Message	
From:	phil.norton GRO GRO
Sent:	08/04/2010 14:25:20
To:	D'Alvarez Alan [/O=EXCHANGE/OU=ADMINGROUP1/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=DALVAREZA]
CC:	Dodington Chris [/O=EXCHANGE/OU=ADMINGROUP1/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=DODINGTONC]; Richardson Debbie DI
	[/O=EXCHANGE/OU=ADMINGROUP1/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=DEBBIE.RICHARDSON]; Jenkins Gareth GI
	[/O=EXCHANGE/OU=ADMINGROUP1/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=GARETH.JENKINS]; Butts Geoff
	[/O=EXCHANGE/OU=ADMINGROUP1/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BUTTSG]; Allen Graham (BRA01)
	[/O=EXCHANGE/OU=ADMINGROUP1/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=GRAHAM_ALLEN]; Welsh Graham
	[/O=EXCHANGE/OU=ADMINGROUP1/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=WELSHG]; Prenovost Jean-Philippe
	[/O=EXCHANGE/OU=ADMINGROUP1/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BRAJPREV]; john.wheeler
	kevin.tallboy GRO Andrews Mark
	[/O=EXCHANGE/OU=ADMINGROUP1/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=ANDREWSM2]; mark.burley GRO
	will.russell GRO

Subject: RE: Final Balance Report issue for Branch Trading Statement

Alan

As discussed at the interim JSB please be advised that POL do not accept the latest Fujitsu response.

The area that Fujitsu need to re-consider concerns the proposal from POL Legal "that Fujitsu will, at it's own expense, provide a commitment to POL to assist in trying to prove the integrity of the system in any subsequent legal action".

This was not evident in the proposed final version of the statement.

In the statement from Fujitsu they seek to limit the cost of their involvement in any subsequent legal proceeding to be "in accordance with the existing contractual arrangements"

As the error is entirely of Fujitsu's making they should not seek to limit their assistance as per the contract.

Would you please arrange for the statement to be revisited and a more agreeable form of words to be proposed.

Thanks and Regards

Phil Norton Project Principal IT - Post Office Ltd Future Walk, Chesterfield, S49 1PF Tel GRO or GRO Email GRO

1	_	_	_	_	_	_	 	·	 	 	_	 													~
ļ								Ì						P	١i	1	Ν	lo	rt	0	n				ĺ
ļ														08	3/	04	4/	2	01	0.	1	0:	30)	Ì
	-	>		_	_	_	 		 	 	_	 	_					_							>
		-			-	-	 		 	 	-	 		-											

	To: "Butts Geoff"	C	GRO]			
۱ ۲۰۰۰	<u> </u>	n" (GRO	· · ·	Dodington Chr	'is''	
	GRO , Richardson Debbie DB"		GRO		Jenkins Garet	h GI"	
L		'Allen					··-1
	Graham (BRA01) <	GRO		welsh Graham	" <{	GRO	,
''P	renovost Jean-Philippe"						
	GRO		≥, <john.< td=""><td>wheeler</td><td>GRO 🛉</td><td>3</td><td></td></john.<>	wheeler	GRO 🛉	3	
<k< td=""><td>evin.tallboy GRO</td><td><u> </u></td><td></td><td>L</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></k<>	evin.tallboy GRO	<u> </u>		L			
	<pre><will.russell grg<="" pre=""></will.russell></pre>) , <ma< td=""><td>ark.burley@</td><td>GRO</td><td>`}, "Andrews</td><td>Mark"</td><td></td></ma<>	ark.burley@	GRO	`}, "Andrews	Mark"	
[GRO ⊳,		- L				
	<pre><phil.norton< pre=""> <pre>GRO</pre></phil.norton<></pre>	<u> </u>					
I	Subject: RE: Final Bala	nce Report is	ssue for Bran	ch Trading S	tatement(Docu	ıment link: Phil	
No	rton)						
	>						

Geoff

Thanks for this response.

I will forward on to POL legal to get their view on the revised submission.

