. I'm not aware of other branches having this problem?

If this is an isolated incident how confident are we that the fix will work and can they be moved up the pecking order for release? regards

Gary

Resolution Manager Post Office Ltd Operations

1st Floor, Post Office Ltd, Cortonwood Business Park, Cortonwood Drive, BARNSLEY, S73 0TB

Postline: GRO, STD	Phone:	GRO , Fax: N/A	, VoiceMail: N/A, M	Nobex: GRO	Mobile:	GRO	Mobex -
GRO							
External Email:	GRO						
Forwarded by Gary E	lackburn/e	POSTOFFICE on 17/0	2/2006 11:29				
Shaun Turr	ner						
	To:	Gary Blackburn/e/I	Redacted				
17/02/2006	10:53	cc: Sandra MacKa	y/e Red	acted	Brian		
Trotter/e/ Reda	cted		-				
	Subje	ect: RE: Callendar Squa	re - FAD 160868(D	Ocument link: G	ary Blackburn	1)	
	-	-			-	-	

Gary,

Thanks for looking into this for us. Couple of questions occur: -

Do we understand why this particular branch has been having problems? Or are there other branches in the network that have been having this problem?

Can this branch be front ended on the counter release of S90 such that

it gets the fix as soon as possible?

The e-mail from Liz suggests that there may be a reoccurence following

S90. What degree of certainty do we have that it will definitely be

fixed?

Sandra/Brian - Appreciate this is frustrating for the branch but from the e-mail below you can see that the branches issue should be fixed with the release of the S90 software. I have asked Gary above to see if we can put this branch to the front of the queue for the S90 release. In the meantime it is important that the branch continues to report any issues into HSD.

Regards

Shaun Service Support Manager Service Team Post Office Ltd Operations

2nd Floor, The Markets DMB, 6/16 New York Street, LEEDS. LS2 7DZ

Postline: G	NO STI	D Phone:	GRO	], Fax: [	GRO	
GRO	(Mobex	GRO		j' i		i′
External Emai	1:	GRO				

Gary Blackburn		
To:	Shaun Turner/e/	GRO
17/02/2006 10:17	CC:	
Subje	ct: RE: Callendar S	Square - FAD 160868

Shaun

S90 fix for this problem, in the interim TC correction will have to continue. Let me know if you need any further assistance. regards Gary

Resolution Manager Post Office Ltd Operations

1st Floor, Post Office Ltd, Cortonwood Business Park, Cortonwood Drive, BARNSLEY, S73 0TB

Postline: GRO GRO	, STD Phone: GRC	, Fax: N/A	, VoiceMail: N/A,	Mobex: GRC	) , Mobile:	GRO	Mobex -
External Email:	GRO						
Forwarded by	Gary Blackburn/e/POST	OFFICE on 17/0	2/2006 10:16				
Eva	ns-Jones Liz						

GRO	Т [	o: gary.black	burn <b>GRO</b>
GRO	cc:		
	Subject: RE	: Callendar Squ	are - FAD 160868
16/02/2006 08:54	-		

Hi Gary,

I have checked the call and this issue is scheduled to be resolved in S90.

S90 has already been deployed to the Datacentre and counter release is scheduled to start 04/03/06, due for completion 14/04/06.

3rd line support has been discussing with the PM, and the last contact with the branch (according to Powerhelp) was on 1st Feb. The call has been left open for 3rd line to check to see if the issue reoccurs following s90.

Please let me know if I can provide any other assistance with this matter.

Thanks

Liz

Post Office Account: Service Delivery Team Manager FUJITSU SERVICES

Mobile Number: Office Number:	G	RO	
Original Mes From: gary.blac [mailtoGR	kburn(	GR	0
Sent: 15 Februa	ry 2006 1	4:09	,
To: Liz.Evans-Jo Subject: Callence		GRO e - FAD	160868

Liz

I have had the incident detailed below forwarded to myself by our Service Line. The incident ref no is E- 0510120769, could you please update me on the corrective action plan as this still appears to be occurring within the branch. regards Gary

Resolution Manager Post Office Ltd Operations

1st Floor, Post Office Ltd, Cortonwood Business Park, Cortonwood Drive, BARNSLEY, S73 0TB

·						
Postline GRO	STD Phone:	GRO L Fax: N/A.	VoiceMail: N/A.	Moher: CDO	Mobile:	GRO Mobex -
			volooman. run i,	GRU	, mooner	ono jinobox

GRO External Email: ----- Forwarded by Gary Blackburn/e/POSTOFFICE on 15/02/2006 10:45 -----

Shaun Turner

Subject: Callendar Square - FAD 160868

Gary,

Need your advise on this branch. There appears to be an ongoing problem at this branch with transfers between SU's causing a receipts and payments mismatch. This first came to my attention some 3 or 4 months ago, when the branch was chasing up an error notice to account for a loss that they had that was related to the R & P mismatch. I believe in that case that FS had taken it on board and were investigating it as a problem (I seem to recall it had a PinICL number). I had to do some chasing around with P & BA to ensure that the error notice got issued, as there was a breakdown in processes between them and FS relating to the BIM report.

Since then it appears to have happened again, although Fujitsu are saying no issue could be detected. I am concerned that there is a fundamental flaw with the branches configuration, and would be interested to know how FS put the first issue to bed.

Let me know your thoughts.

Shaun

Service Support Manager Service Team Post Office Ltd Operations

2nd Floor, The Markets DMB, 6/16 New York Street, LEEDS. LS2 7DZ

Postline: **GRO**, STD Phone: **GRO**, Fax: **GRO**, Mobile: **GRO** (Mobex **GRO**) External Email: **GRO** ----- Forwarded by Shaun Turner/e/POSTOFFICE on 16/01/2006 14:07 -----

**Brian Trotter** 

To: Shaun Turner/e/[\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ 16/01/2006 08:19 cc:

Subject: Callendar Square - FAD 160868

## Shaun

Further to Sandra's email, I visited the branch with Sandra last week and the Spmr provided clear documented evidence that something very wrong is occurring with some of the processors when carrying out transfers between stock units. To be absolutely sure from our side can we either carry out a thorough check of the alleged faulty processors or swap them out.

Thanks

Brian Contract & Service Manager Area Intervention Office, 10 Brunswick Road Edinburgh EH7 5XX Telephone **GRO** Mobile **GRO** MOBEX **GRO** External E-Mail **GRO** ----- Forwarded by Brian Trotter/e/POSTOFFICE on 16/01/2006 08:15 -----

Sandra MacKay

 To:
 Shaun

 Turner/e/
 GRO

 11/01/2006 21:35
 cc:

 Brian
 GRO

 Trotter/e/
 GRO

 Subject: Callendar Square - FAD 160868

Shaun

You may recall that in September the above office had major problems with their Horizon system relating to transfers between stock units.

The Spmr has reported that he is again experiencing problems with transfers, (05.01.06) which resulted in a loss of around £43k which has subsequently rectified itself. I know that the Spmr has reported this to Horizon Support, who have come back to him stating that they cannot find any problem.

Clearly the Spmr is concerned as we have just spent a number of months trying to sort out the first instance and he doesn't want a repeat performance. He is convinced that there is something wrong with his Horizon kit. I would be grateful if you could investigate this and give him any support that you can. I'm due to visit the office tomorrow to have a look at his paperwork and discuss the situation with him.

Regards Sandra

Sandra MacKay Area Intervention Manager Sales & Service



This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication.

If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and then delete this email from your system.

## 

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication.

If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and then delete this email from your system.