Message					
From:	Melanie Corfield	GRO			
Sent:	13/10/2014 13:58:39				
То:	Belinda Crowe [GRO	; Rodric Williams	GRO	Angela Van-
	Den-Bogerd	GRO	Andy Holt	GRO]
CC:	Parsons, Andrew [GRO	; Chris Aujard	GRO	
	Patrick Bourke	GRO	; Tom Wechsler	GRO	
Subject:	RE: M053: revised draft C	RR			

Our current line if we are asked about remote access potentially being used to change branch data/ transactions is simply: "This is not and never has been possible."

This line holds but if we are pressed regarding SS's points about "admitting" there is remote access etc, we can say: "There is no remote access for individual branch transactions."

We might get pushed further on it and be asked by media to confirm whether or not there is any remote access. We will need to make the distinction re access as straightforward as we can so suggest: "There is no remote access for individual branch transactions. Fujitsu has support access to the 'back-end' of the system used for software updates and maintenance. This is of course strictly controlled with security processes in place, but could not, in any event, be used for individual branch transactions - there is no facility at all within the system for this."

I'll get a view from Fujitsu comms but let me have any comments.

Mel

From: Belinda Crowe

Sent: 13 October 2014 10:59

To: Rodric Williams; Melanie Corfield; Angela Van-Den-Bogerd; Andy Holt

Cc: 'Parsons, Andrew'; Chris Aujard; Patrick Bourke; Tom Wechsler; Belinda Crowe

Subject: RE: M053: revised draft CRR

Is this the first which references remote access?

I think we need to pick this up very robustly in our response as this could become public and Second Sight seem to be asking for proof that something didn't happen.

Mel, could we dust off our lines on this as this will be with the applicant and advisor tomorrow.

Best wishes Belinda

Belinda Crowe

148 Old Street, LONDON, EC1V 9HQ

GRO

From: Jessica Barker

Sent: 13 October 2014 11:46

To: Chris Aujard; Belinda Crowe; Rodric Williams; Jonny Gribben; Melanie Corfield; Angela Van-Den-Bogerd; Andy Holt;

Shirley Hailstones; Kathryn Alexander; Patrick Bourke; Tom Wechsler; Mark Underwood1

Cc: Eames, Amy; Lucy Overhill; 'Parsons, Andrew'; Ward, Alexandra

Subject: M053: revised draft CRR

Dear all

Second Sight have released a revised version of their draft CRR for case M053.

Some background to this: you will remember that SS originally uploaded a draft CRR some weeks ago, but it transpired that there were some exhibits they had not shared with PO. With the new exhibits, PO reinvestigated and produced an updated Investigation Report and so SS have subsequently produced a new draft CRR.

Both the updated PO Investigation Report and Draft CRR are attached to this email.

Bond Dickinson will produce the draft response and settlement analysis by COP Thursday 16 October (Lex, please confirm) so please reply to all with your comments on the Draft CRR by **COP tomorrow** (Tuesday 14 October) so that they can be incorporated into the response.

Please note that the deadline for our response is Tuesday 21 October.

I will send a meeting request shortly to discuss the response. Given the heavy workload that many of us have this week, particularly preparing for the WG face-to-face on Friday, I am going to look at scheduling this meeting for Monday 20 October.

Many thanks

Jess

Jessica Barker

GRO

This email and any attachments are confidential and intended for the addressee only. If you are not the named recipient, you must not use, disclose, reproduce, copy or distribute the contents of this communication. If you have received this in error, please contact the sender by reply email and then delete this email from your system. Any views or opinions expressed within this email are solely those of the sender, unless otherwise specifically stated.

POST OFFICE LIMITED is registered in England and Wales no 2154540. Registered Office: 148 OLD STREET, LONDON EC1V 9HQ.