Regards

Phil Norton Project Principal IT - Post Office Ltd Future Walk, Chesterfield, S49 1PF Tel GRO or GRO Mob or GRO
"Butts Geoff" GRO 07/04/2010 19:37
> > To: <phil.norto <b="">GRO</phil.norto>
cc: "D'Alvarez Alan" GRO , "Dodington Chris"
GRO DE CONTRACTOR DE CONTRACTO
"Richardson Debbie DB"
Granam (BRAUL) GRO >, "Welsh Graham" GRO >,
"Prenovost Jean-Philippe" GRO, /john.wheeler GRO,
<pre><kevin.tallboy ;<="" gro="" td=""></kevin.tallboy></pre>

Phil,

Please find enclosed the Fujitsu response, which has now been approved by the legal and commercial leads. Alan can either bring a signed copy to Old Street next week, or send you a soft copy separately.

Regards,

Geoff

----Original Message----From: phil.nortor GRO [mailto GRO]
Sent: 29 March 2010 15:46
To: Butts Geoff
Cc: D'Alvarez Alan; Dodington Chris; Richardson Debbie DB; Jenkins
Gareth GI; Allen Graham (BRA01); Welsh Graham: Prenovost Jean-Philippe;
john.wheeler GRO kevin.tallboy{ GRO
will.russell GRO mark.burley GRO
Subject: Fw: Final Balance Report issue for Branch Trading Statement

Geoff

We have now received feedback from our legal team regards the consolidated response Fujitsu provided regarding the issue with the Final Balance Report for the Branch Trading Statement.

for the branch fracing statement

As previously reported at the JSB - our legal team are generally happy with the statement, however there are two amendments they wish to be made to

the content of the "response". These are concerning two specific questions;

1. Can Fujitsu Provide a Statement Proving the Integrity of the Data is not

compromised in any way, and the only impact is incorrectly reported data?

Fujitsu confirms that this defect relates specifically to the printing of Report data, and is not related in any way to the underlying branch data held in the operational HNG-X system.

POL Legal proposal;

Fujitsu confirms that this defect relates specifically to the printing of Report data to support the production of the Office's Branch Trading Statement created immediately following the Offices migration onto

the HNG-X system. This defect results in a discrepancy with the volume data

reported in the Final Balance Report following the printing of the Trial Balance Report, and is not related in any way to the underlying Branch Data

held in the operational HNG-X system.

This in no way compromises the underlying Data held in respect of the

individual branch or the system as a whole.

2. Can Fujitsu provide a commitment to support POL in proving the integrity of the system in any subsequent legal action (specifically where the

difference in the two reports is used as a means to challenge the integrity of the system)?

Voc Evitev is willing to provide commitment to a

Yes, Fujitsu is willing to provide commitment to assist in trying to

prove the integrity of the system in any subsequent legal action.

POL Legal proposal;

Fujitsu is convinced of the integrity of the HNG-X system and as such will, at it's own expense, provide a commitment to POL to assist in trying to prove the integrity of the system in any subsequent legal action. There is also a requirement that the "response" is formalised on Fujitsu headed paper and signed by a suitably qualified/empowered individual. It is acceptable that the "letter" is sent electronically.

Would you therefore please confirm that these proposal's are agreeable to Fujitsu - and action accordingly.

Thanks and Regards

Phil

Principal Analyst Post Office Ltd.

Postline:	GRO	STD Phone:	GRO	Fax: GRO	1
Mobex:	GRO , Mo	bile: GRO	j·'		
External E	mail:	GRO			

----- Forwarded by Phil Norton/e/POSTOFFICE on 29/03/2010 15:08 -----

	+	Phil Norton
	 	04/03/2010 17:05
	+	>
>		
 Winn/e/POS	To: TOFFICE@P0	Dawn Brooks/e/POSTOFFICE@POSTOFFICE, Andrew
	cc:	
' Statement	Subject:	Fw: Final Balance Report issue for Branch Trading
>		1

Dawn/Andy

Please see the "statement" from FS regards the Final Balance Report issue for Branch Trading Statement.

I have responded as detailed below - and would now ask that you review the content and provide comments to me.

Also please forward to Legal to obtain their view regards it's relevance should we ever end up in court and a Spmr questions the integrity of the HNG system (specifically citing this issue as evidence to support their claims).

A speedy response would be appreciated.

Thanks

Phil

Principal Analyst Post Office Ltd.

Postline: GRO STD Phone:	GRO	Fax:	GRO	Mobex:
GRO Mobile: GRO		L		2
External Email: GRO				
Forwarded by Phil Norton/e	POSTOFFICE O	n 04/03/20	010 16:54	
+	>			
Phil Norto	on l			
04/03/2010) 14:11 İ			
+	>			

	-	l'outre d	Geoff"	GPC	<u></u>	
I	10:					
	cc:	<u>"D'Alvar</u>	rez Alan" <		GRO	,
Joar	"Richard	⊲ Ison Debbi	ie DB"		<u>,≻,</u> GRO	
Jenki	ins Gareth G	["[GRO]>, "Allen	
L	GRA GRO	BRAN1)"	ez Alan GRO ie DB" gRO gRO gRO	GRO	We	lsh Graham"
`	<u>{</u>		GRO	ist Jean-Fi		
ohn.v	wheeler	GRO	GRO , kevin.	G	RO	
Ι	will.rus	ssell(GRO			
1	Subject	Do: Fina	al Balance Re	nort iccus	for Pranch	Trading
taten	nent(Document			porc issue		maunig
eoff						
eori						
	s for this - ment.	I will ru	un it past ou	ır Finance	and Legal p	eople for
ne co ectio		nave is ir	n the "what i	s Fujitsu	doing to re	solve"
t sta						
		t-term, be	efore the fix	is deplov	ed into liv	e, Fujitsu
as			includes inst			
dvice			includes inst	TUCCIONS L		U give
			advice is to ard the Final		rial Report	, which is
e car egal	nnot advise 1	the Branch	n to discard	the Final	Report as i	t is a
locume			ance is not. entified that			
apper	n)				-	

numerous times - potentially resulting in the Subpostmaster retaining a Trial Report that bears no relation to the "discarded" Final Report. If we

have advised the Subpostmaster to discard the Final Report we would definitely leave ourselves exposed; and with the best intentions, FS would not be able to support/defend POL's position.

That said I will await Legal's view, but in the short term must insist that the KEL does not advise the subpostmaster to discard the Final Report -It should advise them to retain both. These will subsequently (should the need arise) be collateral in any proceedings.

Regards

Phil

Principal Analyst Post Office Ltd. Postline: GRO STD Phone: GRO Fax: GRO Mobex: GRO Mobile: GRO External Email: GRO "Butts Geoff"

	GRO GRO 04/03/2010 12:39		
>	To: <pre>sphil.nortor</pre> GRO <pre>sphil.ortor</pre> GRO <pre>sphil.ortor</pre>	· ○ ▷.	
 "Prenovost (BPA01)" /	cc: "D'Alvarez Alan" Jean-Philippe" K GRO	GRO , "Allen Graham	
	GRO I. 'Richardson <debbie.richardson gro<br="">GRO I. <kevin.tallboy gro<="" td=""><td>n_Debbie DB" , "Jenkins Gareth GI" </td><td></td></kevin.tallboy></debbie.richardson>	n_Debbie DB" , "Jenkins Gareth GI" 	
 Statement >	Subject: Final Balance Report		

Phil,

Please find enclosed a consolidated response to your requests for further information with regard to the Final Balance Report for the Branch Trading Statement, that I've agreed with our legal team. Background During Branch Trading Statement (BTS) Production the report is produced twice in the form of:-* A Trial Report to allow the Postmaster to check that the data is correct. A Final Report which is produced after the Trial Report has been confirmed. This indicates that the Branch has rolled over in the next Trading Period. The BTS consist of two parts:-A Summary of Stock Unit and Suspense Account 1. activities (relating to Cash and Cash movements) over the Trading Period. 2. Consolidated Stock Levels for all Volume Stock Items. Problem Description On the Final Report, the Stock Holding figures in the second section of the Report are incorrect on the final balance. Points to Note * The first section of the Final Report (relating to Cash and Cash movements) is correct. The figures that are stored as Opening figures for the new Trading Period are correct. To expand on this slightly, at the time the Final BTS Report is produced some Opening Figures for the Suspense Account are stored back in the BRDB and these figures are from the first part of the report and so are not corrupted by the

