Message			
From: on behalf of Sent: To: Subject:	Martin Edwards Martin Edwards 77/06/2013 20:55:39 Paula Vennells Re: JA?	GRO	
concern at the end on	Lesley's explanation	noughtful - in truth it was primarily a look of baffleme of "transient data" and "archiving processes"! (I think sly need a plain English explanation of why no wider imp	we got there in
raised. As the meeting out to be of firm. Easy	discussed we need to g/report. We also need quite dangerous if we for this to be portra	eting was around the feasibility of some of the options, think about a Plan B given the likelihood that James would to be very careful not to overplay our hand with SS threaten them with legal action or attempt to replace to ayed in the media as heavy handed tactics because we dorn the existing perceptions we're trying to counteract).	on't agree to delay they could turn them with another
SS to disse	eminate a misleading i	softer option of explaining to JA calmly but firmly why interim report - it either needs to be delayed or reposi e detail on the one case that has been resolved).	/ he cannot allow tioned as a very
	g this up with a robus o on is tomorrow).	st plan to get our messages out to the media (Mark, Alwe	en and I are
We can thir Monday.	nk through a more deta	ailed handling plan and lines to take once we've seen th	ne draft report on
Will give t	this more thought and	staying closely involved over the next week.	
Thanks, Martin			
Martin Edwa Chief of St Post Office GRO	taff to the Chief Exec	cutive	
On 27 Jun 2	2013, at 20:02, "Paula	a Vennells" GRO wrote:	
> Martin, 3	I wondered if you had	any further thoughts on JA?	
> You were	looking thoughtful thasked some good quest	nroughout the meeting. Although I didn't get the sense y tions.	ou were holding
> Don't hes	sitate to flag anythir	ng you think we have missed!	
	or your support as alv	ways,	
> Paula			
> Sent from	n my iPhone		