From: Thomas Penny[/O=EXCHANGE/OU=ADMINGROUP1/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=THOMASP] Sent: Thur 14/08/2008 8:17:56 AM (UTC) To: Jenkins Gareth G ; Holmes Alan GRO Meek Steven Sewell Peter (FEL0 Cc: Pritchard Howard GRO Pinder Brian GRO Subject: RE: Branch 141832 Craigpark Attachment: Record of Meeting 13 August 2008.doc Hi I've put together a record of our meeting yesterday, and attach. Kind regards Penny From: Thomas Penny Sent: 13 August 2008 09:23 Jenkins Gareth GI; Holmes Alan; Meek Steven; Sewell Peter (FEL01) To: Subject: RE: Branch 141832 Craigpark Importance: High Hi All I've spoken to Pete and he is able to ring in. Could we start at 9.30? If I can't get the meeting room next door to ther audit room we can meet in the audit room. Many thanks Penny Jenkins Gareth GI From: Sent: 13 August 2008 08:13 Thomas Penny; Holmes Alan; Meek Steven; Sewell Peter (FEL01) To: Subject: RE: Branch 141832 Craigpark Penny, Sorry, but I'm on leave tomorrow and Friday. My Outlook Calendar should be up-to-date with my availability. Regards Gareth **Gareth Jenkins Distinguished Engineer Applications Architect** Royal Mail Group Account **FUJITSU** Lovelace Road, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG12 8SN Tel: Internal GRO Mobile Internal email: GRO Web: http://uk.fujitsu.com

B

Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

This e-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu Services does not guarantee that this e-mail has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus-free.

From: Thomas Penny Sent: 12 August 2008 17:44

To: Jenkins Gareth GI; Holmes Alan; Meek Steven; Sewell Peter (FEL01)

Subject: FW: Branch 141832 Craigpark

Hi All

Pete has asked me send a note to set up a meeting to discuss this issue.

Can everyone make 10.00, Thursday 14 August?

I've sought out relevant Peaks and KEL and attach.

Kind regards

Penny

<< File: View KEL dsed5628Q.htm >> << File: PC0152376.htm >> << File: PC0152421.htm >>

From: Sewell Peter (FEL01)
Sent: 12 August 2008 14:41
To: Holmes Alan; Thomas Penny
Subject: FW: Branch 141832 Craigpark

Alan

Can we set up a meeting please, Gareth has raised a potential issue with events which might require a change in our ARQ process.

See below, don't know if you are aware of thos already.

Pete

Pete Sewell

Security Operations Manager

Fujitsu Services Royal Mail Group Account

LOVELACE ROAD, BRACKNELL, BERKS

RG12 8SN
Tel:
Mobile GRO

E-Mail GRO
Web http://uk.fujitsu.com

Fujitsu Services Registered in England no 96056, Registered Office 22 Baker Street, London W1U 3BW

This e-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are confidential and may be privileged. Fujitsu Services does not guarantee that this e-mail has not been intercepted and amended ot that it is virus free.

From: Jenkins Gareth GI 11 August 2008 15:15 Sent: Sewell Peter (FEL01) To: Stewart Mike; Peach Mik Cc: Subject: FW: Branch 141832 Craigpark

Pete,

Over the last couple of years we've had a couple of cases where EOD (which runs at 19:00) interferes with transactions being written at the counter. If this happens, then there is an Event written to the NT event Log.

Given we only have a couple of instances, and a fix is as likely to cause further problems, then we're reluctant to make a change to Horizon. However if Horizon data is being used in evidence for the prosecution of a Postmaster, it is probably wise to also check to see if any such events were produced during the period in question. Is this something that can / should be built into the ARQ process?

Regards

Gareth

Gareth Jenkins Distinguished Engineer Applications Architect Royal Mail Group Account

FUJITSU

Lovelace Road, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG12 8SN

Tel Internal: Mobile Internal email: Web: http://uk.fujitsu.com



Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

Fujitsu Services Limited, Registered in England no 96056, Registered Office 22 Baker Street, London, W1U 3BW

This e-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu Services does not guarantee that this e-mail has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus-free.

From: Jenkins Gareth GI **Sent:** 11 August 2008 15:11

To: Peach Mik

Cc: Drake Claire; Card Cheryl; Chambers Anne O; Stewart Mike; Budworth John

Subject: RE: Branch 141832 Craigpark

Mik.

As discussed, I am still uneasy about this, but I agree that it is probably safer to leave things as they are.

I've discussed this with Mike and I'll mention this to Pete Sewell so that he can ensure that if we are providing evidence for an ARQ that we also check on relevant events.

Regards

Gareth

Gareth Jenkins Distinguished Engineer Applications Architect Royal Mail Group Account

FUJITSU

Lovelace Road, Bracknell, Berkshire, RG12 8SN

Internal: GRO Mobile: Internal: GRO email: Web: http://uk.fujitsu.com



Please consider the environment - do you really need to print this email?

Fujitsu Services Limited, Registered in England no 96056, Registered Office 22 Baker Street, London, W1U 3BW

This e-mail is only for the use of its intended recipient. Its contents are subject to a duty of confidence and may be privileged. Fujitsu Services does not guarantee that this e-mail has not been intercepted and amended or that it is virus-free.

From: Peach Mik

Sent: 11 August 2008 14:41 To: Jenkins Gareth GI

Cc: Drake Claire; Card Cheryl; Chambers Anne O; Stewart Mike; Budworth John

Subject: RE: Branch 141832 Craigpark

Gareth.

OK - I understand that you don't want this to be left un-fixed...

On the basis of the evidence that we have - there are 35 errors per week (inside the 7-8pm Wednesday window) and two in 35*52(weeks) = 1820 are known to have caused a discrepancy.

- a) SSC staff spending lots of time monitoring these events for 1-2 per year is simply not costeffective
- b) Fixing the underlying problem of holding the "lock" for too long is feasible from PC0152376 BUT
- c) I would want some assurance that making this change to the live estate, to resolve 2 reported issues, is NOT going to have a knock-on effect anywhere else....

I know that this is a difficult request - but I don't like changing Horizon at this stage - and I would like to be convinced that it is necessary, and that we wont make things worse..

Regards Mik