FUJ00142193 FUJ00142193

defect and therefore are correct. The figures on both sections of the Trial Report, (which the Postmaster is asked to check) are correct. Cause The root cause of the issue is that the code that re-prints the report makes incorrect use of the cache of data held at the counter for generating the report as it is printing the report. To expand on this a bit further:-When the BTS is being produced, it is done based on data written to the Branch Database (BRDB) whenever a Stock Unit Rolls over. 2. BTS Production retrieves this data from BRDB to the counter. The counter then uses it to generate the Trial BTS 3. 4. There is a defect in the way that the report is produced such that some of the in-memory copy of the data is overwritten when the Trial BTS is produced. A consequence of this is that when the final BTS is 5. produced it is using incorrect data. 6. The problem has been fixed by ensuring that the final BTS uses the original data retrieved by the counter at step 2 rather than the data left over after step 4. The problem was first reported by Warwick and they 7. pointed out that the trial Balance figures were correct and the Final Balance figures were incorrect. Comparing the Trial and Final balance figures with the corresponding Stock Unit Balance Reports shows easily that the Trial Balance is correct and the final Balance is incorrect. None of the incorrect data is stored to BRDB so 9. there is no possibility of ongoing corruption of stock levels. Key Questions Can Fujitsu provide a complete and comprehensive list of all products where the volumes on the Final Balance Report differ to those on the Trial Balance Report? All figures relating to the Volume Stock Holdings can be corrupted by the bug, but not necessarily all the figures will be incorrect. How Has the Defect Arisen? The defect is a software issue that was not detected in application testing by the Joint Test Team. What are the Impacts of this Defect - detailing all stock items affected? All the Volume Stock items in this section of the report could be affected. What is Fujitsu doing to resolve this defect? Fujitsu has developed a software fix that resolves the issue by ensuring that the Final Report uses the original data retrieved by the counter at Step 2 described above rather than using the data left after Step 4. This is a counter fix that is currently scheduled to be delivered within the next Maintenance Release for HNG-X -01.08. In the short-term, before the fix is deployed into live, Fujitsu has written a KEL which includes instructions to the HSD to give advice to Postmasters. The advice is to use the Trial Report, which is correct, and to discard the Final Report.

FUJ00142193 FUJ00142193

Can Fujitsu Provide a Statement Proving the Integrity of the Data is not compromised in any way, and the only impact is incorrectly reported data? Fujitsu confirms that this defect relates specifically to the printing of Report data, and is not related in any way to the underlying branch data held in the operational HNG-X system. Can Fujitsu provide a commitment to support POL in proving the integrity of the system in any subsequent legal action (specifically where the difference in the two reports is used as a means to challenge the integrity of the system)? Yes, Fujitsu is willing to provide commitment to assist in trying to prove the integrity of the system in any subsequent legal action. If you have any questions on this, please come back to me. Regards. Geoff Geoff Butts, Programme Manager, HNG-X Release 1, Royal Mail Account Practitioner, P&PM Academy FUJITSU GRO GRO or Internally Mob: E-mail: GRO Web: http://uk.tujitsu.com |----_____ Fujitsu Services Limited, Registered in England no 96056, Registered Office 22 Baker Street, London, W1U 3BW -- | This e-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu Services does not guarantee that this e-mail has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus-free. _____ ---|

Royal Mail Group Limited registered in England and Wales registered number 4138203 registered office 3rd Floor, 100 Victoria Embankment, London, EC4Y 0HQ

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use,

FUJ00142193 FUJ00142193

disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and then delete this email from your system.

>>>> 20100401 BTS Fujitsu Position (2).doc attachment was removed from this email $<\!\!<\!\!<\!\!$

Royal Mail Group Limited registered in England and Wales registered number 4138203 registered office 3rd Floor, 100 Victoria Embankment, London, EC4Y 0HQ

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender and then delete this email from your system.