Message									
From:	Alwen Lyons	i	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	CI	PC				***************************************
on behalf of	Alwen Lyons			Gi	RO		_		
Sent:	23/07/2013	16:41:17							
To:	Ron Warmin	gton		GRO		; Ian Henderso	n	GRO	; Susar
	Crichton		GRO	- T. N	Лark R Davies	GR(0	Alwen Lyons	
		GRO		; Alan Bates	GRO	Kay Linn	ell GRO		
CC:	James ARBU	THNOT		GRO	i	Paula Vennells	G	RO	
Subject:	Notes of the	meeting	on the 22	2nd July		i			i
Dear All									
<pre>> Attending ></pre>	: PV, JA, ŘV	V, IH, S	SC, MD,	AL		scuss the prop		•	ocess ir
place to mov	ve the cases	forwar	d wan	e eo work eo	711450146176	ry, respond to	rearmings	and put a pr	00000
sight last	a working pa year.	arty To	work co			te the review		arted by sec	ond
						disputed cases nd others to r		and I sight	s
>				satisfactory		•			
> The meeti	ng discussed	the pr	oposed	process - SE	E FLOW CHAR	T			
> JA conside Bates a wee	ek ago.	-				s very close to		ss suggested	by Alar
> SC that the resolutions that this we	his was a pi . POL would ould give su	rocess w d also u ub postm	hich wo se the asters	uld enable t information	the sub post to inform nities to ra	mailed his inposed master to put changes in bus ise issues wit es.	their case iness proce	sses. It wa	s ĥoped
but it was a would provid > JA said he > The Month be enough t Mediator wo	agreed that de a route 1 e had had a ly Oversight ime to see 1 uld help. Go	if we p for the lot of Board the case ood will	other M new cas would s s throu on bot	e cases thro P cases. es since the tay in exist gh IH agreed h side would	ough the pro statement sence initia I that the p I give it a	d were not the cess this would in the house. Ily for 12 mon rocess would be chance. ished by the e	d prove it the the ring the 's	worked and hope was thides' togeth	then it is would er.
	leased if it	t had, b	out he w	as pleased w		posal IH was a			
> It was such asked case. It was	the workshop as agreed th	o to con nat an e	ısider t email wo	he precise s	steps which to JA for o	o flesh out the need to be takenward transmis	en for each	different t (agreed wi	ype of th SS)
way to get s constituents	satisfaction s but that t hat any case	n, JA ac the proc e submit	cepted ess did ted nee	that not all provide an ded a level	cases woul independent	their constitude de resolved mediations efore it could	to the sati	sfaction of	the
						did not have e rd of evidence		l. SS to pro	vide an
> SC explain point about	costs in the te and would	ne conte d need t	ext of to so be co	his and the	process in	to have an ind general and sa it to be the	id that the	y should be	
	advisor for					es get over tu s some of the			

```
> IH it will not take long to push some of the cases through, made sense to put those with tangible evidence to the front of the queue, but also ensure that the cases of MPs who have attended the meetings
are given priority.
> The workshop this week would look at different ways of working, then there would be an ongoing working
group, working through cases and meeting formally once a week to sort out any issues.
> Getting the information from Fujitsu had been an issue in the past. PV asked for evidence of this so
that the business could challenge FJ.
> JA offered to intervene with the FJ Chairman, Simon Blagdon, if it would be helpful.
> IH said the business needed their commitment to process and speed of getting data.
> It was thought that Gareth Jenkins produced high quality and he may be able to help the process.
> IH to provide JA with the email to call in the evidence, the lack of evidence would be a problem and we would have to filter out cases where IT wasn't possible to take them forward.
> SS would look at each case individually to see if the evidence available enabled it to proceed
> SC explained that this process would not stop SPMRs taking a civil case against the PO if they thought
that was appropriate, but that would take them outside of the mediation process.
> AL would call AB tomorrow morning and invite him or Kay Linnell to attend the workshop if possible
> Consider if it would be good to put out a joint POL/ JFSA communication about the mediation process.
Once the process is in place need to contact each SPMR to ask them if they want. To put their case
through mediation.
> ACTIONS In the meeting
Work shop the mediation proposal on the 24th July with SS, JFSA and POL
> After the workshop, POL/SS/JFSA provide an email for JA to send to MPs to explain the process ( the email needs to be able to quoted or used in correspondence with their constituents )
> SS to provide a list of MP cases which do not have enough evidence and an email for JA to send to MPs
concerned explaining what evidence is required, using the JFSP standard
> Provide an email for JA which is an amalgamation of the two above for use with new cases, explaining
the process.
> Thanks
> Alwen
> Alwen Lyons
> Company Secretary
       GRO
```