To:	Andrew Parsons[andrew.p	parsor CDO	jı		<u></u>		
Cc:	Newsome Petelnete news	some GNO	i]; Jonathan	Gribben[jonathan.g	gribber <b>G</b>	RO	; Ibbett
DavolDav	o lbbott GRO	C	1 - '	,	´ L		

From: Lenton, Matthew[/o=Fujitsu Exchange Organization/ou=Exchange Administrative Group

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=87f95ed0a28548a8bd569f2cb6f]

Sent: Fri 3/8/2019 3:31:24 PM (UTC)
Subject: RE: URGENT = FW: APPSUP
RE: Action requests Remote Access

Andy,

I noticed that the emails that Dave Ibbett (email below) and I (attached) sent yesterday had some contradictory statements, which I will clarify here.

- 1. As a result of PC0208119, the script to create new SSC users was changed to no longer grant the DB\_MONITOR role, and to add the SSC role by default. This contradicts what was stated in the email below, and I have corrected it as highlighted. Dave Haywood has confirmed that his understanding of that was mistaken and we have had the script that made this change reviewed for confirmation.
- 2. The *process* was changed so that the APPSUP role was not invoked by the DBA team when creating **new** SSC users, but would only henceforth be granted on an as needed basis when authorised by Security Operations. At this point, the ability for **existing** SSC users to switch themselves into the APPSUP role without prior authorisation was not yet revoked.

The ability for SSC users to switch themselves into the APPSUP role without prior authorisation was removed from existing users in August 2016; as to the reasons why this took so long, we don't have an explanation for the delay between the recognition of the **preference** for removing the role from being a permanent role for SSC, and that change actually being executed. Two things to note about PC0208119 however are 1) that was not originally raised in order to cover off this particular issue, and that original purpose of the Peak was indeed closed off, and 2) that it appears that it was closed incorrectly, so that it instead of it being routed to Unix DBA as suggested by the penultimate entry, it was instead closed. Following an audit in August 2016 a new Peak was raised (PC0253156) in order to follow up the task to remove the APPSUP role.

As has been stated on previous occasions, even when SSC had the ability to switch into the role themselves, it was always a conscious decision to do so (so the role was never a permanently applied state) and the switch into it was always audited.

## Matthew Lenton Post Office Account Document Manager

Business & Application Services

Fujitsu
Lovelace Road, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG12 8SN
Phone: GRO
Email: matthew.lenton GRO
Web: https://www.fujitsu.com/global/

From: Ibbett, Dave <Dave.Ibbett GRO

Sent: Friday, March 8, 2019 7:13 AM

To: Andrew Parsons <andrew.parsons GRO >> Cc: Newsome, Pete <pete.newsome GRO >; Lenton, Matthew <Matthew.Lentor GRO >>; Jonathan Gribben <jonathan.gribben GRO >
Subject: FW: URGENT = FW: APPSUP

Hi Andy,

The Feedback below shows that we had a 2 stage fix rather than just the one extended one. Not sure if you have made these audits available.

According to Peak PC0208119, the initial change was produced as baseline UNIX\_SUPPORT\_UTILS\_0622\_D018-D017 and targeted at Release HNG-X 06.22. The change appears to have been delivered (after passing testing) into the production environment on 20 May 2012 under release Peak PC0216786. The change ensures that new users in the SSC are [Lenton, Matthew] NOT given the database DB\_MONITOR role.

Deloitte and PCI audits highlighted the APPSUP database role and Peak PC0253156 was raised in August 2016 to remove the APPSUP role from existing users. This was completed under MSC 043J0451867 by October 2016.

Regards,		
Dave.		
From: Andrew Parsons [mailto:andrew.parsons	GRO	
Sent: 07 March 2019 13:49		<del>·</del>
To: Lenton, Matthew < Matthew.Lenton GRO	>	
Cc: Jonathan Gribben < jonathan.gribbei GRO	>; New	some, Pete < pete.newsome@ <u>gro</u> ; Ibbett, Dave
<dave.lbbett gro<="" td=""><td></td><td></td></dave.lbbett>		
Subject: APPSUP		
N A - 44 L		

Matthew

One follow-up question on APPSUP, which I think maybe for Dave Haywood (??).

I've attached the relevant Peak. Could Dave (or someone at FJ) explain why it took 4 years for this issue to get resolved?

We suspect that Cs will attack FJ on this Peak, saying that FJ knew SSC had more access permissions than they should have had and that FJ were dilatory in fixing that issue. If there is an explanation for why it took so long that would be good? Or an explanation for why it doesn't matter that it took so long?

Thanks Andy

## **Andrew Parsons**

Partner

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP



Stay informed: sign up to our e-alerts



womblebonddickinson.com





Please consider the environment! Do you need to print this email?

The information in this e-mail and any attachments is confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. dave.ibbett GRO only is authorised to access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not dave.ibbett GRO h. please notify andrew.parsons as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this communication or attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful. Information about how we use personal data is in our Privacy Policy on our website.

Any files attached to this e-mail will have been checked by us with virus detection software before transmission. Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP accepts no liability for any loss or damage which may be caused by software viruses and you should carry out your own virus checks before opening any attachment.

Content of this email which does not relate to the official business of Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP, is neither given nor endorsed by it.

This email is sent by Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP which is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under number OC317661. Our registered office is 4 More London Riverside, London, SE1 2AU, where a list of members' names is open to inspection. We use the term partner to refer to a member of the LLP, or an employee or consultant who is of equivalent standing. Our VAT registration number is GB123393627.

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP is a member of Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited, which consists of independent and autonomous law firms providing services in the US, the UK, and elsewhere around the world. Each Womble Bond Dickinson entity is a separate legal entity and is not responsible for the acts or omissions of, nor can bind or obligate, another Womble Bond Dickinson (International) Limited does not practice law. Please see <a href="https://www.womblebonddickinson.com/legal">www.womblebonddickinson.com/legal</a> notices for further details.

Womble Bond Dickinson (UK) LLP is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority.