Filed on behalf of the: Claimant/Part 20 Defendant

Witness: G J Booth Statement: 2 Exhibit: GJB2 Date made: 8.11.2006

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE QUEENS BENCH DIVISION

BETWEEN:-

Claim No: HQ05X02706

POST OFFICE LIMITED

Claimant/Part 20 Defendant

-and-

LEE CASTLETON

Defendant/Part 20 Claimant

SECOND WITNESS STATEMENT OF GREGORY JOHN BOOTH

I,	GREGORY JOHN BOOTH, of	GRO	WILL SAY AS FOLLOWS:
1.		(just over 5 weeks) I was the	401 Scalby Road, Scarborough. From temporary subpostmaster at 14 South 1).
2.			knowledge unless otherwise stated. page numbers of Exhibit "GJB2" to this
3.	Drive branch, I remembered that	at there were no problems other ce with computers from time t	emporary subpostmaster at the Marine er than the usual minor run of the mill to time. In particular, there were no g lost. That remains correct.
	Manager T should add that		

- 4. However, I should add that whilst working at the Newby Post Office and since making my first statement, I have experienced just one occasion where the computer froze and did not record a transaction that was partially completed at the time. This happened on Wednesday 25 October 2006.
- 5. Every time that a new customer is served there is a new "session." Each customer's transactions are recorded in a "stack." For each session:
 - (a) the quantity and product type is entered on the computer. This is repeated as necessary for each transaction;
 - (b) the total net cost is shown on the screen;
 - (c) you press a button showing the total net cost and a menu appears showing the possible methods of payment. You can select one or more methods of payment and enter the amount to be paid by each method, or select a "fast cash" option which means that entire session's

transactions are to be paid for in cash. Once this process is completed, this settles the transactions and the stack is cleared; and

- (d) when the stack is cleared, the session is complete and all the transactions in that session are recorded on to the database.
- 6. If the machine freezes before the transactions are settled and the stack is cleared, the information is generally not recorded because the transactions have not been completed.
- 7. At about 1.15pm on Wednesday 25 October 2006, a customer wished to purchase a postage label for £1.27. I entered the relevant details on to the computer, printed out the postage label and had taken payment. The computer froze before the session was settled and I had cleared the stack. This meant that the information was not recorded. When I rebooted the system, I noticed that the computer did not appear to show the transaction at all. I was not initially sure of the best way to correct this, so I did not at the time re-enter the details on to the computer. This meant that the Newby branch had a small gain of £1.27.
- 8. As far as I am aware, every Post Office branch is issued with the Horizon System User Guide (the Guide). This is a voluminous reference guide which explains how to use Horizon (and deals with issues such as administration, balancing and transactions). At pages 1 to 33 are an extract from the Guide that give guidance on what to do in the event of a system failure. I note that:
 - (a) Transactions, Serve Customer (Part three), System Failure & recovery, subsection 10 (pages 1 to 2) sets out the procedure for completing transactions that were processed during a customer session prior to it being settled. It states that you should check to see whether another workstation is available and complete the transaction on the other workstation. Alternatively, it suggests that the transaction is completed manually and that the user should complete the recovery procedure when the Horizon System is restored. (Office Administration, Subsection 14 describes the recovery procedure, pages 18 to 22).

(b) Office Administration, System Failure, Subsection 13 headed "Identifying lost transactions following a system failure" (page 17) states that:

"If the Horizon system fails for any reason transaction information may be lost. This could either be information about a transaction that was in progress at the time of the failure or information about transactions what were completed and which has become corrupted as a result of the system failure.

As soon as the system is restored you must identify any lost transactions so that they can be recovered correctly.

The system will prompt you for recovery of lost Automated Payments Transactions...However, you will have to identify and recovery lost EPOSS and OBCS transactions yourself using the procedure below."

("EPOSS" stands for Electronic Point Of Sale Service, which is an application that enables

Postmasters to conduct general retail trade at the counter and sell products on behalf of their

1A_1231693_3

2

clients. OBCS stands for Order Book Control System. This was a computerised stop notice system linked to Horizon which ceased following the demise of pension books in around May or June 2005).

Broadly speaking, the Guide then states that a summary should be produced so that the user can compare and reconcile this against the actual dockets concerned. Stock should be adjusted to reflect the actual stock position and the user should enter on to the Horizon system any transactions that have not been recorded because of the system failure.

- (c) There are separate sections of the Guide dealing with the entering payment and receipt transactions in bulk on the Horizon system after failure (pages 3 to 6) and the recovery of APS transactions (pages 23 to 33). The procedure is slightly different for Automated Payments System (APS) transactions than to the procedure described above. This is because the Guide explains that when you log on after a system failure, the AP Recovery screen is displayed with a message which prompts you to recover outstanding APS transactions.
- 8. It is clear from the Guide that where the system fails part way through the type of transaction I was performing, the system would not record it and that accordingly, I should have simply re-entered the details of the transaction in accordance with the instructions in the Guide.

I believe that t	is witness statement are true.
Signed GREGORY JOHN BOOTH	
Date 9/11/06	

Filed on behalf of the: Claimant/Part 20 Defendar

Witness: G J Boot Statement: Exhibit:GJB

Date made:8.11.200

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE Claim No: HQ05X02706

QUEENS BENCH DIVISION

BETWEEN:-

POST OFFICE LIMITED
Claimant/Part 20 Defendant
-and-

LEE CASTLETON
Defendant/Part 20 Claimant

SECOND WITNESS STATEMENT OF GREGORY JOHN BOOTH

Bond Pearce LLP Ballard House West Hoe Road Plymouth PL1 3AE

Ref: SJD3/348035.134

DX: 8251 Plymouth Tel: +44(0)845 415 0000

Solicitors for the Claimant/Part 20 Defendant

613