

Stephen Dilley

From: Richard Morgan [GRO]
Sent: 18 January 2006 08:50
To: Stephen Dilley
Subject: RE: Post Office Limited -v- Lee Castleton

Stephen,
I am afraid that I am tied up in Court and cons all today and tomorrow. Any chance of you resending this to me once other comments are incorporated so that I can see it in final form?
Thanks,
Richard

From: Stephen Dilley [GRO]
Sent: 17 January 2006 18:54
To: mandy.talbot [GRO]; john.h.jones [GRO]
Cc: Julian Summerhayes; Tom Beezer; Richard Morgan
Subject: Post Office Limited -v- Lee Castleton

Dear Mandy,

I refer to my email of 13 January.

I attach a draft fax that I propose to dispatch to Mr Castleton's solicitors dealing with their "experts" reports and disclosure requests for your approval. This deals with the important point I mentioned on Friday i.e that the balance snapshot cannot be relied upon to prove that the sum of £3,509.68 was not transferred into the suspense account and that their assertion that Horizon was double counting losses is just plain wrong. It is important that we firmly put this point across as they say the report will form the core of any report that Castleton intends to rely on in Court.

John, do paragraphs 1 to 6 of the draft fax accurately reflect what we discussed last week?

Richard and Tom - if you have any comments on the draft, please let me have them. I will be sending a separate letter again inviting Castleton to set aside the default judgment when I serve the witness statements.

I look forward to hearing from you as soon as possible.

Kind regards

Stephen Dilley
Solicitor
for and on behalf of Bond Pearce LLP
DDI: [GRO]
Main office phone: [GRO]
Fax: [GRO]
www.bondpearce.com

The information in this e-mail and any attachments are confidential and may be legally privileged and protected by law. The intended recipient only is authorised to access this e-mail and any attachments. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender as soon as possible and delete any copies. Unauthorised use, dissemination, distribution, publication or copying of this

18/01/2006

Stephen Dilley

From: john.h.jones [GRO]
Sent: 18 January 2006 07:39
To: Stephen Dilley
Cc: Julian Summerhayes; Tom Beezer; Richard Morgan; mandy.talbot [GRO]
tony.r.utting [GRO] k
Subject: Re: Post Office Limited -v- Lee Castleton

Stephen

The letter reflects the incorrect assumptions being made by Castleton's representatives.

Tony: Do you feel there is any further comments to add to the correspondence.

John

John Jones
Area Change Manager
Network Change
Post Office Ltd
Sales & Service

Upper Floors, The Markets DMB, 6/16 New York Street, Leeds LS2 7DZ

Postline: [GRO] Mobex: [GRO] Mobile: [GRO] External Email: [GRO]

"Stephen Dilley" [GRO]
<john.h.jones [GRO]> To: <mandy.talbot [GRO]>
"Tom Beezer" [GRO] cc: "Julian Summerhayes" [GRO]
[GRO] "Richard Morgan"
17/01/2006 18:53 Subject: Post Office Limited -v- Lee Castleton

Dear Mandy,

I refer to my email of 13 January.

I attach a draft fax that I propose to dispatch to Mr Castleton's solicitors dealing with their "experts" reports and disclosure requests for your approval. This deals with the important point I mentioned on Friday i.e that the balance snapshot cannot be relied upon to prove that the sum of £3,509.68 was not transferred into the suspense account and that their assertion that Horizon was double counting losses is just plain wrong. It is important that we firmly put this point across as they say the report will form the core of any report that Castleton intends to rely on in Court.

John, do paragraphs 1 to 6 of the draft fax accurately reflect what we discussed last week?

Richard and Tom - if you have any comments on the draft, please let me have them. I will be sending a separate letter again inviting Castleton to set aside the default judgment when I serve the witness statements.

I look forward to hearing from you as soon as possible.

Kind regards

Stephen Dilley
Solicitor